

Jacobi matrices: continued fractions, approximation, spectrum.

Ianovich E.A.

first published on website <http://yanovich.spb.ru> in December 2015

Saint Petersburg, Russia

E-mail: eduard@yanovich.spb.ru

Abstract

In this work the spectral theory of self-adjoint operator A represented by Jacobi matrix is considered. The approach is based on the continued fraction representation of the resolvent matrix element of A . Different criteria of absolute continuity of a spectrum are found. For the analysis of the absolutely continuous spectrum it is used an approximation of A by a sequence of operators A_n with absolutely continuous spectrum on a given interval $[a, b]$ which converges to A in a strong sense on a dense set. In the case when $[a, b] \subseteq \sigma(A)$ it was found the sufficient condition of absolute continuity of the operator A spectrum on $[a, b]$. This condition uses the notion of equi-absolute continuity. It is constructed the system of functions converging to the distribution function of the operator. In the case of the absolutely continuous spectrum, the system of continuous functions converging to the spectral weight of the operator on a given interval is also constructed and was analyzed. The conditions when the derivative of the distribution function of A belongs to the class $C[a, b]$ are also obtained.

1 Introduction.

Jacobi matrix is the tridiagonal matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & b_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ b_0 & a_1 & b_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & b_1 & a_2 & b_2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & b_2 & a_3 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.1)$$

where all the a_n are real and all the b_n positive.

An operator in separable Hilbert space H can be associated with this matrix as follows [1]. Let $\{e_n\}_0^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis in H . Let us define basically the operator A on the basis vectors e_n , according to the matrix representation (1.1):

$$\begin{aligned} Ae_n &= b_{n-1}e_{n-1} + a_ne_n + b_ne_{n+1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ Ae_0 &= a_0e_0 + b_0e_1 \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Further, the operator A is defined by linearity on all finite vectors, the set of which is dense in H . (Finite vector has a finite number of non-zero components in the basis $\{e_n\}_0^\infty$.) Due to symmetricity of Jacobi matrix, for any two finite vectors f and g we have

$$(Af, g) = (f, Ag)$$

Therefore, the operator A is symmetric and permits a closure. This minimal closed operator is a subject of the present consideration and it is naturally to save for it the notation A .

The operator A can have deficiency indices $(1, 1)$ or $(0, 0)$. In the second case having the main interest in the present paper, operator A is self-adjoint. A simple sufficient condition for self-adjointness of A is Carleman's condition

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_n} = +\infty \quad (1.3)$$

In the self-adjoint case the spectrum of A is simple and e_0 is generating element. The information about the spectrum of a self-adjoint operator A is contained in function

$$R(\lambda) = ((A - \lambda E)^{-1} e_0, e_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t - \lambda}, \quad \sigma(t) = (E_t e_0, e_0), \quad (1.4)$$

defined at $\lambda \notin \sigma(A)$. (E_t is the resolution of the identity of the operator A). The distribution function $\sigma(t)$ contains complete information about the spectrum. It has the following representation

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \sigma_d(\lambda) + \sigma_{ac}(\lambda) + \sigma_{sc}(\lambda),$$

where $\sigma_d(\lambda)$ is a saltus function defining eigenvalues, $\sigma_{ac}(\lambda)$ and $\sigma_{sc}(\lambda)$ are the absolutely continuous and the singularly continuous parts respectively.

For the function $R(\lambda)$ there exists an efficient approximation algorithm based on the continued fractions. Namely, the following representation is valid

$$R(\lambda) = \frac{1}{a_0 - \lambda - \frac{(b_0)^2}{a_1 - \lambda - \frac{(b_1)^2}{a_2 - \lambda - \frac{(b_2)^2}{a_3 - \lambda - \dots}}}} \quad (1.5)$$

The possibility of such representation and also the conditions and character of convergence are defined by the following theorem [1]

Theorem 1.1 (*Hellinger*) *If the operator A is self-adjoint then the continued fraction in (1.5) uniformly converges in any closed bounded domain of λ without common points with real axis to the analytic function defined by formula (1.4).*

The continued fraction convergence here means the existence of the finite limit of the n th approximant

$$\frac{1}{a_0 - \lambda - \frac{b_0^2}{a_1 - \lambda - \frac{b_1^2}{a_2 - \lambda - \dots - \frac{b_{n-2}^2}{a_{n-1} - \lambda}}}} = -\frac{Q_n(\lambda)}{P_n(\lambda)},$$

where $P_n(\lambda)$ and $Q_n(\lambda)$ are 1st and 2nd type polynomials respectively. These polynomials form a pair of linearly independent solutions of a second order finite difference equation

$$b_{n-1} y_{n-1} + a_n y_n + b_n y_{n+1} = \lambda y_n, \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots), \quad (1.6)$$

with initial conditions

$$P_0(\lambda) = 1, \quad P_1(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda - a_0}{b_0}, \quad Q_0(\lambda) = 0, \quad Q_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{b_0} \quad (1.7)$$

The following equality is also valid

$$P_{n-1}(\lambda) Q_n(\lambda) - P_n(\lambda) Q_{n-1}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{b_{n-1}}, \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots) \quad (1.8)$$

Thus

$$R(\lambda) = - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_n(\lambda)}{P_n(\lambda)}, \quad \text{Im } \lambda \neq 0$$

2 Discrete spectrum.

Using continued fraction expansion of $R(\lambda)$ and continued fractions convergence conditions it is possible to establish the sufficient conditions for discreteness of operator A spectrum.

Let us begin with the following simple assertion

Lemma 2.1 Denote by $K_n(\lambda)$ the infinite part ("tail") of continued fraction (1.5) starting with n th element of sequences a_n and b_n

$$K_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{a_n - \lambda - \frac{(b_n)^2}{a_{n+1} - \lambda - \frac{(b_{n+1})^2}{a_{n+2} - \lambda - \frac{(b_{n+2})^2}{a_{n+3} - \lambda - \dots}}} \quad (2.1)$$

Then

$$R(\lambda) = - \frac{Q_n(\lambda) + Q_{n-1}(\lambda) b_{n-1} K_n(\lambda)}{P_n(\lambda) + P_{n-1}(\lambda) b_{n-1} K_n(\lambda)}, \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

Proof. The proof is obtained by induction on n . The validity of this formula for $n = 1$ follows from initial conditions (1.7) for polynomials P_n Q_n . Assuming the validity of formula

$$R(\lambda) = - \frac{Q_{n-1} + Q_{n-2} b_{n-2} K_{n-1}}{P_{n-1} + P_{n-2} b_{n-2} K_{n-1}},$$

let us prove the same one for the value of index greater on unit. Expressing K_{n-1} through K_n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda) &= - \frac{Q_{n-1} + Q_{n-2} b_{n-2} \frac{1}{a_{n-1} - \lambda - (b_{n-1})^2 K_n}}{P_{n-1} + P_{n-2} b_{n-2} \frac{1}{a_{n-1} - \lambda - (b_{n-1})^2 K_n}} = \\ &= - \frac{(a_{n-1} - \lambda) Q_{n-1} + Q_{n-2} b_{n-2} - Q_{n-1} (b_{n-1})^2 K_n}{(a_{n-1} - \lambda) P_{n-1} + P_{n-2} b_{n-2} - P_{n-1} (b_{n-1})^2 K_n} \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.6), we find

$$R(\lambda) = - \frac{-Q_n b_{n-1} - Q_{n-1} (b_{n-1})^2 K_{n-1}}{-P_n b_{n-1} - P_{n-1} (b_{n-1})^2 K_{n-1}} = - \frac{Q_n + Q_{n-1} b_{n-1} K_n}{P_n + P_{n-1} b_{n-1} K_n}$$

Thus the formula is valid for all natural n .

Exactly infinite part of the continued fraction (the behavior a_n and b_n at infinity) defines essentially the spectrum of A . The discrete component only can qualitatively depends on finite number of first terms of sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$. But this possible changes can consist in appearance (or disappearance) of a finite number of eigenvalues.

To state that the spectrum of A is discrete we shall find such conditions that for any domain D , no matter how large it is, there exists a number N_D such that the function $K_n(\lambda)$ is analytic in D for $n > N_D$ (N_D depends on D). We use for that the known convergence theorems of continued fractions, like Pringsheim's theorem.

First result of such type was established probably by Chihara [2]. Note that in the work [3] another close criterion was obtained by different method.

Theorem 2.1 *Assume that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| = \infty, \quad \overline{\lim} \frac{b_{n-1}^2}{|a_{n-1}a_n|} = c < 1/4$$

Then the operator A (or its self-adjoint extension) has a discrete spectrum.

