

# The 2D Tree Sliding Window Discrete Fourier Transform

LEE F. RICHARDSON, Department of Statistics and Data Science, Carnegie Mellon University

WILLIAM F. EDDY, Department of Statistics and Data Science, Carnegie Mellon University

---

We present a new algorithm for the 2D Sliding Window Discrete Fourier Transform (SWDFT). Our algorithm avoids repeating calculations in overlapping windows by storing them in a tree data-structure based on the ideas of the Cooley-Tukey Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). For an  $N_0 \times N_1$  array and  $n_0 \times n_1$  windows, our algorithm takes  $O(N_0 N_1 n_0 n_1)$  operations. We provide a C implementation of our algorithm for the Radix-2 case, compare ours with existing algorithms, and show how our algorithm easily extends to higher dimensions.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Fourier, Tree

---

## 1 INTRODUCTION

In the early 19<sup>th</sup> century, Joseph Fourier proposed that a function could be represented by an infinite series of sine and cosine waves with different frequencies, now called the Fourier transform. The Fourier transform burst into the digital age when [Cooley and Tukey, 1965] re-discovered the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) algorithm (see [Heideman et al., 1985] for a history, which credits both the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) and FFT algorithm to Gauss). Since then, applications of the Fourier transform have soared ([Bracewell, 1986]).

The FFT reduces the number of operations for the DFT of a 1D length  $n$  signal from  $O(n^2)$  to  $O(n \log n)$ . The FFTs computational savings are so significant that the Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM) listed the FFT as a top-ten algorithm of the 20<sup>th</sup> century ([Cipra, 2000]). That said, a downside of the FFT is that it operates on a global signal, meaning that local-in-time information is lost. Desiring locality, [Gabor, 1946] introduced a transform balancing time and frequency, which we call the Sliding Window Discrete Fourier Transform (SWDFT).

---

Authors' addresses: Department of Statistics and Data Science, Carnegie Mellon University, 5000 Forbes Ave, Pittsburgh, PA 15213. Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).

© 2022 Association for Computing Machinery.

Manuscript submitted to ACM

Manuscript submitted to ACM

After [Gabor, 1946], a plethora of researchers developed a variety of algorithms for the 1D Sliding Window Discrete Fourier Transform (1D SWDFT). Given the range of algorithms, we review the literature in Section 2, tying together previous work and major developmental themes.

Today, Fourier transform applications extend beyond 1D. Like 1D, 2D Fourier transforms operate globally, but can capture local information using a 2D SWDFT. This paper presents a new 2D SWDFT algorithm, called The 2D Tree SWDFT. Compared with existing algorithms, the 2D Tree SWDFT is fast, numerically stable, easy to extend, works for non-square windows, and is the only one with a publicly available software implementation.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews existing SWDFT algorithms. Section 3 describes the 1D algorithm we extend in this paper. Section 4 derives our new algorithm, discusses implementation, software, and numerical stability, compares ours with existing algorithms, and shows how our new algorithm extends to higher dimensions. Section 5 concludes with a brief discussion.

## 2 PREVIOUS WORK

Since the 1960s, researchers have produced two classes of algorithms for the SWDFT: recursive and non-recursive. Recursive algorithms update DFT coefficients from previous windows using both new data and the Fourier shift theorem, and non-recursive algorithms reuse FFT calculations in overlapping windows. This section briefly reviews the history of both algorithm classes, starting with recursive algorithms, then non-recursive algorithms, and concluding with recent developments in 2D.

The first recursive SWDFT algorithm was introduced by [Halberstein, 1966], and has since been rediscovered many times (e.g. [Amin, 1987, Aravena, 1990, Bitmead, 1982, Bongiovanni et al., 1976, Hostetter, 1980, Lilly, 1991]). Both [Sherlock and Monro, 1992] and [Unser, 1983] gave 2D versions of the recursive algorithm, and [Sherlock, 1999] derives recursive algorithms for different window functions. [Sorensen and Burrus, 1988] generalize the recursive algorithm to situations where the window moves more than one position, and [Park and Ko, 2014] improved this generalization in an article titled “The Hopping DFT”. [Macias and Exposito, 1998], [Albrecht et al., 1997], and [Albrecht and Cumming, 1999] all proposed improvements to the recursive algorithm. Finally, the most cited recursive algorithm paper is [Jacobsen and Lyons, 2003], likely because this paper provides an excellent description.

One downside of the recursive algorithm is numerical error. In fact, [Covell and Richardson, 1991] proved that the variance of the numerical error is unbounded. Researchers responded by proposing numerically stable adaptations (e.g. [Douglas and Soh, 1997, Duda, 2010, Jacobsen and Lyons, 2003, Park, 2015b]). Although most of these adapted algorithms substantially increase computational complexity, [Park, 2017] recently proposed the fastest, numerically stable recursive algorithm, called the “Optimal Sliding DFT”. [van der Byl and Inggis, 2016] recently reviewed recursive SWDFT algorithms.

