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ABSTRACT

For pulsars born in supernovae, the expansion of the shocked pulsar wind nebula is initially in the freely expanding ejecta of the supernova. While the nebula is in the inner flat part of the ejecta density profile, the swept-up, accelerating shell is subject to the Rayleigh-Taylor instability. We carried out 2 and 3-dimensional simulations showing that the instability gives rise to filamentary structure during this initial phase but does not greatly change the dynamics of the expanding shell. The flow is effectively self-similar. If the shell is powered into the outer steep part of the density profile, the shell is subject to a robust Rayleigh-Taylor instability in which the shell is fragmented and the shocked pulsar wind breaks out through the shell. The flow is not self-similar in this phase. For a wind nebula to reach this phase requires that the deposited pulsar energy be greater than the supernova energy, or that the initial pulsar period be in the ms range for a typical $10^{51}$ erg supernova. These conditions are satisfied by some magnetar models for Type I superluminous supernovae. We also consider the Crab Nebula, which may be associated with a low energy supernova for which this scenario applies.

Subject headings: ISM: individual objects (Crab Nebula) — stars: neutron — supernovae: general

1. INTRODUCTION

A plausible model for the Crab Nebula involves the expansion of the wind bubble created by the Crab pulsar into the freely expanding gas of the supernova (Chevalier 1977; Hester 2008; Bucciantini et al. 2011). In this model, illustrated in Figure 1, the expanding bubble of shocked pulsar wind sweeps up a thin shell of ejecta that accelerates in approximate accord with the observed acceleration of Crab filaments (Trimble 1968). The shell is subject to the Rayleigh-Taylor instability (RTI), which provides an explanation for the filamentary structure observed in the Crab Nebula. Numerical simulations have confirmed that the RTI can account for the filamentary structure (Jun 1998; Bucciantini et al. 2004; Porth et al. 2014). The RTI gives rise to inner filaments that effectively broaden the swept up shell.

Supernova density profiles are expected to have an inner, flat region and an outer steep power law region; the Crab Nebula is usually inferred to be interacting with the inner, flat region, based on the low velocities that are observed in the Crab. Chevalier (2005) conjectured that the nature of the RTI would be qualitatively different depending on whether the accelerating shell was in the inner flat or outer steep part of the density profile. In the first phase, even if all the shell gas flows into filaments the ram pressure of the unshocked ejecta can contain the pulsar wind nebula, albeit at a larger radius than in the case of a shell being present (Chevalier 2005). In the second phase, the preshock ejecta gas is unable to confine the pulsar bubble by its ram pressure and there is the possibility of the pulsar nebula blowing out through the shell. The approximate requirement for a nebula to make it to the second phase is that the energy deposited by the pulsar be greater than the supernova energy, which requires an initial pulsar rotation period in the ms range for a $10^{51}$ erg supernova.

Bandiera et al. (1983) suggested that RTIs allow pulsar nebula emission to escape from a supernova, giving radio supernovae; however, radio emission is observed to be present from early times so the energetics are implausible and circumstellar interaction is a more likely source.
of the emission (Chevalier 1982). Although most pulsars are thought to be born with initial rotational energies < \(10^{53}\) ergs, there is the possibility of rapid initial rotation for a small fraction of pulsars (Kotera et al. 2013). This notion is exemplified by the millisecond magnetar model for superluminous supernovae (SLSNe) (Kasen & Bildsten 2010; Woosley 2010). In this model, the pulsar power is assumed to be thermalized in the pulsar wind bubble and the eventual escape of the radiation gives rise to a very luminous supernova. Initial rotational energies of magnetars as high as \((1-2) \times 10^{55}\) ergs have been suggested (Metzger et al. 2013), so there are possibilities for magnetar bubble blowout. Kasen & Bildsten (2010) and Kasen et al. (2016) mentioned the likelihood of the RTI in the magnetar case; the consequences were described as a broadening of the swept up shell, with little effect on the overall picture. Arons (2003) had earlier suggested that the bubble created by an energetic magnetar would break through the supernova ejecta because of RTIs. Chen et al. (2016) recently carried out 2-dimensional (2-D) simulations of magnetar bubbles that get into the blowout phase; one of their models has an initial rotation rate of 1 ms and shows evidence for breakout through the swept-up shell. Suzuki & Maeda (2017) presented a 2-D model for the breakout of a bubble created by a relativistic wind. Here, we investigate the qualitative difference in the RTI depending on whether the forward shock front is in the inner, flat part of the supernova density profile or the outer, steeper part of the profile. The conditions for blowout are discussed in Section 2 and numerical simulations of the event, in 2-D and 3-D, are presented in Section 3. A comparison to previous studies of the blowout phase is in Section 4. The relevance to observed events is discussed in Section 5 and conclusions are in Section 6.

