

Correlations and Flow of Information between The New York Times and Stock Markets.

Andrés García-Medina^{*1}, Leonidas Sandoval Junior², Efraín Urrutia Bañuelos¹, and
A. M. Martínez-Argüello³

¹*Physics Research Department, Sonora University, Hermosillo, Sonora 83000, México.*

²*Inspere, Instituto de Ensino e Pesquisa, Rua Quatá, 300, São Paulo, SP, 04546-2400, Brazil.*

³*Instituto de Física, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Apartado Postal J-48, Puebla 72570, Mexico*

December 14, 2021

Abstract

We use Random Matrix Theory (RMT) and information theory to analyze the correlations and flow of information between 64,939 news from *The New York Times* and 40 world financial indices during 10 months along the period 2015-2016. The set of news was quantified and transformed into daily polarity time series using tools from sentiment analysis. Results from RMT shows that a common factor lead the world indices and news, and even share the same dynamics. Furthermore, the global correlation structure has found preserved when adding white noise, which indicate that correlations are not due to sample size effects. Likewise, we found a lot of information flowing from news to world indices for specific delay, being of practical interest for trading purpose. Our results suggest a deep relationship between news and world indices, and show a situation where news drive world market movements, giving a new evidence to support behavioral finance as the current economic paradigm.

Keywords: Random Matrix Theory; Transfer Entropy; Sentiment Analysis; Behavioral Finance.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this work is to understand, in the context of Econophysics [1, 2, 3], the validity of the relatively new school of thought named behavioral finance and contrast it with the most accepted paradigm of the efficient market hypothesis (EMH), on which most of the current financial models rely [4, 5, 6]. According to EMH, the stock price instantly incorporates all available market information, and its value does not depend on the price in the past [7]. However, recently a series of works have begun to investigate the influence of textual sources from Internet to market movements [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15], showing that information extracted from *Twitter*, *StockTwits*, *Google Trends*, and some

^{*}Corresponding author (andgarm.n@gmail.com)

financial magazine as *Financial Times*, give early indications that may help predict changes in stock market. These new results are building a strong support against the well accepted efficient market paradigm, and supporting the approximation of behavioral economics. Nevertheless, the above mentioned works have studied the involved time series in individual manner. On the contrary, our intention is to study the global or common properties of a set of financial indices to know if the information coming from *The New York Times* (NYT) contains reliable or true information, that is, far from being noise, which problem has been extensively study in our previous work with *Twitter* data [16]. In addition to this, we are interested now to know under which circumstances the information flows from NYT to financial index prices.

NYT is a newspaper founded and published in New York City, with over three million subscriptions to their print and digital products in 195 countries all over the world, which makes it one of the most accessible and widely circulated newspapers worldwide [17]. In order to quantify the news extracted from NYT we used sentiment analysis [18, 19], where the dictionary-based approach was followed due to its low computational cost and good precision compared to the learning machine methods [20]. Furthermore, the mood polarity was adopted as the sentiment analysis indicator, because this amount can be directly associated with the positive and negative movements of the financial indices [9].

On the other hand, understanding the correlation structure between financial markets and discriminate it from noise is of great interest in the context of portfolio optimization [21]. A new approach to understanding such correlation comes from Random Matrix Theory (RMT). Historically, many phenomena of theoretical physics have been successfully solved using RMT [22, 23, 24, 25, 26], and remarkably a great number of applications to finance have arisen during the last years [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36]. Although, the study of correlations is useful to determine which assets behave similarly, using only correlation measures we can not establish a causal relationship or influence among financial indices since the action of one variable on another is not necessarily symmetric [37]. A very useful amount to measure causal phenomenon has foundations in information theory and it is known as transfer entropy. The transfer entropy or transfer information is a dynamic and non-symmetric measure, which was initially developed by Schreiber [38], and is based on the concept of Shannon entropy [39]. This measure was designed to determine the directionality of transfer information between two processes, by detecting the asymmetry between their interactions [38, 40]. Transfer entropy has been used to solve numerous problems. It has been useful in the study of the neuronal cortex of the brain [41, 42, 43, 44], in the study of social networks [45], finance [46, 47, 48, 37], statistical physics [49], and in dynamic systems [50], receiving a thermodynamic interpretation in [51].