A numerically stable alternative to recursive algorithms are non-recursive algorithms. Whereas recursive algorithms update DFT coefficients from previous windows, non-recursive algorithms calculate an FFT in each window position, and reuse calculations already computed in previous window positions. Like the

recursive algorithms, different non-recursive algorithms have been discovered by (at least) four different authors. [Bongiovanni et al., 1975] proposed the first non-recursive algorithm, calling it the “Triangular Fourier Transform” (TFT). Shortly after, [Covell and Richardson, 1991] and [Farhang and Lim, 1992] proposed non-recursive algorithms, and [Farhang-Boroujeny, 1995] generalized the algorithm to arbitrary sized shifts. Since then, [Exposito and Macias, 2000, 1999] improved the algorithm for use in a digital relaying application. [Exposito and Macias, 1999] also pointed out that the butterfly diagram forms a binary tree, which was known (e.g. [Van Loan, 1992]), but this was apparently the first time the tree was connected with the SWDFT. Recently, [Montoya et al., 2012] and [Montoya et al., 2014] gave further improvements to the non-recursive algorithm, including extension to the Radix-4 case.

Independent of the non-recursive algorithms proposed by [Bongiovanni et al., 1975, Covell and Richardson, 1991, Farhang and Lim, 1992], [Wang et al., 2009] discovered another non-recursive algorithm while conducting a magnetoencephalography experiment. [Wang et al., 2009] capitalized on the binary tree structure of the Radix-2 FFT, and used it to derive a 3D data-structure shaped like a long triangular prism, with one binary tree for each window position. [Wang and Eddy, 2010, 2012] further developed a parallel version of this algorithm. This paper extends the algorithm described by [Wang et al., 2009], which we call the Tree SWDFT, to 2D.

Recently, researchers have proposed new algorithms for the 2D SWDFT. [Park, 2015a] extended the 1D recursive algorithm to 2D, and [Byun et al., 2016] proposed a 2D SWDFT based on the  $2 \times 2$  Vector-Radix FFT algorithm ([Harris et al., 1977, Rivard, 1977]). We use the algorithms of [Park, 2015a] and [Byun et al., 2016] as comparisons for the 2D Tree SWDFT algorithm proposed in this paper.

### 3 THE 1D TREE SLIDING WINDOW DISCRETE FOURIER TRANSFORM

This section describes the algorithm in [Wang et al., 2009], which we extend in Section 4. After defining the 1D SWDFT, we show a tree data-structure for the FFT, then illustrate how the tree data-structure leads to the 1D Tree SWDFT algorithm.

#### 3.1 The 1D Sliding Window Discrete Fourier Transform

The 1D SWDFT takes a sequence of DFTs within each position of a sliding window. Specifically, let  $\mathbf{x} = [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}]$  be a length  $N$  complex-valued signal, and let  $n \leq N$  be the window size. Indexing the window position by  $p = n - 1, n, \dots, N - 1$ , the 1D SWDFT is:

$$a_k^p = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{p-n+1+j} \omega_n^{-jk} \quad (1)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$ , where  $\omega_n = \exp \frac{i2\pi}{n} = \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} + i \sin \frac{2\pi}{n}$ .

A straightforward calculation of Equation 1 takes  $Pn^2$  operations, where  $P = N - n + 1$  is the number of window positions. Replacing the DFT with an FFT for each window position reduces this to  $O(Pn \log n)$

operations. The fast algorithm described in this section, in addition to the algorithms described in Section 2, further reduces the computational complexity to  $O(Pn)$ .

We clarify a few points regarding the FFT algorithm used in this paper. First, while many different FFT algorithms have been developed (e.g. [Good, 1958, Rabiner et al., 1969]), we focus on the [Cooley and Tukey, 1965] algorithm. So whenever we say FFT, we are referring to the Cooley-Tukey algorithm. Next, since the FFT factorizes a length  $n$  signal, different algorithms exist for different  $n$ . This paper only considers in detail when  $n$  is a power of two, called the Radix-2 case. However, the Cooley-Tukey algorithm easily extends to arbitrary factorizations.

### 3.2 Butterflies, Overlapping Trees, and a Fast Algorithm

The 1D Tree SWDFT algorithm takes a 1D FFT in each window, and avoids repeating calculations already computed in previous windows by storing them in a tree data-structure. Understanding which calculations have already been computed requires a detailed understanding of the FFT. Figure 1 shows the famous butterfly diagram, giving FFT calculations for a length  $n = 8$  signal. The squares on the left of Figure 1 correspond to the input data, the circles on the right are the output DFT coefficients, and the arrows in the middle are the calculations. Both [Covell and Richardson, 1991] and [Farhang and Lim, 1992] derived their non-recursive algorithms by showing that calculations in the butterfly diagram repeat in overlapping windows.

An equivalent tree diagram of the FFT is shown in the top panel of Figure 2. It is important to stress that the calculations in the butterfly and tree diagrams are the same. For sliding windows, the tree diagram has two major advantages. First, the input data and output coefficients are ordered. Second, underneath  $x_7$  is a binary tree with three (since  $2^3 = 8$ ) levels, and the final level of this binary tree contains the DFT coefficients.

Figure 2 demonstrates the 1D Tree SWDFT algorithm. The top panel shows calculations for the window position one with input data  $[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_7]$ , and the bottom panel shows the calculations for window position two with input data  $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_8]$ . In window two, solid arrows represent computations made in window one, and dashed arrows represent new window two calculations. The number of new window two calculations is exactly the size of the binary tree, and the difference between the number of solid and dashed arrows is exactly the log factor speed up gained by the 1D Tree SWDFT algorithm.

