INTEGRAL OBSERVATIONS OF GW170104
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ABSTRACT

We used data from the INTErnational Gamma-Ray Astrophysics Laboratory (INTEGRAL) to set upper-limits on the $\gamma$-ray and hard X-ray prompt emission associated with the gravitational wave event GW170104, discovered by the LIGO/Virgo collaboration. The unique omni-directional viewing capability of the instruments on-board INTEGRAL allowed us to examine the full 90% confidence level localization region of the LIGO trigger. Depending on the particular spectral model assumed and the specific position within this region, the upper limits inferred from the INTEGRAL observations range from $F_\gamma = 1.9 \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-2}$ to $F_\gamma = 10^{-6} \text{ erg cm}^{-2}$ (75 keV - 2 MeV energy range). This translates into a ratio between the prompt energy released in $\gamma$-rays along the direction to the observer and the gravitational wave energy of $E_\gamma/E_{GW} < 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$. Using the INTEGRAL results, we can not confirm the $\gamma$-ray proposed counterpart to GW170104 by the AGILE team with the MCAL instrument. The reported flux of the AGILE/MCAL event, E2, is not compatible with the INTEGRAL upper limits within most of the 90% LIGO localization region. There is only a relatively limited portion of the sky where the sensitivity of the INTEGRAL instruments was not optimal and the lowest allowed fluence estimated for E2 would still be compatible with the INTEGRAL results. This region was also observed independently by Fermi/GBM and AstroSAT, from which, as far as we are aware, there are no reports of any significant detection of a prompt high-energy event.

Subject headings: gamma-ray burst – gravitational waves

1. INTRODUCTION

The LIGO/Virgo collaboration reported a third significant gravitational-wave (GW) event, GW170104, discovered on 2017-01-04 10:11:58.6 UTC. The false alarm probability associated with the detection was less than one event over 70,000 years [Abbott et al. 2017]. The LIGO 90% confidence localization region of GW170104 consisted of two elongated arcs, each spanning over 120 deg. The event was associated with the merging of two black holes with masses of $31^{+8.4}_{-4.8} \, M_\odot$ and $19^{+3.3}_{-5.9} \, M_\odot$ at a distance of $880^{+450}_{-390} \, \text{Mpc}$. GW170104 is thus the most remote confirmed GW event discovered so far.

Following the announcement by the LIGO team, extensive follow-up observations were carried out by a large number of facilities to search for an electromagnetic counterpart. Results obtained from ongoing serendipitous observations were promptly reported as well. The two telescopes on-board the Fermi satellite could not detect any significant excess over the background that was spatially and temporally compatible with the GW event [Burns et al. 2017, Fermi GBM and Fermi LAT Collaborations 2017]. Fermi-GBM provided sky coverage of 69.5% at the time of GW170104, enclosing 82.4% of the LIGO localization region. The upper limit derived from the Fermi-GBM observations corresponds to a 1-second fluence spanning from $5.2 \times 10^{-7}$ to $9.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-2}$ (in the 8-1000 keV energy range and assuming a typical Band spectrum of a short $\gamma$-ray burst, GRB).

A tighter upper limit on the fluence of the event was reported by Konus-Wind [Svinkin et al. 2017]. One of the instruments on-board the AGILE satellite revealed an excess over the instrument background (AGILE-GW170104) that was roughly coincident in time with the GW event. The estimated signal to noise ratio (SNR) of the detection is 4.4 and the corresponding post-trial coincidence probability is between $2.4 \, \sigma$ and $2.7 \, \sigma$...
In this letter, we make use of the available data collected by the instruments on-board INTEGRAL to search for possible hard X-ray and γ-ray counterparts to GW170104. We summarize the most relevant capabilities of the INTEGRAL instruments for these kinds of searches in Sect. 2 and describe all the obtained results in Sect. 3. We discuss the non-detection of a counterpart to the GW event in the INTEGRAL data with respect to the findings reported by the AGILE team in Section 3.3. Our conclusions are reported in Section 4.