In this work we use RMT and transfer entropy in order to find out if news drives market movement and to show more evidence against EMH which could support behavioral finance. Specifically, here we analyze the correlations and flow of information between a set of 64,939 news from the New York Times and 40 world financial indices during 10 months along the period 2015-2016.

The paper is organized as follow. Section 2 describes briefly the analyzed data, the methodology to extract the news from NYT, and how polarity time series are constructed using sentiment analysis. Section 3 contains the main results for correlation analysis via RMT. Section 4 shows the flow information

results by transfer entropy. Finally, Section 5 presents the conclusions of the work.

2 Analyzed data

We consider a set of 64,939 news from NYT and the daily closing values of 40 countries around the world, obtained for the lapsed period of time from July 1 2015 to May 1 2016, which correspond to $T = 217$ trading days. The set of news was extracted in relation with every country listed in Table 1. The Bloomberg symbols of the related world financial indices are also listed in table 1. The news extraction was made in the coordinated universal time (UTC), while the time request of the closing prices varies depending of the time zone where the stock markets trade.

The news were extracted through the interface *Article Search API* of NYT, which gives us access to its database in a structured way. In order to remove the noise of the extracted text due to the non-alphanumeric characters, we pre-process it with the help of the Natural Language Toolkit (NLTK) of PYTHON.

Once removed the noise, we apply the sentiment analysis to the cleaned text using the Valence Aware Dictionary and sEntiment Reasoner (VADER), which is a lexicon that implements syntactical and grammatical rules, incorporating empirically derived quantifiers in order to take into account the sentiment intensity present in the analyzed text, where every element of the lexicon is scoring between -4 and 4, from very negative word to very positive word. VADER has been adjusted to capture the sentiment expressed in social networks, but also has been shown excellent results capturing the sentiment of NYT text [20].

Finally, we took the average of all the scores found in a given text (one score for each word), and we link this average score as the emotional polarity (positive or negative) of it [16, 9]. Then, we named as $P_k(t)$ the polarity time series of all the news corresponding to a given keyword k at a given day t .

3 Random matrix theory analysis

3.1 Preliminaries

Let $S_k(t)$ denote the daily closing prices of index k at day t . The return value for each index k ($k = 1, \dots, N$) at times $t = 1, \dots, T$ (measure in days) is obtained by

$$R_k(t) = \frac{S_k(t + \Delta t) - S_k(t)}{S_k(t)}. \quad (1)$$

We choose a return interval of one trading day, such that $\Delta t = 1$. In order to compare our data with the universal results of RMT, both polarity and return time series are normalized. The respective normalized return, for index k at time t , is defined as

$$r_k(t) = (R_k(t) - \langle R_k \rangle) / \sigma_k, \quad (2)$$

Table 1: List of financial data analyzed in this work. First column: country where the index is traded; second column: Bloomberg ticker of the financial index; third column: keyword to search through the article search API of The NYT.

Country	Bloomberg Ticker	NYT Keyword
United States	SPX	United States
Canada	SPTSX	Canada
Mexico	MEXBOL	Mexico
Colombia	IGBC	Colombia
Venezuela	IBVC	Venezuela
Chile	IPSA	Chile
Argentina	MERVAL	Argentina
Brazil	IBOV	Brazil
Nigeria	NGSEINDX	Nigeria
United Kingdom	UKX	England
France	CAC	France
Belgium	BEL20	Belgium
Italy	FTSEMIB	Italy
Switzerland	SMI	Switzerland
Netherlands	AEX	Netherlands
Denmark	KFX	Denmark
Norway	OBX	Norway
Sweden	OMX	Sweden
Germany	DAX	Germany
Poland	WIG	Poland
Austria	ATX	Austria
Greece	ASE	Greece
Hungary	BUX	Hungary
Ukraine	PFTS	Ukraine
Russia	INDEXCM	Russia
Turkey	XU100	Turkey
Egypt	CASE	Egypt
Israel	TA-25	Israel
Arabia	SASEIDX	Arabia
Pakistan	KSE100	Pakistan
India	SENSEX	India
Indonesia	JCI	Indonesia
Malaysia	FBMKLCI	Malaysia
Singapore	FSSTI	Singapore
China	SHCOMP	China
Hong Kong	HSI	Hong Kong
Taiwan	TWSE	Taiwan
South Korea	KOSPI	South Korea
Japan	NKY	Japan
Australia	AS51	Australia




Figure 1: Distribution of returns, polarities, as well as the normalized Gaussian distribution and the student-t distribution with the parameter $a = 4.67$, which best fits the return distribution.

where σ_k is the standard deviation of R_k , and $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes the time average over the studied period. The average polarity is normalized in the same way and is denoted as $p_k(t)$ for the index k at time t .