Implementing the 1D Tree SWDFT requires calculating each node of each binary tree, which corresponds to three nested loops:

- (1) over  $N$  trees
- (2) over  $\log_2(n)$  levels
- (3) over  $2^l$  nodes at level  $l$  of each tree.

The only restriction on loop order is that loop 2 (over levels) must precede loop 3 (over nodes), since nodes at lower levels of the tree depend on nodes at higher levels. There are three possible loop orders:




Fig. 1. A butterfly diagram for  $n = 8$ . The squares on the left are the input data, and the circles on the right are the DFT coefficients. Multiplication takes place at the beginning of each arrow, and addition takes place at the end. For example, the first calculation on the bottom left means that  $x_7$  is multiplied by  $\omega^4$ , then added to  $x_3$ .

(1, 2, 3), (2, 1, 3), and (2, 3, 1); the first ordering would be appropriate for data which arrives sequentially in time.

#### 4 THE 2D TREE SLIDING WINDOW DISCRETE FOURIER TRANSFORM

This section presents the 2D Tree SWDFT algorithm. After defining the 2D SWDFT, we derive the algorithm. We then discuss algorithm implementation, numerical stability, our software package, compare our new algorithm with existing algorithms, and show how the algorithm extends to higher dimensions.




Fig. 2. Calculations for the 1D Tree SWDFT algorithm in the first two length  $n = 8$  windows. Window one shows the tree data-structure of FFT calculations for input data  $[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_7]$ . In window one, the DFT coefficients are circles at the third level of the binary tree underneath  $x_7$ . Window two shows FFT calculations in window two, where solid arrows indicate window one calculations, and dashed arrows indicate new window two calculations. In window two, the large square indicates the new data-point ( $x_8$ ) entering the window, and the small square indicates the data-point ( $x_0$ ) leaving the window.

#### 4.1 The 2D Sliding Window Discrete Fourier Transform

The 2D SWDFT of an  $N_0 \times N_1$  array calculates a 2D DFT for all  $n_0 \times n_1$  windows. This derivation requires that  $n_0 = 2^{m_0}$  and  $n_1 = 2^{m_1}$ , the Radix-2 case. Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be an  $N_0 \times N_1$  array. There are  $P_i = N_i - n_i + 1$ ;  $i = 0, 1$  window positions in each direction, making  $P_0 P_1$  total window positions. Indexing the window position by  $(p_0, p_1)$ , where  $p_i = n_i - 1, n_i, \dots, N_i - 1$ ;  $i = 0, 1$ , the 2D SWDFT is:

$$a_{k_0, k_1}^{p_0, p_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0 n_1}} \sum_{j_0=0}^{n_0-1} \sum_{j_1=0}^{n_1-1} x_{p_0-n_0+1+j_0, p_1-n_1+1+j_1} \omega_{n_0}^{-j_0 k_0} \omega_{n_1}^{-j_1 k_1} \quad (2)$$

where  $k_i = 0, 1, \dots, n_i - 1$ ;  $i = 0, 1$ . Equation 2 outputs a  $P_0 \times P_1 \times n_0 \times n_1$  array.

A straightforward calculation of Equation 2 takes  $P_0 P_1 n_0^2 n_1^2$  operations. Replacing the 2D DFT with a 2D FFT (described next) reduces the number of operations to  $O(P_1 P_0 n_0 n_1 \log(n_0 n_1))$ . Our algorithm, along with the algorithms of [Park, 2015a] and [Byun et al., 2016], further reduce this to  $O(P_0 P_1 n_0 n_1)$ .

#### 4.2 Derivation

Conceptually, the 2D Tree SWDFT algorithm works like 1D. As in 1D, there is a tree data-structure with 2D FFT calculations underneath each data-point (see top panel of Figure 3). Also like 1D, the 2D Tree SWDFT reuses 2D FFT calculations computed in previous windows. Finally, just like there are different 1D FFT algorithms, there are also different 2D FFT algorithms (see Section 9 of [Duhamel and Vetterli, 1990]).

Since the 2D Tree SWDFT computes a 2D FFT for each window position, and different 2D FFT algorithms exist, our 2D Tree SWDFT derivation requires specifying which 2D FFT to use. The two most commonly

used 2D FFTs are the Row-Column FFT and the Vector-Radix FFT. This derivation uses the Row-Column FFT due to its flexibility and straightforward implementation. However, the 2D Tree SWDFT can also be derived by swapping the Row-Column FFT with the Vector-Radix FFT ([Byun et al., 2016]). To be clear, the 2D Tree SWDFT algorithm takes a 2D FFT in each window position, and stores the intermediate calculations in a tree data-structure. The Row-Column FFT is the specific 2D FFT algorithm used in this derivation of the 2D Tree SWDFT algorithm.

