

On integral representations and asymptotics of some hypergeometric functions in two variables

Sascha Wald^{a,b,1} and Malte Henkel^{a,c,d,2}

^a Groupe de Physique Statistique, Département de Physique de la Matière et des Matériaux, Institut Jean Lamour (CNRS UMR 7198), Université de Lorraine Nancy, B.P. 70239, F – 54506 Vandœuvre lès Nancy Cedex, France

^b SISSA - International School for Advanced Studies, via Bonomea 265, I-34136 Trieste, Italy

^cRechnergestützte Physik der Werkstoffe, Institut für Baustoffe (IfB), ETH Zürich, Stefano-Franscini-Platz 3, CH - 8093 Zürich, Switzerland

^dCentro de Física Teórica e Computacional, Universidade de Lisboa, P-1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

Abstract

The leading asymptotic behaviour of the Humbert functions Φ_2 , Φ_3 , Ξ_2 of two variables is found, when the absolute values of the two independent variables become simultaneously large. New integral representations of these functions are given. These are re-expressed as inverse Laplace transformations and the asymptotics is then found from a Tauberian theorem. Some integrals of the Humbert functions are also analysed.

keywords: hypergeometric functions in two variables; Humbert function; asymptotics; special functions; Tauberian theorem; many-body quantum systems

MSC 2010 numbers: 33C65, 33C70, 82C23, 33C20

¹swald@sissa.it

²malte.henkel@univ-lorraine.fr

1 Introduction

Generalised hypergeometric functions, usually denoted by ${}_pF_q(z)$, and of which Gauss' hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(z)$ is the most important special case, have been studied very thoroughly and have found numerous applications in almost all fields of science, see e.g. [3, 4, 6, 1, 9, 5, 8, 2, 7] and refs. therein. A little more than a century old, hypergeometric functions of two variables [13, 14, 15, 10, 11, 12] have also received a lot of scientific interest and recently, many new applications in many different fields of mathematics and physics are being discovered see for example [16, 18, 17]. It is often convenient to define these functions via double power series. Most of the mathematical studies of these functions are either focussed on the analysis of domains of convergence, or on relating special cases to other known functions or else to derive functional relationships between different hypergeometric functions of two variables, see e.g. [10, 4, 28, 29, 30, 2, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 20, 19, 27]. Relatively little seems yet to be known on the asymptotic behaviour of such double series, in contrast to the classic study of Wright [31, 32] on the asymptotics of ${}_pF_q(z)$ when $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. Here, we shall present results on the leading asymptotics of some hypergeometric functions when the absolute values of both variables become large simultaneously. The main tool to derive these are Eulerian and (inverse) Laplacian integral representations, and a Tauberian theorem [34, 33]. The results are stated as theorems in section 3, see eqs. (3.1-3.4,3.6).

We shall consider the third Appell series F_3

$$F_3(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'; \gamma; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_m (\beta)_m (\alpha')_n (\beta')_n}{(\gamma)_{m+n}} \frac{x^m}{m!} \frac{y^n}{n!} \quad (1.1)$$

where $(\alpha)_m = \Gamma(\alpha + m)/\Gamma(\alpha)$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol for $-\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$. We shall also study the confluent forms (Humbert functions)

$$\Xi_1(\alpha, \alpha', \beta; \gamma; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_m (\beta)_m (\alpha')_n}{(\gamma)_{m+n}} \frac{x^m}{m!} \frac{y^n}{n!} \quad (1.2a)$$

$$\Xi_2(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_m (\beta)_m}{(\gamma)_{m+n}} \frac{x^m}{m!} \frac{y^n}{n!} \quad (1.2b)$$

$$\Phi_2(\beta, \beta'; \gamma; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta)_m (\beta')_n}{(\gamma)_{m+n}} \frac{x^m}{m!} \frac{y^n}{n!} \quad (1.2c)$$

$$\Phi_3(\beta; \gamma; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta)_m}{(\gamma)_{m+n}} \frac{x^m}{m!} \frac{y^n}{n!} \quad (1.2d)$$

Throughout, we shall implicitly assume that the parameters $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma, \dots$ are such that any singularity in the coefficients is avoided, without restating this explicitly. While the series F_3 converges for $\max(|x|, |y|) < 1$, the series Ξ_1 and Ξ_2 converge for $|x| < 1$ and $|y| < \infty$ and Φ_2 and Φ_3 converge for $|x| < \infty$ and $|y| < \infty$ [29]. For reduction formulæ to generalised hypergeometric functions of a single variable, see [19, 27]. We shall also be interested in the

series

$$\Phi_2^{(i)}(\beta, \beta'; \gamma, \lambda; x, y) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta)_m (\beta')_n}{(\gamma)_{m+n}} \frac{1}{m+n+\lambda} \frac{x^m}{m!} \frac{y^n}{n!} \quad (1.3a)$$

$$\Phi_3^{(i)}(\beta; \gamma, \lambda; x, y) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta)_m}{(\gamma)_{m+n}} \frac{1}{m+n+\lambda} \frac{x^m}{m!} \frac{y^n}{n!} \quad (1.3b)$$

which for $-\lambda \notin \mathbb{N}$ converge for $|x| < \infty$ and $|y| < \infty$. Clearly, for $\lambda = \gamma$, one has

$$\Phi_2^{(i)}(\beta, \beta'; \gamma, \gamma; x, y) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \Phi_2(\beta, \beta'; \gamma+1; x, y) \quad , \quad \Phi_3^{(i)}(\beta; \gamma, \gamma; x, y) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \Phi_3(\beta; \gamma+1; x, y) \quad (1.4)$$

and for $\lambda = 1$ these series may be rewritten as Kampé de Fériet series [29]

$$\Phi_3^{(i)}(\beta; \gamma, 1; x, y) = \int_0^1 dw \Phi_3(\beta; \gamma; xw, yw) = F_{2;0;0}^{1;1;0} \left(\begin{matrix} (1); & (\beta); & - \\ (\gamma, 2); & -; & - \end{matrix} \middle| x, y \right) \quad (1.5a)$$

$$\Phi_2^{(i)}(\beta, \beta'; \gamma, 1; x, y) = \int_0^1 dw \Phi_2(\beta, \beta'; \gamma; xw, yw) = F_{2;0;0}^{1;1;1} \left(\begin{matrix} (1); & (\beta); & (\beta') \\ (\gamma, 2); & -; & - \end{matrix} \middle| x, y \right) \quad (1.5b)$$

such that $\Phi_2^{(i)}$, $\Phi_3^{(i)}$ might be called ‘integrated Humbert functions’. We are interested in situations where both $|x|$ and $|y|$ become large. We shall therefore substitute $x \mapsto -tx$ and $y \mapsto -ty$ and study the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ will be kept fixed and non-zero. The minus signs in the substitution rule are motivated from applications to quantum physics, see section 4.

In section 2 the integral and inverse Laplace representations of the Humbert functions and integrated Humbert functions are derived, and the integral representations are used to define the required analytic continuations. Furthermore, the inverse Laplace representations will be used in section 3 to derive the asymptotic forms. Section 4 briefly outlines an application to many-body quantum dynamics.

2 Integral representations

The starting point for the derivation of the asymptotics of the series (1.1, 1.2) are the following Eulerian integral representations. Throughout, the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \dots$ of the functions, as well as x, y , are assumed constants and such that all series and integrals considered exist.

