Two channels of supermassive black hole growth as seen on the galaxies mass-size plane
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ABSTRACT
We investigate the variation of black hole masses ($M_{\text{BH}}$) as a function of their host galaxy stellar mass ($M_*$) and half-light radius ($R_e$). We confirm that the scatter in $M_{\text{BH}}$ within this plane is essentially the same as that in the $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma_v$ relation, as expected from the negligible scatter reported in the virial mass estimator $\sigma_v^2 = G M_*/(5 R_e)$. All variation in $M_{\text{BH}}$ happens along lines of constant $\sigma_v$ on the ($M_*, R_e$) plane, or $M_* \propto R_e$ for $M_* \leq 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$. This trend is qualitatively the same as those previously reported for galaxy properties related to stellar populations, like age, metallicity, alpha enhancement, mass-to-light ratio and gas content. We find evidence for a change in the $M_{\text{BH}}$ variation above the critical mass of $M_{\text{crit}} \approx 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$.

This behaviour can be explained assuming that $M_{\text{BH}}$ in galaxies less massive than $M_{\text{crit}}$ can be predicted by the $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma_v$ relation, while $M_{\text{BH}}$ in more massive galaxies follow a modified relation which is also dependent on $M_*$ once $M_* > M_{\text{crit}}$. This is consistent with the scenario where the majority of galaxies grow through star formation, while the most massive galaxies undergo a sequence of dissipation-less mergers. In both channels black holes and galaxies grow synchronously, giving rise to the black hole - host galaxy scaling relations, but there is no underlying single relation that is universal across the full range of galaxy masses.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The relationship between supermassive black holes and their host galaxies continues to generate much interests in the literature. Since the discovery of the relationship between the mass of the black holes ($M_{\text{BH}}$) and the galaxy luminosity ($L_*$; Kormendy & Richstone 1995), it was clear that these objects must be linked in an intricate way (for a review of the development of ideas about the scaling relations between black holes and galaxies see Graham 2016). The subsequent discoveries of the relations between $M_{\text{BH}}$ and galaxy mass ($M_*$, Magorrian et al. 1998), velocity dispersion (Gebhardt et al. 2000; Ferrarese & Merritt 2000), circular velocity (Ferrarese 2002), and galaxy concentration (Graham et al. 2001, 2003a), as well as several secondary scaling relation (Burkert & Tremaine 2010; Harris & Harris 2011; Seigar et al. 2008), only deepened the interest. These relations, their tightness and the dynamic range covering several orders of magnitude, indicate that the growth of supermassive black holes in the centres of galaxies, and galaxies themselves, must be closely related. The hope is that by understanding the properties of these relations, their universality, shape, tightness and related uncertainties, will also highlight and untangle the relevant processes that are involved in the growth of black holes and galaxies.

The number of galaxies with measured black hole masses has dramatically increased over the last ten years (Ferrarese & Ford 2005; Kormendy & Ho 2013), approaching a hundred estimates of $M_{\text{BH}}$ based on dynamical models of stellar or gaseous motions (Saglia et al. 2016). Once these are combined with estimates based on the reverberation mapping for active galactic nuclei (AGN) and upper limit measurements, the sample comprises more than 200 galaxies (van den Bosch 2016). Such numbers, while not yet of sufficient size for pure statistical studies, allow a more complex analysis of the scaling relations, specifically to investigate which of the various relation has the smallest scatter (and therefore is more fundamental), if the data actually support two (or more) power-law relations, and if there is a third parameter which could make the scaling relations even tighter. The main limitation of the sample, next to the relatively low number of galaxies, is that it does not represent the complete population of galaxies (Bernardi et al. 2007). The sample is biased towards bright (massive), nearby early-type
galaxies (ETGs), with, possibly more massive black holes than in the average of the population, as these are easier to directly probe with dynamical models given the spatial resolution achieved by observations (Batcheldor 2010; Shankar et al. 2016).

Indications of non-universality of $M_{\text{BH}}$ scaling relation come from the demographics of host galaxies, for example by investigating if spiral galaxies, galaxies with bars, pseudo-bulges or AGNs satisfy the same relations as more massive early-type galaxies (e.g. Wyithe 2006; Gilletkin et al. 2009a; McConnell & Ma 2013). Although samples of non-ETGs galaxies are relatively small (e.g. Saglia et al. 2016), there are clear indications that they do not necessarily follow the same scaling relations as the more massive ETGs. Barred galaxies, galaxies hosting masers or AGNs, or pseudo-bulges seem to be offset from the main relation (Graham 2008; Hu 2008; Graham & Li 2009; Greene et al. 2010; Kormendy et al. 2011; Hartmann et al. 2014; Greene et al. 2016). Scaling relations for early-type and spiral galaxies are also offset with respect to each other (McConnell & Ma 2013). It is, however, difficult to establish black hole scaling relations based on morphological classifications, as the classification can be difficult (e.g. recognising pseudo-bulges, Graham 2015), and the samples are small, or span a limited range in both $M_{\text{BH}}$ and galaxy stellar mass.