2. CONDITIONS FOR BLOWOUT

The initial supernova explosion with energy \(E_s\) and ejecta mass \(M_e\) is expected to reach free expansion on a timescale of days or less, which is less than that for the pulsar bubble evolution. The free expansion velocity distribution is \(v = v_r/t\). We consider a simple model for the supernova density distribution in which there is a flat inner power law and an outer steep power law (Chevalier & Soker 1989; Matzner & McKee 1999), as has also been assumed in calculations of magnetar bubble expansion (e.g., Kasen et al. 2016). We have an inner profile \(\rho_i = A t^{-3} (r/t)^{-\delta}\) and an outer profile \(\rho_o = B t^{-3} (r/t)^{-n}\), where \(\delta < 3\) (so the mass does not diverge at small radius), \(n > 5\) (so the energy does not diverge at large radius), and \(A\) and \(B\) are constants. For simplicity and to compare with previous work, we take \(\delta = 0\). The density is continuous across the transition point between the inner and outer profiles, leading to the velocity at the transition point

\[
v_{tr} = \left[ \frac{2(5 - \delta)(n - 5) E_s}{(3 - \delta)(n - 3) M_e} \right]^{1/2}.
\]

For \(\delta = 0\) and \(n = 7\), we have \(v_r = 4080 E_{51}^{1/2} M_5^{-1/2}\) km s\(^{-1}\), where \(E_{51}\) is \(E_s\) in units of \(10^{51}\) ergs and \(M_5\) is \(M_e\) in units of 5 \(M_\odot\). If the pulsar provides a steady initial power \(E_0\), the radius of the swept up shell is (Chevalier 2005)

\[
R_s = \left[ \frac{(5 - \delta)(3 - \delta) E_0}{(11 - 28)(9 - 28) 4\pi A} \right]^{1/(5 - \delta)} t^{(6 - \delta)/(5 - \delta)}
\]

where the thin shell approximation has been made. The pulsar nebula is assumed to be composed of an adiabatic, \(\gamma = 4/3\) gas. The time for the shell to reach the transition velocity is (Chevalier 2005),

\[
t_{tr} = \frac{2(11 - 28)(9 - 28)(n - 5) E_s}{(3 - \delta)(5 - \delta)(n - \delta) E_p} = f_1 \frac{E_0}{E_0},
\]

where values of \(f_1\) for pairs of \((\alpha, n)\) are: 0.75 (0, 7); 1.3 (1, 7), 1.5 (0, 12); and 2.5 (1, 12). We take \(f_1 = 1.5\) as a representative value. The time \(t_{tr}\) is the end of the initial evolution in the flat density profile. Observations of typical Galactic pulsar wind nebulae indicate that the shell is generally within the inner flat density profile (Chevalier 2005), implying a deposited energy less than the supernova energy. This is supported by population studies of pulsars showing that most pulsars are born with relatively long periods, \(\gtrsim 100\) ms (Faucher-Giguère & Kaspi 2006). Provided \(\delta < 3\) the evolution of the shell radius \(R \propto t^\alpha\), with \(\alpha = (6 - \delta)/(5 - \delta)\). In the limit \(\delta \rightarrow 3\), we have \(\alpha = 1.5\), which is the value expected for a shell with no external medium (Ostriker & Gunn 1971).

These results are based on a 1-D thin shell model, in which all the swept up mass is in a thin, spherical shell. The RTI can lead to modification of the results, even when the shell is in the inner flat part of the density structure. The instability can fragment the shell, leading to some of the gas lagging behind the outer shock in filaments. Chevalier (2005) found that if the shell is assumed to fragment, so that the pulsar bubble impinges directly on the external freely expanding medium, the expansion has the same power law in time as the case with a shell present, but with a higher velocity (by a factor of 1.25 for \(\delta = 0\)). The assumption is extreme, but the result is illustrative. In this case, the ram pressure due to the external medium is able to contain the pulsar bubble. However, in the outer ejecta with \(n > 5\), the ram pressure of the external medium drops more rapidly than the pressure in the expanding pulsar nebula, so that the pulsar can blow out through the swept up gas. This situation would also apply to the interaction with a swept up shell and a low density exterior, as in the model of Ostriker & Gunn (1971). When this imbalance occurs, the blowout of the pulsar bubble through the shell can occur.

