SOME PROPERTIES OF ACCRETIVE OPERATORS IN LINEAR 2-NORMED SPACES

P.K. HARIKRISHNAN AND K.T. RAVINDRAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we discuss some properties of resolvents of an accretive operator in linear 2-normed spaces, focusing on the concept of contraction mapping and the unique fixed point of contraction mappings in linear 2normed spaces. Also, we establish the existence of solution of strong accretive operator equation in linear 2-normed spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of 2- metric spaces, linear 2- normed spaces and 2-inner product spaces, introduced by S Gahler in 1963, paved the way for a number of authors like, A White, Y J Cho, R Freese, C R Diminnie, to do work on possible applications of Metric geometry, Functional Analysis and Topology as a new tool. A systematic presentation of the recent results related to the Geometry of linear 2-normed spaces as well as an extensive list of the related references can be found in the book [1]. In [4] S Gahler introduced the following definition of linear 2-normed spaces. The study of accretive operators in various spaces has been done by the authors T Kato[6], Shih-sen Chang, Yeol Je Cho, Shin Min Kang [5]..

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 (4). Let X be a real linear space of dimension greater than 1 and $\|.,.\|$ be a real valued function on $X \times X$ satisfying the properties,

A1:||x, y|| = 0 iff x and y are linearly dependent

A2:
$$||x, y|| = ||y, x||$$

A3: $||\alpha x, y|| = |\alpha| ||y, x||$

A4: $||x + y, z|| \le ||x, z|| + ||y, z||$

then the function $\|.,.\|$ is called a 2-norm on X. The pair $(X,\|.,.\|)$ is called a linear 2- normed space.

Some of the basic properties of 2-norms, they are non-negative and $||x, y + \alpha x|| = ||x, y|| \forall x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

The most standard example for a linear 2 - normed space is $X = R^2$ equipped with the following 2-norm,

$$||x_1, x_2|| = \text{abs det} \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
 where $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2})$ for i=1,2

Every linear 2-normed space is a locally convex TVS. In fact, for a fixed b $\in X$, $P_b(x) = ||x, b||$ for $x \in X$ is a semi norm and the family $\{P_b; b \in X\}$ of semi norms generates a locally convex topology on X.

Definition 2.2 (1). Let $(X, \|., .\|)$ be a linear 2- normed space, E be a subset of X then the closure of E is $\overline{E} = \{x \in X; \text{ there is a sequence } x_n \text{ of E such that } x_n \to x.$ We say, E is sequentially closed if $E = \overline{E}$.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 41A65, 41A15.

Key words and phrases. Linear 2-normed spaces, sequentially closed, accretive operators, m-accretive operator, fixed point, sequentially continuous.

Definition 2.3 (1). Let $(X, \|., .\|)$ be a linear 2- normed space, then the mapping $T: X \to X$ is said to be sequentially continuous if $x_n \to x$ implies $Tx_n \to Tx$.

Definition 2.4 (3). An operator $T: D(T) \subset X \to X$ is said to be accretive if for every $z \in D(T)$

 $||x-y,z|| \le ||(x-y) + \lambda(Tx-Ty),z|| \text{ for all } x, y \in D(T) \text{ and } \lambda > 0.$

Definition 2.5 (3). An operator $T: D(T) \subset X \to X$ is said to be m-accretive if $R(I + \lambda T) = X$ for $\lambda > 0$.

Remark 2.6 (4). Every closed subset of a 2-Banach space is complete.

3. Main Results

Consider an accretive operator $T: D(T) \subset X \to X$ then for $\lambda > 0$, $(I + \lambda T)^{-1}$ exists. We have, $T: D(T) \to R(T)$ then $(I + \lambda T)$ is onto. Let $x_1, x_2 \in D(T)$ with $(I + \lambda T)x_1 = (I + \lambda T)x_2$ implies $(x_1 - x_2) + \lambda(Tx_1 - Tx_2) = 0$ implies $||(x_1 - x_2) + \lambda(Tx_1 - Tx_2), z|| = 0$ for every $z \in X$. Since T is accretive, we have $||x_1 - x_2, z|| \leq 0$ for every $z \in X$ implies $||x_1 - x_2, z|| = 0$ for every $z \in X$ implies $x_1 - x_2 = 0$ implies $x_1 = x_2$. So $(I + \lambda T)$ is one-one. Hence for $\lambda > 0$, $(I + \lambda T)^{-1}$ exist.