In Fig. 1 we plot the distribution of returns, polarities, as well as the normal distribution and the student-t distribution with parameter $a = 4.67$, which best fit the return distribution. It is known that the distribution of returns usually has tails heavier than the tails of the normal distribution [1] and is better characterized by the student-t distribution, behavior that we can observe in the same Fig. 1. On the contrary, it can be seen that the polarity distribution has a skewed shape, which rules out the symmetric behavior found for return data.

3.2 Wishart Ensemble

The correlation matrix element between demeaned and standardized time series x_k and x_l is given by

$$c_{k,l}^{(x)} = \langle x_k(t)x_l(t) \rangle, \quad (3)$$

where x denotes the type of time series which we are working on, such that $c_{k,l}^{(p)}$ and $c_{k,l}^{(r)}$ are the matrix correlation elements constructed from polarity and return time series, respectively.

Let be W an $N \times T$ matrix whose matrix elements are statistically independent Gaussian variables with zero mean and equal variance. Then, the matrix $H = WW^\dagger$ is known into the formalism of RMT as Wishart matrix and a set

of these matrices under the Haar measure as Wishart ensemble (WE) [22]. By construction, these matrices are formed with N uncorrelated time series of finite length T . The N eigenvalues of H , denoted by $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N$, are non-negative and have the joint probability density function given by [52]

$$P[\{\lambda_i\}] = C_{N,T} \exp \left[-\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_i \lambda_i \right] \prod_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^{\alpha\beta/2} \prod_{j < k} |\lambda_j - \lambda_k|^\beta, \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha = (1 + T - N) - 2\beta$, and the normalization constant $C_{N,T}$ can be computed exactly [53]. We can assume $N \leq T$, because if $N \geq T$, one can show that $N - T$ eigenvalues are exactly 0 and the rest of the T eigenvalues are distributed exactly as in the above expression with N and T exchanged. The solution for $\beta = 1$ (real symmetric case) in the limit $N, T \rightarrow \infty$, with $Q = T/N (\geq 1)$, is given by the Marčenko-Pastur law [54]

$$\rho(\lambda) = \frac{Q}{2\pi\sigma^2} \frac{\sqrt{(\lambda_+ - \lambda)(\lambda - \lambda_-)}}{\lambda}, \quad (5)$$

within the bounds $\lambda_- \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_+$ and 0 otherwise. The smallest (largest) eigenvalue of a random matrix in WE is given by [54]:

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \sigma^2(1 + 1/Q \pm 2\sqrt{1/Q}). \quad (6)$$

These predictions are known in RMT field as universal results of Wishart matrices, and make up the null hypothesis of no correlations between financial indices (or polarities). If there is no correlation between financial indices (or polarities), then the eigenvalues should be bounded between RMT predictions [27, 28].

In Fig. 2 we plotted the eigenvalue distribution for the empirical correlation matrices $C^{(r)}$ and $C^{(p)}$, with the Marčenko-Pastur law superimposed on them. Here the dimensions are $N \times T = 40 \times 217$ with parameter $Q = T/N = 5.425$. We clearly see some eigenvalues far away the upper bound of the noise zone for both correlation matrices, which give information about the correlation behavior of the whole set of countries under study, and implies the presence of true correlation. We will discuss more about the largest eigenvalue and the corresponding largest eigenvector in the next section.

3.3 Eigenvectors and Temporal Analysis

In finance, the fact that all the components of the eigenvector associated to the largest eigenvalue are positive reflects a common financial market mode and it is related with the most risky mix of assets in a portfolio of investment. On the contrary, the eigenvector associated to the smallest eigenvalue correspond to the less risky portfolio. These two eigenvectors are not random combinations of variables [55].