The initial rotational energy of the pulsar is \(E_0 = (1/2) I \Omega^2 = 2 \times 10^{42} P_{-2}^{-2}\) ergs, where \(\Omega = 2\pi/P\) is the spin rate, \(P_{ms}\) is the pulsar period \(P\) in units of ms and a neutron star moment of inertia, \(I\), of \(10^{45}\) g cm\(^2\) has been assumed. The spindown of the pulsar is not a completely solved problem, but the spindown power is generally taken to be of the form

\[
\dot{E}_0 = K \frac{B^2 R^6 \Omega^4}{c^3},
\]

where \(K\) depends on the angle \(\alpha\) between the magnetic and rotational axes, \(B\) and \(R\) are the pulsar magnetic field and radius, and \(c\) is the speed of light. A force
free model for the pulsar magnetosphere leads to $K = 1 + \sin^2 \alpha$ \cite{Spitkovsky2006}, while a vacuum magnetic dipole model give $K = (2/3)\sin^2 \alpha$. A range of values of $K$ have been used in the literature on superluminous supernovae \cite{Nicholl2017}. The initial spindown timescale is

$$t_p = \frac{E_0}{\dot{E}_0} = \frac{I c^3}{2 KB^2 R^6 G^2} = 0.4K^{-1}B_{14}^{-2} P_m^{-2} \text{ days}, \quad (5)$$

where $B_{14}$ is the pulsar magnetic field in units of $10^{14}$ G. The sweep up model requires that $t_p > t_{tr}$ or the pulsar power would stop while the shell is in the region of shallow density gradient and the flow would tend toward free expansion. We have

$$\frac{t_p}{t_{tr}} = \frac{E_0}{1.5E_s} = \frac{I\Omega^2}{3E_s} = 13E_{s51}^{-1}P_m^{-2}. \quad (6)$$

Evolving to the breakout phase depends on the supernova energy and the initial pulsar spin and, to some extent, the density structure of the supernova. The requirement is

$$P_{ms} \lesssim 4E_{s51}^{-1/2}. \quad (7)$$

The breakout phase can be achieved for plausible values of the parameters.

3. SIMULATIONS

Two-dimensional simulations have been carried out to show the growth of instabilities in pulsar wind nebulae \cite{Jun1998, Bucciantini2004, Porth2014, Chen2016, Suzuki2017, Jun2018} and \cite{Porth2014} calculated global simulations for the Crab Nebula that showed filament formation and broadening of the shell. The results showed some semblance to the Crab. These simulations made the assumption that the pulsar bubble is expanding into freely expanding gas of uniform density, and did not evolve to the point where the shock wave moved into the region with a steep density profile. The limited effect of the instability is consistent with the analytic arguments given in Section 2. \cite{Chen2016} and \cite{Suzuki2017} simulated the early evolution of a pulsar wind nebula expansion and continued the evolution to the breakout phase with a steep ejecta density profile. We compare their results to ours in Section 4.

The aim here is to simulate the evolution of the RTI both in the early phase in the constant density region, and as the outer shock front moves into the outer steep part of the density profile. We use the code Virginia Hydrodynamics-1 (VH-1) that has previously been used to examine the late phases of evolution of a pulsar wind nebula, when it interacts with the externally generated reverse shock wave \cite{Blondin2001, Temim2015}. In particular, the simulation of \cite{Temim2015} shows the growth of RTI during the continued addition of pulsar power, but the reverse shock front returned to the pulsar nebula before the bubble expanded into the region of steep density drop. Those simulations used a supernova density profile with $\delta = 0, n = 9$; here we use $\delta = 0, n = 7$. We use a radial grid with 768 uniformly spaced zones and an angular zone width of $\pi/2400$, giving roughly square zones in the vicinity of the swept-up shell. The angular extent of the grid covers $0.4\pi$ and is centered on the equator. Periodic boundary conditions are used in the angular direction. The radial grid is expanded to track the shell to provide roughly constant resolution as the shell expands by several orders of magnitude.

