

DIRECTIONAL SHORT-TIME FOURIER TRANSFORM AND DIRECTIONAL REGULARITY

SANJA ATANASOVA, STEVAN PILIPOVIĆ, AND KATERINA SANEVA

ABSTRACT. We give some new results related to the directional short-time Fourier transform (DSTFT) and extend them on the spaces $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and their duals. Then, we define multi-directional STFT and, for tempered distributions, directional regular sets and their complements, directional wave fronts. Different windows with mild conditions on their support show the invariance of these notions related to window functions. Smoothness of f follows from the assumptions of the directional regularity in any direction.

1. INTRODUCTION

In multidimensional time-frequency analysis, wave fronts are useful concept when analyzing where, how and why one distribution is singular, and when observing the direction in which the singularity occurs. Also, wave fronts are one of the crucial elements in the recent studies of the theory of distributions because of their ability to control the product of distributions.

The motivation of this paper is coming from [2], where Grafakos and Sansing developed a theory that merges the Radon transform and time-frequency theory, and introduced the concept of directionally sensitive time-frequency analysis. Let $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be a non-zero window function, $(u, x, \xi) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, where \mathbb{S}^{n-1} is the unit sphere and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then,

$$(1.1) \quad g_{u,x,\xi}(t) = e^{2\pi i \xi(u \cdot t - x)} g(u \cdot t - x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

called Gabor ridge functions, can be viewed as time-frequency analysis elements in the Radon domain. By pairing the function f with $g_{u,x,\xi}$, Grafakos and Sansing provided an idea to localize information in time, frequency and direction defining a directionally sensitive variant of the STFT. They have shown that it is not possible to obtain an exact reconstruction of a signal using the Gabor ridge functions ([2, Thrm. 1]), and therefore they have modified their class of functions to the weighted Gabor ridge functions (see [2] for details). Their results for directionally sensitive time-frequency decompositions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ based on Gabor systems in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ are generalized in [7], by showing similar results for discrete and continuous frames.

Giv in [1] introduced another transform which is also a directionally sensitive variant of the STFT, letting

$$g_{u,x,\xi}(t) = e^{2\pi i t \cdot \xi} g(t \cdot u - x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42C40, 46F12. Secondary 26A12, 46F10.
Key words and phrases. Directional STFT, distributions, directional wave front.

Using these functions he defined the directional short-time Fourier transform (DSTFT) and proved several orthogonality results and reconstruction formulas for it [1].

The aim of this paper is twofold. In the first part (Section 3), we consider the DSTFT and its adjoint on the exponential type distributions, as an extension of the results of two of us (cf. [9]) for tempered distributions to distributions of exponential type $\mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In this part we give another result concerning an inversion formula for DSTFT in comparison to [1] and [9], where an additional integral over the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} appears in several important formulae.

In the second part of the paper we give an extension, introducing the multi-directional short-time Fourier transform (Section 4). Moreover, by a simple transformation of coordinates, we simplify our exposition considering directions of orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_k of \mathbb{R}^k in the framework of \mathbb{R}^n . In this way we present our main aim, namely, the analysis of the regularity properties of a signal $f(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, being a tempered distribution, through the knowledge of the short-time Fourier transform in direction of selected coordinates. In other words, we introduce and analyze the directional wave fronts which can have applications in the time-frequency analysis.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation. The Fourier transform of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as $\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} f(x) dx$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The translation and modulation operators are given by $T_x f(\cdot) = f(\cdot - x)$ and $M_\xi f(\cdot) = e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot} f(\cdot)$, $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, respectively. The operators $M_\xi T_x$ and $T_x M_\xi$ are called time-frequency shifts. The notation $\langle f, \varphi \rangle$ means dual pairing, whereas (f, φ) stands for the L^2 inner product.

2.2. Spaces. The Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing smooth functions and its dual, the space of tempered distributions, are denoted by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, respectively, [11]. Recall [5] that the space of exponentially rapidly decreasing smooth functions $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space that consists of $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which all the norms

$$\rho_k(\varphi) := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha| \leq k} e^{k|t|} |\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)|, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

are finite. It is an FS-space and therefore Montel and reflexive. Moreover, the space $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is nuclear. The dual space $\mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all distributions of the exponential form $f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} (e^{s|\cdot|} |f_\alpha|)^{(\alpha)}$, where $f_\alpha \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [5]. Next, recall [5] that $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is the space of entire functions such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ if and only if

$$\theta_k(\varphi) := \sup_{z \in \Pi_k} (1 + |z|^2)^{k/2} |\varphi(z)| < \infty, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where Π_k is the tube $\Pi_k = \mathbb{R}^n + i[-k, k]^n$. The dual space $\mathcal{U}'(\mathbb{C}^n)$, known as the space of Silva tempered ultradistributions (see [10], [6],[13]), contains the space of analytic functionals.

As it turns out, the Fourier transform is a topological isomorphism from $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, and extends to a topological isomorphism (with respect to strong topologies) $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'(\mathbb{C}^n)$, [5], [13].

