

PREPARED FOR SUBMISSION TO JHEP

Dark Matter and the elusive Z' in a dynamical Inverse Seesaw scenario

Valentina De Romeri,^a Enrique Fernandez-Martinez,^{b,c} Julia Gehrlein,^{b,c}
Pedro A. N. Machado^d and Viviana Niro^e

^a*AHEP Group, Instituto de Física Corpuscular, C.S.I.C./Universitat de València,
Calle Catedrático José Beltrán, 2 E-46980 Paterna, Spain*

^b*Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid,
Cantoblanco E-28049 Madrid, Spain*

^c*Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC,
Calle Nicolás Cabrera 13-15, Cantoblanco E-28049 Madrid, Spain*

^d*Theoretical Physics Department, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL, 60510, USA*

^e*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Philosophenweg 16, 69120
Heidelberg, Germany*

E-mail: deromeri@ific.uv.es, enrique.fernandez-martinez@uam.es,
julia.gehrlein@uam.es, pmachado@fnal.gov,
niro@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de

ABSTRACT: The Inverse Seesaw naturally explains the smallness of neutrino masses via an approximate $B - L$ symmetry broken only by a correspondingly small parameter. In this work the possible dynamical generation of the Inverse Seesaw neutrino mass mechanism from the spontaneous breaking of a gauged $U(1)$ $B - L$ symmetry is investigated. Interestingly, the Inverse Seesaw pattern requires a chiral content such that anomaly cancellation predicts the existence of extra fermions belonging to a dark sector with large, non-trivial, charges under the $U(1)$ $B - L$. We investigate the phenomenology associated to these new states and find that one of them is a viable dark matter candidate with mass around the TeV scale, whose interaction with the Standard Model is mediated by the Z' boson associated to the gauged $U(1)$ $B - L$ symmetry. Given the large charges required for anomaly cancellation in the dark sector, the $B - L$ Z' interacts preferentially with this dark sector rather than with the Standard Model. This suppresses the rate at direct detection searches and thus alleviates the constraints on Z' -mediated dark matter relic abundance. The collider phenomenology of this *elusive* Z' is also discussed.

KEYWORDS: Neutrino Physics, Dark Matter

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The model	3
2.1	The fermion sector	4
2.2	The scalar sector	5
3	Dark matter phenomenology	7
3.1	Relic density	7
3.2	Direct Detection	8
3.3	Indirect Detection	9
3.4	Effective number of neutrino species, N_{eff}	9
4	Collider phenomenology	10
5	Results	12
6	Conclusions	12

1 Introduction

The simplest and most popular mechanism to accommodate the evidence for neutrino masses and mixings [1–6] and to naturally explain their extreme smallness, calls upon the introduction of right-handed neutrinos through the celebrated Seesaw mechanism [7–12]. Its appeal stems from the simplicity of its particle content, consisting only of the right-handed neutrinos otherwise conspicuously missing from the Standard Model (SM) ingredients. In the Seesaw mechanism, the smallness of neutrino masses is explained through the ratio of their Dirac masses and the Majorana mass term of the extra fermion singlets. Unfortunately, this very same ratio suppresses any phenomenological probe of the existence of this mechanism. Indeed, either the right-handed neutrino masses would be too large to be reached by our highest energy colliders, or the Dirac masses, and hence the Yukawa interactions that mediate the right-handed neutrino phenomenology, would be too small for even our more accurate precision probes through flavour and precision electroweak observables.

However, a large hierarchy of scales is not the only possibility to naturally explain the smallness of neutrino masses. Indeed, neutrino masses are protected by the $B - L$ (Baryon minus Lepton number) global symmetry, otherwise exact in the SM. Thus, if this symmetry is only mildly broken, neutrino masses will be necessarily suppressed by the small $B - L$ -breaking parameters. Conversely, the production and detection of the extra right-handed neutrinos at colliders as well as their indirect effects in flavour and precision electroweak observables are not protected by the $B - L$ symmetry and therefore

not necessarily suppressed, leading to a much richer and interesting phenomenology. This is the rationale behind the popular Inverse Seesaw Mechanism [13] (ISS) as well as the Linear [14, 15] and Double Seesaw [13, 16–18] variants.

In the presence of right-handed neutrinos, $B - L$ is the only flavour-universal SM quantum number that is not anomalous, besides hypercharge. Therefore, just like the addition of right-handed neutrinos, a very natural plausible SM extension is the gauging of this symmetry. In this work these two elements are combined to explore a possible dynamical origin of the ISS pattern from the spontaneous breaking of the gauged $B - L$ symmetry.

Previous models in the literature have been constructed using the ISS idea or gauging $B - L$ to explain the smallness of the neutrino masses, see e.g. [19–24]. A minimal model in which the ISS is realised dynamically and where the smallness of the Lepton Number Violating (LNV) term is generated at the two-loop level was studied in [25]. Concerning $U(1)_{B-L}$ extensions of the SM with an ISS generation of neutrino masses, several models have been investigated [26–29]. A common origin of both sterile neutrinos and Dark Matter (DM) has been proposed in [30, 31]. An ISS model which incorporates a keV sterile neutrino as a DM candidate was constructed in e.g. [32]. Neutrino masses break $B - L$, if this symmetry is not gauged and dynamically broken, a massless Goldstone boson, the Majoron, appears in the spectrum. Such models have been investigated for example in [30, 33].

Interestingly, since the ISS mechanism requires a chiral pattern in the neutrino sector, the gauging of $B - L$ predicts the existence of extra fermion singlets with non-trivial charges so as to cancel the anomalies. We find that these extra states may play the role of DM candidates as thermally produced Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs) (see for instance [34, 35] for a review).

