AGILE DETECTION OF A CANDIDATE GAMMA-RAY PRECURSOR TO THE ICECUBE-160731 NEUTRINO EVENT
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ABSTRACT

On July 31st, 2016, the ICECUBE collaboration reported the detection of a high-energy starting event induced by an astrophysical neutrino. We report here about the search for a gamma-ray counterpart of the ICECUBE-160731 event made with the AGILE satellite. No detection was found spanning the time interval of ±1 ks around the neutrino event time $T_0$ using the AGILE “burst search” system. Looking for a possible gamma-ray precursor in the results of the AGILE-GRID automatic Quick Look procedure over predefined 48-hours time-bins, we found an excess above 100 MeV between one and two days before $T_0$, positionally consistent with the ICECUBE error circle, having a post-trial significance of about 4σ. A refined data analysis of this excess confirms a-posteriori the automatic detection. The new AGILE transient source, named AGL J1418+0008, thus stands as possible ICECUBE-160731 gamma-ray precursor. No other space missions nor ground observatories have reported any detection of transient emission consistent with the ICECUBE event. We show that Fermi-LAT had a low exposure of the ICECUBE region during the AGILE gamma-ray transient. Based on an extensive search for cataloged sources within the error regions of ICECUBE-160731 and AGL J1418+0008, we find a possible common counterpart showing some of the key features associated to the high-energy peaked BL Lac (HBL) class of blazars. Further investigations on the nature of this source using dedicated SWIFT ToO data are presented.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Neutrino astronomy by under-water and under-ice Cherenkov detectors has entered a new era since the completion of the ICECUBE and ANTARES telescopes (Halzen & Klein 2010; Ageron et al. 2011) and the subsequent first clear detection of a diffuse background of Very High Energy (VHE) extra-terrestrial neutrinos (IceCube Collaboration 2013; Aartsen et al. 2015). No significant clustering of neutrinos above background expectation has been observed yet (Aartsen et al. 2017), although the ICECUBE apparatus might reach the sensitivity or accumulate enough statistics to unambiguously detect anisotropy or clustering of events within a few more years of observations.

Emission of TeV-PeV neutrinos might be due to exceptionally energetic transient phenomena like flaring activities from Active Galactic Nuclei (AGNs), Gamma-Ray Bursts (GRBs) or Supernovae explosions (Anchordoqui et al. 2014). A direct correlation between gamma-rays and neutrinos from astrophysical sources is expected whenever hadronic emission mechanisms are at work. In particular, several theoretical works assume that neutrinos production occurs in astrophysical beam dumps, where cosmic rays accelerated in regions of high magnetic fields near black holes or neutron stars interact via proton-proton (pp) or proton-photon (pγ) collisions with the matter or the radiation field surrounding the central engine or in a jet of plasma ejected from it, giving raise also to gamma-rays emission (see (Halzen 2017) for a review).

Supernovae remnants (SNRs) expanding in dense molecular clouds and microquasars in our Galaxy as well as AGNs of the blazars category are the main neutrino source candidates up to PeV energies (Mannheim & Biermann 1989; Mannheim 1995; Halzen & Zas 1997; Protheroe et al. 1998; Bednarek 2005; Vissani 2006; Sahakyan et al. 2014). Besides the identification of the pion excess in gamma-ray observations of SNRs interacting with molecular clouds (Giuliani et al. 2011; Ackermann et al. 2013), detection and identification of a clear neutrino point-like source would represent the evidence of proton and hadron acceleration processes, resolving as well the long-lasting problem of the cosmic ray origin (at least up to multi-PeV energies).

Since April 2016, the ICECUBE experiment alerts almost in real time the astronomical community whenever an extremely high-energy single-track neutrino event (with energy in the sub-PeV to PeV range) is recorded. The communication is sent through the ICECUBE.HESE (a single high-energy starting ICECUBE neutrino) and the ICECUBE.EHE (extremely high-energy ICECUBE neutrino) GCN/AMON notices system (Keivani et al. 2016) a few seconds after the event trigger. The instant notice provides a first determination of the statistical relevance of the event and the reconstructed neutrino arrival direction, projected onto the sky, with its 90% and 50% containment radius (c.r)\(^1\).

On July 31st, 2016, the ICECUBE Collaboration reported a HESE GCN/AMON notice\(^2\) announcing the detection of a high-energy neutrino-induced track-like event at time \(T_0 = 01:55:04.00\) UT (MJD=57600.07990741). The event was also classified as EHE event, possibly having an energy higher than several hundred TeV\(^3\) and a signalness\(^4\) of \(\sim 0.85\). This neutrino detection triggered a broad-band follow-up by several space and ground-based instruments, searching for an electromagnetic (e.m.) counterpart to be associated to the neutrino emission.

In what follows, we report about the search for a gamma-ray counterpart of the ICECUBE-160731 neutrino event made using the data of the AGILE satellite. The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we describe the main AGILE instrumental characteristics and its unique capabilities for the search of gamma-

---

\(^1\) For ICECUBE.EHE notices, only source errors at 50% c.r. are given.

\(^2\) http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/notices_amon/688376_128290.amon

\(^3\) As quoted in the ICECUBE.EHE event information web page https://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/amon_ehe_events.html

\(^4\) Probability that the neutrino event is of astrophysical origin.
ray counterparts to such triggered events of very short duration. In Section 3, we present the results of the AGILE observations, both near the prompt neutrino event time $T_0$ and in archival data. In Sections 4, we report about the multi-wavelength (MWL) follow-up and in Section 5 we search for a possible e.m. counterpart candidate using the cross-catalog search tools available from the ASI Science Data Center (ASDC)$^5$.

2. AGILE AS DETECTOR OF TRANSIENT GAMMA-RAY SOURCES

The gamma-ray satellite AGILE (Tavani et al. 2009), launched on 2007, has just completed its tenth year of operations in orbit. The main on-board instrument is the gamma-ray imaging detector (GRID) sensitive to gamma-rays in the energy range 30 MeV–50 GeV, composed by the gamma-ray Silicon Tracker, the Mini-Calorimeter (MCAL) and the anti-coincidence (AC) system for the particle background rejection. The co-axial X-ray (20-60 KeV) detector Super-AGILE completes the satellite scientific payload. Since Nov. 2009, AGILE is operated in the so called spinning observation mode, in which the satellite rotates around the Sun-satellite versor. In this operation mode, the AGILE gamma-ray imager approximately observes the whole sky every day, with a sensitivity (at 5$\sigma$ detection level) to gamma-ray fluxes above 100 MeV of the order of $(3 \div 4) \times 10^{-6}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

As already demonstrated in the recent follow-up of the gravitational-wave event GW150914 (Tavani et al. 2016) and in dozens of Astronomer’s Telegrams (ATel) and GCN circulars, AGILE is a very suitable instrument to perform searches for short transient gamma-ray sources and gamma-ray counterparts to multi-messenger transient events like the neutrino event observed on July 31st, 2016.

