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Abstract. Let $M$ be a II₁ factor with a von Neumann subalgebra $Q \subset M$ that has infinite index under any projection in $Q' \cap M$ (e.g., if $Q' \cap M$ is diffuse; or if $Q$ is an irreducible subfactor with infinite Jones index). We prove that given any separable subalgebra $B$ of the ultrapower II₁ factor $M^\omega$, for a non-principal ultrafilter $\omega$ on $\mathbb{N}$, there exists a unitary element $u \in M^\omega$ such that $uBu^*$ is orthogonal to $Q^\omega$.

1. Introduction

We continue in this paper the study of approximate independence properties for subalgebras in II₁ factors from [P7,8]. This time, we investigate the possibility of “orthogonalizing” two subalgebras of a II₁ factor via asymptotic unitary conjugacy of one of them, but uniformly with respect to the other.

Recall in this respect that two $^*$-subalgebras $N_1, N_2$ in a II₁ factor $N$ are called orthogonal (as in [P1]), or 1-independent (as in [P7]), if $\tau(x_1 x_2) = \tau(x_1) \tau(x_2)$, $\forall x_1 \in N_1, x_2 \in N_2$, $\tau$ denoting the (unique) trace state on the ambient II₁ factor.

Thus, given the von Neumann subalgebras $B, Q$ of a II₁ factor $M$, the problem we are interested in is to find unitary elements $(u_n)_n \subset M$ such that, when viewing $u = (u_n)_n$ as an element in the ultrapower II₁ factor $M^\omega$ for some non-principal ultrafilter $\omega$ on $\mathbb{N}$ ([W]), the algebras $uBu^*$ and $Q^\omega$ are orthogonal. While this cannot of course be done if $Q$ is equal to $M$ and $B \neq \mathbb{C}$, or $Q$ merely “virtually equal” to $M$ with $\dim(B)$ large enough, we will prove that once $Q$ has “uniform infinite index” in $M$ and $B$ is separable, then such asymptotic orthogonalisation can be obtained. For instance, if $Q$ is an irreducible subfactor of infinite Jones
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index and $M$ itself is separable, then there exists a unitary element $u \in M^\omega$ such that $uMu^* \perp Q^\omega$.

The *uniform infinite index* condition for a subalgebra $Q$ in a $\text{II}_1$ factor $M$ that we’ll require is that for any non-zero projection $p \in Q' \cap M$, the $\text{[PP]}$-index of the inclusion $Qp \subset pMp$ be infinite. This condition, which we have also used in [P9], is equivalent to the condition that the centralizer of $M$ in the Jones basic construction algebra $\langle M, Q \rangle$ for $Q \subset M$ contains no non-zero finite projections. This amounts to $M \not\prec_M Q$ in the sense of “intertwining by bimodules” terminology (2.4 in [P6]).

We will in fact investigate this asymptotic orthogonalization problem in the more general case when the unitaries $(u_n)_n$ are subject to constraints, being required to lie in some other given von Neumann subalgebra $P \subset M$. Thus, we will prove that if $P \subset M$ is any irreducible subfactor such that $P \not\prec_M Q$, then one can indeed find $u \in U(P^\omega)$ such that $uBu^* \perp Q^\omega$. An example of such a situation is when $P, Q$ are irreducible subfactors of $M$ with $[M : P] < \infty$ and $[M : Q] = \infty$.

More generally, we prove the following:

1.1. *Theorem.* Let $M_n$ be a sequence of finite factors, with $\dim M_n \to \infty$. For each $n$, let $Q_n \subset M_n$ be a von Neumann subalgebra and $P_n \subset M_n$ be an irreducible subfactor. Let $\omega$ be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. Denote by $M$ the ultraproduct $\Pi_\omega M_n$ with $Q := \Pi_\omega Q_n$, $P := \Pi_\omega P_n$ viewed as von Neumann subalgebras in $M$. Assume $P \not\prec_M Q$. Then, given any separable von Neumann subalgebra $B \subset M$, there exists a unitary element $u \in P$ such that $uBu^*$ is orthogonal to $Q^\omega$.

For the above condition $P \not\prec_M Q$ to be satisfied, it is sufficient that $P_n \not\prec_{M_n} Q_n$, $\forall n$, or that $Q' \cap P = \Pi_\omega(Q'_n \cap M_n)$ be diffuse (see Proposition 2.1 below). The condition is also satisfied if $M_n$ are $\text{II}_1$ factors, with $P_n = M_n$ and $Q_n \subset M_n$ are irreducible subfactors satisfying $\lim_n[M_n : Q_n] = \infty$. It is of course satisfied as well when $P_n = M_n$ and $Q_n$ are abelian, $\forall n$. But in fact, as we will show in Remark 2.4, this particular case of Theorem 1.1 can be immediately derived from results in [P4].

As mentioned before, when applied to the case all $M_n$ are equal to the same $\text{II}_1$ factor $M$ and all $Q_n \subset M$ are equal, with $P_n = M$, the above theorem gives:

1.2. *Corollary.* Let $M$ be a $\text{II}_1$ factor, $Q \subset M$ a von Neumann subalgebra such that $M \not\prec_M Q$ and $B \subset M$ a separable von Neumann subalgebra. Then there exists a unitary element $u \in M^\omega$ such that $uBu^* \perp Q^\omega$.

The above result shows in particular that once a countably generated $\text{II}_1$ factor can be embedded in the ultrapower $R^\omega$ of the hyperfinite $\text{II}_1$ factor $R$, then one can actually embed it so that to be orthogonal to the ultraproduct of an arbitrary
sequence of irreducible subfactors $Q_n \subset R$ with $\lim_n [R : Q_n] = \infty$. This fact may be of interest in relation to Connes Approximate Embedding conjecture.

Since orthogonality (or 1-independence) between subalgebras is the first stage of $n$-independence, it is natural to push the above statement even further, trying to find the unitary $u \in M^\omega$ so that $uBu^*$ becomes $n \geq 2$ independent (or even free independent) to $Q^\omega$. This interesting problem remains open for now.