Proof. Transform the continued fraction (2.1) in the expression for K_n into equivalent one

$$K_n(\lambda) = \frac{C_0(\lambda)}{2 + \frac{C_1(\lambda)}{2 + \frac{C_2(\lambda)}{2 + \dots}}}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$C_0(\lambda) = \frac{2}{a_n - \lambda}, \quad C_k(\lambda) = \frac{-4b_{n+k-1}^2}{(a_{n+k-1} - \lambda)(a_{n+k} - \lambda)}, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (2.3)$$

To avoid a bulkiness we will omit an index n in the writing of $C_k(\lambda)$ although they depend on n .

A continued fraction in the formula (2.2) is defined as usually as a limit of n th approximant [4, 5]

$$K_n(\lambda) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_0(\lambda)}{2 + \frac{C_1(\lambda)}{2 + \dots + \frac{C_k(\lambda)}{2}}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_k}{N_k},$$

where M_k and N_k are n th numerator and denominator satisfying the following three-term recurrence relations

$$\begin{aligned} M_k &= 2M_{k-1} + C_k(\lambda)M_{k-2}; & M_{-1} &\equiv 0, & M_{-2} &\equiv 1 \\ N_k &= 2N_{k-1} + C_k(\lambda)N_{k-2}; & N_{-1} &\equiv 1, & N_{-2} &\equiv 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

The n th approximant of the continued fraction (2.2) coincides with n th approximant of the continued fraction (2.1). Therefore these fractions converge or diverge simultaneously and have common limit in the case of convergence.

Using the formula [4, 5]

$$\frac{M_k}{N_k} = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \frac{C_0 \cdot \dots \cdot C_i}{N_i N_{i-1}}, \quad (2.5)$$

it is possible to present the function $K_n(\lambda)$ as a series

$$K_n(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \frac{C_0 \cdot \dots \cdot C_i}{N_i N_{i-1}}, \quad (2.6)$$

Convergence of the continued fraction (2.2) is equivalent to the convergence of this series.

In the proof of the convergence below we essentially use the idea of Pringsheim's theorem proof given in [5].

It follows from the conditions of a theorem and formulas (2.3) that for any bounded domain D in complex plane there exists a number N_D such that all functions $C_k(\lambda)$ are analytic in D , and for any $\lambda \in D$ and $n > N_D$ the following inequalities are fulfilled

$$|C_k(\lambda)| < 1, \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

Using this, and formulas (2.4), we obtain for $\lambda \in D$ and $n > N_D$

$$|N_k| \geq 2|N_{k-1}| - |C_k| |N_{k-2}| \geq 2|N_{k-1}| - |N_{k-2}|$$

or

$$|N_k| - |N_{k-1}| \geq |N_{k-1}| - |N_{k-2}|$$

Hence it follows that

$$|N_k| - |N_{k-1}| \geq |N_{-1}| - |N_{-2}| = 1, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore for the partial sums of a series (2.6) we have the estimate

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\prod_{j=0}^i C_j}{N_i N_{i-1}} \right| \leq \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{1}{|N_i N_{i-1}|} \leq \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{|N_i| - |N_{i-1}|}{|N_i N_{i-1}|} = \sum_{i=0}^k \left(\frac{1}{|N_{i-1}|} - \frac{1}{|N_i|} \right) = 1 - \frac{1}{|N_k|} \leq 1 \quad (2.8)$$

It follows that the series (2.6) converges absolutely, and hence, the continued fraction (2.2) converges for all $\lambda \in D$. Let us prove that the limit function $K_n(\lambda)$ is analytic in D . The functions $C_k(\lambda)$ are analytic in D . Therefore, from the recurrence relations (2.4) it follows that M_k and N_k are also analytic functions of λ in D . Besides, as it follows from the inequality (2.7), the functions $N_k(\lambda)$ have no zeros in D . Hence the function $K_n(\lambda)$ is a limit at any point $\lambda \in D$ of sequence of analytic in D functions

$$\frac{M_k(\lambda)}{N_k(\lambda)}$$

It follows from the formula (2.5) and estimate (2.8) that this sequence is uniformly bounded in D and consequently it is compact in this domain. Therefore by Vitali's theorem [6] this sequence converges uniformly in D and by Weierstrass's theorem the limit function $K_n(\lambda)$ is analytic in this domain. From (2.5) and (2.8) it follows also that

$$|K_n(\lambda)| \leq 1, \quad \lambda \in D$$

Thus it is proved that for any bounded domain D of a complex plane there exists a number N_D such that for $n > N_D$ the function $K_n(\lambda)$ is analytic in D and is a limit of the continued fraction (2.1) converging in D . From Lemma 2.1 it follows that the function $R(\lambda)$ is represented

as a quotient of the two analytic in D functions (polynomials $P_n(\lambda)$ and $Q_n(\lambda)$ are obviously analytic in any bounded part of a complex plane). Therefore the function $R(\lambda)$ is analytic in D , except may be a finite number of points at which the denominator is zero. With the exception of this finite number of points (they can absent at all) the continued fraction (1.5) converges in D . If the operator A is self-adjoint, the discreteness of its spectrum follows from the fact that only a finite number of singular points of the function $R(\lambda)$, which are its poles, can be contained in any bounded domain. By virtue of self-adjointness of the operator A these poles will exist and will be on a real axis being the eigenvalues of A . A unique condensation point of the eigenvalues will be at infinity. If the operator A is not self-adjoint, any its self-adjoint extension has a discrete spectrum since the resolvent is compact [21]. The theorem is proved.

3 Approximation and absolutely continuous spectrum.

Let us consider the following problem. Let $\{A_n\}_1^\infty$ be a sequence of linear self-adjoint operators in a separable Hilbert space H with absolutely continuous spectrum on some interval $[a, b]$ and Φ be some dense set in H . Suppose that on Φ there exist in a strong sense the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$ and the closure of this limit operator is self-adjoint operator A . That is, for any $e \in \Phi$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(A_n - A)e\| = 0$$

Suppose also that $[a, b] \subseteq \sigma(A)$. There arises the question: what is the condition which guarantees that the spectrum of a limit operator A is also absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$?

Let $E_\lambda^{(n)}$ and E_λ be the resolutions of the identity of the operators A_n and A respectively and let

$$\sigma_n(\lambda; e) = (E_\lambda^{(n)}e, e), \quad e \in H$$

$$\sigma(\lambda; e) = (E_\lambda e, e), \quad e \in H$$

By assumption all functions $\sigma_n(\lambda; e)$ are absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ so that

$$\sigma_n(\lambda; e) = \sigma_n(a; e) + \int_a^\lambda f_n(t; e) dt, \quad e \in H, \quad \lambda \in [a, b],$$

where $f_n(t; e)$ is a sequence of non-negative summable on $[a, b]$ functions.

It may be supposed that the convergence of the sequence A_n to the operator A in a strong sense on the set Φ should lead to the convergence of the sequence $\sigma_n(\lambda; e)$ to the function $\sigma(\lambda; e)$. It turns out it is true.

Lemma 3.1 *If λ is not an eigenvalue of the operator A , then for all $e \in H$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n(\lambda; e) = \sigma(\lambda; e) \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. It is known [15] that the strong convergence of the sequence A_n to A on a dense subset in H leads to the strong convergence of the sequence $E_\lambda^{(n)}$ to E_λ for those λ which are not the eigenvalues of A . That is, if λ is not an eigenvalue of the operator A , then for all $e \in H$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(E_\lambda^{(n)} - E_\lambda)e\| = 0$$

Further, we have

$$|\sigma_n(\lambda; e) - \sigma(\lambda; e)| = |((E_\lambda^{(n)} - E_\lambda)e, e)| \leq \|(E_\lambda^{(n)} - E_\lambda)e\|,$$

whence the statement of the Lemma readily follows.

Note that as we suppose that the space H is separable, the set of eigenvalues of the operator A is countable and hence the equality (3.1) is fulfilled for almost all λ .

Let us give now the answer on the question stated above.

Theorem 3.1 *In order that the spectrum of the operator A on the interval $[a, b]$ ($[a, b] \subseteq \sigma(A)$) be absolutely continuous it is sufficient that for any $e \in H$ the sequence of functions $\{\sigma_n(\lambda; e)\}$ be equi-absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, or, that is the same, the sequence of functions $\{f_n(t; e)\}$ has equi-absolutely continuous integrals on $[a, b]$. Under these conditions the sequence $\{\sigma_n(\lambda; e)\}$ uniformly converges to $\sigma(\lambda; e)$ on $[a, b]$.*

Proof. Fix some vector $e \in H$. For the brevity we will omit this vector in the arguments of all functions.

