

Pion transverse-momentum spectrum and elliptic anisotropy of partially coherent source

Peng Ru^{1,*}, Ghulam Bary^{1,†}, and Wei-Ning Zhang^{1,2,‡}

¹*School of Physics, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, Liaoning 116024, China*

²*Department of Physics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, Heilongjiang 150006, China*

In this letter, we study the pion momentum distribution of a coherent source and investigate the influences of coherent emission on the pion transverse-momentum (p_T) spectrum and elliptic anisotropy. With a partially coherent source, constructed by a conventional viscous hydrodynamics model (chaotic part) and a parameterized expanding coherent source model, we reproduce the pion p_T spectrum and elliptic anisotropy coefficient $v_2(p_T)$ in the peripheral Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. It is found that the influences of coherent emission on the pion p_T spectrum and $v_2(p_T)$ are related to the initial size and shape of the coherent source, largely due to the interference effect. However, the effect of source dynamical evolution on coherent emission is relatively small. The results of the partially coherent source with 33% coherent emission and 67% chaotic emission are consistent with the experimental measurements of the pion p_T spectrum, $v_2(p_T)$, and especially four-pion Bose-Einstein correlations.

PACS numbers: 25.75.Gz, 25.75.Ld, 25.75.Dw

I. INTRODUCTION

The particle transverse-momentum spectrum and elliptic anisotropy are important observables in relativistic heavy-ion collisions [1–8]. The transverse-momentum (p_T) spectrum can reveal information about the thermalization and expansion of the particle-emitting sources produced in such collisions [1–5, 9]. In addition, the azimuthal anisotropy coefficient v_n is related to the source initial anisotropic pressure gradient [6–12], the source viscosity [13–21], the uncertainty relation of quantum mechanics [22], and even the particle escape from a spatially asymmetric source [23].

Recently, experimentalists in the ALICE Collaboration observed a significant suppression of three- and four-pion Bose-Einstein correlations in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [24, 25]. This may indicate that there is a considerable degree of coherent pion emission in relativistic heavy-ion collisions [24–32]. In addition to the pion Bose-Einstein condensation [29–31, 33], the pion laser [34, 35], disoriented chiral condensate (DCC) [36–39], gluonic condensate [40–42], and even the initial-stage coherent gluon field [43–45] may possibly give rise to coherent particle emissions in relativistic heavy-ion collisions. It is meaningful to explore the effects of coherent emission on the final-state observables.

The effect of Bose-Einstein condensation on the particle velocity distribution has been observed in ultra-cold atomic gases [46, 47]. The appearance of a condensate leads to a decrease of the velocity distribution width. Furthermore, since the frequencies ω_i of the trapping potential (thus, the trapping sizes $a_i \sim \sqrt{1/\omega_i}$ [30]) are different in the symmetry-axis direction and the directions perpendicular to the symmetry axis, the two-dimensional velocity distribution presents an elliptic pattern when the condensate appears [46, 47]. This is a

quantum-mechanical response to the asymmetric spatial configuration of the condensate. The investigation of the analogous anisotropic particle momentum distribution in relativistic heavy-ion collisions is of great interest for exploring the origin of coherence of a particle-emitting source.

In this work, we study the pion momentum distribution and azimuthal anisotropy of coherent and chaotic emissions in relativistic heavy-ion collisions. We investigate the effects of source geometry and expansion on the pion p_T spectrum and $v_2(p_T)$. Furthermore, we construct a partially coherent pion source combined with a hydrodynamical chaotic source and a parameterized coherent source, and compare the results of the pion p_T spectrum and $v_2(p_T)$ of the partially coherent source with the experimental data measured in the Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV at the LHC. It is found that the influences of coherent emission on the pion transverse-momentum spectrum and elliptic anisotropy are related to the initial size and shape of the coherent source, mainly due to the interference effect. The results of the partially coherent source with 33% coherent emission and 67% chaotic emission are consistent with the experimental measurements of the pion p_T spectrum, $v_2(p_T)$, and four-pion Bose-Einstein correlations.

This letter is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the formulas of momentum distributions for the coherent and chaotic pion emissions. In Sec. III, we study the effects of source geometry and expansion on the pion p_T spectrum and $v_2(p_T)$. In Sec. IV, the results of the partially coherent source are presented and compared with the experimental data at the LHC. Finally, we give a summary and discussion in Sec. IV.

II. PION MOMENTUM DISTRIBUTION FOR COHERENT AND CHAOTIC EMISSIONS

A well-known purely coherent multi-particle system is the radiation field of a classical source (current) [48–52]. In this model, the final state of the pion field produced by a classical source $\rho(x) = \rho(t, \mathbf{r})$ can be written as [52]

$$|\phi_\pi\rangle = e^{-\bar{n}/2} \exp\left(i \int d^3p \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) a^\dagger(\mathbf{p})\right) |0\rangle, \quad (1)$$

*Electronic address: pengru@mail.dlut.edu.cn

†Electronic address: ghulambary@mail.dlut.edu.cn

‡Electronic address: wnzhang@dlut.edu.cn

where $a^\dagger(\mathbf{p})$ is the pion creation operator for momentum \mathbf{p} , $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p})$ is an amplitude related to the on-shell ($E_p^2 = \mathbf{p}^2 + m_\pi^2$) Fourier transform of $\rho(x)$,

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) = A(\mathbf{p}) \int d^4x e^{i(E_p t - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r})} \rho(t, \mathbf{r}) \equiv A(\mathbf{p}) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{p}), \quad (2)$$

$$A(\mathbf{p}) = A(E_p) = [2E_p(2\pi)^3]^{-1/2}, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\bar{n} = \int d^3p |\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p})|^2 \quad (4)$$

is the average pion number in the final state.