In Fig. (3) we have plotted the eigenvectors associated to the largest and smallest eigenvalue of the empirical correlation matrices. We can see in Fig. 3(a) that all the components of the eigenvector associated to the larger eigenvalue are positive and far from zero, while in Fig. 3(b) most of the components of the




Figure 2: Eigenvalue distribution of correlation matrices. We show with black line the Marčenko-Pastur law. The blue line represent the results for polarities and the green line for returns.

eigenvector associated to the smallest eigenvalue are near to zero and only few elements stand out from them. Both cases behave as expected [55]. Interestingly, if we analyze Fig. 3(b) more closely it tell us that the less risky portfolio must include United States and China if we consider the polarity data to its construction, and also must include France and Netherlands if we consider the return data. We argue this because the magnitude of these components are bigger than the others and then are the most representative of the eigenvector associated to the smallest eigenvalue.

To make a temporal analysis, we constructed a set of sample correlation matrices from a sliding window of four trading months ($T_s = 160$ days) with an overlap of one trading day. Then, we obtained two sets of $M = 58$ sample correlation matrices, one set from polarity values and other set from return values. Every correlation matrix within these sets has now a dimension $N \times T_s = 40 \times 160$ and parameter $Q = T_s/N = 4$. Consequently, the upper and lower theoretical bounds are $\lambda_- = 0.25$ and $\lambda_+ = 2.25$, for the bulk of the eigenvalue distribution due to noise.

It is know that for C of large dimensions the time evolution of the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{max}(t)$ is strongly correlated with the mean correlation coefficient $\bar{c}(t) = \langle C(t) \rangle_{ij}$ [56, 57]. In Fig. 4 we plotted these quantities for our empirical data. Although the dimension of the empirical data is too small, it has been found a strong correlation between $\lambda_{max}(t)$ and $\bar{c}(t)$ with Pearson Pc and Spearman Sc correlation coefficients [58, 59] bigger than 0.97 for both polarity and return values. Then, $\lambda_{max}(t)$ and $\bar{c}(t)$ share the same dynamics. Furthermore, $\lambda_{max}^p(t)$ and $\lambda_{max}^r(t)$ present a strong correlation of $Pc = 0.80$ and $Sc = 0.57$. Then, we can argue that the set of news from NYT and the corresponding





Figure 3: Eigenvector components of the associated largest (a) and smallest (b) eigenvalue of the empirical correlation matrices.




Figure 4: Temporal behavior of $\lambda_{max}(t)$ and $\bar{c}(t)$ for both empirical data. The dashed line represents the upper theoretical bound of the eigenvalue distribution.


global returns also share the same dynamics, supporting the behavioral finance assumptions.

On the other side, a simple way to extract information from eigenvectors is by computing the Inverse Participation Ratio (IPR), which allows us to know the number of components that participate significantly in each eigenvector (or portfolio). It exhibits a distinction between the eigenvectors associated to the extreme eigenvalues and the ones associated to the bulk in the noise zone. The IPR of eigenvector V^k is given by [60]

$$IPR_k = \sum_{j=1}^N |V_j^k|^4. \quad (7)$$

This quantity always falls between the limits $1/N$ and one. It is expected that the values for IPR_N fluctuate near the lower limit $1/N$ because it corresponds to the most diversified portfolio, whereas for IPR_1 it is expected higher values because it correspond to the smallest eigenvalue and therefore to the less diversified portfolio [21]. Furthermore, for values of k within the region considered as noise it is expected random combinations of assets and then values of IPR_k between IPR_N and IPR_1 .

In Fig. 5 are plotted the temporal behavior of IPR_1 and IPR_{40} . We obtained a good correlation coefficient between the empirical data in IPR_{40} , with $Pc = 0.84$ and $Sc = 0.54$. The other case IPR_1 presents low correlation coefficient of $Pc = 0.27$ and $Sc = 0.36$ between the temporal behavior of the corresponding eigenvectors. The first results for IPR_{40} confirms the fact that each financial



(a)

Figure 5: Temporal behavior of the inverse participation ratio corresponding to the largest (IPR(40)) and smallest (IPR(1)) eigenvalue. The dashed line represent the theoretical lower bound.

index participates significantly in the eigenvector V_{40} , and consequently all the indices move as a whole in this eigenmode. Surprisingly, the data from NYT shows the same behavior.