Lemma 1. *Using the shorthand notations $\Phi_3 = \Phi_3(\beta; \gamma; -tx, -ty)$, $\Phi_2 = \Phi_2(\beta, \beta'; \gamma; -tx, -ty)$, $\Xi_2 = \Xi_2(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; -tx, -ty)$, $\Xi_1 = \Xi_1(\alpha, \beta, \beta'; \gamma; -tx, -ty)$ and $F_3 = F_3(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'; \gamma; -tx, -ty)$, the functions defined in (1.1, 1.2) have the integral representations*

$$\Phi_3 = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\varepsilon)\Gamma(\varepsilon)} \int_0^t dv v^{\gamma-\varepsilon-1} {}_1F_1(\beta; \gamma-\varepsilon; -xv) {}_0F_1(\varepsilon; -y(t-v)) (t-v)^{\varepsilon-1} \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\Phi_2 = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\varepsilon)\Gamma(\varepsilon)} \int_0^t dv v^{\gamma-\varepsilon-1} {}_1F_1(\beta; \gamma-\varepsilon; -xv) {}_1F_1(\beta'; \varepsilon; y(v-t)) (t-v)^{\varepsilon-1} \quad (2.1b)$$

$$\Xi_2 = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\varepsilon)\Gamma(\varepsilon)} \int_0^t dv v^{\gamma-\varepsilon-1} {}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma-\varepsilon; -xv) {}_0F_1(\varepsilon; -y(t-v)) (t-v)^{\varepsilon-1} \quad (2.1c)$$

$$\Xi_1 = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\varepsilon)\Gamma(\varepsilon)} \int_0^t dv v^{\gamma-\varepsilon-1} {}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma-\varepsilon; -xv) {}_1F_1(\beta'; \varepsilon; -y(t-v)) (t-v)^{\varepsilon-1} \quad (2.1d)$$

$$F_3 = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\varepsilon)\Gamma(\varepsilon)} \int_0^t dv v^{\gamma-\varepsilon-1} {}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma-\varepsilon; -xv) \times \\ \times {}_2F_1(\alpha', \beta'; \varepsilon; -y(t-v)) (t-v)^{\varepsilon-1} \quad (2.1e)$$

and where ε is a fixed constant, which satisfies $0 < \varepsilon < \gamma$.

The integral representations (2.1) were already given in [25] and (2.1e) in [29, (9.4.16)]. We begin by repeating them since they are the crucial starting point of our analysis.

Proof: We illustrate the technique for the example Φ_2 . The double series (1.2c) is decoupled by using the decomposition $m+n+\gamma = (m+\gamma-\varepsilon) + (n+\varepsilon)$ and the identity [1, (6.2.1)] involving the Euler Beta function

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(m+n+\gamma)} = \frac{B(n+\varepsilon, m+\gamma-\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(n+\varepsilon)\Gamma(m+\gamma-\varepsilon)} = \frac{\int_0^1 du (1-u)^{n+\varepsilon-1} u^{m+\gamma-\varepsilon-1}}{\Gamma(n+\varepsilon)\Gamma(m+\gamma-\varepsilon)} \quad (2.2)$$

Inserting this into the definition (1.2c) gives, because the series are absolutely convergent

$$\Phi_2 = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\varepsilon)\Gamma(\varepsilon)} \int_0^1 du \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta)_m}{(\gamma-\varepsilon)_m} \frac{(-txu)^m}{m!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta')_n}{(\varepsilon)_n} \frac{(-ty(1-u))^n}{n!} \frac{u^{\gamma-\varepsilon-1}}{(1-u)^{1-\varepsilon}} \\ = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\varepsilon)\Gamma(\varepsilon)} \int_0^1 du (1-u)^{\varepsilon-1} u^{\gamma-\varepsilon-1} {}_1F_1(\beta; \gamma-\varepsilon; -txu) {}_1F_1(\beta'; \varepsilon; -ty(1-u))$$

The assertion (2.1b) follows by rescaling $v = tu$. The other identities eqs. (2.1) are derived similarly. **q.e.d.**

Comment. Recall the following definitions of some further double hypergeometric series [29]

$$F_2(a, b, b'; c, c'; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+n} (b)_m (b')_n}{(c)_m (c')_n} \frac{x^m}{m!} \frac{y^n}{n!} \quad (2.3a)$$

$$\Psi_1(a, b, c, c'; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+n} (b)_m}{(c)_m (c')_n} \frac{x^m}{m!} \frac{y^n}{n!} \quad (2.3b)$$

$$\Psi_2(a, c, c'; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+n}}{(c)_m (c')_n} \frac{x^m}{m!} \frac{y^n}{n!} \quad (2.3c)$$

By using $\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty du u^{z-1} e^{-u}$, one may derive in a way similar to Lemma 1 the identities

$$F_2(a, b, b'; c, c'; x, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty du e^{-u} u^{a-1} {}_1F_1(b; c; xu) {}_1F_1(b'; c'; yu) \quad (2.4a)$$

$$\Psi_1(a, b; c, c'; x, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty du e^{-u} u^{a-1} {}_1F_1(b; c; xu) {}_0F_1(c'; yu) \quad (2.4b)$$

$$\Psi_2(a; c, c'; x, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty du e^{-u} u^{a-1} {}_0F_1(c; xu) {}_0F_1(c'; yu) \quad (2.4c)$$

see also [29, (9.4.29)], [24, (27)]. However, there is no known direct way to render these as convolutions, which will become our main tool to analyse the $t \rightarrow \infty$ asymptotics of the functions in Lemma 1. A different route is suggested by [24, eq. (31)].

The integral representations (2.1c, 2.1d, 2.1e) can be used to extend the definition of the functions Ξ_2, Ξ_1, F_3 (unnecessary for Φ_2, Φ_3). This is based on the Eulerian integral representation, for $\gamma > \beta > 0$ [1, eq. (15.3.1)]

$${}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; -x) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)} \int_0^1 du u^{\beta-1} (1-u)^{\gamma-\beta-1} (1+ux)^{-\alpha} \quad (2.5)$$

which defines the analytic continuation of the function ${}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; -x)$ in the domain $|\arg x| < \pi$, which has a cut for $-1 > x > -\infty$ [4].

Definition: The integral representations (2.1) define the (principal branch of) the functions $\Phi_3 = \Phi_3(\beta; \gamma; -tx, -ty)$, $\Phi_2 = \Phi_2(\beta, \beta'; \gamma; -tx, -ty)$, $\Xi_2 = \Xi_2(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; -tx, -ty)$, $\Xi_1 = \Xi_1(\alpha, \beta, \beta'; \gamma; -tx, -ty)$ and $F_3 = F_3(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'; \gamma; -tx, -ty)$ in the regions $|\arg xt| < \pi$ and $|\arg yt| < \pi$. They are the analytical continuations of the series (1.1, 1.2), beyond their respective domain of convergence.

Indeed, from (2.1), the functions Φ_2, Φ_3 are defined for all $|x| < \infty$, $|y| < \infty$, while Ξ_1, Ξ_2 have a cut for $-1 > xt > -\infty$ and F_3 has cuts for $-1 > xt > -\infty$ and $-1 > yt > -\infty$. All results which follow will implicitly use these analytic continuations.

In consequence, if we use \mathcal{F} as a generic symbol for any of the functions in (2.1), one can recast (2.1) as follows (in the sense of the analytic continuation)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(t) &= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\varepsilon)\Gamma(\varepsilon)} t^{1-\gamma} \int_0^t dv \mathcal{F}_1(v) \mathcal{F}_2(t-v) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\varepsilon)\Gamma(\varepsilon)} t^{1-\gamma} \mathcal{L}^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_1(p) \overline{\mathcal{F}}_2(p))(t) \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where $\overline{f}(p) = \mathcal{L}(f(v))(p) = \int_0^\infty dv e^{-pv} f(v)$ denotes the Laplace transform. The functions $\mathcal{F}_{1,2}(v)$ are readily read off from eqs. (2.1) and are listed in the following table.

