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Abstract. Motivated by the Erdős-Faber-Lovász (EFL) conjecture for hypergraphs, we consider the list edge coloring of linear hypergraphs. We show that if the hyper-edge sizes are bounded between \( i \) and \( C_{i, \varepsilon} \sqrt{n} \) inclusive, then there is a list edge coloring using \((1 + \varepsilon) \frac{n}{i - 1}\) colors. The dependence on \( n \) in the upper bound is optimal (up to the value of \( C_{i, \varepsilon} \)).

1. Introduction

Let \( H = (V, E) \) be a hypergraph with \( n = |V| \) vertices. Each edge \( e \in E \) can be regarded as a subset of \( V \). We say that \( H \) is linear if \( |e \cap e'| \leq 1 \). We define the minimum rank of \( H \) as \( \rho = \min_{e \in E} |e| \) and similarly the maximum rank of \( H \) as \( P = \max_{e \in E} |e| \). A graph is a special case with \( \rho = P = 2 \).

For any hypergraph \( H \), one may define the line graph of \( H \), to be an (ordinary) graph \( L(H) \) on vertex set \( E \), with an edge \( \{e_1, e_2\} \) in \( L(H) \) iff \( e_1 \cap e_2 \neq \emptyset \). The edge chromatic number \( q(H) \) (respectively list edge chromatic number \( q_{\text{list}}(H) \)) is the chromatic number \( \chi \) (respectively list chromatic number \( \chi_{\text{list}} \)) of \( L(H) \).

A long-standing conjecture, known now as the Erdős-Faber-Lovász conjecture, can be stated as Conjecture 1.1 (EFL). Let \( H \) be a linear hypergraph with \( n \) vertices and no rank-1 edges. Then \( q(H) \leq n \).

There has been partial progress to proving this result; in \cite{3} Kahn showed that \( q(H) \leq n + o(n) \). See \cite{5} for a more recent review of results.

In this paper, we will show a related result for hypergraphs in which the edges all have medium size. More specifically, we show the following:

Theorem 1.2. For any integer \( i \geq 3 \) and any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists some value \( C_{i, \varepsilon} > 0 \) with the following property. For any linear hypergraph \( H \) on \( n \) vertices, with minimum rank \( \rho \geq i \) and maximum rank \( P \leq C_{i, \varepsilon} \sqrt{n} \), it holds that

\[
q_{\text{list}}(H) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{n}{i - 1}
\]

In particular, the EFL conjecture holds for hypergraphs of minimum rank \( \rho \geq 3 \) and maximum rank \( P \leq C \sqrt{n} \), for some universal constant \( C = C_{3,1} \). By way of comparison, \cite{6} showed that the EFL conjecture holds for hypergraphs of minimum rank \( \rho \geq \sqrt{n} \). However, Theorem 1.2 can be significantly stronger than EFL in cases where \( \rho \) is large. We also note that the dependence of \( P \) on \( n \) is optimal, up to the value of the constant \( C_{i, \varepsilon} \); see Section 4 for further details.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notation. To simplify some notation, we define the truncated logarithm by

\[
\log(x) = \begin{cases} 
\ln(x) & \text{if } x \geq e \\
1 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
Thus, \( \log(x) \geq 1 \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \).

If \( H = (V, E) \) is a hypergraph and \( E' \subseteq E \), then \( H(E') \) is the hypergraph \( (V, E') \). If \( V' \subseteq V \), then \( H[V'] \) is the induced hypergraph \( (V', E') \), where \( E' \) is the set of edges involving only vertices of \( V' \).

If the hypergraph \( H \) is understood, then we sometimes write \( q_{\text{list}}(E') \) as shorthand for \( q_{\text{list}}(H(E')) \).

2.2. Background facts. Our proof is based upon two powerful theorems for list-coloring hypergraphs. The first is due to Kahn [4], restated in terms of linear hypergraphs.