Definition 3.1. Let $(X, \|., .\|)$ be a linear 2- normed space then an operator T on X is said to be non expansive if for each x, $y \in D(T)$, $||Tx - Ty, z|| \le ||x - y, z||$ for every $z \in X$. T is said to be expansive if for each x, $y \in D(T)$, ||Tx - Ty, z|| > ||x - y, z|| for every $z \in X$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $(X, \|., .\|)$ be a linear 2- normed space and $T : D(T) \subset X \to X$ be an operator. If $(I + \lambda T)$ is expansive for all $\lambda > 0$ then T is accretive.

Proof: The proof is immediate from the definition 3.1.

Remark 3.3. If T is an accretive operator on a 2-normed space X then $(I + \lambda T)^{-1}$ is non expansive.

Definition 3.4. Let $(X, \|., .\|)$ be a linear 2- normed space E be a non empty subset of X and $e \in E$ then E is said to be e-bounded if there exists some M > 0 such that $\|x, e\| \leq M$ for all $x \in E$. If for all $e \in E$, E is e-bounded then E is called a bounded set.

Let $(X, \|., .\|)$ be a linear 2- normed space and T be an m-accretive operator on X. For n=1,2,3.., Define the resolvent of T as, $J_n(x) = (I + n^{-1}T)^{-1}(x)$ and the Yosida approximation $T_n(x) = n(I - J_n)(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\lambda > 0$.

Proposition 3.5. .Let $(X, \|., .\|)$ be a linear 2- normed space and T be a moperator then

(i) $||J_n x - J_n y, z|| \le ||(x - y), z||$ (ii) $||T_n x - T_n y, z|| \le 2n ||(x - y), z||$ for all $x, y \in X$

Proof:

(i) Since T is accretive in X, we have $(I + \alpha T)^{-1}$ is non expansive

implies for each x,y $\in D(T)$, $||(I + \alpha T)^{-1}x - (I + \alpha T)^{-1}y, z|| \le ||x - y, z||$ for every $z \in X$

Take $\alpha = 1/n$ then $\alpha > 0$. So $||J_n x - J_n y, z|| \le ||(x - y), z||$. (ii) We have for each x,y $\in D(T)$,

> $||T_n x - T_n y, z|| = ||n(I - J_n)x - (I - J_n)y, z||$ = ||n(x - y) + n(J_n x - J_n y) ||z||

$$= \|n(x - y) + n(J_n x - J_n y), z\|$$

$$\leq n\|x - y, z\| + n\|J_n x - J_n y, z\|$$

$$\leq n\|x - y, z\| + n\|x - y, z\|$$

$$= 2n\|x - y, z\|$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

for all $z \in X$

Proposition 3.6. Let $(X, \|., .\|)$ be a linear 2- normed space and E be a non empty bounded subset of X and $T : E \to E$ be an accretive operator then there exists some M > 0 and for $x \in E$, $\|T_n x, z\| \leq M$ for all $z \in E$.

Proof: Let $x \in E$ then

$$T_n x = n(x - J_n x) = n(J_n(J_n^{-1}x) - J_n x) = n(J_n(I + n^{-1}T)x - J_n x)$$

So, by Proposition 3.5 (i);

 $||T_n x, z|| = ||n(J_n(I + n^{-1}T)x - J_n x), z|| \le n ||(I + n^{-1}T)x - x, z|| = ||Tx, z|| \le M$ for all $z \in E$

Proposition 3.7. Let $(X, \|., .\|)$ be a linear 2- normed space and E be a non empty bounded subset of X and $T : E \to E$ be an accretive operator. If $x \in \overline{E}$ then $J_n x \longrightarrow x$.

Proof: Let $x \in E$ then $||x - J_n x, z|| = n^{-1} ||T_n x, z|| \le n^{-1} M$ for some M > 0 and for all $z \in E$.

ie; $||x - J_n x, z|| \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ implies $J_n x \longrightarrow x$

If $x \in \overline{E}$ then there exists a sequence $\{x_m\} \in E$ such that $x_m \longrightarrow x$. Since $x_m \in E$ we have $J_n(x_m) \longrightarrow x_m$.