$\mathcal{F}(t)$	$\mathcal{F}_1(v)$	$\mathcal{F}_2(v)$
Φ_3	$v^{\gamma-\varepsilon-1} {}_1F_1(\beta; \gamma - \varepsilon; -xv)$	$v^{\varepsilon-1} {}_0F_1(\varepsilon; -yv)$
Φ_2	$v^{\gamma-\varepsilon-1} {}_1F_1(\beta; \gamma - \varepsilon; -xv)$	$v^{\varepsilon-1} {}_1F_1(\beta'; \varepsilon; -yv)$
Ξ_2	$v^{\gamma-\varepsilon-1} {}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma - \varepsilon; -xv)$	$v^{\varepsilon-1} {}_0F_1(\varepsilon; -yv)$
Ξ_1	$v^{\gamma-\varepsilon-1} {}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma - \varepsilon; -xv)$	$v^{\varepsilon-1} {}_1F_1(\beta'; \varepsilon; -yv)$
F_3	$v^{\gamma-\varepsilon-1} {}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma - \varepsilon; -xv)$	$v^{\varepsilon-1} {}_2F_1(\alpha', \beta'; \varepsilon; -yv)$

Next, we require the following list of Laplace transforms, taken from [35, (3.35.1.3, 3.37.1.2, 3.38.1.1)], combined with [1, (13.1.10, 13.1.33)]

$$\mathcal{L}(v^{a-1} {}_0F_1(a; -yv))(p) = \Gamma(a)p^{-a}e^{-y/p} \quad (2.7a)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(v^{b-1} {}_1F_1(a; b; -yv))(p) = \Gamma(b)p^{a-b}(p+y)^{-a} \quad (2.7b)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(v^{c-1} {}_2F_1(a, b; c; -yv))(p) = \Gamma(c)p^{a-c}y^{-a}U\left(a; 1+a-b; \frac{p}{y}\right) \quad (2.7c)$$

where U denotes the Tricomi function [1]. Combining these with the integral forms (2.6) gives

Lemma 2. *The Laplace transforms of the analytically continued functions in Lemma 1 are given by the following table, where U denotes the Tricomi function.*

function $\mathcal{F}(t)/(\Gamma(\gamma)t^{1-\gamma})$	Laplace transform $\overline{\mathcal{F}}(p)$
$\Phi_3(\beta; \gamma; -xt, -yt)$	$p^{\beta-\gamma}(p+x)^{-\beta}e^{-y/p}$
$\Phi_2(\beta, \beta'; \gamma; -xt, -yt)$	$p^{\beta+\beta'-\gamma}(p+x)^{-\beta}(p+y)^{-\beta'}$
$\Xi_2(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; -xt, -yt)$	$x^{-\alpha}p^{\alpha-\gamma}U(\alpha; 1+\alpha-\beta; p/x)e^{-y/p}$
$\Xi_1(\alpha, \beta, \beta'; \gamma; -xt, -yt)$	$x^{-\alpha}p^{\alpha+\beta'-\gamma}(p+y)^{-\beta'}U(\alpha; 1+\alpha-\beta; p/x)$
$F_3(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'; \gamma; -xt, -yt)$	$x^{-\alpha}y^{-\alpha'}p^{\alpha+\alpha'-\gamma}U(\alpha; 1+\alpha-\beta; \frac{p}{x})U(\alpha'; 1+\alpha'-\beta'; \frac{p}{y})$

The entries for Φ_2 and Φ_3 are contained in [28].

Corollary 1. Applying again eq. (2.2), the formal Kampé de Fériet series

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{1;q;q'}^{0;p;p'}\left(\begin{matrix} -; (\alpha_p); (\alpha'_{p'}) \\ \gamma; (\beta_q); (\beta'_{q'}) \end{matrix} \middle| -tx, -ty\right) &= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_m \cdots (\alpha_p)_m (\alpha'_1)_n \cdots (\alpha'_{p'})_n}{(\beta_1)_m \cdots (\beta_q)_m (\beta'_1)_n \cdots (\beta'_{q'})_n} \frac{(-1)^{m+n}}{(\gamma)_{m+n}} \frac{(tx)^m}{m!} \frac{(ty)^n}{n!} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\varepsilon)\Gamma(\varepsilon)} \int_0^1 \frac{du u^{\gamma-\varepsilon-1}}{(1-u)^{1-\varepsilon}} {}_pF_{q+1}\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q, \gamma-\varepsilon \end{matrix}; -txu\right) \times \\
&\quad \times {}_{p'}F_{q'+1}\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{p'} \\ \beta'_1, \dots, \beta'_{q'}, \varepsilon \end{matrix}; -ty(1-u)\right) \quad (2.8)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \Gamma(\gamma)t^{1-\gamma}\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(s^{-\gamma} {}_pF_q\left((\alpha_p); (\beta_q); -\frac{x}{s}\right) {}_{p'}F_{q'}\left((\alpha'_{p'}); (\beta'_{q'}); -\frac{y}{s}\right)\right)(t) \quad (2.9)$$

contains all functions treated here explicitly as special cases, if (2.8) can be used as above to define an analytic continuation, in the domain $|\arg xt| < \pi$ and $|\arg yt| < \pi$. For the derivation of (2.9), we used the identity [35, (3.38.1.1)]

$$\mathcal{L} \left(v^{\mu-1} {}_pF_{q+1} \left((a_p); (b_q), \mu; -\omega v \right) (s) \right) = \Gamma(\mu) s^{-\mu} {}_pF_q \left((a_p); (b_q); -\frac{\omega}{s} \right) \quad (2.10)$$

For $q = q' = 0$ and $p = p' = 2$, eq. (2.8) reduces to F_3 , or the Lauricella function $F_B^{(2)}$ in two variables. Furthermore, for $p = p' = q = q' = 0$, one has an addition theorem

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0F_1(\gamma; x+y) &= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\gamma)_{m+n}} \frac{x^m}{m!} \frac{y^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\varepsilon)\Gamma(\varepsilon)} \int_0^1 du \frac{u^{\gamma-\varepsilon-1}}{(1-u)^{1-\varepsilon}} {}_0F_1(\gamma-\varepsilon; xu) {}_0F_1(\varepsilon; y(1-u)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

We now turn to the variants $\Phi_3^{(i)}$ and $\Phi_2^{(i)}$ defined in eq. (1.3). Since $\Phi_2^{(i)}$ is symmetric under the permutation $(x, \beta) \leftrightarrow (y, \beta')$, we can set $x \geq y$ without restriction of the generality.

Lemma 3. *With the shorthands $\Phi_3^{(i)} = \Phi_3^{(i)}(\beta; \gamma, 1; -tx, -ty)$, $\Phi_2^{(i)} = \Phi_2^{(i)}(\beta, \beta'; \gamma, 1; -tx, -ty)$ with $x > y$ and $\Phi_2^{(i,s)} := \Phi_2^{(i)}(\beta, \beta'; \gamma, 1; -tx, -tx)$, the following integral representations of the integrated Humbert functions (1.3) hold true*

$$\Phi_3^{(i)} = \Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma} \frac{e^{y/x}}{x} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^{\beta-1} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(p^{1-\gamma} \left[\Gamma \left(1-\beta, \frac{y}{x} \right) - \Gamma \left(1-\beta, \frac{y}{x} + \frac{y}{p} \right) \right] \right) (t) \quad (2.12a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2^{(i)} &= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma} x^{\beta'-1}}{(1-\beta)(x-y)^{-\beta'}} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(-p^{1-\gamma} {}_2F_1 \left(1-\beta, \beta'; 2-\beta; -\frac{y}{(x-y)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + p^{\beta+\gamma} (p+x)^{1-\beta} {}_2F_1 \left(1-\beta, \beta'; 2-\beta; -\frac{(p+x)y}{p(x-y)} \right) \right) (t) \end{aligned} \quad (2.12b)$$

$$\Phi_2^{(i,s)} = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma}}{(1-\beta-\beta')x} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(p^{\beta+\beta'-\gamma} (p+x)^{1-\beta-\beta'} - p^{1-\gamma} \right) (t) \quad (2.12c)$$

where $\Gamma(a, x)$ is the incomplete Gamma-function.