The main characteristics that make AGILE in spinning mode an important instrument for follow-up observations of multi-messenger counterparts are:

- a very large field of view (FoV) of 2.5 sr for the AGILE-GRID;
- best sensitivity to gamma-ray fluxes above 30 MeV of the order of $(2 \div 3) \times 10^{-4}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for typical single-pass integrations of 100 s;
- a coverage of 80% of the whole sky every 7 minutes;
- a gamma-ray exposure of ~2 minutes of any field in the accessible sky every 7 minutes;
- between 150-200 passes every day for any region in the accessible sky.
- sub-milliseconds trigger for very fast events.

Despite the small size (approximately a cube of side ~ 60 cm), the AGILE-GRID achieves an effective area of the order of 500 cm$^2$ between 200 MeV and 10 GeV for on-axis gamma-rays, and an angular resolution (FWHM) of the order of 4$^\circ$ at 100 MeV, decreasing below 1$^\circ$ above 1 GeV (Cattaneo et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2013; Sabatini et al. 2015).

A very fast ground segment alert system allows the AGILE Team to perform the full AGILE-GRID data reduction and the preliminary Quick Look (QL) scientific analysis only 25/30 minutes after the telemetry download from the spacecraft (Pittori 2013; Bulgarelli et al. 2014).

The AGILE QL on-ground system implements two different kinds of automatic analysis:

- A “burst search” system, involving both GRID and MCAL instruments, is used to look for transients and GRB-like phenomena on timescales ranging from a few seconds to tens of seconds$^6$. The burst

---

$^5$ http://www.asdc.asi.it

$^6$ A special sub-millisecond search for transient events detected by MCAL is operational on board (Tavani et al. 2009).
search system runs on predefined time windows of 100 seconds, and it may be also triggered by external GCN notices (Zoli et al. 2016).

- A “standard” AGILE-GRID QL analysis, based on a Maximum Likelihood (ML) algorithm (Mattox et al. 1996; Bulgarelli et al. 2012), is used to detect gamma-ray transients above 100 MeV on timescales of 1-2 days (Bulgarelli et al. 2014). This automatic procedure routinely runs over predefined 48-hours time-bins.

Given the AGILE effective area and sensitivity, these collecting time intervals are the most appropriate to accumulate enough statistics and to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio in both cases.

3. AGILE INVESTIGATIONS OF ICECUBE-160731

The ICECUBE-160731 best-fit reconstructed neutrino arrival direction in equatorial coordinates is (from Rev. #1 of the GCN notice):

R.A.,Dec (J2000)=(214.5440, -0.3347) +/- 0.75 [deg]

(90% statistical plus systematic c.r.), corresponding to Galactic coordinates: l,b=(343.68, 55.52) [deg]. In the next sections, details of the automatic and refined AGILE data analysis of the ICECUBE-160731 event are reported.

3.1. Prompt event

The search for a GRB-like prompt event on short time-scales ranging from a few to tens of seconds connected to the ICECUBE neutrino emission was performed with the AGILE burst search system. The system was triggered by the first ICECUBE GCN/AMON notice reported a few tens of seconds after $T_0$. 

Figure 1. Hammer-Aitoff projection, in Galactic coordinates, of the AGILE gamma-ray exposure in [cm$^2$ s sr] (bin size of 0.5°) after one complete rotation in spinning mode, time-centered at the ICECUBE-160731 event time $T_0$. The neutrino event error circle is shown in black. The magenta and yellow contours show, respectively, the Sun/anti-Sun exclusion regions and the average Earth occultation during the considered integration time: ($T_0$-210; $T_0$+210) s.
The automatic procedure searches for prompt gamma-ray emission on predefined 100 s time-interval bins ranging from $T_0-1000$ to $T_0+1000$ s. On these short timescales, the method of the ML is not applicable, and an aperture photometry is applied. The significance of the signal with respect to the background is calculated using the Li&Ma formula (Li & Ma 1983).

Near $T_0$, the reconstructed neutrino-source position was in good visibility for the AGILE-GRID FoV, neither occulted by the Earth nor by the exclusion regions around the Sun and anti-Sun positions (see Fig. 1). No significant detection was found in the GRID data from the event position in any of the 100 s time-bins scanned. The $3\sigma$ Upper Limit (UL) for the emission in the range 30 MeV–50 GeV estimated in the 100 s time-bin with the highest exposure on the event position is: $5.7 \times 10^{-4}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

Moreover, using the data of the AGILE-MCAL and the AC scientific ratemeters, we have searched for burst-like events in the energy range of 0.4 – 100 MeV and 70 keV – tens of MeV, respectively. No significant event has been detected in neither of the two detectors.

### 3.2. Search for gamma-ray precursor and delayed emission

Since the astrophysics and the time scales of the phenomena related to the emission of these extremely high-energy neutrinos are still uncertain, besides the investigations near $T_0$ we also explored the AGILE-GRID data taken few days before and after $T_0$, searching for a possible gamma-ray precursor or delayed emission on longer (daily) time-scales possibly connected to the neutrino event.

Interestingly, a gamma-ray excess above 100 MeV with a pre-trial ML significance of $4.1\sigma$ compatible with the ICECUBE error circle appeared in the results of the AGILE-GRID automatic QL procedure between one and two days before $T_0$. This detection was reported in the ATel #9295 (Lucarelli et al. 2016).

The automatic AGILE QL procedure runs on predefined 2-days integration time since Nov. 2009, the starting of the spinning observation mode. The AGILE source ML detection method derives, for each candidate source, the best parameter estimates of source significance, gamma-ray flux, and source location. The ML statistical technique, used since the analysis of EGRET gamma-ray data (Mattox et al. 1996) and adapted to the AGILE data analysis (Bulgarelli et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2011), compares measured counts in each pixel with the predicted counts derived from the diffuse AGILE gamma-ray background model (Giuliani et al. 2004). This threshold has been calibrated over various timescales and different background conditions (e.g., on or outside the Galactic plane) (Bulgarelli et al. 2012).