Nevertheless, there are several arguments that offer a tantalising indication that the $M_{\text{BH}}$ scaling relation is not universal. Lauer et al. (2007) showed that the predictions from $M_{\text{BH}} \propto \sigma$ relation and $M_{\text{BH}} \propto L$ are different for high mass galaxies and brightest cluster galaxies in particular. The main issue is that the $M_{\text{BH}} \propto \sigma$ relation, using measured velocity dispersions of galaxies, predicts $M_{\text{BH}}$ that are rarely larger than a few times $10^9 M_\odot$, while the relation with the luminosity predicts $M_{\text{BH}}$ in the excess of $10^{10} M_\odot$. The origin of this tension is in the curvature of the $L-\sigma$ relation, which for the most luminous systems depart from the Faber & Jackson (1976) relation; galaxies with velocity dispersion larger than about 300 km/s are very rare (Sheth et al. 2003; Bernardi et al. 2006). Crucially, as Lauer et al. (2007) pointed out, there is a difference in $L-\sigma$ relations for galaxies with and without cores in their nuclear profiles. The relation is much steeper for core galaxies, following $\sim \sigma^7$, compared to canonical $\sim \sigma^4$. This is true regardless of using the “Nuker” (Lauer et al. 1995) or (core-) Sersic (Graham et al. 2003b) parametrisation of the nuclear profiles (Kormendy & Bender 2013).

More recently, the data from complete ATLAS$^{10}$ survey (Cappellari et al. 2011a) revealed that the previously reported major break in the $L-\sigma$ relation is not related to the transition between core and core-less galaxies. Instead, the break is clearly observed, consistently in both the $M_\ast-R_e$ and $M_\ast-\sigma$ relations, around a mass $M_\ast \approx 3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, and is present even when all core galaxies are removed (Cappellari et al. 2013b). It appears related to the transition between a sequence of bulge growth and dry merger growth. However, a much subtler change (Hyde & Bernardi 2009) in the $L-\sigma$ is observed around $M_\ast \approx 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$. Crucially, this characteristic mass marks also a transition between (core-less) fast rotators and (core) slow rotators (Krajnović et al. 2013), indicating a transition in the dominant assembly process (for a review see section 4.3 in Cappellari 2016).

Distinguishing between core and core-less galaxies in the black hole scaling relation is still of potentially great significance, as cores are predicted to be created by black holes. When massive galaxies (harbouring massive black holes) merge, their black holes will eventually spiral down to the bottom of the potential well and form a binary (Begelman et al. 1980; Ebisuzaki et al. 1991). The decay of the binary orbit will be enabled by removal of stars that cross it (Quinlan 1996; Milosavljević & Merritt 2001), resulting in a central region devoid of stars, a core, compared to the initial steep power-law light profile. As the removed stars were mostly on the radial orbits, this process introduces a strong tangential anisotropy, significantly larger than that expected for an adiabatic black hole growth (Quinlan et al. 1995; Thomas et al. 2014). The mergers are dissipation-less (dry) and there is no significant star-formation which could refill the core. An implication of this effect is that there should also exist a relation between the $M_{\text{BH}}$ and the size of the core region (Lauer et al. 2007), or the missing stellar mass (Graham 2004) in the most massive galaxies. The uncertainties in these relations are, however, not any smaller than in other relations, also because there is no unique way to measure the size of the core (e.g. Lauer et al. 2007; Kormendy & Bender 2009; Hopkins & Hernquist 2010; Rusli et al. 2013; Dullo & Graham 2014).

Dividing galaxies into Sersic and core-Sersic (or power-law and core) is significantly different from looking at the difference between various morphological types (late or early-type galaxies, classical or pseudo-bulges, barred and non-barred, etc). A key point here is that the property on which the sample is divided is based on a physical process of the core scouring by black holes (Faber et al. 1997). Therefore, there is a working paradigm supporting a possibility of the non-universality of the $M_{\text{BH}}$ scaling relations. This was explored by Graham (2012), Scott et al. (2013) and Graham & Scott (2013), who showed that galaxies with and without cores (using the Sersic and core-Sersic parametrisation) have different $M_{\text{BH}} - M_\ast$ relation. Adding AGNs (all with Sersic profiles), which extends the sample of galaxies to lower masses, gives even more support to such a break in the scaling relation (Graham & Scott 2015; Reines & Volonteri 2015). Another physically motivated separation of galaxies is to divide them into star forming and quiescent galaxies (Terrazas et al. 2016, 2017), prompted by the need to suppress star formation in galaxy evolution models, where the activity of central black hole provides a ready feedback mechanism. Furthermore, the expectation is that quiescent galaxies will have larger black hole masses. This is similar to diving galaxies into early- and late-types as done by McConnell & Ma (2013), which also found evidence that early-type galaxies harbour more massive black holes. Neither of these studies, however, reported a break in the relations. These division are only approximately similar to Sersic/core-Sersic divisions as many early-type galaxies do not have cores but are quiescent, and further work is needed to describe the shape of the scaling relations across galaxy properties.

Adding more parameters to the correlations with $M_{\text{BH}}$ could, in principle, result in tighter relations. This was investigate by a number of studies over the past decade (e.g. Marconi & Hunt 2003; Aller & Richstone 2007; Saglia et al. 2016; van den Bosch 2016). Such attempts, however, generally conclude that the decrease of scatter when adding an additional parameter is not substantial, and $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma$ is still considered the tightest and perhaps the most fundamental relation, inspite of the intrinsic scatter no-longer being considered consistent with zero (e.g. Gilletkin et al. 2009b).

In this work we introduce a different approach. Instead of looking for the best scaling relation and then inferring the possible formation scenarios, we start from the emerging paradigm of the two phase formation of galaxies, from both a theoretical (Oser et al. 2010) and observational (Cappellari et al. 2013b; van Dokkum et al. 2015) points of view (as reviewed in Cappellari 2016). Assuming that black holes evolve in sync with galaxies, and are modified through similar processes, which are dependant on the galaxy mass and environment (e.g. Peng et al. 2010), we search for the records of these processes in the dependance of black holes masses
with galaxy properties. In particular, we consider the distribution of galaxies with black hole measurements in the mass - size diagram, an orthogonal projection of the thin Mass Plane (Cappellari et al. 2013b).