The supernova ejecta are treated as an adiabatic gas with a ratio of specific heats of $\gamma = 5/3$. The ejecta could be radiation-dominated in the early evolution of supernovae. We ran identical simulations with $\gamma = 4/3$ in the ejecta, which produced a slightly thinner, denser shell, but the overall behavior and morphology was not changed. The pulsar wind gas has a ratio of specific heats of $\gamma = 4/3$ so the shocked wind nebula acts as an adiabatic, relativistic fluid. Fluid motions associated with the wind bubble are non-relativistic in the first phase of evolution.

The pulsar power is injected at the inner boundary as a highly supersonic wind with a speed that produces a post-shock sound speed in the wind bubble of $0.19E_{s51}^{1/2}M_5^{-1/2}c$. This is generally lower than the value of $c/\sqrt{3}$ for a relativistic gas, which allows for a larger time-step and more efficient computation, yet is substantially higher than the ejecta velocity. The pulsar power is assumed to be constant with time.

![Fig. 2.— Evolution of the radial column density in the spherically-symmetric (1-D) hydrodynamic simulation illustrating the sharp transition at $t \sim t_{tr}$. The horizontal dashed line corresponds to an evolution decreasing as $t^{-9/5}$ and the other dashed line to an evolution decreasing as $t^{-3}$. The vertical axis has been scaled to unity in the early self-similar phase.](image)

The evolution of a spherically-symmetric (1-D) numerical simulation is illustrated in Figure 2. The simulation is started at an early time of $10^{-4}t_{tr}$ with a supersonic wind on the inner half of the grid and the expanding supernova ejecta on the other half. By the early times shown in Figure 2 the swept-up shell has already reached the initial self-similar state where the radius of the shell follows the analytical result in equation (2). The radial column density in this initial phase, $t < t_{tr}$, is decreasing as $M/R^2 \propto t^{-9/5}$. Entering the late $t > t_{tr}$ phase, the shell is further accelerated and the expansion evolves toward another self-similar state, with shell radius $R \propto t^{1.5}$ \cite{Ostriker1971}. In this phase the column density approaches an asymptotic power law decrease of $M/R^2 \propto t^{-3}$. The termination shock does not expand in a self-similar way with the main shell; it expands outward in the self-
The position of the termination shock is determined by the distance it takes to slow the post-termination shock flow to the velocity of the shell. In a shocked pulsar bubble with sound speed $\gg$ gas velocity, we have $p$ and $\rho$ about constant, while velocity $\propto r^{-2}$. The pressure during the early phase evolves as $t^{-13/5}$ so the radius of the termination shock of the steady pulsar wind $R_{\text{term}} \propto t^{1.3}$, versus $R_s \propto t^{1.2}$. If $v_{\text{term}}$ is the velocity immediately downstream of the termination shock (fixed by the wind speed for a high Mach number shock) and $V_s$ is the shell velocity, we have $R_s/R_{\text{term}} \approx (v_{\text{term}}/V_s)^{1/2}$; $V_s$ increases with time, so the shocked region becomes narrower. For our choice of pulsar wind speed we find $R_s/R_{\text{term}} \approx 3(t/t_{\text{tr}})^{-0.1}$ for $t < t_{\text{tr}}$, where the coefficient is determined from a 1-D simulation. This argument breaks down once the bubble gas can stream out through the ejecta.

The multidimensional simulations were initialized using the evolved 1-D profiles. To examine the RTI in the first phase of evolution we initialized two-dimensional simulations at a time of $10^{-3} t_{\text{tr}}$ and continued the evolution to $20 t_{\text{tr}}$ without the power-law region (i.e., continuing the plateau region indefinitely). Without any perturbations, the swept up shell began to show evidence for instability only after $10^{-1} t_{\text{tr}}$. With 5% perturbations in the preshock ejecta, the growth of Rayleigh-Taylor fingers begins earlier and reaches a larger amplitude by $t \sim t_{\text{tr}}$. Perturbations in the ejecta can be expected because of instabilities during the explosion phase and the growth of Nickel bubbles. In previous work, Jun (1998) included 1% perturbations in the ejecta to seed the instability. Porth et al. (2014) did not include any seed perturbations, but allowed for asymmetry in the power input by the central pulsar. The asymmetry drove turbulent motions in the bubble and the growth of the shell instability.