To evaluate the post-trial significance of the automatic QL detection mentioned above, we used the probability distribution of the ML Test Statistic (TS) computed in Bulgarelli et al. (2012). The probability of having at least one detection due to a background fluctuation for any position within the predefined Region of Interest (ROI) of $10^\circ$ radius used in the ML fitting procedure with a significance $\sqrt{TS} \geq h$, in $N$ independent trials, is given by $P_1(N) = 1 - (1 - p)^N$, where $p$ is the $p$-value (that is, the probability of finding a false positive detection in a single observation) corresponding to $h$. The $p$-value for a detection with $\sqrt{TS} \geq 4.1$ outside the Galactic plane$^7$ is $3.8 \times 10^{-5}$. By considering all the generated maps having enough exposure in spatial coincidence with the neutrino error circle (amounting to 226 since the begin-

---

$^7$ As expected by the Wilks’ theorem (Wilks 1938), the TS values follow in this case the $1/2\chi^2$ distribution with one degree of freedom.
Figure 2. A-posteriori refined analysis: AGILE-GRID 1-day time-bin lightcurve starting at $T_0-4$ days (MJD=57596.07991) obtained from the AGILE ML analysis performed at the ICECUBE-160731 position over each integration bin.

ning of the spinning observation mode), the probability of having one detection by chance in $N=226$ trials is $P_1(226) = 8.5 \times 10^{-3}$. The chance probability of the AGILE detection becomes at least two orders of magnitudes lower if we consider the probability $P_2$ of spatial coincidence of the AGILE-GRID excess with the ICECUBE error region within the $10^\circ$ radius ROI. The combined post-trial probability becomes then $P_1 \times P_2 \sim 8.5 \times 10^{-5}$, which corresponds to a $3.9\sigma$ post-trial significance.

A refined analysis has been performed both to confirm the automatic QL result (applying more stringent cuts to further reduce the background contamination from albedo events) and to find a better temporal characterization of the gamma-ray transient positionally consistent with the ICECUBE-160731 position.

In the refined GRID data analysis, we created a lightcurve symmetric with respect to $T_0$, using a time-bin of 24 hours, which is the minimum integration time needed by the GRID to detect a medium/high flaring gamma-ray source above 100 MeV with enough statistics.\(^8\)

A search for gamma-ray emission above 100 MeV using the AGILE ML around the ICECUBE position has thus been performed over the time interval ($T_0-4; T_0+4$) days. Exposure, counts and diffuse emission maps of each time-bin were generated using the official AGILE scientific analysis software (release: BUILD 21; response matrices: I0023)\(^9\) (Chen et al. 2011), applying a cut of 90\(^\circ\) on the albedo events rejection parameter and taking an AGILE-GRID FoV radius of 50\(^\circ\). In comparison, the predefined QL maps are generated with a looser albedo cut of 80\(^\circ\) and a larger acceptance FoV radius of 60\(^\circ\). GRID data acquisition during the passage over the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) is suspended. Each time-bin of the lightcurve has been analyzed by means of the ML algorithm assuming a gamma-ray source at the ICECUBE position.

\(^8\) Only in some exceptional bright flares the integration time-bin may be reduced below 24 hours (see, e.g., Striani et al. (2011); Vercellone et al. (2011)).

\(^9\) http://agile.asdc.asi.it/public/AGILE_SW_5.0_SourceCode/
Figure 3. *AGILE*-GRID intensity map in [ph cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) sr\(^{-1}\)] and Galactic coordinates, centered at the ICECUBE-160731 position, from \(T_0 - 1.8\) to \(T_0 - 0.8\) days. The black circle shows the 90% c.r. of the neutrino event while the white circle shows the 95% C.L. ellipse contour corresponding to the *AGILE*-GRID ML detection, AGL J1418+0008, described in the text. The classified AGNs from the BZCAT Catalog (Massaro et al. 2015) and the FERMI-LAT sources from the 3FGL Catalog (Acero et al. 2015) are shown in yellow and in red, respectively. None of these known sources appears within the ICECUBE and *AGILE* error circles.

Figure 2 shows the resulting gamma-ray light-curve, where for each bin, the ML gamma-ray flux estimate above 100 MeV or the 95% C.L. UL at the input ICECUBE-160731 position is shown.

A gamma-ray excess above 100 MeV with a ML significance of 4.1\(\sigma\) is detected in the bin centered one day and a half before the \(T_0\) (from MJD=57598.07991 to MJD=57599.07991), confirming the automatic QL detection (Lucarelli et al. 2016). The candidate gamma-ray precursor has an estimated flux of

\[ F(E>100 \text{ MeV}) = (3.0 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-6} \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \]

with centroid Galactic coordinates

\[ l,b = (344.01, 56.03) \pm 1.0 \text{ [deg]} (95\% \text{ stat. c.l.}) \pm 0.1 \text{ [deg]} \text{ (syst.)}, \]

compatible with the ICECUBE-160731 position.

The *AGILE* a-posteriori refined analysis on a 24-hours basis shows that the excess is particularly short in time, mostly concentrated between July 29th and 30th, 2016. By examining the arrival times of the gamma event file, we found a a clustering of five counts in less than 7 hours around \((T_0-1)\) day within 1.5 degrees from the ICECUBE centroid. In particular, on the 24-hours integration from MJD 57598.25 to 57599.25 ((\(T_0 - 1.8\); \(T_0 - 0.8\) days), which fully contains the event clusterization, we obtained a ML significance of the peak gamma-ray emission of 4.9\(\sigma\) at the Galactic centroid coordinates: \(l,b = (344.26, 55.86) \pm 0.8 \text{ [deg]} \) (95\% \text{ stat. c.l.}) \pm 0.1 \text{ [deg]} \text{ (syst.)}, with a flux \(F(E>100 \text{ MeV}) = (3.5 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-6} \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}\).

The new *AGILE* transient, named AGL J1418+0008, positionally consistent with the ICECUBE-160731 error circle might then be a possible precursor of the neutrino event.
Figure 3 shows the AGILE-GRID intensity map centered at the ICECUBE-160731 position, in the 24-hours time interval correspondent to the peak significance. The white region defines the 95% C.L. ellipse contour of the AGILE-GRID detection AGL J1418+0008, which is well compatible with the ICECUBE-160731 90% c.r. error circle (black circle). Figure 3 shows also the position of the known sources from the 5th edition of the BZCAT and FERMI-LAT 3FGL catalogs (Massaro et al. 2015; Acero et al. 2015). None of these known sources lies within the AGILE or ICECUBE error circles. A further search in the Second and Third FERMI-LAT high-energy sources Catalogs (2FHL and 3FHL, Ackermann et al. (2016); The Fermi-LAT Collaboration (2017)) does not show again any possible association with known gamma-ray counterparts. The closest 3FHL source is 3FHL J1418.4-0233 (associated to the BL Lac blazar 5BZB J1418-0233 (Massaro et al. 2015)), which is more than 2° away from the neutrino position.