After defining the sample of galaxies with black hole masses that we will use (Section 2), we present the mass - size diagram and analyse its distribution of black hole masses (Section 3). In Section 3.1 we use the stellar photometry and sizes of latest compilation of galaxies black hole mass measurements from the literature (van den Bosch 2016), while in Section 3.2 we repeat the exercise using 2MASS catalog values to estimate stellar masses and sizes, and provide a scaling relation simply based on 2MASS photometry alone. In Section 4, we present a toy model that reproduces what is seen in the data and discuss the implication of our results, before concluding with a brief summary of main results (Section 5).

2 A COMPILATION OF BLACK HOLE MASSES

We make use of the most recent compilation of $M_{BH}$ measurements presented in Table 2 of van den Bosch (2016), with black hole masses obtained from dynamical models and reverberation mapping. We do not include objects excluded from the regression fits in that paper, and we have also removed 49 upper limits. This results in 181 objects. Next to the black hole masses listed in van den Bosch (2016), we also use the listed estimates for the size (effective or half-light radius, $R_e$) and the total $K_s$-band luminosity (Vega magnitudes) of these galaxies. We estimate the mass of these galaxies ($M_\star$) using the relation between the $K_s$-band mass-to-light ratio and the velocity dispersion given by eq. (24) in Cappellari (2016).

The velocity dispersions are also taken from van den Bosch (2016). We stress that the velocity dispersion is the so-called effective velocity dispersion $\sigma_r$, which incorporates both the mean and random motions within an aperture the size of the effective radius. Unfortunately, the measurements of $\sigma_r$ are not uniform across the sample, as only for a subset of galaxies observed with integral-field units $\sigma_r$ can be measured directly. Figure 1 presents the mass - size diagram. Note that in the figure we do not plot three galaxies (NGC 0221, NGC 0404 and NGC 4486B), which have significantly lower mass and sizes than the majority of the sample. We keep these objects for calculations, but do not show them for the presentation purposes. The only notable difference between this and the mass - size diagram in fig. 8 of van den Bosch (2016) is that the mass of the galaxies increased by about 15 per cent due to our slightly different conversion to mass.

In Fig. 1 we also plot galaxies from ATLAS$^3D$ survey, comprising early-type galaxies with masses measured via dynamical models (Cappellari et al. 2013a) and spiral galaxies from the parent sample. The mass estimates for the latter are based on their $K_s$ magnitudes following the eq.(2) from Cappellari (2013). As already remarked by several authors (e.g. van den Bosch 2016), galaxies with measured $M_\star$ occupy a special place in this parameters space, typically being the most massive for a given radius and the smallest for a given mass. Inclusion of galaxies with $M_{BH}$ measured using the reverberation mapping or galaxies with central masers, extends significantly the distribution towards low mass and low velocity dispersion regimes. The available $M_{BH}$ determinations are also biased towards massive galaxies: many black hole masses have been measured in massive galaxies (e.g. $> 5 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$), where only a few such galaxies exist in the ATLAS$^3D$ volume limited sample. The black hole sample, while still relatively small and non-representative of the general galaxy population, spans a large range in the effective velocity dispersion (70 - 300 km/s), and is appropriate for the following analysis. We note that the results in this paper do not depend on the details of the photometric parameters, and we address this is Section 3.2.

3 BLACK HOLE MASSES ON THE Mass - size Diagram

3.1 Photometry from van den Bosch (2016)

In the left panel of Fig. 2 we plot the mass - size diagram for galaxies with measured black hole masses. Now we also add $M_{BH}$ as a third dimension shown by the colour. The data ($M_{BH}$) are adaptively smoothed using the Locally Weighted Regression (LOESS, Cleveland 1979). As shown in Cleveland & Devlin (1988), who also generalise the method to two dimensions, LOESS increases the visual information of a scatterplot and can be used for data exploration, such as uncovering underlying trends which would be easier to observe in a much larger sample. In practice, we use the two-dimensional LOESS algorithm of Cleveland & Devlin (1988), as implemented in Cappellari et al. (2013b)$^1$. We adopt a linear local approximation and a regularising factor $f=0.5$. To deal with

---

$^1$ Available from https://purl.org/cappellari/software
The most striking feature of these plots is a change in black hole mass (LOESS smoothed and denoted by colour) from low to high (blue to red), which closely follows the diagonal lines of constant (virial) velocity dispersion. This is, of course, expected from the $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma$ relation, but the lines of constant velocity dispersion ($\sigma$) are actually predicted by the virial mass estimator $R_e = G M_e/(5 \times \sigma^2)$, where $G$ is the gravitational constant (Cappellari et al. 2006). To make the trend more obvious, we also interpolate across the region spanned by the galaxies from our sample, predicting the $M_{\text{BH}}$ at every position in this plane. This results in a coloured surface plot as the background of the right panel of Fig. 2. The interpolation was done based on the LOESS smoothed black holes masses of the sample galaxies.

In addition to highlighting the underlying trends, the LOESS method provides a nonparametric regression to a surface, which has the following significance: by using the LOESS smoothing we are, essentially, producing a non-parametric surface, which is defined locally. For the present purpose of investigating the relation between $M_{\text{BH}}$ and other galaxy properties, this differs from what has been done previously. Other searches focused on defining a plane within the three-dimensional space of black hole mass, galaxy size and mass (or other parameters) (e.g. Marconi & Hunt 2003; Saglia et al. 2016; van den Bosch 2016). We are however, now not looking for one plane that best describes all parameters, but allow for a possible local bends of the plane, a change in the orientation of the plane within the space defined by $R_e$, $M_e$ and $M_{\text{BH}}$. This LOESS fitted (non-parametric) surface is essentially shown by the contours in the right panel of Fig. 2.