Consider the sequence of functions $\sigma_n(\lambda)$ on the interval $[a, b]$.

$$\sigma_n(\lambda) = \sigma_n(a) + \int_a^\lambda f_n(t) dt, \quad \lambda \in [a, b]$$

Here $f_n(t) \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$ but the case $f_n(t) \equiv 0$ is also possible if for example the corresponding vector e belongs to the discrete subspace of the operator A_n . In this case the function $\sigma_n(\lambda)$ is constant on $[a, b]$.

By assumption the sequence $\sigma_n(\lambda)$ is equi-absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$. Besides, by virtue of its definition, it is uniformly bounded ($0 \leq \sigma_n(\lambda) \leq \|e\|^2$, $\lambda \in R$). Hence [16], from the sequence $\sigma_n(\lambda)$ one can extract a partial sequence $\sigma_{n_k}(\lambda)$ which uniformly converges on $[a, b]$, moreover the limit function $\sigma_1(\lambda)$ is absolutely continues on $[a, b]$. So, we obtain

$$(*) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda) \quad \text{for almost all } \lambda \text{ from } [a, b]$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n_k}(\lambda) = \sigma_1(\lambda) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \text{ from } [a, b]$$

Comparing this two limit equalities we can note that $\sigma_1(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda)$ for almost all $\lambda \in [a, b]$. Let us show that the first equality (*) is fulfilled everywhere in $[a, b]$. Let λ_0 be a point in which the equality (*) perhaps is not valid. Consider the sequence $\sigma_n(\lambda_0)$. We have

$$|\sigma_n(\lambda_0) - \sigma_m(\lambda_0)| \leq |\sigma_n(\lambda_0) - \sigma_n(\lambda')| + |\sigma_n(\lambda') - \sigma_m(\lambda')| + |\sigma_m(\lambda') - \sigma_m(\lambda_0)|$$

Here λ' is an arbitrary point of the interval $[a, b]$. Since the set of the possible points λ_0 has measure zero, in any arbitrarily small neighbourhood of the point λ_0 there exists an infinite number of points in which the sequence $\sigma_n(\lambda)$ converges. Hence one can choose the point λ' such that on the one hand the sequence $\sigma_n(\lambda')$ converges and on the other hand the inequality

$$|\sigma_n(\lambda_0) - \sigma_n(\lambda')| < \epsilon/3$$

holds for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any n .

The validity of this inequality for any n is possible by virtue of equi-absolute continuity of the sequence $\sigma_n(\lambda)$.

Fixing such λ' and ϵ , one can choose a number N such that the inequality

$$|\sigma_n(\lambda') - \sigma_m(\lambda')| < \epsilon/3$$

is valid, provided $n, m > N$. Then for the same n and m

$$|\sigma_n(\lambda_0) - \sigma_m(\lambda_0)| < \epsilon$$

Since ϵ is arbitrarily small the sequence $\sigma_n(\lambda_0)$ converges by Cauchy's criterion.

Thus the sequence $\sigma_n(\lambda)$ converges everywhere in $[a, b]$ and therefore $\sigma(\lambda) = \sigma_1(\lambda)$ also everywhere in $[a, b]$. Hence the function $\sigma(\lambda)$ is absolutely continuous on this interval. Note that the sequence $\sigma_n(\lambda)$ converges to $\sigma(\lambda)$ uniformly on $[a, b]$ (it can be proved by contradiction).

Thus we proved that for any $e \in H$ the functions $\sigma(\lambda) \equiv \sigma(\lambda; e)$ are absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$. Since $[a, b] \subseteq \sigma(A)$ it follows already that the spectrum of the operator A is absolutely continuous on this interval. The theorem is proved.

Corollary 3.1 *If for any $e \in H$ the sequence of functions $\{\sigma_n(\lambda; e)\}$ is equi-absolutely continuous on any finite interval of a real axis then the spectrum of the operator A is purely absolutely continuous.*

Remark. In the conditions of the theorem one can replace the requirement "for any $e \in H$ " by the requirement "for any e from generation subspace of the operator A ". In particular, if the spectrum of the operator A is simple, as in the case of Jacobi matrix, then it is sufficient to consider the functions $\{\sigma_n(\lambda; e_0)\}$ only, where e_0 is a generating vector.

Turn back to the case when the operator A is represented by Jacobi matrix (1.1) and choose the approximating sequence A_n as follows

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & b_0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ b_0 & a_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{n-1} & b_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & b_{n-1} & a_n & b_n & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & b_n & a_n & b_n & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & b_n & a_n & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (3.2)$$

The matrix A_n differs from A by that the elements of the diagonals are not changed starting with n th number. If we denote the elements of the diagonal sequences of A_n by $a_k^{(n)}$ and $b_k^{(n)}$, then

$$a_k^{(n)} = \begin{cases} a_k, & k < n \\ a_n, & k \geq n \end{cases}, \quad b_k^{(n)} = \begin{cases} b_k, & k < n \\ b_n, & k \geq n \end{cases}$$

Such matrices A_n were used in works [8, 9, 10]. Note that they were used also in the work [7], where the absolutely continuous spectrum of Jacobi matrices also was considered. However this matrices were used there in connection with commutation relations rather than with approximation.

It is clear that the matrices A_n describe a sequence of bounded ($D(A_n) = H$) self-adjoint operators (Carleman's condition (1.3) is obviously fulfilled) and it is natural to save the same notation for it.

The sequence A_n strongly converges to the operator A on the set of finite vectors since for any finite vector the difference $A - A_n$ vanishes, provided n is sufficiently large. To use the Theorem (3.1) we should state the conditions under which the spectrum of A_n is absolutely continuous. Note that the spectrum of the operators A_n and A is simple and all information about the spectrum contains in the functions $\sigma_n(\lambda) = (E_\lambda^{(n)} e_0, e_0)$ and $\sigma(\lambda) = (E_\lambda e_0, e_0)$ (generating vector e_0 is the same for A and A_n). Below we will state the absolute continuity conditions of the spectrum of A_n . We will give also an explicit expression for the functions $f_n(t) = \sigma'_n(t)$. It is possible to do with the help of continued fraction approach.

Denote by $R_n(\lambda)$ the function $R(\lambda)$ for the operator A_n defined by (1.4). Applying the Lemma (2.1) and taking into account the definition of the operators A_n , we can present $R_n(\lambda)$ in the form

$$R_n(\lambda) = -\frac{Q_n(\lambda) + Q_{n-1}(\lambda) b_{n-1} K_n(\lambda)}{P_n(\lambda) + P_{n-1}(\lambda) b_{n-1} K_n(\lambda)}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$K_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{a_n - \lambda - \frac{(b_n)^2}{a_n - \lambda - \frac{(b_n)^2}{a_n - \lambda - \frac{(b_n)^2}{a_n - \lambda - \dots}}}} \quad (3.4)$$

Continued fraction for $K_n(\lambda)$ describes an infinite part of the matrix of the operators A_n in which the elements of the sequences are constant and equal a_n and b_n . The function $K_n(\lambda)$ can be regarded as a matrix element of the resolvent of the operator represented by Jacobi matrix J_n with constant elements along the diagonals

$$J_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ b_n & a_n & b_n & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & b_n & a_n & b_n & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & b_n & a_n & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

This Jacobi matrix is naturally connected with Tchebychev's polynomials, and the function $K_n(\lambda)$ can be found explicitly. Note first that the operator J_n is self-adjoint and by the theorem (1.1) the continued fraction (3.4) converges to the function $K_n(\lambda)$ for any non-real λ . Write next the identity for the k th and $(k-1)$ th approximants which follows readily from the structure of the continued fraction (3.4)

$$K_n^{(k)}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{a_n - \lambda - (b_n)^2 K_n^{(k-1)}(\lambda)}$$

Letting here $\text{Im } \lambda \neq 0$ and passing to the limit, $k \rightarrow \infty$, one obtains the simple equation for $K_n(\lambda)$

$$K_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{a_n - \lambda - (b_n)^2 K_n(\lambda)},$$

which has a solution

$$K_n(\lambda) = \frac{a_n - \lambda + \sqrt{(a_n - \lambda)^2 - 4(b_n)^2}}{2(b_n)^2}$$

One of the two branches of the square root is chosen from additional condition

$$\text{Im } K_n(\lambda) > 0, \quad \text{Im } \lambda > 0,$$

which is valid for a matrix element of the resolvent of any self-adjoint operator [15]. Using this one obtains for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow +0} K_n(x + i\epsilon) = D_n(x) + i B_n(x) \quad (3.5)$$

$$D_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{a_n - x}{2(b_n)^2}, & |a_n - x| \leq 2b_n \\ \frac{a_n - x \pm \sqrt{(a_n - x)^2 - 4(b_n)^2}}{2(b_n)^2}, & |a_n - x| \geq 2b_n \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

$$B_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{4(b_n)^2 - (a_n - x)^2}}{2(b_n)^2}, & |a_n - x| \leq 2b_n \\ 0, & |a_n - x| \geq 2b_n \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

In the expression for $D_n(x)$ the sign "+" before the square root is taken when $x - a_n > 2b_n$, the sign "-" when $a_n - x > 2b_n$.