Indeed, the extra states would form a *dark sector*, only connected to the SM via the Z' gauge boson associated to the $B - L$ symmetry and, more indirectly, through the mixing of the scalar responsible for the spontaneous symmetry breaking of $B - L$ with the Higgs boson. For the simplest charge assignment, this dark sector would be constituted by one heavy Dirac and one massless Weyl fermion with large $B - L$ charges. These large charges make the Z' couple preferentially to the dark sector rather than to the SM, making it particularly *elusive*. In this work the phenomenology associated with this dark sector and the elusive Z' is investigated. We find that the heavy Dirac fermion of the dark sector can be a viable DM candidate with its relic abundance mediated by the elusive Z' . Conversely, the massless Weyl fermion can be probed through measurements of the relativistic degrees of freedom in the early Universe. The collider phenomenology of the elusive Z' is also investigated and the LHC bounds are derived.

The paper is structured as follows. In Sec. 2 we describe the features of the model, namely its Lagrangian and particle content. In Sec. 3 we analyse the phenomenology of the DM candidate and its viability. The collider phenomenology of the Z' boson is discussed in Sec. 4. Finally, in Secs. 5 and 6 we summarise our results and conclude.

2 The model

The usual ISS model consists of the addition of a pair of right-handed SM singlet fermions (right-handed neutrinos) for each massive active neutrino [13, 36–38]. These extra fermion copies, say N_R and N'_R , carry a global Lepton Number (LN) of +1 and −1, respectively, and this leads to the following mass Lagrangian

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{ISS}} = \bar{L}Y_\nu\tilde{H}N_R + \overline{N_R^c}M_NN'_R + \overline{N_R^c}\mu N'_R + \text{h.c.}, \quad (2.1)$$

where Y_ν is the neutrino Yukawa coupling matrix, $\tilde{H} = i\sigma_2 H^*$ (H being the SM Higgs doublet) and L is the SM lepton doublet. Moreover, M_N is a LN conserving matrix, while the mass matrix μ breaks LN explicitly by 2 units.

The right-handed neutrinos can be integrated out, leading to the Weinberg operator [39] which generates masses for the light, active neutrinos of the form:

$$m_\nu \sim v^2 Y_\nu M_N^{-1} \mu (M_N^T)^{-1} Y_\nu^T. \quad (2.2)$$

Having TeV-scale right-handed neutrinos (e.g. motivated by naturalness [40, 41]) and $\mathcal{O}(1)$ Yukawa couplings would require $\mu \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{keV})$. In the original ISS formulation [13], the smallness of this LNV parameter arises from a superstring inspired E6 scenario. Alternative explanations call upon other extensions of the SM such as Supersymmetry and Grand Unified Theories (see for instance [15, 42]). Here a dynamical origin for μ will be instead explored. The μ parameter is technically natural: since it is the only parameter that breaks LN, its running is multiplicative and thus once chosen to be small, it will remain small at all energy scales.

To promote the LN breaking parameter μ in the ISS scenario to a dynamical quantity, we choose to gauge the $B - L$ number [43]. The spontaneous breaking of this symmetry will convey LN breaking, generate neutrino masses via a scalar vev, and give rise to a massive vector boson, dubbed here Z' . $B - L$ is an accidental symmetry of the SM, and it is well motivated in theories in which quarks and leptons are unified [44–47]. In unified theories, the chiral anomalies cancel within each family, provided that SM fermion singlets with charge +1 are included. In the usual ISS framework, this is not the case due to the presence of right-handed neutrinos with charges +1 and −1. The triangle anomalies that do not cancel are those involving three $U(1)_{B-L}$ vertices, as well as one $U(1)_{B-L}$ vertex and gravity. Therefore, to achieve anomaly cancellation for gauged $B - L$ we have to include additional chiral content to the model with charges that satisfy

$$\sum Q_i = 0 \Rightarrow \sum Q_{iL} - \sum Q_{iR} = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\sum Q_i^3 = 0 \Rightarrow \sum Q_{iL}^3 - \sum Q_{iR}^3 = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where the first and second equation refer to the mixed gravity- $U(1)_{B-L}$ and $U(1)_{B-L}^3$ anomalies, respectively. The index i runs through all fermions of the model.

In the following subsections we will discuss the fermion and the scalar sectors of the model in more detail.

Particle	ϕ_1	ϕ_2	ν_L	N_R	N'_R	χ_R	χ_L	ω
$U(1)_{B-L}$ charge	+1	+2	-1	-1	+1	+5	+4	+4
Multiplicity	1	1	3	3	3	1	1	1

Table 1: Neutral fermions and singlet scalars with their $U(1)_{B-L}$ charge and their multiplicity. $\phi_{1,2}$ are SM singlet scalars while N_R , N'_R and χ_R are right-handed and χ_L and ω are left-handed SM singlet fermions respectively.

2.1 The fermion sector

Besides the anomaly constraint, the ISS mechanism can only work with a certain number of N_R and N'_R fields (see, e.g., Ref. [48]). We find a phenomenologically interesting and viable scenario which consists of the following copies of SM fermion singlets and their respective $B-L$ charges: 3 N_R with charge -1 ; 3 N'_R with charge $+1$; 1 χ_R with charge $+5$; 1 χ_L with charge $+4$ and 1 ω with charge $+4$ ¹ Some of these right-handed neutrinos allow for a mass term, namely, $M_N \overline{N_R^c} N'_R$, but to lift the mass of the other sterile fermions and to generate SM neutrino masses, two extra scalars are introduced. Thus, besides the Higgs doublet H , the scalar fields ϕ_1 with $B-L$ charge $+1$ and ϕ_2 with charge $+2$ are considered. The SM leptons have $B-L$ charge -1 , while the quarks have charge $1/3$. The scalar and fermion content of the model, related to neutrino mass generation, is summarised in Table 1. The most general Lagrangian in the neutrino sector is then given by²

$$-\mathcal{L}_\nu = \bar{L} Y_\nu \tilde{H} N_R + \overline{N_R^c} M_N N'_R + \phi_2 \overline{N_R^c} Y_N N_R + \phi_2^* (\overline{N'_R})^c Y'_N N'_R + \phi_1^* \overline{\chi_L} Y_\chi \chi_R + \text{h.c.}, \quad (2.5)$$

where the capitalised variables are to be understood as matrices (the indices were omitted).

