

LOWER AND UPPER LOCAL UNIFORM K -MONOTONICITY IN SYMMETRIC SPACES

MACIEJ CIESIELSKI

ABSTRACT. Using the local approach to the global structure of a symmetric space E we establish a relationship between strict K -monotonicity, lower (resp. upper) local uniform K -monotonicity, order continuity and the Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure. We also answer the question under which condition upper local uniform K -monotonicity concludes upper local uniform monotonicity. Finally, we present a correlation between K -order continuity and lower local uniform K -monotonicity in a symmetric space E under some additional assumptions on E .

2010 Mathematics Subjects Classification: 46E30, 46B20, 46B42.

Key Words and Phrases: Symmetric space, Lorentz space, K -order continuity, lower (upper) local uniform K -monotonicity, the Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure.

1. INTRODUCTION

The first essential result devoted to upper local uniform K -monotonicity ($ULUKM$) was published in [5] by Chilin, Dodds, Sedaev, and Sukochev in 1996. Authors presented a complete characterization of $ULUKM$ written in terms of strict K -monotonicity and the Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure in symmetric spaces, among others. Recently, many interesting results have appeared in [4, 8, 11, 12, 14], where there have been explored the global and local K -monotonicity structure of Banach spaces.

The crucial inspiration for our discussion was found in paper [7], where there has been studied an application of strict K -monotonicity and K -order continuity to the best dominated approximation with respect to the Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya relation \prec . It is worth mentioning that in view of the previous result, in [9] there has been investigated, among others, a full criteria for K -order continuity in symmetric spaces.

The main goal of this manuscript is an investigation dedicated to a complete characterization of strict K -monotonicity and K -order continuity as well as upper and lower local uniform K -monotonicity in symmetric spaces. We organize the paper in the following way. Preliminaries contain all necessary definitions and notions.

In the section 3 we focus on a characterization of lower and upper local uniform K -monotonicity in symmetric space E . First, we investigate a relation between a point of lower local uniform K -monotonicity and a point of lower local

uniform monotonicity. We also characterize a full correlation between a point of lower local uniform K -monotonicity and a conjunction of a point of order continuity and a point of lower K -monotonicity and also an H_g point in a symmetric space E . Next, we show a correspondence between a point of upper local uniform K -monotonicity and a point of upper local uniform monotonicity and also an H_g point in E under some additional assumption. In our investigation we don't restrict ourself only to the local approach to K -monotonicity structure, but we also discuss as a consequence a complete characterization of global K -monotonicity properties in a symmetric space E . We answer the crucial question under which condition lower local uniform K -monotonicity and upper local uniform K -monotonicity coincide in symmetric spaces. In the spirit of the previous result, we also describe an essential connection between a point of K -order continuity and a point of lower local uniform K -monotonicity and also an H_g point in a symmetric space E . It is worth mentioning that several results and examples concerning respective global properties are also presented in this section.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R}^+ and \mathbb{N} be the sets of reals, nonnegative reals and positive integers, respectively. In a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ we use a notation $S(X)$ (resp. $B(X)$) for the unit sphere (resp. the closed unit ball). A nonnegative mapping ϕ given on \mathbb{R}^+ is called *quasiconcave* if $\phi(t)$ is increasing and $\phi(t)/t$ is decreasing on \mathbb{R}^+ and also $\phi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$. Denote as usual by μ the Lebesgue measure on $I = [0, \alpha)$, where $\alpha = 1$ or $\alpha = \infty$, and by L^0 the set of all (equivalence classes of) extended real valued Lebesgue measurable functions on I . We also use the notation $A^c = I \setminus A$ for any measurable set A . Let us recall that a Banach lattice $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is said to be a *Banach function space* (or a *Köthe space*) if it is a sublattice of L^0 satisfying the following conditions

- (1) If $x \in L^0$, $y \in E$ and $|x| \leq |y|$ a.e., then $x \in E$ and $\|x\|_E \leq \|y\|_E$.
- (2) There exists a strictly positive $x \in E$.

In addition, we employ in our investigation the symbol $E^+ = \{x \in E : x \geq 0\}$.

Given $x \in E$ is said to be a *point of order continuity* if for any sequence $(x_n) \subset E^+$ with $x_n \leq |x|$ and $x_n \rightarrow 0$ a.e. we have $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow 0$. A Banach function space E is called *order continuous* (shortly $E \in (OC)$) if any element $x \in E$ is a point of order continuity (see [18]). It is said that a Banach function space E has the *Fatou property* whenever for every $(x_n) \subset E^+$, $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\|_E < \infty$ and $x_n \uparrow x \in L^0$ we have $x \in E$ and $\|x_n\|_E \uparrow \|x\|_E$. In addition, we assume that E has the Fatou property, unless it is mentioned otherwise.

An element $x \in E^+$ is called a *point of upper local uniform monotonicity* (resp. a *point of lower local uniform monotonicity*) shortly a *ULUM point* (resp. an *LLUM point*) if for any $(x_n) \subset E$ such that $x \leq x_n$ and $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$ (resp. $x_n \leq x$ and $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$), we get $\|x_n - x\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Let us recall that if each point of $E^+ \setminus \{0\}$ is a *ULUM point* (resp. an *LLUM point*), then we say that E is *upper local uniformly monotone* (shortly $E \in (ULUM)$) (resp. *lower local uniformly monotone* shortly $E \in (LLUM)$).

Given $x \in E$ is said to be an H_g point (resp. an H_l point) in E if for any sequence $(x_n) \subset E$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$ globally in measure (resp. locally in measure) and $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$, then $\|x_n - x\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Let us recall that the space E has the *Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure* (resp. *Kadec-Klee property*

for local convergence in measure) if any element $x \in E$ is an H_g point (resp. an H_l point) in E (see [5, 11]).