The key to the Row-Column FFT is the “factorization” property of the 2D DFT. Factorization means that 2D DFTs can be computed using 1D DFTs:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k_0, k_1} &= \sum_{j_0=0}^{n_0-1} \sum_{j_1=0}^{n_1-1} x_{j_0, j_1} \omega_{n_0}^{-j_0 k_0} \omega_{n_1}^{-j_1 k_1} \\ &= \sum_{j_0=0}^{n_0-1} z_{j_0, k_1} \omega_{n_0}^{-j_0 k_0} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

For  $k_i = 0, \dots, n_i - 1, j_i = 0, \dots, n_i - 1; i = 0, 1$ , where:

$$z_{j_0, k_1} = \sum_{j_1=0}^{n_1-1} x_{j_0, j_1} \omega_{n_1}^{-j_1 k_1} \quad (4)$$

This implies the 2D DFT can be computed by first taking 1D FFTs of each row ( $z_{j_0, k_1}$ ), followed by 1D FFTs of the resulting columns. This sequence of 1D FFTs is exactly the Row-Column FFT.

Though conceptually similar, several details differentiate the 1D and 2D Tree SWDFT algorithms. In 2D, the trees underneath each data-point are two-dimensional, where the two dimensions are  $m_0 = \log_2(n_0)$  and  $m_1 = \log_2(n_1)$ . Second, we now require two twiddle-factor vectors; Twiddle factors (named by [Gentleman and Sande, 1966]) are the trigonometric constants used for combining smaller DFTs during the FFT algorithm (the  $\omega$ 's in Figures 1 and 2 are twiddle factors). Finally, when the window slides by one position, we now replace an entire row or column, as opposed to a single data-point in 1D.

Figure 3 shows how the 2D Tree SWDFT works. The solid arrows in window two indicate 2D FFT calculations from window one, and the dashed arrows are the new calculations required for window two. Like 1D, the number of dashed lines is exactly the size of the tree underneath the bottom-right point ( $x_{1,4}$ ) of window two. With this preamble, we are ready to derive the 2D Tree SWDFT.

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a  $N_0 \times N_1$  array, with window sizes  $n_0 \times n_1$ , where  $n_0 = 2^{m_0}$  and  $n_1 = 2^{m_1}$ , the Radix-2 case. We do not require that  $n_0 = n_1$ . Our trees have  $m_0 + m_1$  levels: the first  $m_0$  levels correspond to 1D row FFTs, the next  $m_1$  levels correspond to 1D column FFTs, and the final level ( $m_0 + m_1$ ) is the 2D DFT coefficients. Let  $\Omega^i = [\omega_{n_i}^0, \omega_{n_i}^{-1}, \dots, \omega_{n_i}^{-(n_i-1)}]; i = 0, 1$  be a length  $n_i$  twiddle-factor vector. Finally, let  $\mathbf{T}$  be the tree data-structure that stores 2D FFT calculations for each window position. We access  $\mathbf{T}$  by window position,




Fig. 3. The 2D Tree SWDFT for two window positions, where window position one has input data  $x_{i,j}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, j = 0, 1, 2, 3$  and window position two replaces column 0 ( $x_{0,0}, x_{1,0}$ , the small white squares) with column 4 ( $x_{0,4}, x_{1,4}$ , the large darker squares). The circles on level three of the 2D binary tree below the bottom-right input data-point of each window position are the 2D DFT coefficients. In the bottom panel, solid lines are calculations from window one, and dashed lines are calculations from window two.

level, and node. For example,  $T_{p_0, p_1, l, i_0, i_1}$  corresponds to node  $(i_0, i_1)$  on the  $l^{th}$  level of the tree at window position  $(p_0, p_1)$ .

We give the calculations for an arbitrary tree at window position  $(p_0, p_1)$ . Each level  $l$  has  $2^l$  nodes. Level zero of the tree is the data:  $T_{p_0, p_1, 0, 0, 0} = x_{p_0, p_1}$ . We use one additional piece of notation: let  $s_l^d$  be the “shift” for dimension  $d$  and level  $l$ . The “shift” ( $s_l^d$ ) identifies which tree has the repeated calculation needed for the current calculation. For example, if  $s_1^0 = 2$ , this means for level 1, the repeated calculation is located at tree  $(p_0 - 2, p_1)$ . For levels corresponding to row FFTs:  $s_l^1 = 2^{m_1 - l}$ , and for column FFT levels:  $s_l^0 = 2^{m_0 + m_1 - l}$ .

Level one of tree  $(p_0, p_1)$  has  $2^1 = 2$  nodes:  $(0, 0), (0, 1)$ . The shift is  $s_1^1 = 2^{m_1 - 1}$ , meaning the repeated calculation is at tree  $(p_0, p_1 - s_1^1)$ . For node  $(0, i_1)$ , the calculation is a complex multiplication between node  $(0, i_1 \bmod 2^{1-1}) = (0, 0)$  at level  $1 - 1 = 0$  of the current tree  $(p_0, p_1)$ , and the  $i_1 \cdot s_1^1$  element from twiddle-factor



vector  $\Omega^1$ . The complex multiplication output is then added to node  $(0, 0)$  from the shifted tree  $(p_0, p_1 - s_1^1)$ . Using our tree notation:

$$T_{p_0, p_1, 1, 0, i_1} = T_{p_0, p_1 - s_1^1, 0, 0, 0} + \Omega_{i_1 \cdot s_1^1}^1 \cdot T_{p_0, p_1, 0, 0, 0}$$

for  $i_1 = 0, 1$ , which is a length two DFT of  $x_{p_0, p_1}$  and  $x_{p_0, p_1 - s_1^1}$ .