While the forward shock front is in the flat part of the supernova density profile, the flow remains roughly self-similar. Allowing 2-D motion does not introduce any new dimensional parameters and the breakup of the shocked shell by the RTI does not allow blowout through the shell; the preshock medium provides enough ram pressure to contain the pulsar bubble (Chevalier 2005). Figure 3 shows the density structure at two times that are in the early self-similar phase but late enough that the RTI has saturated. It can be seen that the structure remains qualitatively the same, although there are differences in detail. A similar situation occurs for the deceleration of supernova ejecta by a low density surroundings (Chevalier et al. 1992). In the pulsar nebula, the swept up ejecta goes into the filaments and the shell; about 60% of the total swept up mass is in the quasi-spherical shell at any time in this self-similar phase, with the remainder in the dense filaments filling the bubble interior. The outer shock wave radius has the expected $t^{6/5}$ time dependence and has a value 1.07$R_s$. The expectation from the analytic theory is that the coefficient of $R_s$ would be between 1 and 1.25 (Chevalier 2005). The termination shock remains nearly spherical, but creeps forward with respect to the forward shock as discussed above.

The extent of the RTI region is similar to that found by Porth et al. (2014). Porth et al. (2014) included a magnetic field in their simulations, but found that cases with high and low magnetization evolved similarly with respect to the RTI. These results suggest that a magnetic field is not a crucial factor in the development of the instability. Porth et al. (2014) also carried out a study of the effect of resolution on the results, showing that
going to high resolution primarily leads to greater structure in the Kelvin-Helmholz instability that is related to the RTI. A similar situation is present for the RTI driven by the deceleration of supernova ejecta (Chevalier et al. [1992]). Chen et al. (2016) carried out 2 simulations with resolution higher than ours. The result is again more detailed structure resulting from the Kelvin-Helmholz instability (see Fig. 5 of Chen et al. [2016]). We conclude that our simulations are adequately resolved for the larger scale structure.

In our simulations, the RTIs generate pressure waves that move in toward the pulsar wind termination shock. The waves cause gentle deformation of the termination shock, but do not disrupt the shock front. In the 2-D simulations of Porth et al. [2014], Chen et al. [2016] and Suzuki & Maeda [2017], a filament forms along the symmetry axis that moves in to the termination shock. By calculating just a wedge of the flow and avoiding any coordinate singularity, we have avoided this feature. In their simulation of pulsar nebulae, Camus et al. [2009], and Porth et al. [2014] found that there was feedback between the RTI in the outer part of the nebula and the wind termination shock. We did not find such an effect, but did not allow for asymmetric power input from the pulsar wind as in Camus et al. [2009] and Porth et al. [2014]. In any case, our results for the RT unstable region appear to be similar to those of the other authors.

There is some gentle deformation of the leading shock - which helps feed the long fingers - but the overall shell is still roughly spherical with relatively uniform column density. Figure 4 shows a histogram of the radial column densities during the self-similar phase. The shell itself is relatively uniform; less than 2% of the shell has a column density reduced by more than a factor of two. The fraction of lines-of-sight with significantly enhanced column density due to RTI fingers is less than a few percent, and no radial lines have substantially reduced column density relative to the spherical model.

We continued the pulsar power into the regime where the shell moved beyond the flat part of the supernova density profile. The instability proved to be robust in this phase. Even in the case of little perturbation growth in the initial phase, there was strong fragmentation of the shell once the shell entered the region of large density gradient. The simulations described here were initialized at $10^{-3} t_{tr}$, providing sufficient time for the growth of RTI fingers to reach saturation by the time the shell reaches the ejecta shell. Shortly after $t \sim t_{tr}$ the growth of the RT fingers is dramatically increased relative to the initial phase. After a few $t_{tr}$, the shell is blown outward relative to the RT fingers, resulting in an evolution that is no longer self-similar. This deviation from an 'averaged self-similar' evolution is shown in Figure 6 where the angle-averaged forward shock radius is compared to the radius enclosing half of the swept-up ejecta mass. Once the instability in the early plateau phase is saturated ($\sim 0.1 t_{tr}$), the ratio of these radii is roughly constant. Once blowout occurs at $t \sim t_{tr}$, the forward shock is rapidly accelerated relative to the bulk of the shocked ejecta mass; the evolution in this later phase is not self-similar.