Cappellari et al. (2013b) showed that the lines of constant velocity dispersion trace the mass concentration and the mass density (or bulge mass fraction) of galaxies below $M_{\text{BH}} \approx 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$. Therefore, Fig. 2 shows that $M_{\text{BH}}$ behaves similarly to a variety of galaxy properties linked to the stellar populations, such as strength of Hβ and Mg$b$ absorption, optical colour, molecular gas fraction, dynamical M/L, initial mass function normalisation, age, metallicity and $\alpha$-element abundance. This is summarised in Cappellari (2016), using results from Young et al. (2011), Aihara et al. (2011), Cappellari et al. (2013a), Cappellari et al. (2013b) and McDermid et al. (2015). We urge the reader to compare our Fig. 2 with fig. 22 from Cappellari (2016). It is striking that for the majority of galaxies, their black hole masses follow the same trend as galaxy properties arising from star formation. This implies that the black hole growth is strongly related to the growth of the galaxy’s stellar populations.

The adaptively smoothed mass-size diagram reveals another striking characteristic. At some point above $\approx 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$, the black hole masses cease to follow closely the lines of constant velocity dispersion. The iso-colour lines (the lines of constant $M_{\text{BH}}$) change in slope from one that is the same to that of the iso-$\sigma$ lines, to a steeper one, more closely following the increase in mass. The
change is gradual and subtle. It can be seen by following the change in the colour along the lines of constant velocity dispersion, for example for $\sigma = 200$ or 250 km/s. Along those lines, the symbol colours change from yellow to orange (for $\sigma = 200$ km/s) and from orange to red (for $\sigma = 250$ km/s) with increasing mass. This effect is more visible comparing the lines of constant velocity dispersion with the coloured contours\(^2\) on the right panel of Fig. 2.

The effect indicates that beyond a certain galaxy mass, the black hole masses do not only follow the changes in velocity dispersion, but also changes in the galaxy mass. The detection of this transition is remarkable, especially when one considers that galaxies for which $M_{\text{BH}}$ does not seem to follow iso-$\sigma$ lines closely, span only a factor of about 3-4 in galaxy mass. At a given velocity dispersion in that mass range, the observed range of black hole masses is approximately an order of magnitude, but taking into account a realistic factor of two in the uncertainties for black hole masses (i.e. depending on the type of data and type of models used, Shapiro et al. 2006; Krajnović et al. 2009; van den Bosch & de Zeeuw 2010; Gebhardt et al. 2011; Walsh et al. 2013), it is not surprising that the effect is marginal and difficult to see in the current data. Furthermore, the visualisation of the effect is hindered by the increasing closeness of iso-$\sigma$ lines and the scarcity of galaxies with masses $M_* > 10^{11} M_\odot$ and size $R_e > 20$ kpc, as will be discussed later. Nevertheless, we will attempt to reproduce the effect by a simple model in the next section, but we first look for it using differently established luminosities and sizes of galaxies.

### 3.2 Photometry from 2MASS All Sky Extended Source Catalog

The location of galaxies on the mass - size plot depends on the global parameters, which for this study were obtained from van den Bosch (2016). That study used 2MASS (Jarrett et al. 2000) imaging and derived its own sizes and total K-band luminosities for all galaxies parameterising the surface brightness with Sérsic (1968) profiles and using the growth curve approach. One of the reasons for this is that the 2MASS catalog values are typically found to underestimate the actual sizes and magnitudes. Furthermore, van den Bosch (2016) also used a detailed parametrisation of the point-spread function to account for the active galactic nuclei. Here we show that it is possible to qualitatively recover the same results by using 2MASS All Sky Extended Source Catalog (XSC Jarrett et al. 2000; Skrutskie et al. 2006) data as they are.

We query XCS for the size and extrapolated total magnitudes of galaxies from Table 2 of van den Bosch (2016). Of 230 galaxies the search in XSC returned 228 sources from which we removed galaxies with upper limits on the black hole masses. We follow Cappellari (2013) and use the major axis of the isophote enclosing half of the total galaxy light in J-band (XSC keyword j1r_eff) which has better S/N than the K-band equivalent. Following Cappellari et al. (2013a), we define the size as $R_e = 1.61 \times r_{1/2,\text{eff}}$ and use the distances from van den Bosch (2016) to convert to physical units.

Galaxy stellar masses ($M_*$) are estimated from the total magnitude (XSC keyword k_m_ext), in two different ways, based on the mass - luminosity and mass-to-light ratio - velocity dispersion relations. In the Approach 1, we used the prescription from eq. (2) of Cappellari (2013), which relates the $K_e$-band absolute magnitude with the stellar mass, and is calibrated on the ATLAS$^3$ sample of early-type galaxies, given their 2MASS $K_e$-band magnitudes and masses from (Cappellari et al. 2013a). In the Approach 2 we estimated the M/L ratio using eq. 24 from Cappellari (2016) and the velocity dispersion from the compilation of van den Bosch (2016). The obtained M/L is then multiplied with the $K$-band luminosity of galaxies $L_K$, which was obtained from the 2MASS magnitudes using the absolute magnitude of the Sun in K-band ($M_{\odot,K} = 3.29$) from Blanton & Roweis (2007), as well as the distances from van den Bosch (2016). These two approaches produce similar stellar masses, with the mean of the difference of the logarithms equal to 0.07 and the standard deviation of less then 0.1.