Questions about “rotating” via unitary conjugacy a subalgebra $B$ in a $\text{II}_1$ factor $M$ so that to become (approximately) orthogonal to another subalgebra $Q \subset M$ have been first considered in [P1]. The case when the algebra $B$ is 2-dimensional (so “smallest possible”) has been studied in [P3], notably in the case $Q$ is a subfactor of finite Jones index. We will comment on this and other related problems in the last section of this paper, where more applications to Theorem 1.1 will be mentioned as well.
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2. Proof of the main results

For notations and terminology used hereafter, we send the reader to ([P7,8]; also [AP] for basics in $\text{II}_1$ factors theory).

We begin by proving some of the criteria for the condition $P \not\prec_M Q$ to hold true, that we mentioned in the introduction. We will be under the same general assumptions and notations as in Theorem 1.1, which are recalled for convenience.

2.1. Proposition. Let $M_n$ be a sequence of finite factors, with $\dim M_n \to \infty$. For each $n$, let $Q_n \subset M_n$ be a von Neumann subalgebra and $P_n \subset M_n$ an irreducible subfactor. Let $\omega$ be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$ and denote by $M$ the ultraproduct $\text{II}_1$ factor $\Pi_\omega M_n$, with $Q := \Pi_\omega Q_n$, $P := \Pi_\omega P_n$ viewed as von Neumann subalgebras in $M$. Assume one of the following conditions is satisfied:

1° $P_n \not\prec_{M_n} Q_n$, $\forall n$.
2° $\Pi_\omega (Q'_n \cap M_n)$ is diffuse (E.g.: all $Q_n$ abelian; or all $M_n$ are finite dimensional factors with $P_n = M_n$ and $Q_n$ subfactors with $\lim_n (\dim M_n / \dim Q_n) = \infty$).
3° $M_n$ are $\text{II}_1$ factors, $P_n$ is equal to $M_n$ and $Q_n \subset M_n$ are irreducible subfactors with $\lim_n [M_n : Q_n] = \infty$.

Then $P \not\prec_M Q$. 

2.2. Remark. Another criterion for the condition $P \not\prec_M Q$ to hold true is that $P_n, Q_n \subset M_n$ be irreducible II$_1$ subfactors of finite Jones index satisfying $\lim_n[M_n : Q_n]/[M_n : P_n] = \infty$. This result, whose proof requires a lengthier analysis, will be discussed in a forthcoming paper, which will in fact address a variety of intertwining problems.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. 1° Let $x_1, ..., x_m \in (M)_1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $x_{i,n} \in (M_n)_1$ be so that $x_i = (x_{i,n})_n$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since $P_n \not\prec_{M_n} Q_n$, by (Theorem 2.1 in [P6]) there exists a unitary element $u_n \in P_n$ such that $\|E_{Q_n}(x_{i,n}^* u_n x_{j,n})\|_2 \leq 2^{-n}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. Thus, if we let $u = (u_n)_n$ then $u$ is a unitary element in $\Pi_\omega P_n = P$ satisfying $E_Q(x_{i,n}^* u x_{j,n}) = 0$, $\forall i, j$. By (Theorem 2.1), this shows that $P \not\prec_M Q$.

2° By (Theorem 2.1 in [P6]), the condition $P \not\prec_M Q$ would imply that there exists an intertwining partial isometry $v \in M$ between $P$ and $Q$. Since $P \subset M$ is irreducible and $Q' \cap M = \Pi_\omega (Q'_n \cap M_n)$ is diffuse, this implies $v^* v \in P$ and $vPv^* = Q_0q'$ for some $\omega$-closed subalgebra $Q_0 \subset Q$ and some projection $q' \in Q' \cap M$, with $v^* v = 1_{\omega}q'$. But the relative commutant $(Q_0q')' \cap v^* Mvv^*$ contains $vv^*(Q' \cap M)v^*$ and is thus diffuse, while by spatiality $vPv^*$ has trivial relative commutant in $v^* Mvv^*$, a contradiction.

3° Since $\lim_n[M_n : Q_n] = \infty$, we have $[M : Q] = \infty$ (see e.g. [PP]). Since we also have $Q' \cap M = \mathbb{C}$, this implies $M' \cap \langle M, Q \rangle = \mathbb{C}$. But $\langle M, Q \rangle$ is type II$_\infty$, so the only non-zero projection in $M' \cap \langle M, Q \rangle$ is $1_{\langle M, Q \rangle}$, which is not finite in $\langle M, Q \rangle$.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we first show that for any $F \subset M \ominus \mathbb{C}$ finite and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a unitary element $v \in P$ such that the expectation onto $Q$ of any element in $vFv^*$ is $\varepsilon$-close to 0 in the Hilbert norm given by the trace. Such unitary $v$ will be constructed by patching together “incremental pieces” of it, along the lines of the technique developed in [P5,7,8]. The theorem then follows by a “diagonalisation along $\omega$” procedure of this local result, as in ([P7], [P8]).

2.3. Lemma. Let $M$ be a II$_1$ factor, $Q \subset M$ a von Neumann subalgebra and $P \subset M$ an irreducible subfactor such that $P \not\prec_M Q$. Given any finite set $F = F^* \subset (M \ominus \mathbb{C})_1$ and any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, there exists a unitary element $v_0 \in P$ such that $\|E_Q(v_0 x v_0^*)\|_2^2 \leq \varepsilon_0$, for all $x \in F$.

Proof. Let $\omega$ be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$ and denote by $\mathcal{M} = \langle M^\omega, Q^\omega \rangle$ the semi finite von Neumann algebra associated with Jones basic construction for $Q^\omega \subset M^\omega$. Thus, $\mathcal{M} = J Q^\omega J = \overline{sp M^\omega e M^\omega} \subset \mathcal{B}(L^2 M^\omega)$, where $e = e_{Q^\omega} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2 M^\omega)$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2 M^\omega$ onto $L^2 Q^\omega$ and $J$ is the canonical canonical conjugation on $L^2 M^\omega$.

Recall that the projection $e$ satisfies the condition $eye = E_{Q^\omega}(y)$ for any $y \in M^\omega$. 