3.3. Search for gamma-ray emission in AGILE archival data

The whole public AGILE-GRID archival data from Dic. 2007 up to Nov. 2016 have been investigated in order to search for other possible previous and later gamma-ray transient episodes around the ICECUBE-160731 position. This long time-scale search has been performed by using the AGILE-LV3 online tool (Pit- tori et al. 2014) accessible from the ASDC Multi-Mission Archive (MMIA) web pages. This tool allows fast online interactive analysis based on the Level-3 (LV3) AGILE-GRID archive of pre-computed counts, exposure and diffuse background emission maps.

The search for transient emission above 100 MeV on 2-day integration times did not show any other significant detection but the one compatible with the AGILE QL result between one and two days before $T_0$ (over a total of 271 analyzed maps).

We finally performed a ML analysis centered on the ICECUBE position using the LV3 pre-computed maps for the whole AGILE observing time (9 years). We obtained an UL of $3.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ($E > 100$ MeV, for a 95% C.L.).

4. MULTI-WAVELENGTH FOLLOW-UP OF ICECUBE-160731

The ICECUBE-160731 detection triggered a thorough campaign of MWL follow-up observations. These observations covered a large part of the entire e.m. spectrum, from the optical band (Global MASTER net, iPTF P48, LCOGT) to the VHE gamma-rays (HAWC, MAGIC, HESS, ...).

Very few observatories and space missions were observing the neutrino event position to $T_0$. Apart from AGILE and facilities like HAWC, ANTARES and FERMI-LAT, which have access to a large part of the sky almost the whole day, all the others had to re-point to the ICECUBE position a few minutes or even hours after $T_0$. In this section, we will summarize the most interesting results of the MWL follow-up, reminding the reader to the Appendix B for a summary of all other observations published in ATel and GCNs in the hours or the days after the event.

In the X-ray band, SWIFT observed the ICECUBE-160731 error circle region starting approximately from $(T_0 + 1)$ hrs till $(T_0 + 12)$ hrs (Evans et al. 2016a,b). The XRT instrument on-board of the SWIFT satellite detected six sources in the 0.3-10 keV band. Figure 4 shows a zoom of the AGILE-GRID intensity map over the integration of the AGILE peak detection, with the location of the six SWIFT-XRT sources, numbered 1 to 6 (blue crosses in Fig. 4). After the revision of the best-fit neutrino arrival direction and its error radius, three of the detected XRT sources eventually lay outside the revised ICECUBE-160731 error

Figure 4. AGILE-GRID intensity map in [ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$] zoomed around the ICECUBE-160731 position, in the time interval ($T_0 - 1.8; T_0 - 0.8$) days. The black and white circles again show, respectively, the 90% c.r. of the ICECUBE event and the 95% C.L. contour of the AGILE-GRID detection AGL J1418+0008. The figure shows also the positions of several e.m. candidates found during the MWL follow-up. Cyan cross: HAWC best archival search result (Taboada 2016); blue crosses: the six SWIFT-XRT sources reported in (Evans et al. 2016a,b); yellow boxes: two optical sources (one steady, one transient) detected by the Global MASTER net (Lipunov et al. 2016a,b)); magenta diamonds: two optical transients detected by iPTF P48 (Singer et al. 2016). Black point: the X-ray source 1RXS J141658.0-001449, which appears within both error circles, is one of the best neutrino-emitter candidates found in the additional search made with the ASDC tools described in the text.

circle. Only sources #5 and #6 are still compatible with the neutrino position (and within the AGILE ellipse contour), while source #2 remains just on the border.

In the optical region, the Global MASTER Optical Network performed a search for optical transients in the time interval ($T_0 + 17; T_0 + 21$) hrs (Lipunov et al. 2016a,b). They only detected a point-like event, classified as MASTER OT J142038.73-002500.1, that might have been induced by particle crossing the CCD, and the bright NGC 5584 galaxy (which, anyhow, is already outside the revised error circle) (yellow boxes in Fig. 4). Rapid follow-up observations in the Optical/IR band, started only 3.5 hours after $T_0$, were performed by the Palomar 48-inch telescope (iPTF P48) (Singer et al. 2016). They detected two optical transient candidates at 1.1 and 2.0$^\circ$ from the initial neutrino-candidate position (magenta diamonds in Fig. 4).

In the gamma-ray band, FERMI-GBM could not observe the region at $T_0$ since the position was occulted by the Earth (Burns & Jenke 2016) while FERMI-LAT reported only flux ULs (95% C.L.) above 100 MeV of $10^{-7}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in 2.25 days of exposure starting from a 2016-07-31 00:00 UTC, and of $0.6 \times 10^{-7}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in 8.25 days of exposure starting from 2016-07-25 at 00:00 UTC (Cheung et al. 2016). As shown in Appendix A, the non-detection of any gamma-ray precursor by Fermi-LAT might be due to a low exposure of the ICECUBE region during the AGILE gamma-ray transient.
Table 1. Optical and X-ray sources detected within the revised ICECUBE-160731 error circle during the MWL follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SWIFT-XRT (ATel #9294)</td>
<td>XRT #2</td>
<td>214.90209</td>
<td>-1.145917</td>
<td>2QZ J141936.0-010841</td>
<td>quasar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWIFT-XRT (ATel #9294)</td>
<td>XRT #5</td>
<td>214.61169</td>
<td>0.24144</td>
<td>2QJ141949.8-000644</td>
<td>quasar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWIFT-XRT (ATel #9294)</td>
<td>XRT #6</td>
<td>215.161375</td>
<td>-0.416694</td>
<td>SDSS J142041.62-002413.1</td>
<td>galaxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global MASTER net (ATel #9298)</td>
<td>OT J142038.73-002500.1</td>
<td>213.555124</td>
<td>-0.894361</td>
<td>Z 18-88</td>
<td>galaxy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aSee Fig. 4.
bThe astrophysical origin of this transient is not confirmed.