The singlet fermion spectrum splits into two parts, an ISS sector composed by ν_L , N_R , and N'_R , and a dark sector with χ_L and χ_R , as can be seen in the following mass matrix written in the basis $(\nu_L^c, N_R, N'_R, \chi_L^c, \chi_R)$:

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} 0 & Y_\nu \tilde{H} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Y_\nu^T \tilde{H}^\dagger & Y_N \phi_2 & M_N & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_N^T & Y'_N \phi_2^* & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Y_\chi \phi_1^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Y_\chi^T \phi_1 & 0 \end{array} \right). \quad (2.6)$$

The dynamical equivalent of the μ parameter can be identified with $Y'_N \phi_2^*$ ³. After ϕ_1 develops a vacuum expectation value (vev) a Dirac fermion $\chi = (\chi_L, \chi_R)$ and a massless

¹Introducing 2 N_R and 3 N'_R as for example in [32] leads to a keV sterile neutrino as a potentially interesting warm DM candidate [49] in the spectrum due to the mismatch between the number of N_R and N'_R . However, the relic abundance of this sterile neutrino, if thermally produced via freeze out, is an order of magnitude too large. Thus, in order to avoid its thermalisation, very small Yukawa couplings and mixings must be adopted instead.

²Notice that a coupling $\phi_1^* \overline{\omega} Y_\omega \chi_R$, while allowed, can always be reabsorbed into $\phi_1^* \overline{\chi_L} Y_\chi \chi_R$ through a rotation between ω and χ_L .

³The analogous term $Y_N \phi_2$ - also dynamically generated - contributes to neutrino masses only at the one-loop level and is therefore typically sub-leading.

fermion ω are formed in the dark sector. Although the cosmological impact of this extra relativistic degree of freedom may seem worrisome at first, we will show later that the contribution to N_{eff} is suppressed as this sector is well secluded from the SM.

To recover a TeV-scale ISS scenario with the correct neutrino masses and $\mathcal{O}(1)$ Yukawa couplings, $v_2 \equiv \langle \phi_2 \rangle \sim \text{keV} \ll v$ (where $v = \langle H \rangle = 246 \text{ GeV}$ is the electroweak vev) and $M_R \sim \text{TeV}$ are needed. Moreover, the mass of the $B - L$ gauge boson will be linked to the vevs of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , and hence to lift its mass above the electroweak scale will require $v_1 \equiv \langle \phi_1 \rangle \gtrsim \text{TeV}$. In particular, we will show that a triple scalar coupling $\eta \phi_1^2 \phi_2^*$ can induce a small v_2 even when v_1 is large, similar to what occurs in the type-II seesaw [12, 52–55]. After the spontaneous symmetry breaking, the particle spectrum would then consist of a $B - L$ gauge boson, 3 pseudo-Dirac neutrino pairs and a Dirac dark fermion at the TeV scale, as well as a massless dark fermion. The SM neutrinos would in turn develop small masses via the ISS in the usual way. Interestingly, both dark fermions only interact with the SM via the new gauge boson Z' and via the suppressed mixing of ϕ_1 with the Higgs. They are also stable and thus the heavy dark fermion is a natural WIMP DM candidate. Since all new fermions carry $B - L$ charge, they all couple to the Z' , but specially the ones in the dark sector which have larger $B - L$ charge.

2.2 The scalar sector

The scalar potential of the model can be written as

$$V = \frac{m_H^2}{2} H^\dagger H + \frac{\lambda_H}{2} (H^\dagger H)^2 + \frac{m_1^2}{2} \phi_1^* \phi_1 + \frac{m_2^2}{2} \phi_2^* \phi_2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} (\phi_1^* \phi_1)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} (\phi_2^* \phi_2)^2 \quad (2.7)$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda_{12}}{2} (\phi_1^* \phi_1) (\phi_2^* \phi_2) + \frac{\lambda_{1H}}{2} (\phi_1^* \phi_1) (H^\dagger H) + \frac{\lambda_{2H}}{2} (\phi_2^* \phi_2) (H^\dagger H) - \eta (\phi_1^2 \phi_2^* + \phi_1^{*2} \phi_2).$$

Both m_H^2 and m_1^2 are negative, but m_2^2 is positive and large. Then, for suitable values of the quartic couplings, the vev of ϕ_2 , v_2 , is only induced by the vev of ϕ_1 , v_1 , through η and thus it can be made small. With the convention $\phi_j = (v_j + \varphi_j + i a_j)/\sqrt{2}$ and the neutral component of the complex Higgs field given by $H^0 = (v + h + i G_Z)/\sqrt{2}$ (where G_Z is the Goldstone associated with the Z boson mass), the minimisation of the potential yields

$$m_H^2 = -\frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{1H} v_1^2 + \lambda_{2H} v_2^2 + 2\lambda_H v^2) \simeq -\frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{1H} v_1^2 + 2\lambda_H v^2), \quad (2.8)$$

$$m_1^2 = -\frac{1}{2} (2\lambda_1 v_1^2 + \lambda_{1H} v^2 - 4\sqrt{2}\eta v_2 + \lambda_{12} v_2^2) \simeq -\frac{1}{2} (2\lambda_1 v_1^2 + \lambda_{1H} v^2), \quad (2.9)$$

$$m_2^2 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\eta}{v_2} - \frac{\lambda_{12}}{2} \right) v_1^2 - \lambda_2 v_2^2 - \frac{\lambda_{2H}}{2} v^2 \simeq \frac{\sqrt{2}\eta v_1^2}{v_2}, \quad (2.10)$$

or, equivalently,

$$v_2 \simeq \frac{\sqrt{2}\eta v_1^2}{m_2^2}. \quad (2.11)$$

Clearly, when $\eta \rightarrow 0$ or $m_2^2 \rightarrow \infty$, the vev of ϕ_2 goes to zero. For example, to obtain $v_2 \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{keV})$, one could have $m_2 \sim 10 \text{ TeV}$, $v_1 \sim 10 \text{ TeV}$, and $\eta \sim 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}$. The neutral

scalar mass matrix is then given by

$$M_0^2 \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_H v^2 & \lambda_{1H} v_1 v/2 & 0 \\ \lambda_{1H} v_1 v/2 & \lambda_1 v_1^2 & -\sqrt{2}\eta v_1 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{2}\eta v_1 & \eta v_1^2/\sqrt{2}v_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.12)$$

