CRYSTALS AND SCHUR $P$-POSITIVE EXPANSIONS
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Abstract. We give a new characterization of Littlewood-Richardson-Stembridge tableaux for Schur $P$-functions by using the theory of $q(n)$-crystals. We also give alternate proofs of the Schur $P$-expansion of a skew Schur function due to Ardila and Serrano, and the Schur expansion of a Schur $P$-function due to Stembridge using the associated crystal structures.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{P}^+$ be the set of strict partitions and let $P_\lambda$ be the Schur $P$-function corresponding to $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+$ [12]. The set of Schur $P$-functions is an important class of symmetric functions, which is closely related with representation theory and algebraic geometry (see [10] and references therein). For example, the Schur $P$-polynomial $P_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ in $n$ variables is the character of a finite-dimensional irreducible representation $V_n(\lambda)$ of the queer Lie superalgebra $q(n)$ with highest weight $\lambda$ up to a power of 2 when the length $\ell(\lambda)$ of $\lambda$ is no more than $n$ [13].

The set of Schur $P$-functions forms a basis of a subring of the ring of symmetric functions, and the structure constants with respect to this basis are non-negative integers, that is, given $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^+$,

$$P_\mu P_\nu = \sum_\lambda f^\lambda_{\mu\nu} P_\lambda,$$

for some non-negative integers $f^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$. The first and the most well-known result on a combinatorial description of $f^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ was obtained by Stembridge [16] using shifted Young tableaux, which is a combinatorial model for Schur $P$- or $Q$-functions [11] [17]. It is shown that $f^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ is equal to the number of semistandard tableaux with entries in a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded set $N = \{ 1' < 1 < 2' < 2 < \cdots \}$ of shifted skew shape $\lambda/\mu$ and weight $\nu$ such that (i) for each integer $k \geq 1$ the southwesternmost entry with value $k$ is unprimed or of even degree and (ii) the reading words satisfy the lattice property. Here we say that the value $|x|$ is $k$ when $x$ is either $k$ or $k'$ in a tableau. Let us call these...
tableaux the *Littlewood-Richardson-Stembridge (LRS) tableaux* (Definitions 3.8 and 3.9).

Recently, two more descriptions of \( f_{\lambda \mu \nu} \) were obtained in terms of semistandard decomposition tableaux, which is another combinatorial model for Schur \( P \)-functions introduced by Serrano [14]. It is shown by Cho that \( f_{\lambda \mu \nu} \) is given by the number of semistandard decomposition tableaux of shifted shape \( \mu \) and weight \( w_0(\lambda - \nu) \) whose reading words satisfy the \( \lambda \)-good property (see [3, Corollary 5.14]). Here we assume that \( \ell(\lambda), \ell(\mu), \ell(\nu) \leq n \), and \( w_0 \) denotes the longest element in the symmetric group \( S_n \). Another description is given by Grantcharov, Jung, Kang, Kashiwara, and Kim [6] based on their crystal base theory for the quantized enveloping algebra of \( q(n) \) [7]. They realize the crystal \( B_n(\lambda) \) associated to \( V_n(\lambda) \) as the set of semistandard decomposition tableaux of shape \( \lambda \) with entries in \( \{1 < 2 < \cdots < n\} \), and describe \( f_{\mu \nu} \) by characterizing the lowest weight vectors of weight \( w_0\lambda \) in the tensor product \( B_n(\mu) \otimes B_n(\nu) \). We also remark that bijections between the above mentioned combinatorial models for \( f_{\mu \nu} \) are studied in [4] using insertion schemes for semistandard decomposition tableaux.

The main result in this paper is to give another description of \( f_{\mu \nu} \) using the theory of \( q(n) \)-crystals, and show that it is indeed equivalent to that of Stembridge. More precisely, we show that \( f_{\mu \nu} \) is equal to the number of semistandard tableaux with entries in \( N \) of shifted skew shape \( \lambda/\mu \) and weight \( \nu \) such that (i) for each integer \( k \geq 1 \) the southwesternmost entry with value \( k \) is unprimed or of even degree and (ii) the reading words satisfy the “lattice property” (see Definitions 3.3 and 3.4 and Theorem 3.5). It is obtained by semistandardizing the standard tableaux which parametrize \( f_{\mu \nu} \) in [6], where the “lattice property” naturally arises from the configuration of entries in semistandard decomposition tableaux. We show that these tableaux for \( f_{\mu \nu} \) are equal to LRS tableaux (Theorem 3.11), and hence obtain a new characterization of LRS tableaux.

We study other Schur \( P \)- or \( Q \)-positive expansions and their combinatorial descriptions from a viewpoint of crystals. First we consider the Schur \( P \)-positive expansion of a skew Schur function

\[
s_{\lambda/\delta_r} = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}^+} a_{\lambda/\delta_r \nu} P_{\nu}
\]

for a skew diagram \( \lambda/\delta_r \) contained in a rectangle \(((r+1)^{r+1})\), where \( \delta_r = (r,r-1,\ldots,1) \) [1]. We give a combinatorial description of \( a_{\lambda/\delta_r \nu} \) (Theorem 4.4) by considering a \( q(n) \)-crystal structure on the set of usual semistandard tableaux of shape \( \lambda/\delta_r \) and characterizing the lowest weight vectors corresponding to each \( \nu \in \mathcal{P}^+ \).
As a byproduct we also give a simple alternate proof of Ardila-Serrano’s description of $a_{\lambda/\delta, \nu}$ \cite{1} (Theorem 4.7), which can be viewed as a standardization of our description.

We next consider the Schur expansion of a Schur $P$-function

$$P_\lambda = \sum_\mu g_{\lambda\mu}s_\mu$$

for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+$. It is equivalent to the expansion of a symmetric function $S_\mu = S_\mu(x, x)$ in terms of Schur $Q$-functions $Q_\lambda = 2^{\ell(\lambda)}P_\lambda$, where $S_\mu(x, y)$ is a super Schur function in variables $x$ and $y$. We give a simple and alternate proof of Stembridge’s description of $g_{\lambda\mu}$ \cite{16} (Theorem 5.1) by characterizing the type $A$ lowest weight vectors of weight $w_0\mu$ in the $q(n)$-crystal $B_n(\lambda)$ when $\ell(\lambda), \ell(\mu) \leq n$.

Finally, we introduce the notion of semistandard decomposition tableaux of shifted skew shape. We consider a $q(n)$-crystal structure on the set of such tableaux, and describe its decomposition into $B_n(\lambda)$’s, which implies that the corresponding character has a Schur $P$-positive expansion though it is not equal to a skew Schur $P$-function in general.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the notion of $q(n)$-crystals and related results. In Section 3, we describe a combinatorial description of $f_{\lambda\mu}$ and show that it is equivalent to that of Stembridge. In Sections 4 and 5, we discuss the Schur $P$-positive expansion of a skew Schur function and the Schur expansion of a Schur $P$-function, respectively. In Section 6, we discuss semistandard decomposition tableaux of shifted skew shape, and the Schur $P$-positive expansions of their characters.

2. Crystals for queer Lie superalgebras

2.1. Notation and terminology. In this subsection, we introduce necessary notations and terminologies. Let $\mathbb{Z}_+$ be the set of non-negative integers. We fix a positive integer $n \geq 2$ throughout this paper.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{ \lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \geq 1} \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1} (i \geq 1), \sum_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i < \infty \}$ be the set of partitions, and let $\mathcal{P}^+ = \{ \lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \geq 1} \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}, \lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1} \Rightarrow \lambda_i = 0 (i \geq 1) \}$ be the set of strict partitions. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, let $\ell(\lambda)$ denote the length of $\lambda$, and $|\lambda| = \sum_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i$. Let $\mathcal{P}_n = \{ \lambda \mid \ell(\lambda) \leq n \} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}_n^+ = \mathcal{P}^+ \cap \mathcal{P}_n$.

The (unshifted) diagram of $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ is defined to be the set

$$D_\lambda = \{ (i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda) \},$$

and the shifted diagram of $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+$ is defined to be the set

$$D_\lambda^+ = \{ (i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : i \leq j \leq \lambda_i + i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda) \}.$$
We identify $D_\lambda$ and $D_\lambda^+$ with diagrams where a box is placed at the $i$-th row from the top and the $j$-th column from the left for each $(i, j) \in D_\lambda$ and $D_\lambda^+$, respectively. For instance, if \( \lambda = (6, 4, 2, 1) \), then

\[
D_\lambda = \begin{array}{cccc}
\square & \square & \square & \square \\
\square \end{array}
\quad \text{and} \quad D_\lambda^+ = \begin{array}{c}
\square \square \square \square \\
\square \square \square \\
\square \square
\end{array}
\]

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a linearly ordered set. We denote by $\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{A}$ the set of words of finite length with letters in $\mathcal{A}$. For $w \in \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{A}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, let $c_a(w)$ be the number of occurrences of $a$ in $w$.

For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}$ with $D_\mu \subseteq D_\lambda$, a tableau of shape $\lambda/\mu$ means a filling on the skew diagram $D_\lambda \setminus D_\mu$ with entries in $\mathcal{A}$. For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}^+$ with $D_\mu^+ \subseteq D_\lambda^+$, a tableau of shifted shape $\lambda/\mu$ is defined in a similar way. For a tableau $T$ of (shifted) shape $\lambda/\mu$, let $w(T)$ be the word given by reading the entries of $T$ row by row from top to bottom, and from right to left in each row. We denote by $T_{i,j}$ the $j$-th entry (from the left) of the $i$-th row of $T$ from the top. For $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda)$, let $T^{(i)} = T_{i,1} \cdots T_{i,\lambda_i}$ be the subword of $w(T)$ corresponding to the $i$-th row of $T$. Then we have $w(T) = T^{(1)} \cdots T^{(\ell(\lambda))}$.

We denote by $w_{\text{rev}}(T)$ the reverse word of $w(T)$. Note that $T_{i,j}$ is not the entry of $T$ at the $(i,j)$-position of the (shifted) skew diagram of $\lambda/\mu$, that is, $(i, j) \in D_\lambda \setminus D_\mu$ or $(i, j) \in D_\lambda^+ \setminus D_\mu^+$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, let $c_a(T) = c_a(w(T))$ be the number of occurrences of $a$ in $T$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a linearly ordered set with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading $\mathcal{A} = A_0 \sqcup A_1$. For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}$ with $D_\mu \subseteq D_\lambda$, let $\text{SST}_\mathcal{A}(\lambda/\mu)$ be the set of tableaux of shape $\lambda/\mu$ with entries in $\mathcal{A}$ which is semistandard, that is, (i) the entries in each row (resp. column) are weakly increasing from left to right (resp. from top to bottom), (ii) the entries in $A_0$ (resp. $A_1$) are strictly increasing in each column (resp. row). Similarly, for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}^+$ with $D_\mu^+ \subseteq D_\lambda^+$, we define $\text{SST}_\mathcal{A}^+(\lambda/\mu)$ to be the set of semistandard tableaux of shifted shape $\lambda/\mu$ with entries in $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $\mathcal{N} = \{ 1' < 1 < 2' < 2 < \cdots \}$ be a linearly ordered set with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading $\mathcal{N}_0 = \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathbb{N}' = \{ 1', 2', \cdots \}$. Put $[n] = \{ 1, \ldots, n \}$ and $[n]' = \{ 1', \ldots, n' \}$, where the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading and linear ordering are induced from $\mathcal{N}$. For $a \in \mathcal{N}$, we write $|a| = k$ when $a$ is either $k$ or $k'$.