3.4 Correlated Wishart Ensemble

We are now interesting to know, firstly, if the correlation structure of the empirical data is preserved when adding with noise. Secondly, we desire to characterize the cross-correlations between polarities and returns. A theoretical technique from RMT to analyze these problems is the non-symmetric correlation matrix approach for the Correlated Wishart Orthogonal Ensemble (CWOE) [61].

Lets start defining CWOE as an ensemble of real symmetric matrices of type $C = \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}^t/T$, where $\mathcal{W} = \xi^{1/2}W$, ξ is a positive definite nonrandom matrix, and entries of W are independent Gaussian variables with zero mean and variance equal one, i.e., white noise. Be $D^{(r)}$ and $D^{(p)}$ the data matrices composed of return and polarity time series, respectively. Then we can construct a partitioned data matrix of dimensions $2N \times T$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} D^{(r)} \\ D^{(p)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

and a partitioned correlation matrix C defined in terms of 4 blocks

$$C = \frac{1}{T} D D^T = \begin{pmatrix} C^{(r)} & C^{(r,p)} \\ C^{(p,r)} & C^{(p)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$




Figure 6: Correlation matrices C and C'

The diagonal blocks account for the return and polarity correlations alone, further the off-diagonal blocks account for the mixture correlations between returns and polarities, satisfying the relation $C^{(r,p)} = C^{(p,r)T} = D^{(r)}D^{(p)T}$.

Likewise, let set ξ as our empirical correlation matrices C 's. In this way, $\xi^{(r)} = C^{(r)}$, $\xi^{(p)} = C^{(p)}$, and be $W_1, W_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{N \times T}$ two independent Gaussian variables with zero mean and variance one. We can define the partitioned data matrix with white noise \mathcal{W} of dimensions $2N \times T$, constituted by both return and polarity data sets, as

$$\mathcal{W} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{C^{(r)}}W_1 \\ \sqrt{C^{(p)}}W_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

Then, the partitioned correlation matrix with noise is given by

$$C' = \frac{1}{T} \mathcal{W} \mathcal{W}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} C^{(r)}W_1W_1^t & C^{(r,p)}W_1W_2^t \\ C^{(p,r)}W_2W_1^t & C^{(p)}W_2W_2^t \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

We are now in position to inquire about our first goal by comparing the correlation matrices C and C' and measure if the addition of white noise break the correlation structure (see Fig. 6). To compare C and C' quantitatively, we calculate the absolute difference of two neighboring correlation coefficients as in [62]. We found that the average of this value varies less than 10% if the noise is included. Then we can argue that the correlation structure of the empirical data carries out more information than white noise and then the correlation structure is preserved as a whole. Therefore, these results support the existence of true correlations in financial indices and polarities.

To our second goal, i.e., to characterize the cross-correlations between polarities and returns, we need to look at the off-diagonal blocks of eq. 9, which in general are non-symmetric correlation matrices. This kind of matrices have complex eigenvalues. We study the eigenvalues distribution of this matrices in the complex plane by the techniques developed for large dimensions ($N \rightarrow \infty$, $T \rightarrow \infty$) in [63], but the results (not showed) do not assert the presence of

cross-correlations between NYT and the world indices, which might be due to the small dimension ($N = 40$) of the empirical correlation matrix. To go further we will proceed to study a causality measure instead of linear correlations.

4 Transfer entropy analysis

We are now interested in measure the flow of information from news to prices and vice versa via the information theory approach, particularly by the concept of transfer entropy (TE). The TE from the variable Y to variable X is given by the expression [38]

$$T_{Y \rightarrow X} = \sum_{i_{n+1}, i_n, j_n=1}^{n=T-1} p(i_{n+1}, i_n^{(k)}, j_n^{(l)}) \log \frac{p(i_{n+1} | i_n^{(k)}, j_n^{(l)})}{p(i_{n+1} | i_n^{(k)})}, \quad (12)$$

on where T is the time series length of X and Y , $P(i, j)$ is the joint probability distribution of both X and Y , and $P(i|j)$ is the conditional probability of the variable X given Y . The last eq. (12) tells us that the element i_{n+1} of the time series X is influenced by the previous k states of the same time series X and the l previous states of the time series Y .