Considering that the coherent state $|\phi_\pi\rangle$ is an eigenstate of the annihilation operators $a(\mathbf{p})$, i.e. $a(\mathbf{p})|\phi_\pi\rangle = i\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p})|\phi_\pi\rangle$, we can write the single-pion momentum distribution as

$$\begin{aligned} P_c(\mathbf{p}) &\equiv \frac{d^3\bar{n}}{d^3p} = \text{Tr} [D_\pi a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) a(\mathbf{p})] \\ &= |\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p})|^2 = |A(\mathbf{p}) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{p})|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $D_\pi = |\phi_\pi\rangle\langle\phi_\pi|$ is the density matrix of the coherent state. One can interpret $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p})$ as the amplitude for the classical source $\rho(x)$ to emit a pion with momentum \mathbf{p} . A special case is that the source is point-like in space-time, namely $\rho(x) = \delta^{(4)}(x)$, and then one has $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) = A(\mathbf{p})$. Note that $|A(\mathbf{p})|^2$ is the function of E_p and is thus azimuthally isotropic in momentum space. For general source distributions, the total amplitude $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p})$ in the form of Eq. (2) can be viewed as the coherent superposition of the sub-amplitudes $A(\mathbf{p}) e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$ at different space-time coordinates, where the factor $e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$ can be related to the propagation of the pion [53].

An important property of the coherent state $|\phi_\pi\rangle$ is that the multi-pion momentum distribution can be factorized into the product of the single-pion momentum distributions,

$$\begin{aligned} P_c(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_m) &= \text{Tr} [D_\pi a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) \dots a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_m) a(\mathbf{p}_m) \dots a(\mathbf{p}_1)] \\ &= |\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}_1)|^2 \dots |\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}_m)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Owing to this property, there is no Hanbury-Brown-Tiwws (HBT) effect present in a coherent state. In order to distinguish the amplitude and source density of the chaotic pion emission to be discussed later, we use the denotations $A_c(\mathbf{p})$, $\rho_c(x)$, and $\tilde{\rho}_c(\mathbf{p})$ for the source of the coherent state.

For chaotic pion emission, the single-pion momentum distribution can be similarly written as the absolute square of the total emission amplitude of the chaotic source [53],

$$P_\chi(\mathbf{p}) = \left| \sum_x A_\chi(x, \mathbf{p}) e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right|^2 = \left| \sum_x |A_\chi(x, \mathbf{p})| e^{i\phi_\chi(x)} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right|^2, \quad (7)$$

where \sum denotes the summation over the source points of chaotic emission, and $A_\chi(x, \mathbf{p}) = |A_\chi(x, \mathbf{p})| e^{i\phi_\chi(x)}$ is the amplitude for a source point at x to emit a pion with momentum \mathbf{p} , with the phase $\phi_\chi(x)$ randomly varying with x . Owing to

the randomness of $\phi_\chi(x)$, the interference terms in the expansions of the absolute square in Eq. (7), for pion emissions from different source points, will tend to cancel each other out and give a negligible contribution. In the absence of interference effects, the single-pion momentum distribution in Eq. (7) can be written as the sum over the momentum distributions for all the source points,

$$P_\chi(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_x |A_\chi(x, \mathbf{p})|^2 = \int d^4x \rho_\chi(x) |A_\chi(x, \mathbf{p})|^2, \quad (8)$$

where $\rho_\chi(x)$ is the chaotic source distribution. A main characteristic of the chaotic emission is that each of the source points emits a pion independently. In addition, the two- and multi-pion momentum distributions cannot be expressed as the product of the single-pion momentum distributions, which gives rise to the HBT correlations [54, 55].

Assuming that all the sub-sources in the chaotic source emit a pion thermally at the same emission (or freeze-out) temperature T , we have, for a static source,

$$P_\chi(\mathbf{p}) = |A_\chi(\mathbf{p})|^2 \sim \frac{1}{e^{E_p/T} - 1}, \quad (9)$$

where the source distribution $\rho_\chi(x)$ is assumed to be normalized. This single-pion momentum distribution for a static chaotic source is the function of E_p and T , and is thus azimuthally isotropic. We can also see that in this case $P_\chi(\mathbf{p})$ is independent of the source space-time distribution. However, due to the interferences between the pion emissions at different source points, the single-pion momentum distribution for the coherent source depends on the Fourier transform of the source space-time distribution, $\tilde{\rho}_c(\mathbf{p})$ [as in Eq. (5)]. Even for a static source, an azimuthally anisotropic $|\tilde{\rho}_c(\mathbf{p})|^2$ will result in an anisotropic $P_c(\mathbf{p})$.