Calculating each node of **T** has the same form:  $T_A = T_B + \Omega_C^i \cdot T_D$ .  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  are indices into either **T**,  $\Omega^0$ , or  $\Omega^1$ , depending on whether the level  $l$  of the tree corresponds to the row (1 to  $m_1$ ) or column ( $m_1 + 1$  to  $m_1 + m_0$ ) part of the Row-Column FFT. We give the exact calculations for both situations next.

Level  $t \leq m_1$  of tree  $(p_0, p_1)$  has  $2^t$  nodes: 1 in the row-direction, and  $2^t$  in the column-direction. The repeated calculation comes from tree  $(p_0, p_1 - s_t^1)$ , where  $s_t^1 = 2^{m_1 - t}$ . For node  $(0, i_1)$ ;  $i_1 = 0, 1, \dots, 2^t - 1$ , the calculation is:

$$T_{p_0, p_1, t, 0, i_1} = T_{p_0, p_1 - s_t^1, t-1, 0, i_1 \bmod 2^{t-1}} + \Omega_{i_1 \cdot s_t^1}^1 \cdot T_{p_0, p_1, t-1, 0, i_1 \bmod 2^{t-1}} \quad (5)$$

Level  $v > m_1$  of tree  $(p_0, p_1)$  has  $2^v$  nodes:  $2^{v-m_1}$  in the row-direction, and  $n_1$  in the column-direction. The repeated calculation comes from tree  $(p_0 - s_v^0, p_1)$ , where  $s_v^0 = 2^{m_0 + m_1 - v}$ . For node  $(i_0, i_1)$ ;  $i_0 = 0, 1, \dots, n_1, i_1 = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{v-m_1} - 1$ , the calculation is:

$$T_{p_0, p_1, v, i_0, i_1} = T_{p_0 - s_v^0, p_1, v-1, i_0 \bmod 2^{v-m_1-1}, i_1} + \Omega_{i_0 \cdot s_v^0}^0 \cdot T_{p_0, p_1, v-1, i_0 \bmod 2^{v-m_1-1}, i_1} \quad (6)$$

The final level  $(m_0 + m_1)$  contains the 2D DFT coefficients for window position  $(p_0, p_1)$ . After calculating **T**, all that remains is selecting the subset at level  $m_0 + m_1$  of each tree, and the algorithm is complete.

### 4.3 Algorithm Implementation

After creating the twiddle-factor vectors and allocating memory, we implement the 2D Tree SWDFT in six nested loops:

- (1) over  $m_0 = \log_2(n_0)$  levels corresponding to row FFTs
- (2) over  $m_1 = \log_2(n_1)$  levels corresponding to column FFTs
- (3) over  $N_0$  trees in the row-direction
- (4) over  $N_1$  trees in the column-direction
- (5) over  $2^{l_0}$  nodes in the row-direction at level  $l_0$
- (6) over  $2^{l_1}$  nodes in the column-direction at level  $l_1$

Inside the six loops is either Equation 5 or 6, depending on whether the level corresponds to the row or column part of the Row-Column FFT algorithm.

Since the next level of the trees only depends on the previous level, our implementation allocates  $2N_0N_1n_0n_1$  complex numbers in memory:  $N_0N_0n_0n_1$  for both the previous and current level. This is possible because the two loops over levels are innermost. This also implies our algorithm is suitable for parallel computing, following [Wang and Eddy, 2012].

Like 1D, we can swap the order of the loops. For our derivation, the only restrictions are that loop 1 must precede loop 2, and loops 5 and 6 must come after loops 1 and 2. A particularly interesting order is when loops 3 and 4 (over trees) are innermost. This version can be tailored to a real-time task, opposed to the “levels innermost” order, which requires all data to be available. For example, if the channels of a multi-spectral scanner are considered as a two-dimensional array, and  $\mathbf{T}$  is stored, we could calculate the output for a new window in  $O(n_0n_1)$  time.

The 2D SWDFT is memory intensive, since the output is a  $P_0 \times P_1 \times n_0 \times n_1$  array. For example, if  $P_0 = P_1 = 400$ , and  $n_0 = n_1 = 32$ , then the output is 163,840,000 complex numbers. Because our implementation uses 16 byte double complex numbers, the output alone requires  $16 \cdot 163840000 = 2621440000$  bytes, or  $\approx 2.6$  gigabytes.