We compute TE using the library JIDT¹ [64], which enables to construct the probability distribution functions via a kernel density estimator, defined as [65]

$$p_h = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(t - t_i), \quad (13)$$

where every kernel K_h is identified by the position parameter t_i and the bandwidth h . In our case, the kernel function counts the number of return or polarity values falling inside a box of length h centered at t_i . A very common selection for the h parameter is given by the Silverman's rule [66]

$$h = \left(\frac{4\sigma^5}{3n} \right)^{\frac{1}{5}}, \quad (14)$$

where σ is the standard deviation of the time series, and n its length dimension.

On the other hand, the expression of TE (Eq. 12) it is likely to be biased due to several factors as finite sample effects and non-stationarity of data. Also time series that have more entropy, what is associated with higher volatility in finance, naturally transfer more entropy to the others. To reduce this bias, we use the effective transfer entropy (ETE) [67]

$$ETE_{Y \rightarrow X} = TE_{Y \rightarrow X}(k, l) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_i^M TE_{Y_{(i)} \rightarrow X}(k, l) \quad (15)$$

where $Y_{(i)}$ has been randomly shuffled from the time series Y . By computing this quantity over all possible combinations of polarity and return time series

¹Available at <http://jlizier.github.io/jidt/>




Figure 7: ETE matrix subtracting $M = 1000$ shuffled time series, and kernel density resolution of $h = 0.36$. (a) $k = l = 1$; (b) $k = l = 2$; (c) $k = l = 3$; (d) $k = l = 4$.

of each country of Table 1, we obtain an ETE matrix of dimensions 80×80 . In Fig. 7 are shown as a heatmap the ETE matrix results for $k = l = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and resolution $h = 0.36$ (given by Eq. (14)), where the matrix elements have the same order as the partitioned correlation matrices (see Fig. 6). We have subtracted $M = 1000$ random permutations of the corresponding Y time series. These results shows that most of the information flows from returns and polarity to returns exclusively, being the case $k = 3$ where this phenomenon is clearer to the eye.

We used graph theory to transform the ETE matrix into a directed network. Now each time series is represented by a node and the magnitude of the flow of information from one node to another by directed edge. An important quantity of an undirected network is the number of edges connected to the node; or *node degree*. For directed networks there are two related measures *out node degree* ND_{out} and *in node degree* ND_{in} , which count the number of edges leaving and entering a node, respectively. Since we are interested in exploring the situation where the information flows exclusively to returns because it might open up new trading strategies, we will define the *relative out node degree* as the ratio of the *out node degree* between polarity and return nodes, that is $ND_{out}(polarity)/ND_{out}(returns)$.

In Fig. (8)(a) we observe the *relative out node degree* as a function of the rescaled range of values of ETE, which runs over the threshold $Th \in [0, 1]$ for each $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We found the maximum at $Th = 0.79$ for $k = 3$; it is at this value when the nodes have more edges leaving from polarity to return nodes, and therefore it is a good indicator for analyzing the corresponding network. In Fig. (8)(b) we plot bar graphs of the *out* and *in node degree* values for the 80 nodes of our network at $k = 3, Th = 0.79$, where the first 40 nodes correspond to returns, and the last 40 to polarity nodes. It is very interesting that for these values of k and Th , the polarity nodes are the only ones that send information to the whole network, and also that the *in node degree* for returns is bigger than for the polarity one.

5 Conclusion

We have found eigenvalues beyond the universal results of Wishart matrices for polarity and return data, which implies the presence of a global factor leading the set of indices and news as a unity. Interestingly, we found that the largest eigenvalue of news and prices also share the same dynamics, result that sides with the one of behavioral finance. The temporal analysis of IPR confirms the fact that each financial index participates significantly in the eigenvector associated to the largest eigenvalue. Notably, the data from NYT shows the same behavior.


The results from the partitioned matrices in CWOE analysis, supports the fact of the existence of true correlations for world indices and news, showing that the correlation structure is preserved when adding with noise to the empirical data. Nevertheless, was not possible to characterize the cross-correlation between them because the small dimensions of the empirical correlation matrices, being necessary the use of the information theory approach. In this field, transfer entropy analysis reveal us that for memory $k = 3$ and normalized threshold $Th = 0.79$, all the information flows to return nodes. The last is the most practical result for trading purpose, suggesting a possible selection rule for an optimal historical news set, and showing new precise evidence in favor of Behavioral finance as a reliable economic paradigm.