Recall also that the semi-finite von Neumann algebra $M$ is endowed with a canonical normal faithful semi-finite trace $Tr$, satisfying the condition $Tr(xey) = \tau(xy)$, for all $x, y \in M^\omega$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. Denote by $\mathcal{W}$ the set of partial isometries $v \in P^\omega$ with the property that $vv^* = v^*v$ and which satisfy the conditions:

\begin{equation}
\|E_{Q^-}(vxv^*)\|_2^2 \leq \varepsilon \tau(v^*v), \quad \tau(vv^*x) = 0,
\end{equation}

for all $x \in F$. We endow $\mathcal{W}$ with the order $\leq$ in which $v_1 \leq v_2$ if $v_1 = v_2v_1^*v_1$.

$(\mathcal{W}, \leq)$ is then clearly inductively ordered and we let $v \in \mathcal{W}$ be a maximal element. Assume $\tau(v^*v) < 1$ and denote $p = 1 - v^*v$. Notice right away that $\tau(pFp) = 0$.

Let $w$ be a partial isometry in $pP^\omega p$ with $w^*w = ww^*$ and denote $u = v + w$. Then $u$ is a partial isometry in $P^\omega$ with $u^*u = uu^*$. We will show that one can make an appropriate choice $w \neq 0$ such that $u = v + w$ lies in $\mathcal{W}$. We will construct such a $w$ by first choosing its support $q = ww^* = w^*w$, then choosing the “phase $w$” above $q$.

Note first that by writing $eux^*u^*euxu^*$ as $e(v+w)x^*(v+w)e(v+w)x(v+w)^*$ and developing into the sum of 16 terms, we get

\begin{equation}
\|E_{Q^-}(uxu^*)\|_2^2 = Tr(eux^*u^*euxu^*) = Tr(evx^*v^*evxv^*) + \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 + \Sigma_4,
\end{equation}

where $\Sigma_i$ denotes the sum of terms having $i$ appearances of elements from $\{w, w^*\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Thus, there are four terms in $\Sigma_1$, six in $\Sigma_2$, four in $\Sigma_3$, and one in $\Sigma_4$.

Let $X$ be a pattern of the form $\ldots ewx^*e\ldots$, or $\ldots ewx^*e\ldots$, for a given $x \in F$. There are seven such terms: the one in $\Sigma_4$, all four in $\Sigma_3$, and two in $\Sigma_2$. We denote by $\Sigma'$ the sum of the absolute values of these terms. Note that for each such $X$, we have $|Tr(X)| = |Tr(wxw^*ey)|$ for some $y \in (M^\omega)_1$ and thus, by applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and taking into account the definition of $Tr$, we get the estimate

\begin{equation}
|Tr(X)| = |Tr(\ldots ewx^*e\ldots)| = |Tr(wxw^*ey)|
\leq (Tr(ewx^*w^*wxw^*e))^{1/2}(Tr(qey^*yeq))^{1/2} \leq \|q\|_2\|q\|_2,
\end{equation}

where the last inequality is due to the fact that $Tr(qey^*yeq) \leq Tr(qeq) = \tau(q)$ and $Tr(ewx^*w^*wxw^*e) = Tr(ewx^*qwxw^*) = \tau(wx^*qwx) = \tau(qx^*qx)$. By (Corollary 2.2.(i) in [P4]), the irreducible subfactor $pP^\omega p$ of the II$_1$ factor $pM^\omega p$ contains a diffuse abelian subalgebra that’s 2-independent to $pFp$ with
respect to the trace state $\tau(\cdot)/\tau(p)$ on $p M^\omega p$. This implies that there exists a projection $q \in \mathcal{P}(p M^\omega p)$ of trace $\tau(q) = \varepsilon^2 \tau(p)^2/64$ such that $\tau(qx) = 0$ and $\|qxq\|_2^2/\tau(p) = (\tau(q)/\tau(p))^2 \tau(x^*x)/\tau(p)$, for all $x \in p F p$ and thus for all $x \in F$ as well (because $q \leq p$). Thus, for each such $x \in F$ one has $\|qxq\|_2^2 = (\varepsilon^4 \tau(p)^2/64)^2 \tau(x^*x) \leq \varepsilon^2 \tau(q)/64$.

It follows that $\|qxq\|_2 \leq \varepsilon\tau(q)^{1/2}/8$, $\forall x \in F$. Hence, for this choice of $q$, the right hand side term in (3) will be majorized by $\varepsilon\tau(q)/8$. By summing up over the seven terms in $\Sigma'$, we get $\Sigma' \leq 7\varepsilon\tau(q)/8$.

We now estimate the sum $\Sigma''$ of $|Tr(X)|$ with $X$ running over the remaining four terms in $\Sigma_2$, and the sum $\Sigma'''$ of four terms $|Tr(X)|$ with $X$ having only one occurrence of $w, w^*$ (i.e., the sum of the absolute values of the terms in $\Sigma_1$). We'll show that one can choose the “phase $w$” above the (fixed by now) projection $q$ in a way that makes $\Sigma'' + \Sigma'''$ be majorized by $\varepsilon\tau(q)/16$.

At this point, it is convenient to enumerate the elements in $F = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. For each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ we have

\[(4) \quad \Sigma'' = |\text{Tr}(ewx_i^*v^*evx_iw^*)| + |\text{Tr}(evx_i^*w^*ewx_i^*v^*)|\]

\[\quad + |\text{Tr}(ewx_i^*v^*ewx_i^*v^*)| + |\text{Tr}(evx_i^*w^*evx_i^*w^*)|\]

\[\quad = |\text{Tr}(w^*ewY_{1,i})| + |\text{Tr}(w^*ewY_{2,i})|\]

\[\quad + |\text{Tr}(wY_{3,i}wY_{4,i})| + |\text{Tr}(w^*Y_{5,i}w^*Y_{6,i})|\]

where each one of the terms $Y_{j,i}$ depends on $x_i \in F$ and belongs to the set $S_0 := q((M^\omega)_1 e(M^\omega)_1)q \subset qL^2(M, Tr)q$.

Note that, as $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, the number of possible indices $(j, i)$ in (4) is $6n$.

Note also that there are $2n$ terms of the form $|\text{Tr}(w^*ewY)|$, $n$ terms of the form $|\text{Tr}(wXwY)|$ and $n$ terms of the form $|\text{Tr}(w^*Xw^*Y)|$, which by using the fact that $|\text{Tr}(w^*Xw^*Y)| = |\text{Tr}(wX^*wY^*)|$ we can view as $n$ additional terms of the form $|\text{Tr}(wXwY)|$. In all this, the elements $X, Y$ belong to $S_0 \subset qL^2(M, Tr)q$, and are thus bounded in operator norm by $1$ and are supported (from left and right) by projections of trace $Tr$ majorized by $1$.