Next, we introduce the topological tensor product space $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ derived as the completion of the tensor product $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ in the π -topology, same as the completion in the ε -topology [12]. The topology of $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is given by the family of the norms

$$\rho_k^l(\Phi) := \sup_{(x,z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Pi_k} e^{k|x|}(1+|z|^2)^{k/2} \left| \frac{\partial^l}{\partial x^l} \Phi(x, z) \right|, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Its dual $(\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n))' = \mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R})\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{U}'(\mathbb{C}^n)$ will be used in our definition of the DSTFT of exponential distributions as it contains the range of this transform (cf. Subsection 3.2). If a measurable function F satisfies

$$|F(x, z)| \leq C e^{s|x|}(1+|z|)^s, \quad (x, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^n,$$

for some $s, C > 0$, then we shall identify F with an element of $\mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R})\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{U}'(\mathbb{C}^n)$ via

$$(2.1) \quad \langle F, \Phi \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(x, \xi + i\eta) \Phi(x, \xi + i\eta) d\xi dx,$$

$z = \xi + i\eta$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. (2.1) holds due to the Cauchy integral theorem.

2.3. The short-time Fourier transform. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Recall that the short-time Fourier transform (STFT) of f with respect to a window function $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by

$$(2.2) \quad V_g f(x, \xi) := \langle f(t), \overline{M_\xi T_x g(t)} \rangle_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t) \overline{g(t-x)} e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot t} dt, \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The adjoint of V_g , over $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, is given by

$$V_g^* F(t) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} F(x, \xi) g(t-x) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot t} dx d\xi.$$

If $g \neq 0$ and $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a synthesis window for g , that is, those one for which $\langle g, \psi \rangle \neq 0$, then any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be recovered from its STFT via the inversion formula

$$(2.3) \quad f(t) = \frac{1}{\langle g, \psi \rangle} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} V_g f(x, \xi) M_\xi T_x \psi(t) dx d\xi.$$

Whenever the generalized inner product in (2.2) is well-defined, the definition of $V_g f$ can be viewed in a larger classes than $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is easy to show that if $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ is fixed window, then $V_g : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and $V_g^* : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are continuous mappings. We refer to [3], [4] for the basic STFT theory.

Moreover, in [8] authors have shown that if $g \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ then $V_g : \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $V_g^* : \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are continuous mappings.

One can define the STFT of a distribution $f \in \mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$) with respect to a window $g \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) as

$$(2.4) \quad V_g f(x, \xi) = \langle f, \overline{M_\xi T_x g} \rangle.$$

2.4. The directional short-time Fourier transform. Let $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. The directional short-time Fourier transform (DSTFT) of an integrable function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) with respect to $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$(2.5) \quad DS_{g,u}f(x, \xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t) \overline{g(u \cdot t - x)} e^{-2\pi i t \cdot \xi} dt = \langle f(t), \bar{g}_{u,x,\xi}(t) \rangle_t,$$

where $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

One can show, by the use of results of Gröchenig [3] (we will demonstrate this in the proof of Proposition 4.2 of Section 4), that for a non-trivial $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, with synthesis window $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the following reconstruction formula holds pointwisely,

$$(2.6) \quad f(t) = \frac{1}{(g, \psi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} DS_{g,u}f(x, \xi) \psi_{u,x,\xi}(t) dx d\xi.$$

The reconstruction formula (2.6) allow us define an operator that maps functions on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to functions on \mathbb{R}^n as superposition of functions $g_{u,x,\xi}$. Given $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, we introduce the *directional synthesis operator* as

$$(2.7) \quad DS_{g,u}^* \Phi(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi(x, \xi) g_{u,x,\xi}(t) dx d\xi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Thus, the relation (2.6) takes the form $(DS_{\psi,u}^* \circ DS_{g,u})f = (g, \psi)f$.

The authors in [9] have discussed the problem of extending the definition of DSTFT on the space of tempered distributions. Here, we study the DSTFT in the context of the space $\mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of distributions of exponential type.

If $f \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})$, then we immediately get that (2.5) extends to a holomorphic function in the second variable. This means that $DS_{g,u}f(x, z)$ is entire in $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We write in the sequel $z = \xi + i\eta$ with $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note also that if $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $g \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})$, then, using the Cauchy theorem, we may write $DS_{g,u}^* \Phi$ as

$$(2.8) \quad DS_{g,u}^* \Phi(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi(x, \xi + i\eta) g(u \cdot t - x) e^{2\pi i(\xi + i\eta) \cdot t} dx d\xi,$$

for arbitrary $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In the next section, we will show that if $g \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})$, then $DS_{g,u}^*$ maps continuously $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It will then be shown that $DS_{g,u}^*$ can be even extended to act on the distribution space $\mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}'(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

As a simple consequence of Fubini's theorem, if $g \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})$, $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, then one can easily prove

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t) DS_{g,u}^* \Phi(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} DS_{\bar{g},u}f(x, \xi + i\eta) \Phi(x, \xi + i\eta) dx d\xi,$$

$\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and this can be written as $\langle f, DS_{\bar{g},u}^* \Phi \rangle = \langle DS_{g,u}f, \Phi \rangle$ using (2.1). As in [9], we use this dual relation when defining the DSTFT of exponential distributions.

3. THE DIRECTIONAL STFT OF DISTRIBUTIONS OF EXPONENTIAL TYPE

3.1. Continuity of the directional STFT on $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $g \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$. Then the DSTFT $DS_{g,u}$ is injective and $DS_{g,u}^*$ is surjective, due to the reconstruction formula (2.6).