In Fig. 3 we show the mass - size diagrams, equivalent to the right one in Fig. 2, but now using the sizes and the two different mass estimates described above. We perform the LOESS fit to both distributions, and compare them side by side. We exclude from the fit two galaxies which are significantly below the ZOE\(^4\). The circles show the distribution of the galaxies with measured black hole masses, while the underlying coloured surface represents the continuous variation of the black hole masses interpolated between the LOESS smoothed $M_{\text{BH}}$.

The panels in Fig. 3 differ from the right-hand panel of Fig. 2, as galaxies have different mass and size measurements. However, panels in Fig. 3 show the same trends seen on Fig. 2. $M_{\text{BH}}$ values closely follow the lines of constant velocity dispersion for galaxies with masses below a few times $10^{11} M_\odot$, following the behaviour or other properties of galaxies related to star formation (as in fig 22 of Cappellari 2016). For highest mass galaxies the black hole masses deviate from the tight relation with the velocity dispersion\(^5\). The departure from a relation with velocity dispersion occurs above a mass a few times $10^{11} M_\odot$. It is a remarkable fact that by using the ready catalog values one can produce the plot qualitatively similar to Fig. 2. This adds weight to the robustness of the result presented in Section 3.1.

For completeness of this section and to provide ready values for readers interested in using XCS catalog, we present the best fit relation between $M_{\text{BH}}$, stellar mass $M_*$, and the effective radius $R_e$. We use the Approach 1 values shown on the left panel of Fig. 3, based on the compilation of $M_{\text{BH}}$ from van den Bosch (2016) and $M_*$ estimated using Cappellari et al. (2013a) prescription, which relies only on the XSC values and distances from van den Bosch (2016). We fit the relation of the form:

$$\log \left( \frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{10^8 M_\odot} \right) = a + b \log \left( \frac{M_*}{10^{10} M_\odot} \right) + c \log \left( \frac{R_e}{5 \text{ kpc}} \right). \tag{1}$$

The fit was performed using the least trimmed squares fitting method LTS_PLANEFFT\(^3\) of Cappellari et al. (2013a), which combines the Least Trimmed Squares robust technique of Rousseau & van Driessen (2006) into a least-squares fitting algorithm which allows for errors in all variables and intrinsic scatter. We used the tabulated errors for $M_{\text{BH}}$ from van den Bosch (2016), while for galaxy sizes we follow Cappellari et al. (2013a), assuming 10 per cent errors, and we approximate the uncertainty on the mass to be of the order of 10 per cent. The fit is shown in Fig 4. As a consistency

\(^2\) Note that for $\sigma < 70$ km/s there is also a change in the shape of the contours, but this effect is based on 3-4 galaxies at the edge of the distribution and is not robust.

\(^3\) These are Ar120 and Mrk0509, both known active galaxies with black hole estimates based on the reverberation mapping. Their 2MASS luminosities are likely biased.

\(^4\) The deviation is also present for $\sigma < 70$ km/s in the right panel, but it is due to the lack of data points for robust interpolation.

\(^5\) Available at http://purl.org/cappellari/software

---

Note that for $\sigma < 70$ km/s there is also a change in the shape of the contours, but this effect is based on 3-4 galaxies at the edge of the distribution and is not robust.
check, we also fitted the relation from the Approach 2, where the $M_\star$ is obtained using eq. 24 of Cappellari (2016) and the velocity dispersion compilation from van den Bosch (2016). The results can be seen in Table 1, and they are consistent between each other. Both fits are consistent with those of galaxy mass - size - black hole mass relation of van den Bosch (2016).

Scatters reported in Table 1 are somewhat higher than those from recent detailed studies of the scaling relations (van den Bosch 2016; Saglia et al. 2016). This is expected as we did not performed any cleaning of XSC values and use approximate errors. It is actually remarkable that the best fit parameters are consistent with estimates based on different photometry, and that even the scatters are comparable. Although the Approach 2 fit has a smaller scatter, we, nevertheless, advise usage of the Approach 1 relation, as it does not depend on still mostly uncertain velocity dispersion measurements for galaxies with black hole masses.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 A toy model for evolution of black hole masses

Here we want to understand what kind of signature we should expect to observe, based on simple assumptions on the growth process of supermassive black holes. We construct a toy model based on the assumption that below a critical mass of $2 \times 10^{11}$ $M_\odot$, the black hole mass can be predicted from the $M_{BH} - \sigma$ relation, while above this mass the $M_{BH} - \sigma$ relation is modulated by an additional term depending explicitly on the stellar mass. Our assumption follows the physically motivated distinction in black hole growth. Galaxies with masses less than $2 \times 10^{11}$ $M_\odot$ follow the channel of gas accretion, bulge growth and quenching, while more massive galaxies are descendents of galaxies formed in intense star-bursts at high redshifts and since than have grown following a channel of dissipationless (dry) merging.

We construct a toy model by defining a galaxy sample in a
Figure 4. Black hole mass - stellar mass - effective size relation with the best fit of the form as given by eq. 1. Photometric properties are taken from 2MASS XSC catalog and the mass is estimated using the mass - luminosity relation from Cappellari et al. (2013a, eq. (2)) and distance from van den Bosch (2016). Best fit parameters are given in the legend, where \( \Delta \) is the root-mean-square (rms) scatter of the fit and the intrinsic scatter around the \( M_{\text{BH}} \) axis is given by \( \epsilon \). Dashed and dotted lines are one and two times the rms scatter, respectively. Green symbols are data points rejected during the fit.

\[
a + b \log(M_{\odot}) + c \log(R_{e})
\]

where \( a = 7.657 \pm 0.062 \), \( b = 2.73 \pm 0.21 \), and \( c = -2.53 \pm 0.34 \).