### 2.2. Semistandard decomposition tableaux and Schur $P$-functions.

Let us recall the notion of semistandard decomposition tableaux [6] [14], which is our main combinatorial object.

**Definition 2.1.**
(1) A word \( u = u_1 \cdots u_s \) in \( \mathbb{W}_n \) is called a hook word if it satisfies \( u_1 \geq u_2 \geq \cdots \geq u_k < u_{k+1} < \cdots < u_s \) for some \( 1 \leq k \leq s \). In this case, let \( u_\downarrow = u_1 \cdots u_k \) be the weakly decreasing subword of maximal length and \( u_\uparrow = u_{k+1} \cdots u_s \) the remaining strictly increasing subword in \( u \).

(2) For \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+ \), let \( T \) be a tableau of shifted shape \( \lambda \) with entries in \( \mathbb{N} \). Then \( T \) is called a semistandard decomposition tableau of shape \( \lambda \) if

(i) \( T^{(i)} \) is a hook word of length \( \lambda_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda) \),

(ii) \( T^{(i)} \) is a hook subword of maximal length in \( T^{(i+1)}T^{(i)} \), the concatenation of \( T^{(i+1)} \) and \( T^{(i)} \), for \( 1 \leq i < \ell(\lambda) \).

For any hook word \( u \), the decreasing part \( u_\downarrow \) is always nonempty by definition.

For \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+ \), let \( SSDT(\lambda) \) be the set of semistandard decomposition tableaux of shape \( \lambda \). Let \( x = \{ x_1, x_2, \ldots \} \) be a set of formal commuting variables, and let \( P_\lambda = P_\lambda(x) \) be the Schur \( P \)-function in \( x \) corresponding to \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+ \) (see [10]). It is shown in [14] that \( P_\lambda \) is given by the weight generating function of \( SSDT(\lambda) \):

\[
P_\lambda = \sum_{T \in SSDT(\lambda)} x^T,
\]

where \( x^T = \prod_{i \geq 1} x_i^{c_i(T)} \).

**Remark 2.2.** Recall that the Schur \( P \)-function \( P_\lambda \) can be realized as the character of tableaux \( T \in SST^+_{\lambda}(\lambda) \) with no primed entry or entry of odd degree on the main diagonal (cf. [10] [11] [17]). The notion of semistandard decomposition tableaux was introduced in [14] to give a plactic monoid model for Schur \( P \)-functions. In this paper, we follow its modified version (Definition 2.1) introduced in [6], by which it is more easier to describe \( q(n) \)-crystals [6] Remark 2.6]. We also refer the reader to [6] for more details on relation between the combinatorics of these two models.

The following is a useful criterion for a tableau to be a semistandard decomposition one, which plays an important role in this paper.

**Proposition 2.3.** ([6] Proposition 2.3] For \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+ \), let \( T \) be a tableau of shifted shape \( \lambda \) with entries in \( \mathbb{N} \). Then \( T \in SSDT(\lambda) \) if and only if \( T^{(k)} \) is a hook word for \( 1 \leq k \leq \ell(\lambda) \), and none of the following conditions holds for each \( 1 \leq k < \ell(\lambda) \):

1. \( T_{k,1} \leq T_{k+1,i} \) for some \( 1 \leq i \leq \lambda_{k+1} \),
2. \( T_{k+1,i} \geq T_{k+1,j} \geq T_{k,i+1} \) for some \( 1 \leq i < j \leq \lambda_{k+1} \),
3. \( T_{k+1,j} < T_{k,i} < T_{k,j+1} \) for some \( 1 \leq i \leq j \leq \lambda_{k+1} \).

Equivalently, \( T \in SSDT(\lambda) \) if and only if \( T^{(k)} \) is a hook word for \( 1 \leq k \leq \ell(\lambda) \), and the following conditions hold for \( 1 \leq k < \ell(\lambda) \):

- (a) if \( T_{k,i} \leq T_{k+1,j} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq j \leq \lambda_{k+1} \), then \( i \neq 1 \) and \( T_{k+1,i-1} \leq T_{k+1,j} \),
(b) if $T_{k,i} > T_{k+1,j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq \lambda_{k+1}$, then $T_{k,i} \geq T_{k,j+1}$.

For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+$, let $SSDT_n(\lambda)$ be the set of tableaux $T \in SSDT(\lambda)$ with entries in $[n]$. By Proposition 2.3(1), we see that $SSDT_n(\lambda) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+_n$. We denote by $P_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ the Schur $P$-polynomial in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ given by specializing $P_\lambda$ at $x_{n+1} = x_{n+2} = \cdots = 0$. Then we have $P_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{T \in SSDT_n(\lambda)} x^T$.

For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+_n$, let $H_\lambda^\mu$ be the element in $SSDT_n(\lambda)$ where the subtableau with entry $\ell(\lambda) - i + 1$ is a connected border strip of size $n \lambda_{\ell(\lambda) - i + 1}$ starting at $(i, i) \in D_\lambda^+$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, \ell(\lambda)$, and let $L_\lambda^\mu$ be the one where the subtableau with entry $n - i + 1$ is a connected horizontal strip of size $\lambda_i$ starting at $(i, i) \in D_\lambda^+$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, \ell(\lambda)$. For example, when $n = 4$ and $\lambda = (4, 3, 1)$, we have

$$H_4^\lambda = \begin{array}{cccc}
3 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & & & \\
\end{array} \quad \quad L_4^\lambda = \begin{array}{cccc}
4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
3 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\
\end{array}.$$ 

Indeed, $H_\lambda^\mu$ and $L_\lambda^\mu$ are the unique tableaux in $SSDT_n(\lambda)$ such that $(c_1(H_\lambda^\mu), \ldots, c_n(H_\lambda^\mu)) = \lambda$, $(c_1(L_\lambda^\mu), \ldots, c_n(L_\lambda^\mu)) = w_0 \lambda$.

Here we assume that $\mathcal{P}^+_n \subset \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ and the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}^n_+$ by permutation, where $w_0$ is the longest element in $\mathfrak{S}_n$.

2.3. Crystals. Let us first review the crystals for the general linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ in [13, 9].

Let $P^\vee = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} e_i$ be the dual weight lattice and $P = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P^\vee, \mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} e_i$ the weight lattice with $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Define a symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $P$ by $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Let $\{ \alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1} (i = 1, \ldots, n-1) \}$ be the set of simple roots, and $\{ h_i = e_i - e_{i+1} (i = 1, \ldots, n-1) \}$ the set of simple coroots of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$. Let $P^+ = \{ \lambda | \lambda \in P, \langle \lambda, h_i \rangle \geq 0 (i = 1, \ldots, n-1) \}$ be the set of dominant integral weights.

A $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-crystal is a set $B$ together with the maps $wt : B \to P$, $\varepsilon_i, \varphi_i : B \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ and $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i : B \to B \cup \{0\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ satisfying the following conditions: for $b \in B$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$,

1. $\varphi_i(b) = \langle wt(b), h_i \rangle + \varepsilon_i(b),$
2. $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) - 1, \varphi_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) + 1, \text{ wt}(\tilde{e}_i b) = \text{ wt}(b) + \alpha_i$ if $\tilde{e}_i b \in B,$
3. $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + 1, \varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) - 1, \text{ wt}(\tilde{f}_i b) = \text{ wt}(b) - \alpha_i$ if $\tilde{f}_i b \in B,$
4. $\tilde{f}_i b = b'$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_i b'$ for $b' \in B,$
5. $\tilde{e}_i b = \tilde{f}_i b = 0$ when $\varphi_i(b) = -\infty.$

Here $0$ is a formal symbol and $-\infty$ is the smallest element in $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ such that $-\infty + n = -\infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $\mu \in P$, let $B_\mu = \{ b \in B | \text{ wt}(b) = \mu \}$. When $B_\mu$
is finite for all $\mu$, we define the character of $B$ by $\text{ch}B = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} |B_\mu| e^\mu$, where $e^\mu$ is a basis element of the group algebra $\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{P}]$.

Let $B_1$ and $B_2$ be $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-crystals. A tensor product $B_1 \otimes B_2$ is a $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-crystal, which is defined to be $B_1 \times B_2$ as a set with elements denoted by $b_1 \otimes b_2$, where

$$\begin{align*}
\text{wt}(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \text{wt}(b_1) + \text{wt}(b_2), \\
\varepsilon_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \max\{\varepsilon_i(b_1), \varepsilon_i(b_2) - \langle \text{wt}(b_1), h_i \rangle\}, \\
\varphi_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \max\{\varphi_i(b_1) + \langle \text{wt}(b_2), h_i \rangle, \varphi_i(b_2)\},
\end{align*}
$$

\begin{equation}
(2.2)
\end{equation}

for $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. Here we assume that $0 \otimes b_2 = b_1 \otimes 0 = 0$.

For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, let $B_n(\lambda)$ be the crystal associated to an irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-module with highest weight $\lambda$, where we regard $\lambda$ as $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \epsilon_i \in P^+$. We may regard $[n]$ as $B_n(\epsilon_1)$, where $\text{wt}(k) = \epsilon_k$ for $k \in [n]$, and hence $W_{[n]}$ as a $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-crystal where we identify $w = w_1 \ldots w_r$ with $w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_r \in B_n(\epsilon_1)^{\otimes r}$. The crystal structure on $W_{[n]}$ is easily described by so-called the signature rule (cf. [9, Section 2.1]). For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, the set $SST_{[n]}(\lambda)$ becomes a $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-crystal under the identification of $T$ with $w(T) \in W_{[n]}$, and it is isomorphic to $B_n(\lambda)$ [9]. In general, one can define a $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-crystal structure on $SST_{[n]}(\lambda/\mu)$ for a skew diagram $\lambda/\mu$. By abuse of notation, we set $B_n(\lambda/\mu) := SST_{[n]}(\lambda/\mu)$.