Notice that we can extend the definition of the DSTFT as a sesquilinear mapping $DS : (\varphi, g) \mapsto DS_{g,u}\varphi$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $g \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})$, whereas the directional synthesis operator extends to the bilinear form $DS^* : (\Phi, g) \mapsto DS_{g,u}^*\Phi$, $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

Theorem 3.1. *The mapping $DS : \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is continuous.*

Proof. We will show that for given $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exist $\nu, \tau \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \rho_k^l(DS_{g,u}\varphi) \leq C\rho_\nu(\varphi)\rho_\tau(g), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n), g \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{k|x|}(1+|z|^2)^{k/2} \left| \frac{\partial^l}{\partial x^l} DS_{g,u}\varphi(x, z) \right| \\ &= e^{k|x|}(1+|\xi+i\eta|^2)^{k/2} \left| \frac{\partial^l}{\partial x^l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(t) \overline{g(u \cdot t - x)} e^{-2\pi i t \cdot (\xi + i\eta)} dt \right| \\ &\leq e^{k|x|}(1+|\xi|^2)^{k/2}(1+|\eta|^2)^{k/2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(t) \overline{g^{(l)}(u \cdot t - x)} (-1)^l e^{-2\pi i t \cdot \xi} e^{2\pi t \cdot \eta} dt \right| \\ &\leq C e^{k|x|}(1+nk^2)^{k/2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(t) \overline{g^{(l)}(u \cdot t - x)} (-1)^l (1 - \Delta_t)^{k/2} (e^{-2\pi i t \cdot \xi}) e^{2\pi t \cdot \eta} dt \right| \\ &\leq C e^{k|x|}(1+nk^2)^{k/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| (1 - \Delta_t)^{k/2} \left(\varphi(t) \overline{g^{(l)}(u \cdot t - x)} \right) \right| |e^{2\pi t \cdot \eta}| dt \\ &\leq C e^{k|x|}(1+nk^2)^{k/2} \sum_{|k_1|+|k_2|=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \varphi^{(k_1)}(t) \overline{g^{(l+k_2)}(u \cdot t - x)} \right| e^{2\pi k|t|} dt \\ &\leq \widetilde{C}_k \sum_{|k_1|+|k_2|=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{k|x-u \cdot t+u \cdot t|} \left| \varphi^{(k_1)}(t) \overline{g^{(l+k_2)}(u \cdot t - x)} \right| e^{2\pi k|t|} dt \\ &\leq \widetilde{C}_k \sum_{|k_1|+|k_2|=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{k|u \cdot t - x|} e^{k|t|} \left| \varphi^{(k_1)}(t) \overline{g^{(l+k_2)}(u \cdot t - x)} \right| e^{2\pi k|t|} dt \\ &= \widetilde{C}_k \sum_{|k_1|+|k_2|=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{k|u \cdot t - x|} e^{(1+2\pi)k|t|} \left| \varphi^{(k_1)}(t) \right| \left| \overline{g^{(l+k_2)}(u \cdot t - x)} \right| dt. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now analyze the directional synthesis operator.

Theorem 3.2. *The bilinear mapping $DS^* : (\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)) \times \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})$, $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\varphi = DS_{g,u}^*\Phi$. Let $\widehat{\Phi}_1(z_1, z)$ denote the Fourier transform of $\Phi(x, z)$ with respect to the first variable and $\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(\Phi)(x, t)$ denote

the inverse Fourier transform of $\Phi(x, z)$ with respect to the second variable. We remark that $\widehat{\Phi}_1(z_1, z)$ is an entire function in $z_1 = \omega + i\mu$, $\omega, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. An application of the Cauchy theorem and the Parseval formula give

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi(x, z) g(u \cdot t - x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\Phi}_1(\omega + i\mu, z) e^{-2\pi i(\omega + i\mu)u \cdot t} \widehat{g}(\omega + i\mu) d\omega.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= DS_{g,u}^* \Phi(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, \xi + i\eta) g(u \cdot t - x) e^{2\pi i(\xi + i\eta) \cdot t} d\xi dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(e^{-2\pi i(\omega + i\mu)u \cdot t} \widehat{\Phi}_1(\omega + i\mu, \xi + i\eta) \widehat{g}(\omega + i\mu) \right) e^{2\pi i t \cdot (\xi + i\eta)} d\xi d\omega \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i(\omega + i\mu)u \cdot t} \widehat{g}(\omega + i\mu) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\Phi}_1(\omega + i\mu, \xi + i\eta) e^{2\pi i t \cdot (\xi + i\eta)} d\xi \right) d\omega \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i(\omega + i\mu)u \cdot t} \widehat{g}(\omega + i\mu) \mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(\widehat{\Phi}_1)(\omega + i\mu, t) d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\varphi}(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}(z_1) \widehat{\Phi}_1(z_1, z) * e^{-2\pi i z_1 u \cdot t} d\omega \\ (3.2) \quad &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}(z_1) \widehat{\Phi}_1(z_1, z) * \delta(z_1 u + z) d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\Phi}_1(z_1, z_1 u + z) \widehat{g}(z_1) d\omega, \end{aligned}$$

$z = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $z_1 = \omega + i\mu \in \mathbb{C}$.

We now prove the continuity of the bilinear directional synthesis mapping. Since the Fourier transform $g \mapsto \widehat{g}$ is a topological isomorphism from $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the family of seminorms

$$\sigma_k(g) = \theta_k(\widehat{g}), \quad g \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

is a bases of seminorms for the topology of $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We also know that the Fourier transform with respect to the first variable, $\Phi(x, z) \rightarrow \widehat{\Phi}_1(z_1, z)$, is a topological isomorphism from $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ onto $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Therefore, the family of seminorms

$$\theta_{l,k}(\Phi) = \sup_{(z_1, z) \in \Pi_l^1 \times \Pi_k} (1 + |z_1|^2)^{l/2} (1 + |z|^2)^{k/2} \left| \widehat{\Phi}_1(z_1, z) \right|, \quad l, k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

$\Pi_l^1 = \mathbb{R} + i[-l, l]$, is a bases of seminorms for the topology of $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