At the time of the neutrino event $T_0$, the INTEGRAL satellite, which also has the capability to cover almost the whole sky (Savchenko et al. 2016), was not observing because it was close to perigee inside the Earth radiation belts.

The ICECUBE region was also observed in the VHE band by several experiments (see Appendix B for details). Apart from HAWC, that has a 24-hours duty cycle, all the others could re-point to the ICECUBE position hours later than $T_0$, reporting only flux ULs above different energy thresholds. On a search for steady source using archival data, the HAWC Collaboration reported about a location with a pre-trial significance of 3.57$\sigma$ at R.A.,Dec (J2000)=$(216.43, 0.15)$ [deg] (Taboada 2016) (shown as cyan cross in Fig. 4), although more than 2° away from the neutrino error circle. Considering the number of trials quoted in the HAWC GCN, this is not a significant detection.

5. POSSIBLE NEUTRINO-EMITTER E.M. SOURCES IN THE ICECUBE-160731 AND AGILE AGL J1418+0008 ERROR REGIONS

In what follows, we will further investigate whether some of the steady/transient sources found during the MWL follow-up are good candidates as the ICECUBE-160731 emitter. In particular, we decided to review only the e.m. sources still within the revised ICECUBE error region plus the closest optical transient detected by iPTF48 (named iPTF16elf, Singer et al. (2016)) (see Fig. 4). Table 1 shows the main characteristics of the five e.m. sources satisfying the chosen selection criteria. The table also shows the most likely known association as reported from each of the ATel announcing the detection obtained during the follow-up.

To find some of the key features of one of the most promising neutrino-emitter candidates, the High-energy peaked BL Lac (HBL) types of AGNs (Padovani et al. 2016; Resconi et al. 2017), we reviewed the initial counterpart association and, moreover, we investigated the broad-band spectral properties of each object.

The first two SWIFT-XRT sources detected during the follow-up, #2 and #5 (Evans et al. 2016a), are consistent with the position of two known quasars: source #2 is 9.12” from 2QZ J141936.0-010841\(^\text{11}\) (2QZ Cat, Croom et al. (2001)) while source #5 is 4.5” from 2QZ J141949.8-000644\(^\text{12}\). By looking to their spectral energy distributions (SEDs), built using both the XRT detections and MWL archival data, neither

\(^{11}\)Also known as [VV2010] J141936.0-010840 (VV2010 Cat., Véron-Cetty & Véron (2010)) and SDSS J141935.99-010840.2 (SDSS Cat. – Release #7, Abazajian et al. (2009)).

Figure 5. R.A.-Dec sky map (J2000) obtained with the ASDC SkyExplorer tool showing known radio, optical and X-ray sources within 50 arcmin from the ICECUBE-160731 position. The map also covers most of the 95% C.L. error circle of the AGILE detection described in Sect. 3.2. Black circles show sources from the SDSSWHLGC and the ZWCLUSTER catalogs (Wen et al. 2009; Zwicky et al. 1961); blue circle sources from the ROSAT All Sky Survey (RASS) catalogs (Voges et al. 1999, 2000); red circles are radio sources from the FIRST survey at 1.4 GHz (White et al. 1997). The dashed circle indicates the position of the RASS 1RXS J141658.0-001449 source and the nearby FIRST 1.4 GHz radio-source (blue circle with smallest red circle inside), a possible HBL AGN candidate (see text for details).

of the two quasars shows hints of high-peaked synchrotron emission, which is one of the key feature used to identify a HBL type of AGN. Moreover, they completely lack radio emission, which leads us to conclude that they might be radio-quiet quasars and we can discard them as possible emitter of the ICECUBE-160731 neutrino.

XRT source #6 is ~ 2.5" from 2MASS J14182661+0014283, a known G-type star, and it thus can as well be excluded as possible source candidate of the neutrino emission.

Concerning the two optical transient candidates OT J142038.73-002500.1 and iPTF16elf, they are both positionally consistent with two galaxies (respectively, SDSS J142041.62-002413.1 (z=0.054) and Z 18-88 (z=0.038)), which form part of a cluster. For both, there are no evident indications of blazar features in their respective SEDs.

Besides the review of five e.m. candidates found during the ICECUBE-160731 MWL follow-up, we searched for other possible counterparts within the ICECUBE 90% error circle by exploring the ASDC resident and external catalogs using the online ASDC SkyExplorer tool\(^\text{13}\). In particular, we focused our search to known radio and X-ray sources which might show the typical characteristics of HBL/HSP AGN blazars (Chang et al. 2017): low radio fluxes and low IR-radio spectrum slopes; high X-ray-to-radio flux ratios; \(\nu\) synchrotron peaks above \(10^{15}\) Hz.

\(^{13}\) https://tools.asdc.asi.it
Figure 6. Spectral energy distribution (SED) of the possible HBL candidate, the faint SDSS J141658.90-001442.5 galaxy, found within the ICECUBE-160731 error circle. The galaxy appears within the 25" error circle of the RASS source 1RXS J141658.0-001449 (ν \( F_\nu \) value shown as black point in the SED), along with a FIRST 2 mJy radio source (red point). Optical and IR data of the SDSS J141658.90 galaxy are from: Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) – Release #7 and #13 (blue points, Abazajian et al. (2009); SDSS Collaboration et al. (2016)); Catalina Real-Time Transient Survey (CRTS) (magenta points, Drake et al. (2009)); VIKING survey (green points, Edge et al. (2013)); AllWISE Data Release (purple points, Cutri & et al. (2014)).

A query of 50 arcmin around the ICECUBE-160731 centroid Galactic coordinates \( l,b = (343.68, 55.52 \text{ deg}) \) selecting, among others, radio and X-ray sources from the FIRST (White et al. 1997) and the RASS Catalogs (Voges et al. 1999, 2000), returns several objects (see Figure 5). Following the search criteria defined above, one of the most interesting object resulting from the query is a RASS source appearing at \( \sim 19 \) arcmin from the center, 1RXS J141658.0-001449, with position and related uncertainty \( \text{R.A.,Dec (J2000)} = (14^h 16^m 58^s.0, -00^\circ 14' 49'') \pm 25'' \), (indicated by the dashed circle in Fig. 5). This cataloged X-ray source is the only one in the field showing a FIRST weak radio source (\( F = 1.99 \text{ mJy}; \text{R.A.,Dec (J2000)} = (14^h 16^m 58^s.27, -00^\circ 14' 44.87'') \)) within its error circle. A further search in the ASDC optical catalogs found a faint galaxy, SDSS J141658.90-001442.5 (m\( V \sim 23 \)) at 9.6 arcsec from the FIRST source (14.8 arcsec from the RASS source).