Next, let us review the notion of crystals associated to polynomial representations of the queer Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ developed in [6, 7].

**Definition 2.4.** A $\mathfrak{q}(n)$-crystal is a set $B$ together with the maps $\text{wt} : B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$, $\varepsilon_i, \varphi_i : B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ and $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i : B \rightarrow B \cup \{0\}$ for $i \in I := \{1, \ldots, n-1, \overline{1}\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $B$ is a $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-crystal with respect to $\text{wt}$, $\varepsilon_i, \varphi_i, \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1,$
2. $\text{wt}(b) \in \bigoplus_{i \in [n]} \mathbb{Z}_+ \epsilon_i$ for $b \in B$,
3. $\text{wt}(\tilde{e}_i b) = \text{wt}(b) + \alpha_1$, $\text{wt}(\tilde{f}_i b) = \text{wt}(b) - \alpha_1$ for $b \in B$,
4. $\tilde{f}_i b = b'$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_i b'$ for all $b, b' \in B$,
5. for $3 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have
   (i) the operators $\tilde{e}_i$ and $\tilde{f}_i$ commute with $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i$,
   (ii) if $\tilde{e}_i b \in B$, then $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b)$ and $\varphi_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varphi_i(b)$.
Let $B_n$ be a $q(n)$-crystal which is the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-crystal $B_n(\epsilon_1)$ together with $\tilde{f}_T[1] = 2^n$ (in dashed arrow):

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & \cdots & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n-1 & n.
\end{array}
$$

Here we write $b \xrightarrow{i} b'$ if $\tilde{f}_i b = b'$ for $b, b' \in B$ and $i \in I \setminus \{T\}$ as usual, and $b \xrightarrow{T} b'$ if $\tilde{f}_T b = b'$.

For $q(n)$-crystals $B_1$ and $B_2$, the tensor product $B_1 \otimes B_2$ is the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-crystal $B_1 \otimes B_2$ where the actions of $\tilde{e}_T$ and $\tilde{f}_T$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{e}_T(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} 
\tilde{e}_T b_1 \otimes b_2, & \text{if } \langle \epsilon_1, \text{wt}(b_2) \rangle = \langle \epsilon_2, \text{wt}(b_2) \rangle = 0, \\
b_1 \otimes \tilde{e}_T b_2, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases} \\
\tilde{f}_T(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} 
\tilde{f}_T b_1 \otimes b_2, & \text{if } \langle \epsilon_1, \text{wt}(b_2) \rangle = \langle \epsilon_2, \text{wt}(b_2) \rangle = 0, \\
b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_T b_2, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Then it is easy to see that $B_1 \otimes B_2$ is a $q(n)$-crystal. In particular, $W_{[n]}$ is also a $q(n)$-crystal.

Let $B$ be a $q(n)$-crystal. Suppose that $B$ is a regular $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-crystal, that is, each connected component in $B$ is isomorphic to $B_n(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in P_n$. Let $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$ be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ which is generated by the simple reflection $r_i$ corresponding to $\alpha_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. We have a group action of $W$ on $B$ denoted by $S$ such that

$$
S_{r_i}(b) = \begin{cases} 
\tilde{f}_i^{\langle \text{wt}(b), h_i \rangle} b, & \text{if } \langle \text{wt}(b), h_i \rangle \geq 0, \\
\tilde{e}_i^{\langle \text{wt}(b), h_i \rangle} b, & \text{if } \langle \text{wt}(b), h_i \rangle \leq 0,
\end{cases}
$$

for $b \in B$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. For $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$, let $w_i \in W$ be such that $w_i(\alpha_i) = \alpha_1$, and let

$$
\tilde{e}_T = S_{w_i} \cdots \tilde{e}_T S_{w_1}, \quad \tilde{f}_T = S_{w_i}^{-1} \tilde{f}_T S_{w_i}.
$$

For $b \in B$, we say that $b$ is a $q(n)$-highest weight vector if $\tilde{e}_i b = \tilde{e}_T b = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, and $b$ is a $q(n)$-lowest weight vector if $S_{w_0} b$ is a $q(n)$-highest weight vector.

For $\lambda \in P^+$, let $B_n(\lambda) = \text{SSDT}_n(\lambda)$, and consider an injective map

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
B_n(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\bigtriangleup} \ W_{[n]} \\
T & \xrightarrow{w_{\text{rev}}(T)}
\end{array}
$$

Then we have the following.

**Theorem 2.5.** ([6, Theorem 2.5]) Let $\lambda \in P^+_n$ be given.
(a) The image of $B_n(\lambda)$ in (2.3) together with $\{0\}$ is invariant under the action of $\tilde{e}_i$ and $\tilde{f}_i$ for $i \in I$, and hence $B_n(\lambda)$ is a $q(n)$-crystal.

(b) The $q(n)$-crystal $B_n(\lambda)$ is connected where $H^\lambda_n$ is a unique $q(n)$-highest weight vector and $L^\lambda_n$ is a unique $q(n)$-lowest weight vector.

**Remark 2.6.** In [7], a semisimple tensor category over the quantum superalgebra $U_q(q(n))$ is introduced, and it is shown that each irreducible highest weight module $V_n(\lambda)$ in this category, parametrized by $\lambda \in P_n^+$, has a crystal base. Furthermore, it is shown in [6, Theorem 2.5(c)] that the crystal of $V_n(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $B_n(\lambda)$.

Let $B_1$ and $B_2$ be $q(n)$-crystals. For $b_1 \in B_1$ and $b_2 \in B_2$, let us say that $b_1$ and $b_2$ are equivalent and write $b_1 \equiv b_2$ if there exists an isomorphism of $q(n)$-crystals $\psi : C(b_1) \rightarrow C(b_2)$ such that $\psi(b_1) = b_2$ where $C(b_i)$ denotes the connected component of $b_i \in B_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) as a $q(n)$-crystal.
By [7] Theorem 4.6, each connected component in $B_n^\otimes N$ ($N \geq 1$) is isomorphic to $B_n(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^+$ with $|\lambda| = N$. Indeed, for $b = b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_N \in B_n^\otimes N$, there exists a unique $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^+$ and $T \in B_n(\lambda)$ such that $b \equiv T$. In particular, $b$ is a $q(n)$-lowest (resp. $q(n)$-highest) weight vector if and only if $b \equiv L_n^\lambda$ (resp. $H_n^\lambda$).

The following lemma plays a crucial role in characterization of $q(n)$-lowest weight vectors in $B_n^\otimes N$ and hence describing the decompositions of $B_n^\otimes N$ and $B_n(\mu) \otimes B_n(\nu)$ ($\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_n^+$) into connected components in [6].

**Lemma 2.7.** ([6] Lemma 1.15, Corollary 1.16) For $b = b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_N \in B_n^\otimes N$, the following are equivalent:

1. $b$ is a $q(n)$-lowest weight vector,
2. $b' = b_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_N$ is a $q(n)$-lowest weight vector and $\epsilon_{b_1} + \text{wt}(b') \in w_0\mathcal{P}_n^+$,
3. $\text{wt}(b_M \otimes \cdots \otimes b_N) \in w_0\mathcal{P}_n^+$ for all $1 \leq M \leq N$.

Hence, we have the following immediately by Lemma 2.7.

**Corollary 2.8.** For $\lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(s)} \in \mathcal{P}_n^+$ and $T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_s \in B_n(\lambda^{(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes B_n(\lambda^{(s)})$, the following are equivalent:

1. $T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_s$ is a $q(n)$-lowest weight vector,
2. $T_r \otimes \cdots \otimes T_s \in B_n(\lambda^{(s)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes B_n(\lambda^{(r)})$ is a $q(n)$-lowest weight vector for all $1 \leq r \leq s$.

Note that we do not have an analogue of Lemma 2.7 for $q(n)$-highest weight vectors.

**Remark 2.9.** Let $m \geq n$ be a positive integer, and put $t = m - n$. For $N \geq 1$, let $\psi_t : B_n^\otimes N \rightarrow B_m^\otimes N$ be the map given by $\psi_t(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_N) = (u_1 + t) \otimes \cdots \otimes (u_N + t)$. Then for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^+$ and $u \in B_n^\otimes N$ we have $u \equiv L_n^\lambda$ if and only if $\psi_t(u) \equiv L_m^\lambda$. This implies that the multiplicity of $B_n(\lambda)$ in $B_n^\otimes N$ is equal to that of $B_m(\lambda)$ in $B_m^\otimes N$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^+$.

3. Littlewood-Richardson rule for Schur $P$-functions

For $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^+$, the shifted Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $f_{\mu \nu}^\lambda$, are the coefficients given by

$$P_{\mu} P_{\nu} = \sum_{\lambda} f_{\mu \nu}^\lambda P_{\lambda}. \tag{3.1}$$

In this section we give a new combinatorial description of $f_{\mu \nu}^\lambda$ using the theory of $q(n)$-crystals. We also show that our description of $f_{\mu \nu}^\lambda$ is equivalent to the Stembridge’s description [16].
3.1. Shifted Littlewood-Richardson rule.

**Definition 3.1.** Let \( w = w_1 \cdots w_N \) be a word in \( \mathcal{W}_N \). Let \( m_k = c_k(w) + c_k'(w) \) for \( k \geq 1 \). We define \( w^* = w_1^* \cdots w_N^* \) to be the word obtained from \( w \) after applying the following steps for each \( k \geq 1 \):

1. Consider the letters \( w_i \)’s with \( |w_i| = k \). Label them with \( 1, 2, \ldots, m_k \) (as subscripts), first enumerating the \( w_p \)’s with \( w_p = k \) from left to right, and then \( w_q \)’s with \( w_q = k' \) from right to left.
2. After the step (1), remove all \( \tau \) in each labeled letter \( k'_j \), that is, replace any \( k'_j \) with \( k_j \) for \( c_k(w) < j \leq m_k \).

**Example 3.2.**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{w} &= 11'11'1 & \rightarrow & 1_11_21_21_41_3 & \rightarrow & w^* = 1_11_51_21_41_3 \\
\text{w} &= 21'12'2'121 & \rightarrow & 2_11'_21_21_41_3 & \rightarrow & w^* = 2_11_41_21_21_22_22_3
\end{align*}
\]

**Definition 3.3.** Let \( w = w_1 \cdots w_N \in \mathcal{W}_N \) be given. We say that \( w \) satisfies the “lattice property” if the word \( w^* = w_1^* \cdots w_N^* \) associated to \( w \) given in Definition 3.1 satisfies the following for \( k \geq 1 \):

1. (L1) if \( w_i^* = k_1 \), then no \( k + 1 \) for \( j \geq 1 \) occurs in \( w_1^* \cdots w_{i-1}^* \).
2. (L2) if \( (w_s^*, w_t^*) = (k + 1, i, k_i+1) \) for some \( s < t \) and \( i \geq 1 \), then no \( k + 1 \) for \( i < j \) occurs in \( w_s^* \cdots w_t^* \).
3. (L3) if \( (w_s^*, w_t^*) = (k_{j+1}, k + 1) \) for some \( s < t \) and \( j \geq 1 \), then no \( k_i \) for \( i \leq j \) occurs in \( w_s^* \cdots w_t^* \).