Similarly, as $i$ runs over $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, the four terms in $\Sigma'''$ give rise to $4n$ terms of the form $|\text{Tr}(wX)|$, for some $X \in S_0$. Note that by the definition of $Tr$, each one of these terms is equal to $|\tau(wy)|$ for some $y \in (qM^\omega q)_1$.

We want to prove that for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $w \in \mathcal{U}(qp^\omega q)$ such that each one of the above $8n$ terms is less than $\delta$.

To take care of the terms in $\Sigma''$, note that by results in ([P4] or [P8]) for any finite subset $E \subset qM^\omega q$, there exists a finite dimensional subfactor $P_0 \subset qp^\omega q$ such that $\|E_{P_0 \cap qM^\omega q}(y) - \tau(y)/\tau(q)q\|_2 \leq \delta\tau(q)/2$, $\forall y \in E$. By applying this to
the elements in $\Sigma''$, which are of the form $|\tau(wy)|$ with $y$ running over a certain finite set $E \subset (qM^\omega q)_1$, and using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, one obtains that for each unitary element $w \in N := P'_0 \cap qP^\omega q$ of trace satisfying $|\tau(w)| \leq \delta \tau(q)/2$, we have

$$
|\tau(wy)| = |\tau(E_{P_0'} \cap qM^\omega q(zy))| = |\tau(weE_{P_0'} \cap qM^\omega q(y))|
\leq |\tau(w(EP_0' \cap qM^\omega q(y) - (\tau(y)/\tau(q))q) + |\tau(w)||\tau(y)|
\leq \delta \tau(q)/2 + \delta \tau(q)/2 = \delta \tau(q),
$$

forall $y \in E$. Taking $\delta$ sufficiently small, one obtains that for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ one has $\Sigma'' \leq \varepsilon \tau(q)/32$, for any unitary element $w \in N$ satisfying $|\tau(w)| \leq \delta \tau(q)/2$.

Finally, let us take care of the terms in $\Sigma''$. To do this, recall that we are under the assumption $P \not\prec_M Q$, which in turn implies $P^\omega \not\prec_M Q^\omega$. Thus, $(P^\omega)' \cap M$ contains no finite non-zero projections of $M = \langle M^\omega, Q^\omega \rangle$ and so $N' \cap qMq$ contains no finite non-zero projections of $M$ either.

To estimate the terms in $\Sigma''$, we first show that for any $\delta_0 > 0$ and any two $m$-tuples of elements $(Z_1, \ldots, Z_m)$, $(Z'_1, \ldots, Z'_m)$ in $S_0 \cap M_+$, there exists a unitary element $w \in N$ such that

$$
\Sigma_i Tr(w^* Z_i w Z'_i) \leq \delta_0.
$$

To see this, let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the Hilbert space $L^2(qMq, Tr)^\otimes m$ and note that we have a unitary representation $U(N) \ni w \mapsto \pi(w) \in U(\mathcal{H})$, which on an $m$-tuple $X = (X_i)_{i=1}^m \in \mathcal{H}$ acts by $\pi(w)(X) = (w^* X_i w)_i$.

Now note that this representation has no (non-zero) fixed point. Indeed, for if $X \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfies $\pi(w)(X) = X$, $\forall w \in U(N)$, then on each component $X_i \in L^2(qMq, Tr)$ of $X$ we would have $w^* X_i w = X_i$, $\forall w$. Thus $X_i w = w X_i$ and since the unitaries of $N$ span linearly the algebra $N$, this would imply $X_i \in N' \cap L^1(qMq, Tr)$. Hence, $X_i^* X_i \in N' \cap L^1(qMq, Tr)$ and therefore all spectral projections of $X_i^* X_i$ corresponding to intervals $[t, \infty)$ with $t > 0$ would be projections of finite trace in $N' \cap qMq$, forcing them all to be equal to $0$. Thus, $X_i = 0$ for all $i$.

With this in mind, denote by $K_Z \subset \mathcal{H}$ the weak closure of the convex hull of the set $\{\pi(w)(Z) \mid w \in U(N)\}$, where $Z = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_m)$ is viewed as an element in $\mathcal{H}$. Since $K_Z$ is bounded and weakly closed, it is weakly compact, so it has a unique element $Z^0 \in K_Z$ of minimal norm $\| \cdot \|_{2, Tr}$. Since $K_Z$ is invariant to $\pi(w)$ and $\|\pi(w)(Z^0)\|_{2, Tr} = \|Z^0\|_{2, Tr}$, it follows that $\pi(w)(Z^0) = Z^0$. But we have shown that $\pi$ has no non-zero fixed points, and so $0 = Z^0 \in K_Z$.

Let us deduce from this that if $Z = (Z_i)_i, Z' = (Z'_i)_i$ are the two $m$-tuples of positive elements in $S_0$, then we can find $w \in U(N)$ such that (5) holds true. Indeed, for if there would exist $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $\Sigma_i Tr(\pi(w)(Z_i) Z'_i) \geq \delta_0, \forall w \in U(N)$, then
by taking convex combinations and weak closure, one would get $0 = \langle Z^0, Z' \rangle \geq \delta_0$, a contradiction.

This finishes the proof of $(5)$. Note that by taking for one of the $i$ the elements $Y_i, Y'_i$ to be equal to $e$, one can get $w \in \mathcal{U}(N)$ to also satisfy $|\tau(w)|^2 \leq \delta_0$.