Fundamental distinctions between coherent and chaotic emissions are presented in the above discussions. In heavy-ion collisions, the created pion source is possibly partially coherent [56]. For a source with partial coherence, the single-pion momentum distribution can be written as the absolute square of the total amplitude for pion emission, [53]

$$P(\mathbf{p}) = \left| \sum_x^c A_c(\mathbf{p}) e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + \sum_x^\chi |A_\chi(x, \mathbf{p})| e^{i\phi_\chi(x)} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right|^2, \quad (10)$$

where \sum^c and \sum^χ denote the sums over the coherent and the chaotic source points, respectively. By expanding the absolute square and casting out the interference terms with the random chaotic phase $\phi_\chi(x)$, which give a negligible contribution, we have

$$P(\mathbf{p}) = \left| \sum_x^c A_c(\mathbf{p}) e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right|^2 + \sum_x^\chi |A_\chi(x, \mathbf{p})|^2 = P_c(\mathbf{p}) + P_\chi(\mathbf{p}). \quad (11)$$

Because there is no interference effect between the coherent and chaotic emissions in the single-pion momentum distribution, the total distribution is the sum of the distributions of the coherent and chaotic sources.

Generally speaking, the particle momentum distribution of an evolving source can be affected by the source geometry

and expansion. Next, we shall examine the effects of the source anisotropic geometry and expanding velocity on the pion transverse-momentum spectrum and elliptic anisotropy for evolving coherent and chaotic sources.

III. EFFECTS OF SOURCE ANISOTROPIC GEOMETRY AND EXPANSION ON PION TRANSVERSE-MOMENTUM SPECTRUM AND ELLIPTIC ANISOTROPY

To make quantitative comparisons between the effects of coherent and chaotic emissions on pion transverse-momentum spectrum and elliptic anisotropy, we perform a source parametrization for both the coherent and chaotic sources. In relativistic heavy-ion collisions, the source expansion in transverse plane (xy plane) is anisotropic due to the anisotropic transverse distribution of the energy deposition in the nuclear overlap zone. To examine the effects of the source expansion (mainly the transverse expansion), we consider an evolving source with a Gaussian initial spatial distribution in the source center-of-mass frame (CMF) as

$$\rho_{i-s}(\mathbf{r}_0) = \frac{(R_x R_y R_z)^{-1}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{x_0^2}{2R_x^2} - \frac{y_0^2}{2R_y^2} - \frac{z_0^2}{2R_z^2}\right), \quad (12)$$

where R_x , R_y , and R_z represent the spatial sizes of the source at an initial time t_0 . Then, we assume that each of the source elements has a velocity in the CMF as [57, 58]

$$v_j(\mathbf{r}_0) = \text{sign}(r_{0j}) \cdot a_j \left(\frac{|r_{0j}|}{R_{j,max}}\right)^{b_j}, \quad (13)$$

where $j = x, y, \text{ or } z$ denotes the velocity component and $\text{sign}(r_{0j}) = \pm 1$ for positive/negative r_{0j} , ensuring the source is expansive. The magnitude of v_j increases with $|r_{0j}|$, and the rate of increase is decided by the positive parameters a_j , b_j , and $R_{j,max}$. In our calculations, we take $R_{j,max} = 3R_j$, and consider the source elements with $|v| < 1$, which is naturally guaranteed by considering those elements initiated from the ellipsoidal region $\sum_j (r_{0j}/R_{j,max})^2 < 1$, with the parameters a_j and b_j properly chosen.

The temporal distribution of each source element is parameterized to be Gaussian; thus, the space-time distribution, $\rho_0(x)$, of a source element initiated from (t_0, \mathbf{r}_0) can be written in the CMF as $\rho_0(x) = \tilde{\rho}_0(t - t_0, \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0)$, with

$$\tilde{\rho}_0(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \tau_s^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\tau_s^2}\right) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t), \quad (t > 0), \quad (14)$$

where the wide-tilde $\tilde{\rho}_0(x)$ is the equivalent distribution with the initial coordinate shifted from (t_0, \mathbf{r}_0) to $(0, \mathbf{0})$, and τ_s is the duration time of the source element in the CMF. In the calculations, we consider that all the source elements have the same duration time τ_s for simplicity. Furthermore, the velocity $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_0)$ is in the form of Eq. (13); thus, $\tilde{\rho}_0(x)$ is an \mathbf{r}_0 -dependent (i.e. a source-element-dependent) distribution.

Considering that all the source elements are evolved from the initial source $\rho_{i-s}(\mathbf{r}_0)$, we can write the source space-time

distribution in the CMF by integrating all the sub-distributions $\rho_0(x)$ together as

$$\rho(x) = \int d\mathbf{r}_0 \rho_{i-s}(\mathbf{r}_0) \rho_0(x). \quad (15)$$

Note that $\rho_0(x)$ depends on \mathbf{r}_0 as discussed above. At this point, we have completed the parametrization for both the coherent and chaotic sources.