**Definition 3.4.** For \( \lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^+ \), let \( F^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \) be the set of tableaux \( Q \) such that

1. \( Q \in \text{SST}^+_N(\lambda/\mu) \) with \( c_k(Q) + c_k'(Q) = \nu_k \) for \( k \geq 1 \),
2. for \( k \geq 1 \), if \( x \) is the rightmost letter in \( w(Q) \) with \( |x| = k \), then \( x = k \),
3. \( w(Q) \) satisfies the “lattice property” in Definition 3.3.

Then we have the following characterization of \( f^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \).

**Theorem 3.5.** For \( \lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^+ \), we have

\[
f^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \left| F^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \right|,
\]

that is, the shifted LR coefficient \( f^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \) is equal to the number of tableaux in \( F^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \).

**Proof.** Choose \( n \) such that \( \lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^+_n \). Put

\[
L^\lambda = \{ T | T \in \mathcal{B}_n(\nu), \ T \otimes L^\mu = L^\lambda \}.
\]

By Corollary 2.8, we have

\[
\mathcal{B}_n(\nu) \otimes \mathcal{B}_n(\mu) \cong \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+_n} \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda) \otimes |L^\mu|.
\]
Hence we have \(|L^λ_{\mu ν}| = f^λ_{\mu ν} = f^ν_{ν μ}|\) from (2.1) and the linear independence of Schur \(P\)-polynomials \(P_λ(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\)’s.

Let us prove \(f^λ_{μ ν} = \|F^λ_{μ ν}\|\) by constructing a bijection

\[
\begin{align*}
L^λ_{μ ν} & \quad \longrightarrow \quad F^λ_{μ ν} \\
T & \quad \longrightarrow \quad QT.
\end{align*}
\]

Let \(T \in L^λ_{μ ν}\) be given. Assume that \(w_{rev}(T) = u_1 \cdots u_N\) where \(N = |ν|\). By Lemma 2.7 there exists \(μ^{(m)} \in P_ν^+\) for \(1 \leq m \leq N\) such that

(i) \((u_{N-m+1} \cdots u_N) \otimes L^μ_n \equiv L^μ_n\) and \(μ^{(N)} = λ\),

(ii) \(μ^{(m)}\) is obtained by adding a box in the \((n - u_m + 1)\)-st row of \(μ^{(m-1)}\).

Here we assume that \(μ^{(0)} = μ\). Recall that

\[
w_{rev}(T) = T^{(ℓ(ν))} \cdots T^{(1)},
\]

where \(T^{(k)} = T_{k,1} \cdots T_{k,λ_k}\) is a hook word for \(1 \leq k \leq ℓ(ν)\). We define \(QT\) to be a tableau of shifted shape \(λ/μ\) with entries in \(N\), where \(μ^{(m)}/μ^{(m-1)}\) is filled with

\[
\begin{cases}
k', & \text{if } u_m \text{ belongs to } T^{(k)}\uparrow, \\
k, & \text{if } u_m \text{ belongs to } T^{(k)}\downarrow,
\end{cases}
\]

for some \(1 \leq k \leq ℓ(ν)\). In other words, the boxes in \(QT\) corresponding to \(T^{(k)}\uparrow\) are filled with \(k′\) from right to left as a vertical strip and then those corresponding to \(T^{(k)}\downarrow\) are filled with \(k\) from left to right as a horizontal strip.

By construction, it is clear that \(QT \in SST^λ_N(λ/μ)\) with \(c_k(QT) + c_k'(QT) = ν_k\) for \(1 \leq k \leq ℓ(ν)\). Let \(w(QT) = w_1 \cdots w_N\). Since \(T^{(k)}\) is a hook word for each \(k\) and the rightmost letter, say \(u_m\), in \(T^{(k)}\downarrow\) is strictly smaller than the leftmost letter \(u_{m+1}\) in \(T^{(k)}\uparrow\), the entry \(k\) in \(QT\) corresponding to \(u_m\) is located to the southeast of all \(k′\)’s in \(QT\). So the conditions Definition 3.4(1) and (2) are satisfied.

It remains to check that \(w(QT)\) satisfies the “lattice property”. Note that if we label \(k\) and \(k′\) in (3.3) as \(k_j\) and \(k′_j\), respectively when \(u_m = T_{k,j}\), then it coincides with the labeling on the letters in \(w(QT)\) given in Definition 3.1(1). Now it is not difficult to see that the conditions Proposition 2.3(1), (2), and (3) on \(T\) implies the conditions Definition 3.3(L1), (L2), and (L3), respectively. Therefore, \(QT \in F^λ_{μ ν}\).

Finally the correspondence \(T \mapsto QT\) is injective and also reversible. Hence the map (3.4) is a bijection. This completes the proof. \(\square\)

**Remark 3.6.** We see from Remark 2.9 that \(|L^λ_{μ ν}|\) does not depend on \(n\) for all sufficiently large \(n\). Hence (3.3) also implies the Schur \(P\)-positivity of the product \(P_μ P_ν\).
Remark 3.7. For \( T \in L^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \), let \( \hat{Q}_T \) be the tableau of shifted shape \( \lambda/\mu \), which is defined in the same way as \( Q_T \) in the proof of Theorem 3.5 except that we fill \( \mu^{(m)}/\mu^{(m-1)} \) with \( m \) in (3.5) for \( 1 \leq m \leq N \). Then the set \( \{ \hat{Q}_T \mid T \in L^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \} \) is equal to the one given in [6, Theorem 4.13] to describe \( f^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \). For example,

\[
T_1 = \begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
3 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 2 & & \\
\end{array} \in L^{(4,3,1)}_{(3,1)(3,1)} \quad \hat{Q}_{T_1} = \begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline & 4 & \\
\end{array} \\
Q_{T_1} = \begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
1 & 1 \\
\hline 1 & 2 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
T_2 = \begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
4 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline 3 & & \\
\end{array} \in L^{(4,3,1)}_{(3,1)(3,1)} \quad \hat{Q}_{T_2} = \begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
3 & 1 & 4 \\
\hline & 2 & \\
\end{array} \\
Q_{T_2} = \begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
1 & 1 \\
\hline 1 & 2 \\
\end{array}
\]

3.2. Stembridge’s description of \( f^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \).

Definition 3.8. Let \( w = w_1 \cdots w_N \) be a word in \( \mathcal{W}_N \) and \( w_{rev} \) be the reverse word of \( w \). Let \( \hat{w} \) be the word obtained from \( w \) by replacing \( k \) by \( (k+1)' \) and \( k' \) by \( k \) for each \( k \geq 1 \). Suppose that \( w\hat{w}_{rev} = a_1 \cdots a_{2N} \), and let \( m_k(i) = c_k(a_1 \cdots a_i) \) for \( k \geq 1 \) and \( 0 \leq i \leq 2N \). Then we say that \( w \) satisfies the lattice property if

\[
(3.6) \quad m_{k+1}(i) = m_k(i) \text{ implies } |a_{i+1}| \neq k + 1 \text{ for } k \geq 1 \text{ and } i \geq 0.
\]

Here we assume that \( m_k(0) = 0 \).

Definition 3.9. For \( \lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^+ \), let \( \text{LRS}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \) be the set of tableaux \( Q \) such that

1. \( Q \in \text{SST}^+_N(\lambda/\mu) \) with \( c_k(Q) + c_k(Q) = \nu_k \) for \( k \geq 1 \),
2. for \( k \geq 1 \), if \( x \) is the rightmost letter in \( w(Q) \) with \( |x| = k \), then \( x = k \),
3. \( w(Q) \) satisfies the lattice property in Definition 3.8.

We call \( \text{LRS}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \) the set of Littlewood-Richardson-Stembridge tableaux.

Theorem 3.10. ([16, Theorem 8.3]) For \( \lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^+ \), we have

\[
f^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = |\text{LRS}^\lambda_{\mu\nu}|,
\]

that is, the shifted LR coefficient \( f^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \) is equal to the number of tableaux in \( \text{LRS}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \).

Theorem 3.11. For \( \lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^+ \), we have

\[
\text{F}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \text{LRS}^\lambda_{\mu\nu}.
\]

Proof. Since Definition 3.4(1) and (2) are the same as Definition 3.9(1) and (2), respectively, it suffices to show that for any \( Q \in \text{SST}^+_N(\lambda/\mu) \), \( w := w(Q) \) satisfies the “lattice property” in Definition 3.3 if and only if \( w \) satisfies the lattice property in Definition 3.8. We assume that \( N = |\nu|, w = w_1 \cdots w_N, w^* = w_1^* \cdots w_N^* \), and \( w\hat{w}_{rev} = a_1 \cdots a_{2N} \).
Suppose that \( w \) satisfies the “lattice property” in Definition 3.3. We use induction on \( 1 \leq i \leq 2N \) to show that \( a_1 \cdots a_{2N} \) satisfies (3.6). We first observe from (L1) that \( a_1 = 1 \) or \( 1' \), and \( a_1 \) satisfies (3.6) since \( m_k(0) = 0 \) for all \( k \geq 1 \).

We now assume that \( a_1 \cdots a_i \) for some \( 1 \leq i < 2N \) satisfies (3.6). Suppose for the sake of contradiction that \( m_{k+1}(i) = m_k(i) = m \) and \( |a_{i+1}| = k+1 \) for some \( k \geq 1 \). Here \( m > 0 \) by (L1). By induction hypothesis, there exist \( s < t \) such that \( a_s = k \) with \( m_k(s) = m \) and \( a_t = k + 1 \) with \( m_{k+1}(t) = m \). Note that for each \( k \geq 1 \)

\[
(3.7) \quad c_k(w) + c_k'(w) > c_{k+1}(w) + c_{k+1}'(w),
\]

which implies that the number of \( k \)'s in \( w \) is greater than the number of \( k + 1 \)'s in \( w \). So we can choose an integer \( u > i + 1 \) such that \( a_u = k \) and \( m_k(u) = m + 1 \).