We show that for a given $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\sigma_N(DS_{g,u}^* \Phi) \leq C \sigma_{N+2}(g) \theta_{0,N}(\Phi).$$

Now, setting again $\varphi(x) := DS_{g,u}^* \Phi(x)$ and using the expression (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + |z|)^N |\hat{\varphi}(z)| &= (1 + |z|)^N \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{g}(z_1) \hat{\Phi}_1(z_1, z_1 u + z) d\omega \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{g}(z_1)| |\hat{\Phi}_1(z_1, z_1 u + z)| (1 + |z + z_1 u|)^N (1 + |z_1|)^N d\omega \\ &\leq \sigma_{N+2}(g) \theta_{0,N}(\Phi) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1 + |z_1|)^2} d\omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $z_1 = \omega + i\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. □

3.2. Directional STFT on $\mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. The continuity results allow us to define the DSTFT of $f \in \mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to $g \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})$ as the element $DS_{g,u} f \in \mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}'(\mathbb{C}^n)$ whose action on test functions is given by

$$(3.3) \quad \langle DS_{g,u} f, \Phi \rangle := \langle f, DS_{g,u}^* \Phi \rangle, \quad \Phi \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

Then, the directional synthesis operator $DS_{g,u}^* : \mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}'(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be defined as

$$(3.4) \quad \langle DS_{g,u}^* F, \varphi \rangle := \langle F, DS_{g,u} \varphi \rangle, \quad F \in \mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}'(\mathbb{C}^n), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We immediately obtain:

Proposition 3.3. *Let $g \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})$. The directional short-time Fourier transform $DS_{g,u} : \mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}'(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and the directional synthesis operator $DS_{g,u}^* : \mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}'(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are continuous linear maps.*

3.3. Direct directional STFT on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In the previous subsection, as well as in [9], directional STFT on $\mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are defined as transposed mappings.

We will consider in this subsection a direct definition of directional STFT on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows. Let $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni f(t) \mapsto f(t) \overline{g(t \cdot u - x)} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni f(t) \overline{g(t \cdot u - x)} \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f(t) \overline{g(t \cdot u - x)})(\xi) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

defines $DS_{g,u} f(x, \xi)$.

Proposition 3.4. *The direct definition of DSTFT and the one given via the transposed mapping coincide.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and (f_k) be a sequence from $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which converges to f in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since both definitions agree on f_k , for every k , the assertion follows by the continuity. □

4. MULTI-DIRECTIONAL STFT

We will extend the directional STFT, introducing the k -directional STFT, $1 \leq k \leq n$. The case $k = 1$ is explained in the previous part of the paper.

Note that the k -th tensor product completed in π - or ε - topology $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})$ equals to $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^k)$. The same holds for $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^k)$. Below we will use notations $(\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}))^k = \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}) \times \dots \times \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})$ and $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}))^k = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $u^k = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ where $u_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ are independent vectors of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , and $x^k = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Let the nontrivial functions g_1, \dots, g_k belong to $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})$ (resp., $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$), $g^k = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k \in (\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}))^k$ (resp., $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}))^k$) and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp., $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$). Then, we define the k -directional STFT by

$$(4.1) \quad DS_{g^k, u^k} f(x^k, \xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t) \overline{g_1(u_1 \cdot t - x_1)} \cdot \dots \cdot \overline{g_k(u_k \cdot t - x_k)} e^{-2\pi i t \cdot \xi} dt.$$

Proposition 4.1. *By (4.1) is defined a continuous linear mapping of $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp., $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) into $(\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}))^k \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ (resp., $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}))^k \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$).*

In particular, when $k = n$, (4.1) is the short-time Fourier transform.

Proof. Let $A = [u_{i,j}]$ be a $k \times n$ matrix with rows $u_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ and $I_{n-k, n-k}$ be the identity matrix. Let B be an $n \times n$ matrix determined by A and $I_{n-k, n-k}$ so that $Bt = s$, where

$$s_1 = u_{1,1}t_1 + \dots + u_{1,n}t_n, \dots, s_k = u_{k,1}t_1 + \dots + u_{k,n}t_n,$$

$s_{k+1} = t_{k+1}, \dots, s_n = t_n$. Clearly, it is regular. Put $C = B^{-1}$ and $e^k = (e_1, \dots, e_k)$ where $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_k = (0, \dots, 1)$ are unit vectors of the coordinate system of \mathbb{R}^k . Then, with the change of variables $t = Cs$, and $\eta = C^t \xi$ (C^t is the transposed matrix for C), one obtains, for $f \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} DS_{g^k, u^k} f(x^k, \xi) &= (DS_{g^k, e^k}(|C|f(C \cdot)))(x^k, \eta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(s) \overline{g_1(s_1 - x_1)} \cdot \dots \cdot \overline{g_k(s_k - x_k)} e^{-2\pi i s \cdot \eta} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $|C|$ is the determinant of C .

Now, we immediately see the proof of the theorem since $\tilde{f}(s) = |C|f(Cs), s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an element of $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

Put

$$g_{u^k, x^k, \xi}^k(t) = g_1(u_1 \cdot t - x_1) \cdot \dots \cdot g_k(u_k \cdot t - x_k) e^{2\pi i t \cdot \xi}, t \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let for $g_i \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})$ (resp., $g_i \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$), $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R})$ (resp., $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$) be the synthesis window, $i = 1, \dots, k$ and let

$$(g^k, \psi^k) = (g_1, \psi_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (g_k, \psi_k) \neq 0.$$

We will prove the inversion formula for the multi-directional STFT:

Proposition 4.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp., $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$), $g^k, \psi^k \in (\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}))^k$ (resp., $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}))^k$). Then*

$$(4.3) \quad f(t) = \frac{1}{(g^k, \psi^k)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} DS_{g^k, u^k} f(x^k, \xi) \psi_{u^k, x^k, \xi}^k(t) dx^k d\xi$$

pointwisely.