Higgs data constrain the mixing angle between $\text{Re}(H^0)$ and $\text{Re}(\phi_1^0)$ to be below $\sim 30\%$ [56]. Moreover, since $\eta \ll m_2, v_1$, the mixing between the new scalars is also small. Thus, the masses of the physical scalars h , φ_1 and φ_2 are approximately

$$m_h^2 = \lambda_H v^2, \quad m_{\varphi_1}^2 = \lambda_1 v_1^2, \quad \text{and} \quad m_{\varphi_2}^2 = m_2^2/2, \quad (2.13)$$

while the mixing angles α_1 and α_2 between $h - \varphi_1$ and $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2$, respectively, are

$$\tan \alpha_1 \simeq \frac{\lambda_{1H}}{\lambda_1} \frac{v}{2v_1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tan \alpha_2 \simeq 2 \frac{v_2}{v_1}. \quad (2.14)$$

If $v_1 \sim \text{TeV}$ and the quartics λ_1 and λ_{1H} are $\mathcal{O}(1)$, the mixing α_1 is expected to be small but non-negligible. A mixing between the Higgs doublet and a scalar singlet can only diminish the Higgs couplings to SM particles. Concretely, the couplings of the Higgs to gauge bosons and fermions, relative to the SM couplings, are

$$\kappa_F = \kappa_V = \cos \alpha_1, \quad (2.15)$$

which is constrained to be $\cos \alpha_1 > 0.92$ (or equivalently $\sin \alpha_1 < 0.39$) [57]. Since the massless fermion does not couple to any scalar, and all other extra particles in the model are heavy, the modifications to the SM Higgs couplings are the only phenomenological impact of the model on Higgs physics. The other mixing angle, α_2 , is very small since it is proportional to the LN breaking vev and thus is related to neutrino masses. Its presence will induce a mixing between the Higgs and φ_2 , but for the parameters of interest here it is unobservable.

Besides Higgs physics, the direct production of φ_1 at LHC via its mixing with the Higgs would be possible if it is light enough. Otherwise, loop effects that would change the W mass bound can also test this scenario imposing $\sin \alpha_1 \lesssim 0.2$ for $m_{\varphi_1} = 800 \text{ GeV}$ [56].

Apart from that, the only physical pseudoscalar degree of freedom is

$$A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{v_1^2 + 4v_2^2}} [2v_2 a_1 - v_1 a_2] \quad (2.16)$$

and its mass is degenerate with the heavy scalar mass, $m_A \simeq m_{\varphi_2}$.

We have built this model in **SARAH** 4.9 [58–61]. This Mathematica package produces the model files for **SPheno** 3.3.8 [62, 63] and **CalcHep** [64] which are then used to study the DM phenomenology with **Micromegas** 4.3 [65]. We have used these packages to compute the results presented in the following sections. Moreover, we will present analytical estimations to further interpret the numerical results.




Figure 1: DM annihilation channels $\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ via the Z' boson and $\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow Z'Z'$. The $\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow Z'Z'$ channel opens up when $M_{Z'}^2 < m_\chi^2$. Since the process $\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow \varphi_1 \rightarrow Z'Z'$ is velocity suppressed this diagram is typically subleading.

3 Dark matter phenomenology

As discussed in the previous section, in this dynamical realisation of the ISS mechanism we have two stable fermions. One of them is a Dirac fermion, $\chi = (\chi_L, \chi_R)$, which acquires a mass from ϕ_1 , and therefore is manifest at the TeV scale. The other, ω , is massless and will contribute to the number of relativistic species in the early Universe. First we analyse if χ can yield the observed DM abundance of the Universe.

3.1 Relic density

In the early Universe, χ is in thermal equilibrium with the plasma due to its gauge interaction with Z' . The relevant part of the Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{DM} = -g_{BL}\bar{\chi}\gamma^\mu(5P_R + 4P_L)\chi Z'_\mu + \frac{1}{2}M_{Z'}^2 Z'_\mu Z'^\mu - m_\chi\bar{\chi}\chi, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$M_{Z'} = g_{BL}\sqrt{v_1^2 + 4v_2^2} \simeq g_{BL}v_1, \quad \text{and} \quad m_\chi = Y_\chi v_1/\sqrt{2}, \quad (3.2)$$

and $P_{R,L}$ are the chirality projectors.

The main annihilation channels of χ are $\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ via the Z' boson exchange and $\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow Z'Z'$ - if kinematically allowed (see fig. 1).