We will now use this fact to prove that, given any $m$-tuples $(X_i), (Y_i), (X'_i), (Y'_i) \in S_0^m$ (not necessarily having positive operators as entries), there exists $w \in \mathcal{U}(N)$ such that $|\operatorname{Tr}(w^*X_iwX'_i)| \leq \delta_0, |\operatorname{Tr}(wY_iwY'_i)| \leq \delta_0$, for all $i$. Indeed, because if we denote by $e_i$ the left support of $X'_i$ and $f_i$ the left support of $Y'_i$, then by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we simultaneously have for all $i$ the estimates

$$|\operatorname{Tr}(w^*X_iwX'_i)|^2 \leq \operatorname{Tr}(w^*X_i^*X_iwX'_iX'_i^*)\operatorname{Tr}(e_i) \leq \operatorname{Tr}(w^*X_i^*X_iwX'_iX'_i^*)$$

and respectively

$$|\operatorname{Tr}(wY_iwY'_i)|^2 \leq \operatorname{Tr}(w^*Y_i^*Y_iwY'_iY'_i^*)\operatorname{Tr}(f_i) \leq \operatorname{Tr}(w^*Y_i^*Y_iwY'_iY'_i^*).$$

Since all $X_i^*X_i, X'_iX'_i^*, Y_i^*Y_i, Y'_iY'_i^*$ are positive elements in $S_0$, we can now apply $(5)$ to deduce that there exist $w \in \mathcal{U}(N)$ of arbitrarily small trace such that all the $4n$ elements appearing in $\Sigma''$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ are arbitrarily small, making $\Sigma'' \leq \varepsilon\tau(q)/32$, $\forall i$.

Altogether, we then get for $u = v + w$ the estimate

$$\|E_{Q^*}(uxu^*)\|_2^2 = \|E_{Q^*}(vxv^*)\|_2^2 + \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 + \Sigma_4$$

$$\leq \varepsilon\tau(ww^*) + \Sigma' + \Sigma'' + \Sigma'''$$

$$\leq \varepsilon\tau(ww^*) + 15\varepsilon\tau(ww^*)/16 + 2\varepsilon\tau(ww^*)/32 = \varepsilon\tau(uu^*),$$

for any $x \in F$. Thus, $u \in \mathcal{W}$ and $u \geq v, u \neq v$, contradicting the maximality of $v$.

This shows that $v$ must be a unitary element. Thus, if we represent $v \in P^\omega$ as a sequence of unitary elements $(v_n)_n$ in $P$, then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \omega} \|E_Q(v_n xv_n^*)\|_2^2 = \|E_Q(vxv^*)\|_2^2 \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0,$$

for all $x \in F$. Thus, if we let $v_0 = v_n$ for some large enough $n$, then $v_0$ satisfies $\|E_Q(v_0 xv_0^*)\|_2^2 \leq \varepsilon_0$, for all $x \in F$.

\[\square\]

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let $\{b_j\}_j \subset B$ be a sequence of elements that’s dense in $(B)_1$ in the Hilbert norm $\| \cdot \|_2$. By applying the above lemma to the factor $M = \Pi \omega M_n$, with its von Neumann subalgebra $Q = \Pi \omega Q_n$ and its irreducible
subfactor $P = \Pi_\omega P_n$, the finite set $F = \{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$ and $\varepsilon = 2^{-m-1}$, one gets a unitary element $u_m \in P$ such that $\|E_Q(u_m b_j u_m^*)\|_2^2 \leq 2^{-m-1}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Let us now take representations $b_j = (b_{j,n})_n \in B \subset M$ and $u_m = (u_{m,n})_n$, with $u_{m,n} \in \mathcal{U}(P_n)$ and $b_{j,n} \in (M_n)_1$. Thus, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \omega} \|E_{Q_n}(u_{m,n} b_{j,n} u_{m,n}^*)\|_2^2 \leq 2^{-m-1}. $$

Denote by $V_m$ the set of all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|E_{Q_n}(u_{m,n} b_{j,n} u_{m,n}^*)\|_2^2 < 2^{-m}$, for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. Note that $V_m$ corresponds to an open closed neighborhood of $\omega$ in $\Omega$, under the identification $\ell^\infty \mathbb{N} = C(\Omega)$. Let now $W_m$, $m \geq 0$, be defined recursively as follows: $W_0 = \mathbb{N}$ and $W_{m+1} = W_m \cap V_{m+1} \cap \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > \min W_m\}$. Note that, with the same identification as before, $W_m$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of neighborhoods of $\omega$ in $\Omega$.

Define $u = (u_m)_m$ by letting $u_n = u_{m,n}$ for $n \in W_{m-1} \setminus W_m$. It is then easy to see that $u$ is a unitary element in $P$ and that $\lim_{\omega} \|E_{Q_n}(u_m b_{j,n} u_{m,n}^*)\|_2^2 = 0$ for any $j$. In other words, $E_Q(u \cdot b_j u^*) = 0$, $\forall j$. By the density of the set $\{b_j\}_j$ in $(B)_1$, it follows that $uBu^* \perp Q$.

\[\Box\]

**Proof of Corollary 1.2.** This is just the case where all $M_n$ are equal to the same $\Pi_1$ factor $M$, all $P_n \subset M$ are equal to $M$ and $Q_n = Q$, $\forall n$, of Theorem 1.1.

\[\Box\]

### 2.4. Remark

Let us note here that the case $P_n = M_n$ and $Q_n \subset M_n$ abelian, $\forall n$, in Theorem 1.1 can be easily deduced directly from the main Theorem in [P4]. To see this, note first that it is sufficient to prove the statement for a larger $Q$, and since we can embed each $Q_n$ in a MASA (maximal abelian *-subalgebra) of $M_n$, it follows that it is sufficient to settle the case when $Q = \Pi_\omega Q_n$ has diffuse center $Z$. Then note that by [P4] there exists a Haar unitary $v \in M$ that’s free independent to $B$. Since in an ultrapower $\Pi_1$ factor any two Haar unitaries are unitary conjugate, since $Z$ was assumed diffuse, it follows that there exists $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $A_0 := u\{v\}^n u^* \subset Z = Z(Q)$. Thus, $uBu^*$ is free independent to $A_0 \subset Q$. But then, by Kesten’s Theorem [K], if $\{p_k\}_k$ is any finite partition of 1 with projections in $A_0$ of trace $\leq \varepsilon^2/2$, then one has as in [P7] the estimate $\|\Sigma_k p_k x p_k\| \leq \varepsilon$, $\forall x \in (uBu^*)_1$ with $\tau(x) = 0$. Thus, since $[A_0, Q] = 0$, we have $E_Q(x) = \Sigma_k p_k E_Q(x) p_k = E_Q(\Sigma_k p_k x p_k)$ has norm $\leq \varepsilon$, with $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary, implying that $x \perp Q$, i.e., $uBu^* \perp Q$.