Proof. The proof is the same as for the short-time Fourier transform (see [3], Theorem 3.2.1 and Corollary 3.2.3). We will use, after the change of variables the representation (4.2). Let $\tilde{f}_i(\cdot) = |C|f_i(C\cdot)$, $i = 1, 2$. Actually, by the Parseval identity we have that for given $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g^k, \psi^k \in (\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}))^k$,

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & (DS_{g^k, u^k} f_1(x^k, \xi), DS_{\psi^k, u^k} f_2(x^k, \xi))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= (DS_{g^k, e^k} \tilde{f}_1(x^k, \xi), DS_{\psi^k, e^k} \tilde{f}_2(x^k, \xi))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n)} = (\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} (\overline{g^k}, \overline{\psi^k})_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^k)}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the reconstruction formula (4.3) as a consequence of (4.4), as in the quoted corollary of [3]. \square

Let $f \in \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We have that (4.1) extends to a holomorphic function, i.e. $DS_{g^k, u^k} f(x^k, z)$ is entire in $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$. As in the case $k = 1$, if $\Phi \in (\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}))^k \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $g^k \in (\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbb{R}))^k$, for arbitrary $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and the Cauchy theorem, we can write

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & DS_{g^k, u^k}^* \Phi(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \Phi(x^k, \xi + i\eta) \\ & g_1(u_1 \cdot t - x_1) \cdot \dots \cdot g_k(u_k \cdot t - x_k) e^{2\pi i(\xi + i\eta) \cdot t} dx^k d\xi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Multi-directional STFT on dual spaces $\mathcal{K}'_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be defined as in the case $k = 1$ (cf. Subsection 3.2 and 3.3).

The next theorem connects multi-directional STFT's with respect to different windows. It is crucial for the main theorem of Section 5.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ be independent. Let $h_1, \dots, h_k, g_1, \dots, g_k, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ belong to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ where γ_i is synthesis window for $g_i, i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$DS_{h^k, u^k} f(y^k, \eta) = (DS_{g^k, u^k} f(s^k, \zeta)) * (DS_{h^k, u^k} \gamma^k(s^k, \zeta))(y^k, \eta).$$

Proof. By the use of the change of variables given in the proof of Proposition 4.1, it follows that it is enough to prove the assertion for $u^k = e^k$. Let $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^k) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}'(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & DS_{h^k, e^k} (DS_{\gamma^k, e^k}^* F)(y^k, \eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} F(x^k, \xi) \right. \\ & \quad \gamma_1(t_1 - x_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_k(t_k - x_k) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot t} dx^k d\xi \overline{h_1(t_1 - y_1)} \cdot \dots \cdot \overline{h_k(t_k - y_k)} e^{-2\pi i t \cdot \eta} dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{h_1(t_1 - (y_1 - x_1))} \cdot \dots \cdot \overline{h_k(t_k - (y_k - x_k))} \right. \\ & \quad \gamma_1(t_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_k(t_k) e^{-2\pi i t \cdot (\eta - \xi)} dt \left. F(x^k, \xi) dx^k d\xi \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \gamma^k(t^k) \overline{h^k(t^k - (y^k - x^k))} e^{-2\pi i t \cdot (\eta - \xi)} dt \right) F(x^k, \xi) dx^k d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} F(x^k, \xi) DS_{h^k, e^k} \gamma^k(y^k - x^k, \eta - \xi) dx^k d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we put $F = DS_{g^k, e^k} f$ and obtain

$$(4.6) \quad DS_{h^k, e^k} f(y^k, \eta) = (DS_{g^k, e^k} f(s^k, \zeta)) * (DS_{h^k, e^k} \gamma^k(s^k, \zeta))(y^k, \eta).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

5. DIRECTIONAL WAVE FRONTS

DSTFT can be used in the detection of singularities determined by the hyperplanes orthogonal to vectors u_1, \dots, u_k . For this purpose, we introduce (multi)-directional regular sets and wave front sets for tempered distributions using the direct multi-directional STFT.

The proofs of Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.3 show that we can simplify our exposition by the use of the linear transformation C of Proposition 4.1 and transfer the u^k - DSTFT to e^k - DSTFT. Thus, in order to simplify our exposition of this section, we will consider regularity properties in the framework of the direction $u^k = e^k$.

If $k = 1$, we consider direction $e^1 = e_1$ while for $1 < k \leq n$, we consider direction $e^k = (e_1, \dots, e_k)$. Let $k = 1$ and $x_0 = x_{0,1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Put $\Pi_{e^1, x_0, \varepsilon} = \Pi_{x_0, \varepsilon} := \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n; |t_1 - x_0| < \varepsilon\}$. It is a part of \mathbb{R}^n between two hyperplanes orthogonal to e_1 , that is,

$$\Pi_{x_0, \varepsilon} = \bigcup_{x \in (x_0 - \varepsilon, x_0 + \varepsilon)} P_x, \quad (x_0 = (x_0, 0, \dots, 0)),$$

and P_x denotes the hyperplane orthogonal to e_1 passing through x .