For any function $x \in L^0$ we define its *distribution function* by

$$d_x(\lambda) = \mu \{s \in [0, \alpha) : |x(s)| > \lambda\}, \quad \lambda \geq 0.$$

The *decreasing rearrangement* for any element $x \in L^0$ is given by

$$x^*(t) = \inf \{\lambda > 0 : d_x(\lambda) \leq t\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

In the whole paper, it is used the notation $x^*(\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x^*(t)$ if $\alpha = \infty$ and $x^*(\infty) = 0$ if $\alpha = 1$. For any function $x \in L^0$ we denote the *maximal function* of x^* by

$$x^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t x^*(s) ds.$$

Let us mention that for any function $x \in L^0$ it is well known that $x^* \leq x^{**}$, x^{**} is decreasing, continuous and subadditive. For more details of d_x , x^* and x^{**} see [1, 17].

We say that two functions $x, y \in L^0$ are said to be *equimeasurable* (shortly $x \sim y$) if $d_x = d_y$. A Banach function space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is called *symmetric* or *rearrangement invariant* (r.i. for short) if for any $x \in L^0$ and $y \in E$ with $x \sim y$, we have $x \in E$ and $\|x\|_E = \|y\|_E$. In a symmetric space E we denote by ϕ_E the *fundamental function* given by $\phi_E(t) = \|\chi_{(0,t)}\|_E$ for any $t \in [0, \alpha)$ (see [1]). For any two functions $x, y \in L^1 + L^\infty$ it is defined the *Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya relation* \prec by

$$x \prec y \Leftrightarrow x^{**}(t) \leq y^{**}(t) \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

A symmetric space E is called *K-monotone* (shortly $E \in (KM)$) if for any $x \in L^1 + L^\infty$ and $y \in E$ with $x \prec y$, we have $x \in E$ and $\|x\|_E \leq \|y\|_E$. It is well known that a symmetric space is *K-monotone* if and only if E is exact interpolation space between L^1 and L^∞ . It is worth mentioning that a symmetric space E equipped with an order continuous norm or with the Fatou property is *K-monotone* (see [17]).

An element $x \in E$ is said to be a *point of lower K-monotonicity* shortly an *LKM point* of E if for any $y \in E$, $x^* \neq y^*$ and $y \prec x$, then $\|y\|_E < \|x\|_E$. Let us mention that a symmetric space E is called *strictly K-monotone* (shortly $E \in (SKM)$) if any element of E is an *LKM point*.

An element $x \in E$ we call a *point of K-order continuity* of E if for any sequence $(x_n) \subset E$ with $x_n \prec x$ and $x_n^* \rightarrow 0$ a.e. we have $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Recall that a symmetric space E is said to be *K-order continuous* (shortly $E \in (KOC)$) if every element x of E is a point of *K-order continuity*.

An element $x \in E$ is said to be a *point of upper local uniform K-monotonicity* of E (shortly a *ULUKM point*) if for any $(x_n) \subset E$ such that $x \prec x_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$, then $\|x^* - x_n^*\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Given a point $x \in E$ is said to be a *point of lower local uniform K-monotonicity* of E (shortly an *LLUKM point*) if whenever for any $(x_n) \subset E$ with $x_n \prec x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$, we have $\|x^* - x_n^*\|_E \rightarrow 0$. A symmetric space E is said to be *upper local uniformly K-monotone* shortly $E \in (ULUKM)$ (resp. *lower local uniformly K-monotone* shortly $E \in (LLUKM)$) if whenever every element of E is a *ULUKM point* (resp. an *LLUKM point*). For more details we encourage to see [5, 8, 7, 9, 14].

Recall that the Marcinkiewicz function space $M_\phi^{(*)}$ (resp. M_ϕ), where ϕ is a quasiconcave function on I , is a subspace of L^0 such that for all $x \in M_\phi^{(*)}$ (resp. $x \in M_\phi$),

$$\|x\|_{M_\phi^{(*)}} = \sup_{t>0} \{x^*(t)\phi(t)\} < \infty$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } \|x\|_{M_\phi} = \sup_{t>0} \{x^{**}(t)\phi(t)\} < \infty \right).$$

Obviously, $\|x\|_{M_\phi^{(*)}} \leq \|x\|_{M_\phi}$ for all $x \in M_\phi$, i.e. the embedding of M_ϕ in $M_\phi^{(*)}$ has norm 1 (shortly $M_\phi \hookrightarrow M_\phi^{(*)}$). Moreover, it is necessary to mention that the Marcinkiewicz space $M_\phi^{(*)}$ (resp. M_ϕ) is a r.i. quasi-Banach function space (r.i. Banach function space) with the fundamental function ϕ on I . Let us also recall that for any symmetric space E with the fundamental function ϕ we have $E \hookrightarrow M_\phi$ the embedding with norm 1 (see [1, 17]).

For given $0 < p < \infty$ and a locally integrable weight function $w \geq 0$ we define the Lorentz space $\Lambda_{p,w}$ as a subspace of L^0 such that

$$\|x\|_{\Lambda_{p,w}} = \left(\int_0^\alpha (x^*(t))^p w(t) dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where $W(t) = \int_0^t w < \infty$ for any $t \in I$ and $W(\infty) = \infty$ in the case when $\alpha = \infty$. It is worth mentioning that the spaces $\Lambda_{p,w}$ were introduced by Lorentz in [19] and the space $\Lambda_{p,w}$ is a norm space (resp. quasi-norm space) if and only if $1 \leq p < \infty$ and w is decreasing, see [16] (resp. W satisfies the condition Δ_2 , see [21, 16]). It is also known that for any $0 < p < \infty$ if W satisfies the condition Δ_2 and $W(\infty) = \infty$, then the Lorentz space $\Lambda_{p,w}$ is an order continuous r.i. quasi-Banach function space (see [16]).