2. THE INTEGRAL INSTRUMENTS AND THE FOLLOW-UP OF GW EVENTS

As extensively described by Savchenko et al. (2017), INTEGRAL provides unique instantaneous coverage of the entire high-energy sky by taking advantage of the synergy between its four all-sky detectors: IBIS/ISGRI, IBIS/PICsIT, IBIS/Veto, and SPI-ACS. These provide complementary capabilities for the detection of transient events characterized by different durations, locations on the sky, and spectral energy distributions. In the case of the first GW event, GW150914, the most stringent upper limit on the non-detection of an electromagnetic counterpart in 75 keV to 2 MeV energy range with INTEGRAL was obtained with the SPI-ACS (Savchenko et al. 2016), while the peculiar localization of LVT151012 (Abbott et al. 2016) and its orientation with respect to the INTEGRAL satellite required the combination of the results from all detectors (together with a careful analysis of each instrument’s response and background) to achieve an optimized upper limit. As we discuss in Sect. 3 it is again the SPI-ACS that provides the most stringent upper limit on the high energy emission from the non-detected electromagnetic counterpart to GW170104.

The SPI-ACS (von Kienlin et al. 2003) is made of 91 BGO (Bismuth Germanate, Bi4Ge3O12) scintillator crystals and it is the anti-coincidence shield of the SPI instrument (Vedrenne et al. 2003). Besides its main function of shielding the SPI germanium detectors, the ACS is also used as a nearly omnidirectional detector of transient events, providing a large effective area at energies above ~75 keV. The ACS data consist of event rates integrated over all the scintillator crystals with a time resolution of 50 ns. No spectral and/or directional information of the recorded events is available. The typical number of counts per 50 ms time bin ranges typically from about 3000 to 6000. SPI-ACS features a high duty cycle of ~85% and comprises events from the nearly complete high energy sky.

SPI is partially surrounded by the satellite structure and by the other INTEGRAL instruments, which shield the incoming photons and thus also affect the response of the ACS in different directions. For this reason, the computation of the ACS response requires detailed simulations which take into account the entire satellite structure. We developed a GEANT3 Monte-Carlo model based on the INTEGRAL mass model (Sturner et al. 2003) and simulated the propagation of monochromatic parallel beams of photons in the 50 keV-100 MeV energy range. For each energy, we simulated 3072 sky positions (16-side HEALPix grid). This allows us to generate an instrumental response function for any position in the sky, which can then be used to compute the expected number of counts for a given source spectral energy distribution. As shown in our previous paper (Savchenko et al. 2017), this response produces results for the bursts detected simultaneously by the SPI-ACS and other detectors (Fermi/GBM and Konus-Wind) that are consistent to an accuracy better than 20%.

3. INTEGRAL OBSERVATIONS OF GW170104

At the time of the GW170104 (2017-01-04 10:11:58.6 UTC, hereafter T0) INTEGRAL was fully operational and executing the pointing ID. 176700040010 in the direction of Cas A / Tycho SNR, far from the likely localization region of the LIGO trigger. All instruments were performing nominally, yielding a virtually constant and stable background count rate from at least T0 - 2500 to T0 + 2500 ks. The SPI-ACS background count rate was about 1.14×10^5 counts s^-1, which is higher than that observed during LVT151012 or GW150914 and close to the maximum value ever observed in SPI-ACS data during the INTEGRAL mission lifetime (excluding the time intervals affected by Solar flares). There are two reasons for the high background recorded at the time of GW170104: the 11-years Solar activity cycle, which is close to its minimum, and the day-scale variations of the instrumental background, which have been commonly observed since the early stages of the instrument operations. The enhanced background rate decreases the sensitivity of INTEGRAL instruments by as much as 30%, when compared to the most favorable conditions and much less, when compared to our reports on LVT151012 and GW150914. However, it should be noticed that the effects of background fluctuations on the sensitivity are typically smaller than those due to sky location. At the time of GW170104, the Earth was relatively distant from INTEGRAL, casting a small shadow of 49.0 deg^2 on the instrument field-of-view (equivalent to 0.12% of the sky) and occulting only about 0.032% of LIGO event localization probability. In the remaining part of this region, the SPI-ACS sensitivity was close to optimal. Thus, this instrument allowed us to carry out the most accurate search for any electromagnetic counterpart to GW170104. For a fraction of the 90% LIGO localization region, the IBIS sensitivity, including both ISGRI and PICsIT, (Ubertini et al. 2003) approached that of the SPI-ACS, but we checked that adding these data did not significantly improve our results. Therefore we do not extensively comment on the IBIS data but report for completeness in Fig. 4 a comparison between the contributions provided by the SPI-ACS, IBIS/Veto, and ISGRI in searching for an electromagnetic counterpart of GW170104. In this figure, we estimated for each value of the upper limit the integrated fraction of the entire LIGO localization region of the GW event that is probed by the data of the different INTEGRAL instruments. The SPI-ACS is clearly able to provide the deepest limits in the...
entire portion of the sky where the LIGO localization probability is significantly larger than zero.