From (3.5) - (3.7) it follows that the spectrum of J_n is absolutely continuous and concentrated on the interval $\sigma(J_n) = [a_n - 2b_n, a_n + 2b_n]$. The function $K_n(\lambda)$ is the matrix element of the resolvent of self-adjoint operator J_n . Hence for the function $K_n(\lambda)$ one has the integral representation

$$K_n(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f_{J_n}(x) dx}{x - \lambda} = \frac{1}{2\pi(b_n)^2} \int_{a_n - 2b_n}^{a_n + 2b_n} \frac{\sqrt{4(b_n)^2 - (a_n - x)^2} dx}{x - \lambda},$$

where

$$f_{J_n}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{4(b_n)^2 - (a_n - x)^2}}{2\pi(b_n)^2}, & |a_n - x| \leq 2b_n \\ 0, & |a_n - x| > 2b_n \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

The function $f_{J_n}(x)$ at $a_n = 0$, $b_n = 1/2$ is the spectral weight for Tchebychev's polynomials [17, 18]. The element a_n defines the center of the spectrum and b_n defines the width of the spectrum. The center and the width of the spectrum change according to the behavior of a_n and b_n .

Prove that under some conditions the spectrum of A_n is the same as the spectrum of J_n .

Theorem 3.2 *Inside the interval $I_n = [a_n - 2b_n, a_n + 2b_n]$ the spectrum of the operator A_n is always absolutely continuous. The spectral weight $f_n(x)$ with accuracy to the values on a set of measure zero is defined by*

$$f_n(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{\pi} B_n(x)}{P_n^2(x) - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} P_{n-1}(x) P_{n+1}(x)} = \frac{f_{J_n}(x)}{P_n^2(x) - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} P_{n-1}(x) P_{n+1}(x)}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $f_{J_n}(x)$ is spectral weight of J_n .

If for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the inequalities

$$b_n \geq b_{n-1}, \quad |a_n - a_{n-1}| \leq 2(b_n - b_{n-1})$$

hold, then the spectrum of A_n is purely absolutely continuous, concentrated on the interval I_n and $I_n \subseteq I_{n+1}$.

Proof. Prove first that the absolutely continuous part of the spectrum of A_n coincides with the spectrum of J_n . For this purpose transform the expression (3.3) for $R_n(\lambda)$

$$R_n(\lambda) = -\frac{Q_{n-1}(\lambda)}{P_{n-1}(\lambda)} - \frac{Q_n(\lambda) P_{n-1}(\lambda) - Q_{n-1}(\lambda) P_n(\lambda)}{P_{n-1}(\lambda) (P_n(\lambda) + P_{n-1}(\lambda) b_{n-1} K_n(\lambda))}$$

or, using (1.8),

$$R_n(\lambda) = -\frac{Q_{n-1}(\lambda)}{P_{n-1}(\lambda)} - \frac{1}{b_{n-1} P_{n-1}(\lambda) (P_n(\lambda) + P_{n-1}(\lambda) b_{n-1} K_n(\lambda))}$$

Let $\lambda = x + i\epsilon$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Using (3.5), (3.7) one obtains

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow +0} \operatorname{Im} R_n(x + i\epsilon) = \frac{B_n(x)}{(P_n(x) + b_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x) D_n(x))^2 + (b_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x) B_n(x))^2} \quad (3.10)$$

It is easy to note that the denominator of the fraction (3.10) nowhere within the interval of the absolutely continuous spectrum of J_n is zero. Indeed, if $|x - a_n| < 2b_n$, then the denominator may be zero if and only if x is a common zero of P_n and P_{n-1} . But this is impossible since P_n and P_{n-1} have no common zeros [1].

Thus from the limit expression (3.10) it follows readily that the absolutely continuous parts of the spectrum of A_n and J_n coincide. Prove that under the conditions of the theorem the singular part of the spectrum of A_n is absent. For this purpose, return to the formula (3.3). The numerator and the denominator in this formula are analytic functions on the set $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(J_n)$. Therefore the point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the singular part of the spectrum of A_n for $|x - a_n| \leq 2b_n$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow +0} (P_n(x + i\epsilon) + P_{n-1}(x + i\epsilon) b_{n-1} K_n(x + i\epsilon)) = \\ = P_n(x) + P_{n-1}(x) b_{n-1} D_n(x) + i P_{n-1}(x) b_{n-1} B_n(x) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

and for $|x - a_n| > 2b_n$ if and only if x is a zero of the denominator:

$$P_n(x) + P_{n-1}(x) b_{n-1} K_n(x) = P_n(x) + P_{n-1}(x) b_{n-1} D_n(x) = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

But as it was shown before, the equality (3.11) is impossible for $|x - a_n| < 2b_n$. It remains to consider the case $|x - a_n| \geq 2b_n$. In this case the conditions (3.11) and (3.12) coincide since $B_n(x) = 0$.

Thus, let $|x - a_n| \geq 2b_n$. From the definition (3.6) of $D_n(x)$ it follows that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|D_n(x)| \leq \frac{1}{b_n}$$

Using this estimate and the assumed monotonicity of the sequence b_n one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} |P_n(x) + P_{n-1}(x) b_{n-1} D_n(x)| &\geq |P_n(x)| - |P_{n-1}(x)| b_{n-1} |D_n(x)| \geq \\ &\geq |P_n(x)| - |P_{n-1}(x)| \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} \geq |P_n(x)| - |P_{n-1}(x)| \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

On the other hand, from the recurrence relations (1.6) for the polynomials P_n one has

$$|P_n(x)| = \left| \frac{(x - a_{n-1}) P_{n-1}(x) - b_{n-2} P_{n-2}(x)}{b_{n-1}} \right| \geq \frac{|x - a_{n-1}|}{b_{n-1}} |P_{n-1}(x)| - \frac{b_{n-2}}{b_{n-1}} |P_{n-2}(x)|$$

If $|x - a_n| \geq 2b_n$, then by virtue of the theorem condition $|a_n - a_{n-1}| \leq 2(b_n - b_{n-1})$, the inequality $|x - a_{n-1}| \geq 2b_{n-1}$ also holds. Therefore from the last inequality one obtains

$$|P_n(x)| \geq 2|P_{n-1}(x)| - |P_{n-2}(x)|$$

or

$$|P_n(x)| - |P_{n-1}(x)| \geq |P_{n-1}(x)| - |P_{n-2}(x)|$$

Continuing this process by induction one obtains

$$|P_n(x)| - |P_{n-1}(x)| \geq |P_1(x)| - |P_0(x)| \geq 2 - 1 = 1$$

Finally, substituting this inequality into (3.13) one arrives at the following estimate of the denominator in the formula (3.3) in the case $|x - a_n| \geq 2b_n$

$$|P_n(x) + P_{n-1}(x) b_{n-1} D_n(x)| \geq 1,$$

whence it follows the absence in this area the points of a singular spectrum of A_n .

It remains to prove the formula (3.9). Using (3.8) and (3.10) one has

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow +0} \operatorname{Im} R_n(x + i\epsilon) = \frac{\frac{1}{\pi} B_n(x)}{(P_n(x) + b_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x) D_n(x))^2 + (b_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x) B_n(x))^2} = \\ &= \frac{f_{J_n}(x)}{(P_n(x) + b_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x) D_n(x))^2 + (b_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x) B_n(x))^2} \end{aligned}$$

Transform the denominator. When $|x - a_n| \leq 2b_n$, using (3.6), (3.7), (1.6), one has

$$\begin{aligned} &(P_n(x) + b_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x) D_n(x))^2 + (b_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x) B_n(x))^2 = \\ &= \left(P_n + \frac{a_n - x}{2b_n^2} b_{n-1} P_{n-1} \right)^2 + P_{n-1}^2 b_{n-1}^2 \frac{4b_n^2 - (a_n - x)^2}{4b_n^4} = \\ &= P_n^2 + \frac{a_n - x}{b_n^2} b_{n-1} P_{n-1} P_n + \frac{b_{n-1}^2}{b_n^2} P_{n-1}^2 = P_n^2 + P_{n-1} \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n^2} ((a_n - x) P_n + b_{n-1} P_{n-1}) = \\ &= P_n^2 - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} P_{n-1} P_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved.