For $0 < p < \infty$ and $w \in L^0$ a nonnegative locally integrable weight function we consider the Lorentz space $\Gamma_{p,w}$, that is a subspace of L^0 such that

$$\|x\|_{\Gamma_{p,w}} = \|x^{**}\|_{\Lambda_{p,w}} = \left(\int_0^\alpha x^{**p}(t) w(t) dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Unless we say otherwise, we suppose that w belongs to the class D_p , i.e.

$$W(s) := \int_0^s w(t) dt < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad W_p(s) := s^p \int_s^\alpha t^{-p} w(t) dt < \infty$$

for all $0 < s \leq 1$ if $\alpha = 1$ and for all $0 < s < \infty$ otherwise. It is easy to observe that if $w \in D_p$, then the Lorentz space $\Gamma_{p,w}$ is nontrivial. Moreover, it is clear that $\Gamma_{p,w} \subset \Lambda_{p,w}$. On the other hand, the following inclusion $\Lambda_{p,w} \subset \Gamma_{p,w}$ holds if and only if $w \in B_p$ (see [15]). Let us also recall that $(\Gamma_{p,w}, \|\cdot\|_{\Gamma_{p,w}})$ is a r.i. quasi-Banach function space with the Fatou property and was introduced by Calderón in [3]. It is well known that in the case when $\alpha = \infty$ the Lorentz space $\Gamma_{p,w}$ has order continuous norm if and only if $\int_0^\infty w(t) dt = \infty$ (see [15]). It is also well known that by the Lions-Peetre K -method (see [2, 17]), the space $\Gamma_{p,w}$ is an interpolation space between L^1 and L^∞ . For more details about the properties of the spaces $\Lambda_{p,w}$ and $\Gamma_{p,w}$ the reader is referred to [8, 10, 11, 15, 16].

3. LOWER AND UPPER LOCAL UNIFORM K -MONOTONICITY IN SYMMETRIC SPACES

In this section we investigate a connection between lower local uniform K -monotonicity and lower local uniform monotonicity in symmetric spaces. We also present a complete characterization of an $LLUKM$ point in terms of a point of order continuity and an LKM point.

Lemma 3.1. *Let E be a symmetric space. If $x \in E$ is $LLUKM$ point, then $x^*(\infty) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose for a contrary that $x^*(\infty) > 0$. Define $x_n = x^* \chi_{[0,n]}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $0 \leq x_n \leq x^*$ and also $x_n \prec x$. It is clear that $x_n \uparrow x^*$ a.e. and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\|_E \leq \|x\|_E < \infty$. Hence, by the Fatou property we conclude that $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$. Consequently, by assumption that x is $LLUKM$ point it follows that

$$\|x_n^* - x^*\|_E \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $x^*(\infty) > 0$ we obtain $\chi_I \in E$, whence for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|x_n^* - x^*\|_E = \|x^* \chi_{(n,\infty)}\|_E \geq \|x^*(\infty) \chi_{(n,\infty)}\|_E = x^*(\infty) \|\chi_I\|_E > 0.$$

So, we get a contradiction which finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let E be a symmetric space and ϕ be the fundamental function of E . If $x \in E$ is an $LLUKM$ point and $x^*(t)\phi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, then x is a point of order continuity.*

Proof. Let us assume for a contrary that x is not a point of order continuity in E . Then, by Lemma 2.6 [10] and Proposition 3.2 [1] there exist $(A_n) \subset I$ a decreasing sequence of measurable sets and $\delta > 0$ such that $A_n \rightarrow \emptyset$ and

$$(1) \quad \delta \leq \|x^* \chi_{A_n}\|_E$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\epsilon \in (0, \delta)$. We claim that there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $k \geq K$,

$$\|x^* \chi_{[k,\infty)}\|_E < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Indeed, taking $x_n = x^* \chi_{[0,n]}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $x_n = x_n^* \uparrow x^*$ and also $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\|_E \leq \|x^*\|_E < \infty$. Hence, by the Fatou property and by symmetry of E , it follows that $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$. Consequently, according to assumption that x is an $LLUKM$ point, in view of $x_n \prec x$ we obtain our claim. Moreover, it is easy to notice that $x^* \chi_{A_n \cap [0,k)} \prec x^* \chi_{[0, \min\{\mu(A_n), k\})}$ for any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, whence by symmetry and by the triangle inequality of the norm in E we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^* \chi_{A_n}\|_E &\leq \|x^* \chi_{A_n \cap [0,k)}\|_E + \|x^* \chi_{A_n \cap [k,\infty)}\|_E \\ &\leq \|x^* \chi_{[0, \min\{\mu(A_n), k\})}\|_E + \|x^* \chi_{A_n \cap [k,\infty)}\|_E \end{aligned}$$

for any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, since $\mu(A_n) < K$ for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, passing to subsequence and relabelling if necessary, by the claim and by condition (1) we get

$$\delta \leq \|x^* \chi_{A_n}\|_E \leq \|x^* \chi_{[0, \mu(A_n))}\|_E + \|x^* \chi_{A_n \cap [K, \infty)}\|_E \leq \|x^* \chi_{[0, \mu(A_n))}\|_E + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(2) \quad \frac{\delta}{2} \leq \|x^* \chi_{[0, \mu(A_n))}\|_E.$$

Define $t_n = \mu(A_n)$ and $z_n = x^*(t_n)\chi_{[0,t_n)} + x^*\chi_{[t_n,\infty)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $z_n = z_n^* \leq x^*$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z_n^* \uparrow x^*$ a.e. on I . In consequence, since $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|z_n^*\|_E \leq \|x^*\|_E$, by the Fatou property and by symmetry of E this yields $\|z_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$. Hence, since $z_n \prec x$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and by assumption that x is an *LLUKM* point there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq N$,

$$\|(x^* - x^*(t_n))\chi_{[0,t_n)}\|_E < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

So, by condition (2) and by the triangle inequality of the norm in E we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{2} &\leq \|x^*\chi_{[0,t_n)}\|_E \leq \|(x^* - x^*(t_n))\chi_{[0,t_n)}\|_E + \|x^*(t_n)\chi_{[0,t_n)}\|_E \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} + x^*(t_n)\phi(t_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq N$. Consequently, for any $n \geq N$ we have

$$(3) \quad x^*(t_n)\phi(t_n) \geq \delta/4,$$

whence by assumption $x^*(t)\phi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ we get a contradiction, which ends the proof. \square

Now, we answer the crucial question whether the condition $\phi(t)x^*(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ in Lemma 3.2 is necessary and whether it can be avoided. Namely, in the following example we provide a function, in the Lorentz space $\Lambda_{1,\psi'} \cap L^\infty$, that is an *LLUKM* point and it is not a point of order continuity.