We now consider the following four cases:

Case 1. Let \( 1 \leq s < t < i + 1 \leq N \). In this case \( w^*_s = k_m, w^*_t = k + 1_m \) and \( w^*_{i+1} = k + 1_M \) for some \( M \geq m + 1 \). (i) If \( u \leq N \), then we have \( (w^*_s, w^*_{s+1}, w^*_u) = (k + 1_m, k + 1_M, m_{k+1}) \), which contradicts (L2). (ii) If \( N < u < 2N - s + 1 \), then \( a_{2N-u+1} = w_{2N-u+1} = k' \) (\( s < 2N - u + 1 \leq N \)) but no \( k \) occurs in \( w_{s+1} \cdots w_N \) which contradicts Definition 3.4(2). (iii) If \( 2N - s + 1 < u < 2N \), then we have \( (w^*_{2N-u+1}, w^*_s, w^*_t) = (k_{m+1}, k_m, k + 1_m) \), which contradicts (L3).

Case 2. Let \( 1 \leq s < t < N \leq i + 1 \leq 2N \). In this case \( w^*_s = k_m \) and \( w^*_t = k + 1_m \). Since \( w_{2N-u+1} = k' \) (\( 2N - u + 1 < N \)), we have \( s \neq 2N - u + 1 \). (i) If \( s < 2N - u + 1 \), then we have \( w_{2N-u+1} = k' \) but no \( k \) in \( w_{s+1} \cdots w_n \) since \( m_k(i) = m \), which contradicts Definition 3.4(2). (ii) If \( 2N - u + 1 < s \), then we have \( (w^*_s, w^*_{s+1}, w^*_t) = (k_{m+1}, k_m, k + 1_m) \), which contradicts (L3).

Case 3. Let \( 1 \leq s < N < t < i + 1 \leq 2N \). In this case \( w^*_s = k_m, w^*_{2N-t+1} = k + 1_m \). If \( a_{i+1} = (k + 1)' \), then \( a_{2N-i} = k \) but it is impossible from the assumption \( m_k(i) = m \). So \( a_{i+1} = k + 1 \) and \( w^*_{2N-i} = k + 1_m + 1 \). (i) If \( s < 2N - t - 1 \), then we have \( w_{2N-t+1} = (k + 1)'(2N - t + 1 \leq N) \) but no \( k + 1 \) in \( w_{2N-t+2} \cdots w_N \) since \( m_{k+1}(i) = m \), which contradicts Definition 3.4(2). (ii) If \( 2N - t + 1 < s \), then by (3.7) there is an integer \( v > u \) such that \( a_v = k \) and \( m_k(v) = m + 2 \). So we have \( (w^*_s, w^*_{s+1}, w^*_t) = (k_{m+2}, k_{m+1}, k + 1_m + 1) \), which contradicts (L3).

Case 4. Let \( N < s < t < i + 1 \leq 2N \). In this case \( w^*_s = k_m, w^*_{2N-t+1} = k + 1_m \). (i) If \( a_{i+1} = k + 1 \), then \( w_{2N-t} = (k + 1)' \) and \( w^*_{2N-t} = k + 1_m + 1 \). By (3.7) there is an integer \( v > u \) such that \( a_v = k \) and \( m_k(v) = m + 2 \). So we have \( (w^*_s, w^*_{s+1}, w^*_t) = (k_{m+2}, k_{m+1}, k + 1_m + 1) \), which contradicts (L3). (ii) If \( a_{i+1} = (k + 1)' \), then \( w_{2N-t} = k \) and \( w^*_{2N-t} = k_M \) for some \( M < m \). So we have \( (w^*_s, w^*_{s+1}, w^*_{t+1}) = (k_{m+1}, k_M, k + 1_m) \), which contradicts (L3).

Conversely, we assume that \( w \) satisfies the lattice property in Definition 3.8. We first claim that \( w \) satisfies (L1). Given \( k \geq 1 \), let \( w^*_1 = k_1 \) for some \( 1 \leq i \leq N \). If
$w_*^j = k + 1$ for some $1 \leq j < i$, then it follows that $m_k(j - 1) = m_{k+1}(j - 1) = 0$ and $a_j = k + 1$, which contradicts (3.6). Hence $w$ satisfies (L1).

Next, we claim that $w$ satisfies (L2). Suppose that there is a triple $(w_u^*, w_u^*, w_v^*) = (k + 1, k + j, i_k)$ for some $k \geq 1$, $i < j$, and $1 \leq s < u < t \leq N$. We may assume that $j = i + 1$. Since $w_u^* = k + 1_i$ is placed to the left of $w_u^* = k + 1_{i+1}$, it follows from Definition 3.1(3) that $a_s = k + 1$, and from Definition 3.9(2) that $a_u = k$. Since $w$ satisfies the lattice property, there is a positive integer $v < s$ such that $w_v^* = k_i$, i.e., $a_v = k$ and $m_k(v) = i$ for some $v < s$. We have $m_{k+1}(u - 1) = m_k(u - 1) = i$ and $a_u = k + 1$, a contradiction. So $w$ satisfies (L2).

Finally, we claim that $w$ satisfies (L3). Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $(w_u^*, w_u^*, w_v^*) = (k_{j+1}, k_i, k + 1_j)$ for some $k \geq 1$, $i \leq j$, and $1 \leq s < u < t \leq N$. We may assume that $i = j$. Since $w_u^* = k_{j+1}$ is placed to the left of $w_u^* = k_j$, it follows that $a_s = k'$. We consider four cases depending on the primedness of $a_u$ and $a_t$ as follows:

Case 1. Let $a_u = k'$ and $a_t = (k + 1)'$. It follows that $a_{2N-u+1} = k (m_k(2N - u + 1) = j)$ and $a_{2N-t+1} = k + 1 (m_k(2N - u + 1) = j)$. So we have $m_{k+1}(2N - t) = m_k(2N - t) = j - 1$ and $a_{2N-t+1} = k + 1$, as desired.

Case 2. Let $a_u = k'$ and $a_t = k + 1$. It follows that $a_{2N-u+1} = k, m_k(2N - u + 1) = j$ and $m_{k+1}(t) = j$. Since $t < 2N - u + 1$, we have $m_k(t) < m_{k+1}(t)$. So there is an integer $0 \leq \hat{t} < t$ such that $m_k(\hat{t}) = m_{k+1}(\hat{t}) < j$ and $a_{t+1} = k + 1$, as desired.

Case 3. Let $a_u = k$ and $a_t = (k + 1)'$. It follows that $a_{2N-t+1} = k + 1$ and $m_{k+1}(2N - t + 1) = j$. From $m_k(2N - s + 1) = j + 1$ and $2N - t + 1 < 2N - s + 1$ we have $m_k(2N - t + 1) = m_{k+1}(2N - t + 1) = j$. If there is another $k + 1$ between $w_u^*$ and $w_v^*$, then we obtain the desired contradiction. Otherwise, $m_k(2N - u) = m_{k+1}(2N - u)$ and $a_{2N-u+1} = (k + 1)'$, as desired.

Case 4. Let $a_u = k$ and $a_t = k + 1$. From $a_s = k' (w_u^* = k_{j+1})$ it follows that $m_k(2N - u) = j$. If $m_{k+1}(2N - u) = j$, from $a_{2N-u+1} = (k + 1)'$ we get a contradiction. If $m_{k+1}(2N - u) > j$, by choosing the smallest integer $t > t$ such that $m_{k+1}(t) = j + 1$ this leads to a contradiction. □

Indeed, we have shown in the proof of Theorem 3.11 that

**Corollary 3.12.** Let $w \in W_N$ be such that

1. $(c_k(Q) + c_{k'}(Q))_{k \geq 1} \in \mathcal{P}^+$,

2. for $k \geq 1$, if $x$ is the rightmost letter in $w$ with $|x| = k$, then $x = k$.

Then $w$ satisfies the “lattice property” in Definition 3.3 if and only if $w$ satisfies the lattice property in Definition 3.8.

**Remark 3.13.** A bijection from $\text{LRS}_{\mu \nu}^\lambda$ to $L_{\mu \nu}^\lambda$ is also given in [4, Theorem 4.7], which coincides with the inverse of the map $T \mapsto QT$ in (3.4) (see also the remarks
in [4, p.82]). The proof of [4, Theorem 4.7] use insertion schemes for two versions of semistandard decomposition tableaux and another combinatorial model for $f_\mu^\lambda$ by Cho [3] as an intermediate object between LRS_\mu^\lambda and L_\mu^\lambda.

On the other hand, we prove more directly that the map $T \mapsto Q_T$ in (3.4) is a bijection from $L_\mu^\lambda$ to LRS_\mu^\lambda by using a new characterization of the lattice property in Theorem 3.11.

4. Schur $P$-expansions of skew Schur functions

4.1. The Schur $P$-expansion of $s_{\lambda/\delta_r}$. For $r \geq 0$, let us denote by $\delta_r$ the partition $(r, r-1, \ldots, 1)$ if $r \geq 1$, and $(0)$ if $r = 0$. We fix a non-negative integer $r$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ be such that $D_{\delta_r} \subseteq D_\lambda \subseteq D_{((r+1)r+1)}$. Here $((r+1)r+1)$ means the rectangular partition $(r+1, \ldots, r+1)$ with length $r+1$. For instance, the diagram

$$D_{(5,4,4,2)/\delta_4} =$$

is contained in $D_{(5^5)}$.

It is shown in [1, 5] that the skew Schur function $s_{\lambda/\delta_r}$ has a non-negative integral expansion in terms of Schur $P$-functions

$$s_{\lambda/\delta_r} = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}^+} a_{\lambda/\delta_r, \nu} P_\nu,$$

together with a combinatorial description of $a_{\lambda/\delta_r, \nu}$. Moreover it is shown that these skew Schur functions are the only ones (up to rotation of shape by 180°), which have Schur $P$-positivity. In this section, we give a new simple description of $a_{\lambda/\delta_r, \nu}$ using $q(n)$-crystals.

First we consider a $q(n)$-crystal structure on $B_n(\lambda/\delta_r)$, which is a slight generalization of [7, Example 2.10(d)].

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be such that $D_{\delta_r} \subseteq D_\lambda \subseteq D_{((r+1)r+1)}$. Then the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-crystal $B_n(\lambda/\delta_r)$ as a subset of $W_{[n]}$ together with $0$ is invariant under $\bar{e}_T$ and $\bar{f}_T$. Hence $B_n(\lambda/\delta_r)$ is a $q(n)$-crystal.