The annihilation cross section to a fermion species f , at leading order in v , reads:

$$\langle\sigma v\rangle_{ff} \simeq n_c(q_{\chi_L} + q_{\chi_R})^2 \frac{q_{f_L}^2 + q_{f_R}^2}{8\pi} \frac{g_{BL}^4 m_\chi^2}{(4m_\chi^2 - M_{Z'}^2)^2 + \Gamma_{Z'}^2 M_{Z'}^2} + \mathcal{O}(v^2), \quad (3.3)$$

see e.g. [66, 67], where n_c is the color factor of the final state fermion (=1 for leptons), $q_{\chi_L} = 4$ and $q_{\chi_R} = 5$ and $q_{f_{L,R}}$ are the $B - L$ charges of the left- and right-handed components of the DM candidate χ and of the fermion f , respectively. Moreover, the partial decay width of the Z' into a pair of fermions (including the DM, for which $f = \chi$) is given by

$$\Gamma_{Z'}^{ff} = n_c g_{\text{BL}}^2 \frac{\left(6q_{f_L} q_{f_R} m_f^2 + (q_{f_L}^2 + q_{f_R}^2) (M_{Z'}^2 - m_f^2)\right) \sqrt{M_{Z'}^2 - 4m_f^2}}{24\pi M_{Z'}^2}. \quad (3.4)$$

When $M_{Z'}^2 < m_\chi^2$, the annihilation channel $\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow Z'Z'$ is also available. The cross section for this process (lower diagrams in fig. 1) is given by (to leading order in the relative velocity) [66]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\sigma v\rangle_{Z'Z'} \simeq & \frac{1}{256\pi m_\chi^2 M_{Z'}^2} \left(1 - \frac{M_{Z'}^2}{m_\chi^2}\right)^{3/2} \left(1 - \frac{M_{Z'}^2}{2m_\chi^2}\right)^{-2} \\ & (8g_{\text{BL}}^4 (q_{\chi_R} + q_{\chi_L})^2 (q_{\chi_R} - q_{\chi_L})^2 m_\chi^2 + ((q_{\chi_R} - q_{\chi_L})^4 + (q_{\chi_R} + q_{\chi_L})^4 \\ & - 6(q_{\chi_R} - q_{\chi_L})^2 (q_{\chi_R} + q_{\chi_L})^2) g_{\text{BL}}^4 M_{Z'}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The $\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow \varphi_1 \rightarrow Z'Z'$ (upper right diagram in fig. 1) channel is velocity suppressed and hence typically subleading. Further decay channels like $\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow \varphi_1\varphi_1$ and $\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow Z'\varphi_1$ open when $2m_\chi > m_{\varphi_1} + m_{\varphi_1}(m_{\varphi_1} + m_{Z'})$. With $m_\chi = Y_\chi/\sqrt{2}v_1$, $m_{\varphi_1} = \sqrt{\lambda_1}v_1$, $m_{Z'} = g_{\text{BL}}v_1$ and the additional constraint from perturbativity $Y_\chi \leq 1$ we get only small kinematically allowed regions which play a subleading role for the relic abundance. The cross section for the annihilation channel $\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow Z'h^0$ is also subleading due to the mixing angle α_1 between $\varphi_1 - h^0$ which is small although non-negligible (cf. Eq. (2.14)).

The relic density of χ has been computed numerically with **Micromegas** obtaining also, for several points of the parameter space, the DM freeze-out temperature at which the annihilation rate becomes smaller than the Hubble rate $\langle\sigma v\rangle n_\chi \lesssim H$. Given the freeze-out temperature and the annihilation cross sections of Eqs. (3.3) and (3.5), the DM relic density can thus be estimated by [68]:

$$\Omega_\chi h^2 = \frac{2.5 \cdot 10^{28} m_\chi}{T_\chi^{\text{f.o.}} M_{Pl}^2 \sqrt{g_\star} \langle\sigma v\rangle}, \quad (3.6)$$

where g_\star is the number of degrees of freedom in radiation at the temperature of freeze-out of the DM ($T_\chi^{\text{f.o.}}$), $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ is its thermally averaged annihilation cross section and $M_{Pl} = 1.2 \cdot 10^{19}$ GeV is the Planck mass. In Sec. 5 we will use this estimation of $\Omega_\chi h^2$ together with its constraint $\Omega_\chi h^2 \simeq 0.1186 \pm 0.0020$ [69, 70] to explore the regions of the parameter space for which the correct DM relic abundance is obtained.

3.2 Direct Detection

The same Z' couplings that contribute to the relic abundance can give rise to signals in DM direct detection experiments. The DM-SM interactions in the model via the Z' are either vector-vector or axial-vector interactions. Indeed, the Z' -SM interactions are vectorial (with the exception of the couplings to neutrinos) while χ has different left- and right-handed charges. The axial-vector interaction does not lead to a signal in direct detection and the vector-vector interaction leads to a spin-independent cross section [71].

The cross section for coherent elastic scattering on a nucleon is

$$\sigma_\chi^{\text{DD}} = \frac{\mu_{\chi N}^2}{\pi} \left(\frac{9}{2} \frac{g_{\text{BL}}^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right)^2 \quad (3.7)$$

where $\mu_{\chi N}$ is the reduced mass of the DM-nucleon system. The strongest bounds on the spin-independent scattering cross section come from LUX [72] and XENON1T [73]. The constraint on the DM-nucleon scattering cross section is $\sigma_{\chi}^{\text{DD}} < 10^{-9}$ pb for $m_{\chi} = 1$ TeV and $\sigma_{\chi}^{\text{DD}} < 10^{-8}$ pb for $m_{\chi} = 10$ TeV. The experimental bound on the spin-independent cross section (Eq. (3.7)) allows to derive a lower bound on the vev of ϕ_1 :

$$v_1 \text{ [GeV]} > \left(\frac{2.2 \cdot 10^9}{\sigma_{\chi}^{\text{DD}} \text{ [pb]}} \right)^{1/4}. \quad (3.8)$$

This bound pushes the DM mass to be $m_{\chi} \gtrsim \text{TeV}$. For instance, for $g_{\text{BL}} = 0.25$ and $m_{Z'} = 10$ TeV, a DM mass $m_{\chi} = 3.8$ TeV is required to have $\sigma_{\chi}^{\text{DD}} \sim 9 \times 10^{-10}$ pb. In turn, this bound translates into a lower limit on the vev of ϕ_1 : $v_1 \gtrsim 40$ TeV (with $Y_{\chi} \gtrsim 0.1$). Next generation experiments such as XENON1T [74] and LZ [75] are expected to improve the current bounds by an order of magnitude and could test the parameter space of this model, as it will be discussed in Sec. 5.