Remark. Additional condition of the theorem means some subordination of a_n to b_n . Indeed, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$|a_k| - |a_{k-1}| \leq |a_k - a_{k-1}| \leq 2(b_k - b_{k-1})$$

Adding these inequalities for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ one obtains

$$|a_n| \leq |a_0| + 2(b_n - b_0)$$

Note also that the expression

$$P_n^2(x) - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} P_{n-1}(x) P_{n+1}(x)$$

appears probably first time in works [11]. In [12] it is called "Turan determinant".

Definition. Let us call the system of intervals I_n *centered* on some interval $[a, b]$ if this interval is contained inside the interval I_n for all sufficiently large values of n .

Now, when the absolute continuity conditions for the spectrum of A_n are stated, we can apply the theorem (3.1). Combining the results of the theorems (3.1) and (3.2) and using the Definition, one obtains

Theorem 3.3 Assume that the operator A , which is represented by (1.1), is self-adjoint and the system of intervals I_n is centered on some interval $[a, b]$ of the operator A spectrum. Then the spectrum of the operator A is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ if on this interval the functions

$$f_n(x) = \frac{f_{J_n}(x)}{P_n^2(x) - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} P_{n-1}(x) P_{n+1}(x)}$$

have equi-absolutely continuous integrals for all n sufficiently large.

One can give a simple sufficient condition of equi-absolute continuity of functions $\sigma_n(\lambda)$ in the case when $f_n(x) \in L_\infty[a, b]$ for all sufficiently large n , that is, when $\|f_n(x)\|_{L_\infty} = \text{vrai sup} \{f_n(x)\} < +\infty$. Let the norms of functions $f_n(x)$ be uniformly bounded in the space $L_\infty[a, b]$

$$\|f_n(x)\|_{L_\infty} < C,$$

where the constant C is independent of n . Then for any measurable set $e \subseteq [a, b]$ one has

$$\int_e f_n(t) dt < C m(e)$$

Because the constant C is independent of n , for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $m(e) < \epsilon/C$ it follows

$$\int_e f_n(t) dt < \epsilon$$

This means that the functions $f_n(x)$ have equi-absolutely continuous integrals.

In the case of the operators A_n , if the system of the intervals I_n is centered on the interval $[a, b]$, then for all sufficiently large values of n the functions $f_n(x)$ are continuous on $[a, b]$ and $\text{vrai sup} \{f_n(x)\} = \max_{x \in [a, b]} \{f_n(x)\}$. Thus we have the following sufficient condition for the absolute continuity of the spectrum of operator A :

Theorem 3.4 Assume that the operator A , which is represented by (1.1), is self-adjoint and the system of intervals I_n is centered on some interval $[a, b]$ of the operator A spectrum. Let for all sufficiently large n

$$\max_{x \in [a, b]} \{f_n(x)\} < C, \quad (3.14)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of n . Then the spectrum of A is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ and the sequence $\sigma_n(x)$ uniformly converges to $\sigma(x)$.

An expression (3.9) for the spectral wights $f_n(x)$ can be transformed to another form which is sometimes more convenient for analysis. Use for that the following assertion (see also [19]).

Lemma 3.2 Let $b_{-1} \equiv 0$, $P_{-1}(x) \equiv 0$. Then for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ the identity

$$P_n^2(x) - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} P_{n-1}(x) P_{n+1}(x) = \frac{1}{b_n^2} \sum_{k=0}^n [(b_k^2 - b_{k-1}^2) P_k^2(x) + b_{k-1}(a_k - a_{k-1}) P_{k-1}(x) P_k(x)]$$

is valid.

Proof. Consider two successive recurrence relations (1.6) for polynomials $P_n(x)$

$$b_{k-1} P_k = (x - a_{k-1}) P_{k-1} - b_{k-2} P_{k-2}$$

$$b_k P_{k+1} = (x - a_k) P_k - b_{k-1} P_{k-1}$$

Multiplying the first of them by P_k and the second by P_{k-1} and subtracting, one obtains

$$(a_k - a_{k-1}) P_{k-1} P_k = b_{k-1} (P_k^2 - P_{k-1}^2) + b_{k-2} P_{k-2} P_k - b_k P_{k-1} P_{k+1}$$

Multiplying this equality by b_{k-1} and adding for k from 1 up to n , one finds

$$\sum_{k=1}^n b_{k-1} (a_k - a_{k-1}) P_{k-1} P_k = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{k-1}^2 (P_k^2 - P_{k-1}^2) - b_{n-1} b_n P_{n-1} P_{n+1}$$

or

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (b_k^2 - b_{k-1}^2) P_k^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n b_{k-1} (a_k - a_{k-1}) P_{k-1} P_k = b_{n-1}^2 P_n^2 - b_{n-1} b_n P_{n-1} P_{n+1}$$

or

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (b_k^2 - b_{k-1}^2) P_k^2 + \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k-1} (a_k - a_{k-1}) P_{k-1} P_k = b_n^2 P_n^2 - b_{n-1} b_n P_{n-1} P_{n+1}$$

Dividing this equality by b_n^2 , one obtains the required statement.

Using this lemma one obtains

Theorem 3.5 *Assume that the operator A , which is represented by (1.1), is self-adjoint and the system of intervals I_n is centered on some interval $[a, b]$ of the operator A spectrum. If for any $n \geq N$ the estimate*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n [(b_k^2 - b_{k-1}^2) P_k^2(x) + b_{k-1} (a_k - a_{k-1}) P_{k-1}(x) P_k(x)] > C b_n, \quad (3.15)$$

holds, where the constant $C > 0$ depends neither on n no on $x \in [a, b]$, then the spectrum of A is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ and the sequence $\sigma_n(x)$ uniformly converges on this interval to the function $\sigma(x)$.

Proof. By virtue of the Theorem (3.4), for the proof it is remained to show that (3.14) follows from (3.15). From (3.8) and (3.9) one has

$$f_n(x) \leq \frac{1/(\pi b_n)}{P_n^2(x) - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} P_{n-1}(x) P_{n+1}(x)},$$

for any x and n , or by the Lemma (3.2)

$$f_n(x) \leq \frac{b_n}{\pi \sum_{k=0}^n [(b_k^2 - b_{k-1}^2) P_k^2(x) + b_{k-1} (a_k - a_{k-1}) P_{k-1}(x) P_k(x)]}$$

Hence, if (3.15) holds, then $f_n(x) < (\pi C)^{-1}$. Theorem is proved.

Corollary 3.2 *If $a_n = 0$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, then the condition (3.15) takes the form*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (b_k^2 - b_{k-1}^2) P_k^2(x) > C b_n,$$

Let us give one more variant of sufficient condition for absolute continuity based on one more representation of $f_n(x)$ which is used below.

Using the recurrence relations for polynomials P_n , one can present the denominator of functions $f_n(x)$ in the form

$$P_n^2(x) - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} P_{n-1}(x) P_{n+1}(x) = P_{n+1}^2(x) + P_n^2(x) - \frac{x - a_n}{b_n} P_{n+1}(x) P_n(x)$$

Hence for $|x - a_n| \leq b_n$ the estimate

$$P_n^2(x) - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} P_{n-1}(x) P_{n+1}(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} (P_{n+1}^2(x) + P_n^2(x))$$

holds. Therefore

$$f_n(x) \leq \frac{2/(\pi b_n)}{P_{n+1}^2(x) + P_n^2(x)},$$

whence one obtains

Theorem 3.6 *Suppose that the operator A represented by Jacobi matrix (1.1) is self-adjoint and the domain $|x| \leq K$ belongs to its spectrum. If for any x from the domain $|x| \leq K$ and any $n \geq N$ the estimates $|x - a_n| \leq b_n$ and*

$$P_{n+1}^2(x) + P_n^2(x) > \frac{C}{b_n}$$

hold, where the constant $C > 0$ depends neither on x no on n , then the spectrum of the operator A is absolutely continuous in the domain $|x| \leq K$ and the sequence $\sigma_n(x)$ uniformly converges in this domain to the function $\sigma(x)$.

Examples. Let us consider some examples. Consider first the case $a_n = 0$. In this case $I_n = [-2b_n, 2b_n]$. Let the sequence b_n be non-decreasing. Two cases are possible: b_n tends either to a finite limit or to the infinity. Let's start with the first case as the most simple.

Let $b_n \rightarrow b < +\infty$. In this case the operator A is bounded. The difference $A - J$, where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ b & 0 & b & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & b & 0 & b & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & b & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

is compact and Weyl's theorem gives at once $\sigma_{ess}(A) = \sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2b, 2b]$.