We keep the notation of Section 4. Put

$$\Pi_{e^k, x^k, \varepsilon} = \Pi_{e_1, x_1, \varepsilon} \cap \dots \cap \Pi_{e_k, x_k, \varepsilon}, \quad \Pi_{e^k, x^k} = \Pi_{e_1, x_1} \cap \dots \cap \Pi_{e_k, x_k}.$$

The first set is a paralelopiped determined by $2k$ finite edges while the other edges are infinite. The set Π_{e^k, x^k} equals \mathbb{R}^{n-k} translated by vectors $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_k$. We will call it $n - k$ -dimensional element of \mathbb{R}^n and denote it as $P_{e^k, x^k} \in \mathbb{R}^k$. If $k = n$, then this is just the point $x^n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Definition 5.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is said that f is k -directionally regular at $(P_{e^k, x_0^k}, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ if there exists $g^k \in (\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}))^k$, $g^k(0) \neq 0$, a product of open balls $L_r(x_0^k) = L_r(x_{0,1}) \times \dots \times L_r(x_{0,k}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and a cone Γ_{ξ_0} such that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{x^k \in L_r(x_0^k), \xi \in \Gamma_{\xi_0}} |DS_{g^k, e^k} f(x^k, \xi)| \\ = \sup_{x^k \in L_r(x_0^k), \xi \in \Gamma_{\xi_0}} |\mathcal{F}(f(t) \overline{g^k(t^k - x^k)})(\xi)| \leq C_N (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-N/2}.$$

Note that for $k = n$ we have classical Hörmander's regularity.

Remark 5.2. a) If f is k -directionally regular at (P_{e^k, x_0^k}, ξ_0) , then there exists an open ball (with radius r and center x_0^k) $L_r(x_0^k)$ and an open cone $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{\xi_0}$ so that f is k -directionally regular at $(P_{e^k, z_0^k}, \theta_0)$ for any $z_0^k \in L_r(x_0^k)$ and $\theta_0 \in \Gamma$. This implies that the union of all k -directional regular points $(P_{e^k, z_0^k}, \theta_0)$, $(z_0^k, \theta_0) \in L_r(x_0^k) \times \Gamma$ is an open set of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

b) Denote by Pr_k the projection of \mathbb{R}^n onto \mathbb{R}^k . Then, the k -directional regular point (P_{e^k, x_0^k}, ξ_0) , considered in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with respect to the first k variables, equals $(Pr_k^{-1} \times I_\xi)(P_{e^k, x_0^k}, \xi_0)$ (I_ξ is the identity matrix on \mathbb{R}^n).

We define the k -directional wave front as the complement in $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ of all k -directional regular points (P_{e^k, x_0^k}, ξ_0) . This set is denoted as $WF_{e^k} f$. In $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, this is $(Pr_k^{-1} \times I_\xi)(WF_{e^k} f)$.

Proposition 5.3. *Set $WF_{e^k}(f)$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ (and $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$).*

We will use notation $B_r(0^k)$ to denote a closed ball in \mathbb{R}^k with center at zero 0^k and radius $r > 0$. Our main theorem relates directional regular sets for two DSTFT's.

Theorem 5.4. *If (5.1) holds for some $g^k \in (\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}))^k$, then it holds for every $h^k \in (\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}))^k$, $(h^k(0) \neq 0)$ supported by a ball $B_\rho(0^k)$, where $\rho \leq \rho_0$ and ρ_0 depends on r in (5.1).*

Proof. Since g^k and h^k are compactly supported, the integration with respect to x^k , which will be performed below, is finite. Moreover, we can assume that f is a continuous polynomially bounded function. If not, let $f = P(D)F$, where F is polynomially bounded and continuous while $P(D)$ is a differential operator with constant coefficients. In this case we can perform partial integration and transfer the differentiation from f on other factors of the integrand which do not effects the proof. So the analysis can be continued with f continuous and polynomially bounded.

We use Proposition 4.3, that is, the form (4.6). Assume that (5.1) holds and that γ^k is chosen so that $\text{supp } \gamma^k \subset B_{\rho_1}(0^k)$ and $\rho_1 < r - r_0$. Let $h^k \in (\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}))^k$ and $\text{supp } h^k \subset B_\rho(0^k)$. We will find ρ_0 such that (5.1) holds for $DS_{h^k, e^k} f(y^k, \eta)$, with $y^k \in B_{r_0}(x_0^k)$, $\eta \in \Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_{\xi_0}$, for $\rho \leq \rho_0$ ($\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_{\xi_0}$ means that $\Gamma_1 \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is a compact subset of $\Gamma_{\xi_0} \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$).

We need the next simple observations:

$$\begin{aligned} |p^k| &\leq \rho_1, \quad |y^k - x_0^k| \leq r_0 \quad \text{and} \quad |p^k - ((y^k - x_0^k) - (x^k - x_0^k))| \leq \rho \\ (5.2) \quad &\Rightarrow |x^k - x_0^k| \leq \rho + \rho_1 + r_0. \end{aligned}$$

So, we choose ρ_0 such that $\rho_0 + \rho_1 < r - r_0$. Then

$$(5.3) \quad \rho + \rho_1 + r_0 < r \quad \text{holds for} \quad \rho \leq \rho_0.$$

Let $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_{\xi_0}$. Then, with a suitable $c \in (0, 1)$,

$$(5.4) \quad \eta \in \Gamma_1, |\eta| > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\eta - \xi| \leq c|\eta| \Rightarrow \xi \in \Gamma_{\xi_0}; \quad |\eta - \xi| \leq c|\eta| \Rightarrow |\eta| \leq (1 - c)^{-1}|\xi|.$$