Assuming the radio/optical/X-ray emission comes from the same galaxy, we have produced the SED shown in Figure 6. The high value of the ratio between the 1RXS J141658.0-001449 flux density in the 0.1-2.4 keV band and the FIRST radio source ν \( F_\nu \) value at 1.4 GHz (respectively, black and red points in Fig. 6) might hint to a non-thermal synchrotron emission peaking above \( 10^{15} \) Hz, typical of a HBL AGN blazar. Considering these types of e.m. sources as the most likely neutrino-emitters, the X-ray source 1RXS J141658.0-001449 (and the plausible host galaxy SDSS J141658.90-001442.5) appears as one of the candidate as origin of the ICECUBE-160731 event.

This source was not in the field covered by the July 31st, 2016, SWIFT series of ToO observations (Evans et al. 2016a). Interestingly, the source lies also within the 95% error ellipse contour of the AGILE detection occurred before the neutrino event time \( T_0 \) (see Fig. 4).
5.1. SWIFT ToO data on the 1RXS J141658.0-001449 field

To better estimate the position and the spectrum of the RASS 1RXS J141658.0-001449 source (which was not in the field covered by the first SWIFT series of ToO observations (Evans et al. 2016a)) and determine a stronger spatial correlation with the radio and optical sources described above, a new SWIFT ToO has been submitted and executed in December 2016, almost six months later than the ICECUBE-160731 neutrino detection.

The data were collected in five distinct ~1 ks exposures centered on the 1RXS J141658.0 source position between 2016-12-11 00:32:59 UT and 2016-12-15 07:07:53 UT and are entirely in Photon Counting (PC) mode.\textsuperscript{14}

Figure 7 shows the (smoothed) cumulative XRT count map in the 0.3-10 keV energy range, with an overall exposure of 4.9 ks. The position of the 1RXS J141658.0 source (with its quoted error circle) is superimposed to the map (white circle near the map center). No apparent X-ray excess is visible at the 1RXS J141658.0 position.

Using the XIMAGE \textit{sosta} algorithm, we derive a 3\textsigma UL of $3.1 \times 10^{-3}$\,cts\,s$^{-1}$ in the XRT energy band on the 1RXS J141658.0 position. Assuming a source with a power-law photon index of 1.7, we evaluated an upper limit of $4.6 \times 10^{-3}$\,cts\,s$^{-1}$ in the ROSAT PSPC band. This value is well below the count rate of $(2.19 \pm 1.04) \times 10^{-2}$ quoted for 1RXS J141658.0-001449 in the RASS-FSC Catalog. This might indicate

\textsuperscript{14} Correspondent SWIFT OBSERVATION IDs: from 00034815001 to 00034815005.
Table 2. SWIFT-XRT detections in the 0.3–10 keV band from the ToO centered on the 1RXS J141658.0-001449 source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.16E-03±1.1E-03</td>
<td>14 17 30.209</td>
<td>-00 17 21.842</td>
<td>6.541E-08</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.33E-03±8.8E-04</td>
<td>14 17 28.391</td>
<td>-00 08 10.772</td>
<td>1.884E-06</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.06E-03±1.1E-03</td>
<td>14 16 54.849</td>
<td>-00 05 20.036</td>
<td>2.425E-07</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.75E-03±1.1E-03</td>
<td>14 17 45.479</td>
<td>-00 15 32.932</td>
<td>5.285E-06</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.39E-03±1.4E-03</td>
<td>14 17 46.553</td>
<td>-00 11 59.302</td>
<td>2.972E-10</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

an intrinsic variability of the source, which was significant only during the RASS observation. It should be noted that this source does not appear anymore in the second ROSAT all-sky survey (2RXS) Catalog (Boller et al. 2016), an extended and revised version of the 1RXS Catalog that contains a significant reduced number of low reliability sources.

Applying the XIMAGE detect algorithm on the overall 5 ks XRT count map, weighted by the correspondent sum of each single XRT exposure, five (uncataloged) X-ray field sources are detected within the FoV (see Fig. 7). Table 2 reports count rates, source coordinates, SNR ratio and probability to be a background fluctuation for all the five detections. Studies of the characteristics of the five field sources is ongoing.

6. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We reported the results of AGILE gamma-ray observations of the ICECUBE-160731 neutrino event error region. These observations covered the event sky location at the event time $T_0$ and also allowed us to search for e.m. gamma-ray counterparts before and after the event.

The analysis of the AGILE-GRID data in the time window $T_0 ± 1$ ks with the AGILE burst search system has not shown any significant gamma-ray excess above 30 MeV from the neutrino position. Moreover, no burst-like events using the AGILE-MCAL and the AC ratemeters around $T_0$ have been detected. Instead, an automatic detection above 100 MeV, compatible with the ICECUBE position, appeared from the AGILE QL procedure on a predefined 48-hours interval centered around one day and a half before $T_0$. Considering all the number of trials performed by the AGILE QL system and the chance probability to have a gamma-ray excess in coincidence with the neutrino position, the automatic detection reaches a combined post-trial significance of about 4σ. A refined data analysis confirms the QL detection already reported in the ATel #9295 (Lucarelli et al. 2016). This new AGILE-GRID gamma-ray transient, named AGL J1418+0008, is rather concentrated in time, showing a clusterization of events around ($T_0$-1) days, and reaching a peak ML significance of 4.9σ on the 24-hours integration covering the interval ($T_0$-1.8; $T_0$-0.8) days. AGL J1418+0008 thus stands as possible ICECUBE-160731 gamma-ray precursor.

No other space missions or observatories have reported any clear indication of a transient e.m. emission consistent with the neutrino position and time $T_0$. This non-detection of an e.m. counterpart in any of the wavelengths covered by the ICECUBE-160731 follow-up does not exclude the possibility of a bright rapid gamma-ray flare precursor just before the neutrino detection. Most of the instruments involved in the e.m. follow-up, in fact, could re-point their instruments only hours or even a day after $T_0$, and might have missed the flaring episode seen by AGILE at E>100 MeV.
As said in the MLW follow-up summary, FERMI-LAT did not report any evidence of a precursor above 100 MeV. As we show in Appendix A, this might be due to a very high FERMI-LAT observing angle and a very low exposure of the ICECUBE region with respect to the AGILE observations.