**Theorem 2.1** ([4]). For every \( \epsilon > 0 \) and integer \( P > 1 \) there exists a constant \( c = c(\epsilon, P) \), such that any linear hypergraph \( H \) with maximum rank \( P \) and maximum degree \( \Delta \geq c \) satisfies

\[
q_{\text{list}}(H) \leq \Delta(1 + \epsilon)
\]

Observe that if \( P \leq O(1) \), then Theorem 2.1 immediately shows Theorem 1.2, namely that \( q_{\text{list}}(H) \) is increasing with \( P \) and does not give useful information when \( P \) is increasing with \( n \).

In this second case, we follow a strategy of [2] and use another graph property, local sparsity, based on the triangle counts in the line graph.

**Definition 2.2.** A triangle in a graph \( G \) is a set of three vertices \( v_1, v_2, v_3 \) such that \( (v_1, v_2) \in E, (v_1, v_3) \in E, (v_2, v_3) \in E \).

**Theorem 2.3** ([7]). Suppose that every vertex of \( G \) has degree at most \( d \), and every vertex participates in at most \( f \) triangles. Then

\[
\chi_{\text{list}}(G) \leq O\left(\frac{d}{\log(df^2/f)}\right)
\]

We note that [1] had earlier showed a similar bound \( \chi(G) \leq O\left(\frac{d}{\log(df^2/f)}\right) \) for the ordinary chromatic number. However, our proofs really need list-coloring as a subroutine: even if our goal was only to bound the ordinary chromatic index \( q(H) \), we would still need the list-coloring provided by Theorem 2.3.

3. Main result

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. We let \( L \) denote the line graph of \( H \). Also, we let \( \epsilon > 0 \) be an arbitrary fixed quantity which we view as a constant throughout the proof.

**Observation 3.1.** Every vertex \( v \in H \) is in at most \( \frac{n - 1}{p - 1} \) edges of \( H \).

**Proof.** Since \( H \) is linear, the edges containing \( v \) do not share any other vertices. \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.2.** Suppose we are given a linear hypergraph \( H = (V, E) \) and a partition \( E = E_1 \sqcup E_2 \). Let \( P_1 = \max_{e_1 \in E_1} |e_1| \) and let \( P_2 = \min_{e_2 \in E_2} |e_2| \).

Then

\[
q_{\text{list}}(H) \leq \max\left(q_{\text{list}}(E_2), q_{\text{list}}(E_1) + \frac{(n - 1)P_1}{P_2 - 1}\right)
\]

**Proof.** Suppose each edge has a palette of size \( Q \geq q_{\text{list}}(E_2) \). Select an arbitrary list-coloring of \( H(E_2) \). Consider the residual palette for each edge \( e \in E_1 \); that is, the palette available to \( e \) after removing the colors selected by edges \( f \in E_2 \) with \( f \cap e \neq \emptyset \). By Observation 3.1, each vertex \( v \) is in at most \( \frac{n - 1}{P_2 - 1} \) edges in \( E_2 \), and thus each edge \( e \in E_1 \) touches at most \( \frac{(n - 1)P_1}{P_2 - 1} \) edges in \( E_2 \). Thus, each edge in \( E_1 \) has a residual palette of size at least \( Q - \frac{(n - 1)P_1}{P_2 - 1} \).

As long as \( Q - \frac{(n - 1)P_1}{P_2 - 1} \geq q_{\text{list}}(E_1) \), we can list-color \( H(E_1) \) with the residual palette, thus giving a full list-coloring of \( H \). Thus, our coloring procedure succeeds as long as

\[
Q \geq q_{\text{list}}(E_2) \quad \text{and} \quad Q \geq \frac{(n - 1)P_1}{P_2 - 1} + q_{\text{list}}(E_1)
\]
Given a hypergraph $H$, we let $A_i$ denote the set of edges $e \in E$ such that $2^i \leq |e| < 2^{i+1}$. Note that each edge $e \in E$ corresponds to a vertex of $L$, and for any $U \subseteq E$ we denote by $L[U]$ the induced subgraph of $L$ on the edges of $U$.