In 2-D, the result is a very non-spherical forward shock marked by large bubbles from the blowout as shown in Figure 6. Roughly half the ejecta mass is left behind at radii less than the shell radius obtained in the spherically symmetric evolution. The remnants of some RTI fingers can be seen close to the wind termination shock in, slightly perturbing this shock from its initial spherically symmetric shape. This termination shock interaction does not appear to affect the overall morphology of the blowout; similar simulations where the termination shock is forced to be spherically symmetric produce qualitatively similar results. The regions of maximum blowout have negligible mass in the immediate post-shock shell. Moreover, the

![Fig. 4.— Distribution of radial column densities normalized to the spherically symmetric solution. The transparent green histogram represents the self-similar state of the RTI (corresponding to the left frame in Figure 2). The blue histogram represents the blow-out phase at $t = 7 t_{tr}$ (corresponding to Figure 6).](image)

![Fig. 5.— Evolution of the forward shock relative to the radius enclosing half of the swept-up ejecta mass in a 2-D simulation. At early times the swept-up shell is nearly spherical and the half-mass radius is very near the forward shock. These two radii diverge slightly due to the development of the RTI in the plateau phase. Once the instability is saturated the evolution is again self-similar. Blowout occurs shortly after $t_{tr}$ and results in the forward shock accelerating relative to the bulk of the swept-up ejecta mass.](image)
blowout is associated with evacuated channels of high-speed flow through the RTI fragments. The resulting holes in the swept up ejecta are evident in the distribution of radial column densities shown in Figure 3. The column densities in these blowout regions are up to an order of magnitude smaller than the spherical model at the same age.

The behavior is qualitatively similar in three dimensions, as shown in Figure 7. The three-dimensional simulation and the corresponding two-dimensional simulation shown in Figure 7 use half the spatial resolution of the previous models: 384 radial zones and 480 angular zones covering $0.4\pi$. At this lower resolution the RTI fragments do not reach the termination shock. We therefore chose to impose a spherical wind termination shock in order to improve computational speed and minimize numerical noise at this shock. The instability is dominated by slightly higher order modes in three dimensions and the small-scale structure fills in the otherwise empty channels seen in two-dimensions. Despite the less structured channels, the low density gas does largely escape through the dense shell and produces comparable blow-out structures as seen in two dimensions. One again finds half the ejecta mass inside the spherically-symmetric shell radius and a forward shock at roughly twice this radius. The 1D evolution of an accelerated shell with $R_s \propto t^{1.5}$ is not maintained in the later evolution. While the shell evolution is roughly self-similar in the first phase, this is not the case for the later phase.

4. COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS STUDIES OF BLOWOUT

There have been 2 previous studies of pulsar nebula expansion into the blowout regime. Chen et al. (2016) simulated the interaction with a realistic, exploded stripped star and continued the evolution to interaction with a steep ejecta density profile. The simulation of the 1 ms magnetar by Chen et al. (2016) has higher resolution than our simulations, but they stopped their simulation shortly after the break out phase was initiated. Although there are differences between their simulations and ours on small scales, the larger scale structure is similar. Chen et al. (2016) find highly turbulent motions in the late phases of their simulation and conjecture that they are due to the nonlinear thin shell instability (NTSI) (Vishniac 1994) operating between the pulsar wind termination shock and the outer shock front. We do not find evidence for the NTSI in our simulations. The termination shock is nonradiative and does not lead to a thin shell. The absence of a spherical termination shock in the late time simulations of Chen et al. (2016) may arise from the injection of the pulsar luminosity. Our models inject this luminosity as kinetic energy in a supersonic wind at small radii, while Chen et al. (2016) inject the pulsar luminosity as thermal energy at small radii. At early times in their simulations the expansion of gas from the injection region leads to a supersonic wind and the formation of a quasi-spherical termination shock as in our simulations. At late times the RTI fingers push the termination shock to smaller radii and eventually past the transonic region. At this point the termination shock vanishes and the expansion of the pulsar wind is entirely subsonic with respect to the expanding shell and RTI structures.