Given the high Galactic latitude of the ICECUBE neutrino arrival direction \((b=55.52\,\text{[deg]})\), we do expect an extra-galactic origin of this event. Indeed, several authors (i.e., Ahlers & Halzen (2014); Padovani et al. (2016)) assume that blazar AGNs are the main VHE neutrino-emitter candidates and the only sources able to explain the common origin of the diffuse neutrino background seen by ICECUBE, the extra-galactic cosmic-ray component and the isotropic diffuse gamma-ray background observed by FERMI (Ackermann et al. 2015). Kadler et al. (2016) found for the first time a significant probability that one of the ICECUBE PeV event was spatially and temporally coincident with a major gamma-ray outburst of the Flat Spectrum Radio Quasar (FSRQ) PKS B1424-418. Considering that there is a substantial fraction of the blazar population not resolved yet, Kadler et al. estimate that around 30\% of the detected multi-TeV/PeV neutrinos will not be associated with any known gamma-ray blazar, like appears to be the case of the ICECUBE-160731 event.

Recently, Resconi et al. (2017) found that a significant correlation between known HBL blazars, ICECUBE neutrinos and UHECRs detected by Auger and the Telescope Array (TA) exists. We thus searched for a HBL candidate counterpart inside the common ICECUBE and AGILE AGL J1418+0008 error circles and found a possible HBL source, the Sloan faint galaxy SDSS J141658.90-001442.5, which appears within the positional error of the RASS source 1RXS J141658.0-001449 and close to a FIRST 2 mJy radio source. The ICECUBE-160731 SWIFT follow-up, although rapid, did not cover the field around this possible e.m. candidate. A new SWIFT ToO then has been submitted in order to characterize better this RASS-FSC source. Unfortunately, the ToO was performed about 6 months after the neutrino event, and the analysis of the XRT data from the almost 5 ks exposure did not reveal any significant X-ray emission at the 1RXS J141658.0 position, providing a 3\(\sigma\) UL of \(3.1 \times 10^{-3}\) cts s\(^{-1}\) in the 0.3–10 keV band. We then cannot confirm at the moment our hypothesis about the HBL nature of this source that, anyhow, might have been detected during the ROSAT survey because in an intrinsic X-ray high-state.

Other possible PeV neutrino-emitters have been proposed, like Starburst galaxies, giant radio galaxies with misaligned jets, gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) (see Ahlers & Halzen (2014) for a review). Lipunov et al. (2016c), for example, correlate another recent ICECUBE HESE neutrino event (ICECUBE-160814) with an optical transient occurred almost ten days after the event time. They postulate the possibility that the neutrino emitter might be an ejecting white dwarf in a binary system. This is an intriguing possibility, although the power budget available in these systems (optical companion plus compact object) could not be sufficient to accelerate protons up to multi-PeV energies in order to produce sub-PeV/PeV neutrinos from \(pp\) collisions.

Eventually, none of the other e.m. sources proposed up to now as neutrino-emitter candidates are able to explain the bulk of multi-wavelength/multi-messenger (neutrinos plus cosmic rays) observational data like the HBL/HSP class of blazars (Resconi et al. 2017). Indeed, the probability to find a blazar of this class in a 1° radius sky-area like the ICECUBE-160731 error circle is quite low. Assuming, in fact, an HSP density of the order of \(5 \times 10^{-2}\) deg\(^{-2}\) from the 2WHSP catalog (Chang et al. 2017), there are approximately 5 HSP/HBL AGNs every 100 squared degrees of sky. Thus, the probability to find one of these objects within the roughly 3 squared degrees covered by the ICECUBE error circle is of about 0.15\%. In the specific case of the ICECUBE-160731 neutrino, for example, we have not found yet any other potential HBL candidate but the one not confirmed with the dedicated SWIFT ToO observations. Moreover, the AGILE transient, not confirmed by FERMI (although caused by a poor FERMI-LAT visibility just before \(T_0\)) might indicate a
possible soft gamma-ray source, in disagreement with the hard-spectrum gamma-ray features expected for the HBLs.

Nevertheless, the HBL scenario can still hold if we assume a lepto-hadronic process occurring within the blazar jet (Righi et al. 2017), where the bulk of broad-band e.m. emission is due to synchrotron and Inverse Compton leptonic processes, while protons would be mainly responsible for the neutrino flux (from the decay of charged pions produced by photo-meson production on the soft photons field within the jet). In this case, Righi et al. (2017) foresee that a soft gamma-ray component, peaking at MeV/GeV energies, would be expected from re-processing of VHE photons from the decay of $\pi^0$'s originated in the $p\gamma$ collisions within the jet. The AGILE observation of the gamma-ray transient AGL J1418+0008, compatible with the neutrino position and very close in time to the event $T_0$, if associated with the ICECUBE event, could be then explained by such hadronic mechanism.

To conclude, there is also the possibility that the source of the ICECUBE-160731 neutrino event might be either a different AGN type or a different class of source, even though we cannot exclude at the moment a moderately bright HBL not yet identified.
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Figure 8. Time-evolution of the ICECUBE-160731 region off-axis angles as observed by AGILE and Fermi-LAT during the 48-hrs time interval \((T_0 - 2; T_0)\) days (MJD 57598.07991-57600.07991).

APPENDIX

A. COMPARISON BETWEEN AGILE AND FERMI-LAT DATA DURING THE ICECUBE-160731 EVENT

In this Appendix, we verify that the FERMI-LAT non-detection of the AGILE possible gamma-ray precursor of the neutrino 160731 event might be due to a poor exposure and non optimal viewing angle of the ICECUBE error circle.

We have compared the FERMI-LAT attitude data with the AGILE ones during the time interval \((T_0 - 2; T_0)\) days (MJD 57598.07991-57600.07991) and found that FERMI-LAT observed the ICECUBE error circle at an off-axis angle lower than 50° only for a 3.9% of its total exposure time, while for AGILE the exposure time below the same off-axis angle amounted to 27.4% of the total (see Figure 8)\(^\text{15}\).

Further investigations of the FERMI spacecraft data show also several periods of not data-taking during the same time-interval (amounting to ~ 15% of the total observation time), particularly near \((T_0-1)\) days (as it is possible to see from Fig. 8), where AGILE found a clusterization of gamma-like events compatible with the ICECUBE error circle.

To prove that during this period the AGILE and FERMI-LAT exposures on the ICECUBE region were at least comparable, we have evaluated the exposures for both instruments on time intervals of 24, 12 and 6 hours centered at \((T_0 - 1)\) days (MJD=57599.07991), where the AGILE detection reached its peak significance.