The functions $f_n(x)$ have the form

$$f_n(x) = \frac{f_{J_n}(x)}{P_n^2(x) - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} P_{n-1}(x) P_{n+1}(x)} = \frac{b_n^2 f_{J_n}(x)}{\sum_{k=0}^n (b_k^2 - b_{k-1}^2) P_k^2(x)},$$

where

$$f_{J_n}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{4(b_n)^2 - x^2}}{2\pi (b_n)^2}, & |x| \leq 2b_n \\ 0, & |x| > 2b_n \end{cases},$$

A simple estimate gives

$$f_n(x) \leq \frac{b}{\pi b_0^2}$$

for all n and x . Hence the functions $f_n(x)$ are uniformly bounded and the Theorem (3.4) gives at once the absolute continuity of the spectrum of A on any interval inside $[-2b, 2b]$. Thus the absolutely continuous spectrum is concentrated on the interval $[-2b, 2b]$.

Let's consider the case $b_n \rightarrow +\infty$. The system of intervals I_n is centered now on any interval of a real axis. Take as an example Jacobi matrix with elements $a_n = 0$ and $b_n = \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$. This matrix is connected with Hermite polynomials. The corresponding operator is self-adjoint by virtue of Carleman's condition (1.3). In this case $b_k^2 - b_{k-1}^2 = 1/2$ for any k and the function $f_n(x)$ can be presented in the form

$$f_n(x) = \frac{(n+1) f_{J_n}(x)}{\sum_{k=0}^n P_k^2(x)}$$

The orthogonal polynomials of 1st type coincide with Hermit polynomials with accuracy to a constant [21]

$$P_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n! 2^n}} H_n(x), \quad H_n(x) = (-1)^n e^{x^2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2}$$

The functions $f_n(x)$ are continuous and have a maximum at some points inside the interval $|x| \leq 2b_n$. Show that this maximum is attained by all of this functions at the point $x = 0$, that is,

$$f_n(x) \leq f_n(0)$$

Consider separately the numerator and the denominator in the formula for $f_n(x)$. The numerator, that is the function $f_{J_n}(x)$, has a maximum at the point $x = 0$. Show that the denominator is minimal at the same point. Consider for this the derivative of the expression $P_k^2(x) + P_{k-1}^2(x)$:

$$(P_k^2(x) + P_{k-1}^2(x))' = 2P_k(x) P_k'(x) + 2P_{k-1}(x) P_{k-1}'(x)$$

Using the expression for the derivative of Hermite polynomials [17]

$$H_k'(x) = 2k H_{k-1}(x),$$

one obtains

$$P_k'(x) = \sqrt{2k} P_{k-1}(x),$$

and hence

$$(P_k^2(x) + P_{k-1}^2(x))' = 2\sqrt{2} P_{k-1} \left(\sqrt{k} P_k + \sqrt{k-1} P_{k-2} \right)$$

From the recurrence relation for P_k

$$\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} P_k = x P_{k-1} - \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{2}} P_{k-2},$$

one obtains

$$(P_k^2(x) + P_{k-1}^2(x))' = 4x P_{k-1}^2(x)$$

Using this relation, we can present the derivative of the denominator in the expression for $f_n(x)$ for even and odd values of $n \geq 1$ in the form

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} P_k^2(x) \right)' = 4x (P_0^2(x) + P_2^2(x) + \dots + P_{2m-2}^2(x)), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.16)$$

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2m} P_k^2(x) \right)' = 4x (P_1^2(x) + P_3^2(x) + \dots + P_{2m-1}^2(x)) , \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

From this formulae it follows readily that for $x > 0$ the expression $\sum_{k=0}^n P_k^2(x)$ increases and for $x < 0$ decreases. Thus the minimal value of $\sum_{k=0}^n P_k^2(x)$ is attained at the point $x = 0$ and hence

$$f_n(x) \leq f_n(0).$$

It follow that in order that the sequence of functions $f_n(x)$ be uniformly bounded it is sufficient that the number sequence $f_n(0)$ be bounded above. It is not difficult to do not only in this specific example but in a much more general case.

Indeed for $a_n = 0$ and $x = 0$ the recurrence relations (1.6) take the form

$$b_n P_{n+1} = -b_{n-1} P_{n-1} ,$$

whence, using initial conditions $P_0 \equiv 1$, $P_1(0) = 0$, one obtains

$$P_1(0) = P_3(0) = \dots = P_{2k-1}(0) = \dots = 0$$

$$P_{2k}(0) = (-1)^k \frac{b_{2k-2} b_{2k-4} \cdot \dots \cdot b_2 b_0}{b_{2k-1} b_{2k-3} \cdot \dots \cdot b_3 b_1}$$

Substituting this relations into the expression for $f_n(0)$

$$f_n(0) = \frac{f_{J_n}(0)}{P_n^2(0) - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} P_{n-1}(0) P_{n+1}(0)} ,$$

one finds for even and odd values of n

$$f_{2k-1}(0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{b_{2k-1}} \frac{b_{2k-1}^2 b_{2k-3}^2 \cdot \dots \cdot b_3^2 b_1^2}{b_{2k-2}^2 b_{2k-4}^2 \cdot \dots \cdot b_2^2 b_0^2} \quad (3.17)$$

$$f_{2k}(0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{b_{2k}} \frac{b_{2k-1}^2 b_{2k-3}^2 \cdot \dots \cdot b_3^2 b_1^2}{b_{2k-2}^2 b_{2k-4}^2 \cdot \dots \cdot b_2^2 b_0^2}$$

Since $b_{2k} \geq b_{2k-1}$,

$$f_{2k}(0) \leq f_{2k-1}(0) ,$$

and the boundedness of $f_n(0)$ reduces to the boundedness of their odd subsequence.

From

$$\frac{f_{2k+1}(0)}{f_{2k-1}(0)} = \frac{b_{2k-1} b_{2k+1}}{b_{2k}^2}$$

it follows that if

$$b_{2k-1} b_{2k+1} \leq b_{2k}^2 , \quad k = 1, 2, \dots , \quad (3.18)$$

then the sequence $f_{2k-1}(0)$ is non-increasing. A wide enough class of sequences b_n satisfies this condition. In particular, all power sequences $b_n = C(n+1)^a$, $a \in (0; 1]$, $C > 0$ belong to this class. The condition $a \in (0; 1]$ does not restrict the validity of (3.18) but for $a > 1$ the operator A corresponding to Jacobi matrix become not self-adjoint by Berezanskii's theorem [1] since in this case the series $\sum \frac{1}{b_n}$ diverges. But any self-adjoint extension of such an operator has a purely discrete spectrum [21].

By the same way one can show that if the condition (3.18) is fulfilled for all n , that is $b_{n-1}b_{n+1} \leq b_n^2$, then together with non-increase of $f_{2k-1}(0)$, the even subsequence $f_{2k}(0)$ is non-decreasing.

Returning to the above example $a_n = 0$, $b_n = \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, by the Theorem (3.4) one concludes that the spectrum of the corresponding operator A may be absolutely continuous only on any interval of a real axis and hence everywhere since $\max_x \{f_n(x)\} = f_n(0) \leq f_{2k-1}(0) \leq f_1(0)$. Since the spectrum of self-adjoint operator is not empty the spectrum of A is purely absolutely continuous. It is well known [21] that in this case the distribution function of the operator A is defined by

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} e^{-x^2} dx,$$

and the absolutely continuous spectrum covers the whole real axis.

If we take the sequence b_n of the form $b_n = \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^a$ where $a \in (\frac{1}{2}; 1]$, then the direct calculations show that the behavior of the expression $\sum_{k=0}^n (b_k^2 - b_{k-1}^2) P_k^2(x)$ for any n is the same as in the case of Hermite polynomials at $a = 1/2$. That is, it has a minimum at $x = 0$, increasing for $x > 0$ and decreasing for $x < 0$. All that is said above leads to the conclusion that in this case the operator A has purely absolutely continuous spectrum too.

In the case $a \in (0; \frac{1}{2})$ the situation changes and the function $\sum_{k=0}^n (b_k^2 - b_{k-1}^2) P_k^2(x)$ can attain a minimum at $x \neq 0$.

The sequence $f_n(0)$ is not always bounded. Indeed, saving a monotonicity of a sequence b_n , let $b_{2k-1} = b_{2k}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. From (3.17) one obtains

$$f_{2k-1}(0) = f_{2k}(0) = \frac{b_{2k-1}}{\pi b_0^2}$$

Thus if $b_n \rightarrow \infty$, then $f_n(0) \rightarrow \infty$. If this singularity is strong enough, then in the point $x = 0$ an eigenvalue of the operator A appears. Indeed in this case

$$P_{2k}^2(0) = \frac{b_0^2}{(b_{2k-1})^2},$$

and if the series

$$\sum_k \frac{1}{(b_{2k-1})^2}$$

converges, then so is $\sum_n P_n^2(0)$. It means that the point $x = 0$ is an eigenvalue.