Proof. Starting from (1.3b), the extra denominator is turned into an auxiliary integral

$$\Phi_3^{(i)} = \int_0^\infty dv \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} e^{-v(m+n+1)} \frac{(\beta)_m}{(\gamma)_{m+n}} \frac{(-tx)^m}{m!} \frac{(-ty)^n}{n!}$$

and the decoupling of the two series proceeds via (2.2), as in the proof of Lemma 1. A last change of variables $w = e^{-v}$ and using also (2.7) leads to

$$\Phi_3^{(i)} = \Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(p^{\beta-\gamma} \underbrace{\int_0^1 dw (p+xw)^{-\beta} e^{-yw/p}}_{=: \mathcal{M}} \right) (t)$$

The integral \mathcal{M} is found as follows, reducing it to incomplete Gamma functions [1]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M} &= \frac{1}{x} \int_p^{x+p} da a^{-\beta} \exp \left[-\frac{y}{x} \frac{a-p}{p} \right] = \frac{e^{y/x}}{x} \left(\frac{px}{y} \right)^{1-\beta} \int_{y/x}^{y/x+y/p} db b^{-\beta} e^{-b} \\ &= \frac{e^{y/x}}{x} \left(\frac{px}{y} \right)^{1-\beta} \left[\Gamma \left(1-\beta, \frac{y}{x} \right) - \Gamma \left(1-\beta, \frac{y}{x} + \frac{y}{p} \right) \right]\end{aligned}$$

and inserting into $\Phi_3^{(i)}$ gives the assertion (2.12a).

Turning to $\Phi_2^{(i)}$, the procedure to go from (1.3a) to an integral representation follows the same lines as before. Changing variables as before and re-using (2.7), we find

$$\Phi_2^{(i)} = \Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(\underbrace{p^{\beta+\beta'-\gamma} \int_0^1 dw (p+xw)^{-\beta} (p+yw)^{-\beta'}}_{=: \mathcal{N}} \right) (t)$$

which is still symmetric under the simultaneous exchanges $(x, \beta) \leftrightarrow (y, \beta')$, as it should be. To evaluate this, recall the following identity on the incomplete Beta function [1, (6.6.8, 15.3.4)]

$$I(a, b, \xi) := \int_0^\xi du \frac{u^{a-1}}{(1+u)^b} = \frac{\xi^a}{a} {}_2F_1(a, b; 1+a; -\xi)$$

Then we can evaluate the integral \mathcal{N} , now using $x > y$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N} &= x^{-\beta} y^{-\beta'} \int_0^1 dw \left(w + \frac{p}{x} \right)^{-\beta} \left(w + \frac{p}{y} \right)^{-\beta'} \\ &= x^{-\beta} y^{-\beta'} \left(\frac{p(x-y)}{xy} \right)^{1-\beta-\beta'} \int_{y/(x-y)}^{(p+x)y/(p(x-y))} db b^{-\beta} (1+b)^{-\beta'} \\ &= x^{-\beta} y^{-\beta'} \left(\frac{p(x-y)}{xy} \right)^{1-\beta-\beta'} \left[I \left(1-\beta, \beta', \frac{(p+x)y}{p(x-y)} \right) - I \left(1-\beta, \beta', -\frac{y}{(x-y)} \right) \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{p(x-y)}{xy} \right)^{1-\beta-\beta'} \frac{x^{-\beta} y^{-\beta'}}{1-\beta} \left[\left(\frac{(p+x)y}{p(x-y)} \right)^{1-\beta} {}_2F_1 \left(1-\beta, \beta'; 2-\beta; -\frac{(p+x)y}{p(x-y)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{y}{x-y} \right)^{1-\beta} {}_2F_1 \left(1-\beta, \beta'; 2-\beta; -\frac{y}{x-y} \right) \right]\end{aligned}$$

and inserting this into the above expression for $\Phi_2^{(i)}$ gives the assertion (2.12b). Finally, in the symmetric case $x = y$ we have

$$\Phi_2^{(i,s)} = \Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(p^{\beta+\beta'-\gamma} \int_0^1 dw (p+xw)^{-\beta-\beta'} \right) (t)$$

and straightforward integration gives the assertion (2.12c). **q.e.d.**

Corollary 2. For $x > 0$, and $\lambda > 0$, $\mu > 0$, one has the identity

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dw w^{\lambda-1}}{(1-w)^{1-\mu}} \Phi_2(\beta, \beta'; \gamma; -xw, -xw) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda+\mu)} {}_2F_2(\beta+\beta', \lambda; \mu+\lambda, \gamma; -x) \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. The lines of calculation follow the proof of $\Phi_2^{(i,s)}$ in Lemma 3. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 dw \, w^{\lambda-1} (1-w)^{\mu-1} \Phi_2(\beta, \beta'; \gamma; -xtw, -xtw) \\
&= \Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(p^{\beta+\beta'-\gamma} \int_0^1 dw \, w^{\lambda-1} (1-w)^{\mu-1} (p+xw)^{-\beta-\beta'} \right) (t) \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda+\mu)} t^{1-\gamma} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(p^{-\gamma} {}_2F_1 \left(\beta+\beta', \lambda; \mu+\lambda; -\frac{x}{p} \right) \right) (t) \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda+\mu)} {}_2F_2(\beta+\beta', \lambda; \mu+\lambda, \gamma; -xt)
\end{aligned}$$

where in the third line, the integral representation [1, (15.3.1)] of ${}_2F_1$ was used and in the forth line, [36, (3.35.1.10)], or else (2.10), was applied. Set $t = 1$. **q.e.d.**

Similar, but inequivalent, integral formulae involving Φ_2 are stated in [23, eqs. (3.18,3.19)].

3 Asymptotic expansions

We shall use a Tauberian theorem for the asymptotic evaluations: *the behaviour of a function $f(t)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ is related to the one of its Laplace transform $\bar{f}(p)$ for $p \rightarrow 0$* [34], [33, ch. XIII]. Therefore, it is sufficient to analyse the behaviour of the representations as inverse Laplace transformations from Lemmas 2 and 3 in section 2 for $p \rightarrow 0$, before inverting.

Theorem 1. *The Humbert function $\Phi_2 = \Phi_2(\beta, \beta'; \gamma; -tx, -ty)$ has the following leading asymptotic behaviour for $t \rightarrow \infty$, with $x, y \neq 0$ being kept fixed*

$$\Phi_2 \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\beta-\beta')} (tx)^{-\beta} (ty)^{-\beta'} & ; \text{ for } x > 0, y > 0 \\ \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta')} e^{-yt} (t(|y|+x))^{-\beta} (t|y|)^{\beta+\beta'-\gamma} & ; \text{ for } x > 0, y < 0 \\ \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)} e^{-xt} (t(y+|x|))^{-\beta'} (t|x|)^{\beta+\beta'-\gamma} & ; \text{ for } x < 0, y > 0 \\ \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)} e^{-|x|t} (t|x|)^{\beta+\beta'-\gamma} (t|x-y|)^{-\beta'} & ; \text{ for } x < y < 0 \\ \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta')} e^{-|y|t} (t|y|)^{\beta+\beta'-\gamma} (t|y-x|)^{-\beta'} & ; \text{ for } y < x < 0 \\ \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta+\beta')} e^{-|x|t} (t|x|)^{\beta+\beta'-\gamma} & ; \text{ for } y = x < 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

and neither $\gamma, \beta, \beta', \beta+\beta'$ nor $\gamma-\beta-\beta'$ are non-positive integers.