**Proof.** Let $N = |\lambda| - |\delta_r|$. For $T \in B_n(\lambda/\delta_r)$, let $w(T) = w_1 \cdots w_N$. Recall that $T$ is identified with $w(T)$ in $W_{[n]}$. Here we call the box in $D_{\lambda/\delta_r}$ containing $w_1$ the $w_1$-box, and call the set of boxes $(x, r-x+2) \in D_{\lambda/\delta_r}$ for $1 \leq x \leq r+1$ the main anti-diagonal of $D_{\lambda/\delta_r}$.
Suppose that $\tilde{f}_Tw(T) \neq 0$. There exists $1 \leq i \leq N - 1$ such that $w_i = 1$ and $w_j \neq 1, 2$ for all $i < j \leq N$, and
\[
\tilde{f}_T(w_1 \cdots w_{i-1} 1 w_{i+1} \cdots w_N) = w_1 \cdots w_{i-1} 2 w_{i+1} \cdots w_N,
\]
by the tensor product rule (2.3). We first observe that the entry 1 in $T$ can be placed only on the main anti-diagonal in $D_{\lambda/\delta_r}$. If there is a box in $D_{\lambda/\delta_r}$ below the $w_i$-box, then it corresponds to $w_j$ for some $j > i$, and hence its entry is greater than 2. Moreover, if there is a box in $D_{\lambda/\delta_r}$ to the right of the $w_i$-box, then its entry is greater than 1 since it is not on the main anti-diagonal. So we conclude that there exists $T' \in SST_{[n]}(\lambda/\delta_r)$ such that $w(T') = \tilde{f}_Tw(T)$.

Suppose that $\tilde{c}_Tw(T) \neq 0$. There exists $1 \leq i \leq N - 1$ such that $w_i = 2$ and $w_j \neq 1, 2$ for all $i < j \leq N$, and
\[
\tilde{c}_T(w_1 \cdots w_{i-1} 2 w_{i+1} \cdots w_N) = w_1 \cdots w_{i-1} 1 w_{i+1} \cdots w_N,
\]
by the tensor product rule (2.3). If the $w_i$-box is not on the main anti-diagonal, then the $w_{i+1}$-box is placed to the left of the $w_i$-box. Then the $w_{i+1}$-box is filled with 1 or 2, which contradicts (4.2). So the $w_i$-box is on the main anti-diagonal, and thus $\tilde{f}_Tw(T) = w(T')$ for some $T' \in B_n(\lambda/\delta_r)$. This completes the proof. □

**Corollary 4.2.** Under the above hypothesis, the skew Schur function $s_{\lambda/\delta_r}$ is Schur $P$-positive.

**Proof.** Since $B_n(\lambda/\delta_r)$ is a $q(n)$-crystal, the skew Schur polynomial $s_{\lambda/\delta_r}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is a non-negative integral linear combination of $P_\nu(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Then we apply Remark 2.9. □

**Definition 4.3.** Let $\lambda \in P$ be such that $D_{\delta_r} \subseteq D_\lambda \subseteq D_{(r+1)^r+1}$ and $\nu \in P^+$. Let $A_{\lambda/\delta_r, \nu}$ be the set of tableaux $Q$ such that
\begin{enumerate}
  \item $Q \in SST_{[r+1]}(\nu)$ with $c_k(Q) = \lambda_{r-k+2} - k + 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq r + 1$,
  \item for $1 \leq k \leq r$ and $1 \leq i \leq N$, \[m_k(i) \leq m_{k+1}(i) + 1,
\]
  where $w_{\text{rev}}(Q) = w_1 \cdots w_N$ and $m_k(i) = c_k(w_1 \cdots w_i)$.
\end{enumerate}

Then we have the following combinatorial description of $a_{\lambda/\delta_r, \nu}$.

**Theorem 4.4.** For $\lambda \in P$ with $D_{\delta_r} \subseteq D_\lambda \subseteq D_{(r+1)^r+1}$ and $\nu \in P^+$, we have
\[a_{\lambda/\delta_r, \nu} = |A_{\lambda/\delta_r, \nu}|.
\]

**Proof.** Choose $n$ such that $\lambda, \nu \in P_n^+$. We may assume that $\lambda_1 = \ell(\lambda) = r + 1$. Let
\[
L_{\lambda/\delta_r} = \{ T \in B_n(\lambda/\delta_r) \mid T \equiv L' \}.
\]
By Proposition [4.1] we have

\[(4.4) \quad B_n(\lambda/\delta_r) \cong \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n^+} B_n(\nu)^{\otimes |L_{\lambda/\delta_r} \nu|}.\]

By linear independence of \(P_\nu(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\)’s for \(\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n^+\), we have \(a_{\lambda/\delta_r} \nu = |L_{\lambda/\delta_r} \nu|\).

Let us construct a bijection

\[(4.5) \quad L_{\lambda/\delta_r} \nu \longleftrightarrow A_{\lambda/\delta_r} \nu\]

\(T \longleftrightarrow QT\)

as follows. Let \(T \in L_{\lambda/\delta_r} \nu\) be given. Suppose that \(w(T) = u_1 \cdots u_N\), where \(N = |\nu|\).

By Lemma [2.7] there exists \(\nu^{(m)} \in \mathcal{P}_n^+\) for \(1 \leq m \leq N\) such that \(u_{N-m+1} \cdots u_N \equiv L_{\nu^{(m)}}\), where \(\nu^{(1)} = (1)\), \(\nu^{(N)} = \nu\), and \(\nu^{(m)}\) is obtained by adding a box in the \((n-u_m+1)\)-st row of \(\nu^{(m-1)}\) for \(1 \leq m \leq N\) with \(\nu^{(0)} = \emptyset\).

Note that \(w_{\text{rev}}(T) = T^{(r+1)} \cdots T^{(1)}\), where \(T^{(l)} = T_{l,1} \cdots T_{l,\lambda_l-r-l+1}\) is a weakly increasing word corresponding to the \(l\)-th row of \(T\) for \(1 \leq l \leq r+1\). Let \(QT\) be a tableau of shifted shape \(\nu\) with entries in \(\mathbb{N}\), where \(\nu^{(m)}/\nu^{(m-1)}\) is filled with \(r+2-l\) if \(u_m\) occurs in \(T^{(l)}\), for some \(1 \leq l \leq r+1\). Note that the boxes in \(QT\) corresponding to \(T^{(l)}\) are filled with \(r+2-l\) as a horizontal strip. So \(QT\) satisfies the condition Definition [4.3](1).

For each \(k \geq 1\), let us enumerate the letter \(k\)’s in \(QT\) from southwest to northeast like \(k_1, k_2, \ldots\). Since \(T \in SST_n(\lambda/\delta_r)\), we see that the entry \(k_i\) in \(QT\) corresponds to \(T_{i,i}\) for \(i \geq 1\), where \(l = r+2-k\), and moreover \((k+1)\) is located in the southwest of \(k_{i+1}\) for \(i \geq 2\). This implies the condition Definition [4.3](2), and hence \(QT \in A_{\lambda/\delta_r} \nu\).

Finally, one can check that correspondence \(T \mapsto QT\) is a bijection. \(\square\)

**Example 4.5.** Let \(\lambda = (5, 5, 4, 3, 1)\) with \(D_\lambda \subseteq D_{(5^5)}\) and \(n = 7\). For \(\nu = (4, 3, 1)\), we have \(L_{\lambda/\delta_4} \nu = \{T_1, T_2\}\) and \(A_{\lambda/\delta_4} \nu = \{QT_1, QT_2\}\) as follows.

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
T_1 & T_2 \\
\hline
6 & 5 \\
5 & 6 \\
6 & 6 \\
7 & 7 \\
\end{array}
\quad \begin{array}{c|c}
6 & 5 \\
5 & 6 \\
6 & 6 \\
7 & 7 \\
\end{array}
\quad \begin{array}{c|c}
1 & 2 & 2 & 4 \\
3 & 3 & 5 & 4 \\
\end{array}
\quad \begin{array}{c|c}
1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\
3 & 4 & 4 & 5 \\
\end{array}
\]

Moreover, we have

\[s_{(5,5,4,3,1)/\delta_4} = 2P_{(4,3,1)} + P_{(5,2,1)} + P_{(5,3)}.\]

4.2. **Ardila-Serrano’s expansion of** \(s_{\delta_r+1}/\mu\). We fix a non-negative integer \(r\). For \(\mu \in \mathcal{P} \) with \(D_\mu \subseteq D_{\delta_r+1}\), let us recall the result on the Schur \(P\)-expansion of he skew Schur function \(s_{\delta_r+1}/\mu\) by Ardila and Serrano [1].
Let \( N = |δ_{r+1}| - |μ| \), and let \( T_{δ_{r+1}/μ} \) be the tableau obtained by filling \( δ_{r+1}/μ \) with \( 1, 2, \ldots, N \) subsequently, starting from the bottom row to top, and from left to right in each row. For instance,

\[
T_{δ_{5}/(4,1,1)} = \begin{array}{ccc}
6 & 7 & 8 \\
4 & 5 \\
2 & 3 \\
1
\end{array}
\]

For \( ν ∈ \mathcal{P}^+ \) with \( |ν| = N \), let \( B_{δ_{r+1}/μ ν} \) be the set of tableaux \( Q \) such that

1. \( Q ∈ SST_{[N]}^+(ν) \) where each entry \( i ∈ [N] \) occurs exactly once,
2. if \( j \) is directly above \( i \) in \( T_{δ_{r+1}/μ} \), then \( j \) is placed strictly to the right of \( i \) in \( Q \),
3. if \( i + 1 \) is placed to the right of \( i \) in \( T_{δ_{r+1}/μ} \), then \( i + 1 \) is strictly below \( i \) in \( Q \).

**Theorem 4.6.** ([1, Theorem 4.10]) For \( μ ∈ \mathcal{P} \) with \( D_μ ⊆ D_{δ_{r+1}} \), the skew Schur function \( s_{δ_{r+1}/μ} \) is given by a non-negative integral linear combination of Schur \( P \)-functions

\[
s_{δ_{r+1}/μ} = \sum_{ν ∈ \mathcal{P}^+} b_{δ_{r+1}/μ ν} P_ν,
\]

where \( b_{δ_{r+1}/μ ν} = |B_{δ_{r+1}/μ ν}| \).

Now we show that Theorem 4.6 (after a little modification of its proof) implies Theorem 4.4. Let \( λ ∈ \mathcal{P} \) be such that \( D_δ ⊆ D_λ ⊆ D_{((r+1)r+1)} \).

Let \( ν ∈ \mathcal{P}^+ \) with \( |ν| = N = |λ| - |δ_r| \), and let \( L_λ/δ_r ν \) be as in (4.3). Then \( |L_λ/δ_r ν| = a_λ/δ_r ν \) by (4.4). Let \( T ∈ L_λ/δ_r ν \) be given with \( w(T) = u_1 \cdots u_N \). Recall by Lemma 2.7 that there exists a sequence of strict partitions \( ν^{(m)} ∈ \mathcal{P}^+ \) for \( 1 ≤ m ≤ N \) such that \( u_{N-m+1} \cdots u_N ∈ L_ν^{(m)} \), where \( ν^{(1)} = (1) \), \( ν^{(N)} = ν \), and \( ν^{(m)} \) is obtained by adding a box in the \((n - u_m + 1)\)-st row of \( ν^{(m-1)} \) with \( ν^{(0)} = ∅ \).