To calculate the single-pion momentum distribution, we still need to express the pion emission amplitude for the expanding source. Generally the amplitude $A(x, \mathbf{p})$ for the pion emission at the source point x depends on the source velocity at x , and can be connected to the amplitude $A'(\mathbf{p}')$ in the local-rest frame (LRF) of the source element at x , as $\sqrt{E_p} A(x, \mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{E'_p} A'(\mathbf{p}')$. Applying the form in Eq. (3) as the LRF amplitude $A'_c(\mathbf{p}')$ for coherent pion emission, we have the amplitude in the CMF as

$$A_c(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\sqrt{E'_p}}{\sqrt{E_p}} A'_c(\mathbf{p}') = \frac{\sqrt{E'_p}}{\sqrt{E_p}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E'_p}(2\pi)^3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_p}(2\pi)^3}. \quad (16)$$

Similarly, from the LRF form in Eq. (9), we have $|A_\chi(x, \mathbf{p})|^2 \sim \frac{1}{E_p} \frac{(p \cdot u)}{e^{(p \cdot u)/T} - 1}$ for a chaotic thermal emission, where u is the CMF 4-velocity of the source element at x . Note that Eq. (16) means the amplitude for coherent emissions, $A_c(\mathbf{p})$, is independent of source velocity, and the form of Eq. (3) is appropriate for both static and expanding sources.

The Lorentz invariant momentum distribution, $E_p P(\mathbf{p}) = dN^3/(p_T dp_T dy d\phi)$, for the evolving coherent source can be written according to Eq. (5) as

$$E_p P_c(\mathbf{p}) = E_p \left| \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \rho(x) A_c(\mathbf{p}) \right|^2, \quad (17)$$

where $A_c(\mathbf{p})$ is in the form of Eq. (16). Inserting Eq. (15) for the source space-time distribution $\rho(x)$, one can rewrite the Lorentz invariant momentum distribution with the integral over \mathbf{r}_0 as

$$E_p P_c(\mathbf{p}) = E_p \left| \int d\mathbf{r}_0 \rho_{i-s}(\mathbf{r}_0) e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}_0} \mathcal{A}_{c_0}(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{p}) \right|^2, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{c_0}(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{p}) &= \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \tilde{\rho}_0(x) A_c(\mathbf{p}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_p}(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \gamma_u \tau_s^{-1} \int_0^\infty dt \exp\left[i(p \cdot u)t - \frac{t^2}{2(\gamma_u^{-1} \tau_s)^2}\right] \\ &\equiv A_c(\mathbf{p}) G_0[p \cdot u(\mathbf{r}_0)], \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

with the factor G_0 the Fourier transform of the distribution $\tilde{\rho}_0(x)$. However, the invariant momentum distribution for the expanding chaotic source with thermal emissions can be written as

$$E_p P_\chi(\mathbf{p}) = E_p \int d\mathbf{r}_0 \rho_{i-s}(\mathbf{r}_0) |\mathcal{A}_{\chi_0}(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{p})|^2$$




FIG. 1: (Color online) Pion transverse-momentum spectrum (left-hand panel) and second-order azimuthal anisotropic coefficient $v_2(p_T)$ (right-hand panel) for static/expanding coherent and chaotic sources with initial geometric parameters $R_T = R_z = 1$ fm, $S_T = 2$, and the duration $\tau_s = 3$ fm/c. The velocity parameters for the expanding sources are taken to be $a_x = 0.6$, $a_y = 0.4$, $a_z = 0.5$, and $b_{x,y,z} = 0.5$. Temperature of the chaotic source is 100 MeV.

$$= \int d\mathbf{r}_0 \rho_{i-s}(\mathbf{r}_0) \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}_0)}{e^{[p \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}_0)]/T} - 1}. \quad (20)$$

In Eqs. (19) and (20), $u = u(\mathbf{r}_0) = \gamma_u(1, \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_0))$ is the 4-velocity of the source element corresponding to the sub-distribution $\rho_0(x)$, with the Lorentz factor $\gamma_u = (1 - \mathbf{v}^2)^{-1/2}$. The amplitudes $\mathcal{A}_{co}(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{p})$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\chi_0}(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{p})$ are related to the pion emissions of the sub-distribution $\rho_0(x)$, for coherent and chaotic sources, respectively. For the coherent source, it is noted that, due to the interferences in the pion emissions along the (moving-)source-element trajectory, $\mathcal{A}_{co}(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{p})$ is dependent on the source velocity $u(\mathbf{r}_0)$, although the amplitude $A_c(\mathbf{p})$ related to a point emitter $[\delta^{(4)}(x)]$ is velocity independent. For the chaotic source, the momentum distribution $|\mathcal{A}_{\chi_0}(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{p})|^2$ is in the same form of $|A_\chi(x, \mathbf{p})|^2$, since there is no interference effect present. In Eqs. (18) and (20), the total momentum distributions of coherent and chaotic emissions can be viewed as the results of the coherent and incoherent superpositions of all the sub-distribution pion emissions, respectively.