Only the signs of β, β' and of $\beta+\beta'-\gamma$ will influence the qualitative behaviour of the leading asymptotic terms, for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. The starting point is the representation of Φ_2 as an inverse Laplace transformation from Lemma 2. For $x > 0$ and $y > 0$, the leading term for $p \rightarrow 0$ is found to be $\Phi_2 \simeq \Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma} \mathcal{L}^{-1} (p^{\beta+\beta'-\gamma} x^{-\beta} y^{-\beta'}) (t)$ and direct evaluation [36, (2.1.1.1)] gives the assertion. Next,

for $x > 0$ and $y < 0$, one first makes the shift $p = q - y$ which produces an exponential contribution, according to the *shift theorem*

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\bar{f}(p - \omega))(t) = e^{\omega t} f(t)$$

Second, one takes the leading term for $q \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2 &= \Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma} e^{-|y|t} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left((q + |y|)^{\beta+\beta'-\gamma} (q + |y| + x)^{-\beta} q^{-\beta'} \right) (t) \\ &\stackrel{q \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} \Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma} e^{-|y|t} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(|y|^{\beta+\beta'-\gamma} (|y| + x)^{-\beta} q^{-\beta'} \right) (t) \end{aligned}$$

and direct evaluation gives the assertion. For $x < 0$ and $y > 0$ one merely has to permute $(x, \beta) \leftrightarrow (y, \beta')$. Finally, for $x < 0$ and $y < 0$

$$\Phi_2 = \Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(p^{\beta+\beta'-\gamma} (p - |x|)^{-\beta} (p - |y|)^{-\beta'} \right) (t)$$

If $x < y < 0$, or $|x| > |y|$, one makes the shift $q = p - |x|$ and the stated result follows as before. If $y < x < 0$, one merely permutes $(x, \beta) \leftrightarrow (y, \beta')$. For $x = y < 0$, the shift $q = p - |x|$ and expansion in q to lowest order gives the stated result. **q.e.d.**

Theorem 2. *The Humbert function $\Phi_3 = \Phi_3(\beta; \gamma; -tx, -ty)$ has the following asymptotic behaviour for $t \rightarrow \infty$, with $x, y \neq 0$ being kept fixed*

$$\Phi_3 \simeq \begin{cases} \Gamma(\gamma) (tx)^{-\beta} (ty)^{(1+\beta-\gamma)/2} J_{\gamma-\beta-1}(2\sqrt{yt}) & ; \text{ for } x > 0, y > 0 \\ \Gamma(\gamma) (tx)^{-\beta} (t|y|)^{(1+\beta-\gamma)/2} I_{\gamma-\beta-1}(2\sqrt{|y|t}) & ; \text{ for } x > 0, y < 0 \\ \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)} (t|x|)^{\beta-\gamma} e^{-y/|x| - |x|t} & ; \text{ for } x < 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where J_ν is a Bessel function and I_ν the corresponding modified Bessel function and neither γ nor β are non-positive integers.

The qualitative behaviour of the leading asymptotic term is only influenced by the signs of β and $\beta - \gamma$.

Proof. Use the representation of Φ_3 as an inverse Laplace transformation in Lemma 2. For $x > 0$, simply retain the lowest order in $p \rightarrow 0$ and use (2.7a). Expressing the hypergeometric function ${}_0F_1$ as a Bessel or a modified Bessel function, respectively, gives the assertion for $y > 0$ and $y < 0$. For $x < 0$, make the shift $q = p - |x|$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_3 &= \Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma} e^{-|x|t} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left((q + |x|)^{\beta-\gamma} q^{-\beta} e^{-y/(q+|x|)} \right) (t) \\ &\stackrel{q \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} \Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma} e^{-|x|t} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(|x|^{\beta-\gamma} q^{-\beta} e^{-y/|x|} \right) (t) \end{aligned}$$

and re-use $\Gamma(\beta) \mathcal{L}^{-1}(q^{-\beta})(t) = t^{\beta-1}$ [36, (2.1.1.1)].

q.e.d.

Theorem 3. *The Humbert function $\Xi_2 = \Xi_2(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; -tx, -ty)$ has the following asymptotic*

behaviour for $t \rightarrow \infty$, with $x, y \neq 0$ and $x > 0$ being kept fixed

$$\Xi_2 \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (tx)^{-\beta} (ty)^{-(\gamma-\beta-1)/2} J_{\gamma-\beta-1}(2\sqrt{yt}) & ; \forall y > 0, \alpha > \beta \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (tx)^{-\beta} (t|y|)^{-(\gamma-\beta-1)/2} I_{\gamma-\beta-1}(2\sqrt{|y|t}) & ; \forall y < 0, \alpha > \beta \\ \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (tx)^{-\alpha} (ty)^{-(\gamma-\alpha-1)/2} \left[\frac{\pi}{2} Y_{\gamma-\alpha-1}(2\sqrt{yt}) \right. \\ \quad \left. + J_{\gamma-\alpha-1}(2\sqrt{|y|t}) \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln(tx) + \ln(x/y) - \psi(\alpha) - 2C_E \right] \right] & ; \forall y > 0, \alpha = \beta \\ \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (tx)^{-\alpha} (t|y|)^{-(\gamma-\alpha-1)/2} I_{\gamma-\alpha-1}(2\sqrt{|y|t}) \\ \quad \times \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln(tx) + \ln(x/|y|) - \psi(\alpha) - 2C_E \right] & ; \forall y < 0, \alpha = \beta \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta)} (tx)^{-\alpha} (ty)^{-(\gamma-\alpha-1)/2} J_{\gamma-\alpha-1}(2\sqrt{yt}) & ; \forall y > 0, \alpha < \beta \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta)} (tx)^{-\alpha} (t|y|)^{-(\gamma-\alpha-1)/2} I_{\gamma-\alpha-1}(2\sqrt{|y|t}) & ; \forall y < 0, \alpha < \beta \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

where J_ν and Y_ν are the Bessel and Neuman functions, respectively, I_ν is a modified Bessel function, $\psi(x)$ is the digamma function and $C_E \simeq 0.5772\dots$ is Euler's constant [1]. For $x < 0$, the function Ξ_2 has a cut.