### 3. Further comments

#### 3.1. Initial work on orthogonal subalgebras

The orthogonalization relation between
subalgebras $B, Q$ of a $\text{II}_1$ factor $M$, as well as questions about conjugating a subalgebra $B$ by a unitary element $u \in M$ such that $uBu^*$ becomes orthogonal to $Q$, have been first considered in [P1]. They were used in that paper as a tool for calculating normalizers, and more generally the intertwining space between subalgebras, in the spirit of what has later become the intertwining by bimodules techniques [P6].

For instance, it was shown in [P1] that if a unitary $u \in M$ has the property that $uA_0u^* \perp Q$ for some diffuse abelian subalgebra $A_0 \subset Q$, then $u$ is perpendicular to the normalizer of $Q$ in $M$. This allowed to prove several indecomposability properties (e.g., absence of Cartan subalgebras) for ultraproduct $\text{II}_1$ factors and for free group factors with uncountable number of generators.

Related to asymptotic orthogonalization, it has been shown in (Lemma 2.5 in [P1] and Corollary 2.4 in [P9]) that in order for a unitary $u$ to be orthogonal to the intertwining space $I(P, Q)$ between subalgebras $P, Q \subset M$, it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a diffuse subalgebra $B \subset Q^\omega$ such that $uBu^* \perp P^\omega$.

3.2. The orthogonalization problem. Given von Neumann subalgebras $B, Q$ in a $\text{II}_1$ factor $M$, the problem of finding a unitary $u \in M$ such that $uBu^* \perp Q$ will be called the orthogonalization problem for $B, Q \subset M$. For a given von Neumann subalgebra $Q \subset M$ and a fixed finite dimensional subalgebra $B$, with its trace inherited from $M$, all isomorphic copies of $B$ are unitary conjugate in $M$. Thus, the orthogonalization problem becomes a question about whether there exist copies of $B$ that are perpendicular to $Q$. This provides a source of isomorphism invariants for the inclusion $Q \subset M$.

3.3. The two dimensional case. The simplest case of this problem is when $B$ is two dimensional, i.e., $B = \mathbb{C}f + \mathbb{C}(1 - f)$, for some projection $f \in M$ of trace $\tau(f) = \alpha$. Thus, since all projections of same trace are unitary conjugate in $M$, the question of whether $B$ can be unitarily conjugated to an algebra orthogonal to the von Neumann subalgebra $Q \subset M$ becomes: for what $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ does there exist $f \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ such that $E_Q(f) = \alpha 1$.

This problem has been systematically investigated in [P3], where the answers are formulated in terms of the invariant

$$\Lambda(Q \subset M) := \{\alpha \in [0, 1] \mid \exists f \in \mathcal{P}(M), E_Q(f) = \alpha 1\}.$$ 

Similarly, one denotes its approximate version by

$$\Lambda_{app}(Q \subset M) := \{\alpha \in ([0, 1] \mid \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists f \in \mathcal{P}(M), \|E_Q(f) - \alpha 1\|_2 \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

It obviously coincides with $\Lambda(Q^\omega \subset M^\omega)$ and satisfies the property: $\alpha \in \Lambda_{app}$ if and only if the algebra $B = \mathbb{C}f + \mathbb{C}(1 - f)$, with $f$ a projection of trace $\alpha$,
can be asymptotically conjugated to an algebra orthogonal to \( Q \). Note also that \( \Lambda_{app}(Q^\omega \subset M^\omega) = \Lambda(Q^\omega \subset M^\omega) \).

It was already noticed in [P3] that if \( Q \subset M \) is an irreducible subfactor of infinite index, then (2.4 in [PP]) can be used to show that \( \Lambda_{app}(Q \subset M) = \Lambda(Q^\omega \subset M^\omega) = [0,1] \). This is of course implied by Theorem 1.1, which in fact applies to all cases when \( M \nsupseteq_M Q \), for instance when \( Q = A \) is a MASA in \( M \).

The calculation of the invariant \( \Lambda(Q \subset M) \) is in general quite difficult, but some partial answers could be obtained in [P3] in several particular cases. For instance, if \( Q = A \) is a MASA then \( \Lambda(A \subset M) \) contains all rationals in \([0,1]\) and if in addition \( \mathcal{N}_M(A)^\prime \prime \) is of type II\(_1\) then \( \Lambda(A \subset M) = [0,1] \) (this ought to be the case for any MASA).

In the finite index case, the results obtained in [P3] depend on weather \([M : Q] < 4\) (thus \([M : Q] = 4 \cos^2(\pi/n + 2)\) for some \( n \geq 1 \), by Jones celebrated results in [J]), or \([M : Q] \geq 4\). To describe them, denote \([M : Q]^{-1} = \lambda\) and define recursively the polynomials \( P_k(x) \) by \( P_{-1} \equiv 1 \), \( P_0 \equiv 1 \), \( P_{k+1}(x) = P_k(x) - xP_{k-1}(x) \), \( k \geq 0 \). Then, (Theorem in [P3]) shows that if \([M : Q] = \lambda^{-1} = 4 \cos^2(\pi/n + 2)\) then we have \( \Lambda(Q \subset M) = \{0\} \cup \{\lambda P_{k-1}/\lambda P_k(\lambda) \mid \lambda \leq k \leq n - 1\} \). While if \([M : Q] \geq 4\) and we let \( 0 < t \leq 1/2 \) be so that \((1-t) = \lambda\), then \( \Lambda(Q \subset M) \cap (0, t) = \{\lambda P_{k-1}(\lambda)/P_k(\lambda) \mid k \geq 0\} \).