We plot in Fig. 1 the pion transverse-momentum spectrum at central rapidity and the elliptic anisotropy, $v_2(p_T) = \langle (p_x^2 - p_y^2)/p_T^2 \rangle$, for the static/expanding coherent and chaotic sources. For both coherent and chaotic sources, the initial transverse and longitudinal spatial size parameters are taken to be $R_T \equiv \sqrt{R_x R_y} = R_z = 1$ fm, the initial transverse shape parameter is taken to be $S_T \equiv R_y/R_x = 2$, the duration time τ_s is taken to be 3 fm/c, and the velocity parameters for the expanding sources are taken to be $a_x = 0.6$, $a_y = 0.4$, $a_z = 0.5$, and $b_{x,y,z} = 0.5$, respectively. In addition, the temperature of the chaotic source is 100 MeV.

It is observed in the left-hand panel of Fig. 1 that the source expansion velocity increases the width of transverse-momentum distribution for chaotic emission (e.g. the width $\sqrt{\langle p_T^2 \rangle}$ for $p_T < 2$ GeV increases by approximately 16.2%), the effect of which is also referred to as the radial flow. This expansion velocity effect on the coherent emission is found to be small (e.g., the width increase is approximately 3.7%). Although the $\mathcal{A}_{co}(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{p})$ in Eq. (18) is velocity dependent, the




FIG. 2: (Color online) Pion transverse-momentum spectrum (left-hand panel) and second-order azimuthal anisotropic coefficient $v_2(p_T)$ (right-hand panel) for expanding coherent sources with initial geometric parameters $R_T = 0.2, 0.5$, and 1.0 fm. In the calculations, R_z is taken to be the same as R_T and the other parameters are the same as in Fig. 1.

finally observed momentum distribution that results from the interference effect is close to that of the static coherent source. For the static coherent source, $\mathcal{A}_{co}(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{p}) = A_c(\mathbf{p}) G_0(E_p)$ and is independent of \mathbf{r}_0 ; thus, we have, from Eq. (18), that

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{dN_c^3}{p_T dp_T dy d\phi} \right|_{y=0} &= E_p |\mathcal{A}_{co}(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{p})|^2 \left| \int d\mathbf{r}_0 \rho_{i-s}(\mathbf{r}_0) e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}_0} \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^{-3}}{2} |G_0(E_p)|^2 \exp(-R_x^2 p_x^2 - R_y^2 p_y^2). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The corresponding v_2 increases with p_T and approaches to 1 at high p_T [$v_2 = I_1(p_T^2(R_y^2 - R_x^2)/2)/I_0(p_T^2(R_y^2 - R_x^2)/2)$], which can be seen in the right-hand panel of Fig. 1. For the expanding sources, the v_2 of the coherent emission decreases somewhat in the $p_T > 0.5$ GeV/c region, but approaches the result of the static source at smaller p_T . At the same time, the anisotropic expansion velocity is more significant for chaotic emission and leads to the nonzero v_2 , which is often referred to as the elliptic flow.

Unlike the elliptic flow of the chaotic emission, which is caused by the anisotropic transverse expansion of the source, the v_2 of the coherent emission arises from the initial geometry of the source already, and is similar as that of the static source, which can be attributed to the quantum effect (related to the interference in single-particle momentum distribution). To further examine the quantum effect in coherent emission, we present in Fig. 2 the results of the pion p_T spectrum and $v_2(p_T)$ for the expanding coherent sources with different initial geometric parameters $R_T = 0.2, 0.5$, and 1.0 fm. In the calculations R_z is taken to be the same as R_T and the other parameters are the same as in Fig. 1. One can observe that the transverse-momentum spectrum is "harder" for the source with a smaller R_T , while the increase of v_2 with p_T covers a larger range for smaller R_T . These effects are also exposed in the analytical expressions for the static coherent source [Eq. (21) and the corresponding expression for v_2]. In addition, we check the results with different source expansion parameters, and find that within the current framework both

the p_T spectrum and $v_2(p_T)$ show substantially more sensitivities to the source initial geometry than to the expansion parameters (flow effects).

IV. RESULTS OF PARTIALLY COHERENT SOURCE

As seen in the preceding section, the transverse-momentum spectrum and v_2 of the coherent emission are mainly attributed to the quantum effect and are sensitive to the initial geometry of the coherent source. They are different from those of the chaotic source, which are significantly affected by the source dynamical evolution. In high-energy heavy-ion collisions, the created pion source is possibly partially coherent [56]. The significant suppression of multi-pion Bose-Einstein correlations recently observed by the ALICE Collaboration in the Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC [24, 25] indicates that the pion emission may have a considerable degree of coherence. In this section, we investigate the pion transverse-momentum spectrum and elliptic anisotropy in a partially coherent source model constructed as follows:

(1) For the chaotic part, we consider it a hydrodynamically evolving source. Note that it is implied in most of the conventional hydrodynamics models that the source is chaotic. A glimpse of this can be seen in the commonly used Cooper-Frye procedure [59], in which the total spectrum of the decoupled particles is the summation of the spectra of the particles decoupled (emitted) at different space-time positions. In this work, we adopt the viscous hydrodynamics code in the iEBE-VISHNU code package [60], which is widely used in the study of relativistic heavy-ion collisions. To be specific, we use the MC-KLN model based on the ideas of parton saturation in the CGC [61, 62] for the initial condition. For one studied centrality class, we use a smooth, single-shot initial condition [60, 63], obtained by averaging 1000 randomly generated events with the maximum specified eccentricity, as the input of the hydrodynamical evolution. Since at this step we do not focus on the effects of the event-by-event fluctuations, the single-shot event, which carries the main feature of the chaotic source, is economical for our simulation. With the initial condition prepared, we perform the (2+1)-dimensional viscous hydrodynamics code VISHNew, an improved version of VISH2+1 [64–66], incorporating the lattice QCD-based equation of state s95p-PCE [67], to evolve the source. We set the initial time of the hydrodynamical evolution at $\tau_0 = 0.6$ fm/c and the decoupling temperature at $T_{\text{dec}} = 120$ MeV, which is the same as used in Ref. [17]. After the Cooper-Frye procedure [59], we obtain the pion momentum distribution for the hydrodynamic (chaotic) source by utilizing the AZHYDRO resonance decay code [68–70].

(2) For the coherent part, since the real mechanism responsible for the coherent pion production is not yet clear, we adopt the parameterized expanding source as introduced in the preceding section. The initial source spatial distribution is a Gaussian one as in Eq. (12), the source expansion velocity is described by Eq. (13), and the Gaussian temporal distribution is given by Eq. (14).

(3) For simplicity, we considered an idealization that the

chaotic and coherent sources evolve independently in the partially coherent source model. Moreover, assuming that the formations of the chaotic and coherent parts are spatially correlated, we consider an ideal case in which their spatial distributions in the transverse plane are oriented in the same direction, i.e., their initial eccentricities are maximized with respect to the same reference plane (“participant plane”). This is similar as the case of a trapped atomic gas in a Bose-Einstein condensate [46, 47].

From the measurements of four-pion Bose-Einstein correlation functions in the Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV [25], the coherent fraction is approximately 30% and has no obvious centrality dependence. On the other hand, the observed suppression on the correlations extends at least up to $p_T \sim 340$ MeV/c [25]. Accordingly, we estimate that the initial (minimum) transverse size of the coherent emission region is $\lesssim \hbar/(2 \times 340 \text{ MeV}/c) = 0.29$ fm.

In the left-hand and middle panels of Fig. 3, we show the results of the pion p_T spectrum and $v_2(p_T)$, respectively, for the Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV in the 40–50% centrality region. The experimental data (black bullets) measured by the ALICE Collaboration [5, 8] are shown for comparison. Here, the blue dashed lines and squares are the results of the conventional pure hydrodynamical chaotic source with the ratio of shear viscosity to entropy density $\eta/s = 0.20$ [17]. The red solid lines and circles are the results of the partially coherent source model constructed with the hydrodynamical chaotic part with $\eta/s = 0.43$ and the coherent part with the initial geometry parameter $R_T = R_z = 0.25$ fm and $S_T = 2$. The duration time and expansion velocity parameters for the coherent source are taken to be $\tau_s = 2$ fm, $a_x = 0.4$, $a_y = 0.3$, and $a_z = 0.35$, and the other parameters are the same as in the preceding section. For the partially coherent source, the total fractions of chaotic and coherent emissions, obtained with the particle yields in the transverse-momentum range $p_T < 3$ GeV/c, are 67% and 33%, respectively. We also show in the left-hand- and middle-bottom panels of Fig. 3 the ratios of the experimental data to the results of the models. One can observe that the results of the transverse-momentum spectrum and elliptic anisotropy of the partially coherent source are slightly more consistent with the experimental data than those of the pure hydrodynamical chaotic source.

In the right-hand panel of Fig. 3 we show the coherent fraction of the partially coherent source and the results extracted from the experimental measurements of four-pion Bose-Einstein correlations in two K_{T4} regions, $0.16 < K_{T4} < 0.3$ GeV and $0.3 < K_{T4} < 1.0$ GeV, in the Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV and in the 35–50% centrality region [25], where K_{T4} is the four-pion average transverse momentum defined as $K_{T4} = \frac{1}{4}|\mathbf{p}_{T,1} + \mathbf{p}_{T,2} + \mathbf{p}_{T,3} + \mathbf{p}_{T,4}|$. The coherent fraction of the pure hydrodynamic source is zero. However, the results of the partially coherent source are consistent with the experimental data. For the partially coherent source, the transverse-momentum distribution of the coherent part decreases with p_T faster than that of the chaotic part (similar as seen in Fig. 1); thus, the coherent fraction decreases with the transverse momentum.