3.3 Indirect Detection

In full generality, the annihilation of χ today could lead also to indirect detection signatures, in the form of charged cosmic rays, neutrinos and gamma rays. However, since the main annihilation channel of χ is via the Z' which couples dominantly to the dark sector, the bounds from indirect detection searches turn out to be subdominant.

The strongest experimental bounds come from gamma rays produced through direct emission from the annihilation of χ into $\tau^+\tau^-$. Both the constraints from the Fermi-LAT Space Telescope (6-year observation of gamma rays from dwarf spheroidal galaxies) [76] and H.E.S.S. (10-year observation of gamma rays from the Galactic Center) [77] are not very stringent for the range of DM masses considered here. Indeed, the current experimental bounds on the velocity-weighted annihilation cross section $\langle \sigma v \rangle (\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-)$ range from $10^{-25} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$ to $10^{-22} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$ for DM masses between 1 and 10 TeV. These values are more than two orders of magnitude above the values obtained for the regions of the parameter space in which we obtain the correct relic abundance (notice that the branching ratio of the DM annihilation to χ into $\tau^+\tau^-$ is only about 5%). Future experiments like CTA [78] could be suited to sensitively address DM masses in the range of interest of this model ($m_{\chi} \gtrsim 1$ TeV).

3.4 Effective number of neutrino species, N_{eff}

The presence of the massless fermion ω implies a contribution to the number of relativistic degrees of freedom in the early Universe. In the following, we discuss its contribution to the effective number of neutrino species, N_{eff} , which has been measured to be $N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{exp}} = 3.04 \pm 0.33$ [69]. Since the massless ω only interacts with the SM via the Z' , its contribution to N_{eff} will be washed out through entropy injection to the thermal bath by the number of relativistic

degrees of freedom $g_*(T)$ at the time of its decoupling:

$$\Delta N_{\text{eff}} = \left(\frac{T_{\omega}^{\text{f.o.}}}{T_{\nu}} \right)^4 = \left(\frac{11}{2g_*(T_{\omega}^{\text{f.o.}})} \right)^{4/3}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $T_{\omega}^{\text{f.o.}}$ is the freeze-out temperature of ω and T_{ν} is the temperature of the neutrino background. The freeze-out temperature can be estimated when the Hubble expansion rate of the Universe $H = 1.66\sqrt{g_*}T^2/M_{Pl}$ overcomes the ω interaction rate $\Gamma = \langle \sigma v \rangle n_{\omega}$ leading to:

$$(T_{\omega}^{\text{f.o.}})^3 \sim \frac{2.16\sqrt{g_*}M_{Z'}^4}{M_{Pl}g_{\text{BL}}^4 \sum_f (q_{fL}^2 + q_{fR}^2)}. \quad (3.10)$$

With the typical values that satisfy the correct DM relic abundance: $m_{Z'} \sim \mathcal{O}(10 \text{ TeV})$ and $g_{\text{BL}} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1)$ ω would therefore freeze out at $T_{\omega}^{\text{f.o.}} \sim 4 \text{ GeV}$, before the QCD phase transition. Thus, the SM bath will heat significantly after ω decouples and the contribution of the latter to the number of degrees of freedom in radiation will be suppressed:

$$\Delta N_{\text{eff}} \approx 0.026 \quad (3.11)$$

which is one order of magnitude smaller than the current uncertainty on N_{eff} . For gauge boson masses between 1-50 TeV and gauge couplings between 0.01 and 0.5, $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} \in [0.02, 0.04]$. Nevertheless, this deviation from N_{eff} matches the sensitivity expected from a EUCLID-like survey [79, 80] and would be an interesting probe of the model in the future.

4 Collider phenomenology

The new gauge boson can lead to resonant signals at the LHC. Dissimilarly from the widely studied case of a sequential Z' boson, where the new boson decays dominantly to dijets, the elusive Z' couples more strongly to leptons than to quarks (due to the $B - L$ number). Furthermore, it has large couplings to the SM singlets, specially χ and ω which carry large $B - L$ charges. Thus, typical branching ratios are $\sim 70\%$ invisible (i.e. into SM neutrinos and ω), $\sim 12\%$ to quarks and $\sim 18\%$ to charged leptons.⁴ LHC $Z' \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$ resonant searches [81, 82] can be easily recast into constraints on the elusive Z' . The production cross section times branching ratio to dileptons is given by

$$\sigma(pp \rightarrow Z' \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell}) = \sum_q \frac{C_{qq}}{sM_{Z'}} \Gamma(Z' \rightarrow q\bar{q}) \text{BR}(Z' \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell}), \quad (4.1)$$

where s is the center of mass energy, $\Gamma(Z' \rightarrow q\bar{q})$ is the partial width to $q\bar{q}$ pair given by Eq. (3.4), and C_{qq} is the $q\bar{q}$ luminosity function obtained here using the parton distribution function MSTW2008NLO [83]. To have some insight on what to expect, we compare our Z' with the usual sequential standard model (SSM) Z' , in which all couplings to fermions

⁴If the decay channels to the other SM singlets are kinematically accessible, specially into χ and into the N_R, N'_R pseudo-Dirac pairs, the invisible branching ratio can go up to $\sim 87\%$, making the Z' even more elusive and rendering these collider constraints irrelevant with respect to direct DM searches.




Figure 2: Summary plots of our results. The red region to the left is excluded by LHC constraints on the Z' (see text for details), the region above $g_{BL} > 0.5$ is non-perturbative due to $g_{BL} \cdot q_{\max} \leq \sqrt{2\pi}$. In the blue shaded region DM is overabundant. The orange coloured region is already excluded by direct detection constraints from LUX [72], the short-dashed line indicates the future constraints from XENON1T [74] (projected sensitivity assuming $2t \cdot y$), the long-dashed line the future constraints from LZ [75] (projected sensitivity for 1000d of data taking).

are equal to the Z couplings. The dominant production mode is again $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Z'$ though the coupling in our case is mostly vectorial. The main dissimilarity arrives from the branching ratio to dileptons, as there are many additional fermions charged under the new gauge group. In summary, only $\mathcal{O}(1)$ differences in the gauge coupling bounds are expected, between the SSM Z' and our elusive Z' .