The toy model also justifies the choice of the critical mass \( M_{\text{crit}} = 2 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot} \) for the transition between the models. The choice is primarily physically motivated as this mass separates core-less fast rotators from core slow rotators (Krajnović et al. 2013; Cappellari et al. 2013b) and, therefore, it is the mass above which dissipation-less mergers (and likely black hole mergers) start dominating the galaxy (black hole) evolution. The change is gradual, reflecting the dominating contribution of the velocity dispersion even for galaxies above \( M_{\text{crit}} = 2 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot} \), but also the expectation that only the most massive galaxies will experience a sufficient number of major dry mergers to significantly modify their black hole masses via direct black hole mergers.

Fig. 5 also shows why the dependance of \( M_{\text{BH}} \) with \( M_{*} \) for high masses is difficult to detect. As referred before, the current sample of galaxies with measured black hole masses is tracing only the edges of the galaxy distribution. The large region populated by spiral galaxies and low mass fast rotators is poorly explored. As it is not constrained, we limit the prediction of the toy model to \( \sigma > 70 \) km/s.

For the detection of the bend in the properties of \( M_{\text{BH}} \) in the mass - size plane, however, there are two significant regions. The first one is centred on the large (\( R_{e} > 10 \) kpc) and relatively less massive galaxies, around the line of constant velocity dispersion of about 150 km/s. In the nearby universe, where black hole masses can be measured with dynamical methods, these galaxies are rare and are typically spirals, as shown by the ATLAS \( ^{3D} \) Survey (see Fig 1). The rarity of these systems currently exclude a possibility for a direct comparison with the proposed model, but further determination of black hole masses using molecular gas kinematics (e.g. Davis et al. 2013; Barth et al. 2016; Onishi et al. 2017; Davis et al. 2017) offer a possible route of exploring this range.

The second region is the branch of the most massive galaxies, with sizes of 20 kpc or more, masses in excess of \( 10^{12} M_{\odot} \), and \( \sigma > 250 \) km/s. These galaxies are also rare in the nearby universe, but they are present in the form of brightest cluster galaxies or CD galaxies. In order to improve on the current description of the \( M_{\text{BH}} \) dependance, more galaxies of the highest masses and largest sizes need to be probed (e.g. McConnell et al. 2011, 2012; Thomas et al. 2016), preferably through dedicated surveys (e.g. Ma et al. 2014).

As mentioned above, the change in the \( M_{\text{BH}} \) dependance implies that the plane defined by \( M_{\text{BH}}, M_{*} \) and \( R_{e} \) has a change of curvature at high masses. Its significance can be tested by the scatter from a fit defined by eqs. 2 and 3, in comparison with that from a standard \( M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma \) regression. We used a least squares method to fit a linear regression to \( a + b \log(M_{\odot}) + c \log(R_{e}) \) for all galaxies plotted in Fig. 2 regard-
less of their mass. We then also repeated the same fit using eqs. 2 and 3 taking into account the mass dependence as described in the equations. We did not take into account the observed uncertainties on any variable, as our intention was not to find the best fit relation, but just to compare if there was a decrease in the scatter of the residuals. We compared the standard deviation of the residuals of the fits to these two equations and found that there was no improvement going from the first ($\Delta = 0.533$) to the second ($\Delta = 0.532$) fit. Equivalent results are obtained if instead of fitting we use for $\alpha$ or $\beta$ values derived in van den Bosch (2016). Therefore, the model described by eqs. 2 and 3, using the current database of black hole masses is not necessarily warranted in terms of providing a better correlation. This is not surprising given that, especially for lower mass galaxies, the total galaxy mass is not always found to be the best predictor of the black hole mass (e.g. Kormendy et al. 2011; Savorgnan & Graham 2016; Saglia et al. 2016), although decomposing galaxies and using masses of certain components is difficult and uncertain (e.g. Läsker et al. 2014; Savorgnan & Graham 2016). Saglia et al. (2016) find that introducing a bivariate correlation with bulge mass and velocity dispersion reduces the overall scatter, even when core ellipticals are removed from the scatter. On the other hand, Savorgnan & Graham (2016), by decomposing galaxies observed at 3.6 $\mu$m and using bulge masses, were able to fit different scaling relations for early- and late-type galaxies. Our model, by concentrating on the total mass, however, remains physically motivated, describes the behaviour of the data in the mass - size diagram, and, crucially, it is easily testable with better samples of black hole masses which will likely follow with time.

4.2 Origin for the non-universality of the $M_{\text{BH}}$ scaling relations

The transition of the dependance of black hole mass from velocity dispersion (following the trends of other star formation related properties) to galaxy mass supports current ideas on the growth of galaxies and black holes (e.g. Scott et al. 2013). The main processes regulating the growth of galaxies can be separated between those related to the in-situ star formation and those related to the assembly of galaxy mass by accretion of elsewhere created stars. Black holes also grow via two types of processes: by accretion of gas or by merging (Volonteri 2010). Accretion of material onto a black hole (i.e. gas originating from gas clouds or from destruction of passing stars), converts gravitational energy into radiation and results in active galactic nuclei, or quasars, when the radiation is particularly strong. Moreover, this process influences both the growth of the black hole and the galaxy.