Proof. In order to apply the inverse Laplace representation of Lemma 2, the small- p expansion

$$U\left(\alpha; 1 + \alpha - \beta; \frac{p}{x}\right) \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{p}{x}\right)^{\beta-\alpha} & ; \text{for } \alpha > \beta \\ -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[\ln \frac{p}{x} + \psi(\alpha) + 2C_E\right] & ; \text{for } \alpha = \beta \\ \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta)} & ; \text{for } \alpha < \beta \end{cases}$$

according to [1, (13.5.6-13.5.12)] is required, for $\alpha - \beta \notin -\mathbb{N}$. For $x > 0$ and $\alpha > \beta$, to lowest order in $p \rightarrow 0$, this gives $\Xi_2 \simeq \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{1-\gamma} x^{-\beta} \mathcal{L}^{-1}(p^{-(\gamma-\beta)} e^{y/p})(t)$ and using (2.7a) gives the assertion. For $x > 0$ and $\alpha < \beta$ the result follows from the symmetry in α and β . For $\alpha = \beta$ and $y > 0$, expansion to lowest order in $p \rightarrow 0$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_2 &\simeq -\frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{t^{1-\gamma}}{x^\alpha} \left[\mathcal{L}^{-1}((\psi(\alpha) + 2C_E - \ln x) p^{-(\gamma-\alpha)} e^{-y/p})(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathcal{L}^{-1}(p^{-(\gamma-\alpha)} \ln p e^{-y/p})(t) \right] \\ &= -\frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{t^{1-\gamma}}{x^\alpha} \left[\left(\psi(\alpha) + 2C_E - \ln x - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{t}{y} \right) \left(\frac{t}{y} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-\alpha-1)} J_{\gamma-\alpha-1}(2\sqrt{yt}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{t}{y} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-\alpha-1)} \frac{\partial J_{\nu-1}(2\sqrt{yt})}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\nu=\gamma-\alpha} \right] \end{aligned}$$

re-using (2.7a) and [36, (2.5.7.3)]. For $z \rightarrow \infty$, one has asymptotically $\frac{\partial J_\nu(z)}{\partial \nu} \simeq \frac{\pi}{2} Y_\nu(z)$ [1, (9.25, 9.26)]. Collecting terms leads to the stated result. For $y < 0$ and $\alpha = \beta$ one has

analogously

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_2 &\simeq -\frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{t^{1-\gamma}}{x^\alpha} \left[\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left((\psi(\alpha) + 2C_E - \ln x) p^{-(\gamma-\alpha)} e^{|y|/p} \right) (t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(p^{-(\gamma-\alpha)} \ln p e^{|y|/p} \right) (t) \right] \\ &= -\frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{t^{1-\gamma}}{x^\alpha} \left[\left(\psi(\alpha) + 2C_E - \ln x - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{t}{y} \right) \left(\frac{t}{y} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-\alpha-1)} I_{\gamma-\alpha-1}(2\sqrt{yt}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{t}{y} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-\alpha-1)} \frac{\partial I_{\nu-1}(2\sqrt{yt})}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\nu=\gamma-\alpha} \right]\end{aligned}$$

and from the asymptotic form [1, (9.7.1)] for $I_\nu(z)$ for $z \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $\frac{\partial I_\nu(z)}{\partial \nu} \simeq -\frac{\nu}{z} I_\nu(z)$ merely gives a sub-leading correction. Collecting terms we complete the list of assertions if $x > 0$. For $x < 0$, the inverse Laplace representation in Lemma 2 has a cut. **q.e.d.**

Theorem 4. *The integrated Humbert function $\Phi_3^{(i)} = \Phi_3^{(i)}(\beta; \gamma, 1; -tx, -ty)$ has the following leading asymptotic behaviour for $t \rightarrow \infty$, with $x, y \neq 0$ and $x > 0$ being kept fixed*

$$\Phi_3^{(i)} \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma-1}{xt} \left[\Gamma(1-\beta) (y/x)^{\beta-1} - \frac{1}{1-\beta} {}_1F_1(1; 2-\beta; y/x) \right] & ; \quad \forall y > 0, \beta + \gamma > \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\pi}} (yt)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta+\gamma+\frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^\beta \cos \left(2\sqrt{yt} + \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\beta - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) & ; \quad \forall y > 0, \beta + \gamma < \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{2\sqrt{\pi}} (|y|t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\gamma-\frac{1}{2})} (xt)^{-\beta} \exp \left(2\sqrt{|y|t} \right) & ; \quad \forall y < 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

where neither γ nor $1-\beta$ are non-positive integers.

Proof. Begin with the integral representation (2.12a) of Lemma 3. The leading term for $p \rightarrow 0$ is found from the asymptotic identity [1, (6.5.30)] for $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$\Gamma(a, x+y) - \Gamma(a, x) \simeq -e^{-x} x^{a-1} (1 - e^{-y})$$

In order to invert \mathcal{L} , we also need the identities (2.7a) and [36, (3.10.2.2)]

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(p^{-\mu} \Gamma \left(\nu, \frac{a}{p} \right) \right) (t) = \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(\mu)} t^{\mu-1} - \frac{a^\nu t^{\mu+\nu-1}}{\nu \Gamma(\mu+\nu)} {}_1F_2(\nu; \nu+1, \mu+\nu; -at)$$

Then, for $p \rightarrow 0$ (here, $x > 0$ is assumed)

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_3^{(i)} &\simeq \Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma} \frac{e^{y/x}}{x} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^{\beta-1} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1-\beta, \frac{y}{x})}{p^{\gamma-1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta, \frac{y}{p})}{p^{\gamma-1}} + \frac{e^{-y/p}}{p^{\gamma-1}} \left(\frac{p}{y} \right)^\beta (1 - e^{-y/x}) \right) (t) \\ &= \Gamma(\gamma) t^{1-\gamma} \frac{e^{y/x}}{x} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^{\beta-1} \left[\frac{\Gamma(1-\beta, y/x) - \Gamma(1-\beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(yt)^{\beta-1} {}_1F_2(1-\beta; 2-\beta, \gamma-\beta; -yt)}{(1-\beta)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)} + \frac{(1 - e^{-y/x}) {}_0F_1(\gamma-\beta-2; -yt)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\beta-1)(yt)^\beta} \right]\end{aligned}$$

Further evaluation is simplified by the identity, taken from [1, (6.5.3,6.5.12)]

$$\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{-(1-\beta)} \left[\Gamma(1-\beta) - \Gamma\left(1-\beta, \frac{y}{x}\right) \right] = \frac{1}{1-\beta} {}_1F_1\left(1-\beta; 2-\beta; -\frac{y}{x}\right)$$

and this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_3^{(i)} &\simeq \Gamma(\gamma) \frac{e^{y/x}}{xt} \left[\frac{{}_1F_1(1-\beta; 2-\beta; -y/x)}{(\beta-1)\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{{}_1F_2(1-\beta; 2-\beta, \gamma-\beta; -yt)}{(1-\beta)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)(xt)^{\beta-1}} + \frac{1}{(xt)^\beta} \frac{x}{y} \frac{1-e^{-y/x}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\beta-1)} {}_0F_1(\gamma-\beta-2; -yt) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

We can now distinguish the two cases $y > 0$ and $y < 0$. For $y > 0$, recall the asymptotic identity [37, (07.22.06.0011.01)]

$$\begin{aligned} {}_1F_2(a_1; b_1, b_2; -y) &\stackrel{y \rightarrow \infty}{\simeq} \frac{\Gamma(b_1)\Gamma(b_2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(a_1)} y^{\eta/2} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\eta + 2\sqrt{y}\right) \left(1 + O(y^{-\frac{1}{2}})\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(b_1)\Gamma(b_2)}{\Gamma(b_1-a_1)\Gamma(b_2-a_1)} y^{-a_1} (1 + O(y^{-1})) \end{aligned}$$

with $\eta = (a_1 - b_1 - b_2 + \frac{1}{2})$. Also, the function ${}_0F_1$ can be expressed in terms of Bessel functions J_ν [1]. Inserting into (3.5) the above expansion and using the asymptotics of J_ν [1] leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_3^{(i)} &\simeq \frac{\gamma-1}{xt} \left[\Gamma(1-\beta) \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{\beta-1} - \frac{1}{1-\beta} {}_1F_1\left(1; 2-\beta; \frac{y}{x}\right) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^\beta (yt)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta+\gamma+\frac{1}{2})} \cos\left(2\sqrt{yt} + \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\beta-\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Herein, the first line dominates for $\beta + \gamma > \frac{3}{2}$ and the second line for $\beta + \gamma < \frac{3}{2}$. This is the first part of the assertion. For $y = -|y| < 0$, recall the asymptotic form [37, (07.22.06.0005.01)]