**Proposition 3.3.** For any integer $i \geq 1$, we have

$$q_{\text{list}}(A_i) \leq O\left(\frac{n}{i} + \frac{n}{\log(n/2^i)}\right)$$

**Proof.** By Observation 3.1, every vertex in $H$ touches $O(n/2^i)$ edges in $A_i$. As each edge in $A_i$ contains at most $2^{i+1}$ vertices, it follows that $L[A_i]$ has maximum degree $O(n)$.

Now, consider some edge $e \in A_i$; we want to count how many triangles of $L$ it participates in. There are two types of triangles. The first involves three edges around a single vertex; for each $v \in e$ there are at most $n/2^i$ choices for the other two edges, giving a total triangle count of at most $2^{i+1} \times (n/2^i)^2 = O(n^2/2^i)$.

The second type of triangle involves three edges, each intersecting at a distinct point. There are $O(n)$ choices for the second edge $e'$. By linearity, for any given choice of vertices $v \in e, v' \in e'$ there is at most one possible choice for the third edge $e''$ intersecting $e$ at $v$ and $e'$ at $v'$. Hence, the total number of triangles of this second type is at most $n \times (2^{i+1})^2 \leq O(n2^{2i})$.

Now, applying Theorem 2.3 we see that

$$q_{\text{list}}(A_i) \leq O\left(\frac{n}{\log(n/2^i)}\right) \leq O\left(\frac{n}{i} + \frac{n}{\log(n/2^i)}\right)$$

\[\square\]

The remainder of this proof will be expressed in terms of an integer parameter $k$ (which does not depend on $n$), and which we will set later in the construction.

**Observation 3.4.** For each integer $k \geq 1$, there is some integer $N_k$, such that whenever $n \geq N_k$ it holds that

$$q_{\text{list}}(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_k) \leq (1 + \epsilon) \frac{n}{\rho - 1}$$

**Proof.** By Observation 3.1, $L[A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_k]$ has maximum degree $\frac{n}{\rho - 1}$ and maximum rank $2^{k+1} - 1$. Now apply Theorem 2.3 \[\square\]

**Corollary 3.5.** If $i \geq k$ and $P \leq \sqrt{n}e^{-k}$, then $q_{\text{list}}(A_i) \leq O(n/k)$.

**Proof.** This is vacuously true for $i \geq \log_2 P$, since then $A_i$ is empty. Otherwise, Proposition 3.3 gives $q_{\text{list}}(A_i) \leq O\left(\frac{n}{i} + \frac{n}{\log(n/2^i)}\right) \leq O\left(\frac{n}{k} + \frac{n}{\log(nP^{-1})}\right) \leq O(n/k)$ \[\square\]

**Proposition 3.6.** Suppose $i \geq k$ and $P \leq \sqrt{n}e^{-k}$. Let $x = \lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor$. Then

$$q_{\text{list}}(A_i \cup A_{i+x} \cup A_{i+2x} \cup A_{i+3x} \cdots) \leq O(n/k)$$

**Proof.** Let $s = \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$. For each integer $j \geq 0$, define

$$B_j = A_{i+sx} \cup A_{i+(s-1)x} \cup A_{i+(s-2)x} \cup \cdots \cup A_{i+(j+1)x} \cup A_{i+jx}$$

We will prove that $q_{\text{list}}(B_j) \leq cn/k$ for some sufficiently large constant $c$ and $j \geq 0$, by induction downward on $j$.