Current models predict that $M_{\text{BH}}$ growth by accretion is proportional to $\sigma^4$ (Fabian 1999; Murray et al. 2005) or $\sigma^5$ (Silk & Rees 1998; Haehnelt et al. 1998), establishing the relations with the host galaxies properties. The scatter of the $M_{\text{BH}} \sim \sigma$ relation is too high to distinguish between these cases, partially due to difficulties in reducing the systematic errors in measuring black hole masses. However, at least part of the scatter comes from genuine outliers to the relation (see fig. 1 of van den Bosch 2016); special systems which probably did not follow the same evolution as the majority of galaxies (e.g. Ferré-Mateu et al. 2015). The same reservoir of gas that is fuelling AGNs or quasars, maintains the star-formation responsible for the growth of galaxies. This connection is evident from similar trends in the rates of star formation and black hole accretions with redshift (e.g. Merloni et al. 2004; Madau & Dickinson...
even though the actual growth of the black hole and the build-up of the galaxy mass does not have to be concurrent, as the AGN duty cycles are relatively short and responses to the feedback are of different duration.

Accretion models predict that black holes can reach masses of $10^{10} M_\odot$ and such black holes have been detected at high redshift quasars (e.g. Fan et al. 2001, 2003; Mortlock et al. 2011; Wu et al. 2015). While such objects could explain the population of the highest mass black holes without the need for further growth via merging, they are not very common. Furthermore, there seems to exist a certain upper limit to the black hole growth via accretion of material (Natarajan & Treister 2009). The limit could be initiated by the feedback induced via mechanically or radiatively driven winds form the accretion disc around the black hole (Haehnelt et al. 1998; Silk & Rees 1998; Fabian 1999; Murray et al. 2005). This means that the black holes with masses in excess to these predictions, if they exist, had to continue growing through mergers.

Once when the AGN activity expels all gas, or the galaxy is massive enough and resides in a massive dark halo, which hinders the cooling of gas (and its accretion to the central black hole), the star formation is shut down and the mass growth of galaxies is possible only through dry merging. The accretion of small mass satellites changes the sizes of galaxies (e.g. Naab et al. 2009), but a significant increase of galaxy mass is only possible through major (similar mass) mergers. Such mergers are also characterised by eventual collisions of massive black holes (residing in the progenitors) and a fractional growth of black hole mass is equal to the fractional growth of galaxy mass. Still, the contribution of the mergers to the total growth of black holes has to be relatively small. Yoo et al. (2007) showed that mergers can change masses of black holes up to a factor of two, but this only happens in massive galaxies residing in galaxy clusters. Kulier et al. (2015) confirmed this result showing that the black hole growth through mergers is only relevant for the most massive galaxies (and black holes), while the accretion is the main channel of growth.

The channels of galaxy growth are essentially the same to those for black holes: one is dominated by the consumption of accreted gas in star-bursts and the other is dominated by accretion of mass through dissipation-less mergers. Numerical simulations show that these phases of growth can be separated in time (Oser et al. 2010), where the early phase is characterised by in-situ formation of stars fuelled by cold flows (Kereš et al. 2005; Dekel et al. 2009), while the later phase is dominated by accretion of stars formed elsewhere (Lackner et al. 2012). There is also a critical dependence on the mass of the galaxy as the less massive galaxies grow mostly with in-situ star formation, while only massive galaxies significantly grow by late stellar assembly (Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2016; Qu et al. 2017). This supports the postulations that these are actually separate channels and galaxies follow either one or the other (Cappellari 2016).

The emerging paradigm of the growth of galaxies can be illustrated in the mass - size diagram (see fig. 29 of Cappellari 2016). For low mass galaxies, the redshift evolution of the distribution of galaxies in the mass - size diagram (van der Wel et al. 2014) is explained by an inside-out growth of small star-forming galaxies. This phase of evolution increases the stellar mass within a fixed physical radius through star-formation, until the onset of quenching processes, which happen when galaxies reach a stellar density or a velocity dispersion threshold (van Dokkum et al. 2015). At that moment galaxies transform from star forming spirals to fast rotator ETGs (Cappellari et al. 2013b). This is characterised by a structural compaction of galaxies: a decrease in the size, and an increase in the concentration parameter (or the increase in the Sersic index of the light profiles), buildup of the bulge, and an increase in the velocity dispersion. While the quenching of star formation and buildup of bulges (or compacting processes) could be diverse (e.g. Young et al. 2014), the main consequence is that galaxy properties related to the star formation history vary, on average, along lines of nearly constant velocity dispersion (e.g. Cappellari et al. 2013b; McDermid et al. 2015; Cappellari 2016). Given that the black hole growth is linked to the gas supply and the growth of the host, it is natural to expect that the black hole mass will closely follow the characteristic velocity dispersion of the host (Silk & Rees 1998; Fabian 1999), where the details of the shape and scatter of the scaling relation depend on the details of the feeding of black holes (e.g. how is the gas transported to the black hole, Anglés-Alcázar et al. 2015, 2017) and the feedback type (e.g. Murray et al. 2005). The evidence shown in Fig. 2 supports a close relation between the star formation and the growth of black holes, as well as the cessation of star-formation and the final MBH.