We define \( Q'_T \) to be the tableau of shifted shape \( ν \) such that \( ν^{(m)}/ν^{(m-1)} \) is filled with \( m \) for \( 1 ≤ m ≤ N \). Then we have the following.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let \( λ ∈ \mathcal{P} \) be such that \( D_δ ⊆ D_λ ⊆ D_{((r+1)r+1)} \) and \( ν ∈ \mathcal{P}^+ \). Then we have a bijection

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
L_λ/δ_r ν & \rightarrow & B_{δ_{r+1}/(λ^c)' ν} \\
T & \rightarrow & Q'_T
\end{array}
\]

where \( λ^c := (r + 1 - λ_{r+1}, r + 1 - λ_r, \ldots, r + 1 - λ_1) \) is the complement of \( λ \) in \(((r+1)r+1)\).
Proof. Let $T'_{\lambda/\delta_r}$ be the tableau obtained by filling $\lambda/\delta_r$ with $1, 2, \ldots, N$ subsequently, starting from the leftmost column to rightmost, and from bottom to top in each column. For instance, when $\lambda = (5, 4, 4, 4, 2)$ and $r = 4$, we have

$T'_{\lambda/\delta_r} = \begin{array}{ccccc}
  & & & 5 & 7 \\
  & & 3 & 4 & 6 \\
  & 1 & 2 & & \\
 9 & & & &
\end{array}$.

By definition of $Q'_T$, we can check that

1. $Q'_T \in \text{SST}_{\nu}^+(\lambda)$ where each entry $i \in [N]$ occurs exactly once,
2. if $j$ is directly above $i$ in $T'_{\lambda/\delta_r}$, then $j$ is strictly below $i$ in $Q'_T$,
3. if $i + 1$ is placed to the right of $i$ in $T'_{\lambda/\delta_r}$, then $i + 1$ is placed strictly to the right of $i$ in $Q'_T$.

We see that $T_{\delta_{r+1}/(\lambda^c)^r}$ is obtained from $T'_{\lambda/\delta_r}$ by flipping with respect to the main anti-diagonal. This implies that $Q'_T \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta_{r+1}/(\lambda^c)^r}$. Since the correspondence $T \mapsto Q'_T$ is reversible, it is a bijection. \hfill $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 4.8.** Under the above hypothesis, we have a bijection

\[ A_{\lambda/\delta_r} \nu \longrightarrow B_{\delta_{r+1}/(\lambda^c)^r} \nu \]

\[ Q_T \longrightarrow Q'_T \]

for $T \in L_{\lambda/\delta_r} \nu$.

Recall that for a skew shape $\eta/\zeta$, we have $s_{\eta/\zeta} = s_{(\eta/\zeta)^\pi}$, where $(\eta/\zeta)^\pi$ is the (skew) diagram obtained from $\eta/\zeta$ by rotating 180 degree (which can be seen for example by reversing the linear ordering on $\mathbb{N}$ in \cite{2}). Also if $s_{\eta/\zeta}$ has a Schur $P$-expansion, then we have $s_{\eta/\zeta} = s_{\eta'/\zeta'}$ by applying the involution $\omega$ on the ring symmetric function sending $s_\eta$ to $s_{\eta'}$ since $\omega(P_\nu) = P_\nu$ for $\nu \in \mathcal{P}^+$ (see \cite{10} p. 259, Exercise 3.(a)).

Hence we have

\[ s_{\lambda/\delta_r} = s_{\delta_{r+1}/\lambda^c} = s_{\delta_{r+1}/(\lambda^c)^r}, \]

for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $D_{\delta_r} \subseteq D_\lambda \subseteq D_{((r+1)^c)^r+1}$. This implies that

\[ a_{\lambda/\delta_r} \nu = b_{\delta_{r+1}/\lambda^c} \nu = b_{\delta_{r+1}/(\lambda^c)^r} \nu, \]

for $\nu \in \mathcal{P}^+$, where $a_{\lambda/\delta_r} \nu$ are given in (4.1). Equivalently, we have

\[ a_{(\mu^c)^r/\delta_r} \nu = b_{\delta_{r+1}/\mu^c} \nu = b_{\delta_{r+1}/\mu^c} \nu, \]

for $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$ with $D_\mu \subseteq D_{\delta_{r+1}}$. Therefore Theorem 4.6 follows from Theorem 4.4, Corollary 4.8 and 4.6 (or 4.7).
5. Schur Expansion of Schur $P$-function

For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, let $g_{\lambda\mu}$ be the coefficient of $s_{\mu}$ in the Schur expansion of $P_{\lambda}$, that is,

$$P_{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu} g_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}.$$  

The purpose of this section is to give an alternate proof of the following combinatorial description of $g_{\lambda\mu}$ due to Stembridge.

**Theorem 5.1.** ([16, Theorem 9.3]) For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$g_{\lambda\mu} = |G_{\lambda\mu}|,$$

where $G_{\lambda\mu}$ is the set of tableaux $Q$ such that

1. $Q \in SST_{\lambda}(\mu)$ with $c_k(Q) + c'_k(Q) = \lambda_k$ for $k \geq 1$,
2. for $k \geq 1$, if $x$ is the rightmost letter in $w(Q)$ with $|x| = k$, then $x = k$,
3. $w(Q)$ satisfies the lattice property.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.5. Choose $n$ such that $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^+$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Let

$$L_{\lambda\mu} = \{ T | T \in B_n(\lambda), \bar{f}_i T = 0 \ (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \text{ wt}(T) = w_0 \mu \}.$$  

Then we have as a $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-crystal

$$B_n(\lambda) \cong \bigsqcup_{\mu} B_n(\mu)_{\oplus |L_{\lambda\mu}|},$$

and hence $g_{\lambda\mu} = |L_{\lambda\mu}|$ by linear independence of Schur polynomials. Let us define a map

$$L_{\lambda\mu} \longrightarrow G_{\lambda\mu}$$

$$T \longmapsto Q_T$$

as follows. Let $T \in L_{\lambda\mu}$ be given. Assume that $w_{rev}(T) = u_1 \cdots u_N$ where $N = |\lambda|$. Since $T$ is a $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-lowest weight vector, we have by (2.2) that $u_{N-m+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_N \in B_n^{(m)}$ is a $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-lowest weight element for $1 \leq m \leq N$. This implies that there exists $\mu^{(m)} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ for $1 \leq m \leq N$ such that $u_{N-m+1} \cdots u_N$ is equivalent as an element of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-crystal to a $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$-lowest weight element in $B_n(\mu^{(m)})$, where $\mu^{(N)} = \mu$ and $\mu^{(m)}$ is obtained by adding a box in the $(n-u_m+1)$-st row of $\mu^{(m-1)}$ with $\mu^{(0)} = \emptyset$.

We define $Q_T$ to be a tableau of shape $\mu$ with entries in $\mathbb{N}$, where $\mu^{(m)}/\mu^{(m-1)}$ is filled with

$$\begin{cases} k', & \text{if } u_m \text{ belongs to } T^{(k)} \uparrow, \\ k, & \text{if } u_m \text{ belongs to } T^{(k)} \downarrow, \end{cases}$$
for some $1 \leq k \leq \ell(\lambda)$. By almost the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.5 we see that $Q_T$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) for $G_{\lambda \mu}$, and $w(Q_T)$ satisfies the “lattice property”, which implies that it satisfies the lattice property by Corollary 3.12. (We leave the details to the reader.) Finally the correspondence $T \mapsto Q_T$ is a well-defined bijection. □

Example 5.2. Let $\lambda = (3,1)$. From Figure 1 we get three $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$-lowest weight vectors in $B_3(\lambda)$

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 3 & 3 \\
2 & & \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 2 & 3 \\
2 & & \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 2 & 3 \\
1 & & \\
\end{array}
\]

By applying the mapping $T \mapsto Q_T$ in the proof of Theorem 5.1 to these tableaux we have

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & & \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
1' & 1 \\
1 & 2 & \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
1' & 1 \\
1 & 2 & \\
\end{array}
\]

Thus $P_{(3,1)} = s_{(3,1)} + s_{(2,2)} + s_{(2,1,1)}$.

Remark 5.3. Let $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}^+$ be such that $D^+_{\lambda} \subseteq D^+_{\delta_{r+1}}$ for some $r \geq 0$. Let $\lambda^c$ be a strict partition obtained by counting complementary boxes $D^+_{\delta_{r+1}} \setminus D^+_{\lambda}$ in each column from right to left. It is shown in [5] that

\[
s_{\delta_{r+1}/\lambda} = \sum_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}^+_{\lambda^c}} g_{\nu \lambda} P_{\nu^c}.
\]

By (4.6) or (4.7), we have $g_{\nu \lambda} = a_{\lambda^c/\delta_r} (\nu^c)^c$. One may expect that there is a natural bijection between $G_{\nu \lambda}$ and $A_{\lambda^c/\delta_r} (\nu^c)^c$, but we do not know the answer yet.

6. Semistandard decomposition tableaux of skew shapes

Let $\lambda/\mu$ be a shifted skew diagram for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathscr{P}^+$ with $D^+_{\mu} \subseteq D^+_{\lambda}$. Without loss of generality, we assume in this section that $\lambda_1 > \mu_1$ and $\ell(\lambda) > \ell(\mu)$.

Let $T$ be a tableau of shifted skew shape $\lambda/\mu$. For $p,q \geq 1$, let $T(p,q)$ denote the entry of $T$ at the $p$-th row and the $q$-th diagonal from the main diagonal in $D^+_{\lambda}$ (that is, $\{(i,i) \mid i \geq 1 \cap D^+_{\lambda}\}$ whenever it is defined. Note that $T(p,q)$ is not necessarily equal to $T'_p,q$ if $\mu$ is nonempty.

For example, when $\lambda/\mu = (5,4,2)/(3,1)$, we have

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
T(1,4) & T(1,5) \\
T(2,2) & T(2,3) & T(2,4) \\
T(3,1) & T(3,2) \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{1,1} & T_{1,2} \\
T_{2,1} & T_{2,2} & T_{2,3} \\
T_{3,1} & T_{3,2} \\
\end{array}
\]
Definition 6.1. For \( \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}^+ \) with \( D^+_\mu \subseteq D^+_\lambda \), a skew semistandard decomposition tableau \( T \) of shape \( \lambda/\mu \) is a tableau of shifted shape \( \lambda/\mu \) with entries in \( \mathbb{N} \) such that \( T^{(k)} \) is a hook word for \( 1 \leq k \leq \ell(\lambda) \) and the following holds for \( 1 \leq k < \ell(\lambda) \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq j \leq \lambda_{k+1} \):

(S1) if \( T(k, i) \leq T(k+1, j) \), then \( i \neq 1 \) and \( T(k+1, i-1) < T(k+1, j) \),

(S2) if \( T(k, i) > T(k+1, j) \), then \( T(k, i) \geq T(k, j+1) \),

where we assume that \( T(p, q) \) for \( p, q \geq 1 \) is empty if it is not defined.