Let $y^k \in B_{r_0}(x_0^k)$, $\eta \in \Gamma_1$. Integrals which will appear below are considered as oscillatory integrals. We have

$$|DS_{h^k, e^k} f(y^k, \eta)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DS_{g^k, e^k} f(x^k, \xi) DS_{h^k, e^k} \gamma^k(y^k - x^k, \eta - \xi) d\xi dx^k \right|.$$

Consider

$$J_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DS_{g^k, e^k} f(x^k, \eta - \xi) d\xi \quad \text{and} \quad J_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DS_{h^k, e^k} \gamma^k(y^k - x^k, \xi) d\xi.$$

Then, by the use of partial integration, we have, in the oscillatory sense, (with the assumption that n is odd),

$$J_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(t)}{(1 + |2\pi t|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \overline{g^k(t^k - x^k)} (1 - \Delta_\xi)^{(n+1)/2} e^{-2\pi i t \cdot (\eta - \xi)} dt d\xi$$

(for n even, we take $n + 2$ instead of $n + 1$). This integral still diverges with respect to ξ , while J_2 converges, since

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B_{\rho_1}(0^k)} \frac{\gamma^k(p^k) \overline{h^k(p^k - (y^k - x^k))}}{(1 + |2\pi \xi|^2)^{s/2}} (1 - \Delta_p)^{s/2} e^{-2\pi i p \cdot \xi} dp d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B_{\rho_1}(0^k)} (1 - \Delta_p)^{s/2} \frac{\gamma^k(p^k) \overline{h^k(p^k - (y^k - x^k))}}{(1 + |2\pi \xi|^2)^{s/2}} e^{-2\pi i p \cdot \xi} dp d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Rewrite

$$|DS_{h^k, e^k} f(y^k, \eta)| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left(\int_{|\eta - \xi| \leq c|\eta|} + \int_{|\eta - \xi| \geq c|\eta|} \right) (\dots) d\xi |dx^k| = I_1 + I_2.$$

Then,

$$I_1 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left(\sup_{|\eta - \xi| \leq c|\eta|} |DS_{g^k, e^k} f(x^k, \eta - \xi)| \int_{|\eta - \xi| \leq c|\eta|} |DS_{h^k, e^k} \gamma(y^k - x^k, \xi)| d\xi \right) dx^k.$$

Now, we use (5.2), (5.3) and $(1 + |\eta|^2)^{N/2} \leq C(1 + |\xi|^2)^{N/2}$, for $|\xi| \geq (1 - c)|\eta|$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y^k \in B_{r_0}(x_0^k), \eta \in \Gamma_1} (1 + |\eta|^2)^{N/2} I_1 &\leq \int_{B_r(x_0^k)} \left(\sup_{\xi \in \Gamma_{\xi_0}} |DS_{g^k, e^k} f(x^k, \xi)| (1 + |\xi|^2)^{N/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \int_{|\xi| \geq (1-c)|\eta|} |DS_{h^k, e^k} \gamma(y^k - x^k, \xi)| d\xi \right) dx^k. \end{aligned}$$

Now by the finiteness of J_2 , we obtain that I_1 satisfies the necessary estimate of (5.1). Let us consider I_2 .

$$I_2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \int_{|\xi| \geq c|\eta|} DS_{g^k, e^k} f(x^k, \eta - \xi) DS_{h^k, e^k} \gamma^k(y^k - x^k, \xi) d\xi \right| dx^k.$$

Let $K = \{\xi : |\xi| \geq c|\eta|\}$. Denote by $\tilde{\kappa}_d, 0 < d < 1$, the characteristic function of $K_d = \bigcup_{\xi \in K} L_d(\xi)$, that is, K_d is open d -neighborhood of K . Then, put

$$\kappa_\eta = \tilde{\kappa}_d * \varphi_d,$$

where $\varphi_d = \frac{1}{d^n} \varphi(\cdot/d)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is non-negative, supported by the ball $B_1(0)$ and equals $1/2$ on $B_{1/2}(0)$. This construction implies that κ_η equals one on K , is supported by K_{2d} . Moreover, all the derivatives of κ_η are bounded. Assume that n is odd and that s is even and sufficiently large. Then,

$$\sup_{y^k \in B_{r/2}(x_0^k), \eta \in \Gamma_1} I_2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \kappa_\eta(\xi) DS_{g^k, e^k} f(x^k, \eta - \xi) DS_{h^k, e^k} \gamma^k(y^k - x^k, \xi) d\xi \right| dx^k$$

$$\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_t^n} \frac{f(t)}{(1 + |2\pi t|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \overline{g^k(t^k - x^k)} e^{-2\pi i t \cdot (\eta - \xi)} dt \right) (1 - \Delta_\xi)^{(n+1)/2} \right. \\ \left. \left(\frac{\kappa_\eta(\xi)}{(1 + |2\pi \xi|^2)^{s/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_p^n} \gamma^k(p^k) \overline{h^k(p^k - (y^k - x^k))} (1 - \Delta_p)^{s/2} e^{-2\pi i p \cdot \xi} dp \right) d\xi \right| dx^k.$$

Then, for every $y^k \in B_{r_0}(x_0^k)$ and $\eta \in \Gamma_1$, choosing $s > N + n$ (and being even) as well as using the Petree inequality, we see that all the integrals on the right hand side of

$$(1 + |\eta|^2)^{N/2} I_2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_t^n} \frac{|f(t)|}{(1 + |2\pi t|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} |\overline{g^k(t^k - x^k)}| dt \right. \\ \left. \left(\frac{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{N/2}}{(1 + |\eta - \xi|^2)^{N/2}} (1 - \Delta_\xi)^{(n+1)/2} \left(\frac{\kappa_\eta(\xi)}{(1 + |2\pi \xi|^2)^{s/2}} \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \int_{\mathbb{R}_p^n} |(1 - \Delta_p)^{s/2} (\gamma^k(p^k) \overline{h^k(p^k - (y^k - x^k)))}| dp \right) d\xi \right) dx^k$$

are finite. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 5.5. If $\text{supp } g^k \subset B_a(0^k)$, then we see that (5.1) shows the behaviour of $f(t), t \in Pr_k^{-1}(B_{a+r}(x_0^k))$ in the direction of ξ_0 .