When $j = s$, this is vacuously true as $B_j = \emptyset$. For the induction step, we use Proposition 3.2 using the decomposition $E_1 = A_{i+jx}$ and $E_2 = A_{i+(j+1)x} \cup A_{i+(j+2)x} \cup \cdots \cup A_{i+sx} = B_{j+1}$.
Note that \( P_1 \leq 2^{i+jx+1} - 1 \) and \( \rho_2 \geq 2^{i+jx+1} \). By induction hypothesis, \( q_{\text{list}}(E_2) = q_{\text{list}}(B_{j+1}) \leq cn/k \). By Corollary 3.5, \( q_{\text{list}}(E_1) = q_{\text{list}}(A_{i+jx}) \leq c'n/k \), for some constant \( c' \geq 0 \). This gives
\[
q_{\text{list}}(B_j) \leq \max(q_{\text{list}}(E_2), q_{\text{list}}(E_1) + \frac{(n-1)P_1}{\rho_2 - 1})
\leq \max(cn/k, c'n/k + \frac{(n-1)(2^{i+jx+1} - 1)}{2^{i+jx + 1} - 1})
\leq \max(cn/k, c'n/k + 4n/k)
\]
which is at most \( cn/k \) when \( c \geq c' + 4 \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.7.** For each integer \( k \geq 1 \), there is some integer \( N'_k \) such that whenever \( n > N'_k \) and \( P \leq \sqrt{n}e^{-k} \) it holds that
\[
q_{\text{list}}(A_k \cup A_{k+1} \cup A_{k+2} \cup \ldots) \leq O\left(\frac{n\log k}{k}\right).
\]

**Proof.** Suppose every edge begins with a palette of size \( \frac{cn}{k} \) for some constant \( c \). Let \( x = \lceil \log_2 k \rceil \). We randomly partition the colors into \( x \) classes. For any class \( i \) and edge \( e \), let \( Q_{i,e} \) denote the number of class-\( i \) colors in the palette of edge \( e \).

We have \( E[Q_{i,e}] \geq \frac{cn \log k}{k} \geq \frac{c\sqrt{n}}{2k} \). Furthermore, \( Q_{i,e} \) is the sum of independent random variables (whether each color in the palette of \( e \) goes into \( Q_{i,e} \)), and so by Chernoff’s bound we have
\[
\Pr(Q_{i,e} \leq \frac{cn}{4k}) \leq e^{-\Theta(n/k)}
\]
For fixed \( k \), this is smaller than \( n^2/k/2 \) for sufficiently large \( n \). Since there are at most \( n^2 \) edges and \( k \) classes, by the union bound there is a positive probability that every edge has at least \( \frac{cn}{4k} \) colors of each class in its palette.

Next, for each \( i \) in the range \( i = 1, \ldots, x \), we use the class-\( i \) colors to color \( L[A_{k+i} \cup A_{k+i+x} \cup A_{k+i+x} \cup \ldots] \). By Proposition 3.6, this succeeds for \( c \) sufficiently large.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** We will prove that \( q_{\text{list}}(H) \leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{4}}(1 + O(\epsilon)) \); the result follows easily by rescaling.

By Proposition 3.7, there is some constant \( c \) such that for any integer \( k > 1 \) and \( n > N'_k \) we have \( q_{\text{list}}(A_k \cup A_{k+1} \cup A_{k+2} \cup \ldots) \leq \frac{cn \log k}{k} \). We select \( k \) sufficiently large so that
\[
\frac{c \log k}{k} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{i-1}
\]
By Observation 3.4 for \( n > N_k \) we have
\[
q_{\text{list}}(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \ldots \cup A_{k-1}) \leq \frac{n(1 + \epsilon)}{i - 1}
\]
Now, suppose every edge has a palette of size \( Q = \frac{(1+3\epsilon)n_{i-1}}{i-1} \). Randomly partition the colors into two classes, where a color goes into class I with probability \( p = \frac{1.5n_{i-1}}{Q} \) and goes into class II with probability \( 1 - p \). A Chernoff bound argument similar to Proposition 3.7 shows that for \( n > N'' \), with positive probability every edge has at least \( n\epsilon \) class-I colors and \( \frac{n}{\sqrt{4}}(1 + \epsilon) \) class-II colors. In such a case, we can color \( L[A_k \cup A_{k+1} \cup A_{k+2} \cup \ldots] \) using class-I colors and \( L[A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \ldots \cup A_{k-1}] \) using class-II colors.

So far, we have shown that there is an integer \( k \) and integer \( M = \max(N_k, N'_k, N''_k) \) such that whenever \( P \leq \sqrt{n}e^{-k} \) and \( n > M \) that \( q_{\text{list}}(H) \leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{4}}(1 + O(\epsilon)) \). Set \( C_{i,e} = \min(\epsilon^{-k/2}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}) \).