#### 4.4 Extension to $k$ D

Our algorithm extends directly to  $k$ D. For instance, if we had an  $N_0 \times N_1 \times \dots \times N_{k-1}$  array, with  $n_i = 2^{m_i} \leq N_i$ ;  $i = 0, \dots, k-1$  windows, then the calculation for node  $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{k-1})$ , level  $l$ , and dimension  $c$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{p_0, \dots, p_{k-1}, l, 0, \dots, i_c, i_{c+1}, \dots, i_{k-1}} &= T_{p_0, \dots, p_c - s_l^c, \dots, p_{k-1}, l-1, 0, \dots, i_c \bmod 2^{l-1-\sum_{i=c+1}^{k-1} m_i}, i_{c+1}, \dots, i_{k-1}} \\ &+ \Omega_{i_c \cdot s_l^c}^c \cdot T_{p_0, \dots, p_{k-1}, l-1, 0, \dots, i_c \bmod 2^{l-1-\sum_{i=c+1}^{k-1} m_i}, i_{c+1}, \dots, i_{k-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $s_l^c = 2^{(\sum_{i=c}^{k-1} m_i) - l}$ , and dimension  $c$  contains levels  $[\sum_{i=c+1}^{k-1} m_i + 1, \sum_{i=c}^{k-1} m_i]$  of the trees. Extending the implementation to any dimension is possible following Equation 7. For example, the 3D implementation takes 9 loops: 3 over dimension, 3 over trees, and 3 over nodes. The computation inside the loops is one of:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{p_0, p_1, p_2, l, 0, 0, i_2} &= T_{p_0, p_1, p_2 - s_l^2, l-1, 0, 0, i_2 \bmod 2^{l-1}} + \Omega_{i_2 \cdot s_l^2}^2 \cdot T_{p_0, p_1, p_2, l-1, 0, 0, i_2 \bmod 2^{l-1}} \\ T_{p_0, p_1, p_2, l, 0, i_1, i_2} &= T_{p_0, p_1 - s_l^1, p_2, l-1, 0, i_1 \bmod 2^{l-m_2-1}, i_2} + \Omega_{i_1 \cdot s_l^1}^1 \cdot T_{p_0, p_1, p_2, l-1, 0, i_1 \bmod 2^{l-m_2-1}, i_2} \\ T_{p_0, p_1, p_2, l, i_0, i_1, i_2} &= T_{p_0 - s_l^0, p_1, p_2, l-1, i_0 \bmod 2^{l-m_1-m_2-1}, i_1, i_2} + \Omega_{i_0 \cdot s_l^0}^0 \cdot T_{p_0, p_1, p_2, l-1, i_0 \bmod 2^{l-m_1-m_2-1}, i_1, i_2} \end{aligned}$$

Depending on if level  $l$  of the tree is between  $[1, m_2]$ ,  $[m_2 + 1, m_2 + m_1]$ , or  $[m_2 + m_1 + 1, m_2 + m_1 + m_0]$ , respectively. The 4D implementation follows the same idea with  $3 \cdot 4 = 12$  loops, and so on.

#### 4.5 Software Description

In the software package accompanying this paper, our primary contribution is a C implementation of the 2D Tree SWDFT algorithm. The purpose of our implementation is not a specially optimized program, but rather a precise description of how the algorithm works.

Our package is small and light-weight, and only requires a `gcc` compiler and C standard libraries to run. The only function included in our primary source code is the 2D Tree SWDFT implementation. We include two other functions to verify the accuracy and stability of our implementation: the 2D SWDFT and 2D SWFFT. The 2D SWDFT takes a 2D DFT in each window position, and the 2D SWFFT takes a 2D FFT in each window position. We include these two functions because other algorithms of comparable theoretical speed ([Byun et al., 2016, Park, 2015a]), to our knowledge, do not have publicly available software implementations. Figure 4 compares the speed of our 2D SWDFT, 2D SWFFT, and 2D Tree SWDFT implementations.




Fig. 4. Speed comparison of the 2D SWDFT, 2D SWFFT, and 2D Tree SWDFT algorithms. Each algorithm is run on an  $100 \times 100$  array, with window sizes  $n_0 = n_1 = 4, 8, 16, 32$ , and  $64$ . This shows the speedup due to fewer operations in the 2D Tree SWDFT, controlling for the implementation details.

#### 4.6 Numerical Stability

The initial motivation for non-recursive SWDFT algorithms was numerical stability. As discussed in Section 2, recursive algorithms were proposed before non-recursive algorithms, but were quickly identified as numerically unstable. The reason recursive algorithms are numerically unstable is that the complex exponentials (e.g.  $\omega_n$ ) are represented with finite precision, and the rounding errors accumulate as the number of window positions increases. In contrast, non-recursive algorithms use the same underlying calculations as FFT algorithms, so the output is identical to the 2D SWFFT. Another way to say this is

that the the number of operations for non-recursive algorithms is bounded. For example, computing each coefficient with the 2D Tree SWDFT algorithm takes exactly  $2(n_0n_1 - 1)$  operations (see Equation 8 below). We include a program in the tests of our software package that shows our algorithm gives identical results to the 2D SWFFT, since both algorithms use identical intermediate calculations.

#### 4.7 Results and Comparisons

The run-time of the 2D Tree SWDFT algorithm grows linearly in window  $(n_0n_1)$  and array  $(N_0N_1)$  size. This is because calculating each node requires one complex multiplication and one complex addition, defined as an operation (the same definition was used in [Cooley and Tukey, 1965]). Since level  $l$  requires  $2^l$  operations, number of operations per window is:

$$C_{each} = \sum_{l=1}^{m_0+m_1} 2^l = (2 + 4 + \dots + 2^{m_0+m_1}) = 2(2^{m_0+m_1} - 1) = 2(n_0n_1 - 1) \quad (8)$$

The exact run-time is slightly more complicated, since windows with indices either less than  $n_0$  in the row direction or less than  $n_1$  in the column direction do not require complete trees. These extra calculations are negligible for large arrays, so the 2D Tree SWDFT takes  $O(P_0P_1n_0n_1)$  operations. Table 1 compares the speed, memory, and properties of the 2D Tree SWDFT with existing algorithms. Our memory numbers for [Park, 2015a] and [Byun et al., 2016] come from the Table 2 in [Byun et al., 2016]. Out of existing  $O(P_0P_1n_0n_1)$  algorithms, our 2D Tree SWDFT is the only one that is numerically stable, works for non-square windows, and has an existing publicly available software implementation.