Corollary 5.6. *Let $g^k \in (\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}))^k$, supported by a ball $B_a(0^k)$, have synthesis window γ^k supported by $B_{\rho_1}(0^k)$, $\rho_1 \leq a$. Assume that in (5.1) we have $2r$ instead of r , that is,*

$$(5.5) \quad \sup_{x^k \in L_{2r}(x_0^k), \xi \in \Gamma_{\xi_0}} |DS_{g^k, e^k} f(x^k, \xi)| \leq C_N (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-N/2}.$$

Moreover, assume that $a < r$. Then, for any $h^k \in (D(\mathbb{R}))^k$ with support $B_\rho(0^k)$, $\rho < a$, there exists r_0 and $\Gamma_1 \subset \subset \Gamma_{\xi_0}$ such that (5.5) holds for $DS_{h^k, e^k} f(y^k, \eta)$ with the supremum over $y^k \in B_{r_0}(x_0^k)$ and $\eta \in \Gamma_1$.

Proof. With the notation of Theorem 5.4, we have, similarly as in (5.2),

$$|x^k - x_0^k| \leq \rho + \rho_1 + r_0 < \rho + a - r_0 + r_0 = a + \rho < 2r.$$

This implies $|x^k - x_0^k| < 2r$, so that the supremum in the estimate of I_1 holds. In the same way as in Theorem 5.4, we perform the proof. \square

Theorem 5.7. *If (P_{e^k, x^k}, ξ) is a k -directional regular point of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, then $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Assume that $\text{supp } g^k \subset B_\rho(0^k)$. Let $x_0^k \in \mathbb{R}^k$. For every $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ there exist a ball $L_r^\xi(x_0^k)$ and a cone Γ_ξ such that (5.1) holds. As in the classical theory, the compactness of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} implies that there exists $r > 0$ such that for every $N > 0$ there exists $C_N > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x^k \in L_r(x_0^k)} |\mathcal{F}(f(t) \overline{g_1(t_1 - x_1)} \cdots \overline{g_k(t_k - x_k)})(\xi)| \leq C_N (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-N/2}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Thus, $f(t) \overline{g^k(t^k - x^k)} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $x^k \in L_r(x_0^k)$. Since $|t^k - x^k| < \rho$, we see that t must lie in some $Pr_k^{-1}(L_{r+\rho}(x_0^k))$. Thus, for every point of \mathbb{R}^n there exists an open set around it where f is smooth. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H.H. Giv, Directional short-time Fourier transform, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 399, 100–107 (2013).
- [2] L. Grafakos, C. Sansing, Gabor frames and directional time-frequency analysis, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 25(1), 47–67 (2008).
- [3] K. Gröchenig, Foundations of time-frequency analysis, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA (2001).
- [4] K. Gröchenig, G. Zimmermann, Spaces of test functions via the STFT, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. 2, 25–53 (2004).
- [5] M. Hasumi, Note on the n -dimensional tempered ultra-distributions, Tôhoku Math. J. 13, 94–104 (1961).
- [6] M. Morimoto, Theory of tempered ultrahyperfunctions. I, II, Proc. Japan Acad. 51, 87–91 (1975); 51, 213–218 (1975).
- [7] O. Christensen, B. Forster, P. Massopust, Directional time frequency analysis via continuous frames, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 92(2), 268–281 (2015).
- [8] S. Kostadinova, S. Pilipović, K. Saneva, J. Vindas, The short-time Fourier transform of distributions of exponential type and Tauberian theorems for S-asymptotics, Filomat, 30(11), 3047–3061 (2016).
- [9] K. Hadzi-Velkova Saneva, S. Atanasova, Directional short-time Fourier transform of distributions, J. Inequal. Appl., 124(1), 1–10 (2016).
- [10] J. Sebastião e Silva, Les fonctions analytiques comme ultra-distributions dans le calcul opérationnel, Math. Ann. 136, 58–96 (1958).
- [11] L. Schwartz, Théorie des distributions, Hermann, Paris (1966).
- [12] F. Trèves, Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernel, Academic Press, New York-London (1967).
- [13] Z. Zieleźny, Hypoelliptic and entire elliptic convolution equations in subspaces of the space of distributions. II, Studia Math. 32, 47–59 (1969).

FACULTY OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES, SS. CYRIL AND METHODIUS UNIVERSITY, RUGJER BOSHKOVIK BB, 1000 SKOPJE, MACEDONIA

E-mail address: `ksanja@feit.ukim.edu.mk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOVI SAD, TRG DOSITEJA OBRADOVIĆA 4, 21000 NOVI SAD, SERBIA

E-mail address: `stevan.pilipovic@dmi.uns.ac.rs`

FACULTY OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES, SS. CYRIL AND METHODIUS UNIVERSITY, RUGJER BOSHKOVIK BB, 1000 SKOPJE, MACEDONIA

E-mail address: `saneva@feit.ukim.edu.mk`