When \( P \leq C_{i,e}\sqrt{n} \), then \( P \leq \sqrt{n}e^{-k} \). Also, when \( P \leq C_{i,e}\sqrt{n} \), we have \( P \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{M}} \). Since \( P \geq i \geq 2 \), this implies \( n \geq 4M \). So \( q_{\text{list}}(H) \leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{4}}(1 + O(\epsilon)) \). \( \square \)
4. Dependence of \( n \) on \( P \)

In this section, we show (roughly speaking) that Theorem 1.2 must include a condition of the form \( P \leq C_{i,\epsilon} \sqrt{n} \) where \( C_{i,\epsilon} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1 + \epsilon}{i - 1}} \).

**Proposition 4.1.** For every real number \( x \) in the range \((0, 1)\) and every \( \delta > 0 \), there is some integer \( N \) such that, for all \( n \geq N \), there are linear hypergraphs \( H \) on \( n \) vertices which satisfy the following properties:

1. \( q_{\text{list}}(H) > xn \)
2. \( P = \rho = r \)
3. \( \sqrt{n}x \leq r \leq (1 + \delta)\sqrt{n}x \)

**Proof.** Consider a finite projective plane with parameter \( q \); this gives a linear hypergraph \( H' \) with \( q^2 + q + 1 \) vertices and every edge of rank \( r = q + 1 \), and \( q_{\text{list}}(H') = q^2 + q + 1 \). Assuming that \( q^2 + q + 1 \leq n \), we can form \( H \) from \( H' \) by adding \( n - (q^2 + q + 1) \) isolated vertices.

Let \( u = \sqrt{n}x \) and let \( q \) be the smallest prime with \( q \geq u \). For \( n \) (and hence \( u \) sufficiently large), this \( q \) satisfies \( q \leq u + u^\theta \) for some constant \( \theta < 1 \). In particular, \( q^2 + q + 1 \leq nx + o(n) \leq n \) for \( n \) sufficiently large. Our choice of \( q \) ensures that that \( q_{\text{list}}(H') = q^2 + q + 1 \geq u^2 + u + 1 > xn \). Also, \( r > \sqrt{n}x \) and \( r/\sqrt{n}x \rightarrow \sqrt{\alpha} \) as \( n \rightarrow \infty \).

**Proposition 4.2.** For any integer \( i \geq 3 \) and \( \epsilon \in (0, 1) \), the term "\( C_{i,\epsilon} \sqrt{n} \)" in Theorem 1.2 cannot be replaced by any expression of the form \( f(i, \epsilon, n) \), where for any fixed \( i, \epsilon, \delta \) there are infinitely many \( n \) with

\[
f(i, \epsilon, n) \geq (1 + \delta)\sqrt{\frac{(1 + \epsilon)n}{i - 1}}
\]

**Proof.** Apply Proposition 4.1 with \( x = (1 + \epsilon)/(i - 1) \); note that since \( i \geq 3 \) and \( \epsilon < 1 \) we have \( x \in (0, 1) \). This ensures that for all \( n > N \), there is a hypergraph \( H_n \) with \( q_{\text{list}}(H_n) > xn \) and rank \( \rho = P = r \) for \( \sqrt{n}x \leq r \leq (1 + \delta)\sqrt{n}x \).

Thus, for \( n \) sufficiently large, we have \( \rho \geq i \). Also, for sufficiently large \( n \), we have \( P \leq (1 + \delta)\sqrt{n}x = (1 + \delta)\sqrt{\frac{(1 + \epsilon)n}{i - 1}} \). Thus, if \( f(i, \epsilon, n) > (1 + \delta)\sqrt{\frac{(1 + \epsilon)n}{i - 1}} \) for infinitely many \( n \), then for infinitely many \( n \) we would have \( q_{\text{list}}(H_n) \leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon)n}{i - 1} = xn \), a contradiction.
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