Algorithm	Speed	Memory	Numerically Stable	Non-Square Windows
2D SWDFT	$O(P_0P_1n_0^2n_1^2)$	$P_0P_1n_0n_1$	Yes	Yes
2D SWFFT	$O(P_0P_1n_1n_0 \log(n_0n_1))$	$P_0P_1n_0n_1$	Yes	Yes
[Park, 2015a]	$O(P_0P_1n_0n_1)$	$P_0P_1n_0n_1 + 2n + 1$	No	Yes
[Byun et al., 2016]	$O(P_0P_1n_0n_1)$	$P_0P_1n_0n_1 + n^2 2 \log_2(n - 1) + 1$	Yes	No
This Paper	$O(P_0P_1n_0n_1)$	$2N_0N_1n_0n_1$	Yes	Yes

Table 1. Speed, memory, and properties of existing 2D SWDFT algorithms. The 2D SWDFT and SWFFTs take a 2D DFT or 2D FFT in each window. [Park, 2015a] extends the 1D recursive algorithm to 2D, and [Byun et al., 2016] gives a Vector-Radix  $2 \times 2$  algorithm. The memory results come from [Byun et al., 2016], and we use  $n$  instead of  $n_0n_1$ , because the algorithms in this paper were derived using non-square window sizes.

## 5 DISCUSSION

The goal of this paper is to describe our 2D SWDFT algorithm as clearly as we can, and instantiate it with a C program.

Finally, while our paper focused on the Radix-2 case, nothing conceptually prevents extension to other factorizations. For instance, the Radix-3 implementation simply replaces binary trees with ternary trees. For higher dimensions, we simply replace Equations 5 and 6 with Equation 7.

## A SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

The implementation of our algorithm is available online at: <http://stat.cmu.edu/~lrichard/xxx.tar.gz>

## REFERENCES

- Sandor Albrecht and Ian Cumming. 1999. Application of momentary Fourier transform to SAR processing. *IEE Proceedings-Radar, Sonar and Navigation* 146, 6 (1999), 285–297.
- S Albrecht, I Cumming, and J Dudas. 1997. The momentary Fourier transformation derived from recursive matrix transformations. In *Digital Signal Processing Proceedings, 1997. DSP 97., 1997 13th International Conference on*, Vol. 1. IEEE, 337–340.
- Moeness G Amin. 1987. A new approach to recursive Fourier transform. *Proc. IEEE* 75, 11 (1987), 1537–1538.
- Jorge L. Aravena. 1990. Recursive moving window DFT algorithm. *IEEE Trans. Comput.* 39, 1 (1990), 145–148.
- R Bitmead. 1982. On recursive discrete Fourier transformation. *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing* 30, 2 (1982), 319–322.
- G Bongiovanni, P Corsini, and G Frosini. 1975. *Non-recursive real time Fourier analysis*. Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche. Istituto di Elaborazione della Informazione Pisa.
- Giancarlo Bongiovanni, Paolo Corsini, and Graziano Frosini. 1976. Procedures for computing the discrete Fourier transform on staggered blocks. *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing* 24, 2 (1976), 132–137.
- Ronald Newbold Bracewell. 1986. *The Fourier transform and its applications*. Vol. 31999. McGraw-Hill New York.
- Keun-Yung Byun, Chun-Su Park, Jee-Young Sun, and Sung-Jea Ko. 2016. Vector Radix  $2 \times 2$  Sliding Fast Fourier Transform. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* 2016 (2016).
- Barry A Cipra. 2000. The best of the 20th century: editors name top 10 algorithms. *SIAM news* 33, 4 (2000), 1–2.
- James W Cooley and John W Tukey. 1965. An algorithm for the machine calculation of complex Fourier series. *Mathematics of computation* 19, 90 (1965), 297–301.
- M Covell and J Richardson. 1991. A new, efficient structure for the short-time Fourier transform, with an application in code-division sonar imaging. In *Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, 1991. ICASSP-91., 1991 International Conference on*. IEEE, 2041–2044.
- SC Douglas and JK Soh. 1997. A numerically-stable sliding-window estimator and its application to adaptive filters. In *Signals, Systems & Computers, 1997. Conference Record of the Thirty-First Asilomar Conference on*, Vol. 1. IEEE, 111–115.
- Krzysztof Duda. 2010. Accurate, guaranteed stable, sliding discrete Fourier transform [DSP tips & tricks]. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine* 27, 6 (2010), 124–127.
- Pierre Duhamel and Martin Vetterli. 1990. Fast Fourier transforms: a tutorial review and a state of the art. *Signal processing* 19, 4 (1990), 259–299.
- A Gomez Exposito and JAR Macias. 2000. Fast non-recursive computation of individual running harmonics. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Analog and Digital Signal Processing* 47, 8 (2000), 779–782.
- Antonio Gomez Exposito and Jose A Rosendo Macias. 1999. Fast harmonic computation for digital relaying. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery* 14, 4 (1999), 1263–1268.
- Behrouz Farhang and YC Lim. 1992. Comment on the computational complexity of sliding FFT. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Analog and Digital Signal Processing* 39, 12 (1992), 875–876.
- Behrouz Farhang-Boroujeny. 1995. Order of N complexity transform domain adaptive filters. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Analog and Digital Signal Processing* 42, 7 (1995), 478–480.
- Dennis Gabor. 1946. Theory of communication. Part 1: The analysis of information. *Journal of the Institution of Electrical Engineers-Part III: Radio and Communication Engineering* 93, 26 (1946), 429–441.
- W Morven Gentleman and Gordon Sande. 1966. Fast Fourier Transforms: for fun and profit. In *Proceedings of the November 7-10, 1966, fall joint computer conference*. ACM, 563–578.
- Irving John Good. 1958. The interaction algorithm and practical Fourier analysis. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)* (1958), 361–372.
- JH Halberstein. 1966. Recursive, complex Fourier analysis for real-time applications. *Proc. IEEE* 54, 6 (1966), 903–903.