Example 3.3. Let ψ be a strictly concave function such that $\psi(0^+) = 0$ and $\psi(\infty) = \infty$. Consider $E = \Lambda_{1,\psi'} \cap L^\infty$ on $I = [0, 1]$, equipped with an equivalent norm given by

$$\|x\|_E = \|x\|_{\Lambda_{1,\psi'}} + \|x\|_{L^\infty}$$

for any $x \in E$. Assuming that ϕ is the fundamental function of E we easily observe $\phi(t) = \psi(t) + 1$ for any $t > 0$. Define $x(t) = (1 - t)\chi_{[0,1]}(t)$ for any $t \in I$. First, we prove that the function x is not a point of order continuity in E . Indeed, taking $x_n = x\chi_{(0,1/n)}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it is easy to see that $x_n \rightarrow 0$ a.e. and $x_n \leq x$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(t)x^*(t) = 1$, by Proposition 5.9 in [1] we have

$$\|x_n\|_E \geq \|x_n\|_{M_\phi} \geq \sup_{t \in (0,1/n]} \{(1 - t)(1 + \psi(t))\} \geq 1$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that x is an *LLUKM* point in E . Since $\psi(\infty) = \infty$ and $\psi(0^+) = 0$, by Proposition 1.4 in [15] it follows that the Lorentz space $\Lambda_{1,\psi'}$ is order continuous. Hence, since ψ is strictly concave, by Theorem 2.11 in [5] we obtain that $\Lambda_{1,\psi'}$ is strictly K -monotone and also *ULUKM*. Consequently, by Theorem 3.13 we conclude $\Lambda_{1,\psi'}$ is *LLUKM*. Hence, the Lorentz space E endowed with the given norm is strictly K -monotone, whence x is an *LKM* point in E . Assume that $(y_n) \subset E$, $y_n \prec x$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|y_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$. Then, since x is an *LKM* point and $x^*(\infty) = 0$, by Theorem 3.2 in [9] it follows that $y_n^* \rightarrow x^*$ globally in measure. Therefore, by property 2.11 in [17] we get $y_n^*(t) \rightarrow x^*(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. In consequence, by monotonicity of the decreasing rearrangement y_n^* and by continuity of x^* on I , in view of Dini's theorem for monotone functions (see [20]) it follows that y_n^* converges to x^* uniformly on I , i.e.

$$(4) \quad \|x^* - y_n^*\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0.$$

So, it is clear that

$$\|y_n\|_{L^\infty} = y_n^*(0) \rightarrow x^*(0) = \|x\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Furthermore, by assumption $\|y_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$ and by definition of the norm in E we get $\|y_n\|_{\Lambda_{1,\psi'}} \rightarrow \|x\|_{\Lambda_{1,\psi'}}$. Thus, since $y_n \prec x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and by the fact that $\Lambda_{1,\psi'}$ is *LLUKM* we have

$$\|x^* - y_n^*\|_{\Lambda_{1,\psi'}} \rightarrow 0.$$

and consequently, in view of condition (4) and by definition of the norm in E we are done.

Proposition 3.4. *Let E be a symmetric space. If E is *LLUKM*, then E is order continuous.*

Proof. For a contrary, suppose that there exists $x \in E$ that is not a point of order continuity. Let ϕ be the fundamental function of E . By symmetry of E and by Proposition 5.9 in [1] we have for any $t > 0$ and $z \in E$,

$$(5) \quad z^*(t)\phi(t) \leq \|z\|_{M_\phi} \leq \|z\|_E.$$

Next, proceeding similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, in view of conditions (3) and (5) it is easy to see that

$$\frac{\delta}{4} \leq \|x\|_{L^\infty} \phi(0^+) \leq \|x\|_E.$$

Then, since $\phi(0^+) > 0$, applying condition (5) for any $z \in E$ we observe

$$(6) \quad \|z\|_{L^\infty} \phi(0^+) \leq \|z\|_E.$$

Define $y = \chi_{[0,1]}$ and $y_n = \chi_{[0,1-1/n]}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, by the Fatou property we get $\|y_n\|_E \rightarrow \|y\|_E$. Thus, since $y_n \prec y$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in view of assumption that E is *LLUKM* we get

$$\|\chi_{[0,1/n]}\|_E = \|y^* - y_n^*\|_E \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, by condition (6) we obtain a contradiction and complete the proof. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let E be a symmetric space and ϕ be the fundamental function of E . If $x \in E$ is an *LLUKM* point and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} x^*(t)\phi(t) = 0$, then $|x|$ is an *LLUM* point.*

Proof. Let $(x_n) \subset E^+$ and $0 \leq x_n \leq |x|$, $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$. Then, by property of the maximal function we obtain $x_n \prec x$. Hence, by assumption that x is an *LLUKM* point we have

$$(7) \quad \|x_n^* - x^*\|_E \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 3.1 we get $x^*(\infty) = 0$, whence by Lemma 2.7 in [10] and by assumption that $0 \leq x_n \leq |x|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it follows that x_n converges to $|x|$ in measure. Moreover, since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} x^*(t)\phi(t) = 0$, by Lemma 3.2 this yields that x is a point of order continuity. Consequently, by condition (7) and by Proposition 2.4 in [13] we conclude

$$\|x_n - |x|\|_E \rightarrow 0.$$

\square

Theorem 3.6. *Let E be a symmetric space on $I = [0, 1)$, with ϕ the fundamental function of E . A point $x \in E$ is an *LLUKM* point and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} x^*(t)\phi(t) = 0$ if and only if x is an *LKM* point and a point of order continuity.*

Proof. Necessity. Immediately, by Remark 3.1 in [8] and by Lemma 3.2 we complete the proof.