The INTEGRAL Burst Alert System (IBAS) ([Mereghetti et al. 2003]) routinely inspects the INTEGRAL SPI-ACS and IBIS/ISGRI lightcurves in real time, searching for significant deviations from the background and producing automatic triggers. The closest IBAS trigger to GW170104 occurred on 2017-01-04 22:12:40 (T₀ + 43241 s) and was classified as a cosmic ray event, thus unlikely to be related to the LIGO trigger.

The closest event identified as a possible GRB in INTEGRAL data occurred at 2017-01-05 06:14:06 with a SNR of 9.3 and a duration of 5 seconds. The astrophysical nature of this event was confirmed by simultaneous observations of Konus-Wind ([Svinkin et al. 2017a], AstroSAT ([Sharma et al. 2017]), POLAR ([Marcinkowski & Xia 2017]), and a combined IPN analysis ([Svinkin et al. 2017a]). This was classified as a regular long GRB (GRB170105) with an optical afterglow that could also be independently found in the ATLAS follow-up observations of GW170104 ([ATLAS17aeu; Tonry et al. 2017], [Melandri et al. 2017], [Stalder et al. 2017], [Bhalerao et al. 2017]). INTEGRAL observations contributed to the triangulation which allowed the establishing the association between GRB170105 and ATLAS17aeu ([Svinkin et al. 2017a]). In general, INTEGRAL data are particularly useful to retrospectively search for GRB events, owing to its competitive and consistent omnidirectional sensitivity, stable background, and high duty cycle (see e.g. a recent case studied by [Whitesides et al. 2017]). GRB170105 was later found to be likely unrelated to GW170104 ([Stalder et al. 2017], [Bhalerao et al. 2017]).

We also inspected the SPI-ACS and IBIS light curves, focusing on a time interval of ±500 s around T₀ and probing 5 different time scales in the range 0.05-100 s. The latter were selected to be representative of the dynamical time scale of the accretion occurring in a coalescing compact binary (e.g. Lee & Ramirez-Ruiz 2003). We did not find any obvious detection of a significant signal temporally coincident with the GW event. A zoom of the SPI-ACS lightcurve around the time of the LIGO trigger is shown in Figure 2.

Following the approach in [Savchenko et al. 2018], [Savchenko et al. 2017] and the non-detection of any significant electromagnetic counterpart to GW170104 in the INTEGRAL data, we derived the corresponding upper limits assuming the cases of (i) a short-hard burst, i.e. a 1 s-long event characterized by a cut-off power-law spectral energy distribution with parameters $\alpha = -0.5$, $E_{\text{peak}} = 600$ keV; (ii) a long-soft burst, i.e. an 8 s-long event whose spectral energy distribution is described by the Band model ([Band et al. 1993] with parameters $\alpha = -1$, $\beta = -2.5$, and $E_{\text{peak}} = 300$ keV. While the reference short GRB duration of 1 s is close to the peak of the short GRB duration distribution, the 8 s time scale for the long-soft GRB is motivated by the sampling rate of IBIS/Veto, in analogy with the approach presented previously by [Savchenko et al. 2017].