We have, for all $z \in E$ $||J_n x - x, z|| = ||J_n x - J_n(x_m) + J_n(x_m) - x_m + x_m - x, z||$ $\leq ||J_n x - J_n(x_m), z|| + ||J_n(x_m) - x_m, z|| + ||x_m - x, z||$ $\leq ||x - x_m, z|| + ||J_n(x_m) - x_m, z|| + ||x_m - x, z|| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty$ Hence $J_n x \longrightarrow x$.

Definition 3.8. Let $(X, \|., .\|)$ be a linear 2- normed space then the mapping $T: X \longrightarrow X$ is said to be a contraction if there exists some $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\|Tx - Ty, z\| \le k \|x - y, z\|$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Lemma 3.9. Let $(X, \|., .\|)$ be a linear 2- normed space then every contraction $T: X \to X$ is sequentially continuous.

Proof: Since T is a contraction, there exists some $k \in (0,1)$ such that $||Tx - Ty, z|| \le k ||x - y, z||$ for all x,y,z $\in X$.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $x_n \longrightarrow x$.

Then, $||Tx_n - Tx, z|| \le k ||x_n - x, z|| \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ implies $Tx_n \longrightarrow Tx$. So T is sequentially continuous.

Next lemma proves the analogues of Banach fixed point theorem in Linear 2normed spaces.

Lemma 3.10. Let $(X, \|., .\|)$ be a linear 2- normed space and E be a non empty closed and bounded subset of X. Let $T : E \to E$ be a contraction then T has a unique fixed point on X.

Proof: Since T is a contraction, there exists some $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

 $||Tx - Ty, z|| \le k ||x - y, z||$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

We have, $||T^2x - T^2y, z|| = ||T(Tx) - T(Ty), z|| \le k||Tx - Ty, z|| \le k^2 ||x - y, z||$ for all $z \in E$. Similarly $||T^nx - T^ny, z|| \le k^n ||x - y, z||$ for all $z \in E$.

Let $x_0 \in E$ then construct a sequence depending on x_0 .

Let $x_1 = Tx_0, x_2 = Tx_1, x_3 = Tx_2, ..., x_n = Tx_{n-1}$ then $x_1 = Tx_0, x_2 = T^2x_0, x_3 = T^3x_0, ..., x_n = T^nx_0$.

We show that $\{x_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in E. Let m, n > 0 with m > n. Take m = n + p then for any $z \in E$,

 $||x_n - x_m, z|| = ||x_n - x_{n+p}, z||$

 $= \|(x_n - x_{n+1}) + (x_{n+1} - x_{n+2}) + x_{n+2} - \dots + (x_{n+p-1} - x_{n+p}), z\|$ $\le \|x_n - x_{n+1}, z\| + \|x_{n+1} - x_{n+2}, z\| + \dots + \|x_{n+p-1} - x_{n+p}, z\|$ $= \|T^n x_0 - T^n x_1, z\| + \|T^{n+1} x_0 - T^{n+1} x_1, z\| + \dots + \|T^{n+p-1} x_0 - T^{n+p-1} x_1, z\|$ $\le k^n \|x_0 - x_1, z\| + k^{n+1} \|x_0 - x_1, z\| + \dots + k^{n+p-1} \|x_0 - x_1, z\|$ $\le k^n \|x_0 - x_1, z\| (1 + k + k^2 + \dots) = (\frac{k^n}{1-k}) \|x_0 - x_1, z\|$

1e; $||x_n - x_m, z|| \le \left(\frac{k^n}{1-k}\right) ||x_0 - x_1, z||$ for all $z \in E$

Since E is bounded, there exists M > 0 such that $||x_0 - x_1, z|| \le M$ for all $z \in E$ So, $||x_n - x_m, z|| \le (\frac{k^n M}{1-k})$ for all $z \in E$ implies $||x_n - x_m, z|| \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, since $k \in (0, 1)$ imples $\{x_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in E, So $\{x_n\}$ converges to some x in E.

Since T is sequentially continuous, $Tx = \lim Tx_n = \lim x_{n+1} = x$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore T has a fixed point in E.

Now, we have to prove that such a fixed point is unique.

Let $y \in E$ with $y \neq x$ and $Ty \neq y$.

Assume that Ty = y then $||x - y, z|| = ||Tx - Ty, z|| \le k ||x - y, z||$ implies $k \ge 1$, a contradiction to $k \in (0, 1)$. So, $Ty \ne y$. Hence the fixed point of T is unique in E.

