

Correct Δm_{ij}^2 Dependence for Neutrino Oscillation Formulae

Randy A. Johnson

University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221

(Dated: February 12, 2022)

Abstract

The time translation operator for neutrino mass states is often taken to be $e^{-iEt/\hbar}$. This is not relativistically invariant. In kaon mixing, physicists use $e^{-imc^2\tau/\hbar}$ where τ is the proper time of the kaon state. The factor $mc^2\tau$ is the rest frame value of the four vector product $p_\mu x^\mu$ which is an invariant quantity. If $-ip_\mu x^\mu$ is used in neutrino oscillation formulae instead of $-iEt$, the scale of the Δm_{ij}^2 is reduced by a factor of two.

In the earliest papers on Dirac neutrino mixing [1, 2], the operator that has used to translate the neutrino mass states forward in time was taken to be $e^{-iEt/\hbar}$. This has been used ever since (*e.g.*, [3–11]). In contrast for kaon mixing, the factor that is used to translate the neutral kaon mass states forward is $e^{-imc^2\tau/\hbar}$ where τ is the proper time of the kaon mass state. The former phase factor is not relativistically invariant and, therefore, must be incorrect while the latter is proportional to the invariant product $p_\mu x^\mu$ evaluated in the particle’s rest frame. It is ironic that Pontecorvio and collaborators, in their initial suggestion of Majorana neutrino oscillations (*e.g.*, [12]), used the invariant time translation operator (although they never explicitly stated it). Subsequent authors did not follow their lead.

Evaluating $p_\mu x^\mu$ in the laboratory frame gives:

$$\begin{aligned} p_\mu x^\mu &= \{E, \vec{p}c\} \cdot \{ct, \vec{x}\} = E_{lab}ct_{lab} - p_{lab}cx_{lab} \\ &= \left(\frac{E_{lab}}{\beta} - p_{lab}c \right) x_{lab} \\ &= \left(\frac{E_{lab}^2}{p_{lab}c} - p_{lab}c \right) x_{lab} \\ &= \frac{m^2c^3}{p_{lab}} x_{lab} \end{aligned}$$

This gives a time translation operator of $e^{-im^2c^4L/(E_{lab}\hbar c)}$ if the neutrino has traveled a distance L and if its mass is sufficiently small such that $p_{lab}c \approx E_{lab}$. The phase of the mass state actually changes twice as fast as with the operator usually used. The corrected phase factor leads to the two neutrino oscillation formula

$$\begin{aligned} P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e) &= \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Delta m_{1,2}^2 c^3 L}{2E_{lab}\hbar} \right) \\ &= \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \left(2.534 \frac{\Delta m_{1,2}^2 L}{E_{lab}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last equation, $\Delta m_{1,2}^2$ is in eV^2 , L is in km , and E is in GeV . A similar change must be made to the many neutrino formulae. While this correction does not invalidate any of the measurements of neutrino mass-squared differences, it does change the scale of those differences by a factor of two, $\Delta m_{actual}^2 = \Delta m_{reported}^2/2$ for all neutrino oscillation measurements(*e.g.*, [13]).

-
- [1] H. Fritch and P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. **62B**, 72 (1976), Eq. 5.
 - [2] N. Cabibo, Phys. Lett. **72B**, 333 (1978), Eq. 4.
 - [3] C. Athanassopoulos *et al.* (LSND Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3082 (1996).
 - [4] Y. Fukuda, *et al.* (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1562 (1998).
 - [5] B. Armbruster, *et al.* (KARMEN Collaboration), Phys. Rev. **D65**, 112011 (2002).
 - [6] K. Eguchi, *et al.* (Kamland Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 021802 (2003).
 - [7] D. G. Michael, *et al.* (MINOS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 191801 (2006).
 - [8] F.P. An, *et al.* (Daya Bay Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** 171803 (2012).
 - [9] J.K. Ahn, *et al.* (Reno Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 191802 (2012).
 - [10] Y. Abe, *et al.* (Double Chooz Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** 131801 (2012).
 - [11] A.A. Aguilar-Arevalo, *et al.* (MiniBooNE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 161801 (2013).
 - [12] S.M. Bilenky and B. Pontecorvio, Phys. Lett. **61B**, 248 (1976).
 - [13] K.A. Olive *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, **38**, 090001 (2014).