The recent study on the the 6-year up-going muon neutrinos by
the IceCube Collaboration support the hypothesis of a two-component scenario ex-
plaining the diffuse TeV-PeV neutrino flux. Once a hard astrophysical power-law is
considered, an excess in the IceCube data is shown in the energy range 10–100 TeV
(low-energy excess). By means of a statistical analysis on the neutrino energy spec-
trum and on the angular distribution of neutrino arrival directions, we characterize
a two-component neutrino flux where decaying/annihilating Dark Matter particles
provide a contribution to the IceCube observations.

1. – Introduction

The IceCube Neutrino Telescope has observed for the first time a diffuse extraterres-
trial neutrino flux in the TeV–PeV energy range, with a deviation from the atmospheric
background of about 7σ [1, 2, 3, 4]. However, until now the origin of such a diffuse
neutrino flux is unclear. Under the reasonable assumption of a correlation with hadronic
cosmic-rays, one would expect that standard astrophysical sources give rise to a neu-
trino flux parametrized in terms of a power-law behavior $E_\nu^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma$ being the spectral
index [5, 6, 7].

The recent IceCube observations of 6-year up-going muon neutrinos [4] are well ex-
plained at high energies ($E_\nu \geq 100$ TeV) by a single hard power-law with $\gamma = 2.13 \pm 0.13$.
Such a value is in a 3.3σ tension with the previous analyses that provide a combined
best-fit spectral index of $2.50 \pm 0.09$ [2]. This tension suggests the presence of a second
component in the 10–100 TeV energy range. Moreover, such a new component may have
a galactic origin since the 6-year up-going muon neutrino data do not point towards the
Galactic Center of the Milky Way.

Indeed, once an astrophysical power-law flux with spectral index 2.0 (2.2) is considered
for all neutrino flavours(1), a low-energy excess (10–100 TeV) appears in both 2-year

(1) In case of standard astrophysical sources, the flavour ratio at the Earth is $(\nu_e : \nu_\mu : \nu_\tau) =$
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MESE [1] and 4-year HESE [3] IceCube data with a local statistical significance of 2.3σ (1.9σ) [8, 9]. Assuming that the low-energy excess is not just a statistical fluctuation, we have characterized the properties of a Dark Matter (DM) signal able to explain it.

2. – Two-component neutrino flux

In addition to the atmospheric background, we have proposed the following two-component neutrino flux

$$\frac{d\phi}{dE_{\nu} d\Omega} = \phi_{0}^{\text{Astro}} \left( \frac{E_{\nu}}{100 \text{ TeV}} \right)^{-\gamma} + \frac{d\phi_{\text{DM}}}{dE_{\nu} d\Omega},$$

where the DM neutrino flux takes the form (2)

$$\frac{d\phi_{\text{DM}}}{dE_{\nu} d\Omega} \bigg|_{\text{dec.}} = \frac{1}{4\pi m_{\text{DM}} \tau_{\text{DM}}} \left\{ f_{\text{dec}}^{G} \left[ \rho_{h} (s, \theta) \frac{dN}{dE_{\nu}} \right] + f_{\text{dec}}^{E} \left[ \frac{dN}{dE_{\nu}} \right] \right\},$$

$$\frac{d\phi_{\text{DM}}}{dE_{\nu} d\Omega} \bigg|_{\text{ann.}} = \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{8\pi m_{\text{DM}}^{2}} \left\{ f_{\text{ann}}^{G} \left[ \rho_{h} (s, \theta)^{2} \frac{dN}{dE_{\nu}} \right] + f_{\text{ann}}^{E} \left[ \frac{dN}{dE_{\nu}}, B (z) \right] \right\},$$

in the decaying (dec.) and annihilating (ann.) cases, respectively. In the above expressions, $m_{\text{DM}}$ is the DM mass, whereas $\tau_{\text{DM}}$ and $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ are the lifetime and the thermally averaged cross-section, respectively. In the brackets, the Galactic component $f^{G}$ depends on the angular coordinate $\theta$ measuring the angular distance from the Galactic Center through the DM halo density profile $\rho_{h}$ [10], while the ExtraGalactic one $f^{E}$ is isotropic. The behaviour of the DM neutrino flux as a function of the energy is instead described by the energy spectrum $dN/dE_{\nu}$ that depends on the particular decaying/annihilating channel considered. Finally, in case of annihilating DM the ExtraGalactic component also depends on the so-called boost factor $B (z)$ [10].

In order to infer the properties of the DM neutrino flux explaining the low-energy excess, we have performed two complementary studies: an angular analysis and an energetic one. The angular analysis is based on comparing the distribution of the arrival directions of IceCube events with the angular distributions expected from a DM signal. Since decaying and annihilating DM fluxes have distinct angular distributions due to the different dependence on the DM halo density profile (see eq.s (2) and (3)), such an angular analysis can discern among the two DM signals. On the other hand, the analysis on the neutrino energy spectrum is sensitive to the decaying/annihilating channel considered since, for instance, the energy spectrum is quite different in case of leptons or quarks in the final-states. Moreover, such an analysis also provides the allowed regions in the parameters spaces $m_{\text{DM}} - \tau_{\text{DM}}$ and $m_{\text{DM}} - \langle \sigma v \rangle$ compatible with the IceCube observations.

3. – Results and conclusions

The angular analysis performed on the 4-year HESE data [8] shows that only the case of annihilating DM with a small boost factor is already ruled out, while other DM scenario

(1 : 1 : 1) due to the neutrino oscillations.
(2) More details about the expressions of the DM flux can be found in ref. [9].
are still allowed by data. Indeed, in order to statistically rule out a DM interpretation of the excess, hundreds of events in the 10–100 TeV energy range are required [8].

The main results of the analysis on the neutrino energy spectrum are reported in fig. 1 (see ref. [9] for more details). The plots show the statistical preference in standard deviations $\sigma$ (evaluated by means of a Likelihood-ratio statistical test) of the IceCube data for the two-components scenario provided in eq. (1). The maximum significance of the DM component (white dot) reaches about $4\sigma$. Moreover, the red lines delimit from above the region in the parameter space that is excluded by the IceCube measurements. The gamma-rays constraints are shown by the black lines, which are related to different DM contributions (1%, 10% and 100%) to the Isotropic diffuse Gamma-Ray Background (IGRB) measured by Fermi-LAT [12]. Finally, the yellow lines bound the region that is not allowed according to the unitarity constraint on the cross-section [13].

It is worth observing that, since it is reasonable to assume that standard astrophysical sources account at least for the 90% of the IGRB spectrum, the neutrino and gamma-ray data favour a decaying DM interpretation of the IceCube low-energy excess over a annihilating one. Furthermore, leptonic final-states (represented by $\tau^+\tau^-$ channel) are
preferred with respect to hadronic ones (represented by $t\bar{t}$ channel).
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