To calculate the 3-σ upper limits, we fold the spectral model through the instrument response for each sky location and adjust the model normalization until the predicted number of counts is equal to three times the standard deviation of the background counts in the considered time interval. The upper limit derived in this way corresponds also to the 3-σ detection threshold, which is the generally recommended approach to compute upper limits corresponding to the non-detection of astrophysical events ([Kashyap et al. 2010]). Our method complies to the commonly accepted upper limit definitions, used for example by the Fermi/GBM team ([Fermi GBM and Fermi LAT Collaborations 2017]). The results obtained in these two cases are shown in Fig. 3 and 4. The estimated upper limits (75 keV - 2 MeV) within the LIGO 90% localization region range from $F_{\gamma} = 1.9 \times 10^{-7}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ to $3.5 \times 10^{-7}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ for a 1-second short hard GRB and from $F_{\gamma} = 5.2 \times 10^{-7}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ to $10^{-6}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ for an 8-second event characterized by a typical long GRB spectrum.

Assuming the reference distance to the event of $D = 880$ Mpc ([Abbott et al. 2017]), we can derive an upper limit on the isotropic equivalent total energy released in the 75 - 2000 keV energy band in one second as $E_{\gamma} < 3.2 \times 10^{49} \left( \frac{F_{\gamma}}{3.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{erg cm}^{-2}} \right)^{2} \left( \frac{D}{880 \text{Mpc}} \right)^{-2}$. The energy emitted in gravitational waves can be estimated as $E_{\text{GW}} = 3.6^{+1.1}_{-0.3} \times 10^{44}$ erg. The SPI-ACS upper limits we reported above can constrain the fraction of energy emitted in hard X-rays and γ-rays towards the observer during the GW event to be $f_{\gamma} < 9 \times 10^{-4}$ in the case of the short-hard burst, and $f_{\gamma} < 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$ in the case of the long-soft one (in the 75 - 2000 keV energy range).

While the limit on the fraction of the gamma-ray energy emitted in the energy range covered by SPI-ACS has the advantage of depending the least on the assumed source spectrum, it is of a general interest to estimate a limit on the bolometric luminosity. In the 1 - 10000 keV energy range that is conventionally used (e.g. [Rowlinson et al. 2011], [Pescalli et al. 2016]), we can constrain the total released electromagnetic energy and its ratio to the GW energy as $E_{\text{f1-10 keV}} < 3.5 \times 10^{49}$ ($f_{\text{f1-10 keV}} < 9.8 \times 10^{-6}$) in the case of the short-hard burst, and $E_{\text{f1-10 keV}} < 1.3 \times 10^{50}$ ($f_{\text{f1-10 keV}} < 3.7 \times 10^{-5}$) in the case of the long-soft one.

3.1. On the possible AGILE detection of an electromagnetic counterpart to GW170104

AGILE is an X-ray and γ-ray astronomical satellite of The Italian Space Agency, launched in 2007. AGILE’s scientific payload comprises a pair-conversion telescope, capable of detecting photons in the 30 MeV - 100 GeV energy range (GRID), and a hard X-ray monitor sensitive in the 18 - 60 keV energy range (SuperAGILE or SA). Additionally, AGILE is able to observe bright impulsive events from a large fraction of the unocculted sky with its mini-Calorimeter (MCAL), operating in the energy band 0.4-100 MeV ([Tavani et al. 2008]).

Verrecchia et al. ([2017]) reported on observations carried out with the MCAL at the time of GW170104. These observations covered only a fraction of the LIGO localization, due to the occultation of the AGILE FoV caused by the Earth. Several weak bursts were identified in the AGILE/MCAL data around the time of GW170104. Among them, the 32 ms-long burst E2 was identified as a possible γ-ray counterpart of the GW event. The reported trigger time is at 0.46 ± 0.05 s before T₀.