Definition 3.11. Let $(X, \|., .\|)$ be a linear 2- normed space then the mapping $T: X \to X$ is said to be strong accretive if for every $z \in X$

 $\|(\lambda - k)(x - y), z\| \le \|(\lambda - 1)(x - y) + (Tx - Ty), z\|$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda > k$, and $k \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 3.12. Let $(X, \|., .\|)$ be a linear 2- normed space and E be a non empty closed and bounded subset of X. Let $T : E \to X$ be a strong accretive mapping. If $(I+T)(E) \supset E$ then the equation $Tx = \theta$ has a solution in E, where θ is the zero vector in X.

Proof: Since T is strong accretive implies

$$\begin{split} \|(\lambda-k)(x-y), z\| &\leq \|(\lambda-1)(x-y) + (Tx-Ty), z\| \\ \text{for all } x, y \in X \text{ and } \lambda > k, \text{ and } k \in (0,1) \\ \text{Put } \lambda &= 2 \text{ then } \|(2-k)(x-y), z\| \leq \|(x-y) + (Tx-Ty), z\| \\ \text{implies } \|2-k\|\|(x-y), z\| \leq \|(I+T)(x) - (I+T)(y)), z\| \\ \text{implies } \|(x-y), z\| &\leq \frac{\|(I+T)(x) - (I+T)(y), z\|}{|2-k|} \\ \text{Since } (I+T)(E) \supset E \text{ we get,} \\ x, y \in D[(I+T)^{-1}] \text{ implies } x = (I+T)^{-1}(u) \text{ and } y = (I+T)^{-1}(v) \text{ for some} \\ u, v \in E \end{split}$$

So, $||(I+T)^{-1}(u) - (I+T)^{-1}(v), z|| \le \frac{||u-v,z||}{|2-k|}$

ie; $(I+T)^{-1}: E \to E$ is a contraction mapping. By the Lemma 3.10 $(I+T)^{-1}$ has a fixed point x_0 in E. ie; $x_0 = (I+T)^{-1}(x_0)$ implies $x_0 = (I+T)(x_0)$ implies $Tx_0 = \theta$.

Hence, the equation $Tx = \theta$ has a solution in E.

Corollary 3.13. Let $(X, \|., .\|)$ be a linear 2- normed space and E be a non empty closed and bounded subset of X. Let $T : E \to E$ be a strong accretive mapping. If (I+T)(E) = E then R(T) = E.

Proof:

For any $p \in E$. Take $T_0 = T - p$

Since T is strong accretive we have,

 $\|(\lambda - k)(x - y), z\| \le \|(\lambda - 1)(x - y) + (Tx - Ty), z\|$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda > k$, and $k \in (0, 1)$

We have, T_0 is also strong accretive.

Therefore, by theorem 3.12, there exists $x_0 \in E$ such that $T_0(x_0) = \theta$ implies $Tx_0 - p = \theta$ implies $Tx_0 = p$ for every $p \in E$. Therefore, R(T) = E.

References

- Fatemeh Lael and Kourosh Nourouzi, Compact Operators Defined on 2-Normed and 2-Probabilistic Normed Spaces, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Mathematical Problems in Engineering, Volume 2009 (2009), Article ID 950234, 17 pages.
- [2] K Iseki, Mathematics on Two Normed Spaces, Bull. Korean. Math. Soc, Vol.13, No 2, 1976.
 [3] P K Harikrishnan, Bernardo Lafuerza Guillen, K T Ravindran, Accretive operators and Banach Alagolu Theorem in Linear 2-normed spaces, Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics,
- Vol.30, No 2, 2011[4] Raymond W. Freese, Yeol Je Cho, Geometry of linear 2 normed spaces, Nova Science publishers, Inc, Newyork., 2001.
- [5] Shih sen Chang, Yeol Je Cho, Shin Min Kang, Nonlinear operator theory in Probabilistic Metric spaces, Nova Science publishers, Inc, Newyork, 2001.
- [6] T Kato, Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations, J.Math.Soc.JapanVol. 19, No.4,1967.

Department of Mathematics, Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal University, Manipal-576104, Karnataka, India.

E-mail address: pk.harikrishnan@manipal.edu, pkharikrishnans@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PAYYANUR COLLEGE, KANNUR UNIVERSITY, KANNUR, INDIA *E-mail address*: drktravindran@gmail.com