Sufficiency. Let $(x_n) \subset E$, $x_n \prec x$ and $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$. Since x is a point of order continuity, it is easy to see that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} x^*(t)\phi(t) = 0$ and by Lemma 2.5 [10] it follows $x^*(\infty) = 0$. Moreover, since x is an *LKM* point, by Theorem 3.2 in [9] we obtain x_n^* converges to x^* in measure. Hence, by property 2.11 in [17], we get

$$(8) \quad (x_n^* - x^*)^+ \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (x^* - x_n^*)^+ \rightarrow 0$$

a.e. and in measure on I . Notice that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(9) \quad (x_n^* - x^*)^+ \leq x_n^* \quad \text{and} \quad (x^* - x_n^*)^+ \leq \sup_{k \geq n} (x^* - x_k^*)^+ \leq x^*$$

a.e. on I . In consequence, since $\sup_{k \geq n} (x^* - x_k^*)^+ \downarrow 0$ a.e. and x is a point of order continuity, by Lemma 2.6 in [10] we obtain

$$\|(x^* - x_n^*)^+\|_E \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, by the triangle inequality of the norm in E , to complete the proof it is enough to show the following condition

$$(10) \quad \|(x_n^* - x^*)^+\|_E \rightarrow 0.$$

First, by Lemma 3.1 [7] it is clear that $x^{**}(\infty) = 0$. Therefore, since $x_n^* \prec x^*$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by condition (9) it is easy to observe that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(11) \quad ((x_n^* - x^*)^+)^* \leq x_n^{**} \leq x^{**} \quad \text{and} \quad (x_n^* - x^*)^+ \prec x^*,$$

whence, by condition (8) and by property 2.12 in [17] we conclude

$$(12) \quad ((x_n^* - x^*)^+)^* \rightarrow 0$$

pointwise and also in measure. Furthermore, by condition (11) and by Hardy's lemma [1] for any $y \in E$ and $t > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(13) \quad \int_0^t ((x_n^* - x^*)^+)^* y^* \leq \int_0^t x^* y^*.$$

Define for any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$M_n^k = \left\{ t \in I : ((x_n^* - x^*)^+)^*(t) > \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

Clearly, by condition (12) for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\mu(M_n^k) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, letting $y = \chi_{M_n^k} \in E$, by condition (13) and by symmetry of E , in view of Corollary 4.7 in [1] we get

$$\|((x_n^* - x^*)^+)^* \chi_{[0, \mu(M_n^k)]}\|_E \leq \|x^* \chi_{[0, \mu(M_n^k)]}\|_E$$

for every $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, since $x^* \chi_{[0, \mu(M_n^k)]} \leq x^*$ a.e. on I for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and x^* is a point of order continuity, it follows that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq N$,

$$\|((x_n^* - x^*)^+)^* \chi_{[0, \mu(M_n^k)]}\|_E \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Moreover, by construction of the set M_n^k , picking $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\chi_I\|_E / k < \epsilon/2$ it is easy to see that

$$\|((x_n^* - x^*)^+)^* \chi_{(\mu(M_n^k), 1)}\|_E \leq \left\| \frac{1}{k} \chi_{(\mu(M_n^k), 1)} \right\|_E \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, by the triangle inequality of the norm in E we prove condition (10) and finish the proof. \square

Now, we investigate a similar result as above for a symmetric space E on $[0, \infty)$ under some additional assumptions of E .

Theorem 3.7. *Let E be a symmetric space on $I = [0, \infty)$ and let ϕ be the fundamental function of E such that $\phi(t)/t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and let $x \in E \cap L^1$. A point x is an $LLUKM$ point and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} x^*(t)\phi(t) = 0$ if and only if x is an LKM point and a point of order continuity.*

Proof. Notice that proceeding analogously as in the proof of Theorem 3.6 in sufficiency it is enough to show condition (10). First, let us mention that by Lemma 2.5 in [10] and by Lemma 3.1 in [7] and in view of the assumption x is a point of order continuity it follows that $x^*(\infty) = x^{**}(\infty) = 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $t_\epsilon = d_{x^*}(\epsilon)$. Then, it is clear that $t_\epsilon < \infty$, and so by monotonicity of the decreasing rearrangement x^* we obtain $x^*(t) \leq \epsilon$ for all $t \geq t_\epsilon$. For simplicity of our notation let us assume that $y_n = (x_n^* - x^*)^+$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. First we claim that

$$(14) \quad \|y_n^* \chi_{[0, t_\epsilon)}\|_E \rightarrow 0.$$

Define a set

$$A_n = \{t \in [0, t_\epsilon] : x^*(t) \leq y_n^*(t)\}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by monotonicity of x^* , it is easy to see that $x^*(t) \geq \epsilon$ for any $t \leq t_\epsilon$. Next, in view of condition (12) we observe

$$(15) \quad \mu(A_n) \leq \mu(t \in [0, t_\epsilon] : y_n^*(t) \geq \epsilon) \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, by condition (13) we obtain

$$\int_0^t y_n^* \chi_{[0, \mu(A_n)]} \leq \int_0^t x^* \chi_{[0, \mu(A_n)]}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t > 0$. Hence, by Proposition 1.1 in [6] for any $t > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (y_n^* \chi_{A_n})^{**}(t) &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (y_n^* \chi_{A_n})^* \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t y_n^* \chi_{[0, \mu(A_n)]} \\ &\leq (x^* \chi_{[0, \mu(A_n)]})^{**}(t) \leq x^{**}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by symmetry of E we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n^* \chi_{[0, t_\epsilon)}\|_E &\leq \|y_n^* \chi_{A_n}\|_E + \|y_n^* \chi_{[0, t_\epsilon) \setminus A_n}\|_E \\ &\leq \|x^* \chi_{[0, \mu(A_n)]}\|_E + \|y_n^* \chi_{[0, t_\epsilon) \setminus A_n}\|_E \end{aligned}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, since $y_n^* \chi_{[0, t_\epsilon) \setminus A_n} \leq x^*$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by conditions (12) and (15) as well as by assumption that x is a point of order continuity and in view of Lemma 2.6 in [10] we prove our claim (14). Now, without loss of generality passing to subsequence and relabelling we may assume that $y_n^*(t_\epsilon) > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, because otherwise in view of the claim (14) we finish the proof. Furthermore, by condition (11) and by assumption that $x \in E \cap L^1$ it is easy to notice that

$$\int_{t_\epsilon}^\infty y_n^* \leq \int_0^\infty y_n^* \leq \int_0^\infty x^* < \infty$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\delta_n = t_\epsilon + \frac{1}{y_n^*(t_\epsilon)} \int_{t_\epsilon}^\infty y_n^* \quad \text{and} \quad z_n = y_n^* \chi_{[0, t_\epsilon)} + y_n^*(t_\epsilon) \chi_{[t_\epsilon, \delta_n)}.$$