Following the report by [Verrecchia et al. 2017], we-
investigated the INTEGRAL data to check for any confirmation of this detection. We note that, unlike the upper limit presented in the previous Section (Figs 3 and 4), we need to compute the upper bound in the flux of any possible celestial event corresponding to the measured signal in SPI-ACS at the exact time of the AGILE putative event. However, the INTEGRAL orbit is very elongated resulting in a sizable difference in a celestial signal arrival time, which depends on the unknown source sky location, reaching up to ±0.32 s. First, we computed for each position in the sky the time at which the event AGILE-GW170104 should have been observed by INTEGRAL. For each position in the sky at the proper trigger time, we show with a colour map in Fig. 5 the corresponding 90% c.l. values of the upper bound on the 400 – 40000 keV fluence consistent with the SPI-ACS count rate. The reported values are calculated

\(^3\) Note that the 90% c.l. was preferred to the 3\(\sigma\) approach to compare more easily the INTEGRAL and AGILE findings.
still be compatible with the INTEGRAL results (thick lowed value of the fluence of AGILE-GW170104 would 90% LIGO localization region for which the lowest al-
GRAL results. There are, however, positions within the 90% LIGO localization region for which the best fit flu-
AGILE-GW170104 inferred from the AGILE data. The best fit (solid) and the lowest allowed (dashed) fluence of detectable fluence by INTEGRAL is consistent with the contours the portions of the sky where the minimum de-
circle the position of the sky within the 90% LIGO localization region of GW170104 in which the minimum fluence reported for AGILE-GW170104 is compatible with the INTEGRAL results.

Fig. 5.— Plot of the estimated lowest detectable fluence at 90% c.l. by the SPI-ACS for a 32 ms long burst going off at the time of AGILE-E2 in different positions of the sky (a spectral energy distribution with a slope of -2 has been assumed). The large dashed circle corresponds to the location occupied for AGILE by the Earth. The small dark circle represents the region occupied by the Earth to INTEGRAL. Solid red lines enclose the regions where the lowest detectable SPI-ACS fluence is higher than the best fit one (8.9 x 10^{-8} erg cm^{-2}) obtained for the tentative AGILE counterpart of GW170104 (i.e., the event E2). Dashed red lines are used for the same comparison with the lower boundary of the AGILE fluence (5.9 x 10^{-8} erg cm^{-2}). The thick magenta lines encircle the position of the sky within the 90% LIGO localization region of GW170104 in which the minimum fluence reported for AGILE-GW170104 is compatible with the INTEGRAL results. Assuming a 32 ms-long event characterized by a power-law shaped spectral energy distribution with a slope of -2 (as done in Verrecchia et al. 2017). As SPI-ACS observes positive and negative count rate fluctuations in the background, all positions corresponding to a certain time delay between the INTEGRAL and AGILE locations define circularly-shaped regions in the sky within which the upper bound on the event flux is constant. This is the reason why the map of the upper bound values in Fig. 5 comprises stripes of different colors. The source positions in the sky coincident with the direction toward AGILE as seen from INTEGRAL and the diametrically opposite direction correspond to the maximum absolute time de-
lays. Since the altitude of AGILE’s orbit is much smaller than that of INTEGRAL’s orbit, the direction from INTEGRAL towards AGILE is very close to the direction from INTEGRAL to Earth, and the circularly-shaped regions are all approximately centered on the position of the Earth (a small dark circle in Fig. 5). The median value of the fluence in sky locations compatible to the time delay between the spacecrafts is 1.7 x 10^{-8} erg cm^{-2} and it does not exceed 7.1 x 10^{-8} erg cm^{-2} in any sky position enclosed within the LIGO 90% localization region of GW170104. In Fig. 5 we highlighted with red contours the portions of the sky where the minimum detectable fluence by INTEGRAL is consistent with the best fit (solid) and the lowest allowed (dashed) fluence of AGILE-GW170104 inferred from the AGILE data.