5 Results

We now combine in fig. 2 the constraints coming from DM relic abundance, DM direct detection experiments and collider searches. We can clearly see the synergy between these different observables. Since the DM candidate in our model is a thermal WIMP, the relic abundance constraint puts a lower bound on the gauge coupling, excluding the blue shaded region in the panels of fig. 2. On the other hand, LHC resonant searches essentially put a lower bound on the mass of the Z' (red shaded region), while the LUX direct detection experiment constrains the product $g_{\text{BL}} \cdot M_{Z'}$ from above (orange shaded region). For reference, we also show the prospects for future direct detection experiments, namely, XENON1T (orange short-dashed line, projected sensitivity assuming $2t \cdot y$) and LZ (orange long-dashed line, projected sensitivity for 1000d of data taking). Finally, if the gauge coupling is too large, perturbativity will be lost. To estimate this region we adopt the constraint $g_{\text{BL}} \cdot q_{\text{max}} \leq \sqrt{2\pi}$ and being the largest $B - L$ charge $q_{\text{max}} = 5$, we obtain $g_{\text{BL}} > 0.5$ for the non-perturbative region. The white region in these panels represents the allowed region. We present four different DM masses so as to exemplify the dependence on m_χ . First, we see that for DM masses at 1 TeV (upper left panel), there is only a tiny allowed region in which the relic abundance is set via resonant $\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow Z' \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ annihilation. For larger masses, the allowed region grows but some amount of enhancement is in any case needed so that the Z' mass needs to be around twice the DM mass in order to obtain the correct relic abundance. For m_χ above 20 TeV (lower right panel), the allowed parameter space cannot be fully probed even with generation-2 DM direct detection experiments.

On top of the DM and collider phenomenology discussed here, this model allows for a rich phenomenology in other sectors. In full analogy to the standard ISS model, the dynamical ISS mechanism here considered is also capable of generating a large CP asymmetry in the lepton sector at the TeV scale, thus allowing for a possible explanation of the baryon asymmetry of the Universe via *leptogenesis* [84–87].

Moreover, the heavy sterile states typically introduced in ISS scenarios, namely the three pseudo-Dirac pairs from the states N_R and N'_R can lead to new contributions to a wide array of observables [12, 88–111] such as weak universality, lepton flavour violating or precision electroweak observables, which allow to constrain the mixing of the SM neutrinos with the extra heavy pseudo-Dirac pairs to the level of 10^{-2} or even better for some elements [112, 113].

6 Conclusions

The simplest extension to the SM particle content so as to accommodate the experimental evidence for neutrino masses and mixings is the addition of right-handed neutrinos, making the neutrino sector more symmetric to its charged lepton and quark counterparts. In this context, the popular Seesaw mechanism also gives a rationale for the extreme smallness of these neutrino masses as compared to the rest of the SM fermions through a hierarchy between two different energy scales: the electroweak scale – at which Dirac neutrino masses

are induced – and a much larger energy scale tantalizingly close to the Grand Unification scale at which Lepton Number is explicitly broken by the Majorana mass of the right-handed neutrinos. On the other hand, this very natural option to explain the smallness of neutrino masses automatically makes the mass of the Higgs extremely unnatural, given the hierarchy problem that is hence introduced between the electroweak scale and the heavy Seesaw scale.

The ISS mechanism provides an elegant solution to this tension by lowering the Seesaw scale close to the electroweak scale, thus avoiding the Higgs hierarchy problem altogether. In the ISS the smallness of neutrino masses is thus not explained by a strong hierarchy between these scales but rather by a symmetry argument. Since neutrino masses are protected by the Lepton Number symmetry, or rather $B - L$ in its non-anomalous version, if this symmetry is only mildly broken, neutrino masses will be naturally suppressed by the small parameters breaking this symmetry. In this work, the possibility of breaking this gauged symmetry dynamically has been explored.

Since the ISS mechanism requires a chiral structure of the extra right-handed neutrinos under the $B - L$ symmetry, some extra states are predicted for this symmetry to be gauged due to anomaly cancellation. The minimal such extension requires the addition of three new fields with large non-trivial $B - L$ charges. Upon the spontaneous breaking of the $B - L$ symmetry, two of these extra fields become a massive heavy fermion around the TeV scale while the third remains massless. Given their large charges, the Z' gauge boson mediating the $B - L$ symmetry couples preferentially to this new *dark sector* and much more weakly to the SM leptons and particularly to quarks, making it rather *elusive*.

The phenomenology of this new dark sector and the elusive Z' has been investigated. We find that the heavy Dirac fermion is a viable DM candidate in some regions of the parameter space. While the elusive nature of the heavy Z' makes its search rather challenging at the LHC, it would also mediate spin-independent direct detection cross sections for the DM candidate, which place very stringent constraints in the scenario. Given its preference to couple to the dark sector and its suppressed couplings to quarks, the strong tension between direct detection searches and the correct relic abundance for Z' mediated DM is mildly alleviated and some parts of the parameter space, not far from the resonance, survive present constraints. Future DM searches by XENON1T and LZ will be able to constrain this possibility even further. Finally, the massless dark fermion will contribute to the amount of relativistic degrees of freedom in the early Universe. While its contribution to the effective number of neutrinos is too small to be constrained with present data, future EUCLID-like surveys could reach a sensitivity close to their expected contribution, making this alternative probe a promising complementary way to test this scenario.