$${}_1F_2(a_1; b_1, b_2; y) \stackrel{y \rightarrow \infty}{\simeq} \frac{\Gamma(b_1)\Gamma(b_2)}{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(a_1)} y^{\frac{1}{2}(a_1-b_1-b_2+\frac{1}{2})} e^{2\sqrt{y}}$$

and now express ${}_0F_1$ in terms of a modified Bessel function I_ν [1]. Insertion into (3.5) and using the known asymptotic behaviour leads to

$$\Phi_3^{(i)} \simeq \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{2\pi^{1/2}} \frac{(|y|t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\gamma-\frac{1}{2})}}{(xt)^\beta} e^{2\sqrt{|y|t}} + \frac{\gamma-1}{\beta-1} \frac{1}{xt} {}_1F_1\left(1; 2-\beta; -\frac{|y|}{x}\right)$$

Clearly, the second term is always sub-dominant. This completes the proof. **q.e.d.**

Theorem 5. *The integrated Humbert function $\Phi_2^{(i)} = \Phi_2^{(i)}(\beta, \beta'; \gamma, 1; -tx, -ty)$ has the following leading asymptotic behaviour for $t \rightarrow \infty$, with $x > y > 0$ being kept fixed*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2^{(i)} &\simeq \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{(1-\beta)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)} \frac{(xt)^{\beta'-\beta}}{((x-y)t)^\beta} {}_2F_2\left(1-\beta, \beta'; 2-\beta, \gamma-\beta; -\frac{xy}{x-y}t\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma-1}{\beta-1} \frac{1}{xt} \left(\frac{x}{x-y}\right)^{\beta'} {}_2F_1\left(1-\beta, \beta'; 2-\beta; -\frac{y}{x-y}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Herein, none of γ , $\gamma - \beta$ or $1 - \beta$ is a non-positive integer. For $y > x > 0$, one permutes (x, β) and (y, β') .

Proof. Begin with the integral representation (2.12b) of Lemma 3. For $p \rightarrow 0$, this simplifies into

$$\Phi_2^{(i)} \stackrel{p \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{1 - \beta} \frac{t^{1-\gamma}}{(x-y)^{\beta'} x^{1-\beta'}} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(\frac{x^{1-\beta}}{p^{\gamma-\beta}} {}_2F_1 \left(1 - \beta, \beta'; 2 - \beta; -\frac{xy}{x-y} \frac{1}{p} \right) - p^{\gamma-1} {}_2F_1 \left(1 - \beta, \beta'; 2 - \beta; -\frac{y}{x-y} \right) \right) (t)$$

We need the identity [36, (3.35.1.10)]

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(p^\nu {}_2F_1 \left(a, b; c; -\frac{\omega}{p} \right) \right) (t) = \frac{t^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)} {}_2F_2(a, b; c, \nu; -\omega t)$$

Then straightforward algebra leads to the assertion. For $y > x > 0$, it is enough to exchange $\beta \leftrightarrow \beta'$ and $x \leftrightarrow y$. **q.e.d.**

The symmetric case $x = y > 0$, hence $\Phi_2^{(i,s)}$, is a special case of the corollary 2, eq. (2.13). More explicit asymptotics of ${}_2F_2$ can be found in [32, 37, 38].

These expressions derived in this section can be checked numerically. However, the convergence towards the given asymptotics is in general quite slow.

Finally, it is now straightforward to obtain the asymptotics of the special Kampé de Fériet series (2.9), by using the known asymptotics of the generalised hypergeometric functions ${}_pF_q(z)$ [32].

4 An example from physics

The quantum spherical model [41, 40, 39] is a simple exactly solvable model of quantum phase transitions, in d spatial dimensions, with a non-trivial quantum critical behaviour at zero temperature (that is, the model cannot be described by a simple mean-field approximation, at least for $1 < d < 3$), see e.g. [42, 43]. Its main formal characteristic is the ‘spherical constraint’. If the coherent and dissipative quantum dynamics of the model is formulated in terms of a Lindblad equation, it can be shown that the canonical quantum commutation relations are maintained, in spite of the dissipation, at least on average. While already the dynamical single-body problem is of physical *and* mathematical interest [44], the full N -body problem makes explicit use of the here derived asymptotic descriptions. If the system is quenched from a highly symmetric initial state deep into the ordered phase, in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit the spherical constraint takes the form $\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 = 1$, where [45]

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-\gamma(Z+t\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} = e^{-\gamma Z} (e^{-2\gamma t} I_0(2\gamma t))^d \stackrel{t \rightarrow \infty}{\simeq} e^{-\gamma Z} (4\pi\gamma t)^{-d/2} \quad (4.1)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \left(1 - \frac{Cgt}{Z+t\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right) (1 - \cos 2\vartheta_{\mathbf{k}}) e^{-\gamma(Z+t\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} \quad (4.2)$$

where $Z = Z(t)$ is the integrated spherical Lagrange multiplier whose long-time behaviour for $t \rightarrow \infty$ is sought. Furthermore, $\omega_{\mathbf{k}} = 2(d - \cos k_1 - \dots - \cos k_d)$ is the lattice dispersion relation

on a d -dimensional hypercubic lattice with nearest-neighbour interactions, $\mathcal{B} = [-\pi, \pi]^d$ is the d -dimensional Brillouin zone, and $\vartheta_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{gt(Z + t\omega_{\mathbf{k}})}$. Finally, the constant g is the quantum coupling, γ describes the dissipative coupling to an external reservoir and C characterises the initial (disordered) quantum state. The integral \mathcal{I}_2 can be evaluated by expanding the cosine and integrating termwise. Then the spherical constraint can be rewritten in the form [45]

$$e^{\gamma Z} (4\pi\gamma t)^{d/2} = \frac{1}{2} \Phi_3 \left(\frac{d}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; -gZt, -\frac{g}{\gamma}t \right) + Cg^2t^2 \int_0^1 dw \Phi_3 \left(\frac{d}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{g}{\gamma}tw, -gZtw \right) \quad (4.3)$$

For the physically interesting long-time behaviour of $Z = Z(t)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, the asymptotics of the Humbert function Φ_3 and of the integrated Humbert function $\Phi_3^{(i)}$, as studied in this work, are required. In contrast to the original formulation in eqs. (4.1,4.2), the reformulation in eq. (4.3) contains the spatial dimension d merely as a parameter. This allows to discuss also the model's behaviour at non-integer dimensions $d \in \mathbb{R}$, which often provides useful physical insight.

The final long-time behaviour obtained from (4.3) turns out to depend subtly on the dimension d . For $d \geq 2$, there is a single solution with $Z(t) = -|Z(t)| < 0$. Then, for $t \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that $|Z(t)| \sim t^{-1} \ln^2 t$ for $d > 2$ and $|Z(t)| \sim t^{-1}$ for $d = 2$. In both cases, this is quite different from the form $|Z(t)| \sim \ln t$ obtained for a classical, non-coherent dynamics (limit $g \rightarrow 0$) [45].

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Group ‘Rechnergestützte Physik der Werkstoffe’ at ETH Zürich, Switzerland, where this work was done, for their warm hospitality. SW thanks UFA-DFH for financial support through grant CT-42-14-II.