The simulation of Suzuki & Maeda (2017) should be more directly comparable to our work because they adopted a two power law density structure for the freely expanding ejecta as we did. They have $\delta = 1$ and $n = 10$, which implies expansion with $\eta = 1.25$ instead of $\eta = 1.2$ in our $\delta = 0$ model. The larger acceleration could give somewhat stronger RTIs, but we expect qualitatively similar results. The time for the shock wave to reach the density transition, $t_{br}$, here, is $t_{br}$ in Suzuki & Maeda (2017). In Suzuki & Maeda (2017) there is an initial self-similar expansion law with $\eta = 1.25$ as expected. However, the appearance of the density structure of the unstable gas is different from our results. Suzuki & Maeda (2017) find a higher degree of asymmetry in the forward shock front at a time just before $t_{br}$ (see their Fig. 1). It is unlikely that the difference can be explained by the different value of $\eta$. Suzuki & Maeda (2017) start their simulation at $t = 0.02t_{br}$ and so their simulation is unlikely to have evolved to the fully developed RTI by $t_{br}$. They inject power in a region 10 zones in radius in a Cartesian grid, which could introduce asymmetry. Also, Suzuki & Maeda (2017) do not use an expanding mesh, which limits the resolution in the early phases.

The asymmetry in the early evolution of the Suzuki & Maeda (2017) simulation carries over into the blowout phase. The early evolution leads to low density bubbles extending out near the symmetry axis; this may occur because of the high density finger along the axis of symmetry, noted in Section 2. When the flow gets to the blowout phase, the break through of the shell occurs more easily in the off-axis directions, leading to channels through ejecta gas in which the shocked pulsar wind gas flows. Our simulations also show rapid flow through channels, but there is no special orientation relative to the symmetry axis. Bulges in the forward shock wave resulting from the channel flow are present in our simulations and that of Suzuki & Maeda (2017).

Suzuki & Maeda (2017) used a special relativistic code and assumed the injected power had a baryon richness $\Gamma_r = 20$. The flow involving the shocked pulsar wind is generally non-relativistic until the break out of the shocked wind occurs and internal energy in the shocked wind is converted to kinetic energy. Suzuki & Maeda (2017) find that the channeled flows reach Lorentz factor $\Gamma \sim 5$. We use a non-relativistic code, but allow for a high velocity wind and find that the outflows lead to a qualitatively similar structure to that found in the simulation of Suzuki & Maeda (2017).

5. DISCUSSION

Our simulations show that there is a qualitative difference in the development of the RTI between the early and late phases of the pulsar nebula evolution. In the first phase, the swept up shell does form filaments, but the bubble is contained by the ram pressure of the external supernova ejecta. In the second phase, the ram pressure of the ejecta is not able to contain the bubble and it bursts through. To reach the second phase, the energy deposited from the pulsar spindown must be larger than the kinetic energy in the supernova ejecta. Thus, this phase is relevant to cases where the supernova has an especially low energy or where the rotational energy of the pulsar is especially large.

5.1. Crab Nebula
Fig. 6.— The formation of channels in the blowout phase in a two-dimensional simulation on a $0.4\pi$ wedge at a time of $7t_r$. Only gas flow faster than the ejecta speed at the forward shock is shown for clarity and the high speed of the unshocked pulsar wind is not shown. The color depicts the logarithm of the gas density using the same color scheme and dynamic range of a factor of 2000 as in Figure 3, but with the scale adjusted to the maximum density in the clumps. The white line shows the forward shock radius in a 1-D spherically symmetric simulation with the same parameters.

Although it has long been recognized that the energy in the observed Crab Nebula is small for a supernova, about $10^{50}$ ergs, it is only recently that the evolution of a pulsar nebula in a low energy supernova has been examined as a model for the Crab [Yang & Chevalier 2015]. One argument for the low energy nature of the Crab ejecta is that much of the expected mass of the progenitor star is in the ejecta swept up by the pulsar nebula. In fact, much of the mass is in a set of dense filaments that are interior to the outer edge of the Crab. There is evidence for an inner shell of filaments that is at about half of the radius of the outer edge [Clark et al. 1983]: interior to the shell, there is primarily just synchrotron emission. This structure does not agree with that expected during the first phase of evolution, when the denser filaments are in the outer part of the nebula and the density gradually decreases toward the central regions (Fig. 3). On the other hand, during the second phase, the dense shell accumulated during the first phase gets left behind as the low density bubble matter breaks through the supernova ejecta (Fig. 6). The initial shell of material forms an inner shell inside of which is little ejecta. These properties are also shown in observations of the Crab Nebula, indicating that it has evolved toward the second phase. [Yang & Chevalier 2015] discussed the possibility that the northern “jet” in the Crab (e.g., Gull & Fesen 1982) is related to the blowout phenomenon. The jet is a source of nonthermal radio emission, showing that the bubble gas has pushed out in that direction. The simulations presented here do not show any features that resemble the jet, which might require allowance for a magnetized flow.