Acknowledgments


We thank Vinayak and Fabio Ayres for fruitful discussions and advice as well as Moisés Martínez, Carlos Pacheco and Carlos Lizárraga for helpful comments. This work was partially supported by *Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología* (CONACyT) of Mexico, and Insper Institute of Education and Research at São Paulo, Brazil.

References

- [1] R. N. Mantenga and H. E. Stanley, *An Introduction to Econophysics: Correlations and Complexity in Finance*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.



(a)



(b)

Figure 8: (a) *relative out node degree* as a function of the normalized threshold Th . (b) *in and out node degree* for $k=3, Th=0.79$.

- [2] J. P. Bouchaud and M. Potters, *Theory of Financial Risks: from Statistical Physics to Risk Management*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [3] J. Voit, *The Statistical Mechanics of Financial Markets*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [4] E. F. Fama *J. Financ. Econ.*, vol. 49, p. 283, 1998.
- [5] R. Shiller *J. Econ. Perspect.*, vol. 17, p. 83, 2003.
- [6] N. Barberis, *Handbook of the Economics of Finance*, pag. 1051. Elsevier Science B.V., North-Holland, 2003.
- [7] E. F. Fama *J. Bus.*, vol. 38, p. 34, 1965.
- [8] X. Zhang, H. Fuehres, and P. Gloor *Procedia Soc. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 26, p. 55, 2010.
- [9] J. Bollen, H. Mao, and X. Zeng *J. Comput. Phys.*, vol. 2, p. 1, 2011.
- [10] J. Smailović, M. Grčar, N. Lavrač, and M. Žnidaršič, *Human-Computer Interaction and Knowledge Discovery in Complex, Unstructured, Big Data Vol. 7947 of the series Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pag. 77. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.
- [11] N. Oliveira, P. Cortez, and N. Areal, *Progress in Artificial Intelligence Vol. 8154 of the series Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pag. 355. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.
- [12] T. Preis, H. S. Moat, and H. E. Stanley *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 3, p. 1684, 2013.
- [13] M. Alanyali, H. S. Moat, and T. Preis *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 3, p. 3578, 2013.
- [14] I. Zheludev, R. Smith, and T. Aste *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 4, p. 4213, 2014.
- [15] V. Plakandaras, T. Papadimitriou, P. Gogas, and K. Diamantaras *Algorithmic Finance*, vol. 4, p. 69, 2015.
- [16] A. García *Physica A*, vol. 461, p. 509, 2016.
- [17] M. Thompson and A. O. Sulzberger, *The New York Times Company: 2016 Annual Report*, 2017. Available at <http://www.annualreports.com/Company/new-york-times>.
- [18] B. Pang and L. L. Found *Trends. Network.*, vol. 2, p. 1, 1986.
- [19] D. Jurafsky and J. H. Martin, *Speech and Language Processing: An Introduction to Natural Language Processing, Computational Linguistics and Speech Recognition*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs NJ, 2000.
- [20] C. J. Hutto and E. E. Gilbert, “Vader: A parsimonious rule-based model for sentiment analysis of social media text,” *Eighth International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media*, vol. 14, 2014.
- [21] H. Markowitz, *Portfolio Selection: Efficient Diversification of Investment*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1959.