References

- [1] Abramowitz M, Stegun IA. Handbook of Mathematical Functions. New York (NY): Dover; 1965.
- [2] Askey RA, Daalhuis ABO. Generalized hypergeometric functions and Meijer G-function. In: Olver FWJ, Lozier DW, Boisvert et al., editors. NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions. New York (NY): Cambridge University Press; 2010. p. 403-418
- [3] Bailey WN. Generalised hypergeometric series. Cambridge (MA): Cambridge University Press; 1935.
- [4] Erdélyi A, Magnus W, Oberhettinger F, et al. Higher Transcendental Functions Vol. I. New York (NY): McGraw-Hill; 1953.
- [5] Buchholz H. The confluent hypergeometric function. Heidelberg: Springer; 1969.
- [6] Mathai AM, Saxena RK. Generalised hypergeometric functions with applications in statistics and the physical sciences. Heidelberg: Springer; 1973.
- [7] Mathai AM, Saxena RK, Haubold HJ. The H -function: theory and applications. Heidelberg: Springer; 2010.
- [8] Seaborn JB. Hypergeometric functions and their applications. Heidelberg: Springer; 1991.
- [9] Slater LJ. Generalized hypergeometric functions. Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press; 1966.

- [10] Appell P, Kampé de Fériet J. Fonctions hypergéométriques et hypersphériques [Hypergeometric and hyperspherical functions]. Paris: Gauthier-Villars; 1926. French.
- [11] Humbert P. Sur les fonctions hypercylindriques [On the hyper-cylindrical functions]. Comptes rendus Acad Sci Paris. 1920;**171**:490-492. French.
- [12] Humbert P. The confluent hypergeometric functions of two variables. Proc Roy Soc Edinburgh. 1920;**A41**:73-96.
- [13] Appell P. Sur les séries hypergéométriques de deux variables et sur des équations différentielles linéaires aux dérivées partielles [On the hypergeometric series of two variables and on linear partial differential equations]. Comptes rendus Acad Sci Paris. 1880;**90**:296-298 and 1880;**90**:731-735. French.
- [14] Appell P. Sur la série $F_3(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma; x, y)$ [On the series $F_3(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma; x, y)$]. Comptes rendus Acad Sci Paris. 1880;**90**:977-980. French.
- [15] Appell P. Sur les fonctions hypergéométriques de deux variables [On the hypergeometric functions in two variables]. J Maths Pures Appliquées (3^e série). 1882;**8**:173-216. French.
- [16] Fleischer J, Jegerlehner F, Tarasov OV. A new hypergeometric representation of one-loop scalar integrals in d dimensions. Nucl Phys B. 2003;**672**:303-328. [arXiv:hep-ph/0307113](#).
- [17] Kniehl BA, Tarasov OV. Finding new relationships between hypergeometric functions by evaluating Feynman integrals. Nucl Phys B. 2012;**854**:841-852. [arXiv:1108.6019](#).
- [18] Shpot M. A massive Feynman integral and some reduction relations for Appell functions J Math Phys. 2007;**48**:123512. [arXiv:0711.2742](#).
- [19] Brychkov YuA. Reduction formulas for the Appell and Humbert functions. Integral transforms Spec Funct. 2017;**28**:22-38 (2017).
- [20] Liu HM, Wang WP. Transformation and summation formulae for Kampé de Fériet series J Math Anal Appl. 2014;**409**:100-110.
- [21] Choi J, Hanasov A. Applications of the operator $H(\alpha, \beta)$ to the Humbert double hypergeometric functions. Comp Math Appl. 2011;**61**:663-671.
- [22] Saxena RK, Kalla SL, Saxena R. Multivariate analogue of generalized Mittag-Leffler function. Integral Transforms Spec Funct. 2011;**22**:533-548.
- [23] Brychkov YuA, Saad N. Some formulas for the Appell function $F_1(a, b, b'; c; w, z)$. Integral Transforms Spec Funct. 2012;**23**:793-802 (2012). erratum Integral Transforms Spec Funct. 2012;**23**:863.
- [24] Brychkov YuA, Saad N. On some formulas for the Appell function $F_2(a, b, b'; c, c'; w, z)$. Integral Transforms Spec Funct. 2014;**25**:111-123.
- [25] Brychkov YuA, Saad N. On some formulas for the Appell function $F_3(a, a', b, b'; c; w, z)$. Integral Transforms Spec Funct. 2015;**26**:910-923.
- [26] Brychkov YuA, Saad N. On some formulas for the Appell function $F_4(a, b; c, c'; w, z)$. Integral Transforms Spec Funct. 2017;**28**:629-644.
- [27] Brychkov YuA. On new reduction formulas for the Humbert functions Ψ_2 , Φ_2 and Φ_3 . Integral Transforms Spec Funct. 2017;**28**:350-360.
- [28] Erdélyi A, Magnus W, Oberhettinger F, et al. Table of integral transforms Vol. I. New York (NY): McGraw-Hill; 1954.
- [29] Srivastava HM, Karlsson PW. Multiple gaussian hypergeometric series. New York (NY): Ellis Horwood Wiley; 1985.
- [30] Srivastava HM, Pathan-Yasmeen MA. Some reduction formulas for multiple hypergeometric series. Rend Sem Mat Univ Padova. 1989;**82**:1-7.
- [31] Wright EM. The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function. J London Math Soc. 1935;**10**:286-293.

- [32] Wright EM. The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function. Proc London Math Soc. 1940;**46**:389-408. erratum J London Math Soc. 1952;**27**:254.
- [33] Feller W. An introduction to probability theory and its applications Vol. 2 (2nd ed). New York (NY): Wiley; 1971.
- [34] Widder DV. The Laplace transform (2nd ed). Princeton (US): Princeton University Press; 1946.
- [35] Prudnikov AP, Brychkov YuA, Marichev OI. Integrals and series Vol. 4: direct Laplace transforms. New York (NY): Gordon & Breach; 1992.
- [36] Prudnikov AP, Brychkov YuA, Marichev OI. Integrals and series Vol. 5: inverse Laplace transforms. New York (NY): Gordon & Breach; 1992.
- [37] WOLFRAMRESEARCH:functions.wolfram.com [internet]. Champaign (US): Wolfram Research, Inc.; 2017 [cited 2017 Nov 6]. Available from <http://functions.wolfram.com>
- [38] Volkmer H, Wood JJ. A note on the asymptotic expansion of generalised hypergeometric functions. Anal. Appl. 2014; **12**: 107-115.
- [39] Oliveira MH, Raposo EP, Coutinho-Filho MD. Quantum spherical spin model on hypercubic lattices. Phys Rev B. 2006;**74**:184101.
- [40] Vojta T. Quantum version of a spherical model: Crossover from quantum to classical critical behaviour. Phys Rev B. 1996;**53**:710-714.
- [41] Henkel M, Hoeger C. Hamiltonian formulation of the spherical model in $d = r + 1$ dimensions. Z Phys B. 1984;**55**:67-73.
- [42] Sachdev S. Quantum phase transitions (2nd ed). Cambridge (MA): Cambridge University Press; 2011.
- [43] Dutta A, Aeppli G, Chakrabarti BK, et al. Quantum phase transitions in transverse-field spin models. Cambridge (MA): Cambridge University Press; 2015.
- [44] Wald S, Henkel M. Lindblad dynamics of a quantum spherical spin. J Phys A. 2016;**49**:125001. [arXiv:1511.03347](https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.03347).
- [45] Wald S, Landi GT, Henkel M. Lindblad dynamics of the quantum spherical model. 2017. 61p. Located at: [arXiv:1707.06273](https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.06273).