We found that there are no sky positions within the 90% LIGO localization region for which the best fit flu-
ence of the AGILE event is compatible with the INTE-
GRAL results. There are, however, positions within the 90% LIGO localization region for which the lowest allowed value of the fluence of AGILE-GW170104 would still be compatible with the INTEGRAL results (thick magenta contour on Fig. 5). The ensemble of these positions covers about 4.2% of the LIGO localization region and extends for a total of 77.5 deg^2. Note that a few small regions enclosed within red dashed lines are sparsely present in the color map of Fig. 5. These are positions in the sky for which the AGILE trigger time of AGILE-GW170104 corresponds to positive count rate fluctuations in the SPI-ACS lightcurve. We inspected each of these fluctuations, but none of them exceeded a S/N of 1.5.

Taking together all these results, we cannot exclude that the event AGILE-GW170104 is associated with the GW trigger if it originated from a restricted number of positions in the sky within the 90% LIGO localization region. However, this detection is compatible with the INTEGRAL results only if a fluence that is a factor of 1.2 lower than the best fit value obtained from the AGILE data is considered.

We noticed that the limited positions in the sky within the 90% LIGO localization region for which the AGILE/MCAL detection is compatible with the INTEGRAL results were also accessible to the Fermi/GBM (Fermi GBM and Fermi LAT Collaborations 2017 Burns et al. 2017) and, in an even more limited way, by the AstroSAT/CZTI (Bhalerao et al. 2017). Further analysis of the observations performed by these two facilities could help to confirm or not the AGILE detection.

The conclusions above depend significantly on the assumed spectral energy distribution of the event. A detailed description of the spectral parameters of AGILE-GW170104 is not provided by Verrecchia et al. (2017), and thus we followed their assumption of a power-law shaped energy distribution with a slope of -2. At the same time, the authors also indicated that AGILE-GW170104 features similar timing and spectral properties to the precursor of GRB090510. This weak precursor was detected by both AGILE/MCAL and Fermi/GBM. It was also detected by INTEGRAL/SPI-ACS with a S/N of 6.1, even though the location in the sky was not covered with the optimal sensitivity of the SPI-ACS. By analyzing the response of this instrument in the direction of GRB090510 and using the results obtained from the observation of the precursor of the GRB, we were able to derive a nearly model-independent conclusion that a similar event occurring anywhere within the LIGO 90% localization region of GW170104, excluding the area invisible to AGILE, should have been detected by the SPI-ACS with a median S/N of 13.0, and certainly no lower than 4.6.

Finally, we stress that it is entirely possible that the AGILE/MCAL event was a real weak short GRB going off in a region of the sky covered with a low SPI-ACS sensitivity and completely unrelated to GW170104 (i.e. outside the 90% LIGO localization region). Combining the area of the sky with unfavorable orientations for the SPI-ACS observations and not occulted by the Earth for AGILE, we inferred a remaining allowed region spanning about 3533 deg^2.

4. Conclusions

All GW events reported so far by LIGO were found to be most likely associated with binary black hole mergers. The extensive multi-wavelength follow-up campaigns car-
ried out after each of these discoveries led to the detection of at least two possible electromagnetic counterparts to the GW events (Connaughton et al. 2016; Greiner et al. 2016; Verrecchia et al. 2017). Although none of these associations was firmly confirmed, they led to discussion of exotic scenarios in explaining EM emission in these mergers (e.g. Perna et al. 2016; Loeb 2016; Woosley 2016; Lyutikov 2016). The INTEGRAL efforts to follow-up as much as possible all relevant LIGO triggers will eventually help to revealing which, if any, of these scenarios is applicable. So far, the INTEGRAL results have provided the most stringent upper limits on any associated prompt hard X-ray and $\gamma$-ray emission in the 75 keV to 2 MeV energy range for each of the announced GW events when INTEGRAL observations were available, challenging the possible association of GW 150914 and GW 170104 with the tentatively reported electromagnetic counterparts.
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