Thus, the situation is quite rigid when the index is under the threshold 4, with the set \( \Lambda \) being finite and completely understood. While above the threshold 4 the set is always infinite, being completely determined in the intervals \([0, t) \cup (1-t, 1]\), but with the calculation of \( \Lambda(Q \subset M) \cap [t, 1-t] \) still open in general. It is interesting to note that in both cases (Theorem in [P3]) provides the following uniqueness result as well: any two projections \( f_1, f_2 \in M \) satisfying \( E_Q(f_1) = \alpha 1 = E_Q(f_2) \), with \( \alpha = \lambda P_{k-1}(\lambda)/\lambda P_k(\lambda) \) for some \( k \geq 0 \), are conjugate by a unitary element in \( Q \). Moreover, since \([M^\omega : Q^\omega] = [M : Q]\), the results in [P3] show that \( \Lambda(Q \subset M) = \Lambda_{app}(Q \subset M) \) for index < 4 and \( \Lambda(Q \subset M) \cap (0, t) = \Lambda_{app}(Q \subset M) \cap (0, t) \) for index \( \geq 4 \), with any projection that’s close to expect on a scalar in these sets being close to a projection that actually expects on a scalar.

The results in [P3] also show that for subfactors \( Q \subset M \) of index \( \geq 4 \) that are \emph{locally trivial}, i.e., for which \( Q' \cap M = \mathbb{C}1 + \mathbb{C}(1-f) \), \( fMf = Qf \), \( (1-f)M(1-f) = Q(1-f) \), with \( \tau(f) = \lambda \leq 1/2 \) where \( t(1-t) = \lambda = [M : Q]^{-1} \), the invariant \( \Lambda(Q \subset M) \) contains no points in the interval \((t, 1-t)\), being equal to the set \( \{0, t\} \cup \{\lambda P_{k-1}(\lambda)/P_k(\lambda) \mid k \geq 0\} \) when intersected with \([0,1/2]\). This is in particular the case when \( Q = \{e_n \mid n \geq 1\}'' \subset \{e_n \mid n \geq 0\}'' = M \) is a subfactor generated by Jones projections of trace \( \tau(e_n) = \lambda < 1/4 \).

The opposite phenomenon may hold true for subfactors \( Q \subset M \) with graph \( A_\infty \) and \([M : Q] = \lambda^{-1} > 4 \). Namely, it is quite possible that in all such cases
one has \([t, 1-t] \subset \Lambda(Q \subset M)\). As a supporting evidence, consider the standard representation \(Q^{st} \subset \mathcal{E} \mathcal{M}^{st}\) of \(Q \subset M\), as described in [P10]. This is an inclusion of discrete type I von Neumann algebras (i.e., direct sums of type \(I_{\infty}\) factors) with inclusion graph \(A_{\infty}\) and a conditional expectation \(\mathcal{E}\) having the property that \(\mathcal{E}|_M = E^M_Q\). Also, \(\mathcal{E}\) is the unique expectation preserving the trace \(Tr\) on \(\mathcal{M}^{st}\) whose weights are proportional to the square roots of indices of irreducible subfactors in the Jones tower. Since \(Q \subset M\) is embedded with commuting squares into \(Q^{st} \subset \mathcal{E} \mathcal{M}^{st}\), one has \(\Lambda(Q \subset M) \subset \Lambda(Q^{st} \subset \mathcal{E} \mathcal{M}^{st})\), and it is an easy exercise to see that the latter contains the entire interval \([t, 1-t]\) (however, it is not clear how one could “push down” into \(M\) a projection \(p \in \mathcal{M}^{st}\) that satisfies \(\mathcal{E}(p) = s1\) with \(s \in [t, 1-t]\)).

3.4. The finite dimensional case. The orthogonalization problem is certainly interesting for any finite dimensional abelian algebra \(B = \Sigma_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C} f_i\), with \(f_1, \ldots, f_n\) a partition of 1 with projections in \(M\) of trace \(\tau(f_i) = \alpha_i\), beyond the case \(n = 2\). To state this problem properly, for each \(n \geq 2\) we consider the set \(F_n\) of all \(n\)-tuples \(\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)\) with \(0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1\) and \(\Sigma_i \alpha_i = 1\). If \(Q\) is a von Neumann subalgebra of \(M\), we denote

\[
\Lambda_{n-1}(Q \subset M) := \{(\alpha_i)_{i} \in F_n \mid \exists f_1, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{P}(M), \Sigma_i f_i = 1, E_Q(f_i) = \alpha_i, \forall i\}.
\]

Thus, \(\Lambda_1(Q \subset M) = \{ (\beta, 1-\beta) \mid \beta \in \Lambda(Q \subset M)\}\). Also, any \((\alpha_i)_{i} \in \Lambda_{n-1}(Q \subset M)\) produces elements in \(\Lambda_{k-1}(Q \subset M)\) with \(k \leq n - 2\) by taking \(k\)-tuples \((\beta_j)_{j=1}^k\) corresponding to partitions of \(\{1, \ldots, n\}\) into \(k\) subsets \(S_j\) and letting \(\beta_j = \Sigma_i \in S_j \alpha_i\). Thus, the restrictions on \(\Lambda = \Lambda_1\) propagate into a set of restrictions for \(\Lambda_n\), \(n \geq 2\). In particular, any entry of an element in \(\Lambda_n(Q \subset M)\) for \(n \geq 1\) is at least \([M:Q]^{-1}\).

The question here is to calculate (or at least estimate) the invariants \(\Lambda_n(Q \subset M)\) for all \(n \geq 1\). The case when \(Q\) is an irreducible subfactor of finite index is particularly interesting. One source of \((n+1)\)-tuples \(\alpha \in \Lambda_n(Q \subset M)\) is to take irreducible subfactors \(P \subset Q\) and look for partitions of 1 with \(n+1\) projections \(f_1, \ldots, f_n, f_{n+1}\) in \(P' \cap M\). Indeed, because then \(E^M_Q(f_i) \in P' \cap Q = \mathbb{C}1\) must be scalars. Such \(P \subset Q\) can be taken to be an irreducible subfactor obtained by reducing inclusions from a Jones tunnel \(Q_{-m} \subset Q_{-m+1} \subset \ldots \subset Q_{-1} \subset Q \subset M\) associated with \(Q \subset M\) by a minimal projection in \(Q'_{-m} \cap Q\). Thus, an \((n+1)\)-tuple in \(\Lambda_n\) will appear whenever one has an \((n+1)\)-point in the principal graphs of \(Q \subset M, M \subset \langle M, Q \rangle\). For instance, any triple point in \(\Gamma_{Q \subset M}\), will produce an element in \(\Lambda_2(Q \subset M)\), whose entries are proportional to square roots of indices of the corresponding irreducible subfactors in the Jones tunnel/tower. When combined with the restrictions on entries coming from the obstructions on \(\Lambda(Q \subset M) = \Lambda_1(Q \subset M)\), this can provide restrictions on the existence of triple...
points (and thus of graphs of subfactors). More generally, one can apply this same reasoning to the universal graph of $\Gamma^n_{Q\subset M}$, as defined in [P10].