We further investigate the variations of the results of the




FIG. 3: (Color online) Comparison of results of pion transverse-momentum spectrum (left-hand panels), elliptic anisotropy (middle panels), and coherent fraction (right-hand panel) of the hydrodynamical chaotic source and the partially coherent source with experimental data of pion transverse-momentum spectrum [5], elliptic anisotropy [8], and the coherent fraction in the two K_{T4} regions, $0.16 < K_{T4} < 0.3$ GeV/c and $0.3 < K_{T4} < 1$ GeV/c [25], measured by the ALICE Collaboration in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV and in the centrality regions of 40–50% (left and middle panels) and 35–50% (right panel). Error bars of experimental data of pion transverse-momentum spectrum and elliptic anisotropy are smaller than symbol size and are difficult to observe. Quantity K_{T4} is the four-pion average transverse momentum defined as $K_{T4} = \frac{1}{4} |p_{T,1} + p_{T,2} + p_{T,3} + p_{T,4}|$.




FIG. 4: (Color online) Comparison of results of pion transverse-momentum spectrum (left-hand panels), elliptic anisotropy (middle panels), and coherent fraction (right-hand panel) of partially coherent sources, which have different total fractions of coherent emission, with experimental data the same as in Fig. 3.

partially coherent source model with different total fractions of coherent emission, for the same observables as in Fig. 3. Here, η/s for the chaotic part is taken to be 0.4. The experimental data presented in Fig. 4 are the same as in Fig. 3. The results of the pion p_T spectrum and $v_2(p_T)$ are found to be sensitive to the total fraction of coherent emission, mainly due to the fact that the coherent part tends to produce a relatively steep spectrum and a larger $v_2(p_T)$. The results corresponding to the total fraction of coherent emission, 33%, are more consistent with the experimental data of the pion p_T spectrum and $v_2(p_T)$, and this fraction of coherent emission is consistent with the experimental analysis results of four-pion Bose-Einstein correlations.

It is noted that, with the influence of the coherent emission taken into account, the specific shear viscosity of the hydrodynamical chaotic source in this model is taken to be larger, $\sim 0.4 - 0.43$, relative to 0.2 [17], to better describe the experimental data. However, more systematic studies on source coherence and viscosity should be done to make more definitive statements about the viscosity of partially coherent sources.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Motivated by the recent experimental observation of the suppression of multi-pion Bose-Einstein correlations in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV at the LHC [24, 25], we have studied coherent pion emission and its influences on the pion transverse-momentum spectrum and elliptic anisotropy in relativistic heavy-ion collisions. We have constructed a partially coherent source by combining the chaotic emission source evolving with viscous hydrodynamics and a parameterized coherent emission source. It is found that the influences of coherent emission on the pion transverse-momentum spectrum and elliptic anisotropy are related to the initial size and shape of the coherent source, largely due to the interference effect in the single-particle momentum distribution. However, the effect of the source dynamical evolution on coherent emission is relatively small. The pion p_T spectrum and $v_2(p_T)$ generated by the partially coherent source with a total fraction of coherent emission, 33%, reproduced the experimental data [5, 8]

in Pb-Pb collisions with 40–50% centrality at the LHC. This coherent fraction is consistent with the experimental analysis results of four-pion Bose-Einstein correlations [25]. In addition, the small initial transverse radius of the coherent source, $R_T = 0.25$ fm, is consistent with the experimental observation, specifically that “The suppression observed in this analysis appears to extend at least up to $p_T \sim 340$ MeV/c” [25], which may provide some clues of the origin of the coherence.

It is usually implied that the particle source is chaotic in the study of relativistic heavy-ion collisions, which has indeed captured the main feature of particle emission. However, there have been some indications of coherent particle emission, although its mechanism is not yet clear. The partially coherent source model presented in this letter reveals some interest-

ing effects of coherent emission on the transverse-momentum spectrum and elliptic anisotropy. Additional work remains to systematically study the effect of coherent emission on experimental observables and to understand the mechanisms of coherent emission in relativistic heavy-ion collisions.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11675034 and 11275037.