Now, we prove that

$$(16) \quad \|y_n^*(t_\epsilon) \chi_{[t_\epsilon, \delta_n)}\|_E \rightarrow 0.$$

Assume for a contrary that $a = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|y_n^*(t_\epsilon) \chi_{[t_\epsilon, \delta_n)}\|_E > 0$. Then, passing to subsequence and relabelling if necessary we obtain

$$\|y_n^*(t_\epsilon) \chi_{[t_\epsilon, \delta_n)}\|_E \downarrow a.$$

Hence, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} a &\leq \|y_n^*(t_\epsilon) \chi_{[t_\epsilon, \delta_n)}\|_E = y_n^*(t_\epsilon) \phi(\delta_n - t_\epsilon) \\ &= y_n^*(t_\epsilon) \phi\left(\frac{1}{y_n^*(t_\epsilon)} \int_{t_\epsilon}^\infty y_n^*\right) \\ &\leq y_n^*(t_\epsilon) \phi\left(\frac{1}{y_n^*(t_\epsilon)} \int_0^\infty x^*\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, letting $s_n = \int_0^\infty x^* / y_n^*(t_\epsilon)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$a \leq \frac{\phi(s_n)}{s_n} \int_0^\infty x^*$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. According to condition (12) we observe $y_n^*(t_\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ and so $s_n \rightarrow \infty$. In consequence, by assumption that $\phi(t)/t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ we get a contradiction which provides condition (16). Now, we show that $y_n \prec z_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, $y_n^{**} = z_n^{**}$ on $[0, t_\epsilon]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in (t_\epsilon, \delta_n)$ we have

$$\int_0^t z_n^* = \int_0^{t_\epsilon} y_n^* + y_n^*(t_\epsilon)(t - t_\epsilon) \geq \int_0^{t_\epsilon} y_n^* + \int_{t_\epsilon}^t y_n^* = \int_0^t y_n^*$$

and also for any $t \geq \delta_n$,

$$\int_0^t z_n^* = \int_0^{t_\epsilon} y_n^* + y_n^*(t_\epsilon)(\delta_n - t_\epsilon) = \int_0^{t_\epsilon} y_n^* + \int_{t_\epsilon}^\infty y_n^* \geq \int_0^t y_n^*.$$

Therefore, by symmetry of E we get $\|z_n\|_E \geq \|y_n\|_E$. Thus, by conditions (14) and (16) and by the triangle inequality of the norm in E we complete the proof. \square

Immediately, in view of Remark 3.1 in [8], by Proposition 3.4 and Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 we obtain the following results.

Corollary 3.8. *Let E be a symmetric space on $I = [0, \alpha)$ with $\alpha < \infty$. The space E is LLUKM if and only if E is strictly K -monotone and order continuous.*

Corollary 3.9. *Let E be a symmetric space on $I = [0, \infty)$ with the fundamental function ϕ such that $\phi(t)/t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and let $F \subset E$ be a symmetric sublattice that is embedded in $L^1[0, \infty)$. Then, the space F is LLUKM if and only if F is strictly K -monotone and order continuous.*

Now, we investigate a relation between lower local uniform K -monotonicity and the Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure. First, we show an example of a function in a symmetric space E on $I = [0, \infty)$ that is a point of lower local uniform K -monotonicity but it is no H_g point in E . We also discuss in this example a symmetric space E on $I = [0, 1)$ that is lower local uniformly K -monotone but it does not have the Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure. We recall Example 2.8 [5] and modify to the case when $I = [0, \alpha)$, where $\alpha \leq \infty$. For the sake of the reader's convenience we present the details of the modified example.

Example 3.10. Let $\delta > 0$ and let ϕ_1, ϕ_2 be strictly concave functions such that

$$\phi_i(0) = \phi_i(0^+) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_i(\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_i(t) = \infty \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2,$$

and also

$$\phi_2(1) > \phi_1(1) + \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi_2(t)}{\phi_1(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi_i(t)}{t} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Consider the space $E = \Lambda_{1, \phi'_1} \cap \Lambda_{1, \phi'_2}$ with a norm given by

$$\|x\|_E = \max\{\|x\|_{\Lambda_{1, \phi'_1}}, \|x\|_{\Lambda_{1, \phi'_2}}\}$$

for all $x \in E$. Since $\phi_i(\infty) = \infty$ for $i = 1, 2$ it follows that the symmetric space E is order continuous (see [5, 15]). Hence, since ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are strictly concave, by Theorem 2.11 in [5] we get E is strictly K -monotone. Consequently, in case when $I = [0, 1)$, by Corollary 3.8 we obtain E is $LLUKM$. Define

$$x = \chi_{[0, 1]} \quad \text{and} \quad x_n = x + \frac{\delta}{\phi_1(\frac{1}{n})} \chi_{[0, \frac{1}{n})}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, $x_n \rightarrow x$ in measure and

$$\|x_n\|_E = \frac{\delta \phi_2(\frac{1}{n})}{\phi_1(\frac{1}{n})} + \phi_2(1) \rightarrow \phi_2(1) = \|x\|_E.$$

On the other hand, we observe $\|x_n - x\|_E \geq \delta$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which concludes that x is no H_g point in E and consequently E does not have the Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure. However, since $x \in L^1[0, \infty)$, by Theorem 3.7 we get x is an $LLUKM$ point in the space E on $I = [0, \infty)$.

Theorem 3.11. Let E be a symmetric space and $x, x_n \in E$ with $x^*(\infty) = 0$ and let:

- (i) x is an LKM point and an H_g point.
- (ii) x is an LKM point and

$$x_n^{**} \rightarrow x^{**} \quad \text{in measure,} \quad \|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|x_n^* - x^*\|_E \rightarrow 0.$$

- (iii) x is an $LLUKM$ point.

Then, (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). If x is an H_g point, then (iii) \Rightarrow (i).