For the spectral analysis it is of great interest to find more or less general conditions for the sequences a_n and b_n which guarantee the absolute continuity of the spectrum of A . State below one of such conditions (see also [10, 13, 14])

Theorem 3.7 *Let a_n and b_n satisfy the conditions*

$$1. \lim b_n = +\infty, \quad \sum \frac{1}{b_n} = +\infty$$

$$2. 0 < C_1 < \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} < C_2 < +\infty$$

3. $\{\frac{a_n}{b_n}\} \in l_2$, if $\lim |a_n| = +\infty$ or
 $\{\frac{1}{b_n}\} \in l_2$, if $|a_n|$ is bounded

4. $\left\{ \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} - \frac{b_{n-2}}{b_{n-1}} \right\} \in l_1$, $\left\{ \frac{b_n - b_{n-2}}{b_{n-1}b_n} \right\} \in l_1$, $\left\{ \frac{b_n a_{n-1} - a_n b_{n-2}}{b_{n-1}b_n} \right\} \in l_1$

5. $\lim \frac{b_{n-1}^2 b_{n-3}^2 b_{n-5}^2 \cdots}{b_n b_{n-2}^2 b_{n-4}^2 \cdots} > 0$

Then the spectrum of the operator A is purely absolutely continuous and the sequence $\sigma_n(x)$ uniformly converges to the function $\sigma(x)$ on any finite interval.

Proof. First, from the conditions (1) of the theorem it follows that the operator A is self-adjoint. Let K be an arbitrarily large positive number. By virtue of the conditions (1) and (3) of a theorem for any x such that $|x| \leq K$, the inequality $|x - a_n| \leq b_n$ holds for all sufficiently large n . To apply the Theorem (3.6) it remains to prove uniform estimate

$$P_{n+1}^2(x) + P_n^2(x) > \frac{C}{b_n}$$

It is convenient to use for that the apparatus of (2×2) matrices. Three term recurrence relations for the polynomials can be written down in the matrix form

$$\vec{u}_{n+1} = B_n \vec{u}_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$\vec{u}_n = \begin{pmatrix} P_{n-1} \\ P_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} & \frac{x-a_n}{b_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

From this it follows that

$$\vec{u}_{n+1} = B_n B_{n-1} \dots B_1 \vec{u}_1$$

and

$$P_{n+1}^2 + P_n^2 = \|\vec{u}_{n+1}\|^2$$

To obtain the required estimate of this expression from below, we use the idea of the work [22]. One has

$$B_n B_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{b_{n-2}}{b_{n-1}} & \frac{x-a_{n-1}}{b_{n-1}} \\ -\frac{b_{n-2}}{b_{n-1}} \frac{x-a_n}{b_n} & -\frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} + \frac{(x-a_n)(x-a_{n-1})}{b_n b_{n-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

By virtue of the conditions (2) and (3) of the theorem one obtains

$$B_n B_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{b_{n-2}}{b_{n-1}} & \frac{x-a_{n-1}}{b_{n-1}} \\ -\frac{b_{n-2}}{b_{n-1}} \frac{x-a_n}{b_n} & -\frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} \end{pmatrix} + R_n,$$

where $\{\|R_n\|\} \in l_1$ and the series $\sum \|R_n\|$ converges uniformly in the domain $|x| \leq K$. Next one has

$$B_n B_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{b_{n-2}}{b_{n-1}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{x-a_{n-1}}{b_{n-1}} \\ -\frac{b_{n-2}}{b_{n-1}} \frac{x-a_n}{b_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + R_n,$$

and by virtue of the condition (4)

$$B_n B_{n-1} = -\frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} I + \rho_n P + R'_n, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho_n = \frac{x-a_n}{b_n}$$

Here also as above $\{\|R'_n\|\} \in l_1$ uniformly in the domain $|x| \leq K$. One has next

$$\begin{aligned} B_n B_{n-1} &= -\frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} \left(I - \frac{b_n}{b_{n-1}} \rho_n P + R''_n \right) = -\frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} \left(e^{-\frac{b_n}{b_{n-1}} \rho_n P} + R'''_n \right) \\ &= -\frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} e^{-\frac{b_n}{b_{n-1}} \rho_n P} (I + S_n) \end{aligned}$$

Here the matrix $e^{-\frac{b_n}{b_{n-1}} \rho_n P}$ is orthogonal and as above $\{\|S_n\|\} \in l_1$ and the corresponding series converges uniformly in the domain $|x| \leq K$. Using this for all sufficiently large n , such that $\|S_n\| < 1$, one obtains

$$P_{n+1}^2 + P_n^2 \geq \frac{b_{n-1}^2 b_{n-3}^2 \cdots b_{N-1}^2}{b_n^2 b_{n-2}^2 \cdots b_N^2} \prod_{k=0}^{(n-N)/2} (1 - \|S_{n-2k}\|)^2 \|\vec{u}_N\|^2$$

From this by virtue of the convergence of an infinite product and the condition (5) of the theorem one obtains uniformly in the domain $|x| \leq K$

$$P_{n+1}^2 + P_n^2 \geq \frac{C}{b_n},$$

By Theorem (3.6) the spectrum of the operator A may be absolutely continuous only in the domain $|x| \leq K$. Since the number K is arbitrarily large the same is valid for any interval. Since the spectrum of self-adjoint operator is not empty the spectrum of A is purely absolutely continuous. Theorem is proved.

Remark. The first condition of the item 4 of the theorem and the condition of the item 5 are fulfilled if $b_n^2 \geq b_{n-1} b_{n+1}$. The last as it was noticed already above is valid for all sequences of the form $b_n = (n+1)^a$ for $a \in (0; 1]$.

4 Convergence of the derivatives of distribution functions.

In the previous chapter it was shown that under some conditions the sequence of the absolutely continuous on the interval $[a, b]$ functions $\sigma_n(\lambda)$, associated with the operators A_n ,

$$\sigma_n(\lambda) = \sigma_n(a) + \int_a^\lambda f_n(x) dx$$

converges at every point $\lambda \in [a, b]$ to the absolutely continuous function $\sigma(\lambda)$

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \sigma(a) + \int_a^\lambda f(x) dx,$$

associated with the operator A . Moreover the convergence is uniform on $[a, b]$.

The following questions arise: what can be said about the convergence of a sequence of the derivatives $f_n(x)$? Does this sequence converge to $f(x)$? And if it converges, then in what sense?

Let the system of intervals I_n be centered on some interval $[a, b]$ of the spectrum of A which does not contain the eigenvalues. Note that we do not assume that the spectrum of A is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$. Consider for sufficiently large n a sequence of absolutely continuous functions $\sigma_n(\lambda)$ on this interval. From the results of the previous chapter (Lemma 3.1) it follows that $\sigma_n(\lambda) \rightarrow \sigma(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in [a, b]$. The question about the existence of the limit of sequence $f_n(x)$ and its equality to $\sigma'(x)$ is equivalent to the question about the possibility of changing the order of two limits. Indeed by virtue of a continuity of the functions $f_n(x)$ we can write down

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma'_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} \frac{\sigma_n(x) - \sigma_n(x')}{x - x'} \quad (4.1)$$

On the other hand, assuming the existence of a derivative $\sigma'(x)$, one obtains

$$\sigma'(x) = \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} \frac{\sigma(x) - \sigma(x')}{x - x'} = \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_n(x) - \sigma_n(x')}{x - x'} \quad (4.2)$$

This expression differs from (4.1) by changing the order of limits only. We know that internal limits in (4.1) and (4.2) exist. In this case, as known, for the existence and equality finite external limits it is sufficient that one of the internal limits be uniform [23]. Consider internal limit in (4.1). Since the functions $f_n(x)$ are continuous by mean value theorem one has

$$\lim_{x' \rightarrow x} \frac{\sigma_n(x) - \sigma_n(x')}{x - x'} = \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} \frac{1}{x - x'} \int_{x'}^x f_n(t) dt = \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} f_n(\xi_n),$$

where ξ_n lies between x and x' and uniformly tends to x as $x' \rightarrow x$. From this, one sees, that in order that this limit be uniform it is sufficient to require that convergence $f_n(x')$ to $f_n(x)$ be uniform in n . If it is fulfilled for all x in $[a, b]$, then the sequence $f_n(x)$ is equicontinuous on this interval. But as known [20], from the existence of finite derivative $\sigma'(x)$ everywhere in $[a, b]$ it follows the absolute continuity of $\sigma(x)$ on this interval. Hence we obtain

Theorem 4.1 *Let the system of intervals I_n be centered on some interval $[a, b]$ of the operator A spectrum which does not contain the eigenvalues. Suppose that for all sufficiently large n the sequence of functions $f_n(x)$ is equicontinuous on this interval. Then the spectrum of A is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ and $\forall x \in [a, b]$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \sigma'(x)$$