-
- [1] J. Adams *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 112301 (2004).
- [2] S. S. Adler *et al.* (PHENIX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **69**, 034909 (2004).
- [3] B. B. Back *et al.* (PHOBOS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **75**, 024910 (2007).
- [4] B. Abelev *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 252301 (2012).
- [5] B. Abelev *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **88**, 044910 (2013).
- [6] J. Adams *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **72**, 014904 (2005).
- [7] S. Afanasiev *et al.* (PHENIX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **80**, 024909 (2009).
- [8] B. B. Abelev *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], JHEP **1506**, 190 (2015).
- [9] U. Heinz, Landolt-Bornstein **23**, 240 (2010).
- [10] J. Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 229 (1992).
- [11] B. Schenke, J. Phys. G **38**, 124009 (2011).
- [12] R. Snellings, J. Phys. G **41**, 124007 (2014).
- [13] P. Romatschke and U. Romatschke, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 172301 (2007).
- [14] H. Song and U. Heinz, Phys. Lett. B **658**, 279 (2008).
- [15] H. Song, Ph.D thesis, Ohio State University, 2009, arXiv:0908.3656 [nucl-th].
- [16] B. Schenke, S. Jeon and C. Gale, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 042301 (2011).
- [17] C. Shen, U. Heinz, P. Huovinen and H. Song, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 044903 (2011).
- [18] P. Božek, Phys. Rev. C **85**, 034901 (2012).
- [19] C. Gale, S. Jeon, B. Schenke, P. Tribedy and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 012302 (2013).
- [20] H. Song, S. Bass and U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. C **89**, 034919 (2014).
- [21] C. Shen and U. Heinz, Nucl. Phys. News **25**, 6 (2015).
- [22] D. Molnar, F. Wang, and C. H. Greene, arXiv:1404.4119.
- [23] L. He, T. Edmonds, Z.-W. Lin, Feng Liu, D. Molnara, F. Wang, Phys. Lett. B **753**, 506 (2016).
- [24] B. B. Abelev *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **89**, 024911 (2014).
- [25] J. Adam *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **93**, 054908 (2016).
- [26] U. A. Wiedemann and U. W. Heinz, Phys. Rept. **319**, 145 (1999).
- [27] R. M. Weiner, Phys. Rept. **327**, 249 (2000).
- [28] S. V. Akkelin, R. Lednicky and Y. M. Sinyukov, Phys. Rev. C **65**, 064904 (2002).
- [29] C. Y. Wong, W. N. Zhang, Phys. Rev. C **76**, 034905 (2007).
- [30] J. Liu, P. Ru, W. N. Zhang, C. Y. Wong, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **22**, 1350083 (2013).
- [31] J. Liu, P. Ru, W. N. Zhang, C. Y. Wong, J. Phys. G **41**, 125101 (2014).
- [32] D. Gangadharan, Phys. Rev. C **92**, 014902 (2015).
- [33] V. Begun and W. Florkowski, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 054909 (2015).
- [34] S. Pratt, Phys. Lett. B **301**, 159 (1993).
- [35] T. Csorgo and J. Zimanyi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 916 (1998).
- [36] Q. H. Zhang, W. Q. Chao and C. S. Gao, Nucl. Phys. A **608**, 469 (1996).
- [37] Q. H. Zhang, W. Q. Chao and C. S. Gao, J. Phys. G **23**, 1133 (1997).
- [38] G. Amelino-Camelia, J. D. Bjorken and S. E. Larsson, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 6942 (1997).
- [39] M.M. Aggarwal *et al.* (WA98 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **420**, 169 (1998).
- [40] J. P. Blaizot, F. Gelis, J. F. Liao, L. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, Nucl. Phys. A **873**, 68 (2012).
- [41] Z. Xu, K. Zhou, P. Zhuang and C. Greiner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 182301 (2015).
- [42] X. G. Huang and J. Liao, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 116012 (2015).
- [43] A. Kovner, L. D. McLerran and H. Weigert, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 6231 (1995).
- [44] E. Iancu, A. Leonidov and L. McLerran, hep-ph/0202270.
- [45] B. Schenke, S. Schlichting, P. Tribedy and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 162301 (2016).
- [46] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman and E. A. Cornell, Science **269**, 198 (1995).
- [47] K. B. Davis, M. O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kurn and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3969 (1995).
- [48] R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. **84**, 395 (1951).
- [49] R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 84 (1963).
- [50] R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. **130**, 2529 (1963).
- [51] R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. **131**, 2766 (1963).
- [52] M. Gyulassy, S. K. Kauffmann and L. W. Wilson, Phys. Rev. C **20**, 2267 (1979).
- [53] C. Y. Wong, *Introduction to High-Energy Heavy-Ion Collisions* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994), Chapter 17.
- [54] R. Hanbury Brown and R. Q. Twiss, Nature **178**, 1046 (1956).
- [55] G. Goldhaber, S. Goldhaber, W. Y. Lee and A. Pais, Phys. Rev.

- 120**, 300 (1960).
- [56] R. J. Glauber, Nucl. Phys. A **774**, 3 (2006).
- [57] W. N. Zhang, Y. Y. Ren and C. Y. Wong, Phys. Rev. C **74**, 024908 (2006).
- [58] J. Yang, Y. Y. Ren and W. N. Zhang, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2015**, 846154 (2015).
- [59] F. Cooper and G. Frye, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 186 (1974).
- [60] C. Shen, Z. Qiu, H. Song, J. Bernhard, S. Bass and U. Heinz, Comput. Phys. Commun. **199**, 61 (2016); [arXiv:1409.8164 [nucl-th]].
- [61] D. Kharzeev, E. Levin and M. Nardi, Phys. Rev. C **71**, 054903 (2005).
- [62] D. Kharzeev, E. Levin and M. Nardi, Nucl. Phys. A **747**, 609 (2005).
- [63] Z. Qiu and U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 024911 (2011).
- [64] H. Song and U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. C **77**, 064901 (2008).
- [65] H. Song and U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. C **78**, 024902 (2008).
- [66] U. Heinz and H. Song, J. Phys. G **35**, 104126 (2008).
- [67] P. Huovinen and P. Petreczky, Nucl. Phys. A **837**, 26 (2010).
- [68] J. Sollfrank, P. Koch and U. Heinz, Phys. Lett. B **252**, 256 (1990).
- [69] J. Sollfrank, P. Koch and U. W. Heinz, Z. Phys. C **52**, 593 (1991).
- [70] J. Sollfrank, P. Huovinen, M. Kataja, P. V. Ruuskanen, M. Prakash and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. C **55**, 392 (1997).