Another interesting question for subfactors of finite index $Q \subset M$ is whether $\Lambda(Q \subset M)$ (more generally $\Lambda_n(Q \subset M)$, $n \geq 1$) depends solely on the standard invariant $G_{Q\subset M}$ of the subfactor $Q \subset M$. The results in [P3] show that if $[M : Q] < 4$, then in fact $\Lambda(Q \subset M) = \Lambda_1(Q \subset M)$ only depends on $[M : Q]$. This may be the case for all $\Lambda_n(Q \subset M)$, when $[M : Q] \leq 4$, but it is quite unclear for index $> 4$, where however the irreducibly condition $Q' \cap M = \mathbb{C}$ should be imposed. A test case is when $\Gamma_{Q\subset M} = A_{\infty}$ (i.e., when $Q \subset M$ has TLJ standard invariant) with the index running over the interval $(4, \infty)$ (cf. [P5]).

3.5. Unitaries in orthonormal basis. One case of particular interest is to decide whether for some given $2 \leq k \leq n$ one can have $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_i \in \Lambda_n(Q \subset M)$ with $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \ldots = \alpha_k = \lambda = [M : Q]^{-1}$. Thus, in such a case one has $s\lambda \in \Lambda(Q \subset M)$ for any $s = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k$. By (Proposition 1.7 in [PP]), this is equivalent to whether $Q^-1 \subset Q$ has an orthonormal basis $\{m_i\}_i$ with the first $k$ terms $1 = m_1, \ldots, m_k$ being unitary elements.

If $[M : Q] \notin \mathbb{N}$ and $n$ denotes its integer part then, as pointed out in (1.4.2° of [PP]), the formula $\Sigma_i m_im_i^* = [M : Q]1$ implies $k \leq n - 1$.

The [P3] restrictions on $\Lambda(Q \subset M)$ can be used to obtain further restrictions on the maximal number of unitaries that can appear in an orthonormal basis of $M$ over $Q$. Indeed, if the index $[M : Q]$ is less than 4 but $\neq 3$, then the equations $\lambda P_{m-1}(\lambda)/P_m(\lambda) = 2\lambda$ do not have solutions for $\lambda^{-1} = 4 \cos^2(\pi/n+2)$ and $n \neq 2, 4$. If in turn $[M : Q] > 4$ and we let $[M : Q] = n + \varepsilon$, with $1 > \varepsilon > 0$, then having $n-1$ mutually orthogonal projections $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} \in M$ with $E_Q(f_i) = \lambda = [M : Q]^{-1}$ would imply that $f = 1 - \Sigma_i f_i$ satisfies $\tau(f) = 1 - (n-1)\lambda \in \Lambda(Q \subset M)$ and $\tau(f) \geq \lambda/(1 - \lambda)$. This in turn implies $\varepsilon \geq \lambda/(1 - \lambda)$. Thus, $\varepsilon \leq \lambda/(1 - \lambda)$ forces $k \leq n - 2$. In particular, this shows that if $4 < [M : Q] < 4 + \frac{\sqrt{13} - 3}{2} \approx 4.3$, then $k \leq 2$, i.e., there exists at most one unitary $u \in M$ with $E_Q(u) = 0$.

In turn, it is an open problem whether an irreducible subfactor $Q \subset M$ with integer index $n \geq 5$ always has an orthonormal basis with $n$ unitaries. Note that by a result in [P2], if a subfactor $Q$ of a $\Pi_1$ factor $M$ contains a Cartan subalgebra of $M$, then $Q \subset M$ does have an orthonormal basis of unitaries (even if its index is infinite). However, if a subfactor $Q \subset M$ has $A_{\infty}$-graph (e.g., when $Q \subset M$ is constructed by the universal amalgamated free product method in [P5]), then finding even one single unitary $u \in M$ with $E_Q(u) = 0$ is an open question (see also the conjecture at the end of 3.3 above).

3.6. A related dilation problem. The question about whether a scalar $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is the expected value on $Q$ of a projection in $M$ is viewed in [P3] as a dilation.
problem. More generally, one can ask this same question for an arbitrary element $b \in Q$ with $0 \leq b \leq 1$: can $b$ be dilated to a projection $p \in M$, i.e., does there exist a projection $p \in M$ such that $E_Q(p) = b$?

Alternatively, one can attempt the calculation of the entire set $E_Q(\mathcal{P}(M))$, or of the set $\tilde{\Lambda}(Q \subset M)$ of all functions $g : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ that can be spectral distributions of elements in $E_Q(\mathcal{P}(M))$, i.e., with the property that there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ with $g(\alpha) = \tau(e_\alpha(E_Q(p)))$, $\forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$. These sets should be calculable for subfactors of index < 4.

On the other hand, note that if $Q \subset M$ satisfies the infinite index condition $M \not\preceq_M Q$, then Corollary 1.2 easily yields a calculation of the approximate versions of these sets, showing that $E_{Q^\omega}(\mathcal{P}(M^\omega)) = \{b \in Q^\omega \mid 0 \leq b \leq 1\}$ and thus $\tilde{\Lambda}(Q^\omega \subset M^\omega)$ is equal to the set of non-increasing functions from $[0, 1]$ to $[0, 1]$. To see this, note first that $E_{Q^\omega}(\mathcal{P}(M^\omega))$ is $\| \|_2$-closed (by the usual $\omega$-diagonalisation procedure). Then note that any $b \in Q^\omega$ with $0 \leq b \leq 1$ can be approximated uniformly by elements of finite spectrum $b' = \Sigma_i \alpha_i q_i$ with $q_i \in \mathcal{P}(Q^\omega)$, $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1$. Finally, as noticed in 3.3 above, any $\alpha_i q_i$ can be dilated to a projection $p_i \in q_i M^\omega q_i$, thus $p = \Sigma_i p_i$ satisfies $E_{Q^\omega}(p) = b'$.
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