Let \( SSDT(\lambda/\mu) \) be the set consisting of skew semistandard decomposition tableaux of shape \( \lambda/\mu \). Note that when \( \mu \) is empty, the set \( SSDT(\lambda/\mu) \) is equal to \( SSDT(\lambda) \) by Proposition 2.3.

Suppose that \( \ell(\lambda) \leq n \). Let \( B_n(\lambda/\mu) \) be the set of \( T \in SSDT(\lambda/\mu) \) with entries in \([n]\). As in (2.5), consider the injective map

\[
B_n(\lambda/\mu) \xrightarrow{T} W_{[n]}, \quad \begin{array}{c} w_{\text{rev}}(T). 
\end{array}
\]

Proposition 6.2. Under the above hypothesis, the image of \( B_n(\lambda/\mu) \) in (6.1) together with \( \{0\} \) is invariant under the action of \( \tilde{e}_i \) and \( \tilde{f}_i \) for \( i \in I \), and hence \( B_n(\lambda/\mu) \) is a \( q(n) \)-crystal.

Proof. Choose a sufficiently large \( M \) such that all the entries in \( L^\mu_M \) are greater than \( n \). For a tableau \( T \) of shifted shape \( \lambda/\mu \) with entries in \([n]\), let \( \tilde{T} := L^\mu_M \ast T \) be the tableau of shifted shape \( \lambda \), that is, the subtableau of shape shifted \( \mu \) in \( \tilde{T} \) is \( L^\mu_M \) and its complement in \( \tilde{T} \) is \( T \). By definition of \( SSDT(\lambda/\mu) \) and Proposition 2.3 we have

\[
T \in B_n(\lambda/\mu) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \tilde{T} \in B_M(\lambda).
\]

Let \( T \in B_n(\lambda/\mu) \) and \( i \in I \) be given. If \( \tilde{x}_i \tilde{T} \neq 0 \) (\( x = e, f \)), then we have by (6.2) that \( \tilde{x}_i \tilde{T} = L^\mu_M \ast T' \) for some \( T' \in B_n(\lambda/\mu) \). This implies that \( \tilde{x}_i w_{\text{rev}}(T) = w_{\text{rev}}(T') \).

Therefore, the image of \( B_n(\lambda/\mu) \) in (6.1) together with \( \{0\} \) is invariant under the action of \( \tilde{e}_i \) and \( \tilde{f}_i \) for \( i \in I \).

Since \( B_n(\lambda/\mu) \) is a subcrystal of \( B_n^\otimes N \) with \( N = |\lambda| - |\mu| \), we have

\[
B_n(\lambda/\mu) \cong \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}^+_n, |\nu| = N} B_n(\nu)^\otimes f^\lambda/\mu(n)
\]

for some \( f^\lambda/\mu(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \). Moreover by Remark 2.9 we have

\[
f^\lambda/\mu := f^\lambda/\mu(m) = f^\lambda/\mu(n) \quad (m \geq n).
\]
Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.5. Choose \( P \) where

\[ P_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{T \in SSDT(\lambda/\mu)} x^T, \]

then we have from (6.3) and (6.4)

\[ P_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{\nu \in \mathscr{D}^+} f^{\lambda/\mu}_\nu P_{\nu}. \]

**Example 6.3.** For \( \eta \in \mathcal{P}^+_n \) with \( \ell(\eta) = \ell \), let \( \lambda = \eta + L \delta_\ell \) and \( \mu = L \delta_\ell \in \mathcal{P}^+_n \), where \( L \geq \eta_1 \). Since each column in \( \lambda/\mu \) has at most one box, we have

\[ B_\nu(\lambda/\mu) \cong B_\nu(\eta_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes B_\nu(\eta_\ell). \]

By applying Theorem 3.5 repeatedly, we see that \( f^{\lambda/\mu}_\nu \) for \( \nu \in \mathcal{P}^+_n \) in this case is equal to the number of tableaux \( Q \) such that

1. \( Q \in SST_N^+(\nu) \) with \( c_k(Q) + c_{k'}(Q) = \eta_k \) for \( k \geq 1 \),
2. for each \( k \geq 1 \), if \( x \) is the rightmost in \( w(Q) \) with \( |x| = k \), then \( x = k \).

One can generalize the notion of “lattice property” in Definition 3.3 to describe the coefficient \( f^{\lambda/\mu}_\nu \).

**Definition 6.4.** Let \( w = w_1 \cdots w_N \in W_N \) be given and let \( w^* = w_1^* \cdots w_N^* \) be the word associated to \( w \) given in Definition 3.1. For \( \mu \in \mathcal{P}^+ \), we say that \( w \) satisfies the “\( \mu \)-lattice property” if \( w^* \) satisfies the following for each \( k \geq 1 \):

1. \( (L1) \) if \( k > \ell(\mu) \) and \( w_i^* = k_1 \), then no \( k + 1 \) occurs in \( w_i^* \cdots w_{i-1}^* \),
2. \( (L2) \) if \( (w_s^*, w_t^*) = (k + 1, k_{i+1} - \alpha_k) \) for some \( s < t \) and \( \alpha_k < i \), then no \( k + 1 \) for \( i < j \) occurs in \( w_s^* \cdots w_t^* \),
3. \( (L3) \) if \( (w_s^*, w_t^*) = (k_j + 1 - \alpha_k, k + 1) \) for some \( s < t \) and \( \alpha_k < j \), then no \( k_i \) for \( i \leq j - \alpha_k \) occurs in \( w_s^* \cdots w_t^* \),

where \( \alpha_k = \mu_k - \mu_{k+1} \).

**Theorem 6.5.** For \( \lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^+ \), we have

\[ f^{\lambda/\mu}_\nu = |F^{\lambda/\mu}_\nu|, \]

where \( F^{\lambda/\mu}_\nu \) is the set of tableaux \( Q \) such that

1. \( Q \in SST_N^+(\nu) \) with \( c_k(Q) + c_{k'}(Q) = \lambda_k - \mu_k \) for \( k \geq 1 \),
2. for \( k \geq 1 \), if \( x \) is the rightmost letter in \( w(Q) \) with \( |x| = k \), then \( x = k \),
3. \( w(Q) \) satisfies the \( \mu \)-lattice property.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.5. Choose \( n \) such that \( \lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^+_n \). Put

\[ L^{\lambda/\mu}_\nu = \{ T \mid T \in B_\nu(\lambda/\mu), T \equiv L'' \}. \]
From (6.3) and (6.4), we have $|L_\nu^{\lambda/\mu}| = f_\nu^{\lambda/\mu}$. Let us define a map

$$L_\nu^{\lambda/\mu} \rightarrow F_\nu^{\lambda/\mu}$$

as follows. Let $N = |\lambda| - |\mu|$. Suppose that $T \in L_\nu^{\lambda/\mu}$ is given with $w_{\text{rev}}(T) = u_1 \cdots u_N$. By Lemma 2.7 there exists $\nu^{(m)} \in \mathcal{S}_n^+$ for $1 \leq m \leq N$ such that $u_{N-m+1} \cdots u_N \equiv L_{\nu^{(m)}}^{(N)}$ where $\nu^{(N)} = \nu$ and $\nu^{(m)}$ is obtained by adding a box in the $(n - u_m + 1)$-st row of $\nu^{(m-1)}$ with $\nu^{(0)} = \emptyset$.

Note that $w_{\text{rev}}(T) = T^{(\ell(\lambda))} \cdots T^{(1)}$, where $T^{(k)}$ is a hook word for $1 \leq k \leq \ell(\lambda)$. Then we define $Q_T$ to be a tableau of shifted shape $\nu$ with entries in $\mathbb{N}$, where $\nu^{(m)} / \nu^{(m-1)}$ is filled with

$$k', \quad \text{if } u_m \text{ belongs to } T^{(k)_\uparrow},$$

$$k, \quad \text{if } u_m \text{ belongs to } T^{(k)_\downarrow},$$

for some $1 \leq k \leq \ell(\lambda)$.

First, by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.5, we see that $Q_T$ satisfies the condition (2) for $F_\nu^{\lambda/\mu}$ by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.5.

Let us check that $w(Q_T)$ satisfies the $\mu$-lattice property. If we label $k$ and $k'$ in (6.6) as $k_j$ and $k'_j$, respectively, when $u_m = T_{k,j}$, then it coincides with the labeling on the letters in $w(Q_T)$ given in Definition 3.1(1).

Choose a sufficiently large $M$ such that all the entries in $L_\mu^{\lambda/M}$ are greater than $n$. Let $S = L_\mu^{\lambda/M} * T$ (see the proof of Proposition 6.2). Since $S \in B_M(\lambda)$, the conditions Proposition 2.3(1), (2), and (3) on $S$ and hence on $T$ (cf. (6.2)) imply the conditions Definition 6.4(L1), (L2), and (L3), respectively. Therefore, $Q_T \in F_\nu^{\lambda/\mu}$.

Finally the correspondence $T \mapsto Q_T$ is injective and also reversible. Hence it is a bijection.

\begin{remark}
In general, $P_\lambda^\odot_{\lambda/\mu}$ is not equal to the usual skew Schur $P$-function $P_\lambda^{\lambda/\mu}$, or $f_\nu^{\lambda/\mu}$ is not necessarily equal to $f_\nu^{\mu/\nu}$. It would be interesting to have a representation-theoretic interpretation of the Schur $P$-expansion of $P_{\lambda/\mu}^\odot$ (6.5).
\end{remark}

\begin{example}
Let $\lambda = (6, 5, 2, 1)$ and $\mu = (4, 2)$. Then

$$P_{\lambda/\mu}^\odot = 2P_{(6,2)} + 3P_{(5,3)} + 5P_{(5,2,1)} + 4P_{(4,3,1)}$$

since $\bigcup_\nu F_\nu^{\lambda/\mu}$ consists of

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 2' & 3 \\
2 & 4 & 3 & 3
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
2 & 4 & 3 & 3
\end{array} \quad (\text{when } \nu = (6, 2))$$
\end{example}
On the other hand, $P_{\lambda/\mu} = 2P_{(6,2)} + 6P_{(5,3)} + 6P_{(5,2,1)} + 8P_{(4,3,1)}$.
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