- D Harris, J McClellan, D Chan, and H Schuessler. 1977. Vector radix fast Fourier transform. In *Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, IEEE International Conference on ICASSP'77.*, Vol. 2. IEEE, 548–551.
- Michael T Heideman, Don H Johnson, and C Sidney Burrus. 1985. Gauss and the history of the fast Fourier transform. *Archive for history of exact sciences* 34, 3 (1985), 265–277.
- G Hostetter. 1980. Recursive discrete Fourier transformation. *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing* 28, 2 (1980), 184–190.
- Eric Jacobsen and Richard Lyons. 2003. The sliding DFT. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine* 20, 2 (2003), 74–80.
- JH Lilly. 1991. Efficient DFT-based model reduction for continuous systems. *IEEE transactions on automatic control* 36, 10 (1991), 1188–1193.
- JA Rosendo Macias and A Gomez Exposito. 1998. Efficient moving-window DFT algorithms. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Analog and Digital Signal Processing* 45, 2 (1998), 256–260.
- Dan-El A Montoya, JA Rosendo Macias, and A Gomez-Exposito. 2012. Efficient computation of the short-time DFT based on a modified radix-2 decimation-in-frequency algorithm. *Signal Processing* 92, 10 (2012), 2525–2531.
- Dan-El A Montoya, JA Rosendo Macias, and A Gomez-Exposito. 2014. Short-time DFT computation by a modified radix-4 decimation-in-frequency algorithm. *Signal Processing* 94 (2014), 81–89.
- Chun-Su Park. 2015a. 2D discrete Fourier transform on sliding windows. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* 24, 3 (2015), 901–907.
- Chun-Su Park. 2015b. Fast, Accurate, and Guaranteed Stable Sliding Discrete Fourier Transform [sp Tips&Tricks]. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine* 32, 4 (2015), 145–156.
- Chun-Su Park. 2017. Guaranteed-Stable Sliding DFT Algorithm With Minimal Computational Requirements. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* 65, 20 (2017), 5281–5288.
- Chun-Su Park and Sung-Jea Ko. 2014. The hopping discrete Fourier transform [sp tips&tricks]. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine* 31, 2 (2014), 135–139.
- L Rabiner, RW Schafer, and C Rader. 1969. The chirp z-transform algorithm. *IEEE transactions on audio and electroacoustics* 17, 2 (1969), 86–92.
- G Rivard. 1977. Direct fast Fourier transform of bivariate functions. *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing* 25, 3 (1977), 250–252.
- BG Sherlock and DM Monro. 1992. Moving discrete Fourier transform. In *IEE Proceedings F-Radar and Signal Processing*, Vol. 139. IET, 279–282.
- Barry G Sherlock. 1999. Windowed discrete Fourier transform for shifting data. *Signal Processing* 74, 2 (1999), 169–177.
- Henrik V Sorensen and C Sidney Burrus. 1988. Efficient computation of the short-time fast Fourier transform. In *Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, 1988. ICASSP-88., 1988 International Conference on.* IEEE, 1894–1897.
- Michael Unser. 1983. Recursion in short-time signal analysis. *Signal Processing* 5, 3 (1983), 229–240.
- Andrew van der Byl and Michael R Inggs. 2016. Constraining error in sliding discrete Fourier transform investigation. *Digital Signal Processing* 51 (2016), 54–61.
- Charles Van Loan. 1992. *Computational frameworks for the fast Fourier transform*. Vol. 10. Siam.
- Jianming Wang and William F Eddy. 2010. PRFFT: A fast algorithm of sliding-window DFT with parallel structure. In *Signal Processing Systems (ICSPS), 2010 2nd International Conference on*, Vol. 2. IEEE, V2–355.
- Jianming Wang, Bronwyn Woods, and William F Eddy. 2009. MEG, RFFTs, and the hunt for high frequency oscillations. In *Image and Signal Processing, 2009. CISP'09. 2nd International Congress on.* IEEE, 1–5.
- Jian-Ming Wang and William F Eddy. 2012. Parallel Algorithm for SWFFT Using 3D Data Structure. *Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing* 31, 2 (2012), 711–726.