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $x, x_n \in E$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_n^{**} \rightarrow x^{**}$ in measure and $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$. Now, proceeding analogously as in the proof of Theorem 3.8 [8], under the assumption that x is an H_g point and $x^*(\infty) = 0$, in view of Theorem 3.3 [11] we complete the proof.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $x, x_n \in E$, $x_n \prec x$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$. Hence, by Theorem 3.2 in [9] it follows that $x_n^{**} \rightarrow x^{**}$ in measure. Therefore, by condition

(ii) we get $\|x_n^* - x^*\|_E \rightarrow 0$, which proves that x is an *LLUKM* point.
 (iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let x be an H_g point in E . Immediately, by Remark 3.1 in [8] we get x is an *LKM* point and this ends the proof. \square

In the next example we present a symmetric space with the Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure which does not have *LLUKM* property.

Example 3.12. Consider the Lorentz space $\Gamma_{p,w}$ with $0 < p < \infty$ and w a non-negative weight function. If $W(\infty) < \infty$ or $W(t) = \int_0^t w$ is not strictly increasing, then by Proposition 1.4 in [15] or by Theorem 2.10 in [12] respectively, we obtain the Lorentz space $\Gamma_{p,w}$ is not order continuous or it is not strictly K -monotone respectively. Moreover, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|x^* \chi_{[0,t]}\|_{\Gamma_{p,w}} = 0$ (see [15]), whence and by the monotonicity of the decreasing rearrangement x^* we get $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} x^*(t)\phi(t) = 0$, where ϕ is the fundamental function of $\Gamma_{p,w}$. In consequence, by Remark 3.1 in [8] or by Lemma 3.2 respectively, it follows that $\Gamma_{p,w}$ is not *LLUKM*. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.1 in [11] we know that the Lorentz space $\Gamma_{p,w}$ has the Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure.

Now, we present the full characterization of lower and upper local uniform K monotonicity in a symmetric space E with order continuous norm. Next, we establish a correlation between upper local uniform K -monotonicity and upper local uniform monotonicity in E .

Theorem 3.13. Let E be a symmetric space with order continuous norm. Then, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) E is *SKM* and for any $(x_n) \subset E$, $x \in E$,

$$x_n^{**} \rightarrow x^{**} \text{ in measure and } \|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E \Rightarrow \|x_n^* - x^*\|_E \rightarrow 0.$$
- (ii) E is *LLUKM* and has the Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure.
- (iii) E is *SKM* and has the Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure.
- (iv) E is *SKM* and has the Kadec-Klee property for local convergence in measure.
- (v) E is *ULUKM*.

Proof. It is well known that the equivalences $(iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) \Leftrightarrow (v)$ follows directly from Theorem 2.7 in [5]. Immediately, by Theorem 3.8 in [8] and by Theorem 3.5 in [11] we get $(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (v)$. Finally, the consequence of Lemma 2.5 in [10] and Theorem 3.11 is the following conclusion $(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$. \square

Theorem 3.14. Let E be a symmetric space. If $x \in E$ is a point of order continuity and a *ULUKM* point, then $|x|$ is a *ULUM* point and x is an H_g point.

Proof. Let $(x_n) \subset E^+$, $|x| \leq x_n$ and $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$. Then, by Proposition 3.2 in [1] we get $x \prec x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consequently by assumption that x is a *ULUKM* point we have $\|x_n^* - x^*\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Hence, by the implication $(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)$ in the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [5] it follows that x_n converges to $|x|$ in measure. Consequently, by assumption that x is a point of order continuity and by Proposition 2.4 in [13] we have $\|x_n - |x|\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Finally, in view of assumptions, by Theorem 3.8 in [8] and by Theorem 3.5 in [11] we conclude x is an H_g point in E . \square

In the next example we show that if the assumption x is a point of order continuity of the above theorem is missing, then the implication is not true.

Example 3.15. Take $E = L^\infty$ on $I = [0, \infty)$ and $x = \chi_I$. Let $(x_n) \subset E$ be such that $x \prec x_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$. Since $x^* = 1$ on I , we claim that $x^* \leq x_n^*$ a.e for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, if it is not true, then there exist $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $(t_k) \subset I$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \geq t_k$ we have

$$x_{n_k}^*(t) \leq x_{n_k}^*(t_k) < 1.$$

Hence, setting $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we observe for sufficiently large $t > t_k$,

$$x_{n_k}^{**}(t) < x^{**}(t) = 1.$$

Therefore, by assumption $x \prec x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get a contradiction which proves our claim. It is easy to notice that x is a ULUM point in E (see also [10]). Thus, according to the claim and by assumption $\|x_n^*\|_E \rightarrow \|x^*\|_E$ we obtain

$$\|x_n^* - x^*\|_E \rightarrow 0.$$

In consequence, we get x is a ULUKM point. On the other hand, taking $y_n = \chi_{(\frac{1}{n}, \infty)}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is easy to see that $y_n \rightarrow x$ in measure and $\|y_n\|_E = \|x\|_E = 1$ and also $\|x - y_n\|_E = 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, it follows that x is no H_g point in E .

Now we discuss a correlation between K -order continuity and lower local uniform K -monotonicity in symmetric spaces.

Theorem 3.16. Let E be a symmetric space. If $x \in E$ is a point of K -order continuity and an LKM point and also $x^*(\infty) = 0$, then x is an LLUKM point.

Proof. Let $(x_n) \subset E$ with $x_n \prec x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$. Observe that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(17) \quad (x^* - x_n^*)^+ \leq x^* \quad \text{and} \quad (x_n^* - x^*)^+ \prec x_n^* \prec x^*.$$

Moreover, since x is LKM point and $x^*(\infty) = 0$, by assumption that $x_n \prec x$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow \|x\|_E$ and by Theorem 3.2 in [9] it follows that x_n^* converges to x^* in measure. Hence, by property 2.11 in [17] we get

$$((x_n^* - x^*)^+)^* \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad ((x^* - x_n^*)^+)^* \rightarrow 0$$

a.e. on I . In consequence, by condition (17) and by assumption that x is a point of K -order continuity we have

$$\|((x^* - x_n^*)^+)^*\|_E \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|((x_n^* - x^*)^+)^*\|_E \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, by symmetry of E and by the triangle inequality of the norm in E we conclude x_n^* converges to x^* in norm of E . \square

We present an example of a symmetric space having upper and lower local uniform K -monotonicity but not satisfying K -order continuity.