- [22] J. Wishart *Biometrika*, vol. 20A, p. 32, 1928.
- [23] E. Wigner *Ann. Math.*, vol. 62, p. 548, 1955.
- [24] M. L. Mehta, *Random matrices and the statistical theory of energy levels*. Academic Press, New York, 1967.
- [25] T. A. Brody, J. Flores, J. B. French, P. A. Mello, A. Pandey, and S. S. M. Wong *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 53, p. 385, 1981.
- [26] T. Guhr, A. Müller-Groeling, and H. A. Weidenmüller *Phys. Rep.*, vol. 299, p. 189, 1998.
- [27] V. Plerou, P. Gopikrishnan, B. Rosenow, L. A. N. Amaral, and H. E. Stanley *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 83, p. 1471, 1999.
- [28] L. Laloux, P. Cizeau, J. P. Bouchaud, and M. Potters *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 83, p. 1467, 1999.
- [29] V. Plerou, P. Gopikrishnan, B. Rosenow, L. A. N. Amaral, and H. E. Stanley *Physica A*, vol. 287, p. 374, 2000.
- [30] V. Plerou, P. Gopikrishnan, B. Rosenow, L. A. N. Amaral, T. Guhr, and H. E. Stanley *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 65, p. 066126, 2002.
- [31] M. Potters, J.-P. Bouchaud, and L. Laloux *Acta. Phys. Pol. B*, vol. 36, p. 2767, 2005.
- [32] M. C. Münnix, R. Schäfer, and T. Guhr *Physica A*, vol. 389, p. 767, 2010.
- [33] S. Maslov *Physica A*, vol. 301, p. 397, 2001.
- [34] D. Wang, B. Podobnik, D. Horvatić, and H. Stanley *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 83, p. 046121, 2011.
- [35] L. Sandoval and I. D. P. Franca *Physica A*, vol. 391, p. 187, 2012.
- [36] S. Kumar and N. Deo *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 86, p. 026101, 2012.
- [37] L. Sandoval *Entropy*, vol. 16, p. 4443, 2014.
- [38] T. Schreiber *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 85, p. 461, 2000.
- [39] C. E. Shannon *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, vol. 27, pp. 379,623, 1948.
- [40] M. Prokopenko and J. T. Lizier *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 4, p. 5394, 2014.
- [41] A. Papana and D. Kugiumtzis *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 83, p. 036207, 2011.
- [42] W. L. Shew, H. Yang, S. Yu, R. Roy, and D. Plenz *J. Neurosci.*, vol. 31, p. 55, 2011.
- [43] R. Vicente, M. Wibral, M. Lindner, and G. Pipa *J. Comput. Neurosci.*, vol. 30, p. 45, 2011.
- [44] L. Faes, G. Nollo, and A. Porta *Entropy*, vol. 15, p. 198, 2013.

- [45] G. V. Steeg and A. Galstyan *Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on World Wide Web, Lyon, France*, vol. 21, p. 509, 2012.
- [46] S. K. Baek, W. S. Jung, O. Kwon, and H. T. Moon *ArXiv.org*, vol. physics, p. 0509014v2, 2005.
- [47] O. Kwon and J. S. Yang *Euro. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 82, p. 68003, 2005.
- [48] P. Jizba, H. Kleinert, and M. Shefaat *Physica A*, vol. 391, p. 2971, 2012.
- [49] L. Barnett *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 109, p. 138105, 2012.
- [50] X. S. Liang *Entropy*, vol. 15, p. 327, 2013.
- [51] M. Prokopenko and J. T. Lizier *Entropy*, vol. 15, p. 524, 2013.
- [52] S. N. Majumdar, “Extreme eigenvalues of wishart matrices: application to entangled bipartite system,” in *The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory* (G. Akemann, J. Baik, and P. D. Francesco, eds.), ch. 37, p. 759, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011.
- [53] A. T. James *Ann. Math. Stat.*, vol. 35, p. 475, 1964.
- [54] V. A. Marčenko and L. A. Pastur *Sb. Math.*, vol. 72, p. 507, 1967.
- [55] J. P. Bouchaud and M. Potters, *The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix p. 824*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2011.
- [56] D. M. Song, M. Tumminello, W. X. Zhou, and R. N. Mantegna *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 84, p. 026108, 2011.
- [57] Y. Stepanov, P. Rinn, T. Guhr, J. Peinke, and R. Schäfer *J. Stat. Mech. Theor. Exp*, no. 8, p. P08011, 2015.
- [58] K. Pearson *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London*, vol. 58, p. 240, 1895.
- [59] C. Spearman *Am. J. Psychol.*, vol. 15, p. 72, 1904.
- [60] T. B. P. Clark and A. D. Maestro *arXiv*, p. arXiv:1506.02048, 2015.
- [61] Vinayak *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 88, p. 042130, 2013.
- [62] R. Schäfer and T. Guhr *Physica A*, vol. 389, p. 3856, 2010.
- [63] Vinayak and L. Benet *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 90, p. 042109, 2014.
- [64] J. T. Lizier *arXiv:1408.3270 [cs.IT]*, 2014.
- [65] M. Wibral, R. Vicente, and J. T. Lizier, *Directed Information Measures in Neuroscience*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2014.
- [66] B. W. Silverman, *Density Estimation for Statistics and Data Analysis*. Chapman & Hall, London, 1998.
- [67] R. Marschinski and H. Kantz *Euro. Phys. J. B*, vol. 30, p. 275, 2002.