5.2. Energetic Magnetars

In the magnetar model for superluminous supernovae, the magnetar bubble expansion may be out to the steep power law density decline [Kasen & Bildsten 2010, Kasen et al. 2016]. Chen et al. [2016] and Suzuki & Maeda [2017] addressed the importance of the blowout phase...
The supernova energy is typically taken to be $1 \times 10^{51}$ ergs, but there is not direct observational evidence for this value.

In the magnetar theory, the first observable effect of the pulsar nebula is the breakout of the shock front driven by the pulsar bubble. Kasen et al. (2016) have discussed the breakout signature for the standard magnetar case with the intent of explaining the optical precursor emission that is observed for some superluminous supernovae. However, the luminosity of the shockwave in the stellar ejecta is only 1.5% for the case of expansion in constant density ejecta (Chevalier & Fransson 1992) and the breakout emission does not distinguish itself from the main peak of the light curve unless there is incomplete thermalization in the shocked wind bubble (Kasen et al. 2016). The strongest signal is obtained when $t_{tr} < t_p$ and the breakout occurs when the outer shock front is in the steep part of the density profile (Kasen et al. 2016). These are the conditions most favorable for the RTI leading to blowout. The escaping material from the blowout can drive a faster shock wave into the surroundings, thus producing a more prominent breakout signal.

The main light curve in the magnetar model is produced by the diffusion of radiation from the shocked pulsar wind bubble. The effect of the blowout is to allow the more rapid expansion of the bubble contents and thus more rapid adiabatic expansion losses. The loss of internal energy should lead to a decline in the light curve below what is expected in the absence of instabilities. The blowout can also hasten the radiative losses of the gas.

Metzger et al. (2014) considered the breakout of an ionization front through the shell of ejecta. If the material surrounding the pulsar bubble could be completely ionized, X-ray emission from the shocked bubble could escape and be observed, as possibly occurred in the case of SLSN-1 SCP 06F6 (Levan et al. 2013). X-ray emis-
The bulging structure of the forward shock in a three-dimensional simulation is illustrated here with a surface of constant pressure, shown in blue. One of the side walls of the simulation domain is colored by gas density as in Figure 7. The highest density clumps of shocked ejecta are shown as red surfaces.

sion could occur if there is a pair plasma in the shocked pulsar wind. The action of the RTI would enhance the possibility of the escape of ionizing radiation.

6. CONCLUSIONS

When a pulsar or magnetar is born in a supernova, the bubble of relativistic particles and magnetic field created by the pulsar expands in the freely expanding supernova ejecta. The supernova ejecta are swept into a shell that is accelerated and thus subject to Rayleigh Taylor instabilities (RTI). While the shell is in the inner flat part or the ejecta, Rayleigh Taylor fingers of ejecta extend in toward the pulsar, but most of the ejecta mass remains in the shell and outer part of the nebula. The ram pressure of the preshock ejecta prevents bubble gas from moving out into the ejecta. The overall structure is self-similar as the shell expands. Because of the instability, the shock moves more rapidly in the 2-D case than the 1-D case, but only by a factor of 1.07. The pulsar wind termination shock is not significantly perturbed by the RTI.

If the pulsar power persists past the time that the outer shock arrives at the transition to the steeply declining supernova density profile, the ram pressure of the ejecta can no longer contain the ejecta and a vigorous RTI leads to the blowout of the bubble gas. Although there is a self-similar solution for this phase in 1-D, the multi-dimensional flow is not self-similar. The bubble gas escapes through channels that are created in the supernova ejecta. This situation may apply to the Crab Nebula, where there are massive filaments inside of the outer edge, and to superluminous supernovae if they are powered by millisecond magnetars.
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