Combining this theorem with the theorem (3.4) and using Arzela-Ascoli theorem one obtains

Theorem 4.2 *Assume that the operator A represented by Jacobi matrix (1.1) is self-adjoint and the system of intervals I_n is centered on some interval $[a, b]$ of the operator A spectrum. Let for all sufficiently large n the system of functions $f_n(x)$ be uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on this interval. Then the spectrum of A is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, $\sigma'(x) \in C[a, b]$ and uniformly on this interval*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n(x) &= \sigma(x) \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) &= \sigma'(x) \end{aligned}$$

As an example consider here again Jacobi matrix associated with Hermite polynomials ($b_n = \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{2}}$). In this case for $|x| \leq 2b_n$ one obtains

$$f_n(x) = \frac{f_{J_n}(x)}{P_n^2(x) - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} P_{n-1}(x) P_{n+1}(x)} = \frac{b_n^2 f_{J_n}(x)}{\sum_{k=0}^n (b_k^2 - b_{k-1}^2) P_k^2(x)} = \frac{\sqrt{2(n+1) - x^2}}{\pi \sum_{k=0}^n P_k^2(x)} = \frac{h_n(x)}{g_n(x)},$$

where we denote for brevity the numerator and the denominator as $h_n(x)$ and $g_n(x)$. By simple transformations one can present the difference $f_n(x) - f_n(x')$ in the form

$$f_n(x) - f_n(x') = f_n(x') \cdot \frac{g_n(x') - g_n(x)}{g_n(x)} + \frac{h_n(x) - h_n(x')}{g_n(x)}$$

One has next

$$\frac{h_n(x) - h_n(x')}{g_n(x)} = \frac{(x' - x)(x' + x)}{g_n(x) \left(\sqrt{2(n+1) - x^2} + \sqrt{2(n+1) - (x')^2} \right)}$$

This expression tends to zero uniformly in n as $x' \rightarrow x$.

For the second term one obtains

$$f_n(x') \cdot \frac{g_n(x') - g_n(x)}{g_n(x)} = f_n(x') \cdot \frac{(x' - x)g'_n(\xi)}{g_n(x)},$$

where ξ lies between x and x' . From (3.16) it follows that $|g'_n(x)| \leq 4|x|g_n(x)$ for any n and x . Hence using uniform boundedness of $f_n(x)$, monotonicity of $g_n(x)$ and letting $x' < x$, one obtains

$$\left| f_n(x') \cdot \frac{g_n(x') - g_n(x)}{g_n(x)} \right| \leq 4|\xi| |x' - x| f_n(x') \frac{g_n(\xi)}{g_n(x)} \leq C|x' - x|$$

Thus this expression also tends to zero uniformly as $|x' - x| \rightarrow 0$. Therefore the sequence $f_n(x)$ is equicontinuous on any interval of a real axis and the Theorem (4.1) gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \sigma'(x)$$

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\pi \sum_{k=0}^n P_k^2(x)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x^2},$$

uniformly on any interval of a real axis. We can also write down this relation in terms of Hermite polynomials $H_n(x)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\pi \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} 2^k H_k^2(x)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x^2},$$

It is interesting to note that the functions

$$y_k(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{\pi} k!} 2^k} H_k(x) e^{-x^2/2}$$

are normalized eigenfunctions of differential operator of harmonic oscillator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2$. This operator acting in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ has a discrete spectrum with eigenvalues $\lambda_k = 2k + 1$. Hence the last limit equality yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^n y_k^2(x) \sim \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\lambda_n}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that in the proof of equicontinuity of the functions $f_n(x)$ in this example we essentially use its boundedness.

Using the same reasoning one can obtain the following result:

Theorem 4.3 *Denote*

$$g_n(x) = P_n^2(x) - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} P_{n-1}(x) P_{n+1}(x)$$

Assume for all sufficiently large n uniformly on the interval $[a, b]$ the estimate

$$\frac{c_1}{b_n} < g_n(x) < \frac{c_2}{b_n}, \quad (0 < c_1 < c_2),$$

holds and

$$|g'_n(x)| \leq C g_n(x),$$

where the constant C may depend on x only. Then the sequence of functions $f_n(x)$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on $[a, b]$.

For the sequences a_n and b_n satisfying the conditions of the Theorem (3.7), the first estimate of the Theorem (4.3) is fulfilled. Make a hypothesis that the second estimate for the derivative $g'_n(x)$ is also fulfilled. By virtue of the Theorems (4.2) and (3.7) it would mean that $\sigma'(x) \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and the sequence $f_n(x)$ converges to $\sigma'(x)$. The last fact would give the possibility to use the sequence $f_n(x)$ for a calculation of the spectral weight of the operator A .

5 Conclusion.

Note that the method of analysis of the absolutely continuous spectrum developed here can be applied not to the finite-difference operators only but to any self-adjoint operators in separable Hilbert space provided that one can find a convenient approximative sequence of operators A_n with absolutely continuous spectrum strongly converging to the operator A on a dense set.

Let us finish with the question which has remained open in this work: is the inverse statement to the Theorem (4.2) true? That is: do the uniform boundedness and equicontinuity of the functions $f_n(x)$ follow from the fact that the spectrum of A is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ and $\sigma'(x) \in C[a, b]$?

6 Acknowledgment.

I would like to thank Grzegorz Swiderski for his very important remarks concerning the Theorems (1.1) and (2.1) and for the references on Chihara works and the works concerning Turan determinant.

References

- [1] Akhiezer N., "The classical moment problem", New-York: Hafner, 1965
- [2] Chihara T., "Chain sequences and orthogonal polynomials", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 104 (1962), 116
- [3] Janas J., Naboko S., "Multithresholds spectral phase transition examples in a class of unbounded Jacobi matrices", Res. Reports in Math. Stockholm Univ., 1999, n. 7.
- [4] Khovanskii A.N., "The application of continued fractions and their generalizations to problems in approximation theory", Noordhoff, 1963
- [5] Jones W., Thron W., "Continued fraction : Analytic Theory and Applications", Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, v. 11, 1980
- [6] Markushevich A.I., "Theory of analytic functions", vol. 1, Moscow, 1967
- [7] Dombrowski J., "Cyclic operators, commutators, and absolutely continuous measures", Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, v. 100, n. 3, 1987, pp. 457-463
- [8] Aptekarev A., Geronimo J., "Measures for orthogonal polynomials with unbounded recurrence coefficients", arXiv:1408.5349
- [9] Aptekarev A., Geronimo J., "Measures for orthogonal polynomials with unbounded recurrence coefficients", J. Approx. Theory v. 207, 2016, pp. 339-347
- [10] Swiderski G., "Periodic perturbations of unbounded Jacobi matrices II: Formulas for density", J. Approx. Theory, v. 216, 2017, pp. 67-85
- [11] Mate A., Nevai P., "Orthogonal polynomials and absolutely continuous measures", in Approximation IV (C. K. Chui et al., Eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1983, pp. 611-617
- [12] Geronimo J., W. Van Assche, "Approximating the weight function for orthogonal polynomials on several intervals", J. Approx. Theory 65 (3) (1991) 341-371.
- [13] Clark S., "A spectral analysis for self-adjoint operators generated by a class of second order difference equations", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 197 (1) (1996) 267-285.
- [14] Swiderski G., "Periodic perturbations of unbounded Jacobi matrices I: Formulas for density", J. Approx. Theory Swiderski G., Trojan B., "Periodic perturbations of unbounded Jacobi matrices I: Asymptotics of generalized eigenvectors", J. Approx. Theory, v. 216, 2017, pp. 38-66
- [15] Akhiezer N.I., Glazman I.M., "Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space", Dover Publications, Inc. New York, 1993
- [16] Privalov I.I., "Boundary properties of analytic functions", Moscow, 1950
- [17] Szego G., "Orthogonal polynomials", New York, 1939
- [18] Smirnov V.I., "Course of higher mathematics", vol. 5, Moscow, 1959
- [19] Dombrowski J., "Tridiagonal matrix representations of cyclic selfadjoint operators", Pacific J. Math., v. 120, 1985, pp. 47-53

- [20] Natanson I.P, "Theory of functions of a real variable", vol. 1, New York, 1964
- [21] Akhiezer N.I., "Infinite Jacobi matrices and moment problem", UMN, vol. 9, 1941, pp. 126-156
- [22] Janas J., Naboko S., "Jacobi matrices with power like weights - grouping in blocks approach", J. Funct. Anal. 166 (1999) 218-243
- [23] Fikhtengol'ts G.M., "Course of differential and integral calculus", vol. 2, Moscow, 1966