The picture is somewhat different for the very massive galaxies ($M_\odot \geq 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$). They occupy a special region of the mass - size diagram; they are found along a relatively narrow “arm” extending both in mass and size from the distribution of other ETG (Cappellari et al. 2013b). The narrowness of this arm is indicative of a small range in velocity dispersion that the most massive galaxies span, which is directly linked to the findings of Lauer et al. (2007) and Bernardi et al. (2007) regarding the predictions for MBH based on galaxy σ or luminosity. This on the other hand provides a strong constraint on the processes that form massive galaxies: they have to increase both the mass and the size, but keep the velocity dispersion relatively unchanged. This particular property is characteristic for dissipation-less mergers of similar size galaxies (Barnes 1992; Nipoti et al. 2003; Bezanson et al. 2009; Naab et al. 2009). Furthermore, as expected from such mergers, galaxies along this arm in the mass - size diagram have low angular momentum (Emsellem et al. 2011), do not show evidence for containing stellar disks (Krajnović et al. 2011), harbour core-like profiles (Krajnović et al. 2013), are made of old stars (McDermid et al. 2015), and are found at the density peaks of group or cluster environments (Cappellari et al. 2011b; Cappellari 2013; Houghton et al. 2013; Scott et al. 2014; Fogarty et al. 2014, Brough et al. 2017). For massive galaxies, the black hole growth can be linked to the growth of galaxies via dry mergers, and therefore, unlike for the low mass galaxies, it should be less strongly dependent on the velocity dispersion.

The expectation that growths of black holes and galaxies are connected implies that for star-formation driven build-up of galaxy mass (fuelled by direct accretion of gas or wet dissipational mergers) black holes grow by feeding, while in a merger driven growth of galaxies (via accretion of smaller objects to massive galaxies or major dry mergers) black holes will increase their mass through coalescence with other similar size black holes. The consequence is that at low galaxy masses the black holes should correlate with the galaxy velocity dispersion, while at high masses MBH should be more closely related to the galaxy mass. The transition, however, is not sudden, and one can expect a persistence of the MBH - σ relation to high galaxy masses. The reason for this is related to the expectation that high mass galaxies do not experience many similar mass dry mergers (a few at most, Khochfar & Silk 2009). The significance of this is that only the most massive galaxies will go through a sufficient number of black hole mergers that increase MBH disproportionally from the galaxy velocity dispersion. Therefore, the transition between the two regimes of MBH dependence should be
contingent on the galaxy mass, but it should be gradual and only visible at the highest masses, at a point beyond the critical mass of $2 \times 10^{11} \, M_\odot$.

There are challenges to this simple scenario already present in the literature. At low masses there are indications that different galaxy types follow different scaling relations with black hole mass when either bulge mass (luminosity) or effective velocity dispersion are taken into account (Graham & Scott 2013, 2015; Saglia et al. 2016; Savorgnan & Graham 2016). At high masses, Savorgnan & Graham (2015) found that galaxies with most massive black holes reside in galaxies that seem to have undergone only a limited number of dissipation-less mergers (as measured by a proxy of the ratio between the missing light converted to mass and the black hole mass). It is, however, clear that low and high mass systems do go through different evolutionary paths, which might also be more diverse at lower masses. Confirming or disproving specific scenarios requires larger samples of galaxies with reliable black hole masses both at low and high galaxy mass range.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We used a recent compilation of black hole measurements, enhanced by a uniform determination of their sizes and total K-band luminosities, to show a variation of black hole masses in a mass - size plane, showing a similar behaviour as almost all properties of galaxies linked with star formation (Cappellari 2016). Secondly, there is tentative evidence that for higher masses (above $\approx 2 \times 10^{11} \, M_\odot$) the black hole mass is progressively more correlated with the galaxy mass than with the velocity dispersion.

We consider a physically motivated toy model in which black holes below a critical galaxy mass grow by accretion and follow $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma$ relation. Above the critical mass black holes grow via mergers of similar size black holes. As these mergers are enabled by major dry mergers of galaxies, such as the central galaxies in groups and clusters, the black hole growth implicitly depends on the galaxy mass. As the critical galaxy mass, we choose $M_{\text{crit}} = 2 \times 10^{11} \, M_\odot$, which also roughly separates the regions in the mass - size plane populated by axisymmetric fast rotators and spiral galaxies from the slow rotators with cores in central surface brightness profiles (Cappellari et al. 2013b).

Assuming a $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma$ relation for Sersic galaxies (Graham & Scott 2013, but other relations would also give similar results), our toy model has no free parameters and is able to qualitatively reproduce the trend in the data. While it does not provide a relation with less scatter than the standard $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma$ relation, it is physically motivated by the current paradigm of galaxy formation. The most massive galaxies, such as the central galaxies in groups and clusters, evolve through a different process than the bulk of the galaxy population. Namely, they experience multiple dissipation-less mergers, of which some (a few) are major and responsible for an equal increase of galaxy and black hole masses (through black hole binary mergers), but the stellar velocity dispersion remains unchanged. The consequence is a departure of black hole masses from the $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma$ relation for massive galaxies, in particular brightest cluster galaxies and massive slow rotators (with cores). This suggest that there should be a break in $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma$ and $M_{\text{BH}} - M_\star$ relations, similar to the one reported by Graham & Scott (2013, 2015), although the detection of the break or the need for more than a single power-law also depends on the choice of considered galaxies (e.g. including or excluding galaxies of certain bulge type Saglia et al. 2016). Irrespective of the chosen sample, the expected effect of the modulation of the $M_{\text{BH}}$ is small as galaxies with suitable mass assembly history are rare and dry major mergers occur infrequently.

The results presented here imply that there is no universal black hole - host galaxy scaling relation, but that it depends on the channel of formation that galaxies follow. The proposed model is simple and can easily be tested, but the black hole sample will have to include a larger number of massive and large galaxies than are currently available. The coming facilities such as JWST and E-ELT will allow us to reach such objects.
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