Remark 3.17. Let $\psi(t) = t^{1/4}$ for any $t \in I$. Consider the space $E = \Lambda_{1,\psi'} \cap L^1$ on I endowed with the equivalent norm given by $\|x\|_E = \|x\|_{\Lambda_{1,\psi'}} + \|x\|_{L^1}$. We claim that $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is LLUKM and ULUKM, but it is not KOC. First denote $\phi(t) = \psi(t) + t$ for any $t \in I$. Observe that $E = \Lambda_{1,\phi'}$ and $\phi(t)/t \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Define

$$x(t) = \chi_{[0,1)}(t) + \frac{1}{t^2} \chi_{[1,\infty)}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad x_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \chi_{[0,n)}(t)$$

for any $t > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to see that $x = x^*$, $x_n = x_n^* \rightarrow 0$ a.e. Clearly,

$$x^{**}(t) = \chi_{[0,1)}(t) + \frac{2t-1}{t^2} \chi_{[1,\infty)}(t)$$

and

$$x_n^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \chi_{[0,n)}(t) + \frac{1}{t} \chi_{[n,\infty)}(t)$$

for any $t > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, whence $x_n \prec x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that $x \in E$ and

$$\|x_n\|_E = \|x_n\|_{\Lambda_{1,\psi'}} + \|x_n\|_{L^1} = 1 + \frac{1}{n^{3/4}}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $\|x_n\|_E \geq 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which concludes that E is not KOC. On the other hand, since $\phi(\infty) = \int_0^\infty \phi' = \infty$, by Proposition 1.4 in [15] it follows that the Lorentz space $\Lambda_{1,\phi'}$ is order continuous. Hence, since ϕ is strictly concave, by Theorem 2.11 and Proposition 1.7 in [5] we obtain that $\Lambda_{1,\phi'}$ is strictly K -monotone and also has the Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure. Finally, by Theorem 3.13 we get E is ULUKM and LLUKM.

According to Theorem 4.8 in [9] and by Remark 3.1 in [8] and also Lemma 3.2 as well as Theorem 3.16 we conclude with the next theorem.

Theorem 3.18. *Let E be a symmetric space and let ϕ be the fundamental function of E and $x \in E$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) x is an LLUKM point and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(t)x^*(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi(s)x^{**}(s) = 0.$$

(ii) x is an LKM point and a point of order continuity and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi(s)x^{**}(s) = 0.$$

(iii) x is an LKM point and a point of K -order continuity and $x^*(\infty) = 0$.

Acknowledgement. We wish to express our gratitude to the reviewer for many valuable suggestions and remarks.

REFERENCES

1. C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, Pure and Applied Mathematics Series 129, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
2. Yu. A. Brudnyi and N. Ya. Kruglyak *Interpolation Functors and Interpolation Spaces. Vol. I*, Translated from the Russian by Natalie Wadhwa. With a preface by Jaak Peetre. North-Holland Mathematical Library, 47. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1991.
3. A. P. Calderón, *Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method*, Studia Math. **24** (1964), 113-190.
4. J. Cerdà, H. Hudzik, A. Kamińska and M. Mastyło, *Geometric Properties of Symmetric Spaces with Applications to Orlicz-Lorentz Spaces*, Positivity **2** (1998), no. 4, 311-337.
5. V. I. Chilin, P. G. Dodds, A. A. Sedaev and F. A. Sukochev, *Characterizations of Kadec-Klee properties in symmetric spaces of measurable functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), no. 12, 4895-4918.
6. V. I. Chilin and F. A. Sukochev, *Weak convergence in non-commutative symmetric spaces*, J. Operator Theory **31** (1994), no. 1, 35-65.
7. M. Ciesielski, *Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya relation in the best dominated approximation in symmetric spaces*, J. Approx. Theory **213** (2017), 78-91.
8. M. Ciesielski, *On geometric structure of symmetric spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **430** (2015), no. 1, 98-125.
9. M. Ciesielski, *Strict K -monotonicity and K -order continuity in symmetric spaces*, preprint of 12 pages submitted on 17 May 2017 at arXiv:1705.06062.

10. M. Ciesielski, P. Kolwicz and A. Panfil, *Local monotonicity structure of symmetric spaces with applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **409** (2014), no. 2, 649-662.
11. M. Ciesielski, P. Kolwicz and R. Pluciennik, *Local approach to Kadec-Klee properties in symmetric function spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **426** (2015), no. 2, 700-726.
12. M. Ciesielski, P. Kolwicz and R. Pluciennik, *A note on strict K -monotonicity of some symmetric function spaces*, Comm. Math. **53** (2013), no. 2, 311-322.
13. M. M. Czerwińska, A. Kamińska, *Complex rotundities and midpoint local uniform rotundity in symmetric spaces of mea-surable operators*, Studia Math. **201** (2010), no. 3, 253-285.
14. H. Hudzik, A. Kamińska and M. Mastyło, *On geometric properties of Orlicz-Lorentz spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **40** (1997), no. 3, 316-329.
15. A. Kamińska and L. Maligranda, *On Lorentz spaces $\Gamma_{p,w}$* , Israel J. Math. **140** (2004), 285-318.
16. A. Kamińska and L. Maligranda, *Order convexity and concavity of Lorentz spaces $\Lambda_{p,w}$, $0 < p < \infty$* , Studia Math. **160** (2004), no. 3, 267-286.
17. S. G. Krein, Yu. I. Petunin and E. M. Semenov, *Interpolation of linear operators*, Translated from the Russian by J. Szűcs. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 54. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1982.
18. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces. II. Function spaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas], 97. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979.
19. G. G. Lorentz, *On the theory of spaces Λ* , Pacific J. Math. **1** (1951), 411-429.
20. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Problems and theorems in analysis. Vol. I: Series, integral calculus, theory of functions*, Translated from the German by D. Aepli Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 193. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1972.
21. A. Sparr, *On the conjugate space of the Lorentz space $L(\phi, q)$* , (English summary) Interpolation theory and applications, 313-336, Contemp. Math., 445, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POZNAŃ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, PIOTROWO 3A, 60-965 POZNAŃ, POLAND

E-mail address: maciej.ciesielski@put.poznan.pl