\(^{15}\) At high values of the off-axis angle (> 50°), the Fermi/LAT sensitivity is up to 50% lower than the nominal on-axis value.
Table 3. *AGILE* and *FERMI-LAT* exposures on the ICECUBE-160731 error circle during the period of the detection of the possible gamma-ray precursor AGL J1418+0008. For both instruments, a maximum off-axis angle of 50° between source and FoV center has been assumed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval [MJD]</th>
<th>duration [hrs]</th>
<th><em>AGILE</em> mean exp (cm² s)</th>
<th><em>FERMI-LAT</em> mean exp (cm² s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>57598.25 ÷ 57599.25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.7E+06</td>
<td>3.8E+06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57598.75 ÷ 57599.25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.7E+06</td>
<td>1.2E+06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57598.875 ÷ 57599.125</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.2E+05</td>
<td>4.7E+05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We downloaded Pass8 data\(^{16}\) around the position of ICECUBE-160731 and, using version v10r0p5 of the Fermi Science Tools provided by the Fermi satellite team\(^{17}\) and the instrument response function P8R2\_SOURCE\_V6, we calculated the mean exposure values on the neutrino error circle on those different integration times. We selected Pass8 FRONT and BACK source class events and, in order to be comparable with the *AGILE* spectral sensitivity (optimized to the observation of soft gamma-ray sources with typical spectral indexes of 2÷2.1), we limited the event energies between 0.1 and 10 GeV.

Table 3 shows the values of the *FERMI-LAT* and *AGILE* exposures on the different time intervals chosen and for a maximum off-axis angle between source and FoV center of 50°.

The LAT exposure on the 24-hours interval MJD 57598.25 ÷ 57599.25 becomes comparable with the *AGILE* exposure of 3.7 × 10⁶ cm² s obtained under the same maximum viewing angle and the same integration time. On the shorter intervals of 12 and 6 hours around \((T_0-1)\) days, the *AGILE* exposure becomes even larger than the *FERMI* one. Assuming, thus, a very short gamma-ray flare, as the *AGILE* detection indicates, it might imply the possibility that *FERMI*, given the very low exposure and the large viewing angle of the ICECUBE-160731 position during this period, lost most of the gamma-ray transient episode. Differences in the event classification algorithms between the two instruments can also bring to a detection/non-detection in such cases of short gamma-ray transients at the level of 4σ above the background.

B. SUMMARY OF THE ICECUBE-160731 MWL FOLLOW-UP

---

\(^{16}\) From the FERMI data ASDC mirror (https://tools.asdc.asi.it).

\(^{17}\) http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mission/Observatory (Energy band)</th>
<th>ATeL #</th>
<th>GCN Circular #</th>
<th>Observation/integration time [UTC]</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HAWC (TeV gamma-rays)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19743</td>
<td>2016-07-30 21:28:57 – 2016-07-31 02:59:15</td>
<td>No detection around neutrino event time $T_0$ (most significant location (1.12$\sigma$) at R.A., Dec (J2000) = 214.67, 1.04 deg). From archival data, a pre-trial 3.57$\sigma$ detection from R.A., Dec (J2000) = 214.63, 0.15 deg is reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWIFT (X-ray, Optical/UV)</td>
<td>9294</td>
<td>19747</td>
<td>2016-07-31 03:00:46 – 2016-07-31 14:51:52</td>
<td>Six known or cataloged X-ray sources detected (0.3-10 keV) but no transient events. No transient sources detected in the simultaneous UVOT data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGILE (Gamma-rays)</td>
<td>9295</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2016-07-29 02:00 – 2016-07-31 02:00 (08:00 – 2016-07-30 08:00)</td>
<td>$&gt;4\sigma$ pre-trials detection on the interval 2016-07-28/2016-07-30 (08:00 UT).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global MASTER net (Optical)</td>
<td>9298</td>
<td>19748</td>
<td>From 2016-07-31 19:23:17 on</td>
<td>No optical transients detected inside 2 square degrees around center of ICECUBE-160731 Rev. #0 error circle. Detected one likely particle CCD event (OT J142038.73-002500.1) and the NGC 5584 galaxy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HESS (TeV gamma-rays)</td>
<td>9301</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2016-07-31/08:01 (1 hr) 2016-08-01/02 (1 hr)</td>
<td>No detection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FERMI-LAT (Gamma-rays)</td>
<td>9303</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.25 days from 2016-07-31 00:00 8.25 days from 2016-07-25 00:00</td>
<td>No detection above 100 MeV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FERMI-GBM (X-ray/Gamma-rays)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19758</td>
<td>Neutrino event trigger time ($T_0$).</td>
<td>Position occulted by Earth at $T_0$. Flux U.L. at 3$\sigma$ level (12-100 keV) on the interval July 30th-Aug. 1st.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPTF P48 (Optical/IR)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19760</td>
<td>From 2016-07-31 05:22 on.</td>
<td>No optical transients detected close to the ICECUBE updated error circle. Two optical transients candidate (iPTF16elf and iPTF16elg) detected at 1.1 and 2.0 deg from the neutrino candidate position, both consistent with known galaxies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAXI/GSC (X-ray)</td>
<td>9313</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>At 2016-07-31 02:32. From 2016-07-20 to 2016-08-03.</td>
<td>No detection on the 2-20 keV band within the ICECUBE error circle, neither near $T_0$ nor in the period July 20th – Aug. 3rd. 3$\sigma$ U.L. are provided.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 continued on next page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mission/Observatory</th>
<th>ATeI #</th>
<th>GCN Circular #</th>
<th>Observation/integration time</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAGIC (TeV gamma-rays)</td>
<td>9315</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2016-07-31 21:25 – 2016-07-31 22:47</td>
<td>No detection above 600 GeV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTARES (TeV/PeV neutrinos)</td>
<td>9324</td>
<td>19772</td>
<td></td>
<td>No up-going muon neutrino candidate events recorded within three degrees of the ICECUBE event coordinates. 90% U.L. on the fluence from a point-like source are reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konus-Wind (X-ray/Gamma-rays)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19777</td>
<td></td>
<td>No triggered events detected. 90% C.L. upper limits are reported on the 20-1200 keV fluence for typical short and long GRB spectra.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCOGT (Optical)</td>
<td>9327</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>From 2016-07-31 23:04:41 till 2016-08-03 18:29:11</td>
<td>No detection of new optical sources down to $3\sigma$ limiting magnitudes $&gt;19$.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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