Acknowledgements

VDR would like to thank A. Vicente for valuable assistance on SARAH and SPheno. JG would like to thank Fermilab for kind hospitality during the final stages of this project. This work is supported in part by the EU grants H2020-MSCA-ITN-2015/674896-Elusives and H2020-MSCA-2015-690575-InvisiblesPlus. VDR acknowledges support by the Span-

ish grant SEV-2014-0398 (MINECO) and partial support by the Spanish grants FPA2014-58183-P, Multidark CSD2009-00064 and PROMETEOII/2014/084 (Generalitat Valenciana). EFM acknowledges support from the EU FP7 Marie Curie Actions CIG NeuProbes (PCIG11-GA-2012-321582), "Spanish Agencia Estatal de Investigación" (AEI) and the EU "Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional" (FEDER) through the project FPA2016-78645-P and the Spanish MINECO through the "Ramón y Cajal" programme (RYC2011-07710) and through the Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa Program under grant SEV-2012-0249 and the HPC-Hydra cluster at IFT. The work of VN was supported by the SFB-Transregio TR33 "The Dark Universe". This manuscript has been authored by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11359 with the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics. The United States Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

References

- [1] M. Tortola, Status of three-neutrino oscillation parameters, [Fortsch. Phys.](#) **61** (2013) 427–440.
- [2] D. V. Forero, M. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, Neutrino oscillations refitted, [Phys. Rev.](#) **D90** (2014) 093006, [[1405.7540](#)].
- [3] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, Updated fit to three neutrino mixing: status of leptonic CP violation, [JHEP](#) **11** (2014) 052, [[1409.5439](#)].
- [4] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, Global Analyses of Neutrino Oscillation Experiments, [Nucl. Phys.](#) **B908** (2016) 199–217, [[1512.06856](#)].
- [5] F. Capozzi, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino and A. Palazzo, Neutrino masses and mixings: Status of known and unknown 3ν parameters, [Nucl. Phys.](#) **B908** (2016) 218–234, [[1601.07777](#)].
- [6] I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, I. Martinez-Soler and T. Schwetz, Updated fit to three neutrino mixing: exploring the accelerator-reactor complementarity, [JHEP](#) **01** (2017) 087, [[1611.01514](#)].
- [7] P. Minkowski, $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ at a Rate of One Out of 10^9 Muon Decays?, [Phys. Lett.](#) **B67** (1977) 421–428.
- [8] P. Ramond, The Family Group in Grand Unified Theories, in International Symposium on Fundamentals of Quantum Theory and Quantum Field Theory Palm Coast, Florida, February 25-March 2, 1979, pp. 265–280, 1979, [hep-ph/9809459](#).
- [9] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, Complex Spinors and Unified Theories, [Conf. Proc.](#) **C790927** (1979) 315–321, [[1306.4669](#)].

- [10] T. Yanagida, HORIZONTAL SYMMETRY AND MASSES OF NEUTRINOS, Conf. Proc. **C7902131** (1979) 95–99.
- [11] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Neutrino Mass and Spontaneous Parity Violation, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44** (1980) 912.
- [12] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, Neutrino Masses in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Theories, Phys. Rev. **D22** (1980) 2227.
- [13] R. N. Mohapatra and J. W. F. Valle, Neutrino Mass and Baryon Number Nonconservation in Superstring Models, Phys. Rev. **D34** (1986) 1642.
- [14] E. K. Akhmedov, M. Lindner, E. Schnapka and J. W. F. Valle, Dynamical left-right symmetry breaking, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 2752–2780, [[hep-ph/9509255](#)].
- [15] M. Malinsky, J. C. Romao and J. W. F. Valle, Novel supersymmetric $SO(10)$ seesaw mechanism, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 161801, [[hep-ph/0506296](#)].
- [16] R. N. Mohapatra, Mechanism for Understanding Small Neutrino Mass in Superstring Theories, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56** (1986) 561–563.
- [17] M. Roncadelli and D. Wyler, Naturally Light Dirac Neutrinos in Gauge Theories, Phys. Lett. **B133** (1983) 325–329.
- [18] P. Roy and O. U. Shanker, Observable Neutrino Dirac Mass and Supergrand Unification, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52** (1984) 713–716.
- [19] M. Klasen, F. Lyonnet and F. S. Queiroz, NLO+NLL collider bounds, Dirac fermion and scalar dark matter in the $B - L$ model, Eur. Phys. J. **C77** (2017) 348, [[1607.06468](#)].
- [20] W. Wang and Z.-L. Han, Radiative linear seesaw model, dark matter, and $U(1)_{B-L}$, Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015) 095001, [[1508.00706](#)].
- [21] N. Okada and S. Okada, Z'_{BL} portal dark matter and LHC Run-2 results, Phys. Rev. **D93** (2016) 075003, [[1601.07526](#)].
- [22] N. Okada and S. Okada, Z' -portal right-handed neutrino dark matter in the minimal $U(1)_X$ extended Standard Model, Phys. Rev. **D95** (2017) 035025, [[1611.02672](#)].
- [23] P. Bandyopadhyay, E. J. Chun and R. Mandal, Implications of right-handed neutrinos in $B - L$ extended standard model with scalar dark matter, [[1707.00874](#)].
- [24] Y. Cai and W. Chao, The Higgs Seesaw Induced Neutrino Masses and Dark Matter, Phys. Lett. **B749** (2015) 458–463, [[1408.6064](#)].
- [25] F. Bazzocchi, Minimal Dynamical Inverse See Saw, Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 093009, [[1011.6299](#)].
- [26] S. Khalil, TeV-scale gauged B-L symmetry with inverse seesaw mechanism, Phys. Rev. **D82** (2010) 077702, [[1004.0013](#)].
- [27] L. Basso, O. Fischer and J. J. van der Bij,

- Natural Z model with an inverse seesaw mechanism and leptonic dark matter, [Phys. Rev. D](#) **87** (2013) 035015, [[1207.3250](#)].
- [28] E. Ma and R. Srivastava,
Dirac or inverse seesaw neutrino masses with $B - L$ gauge symmetry and S_3 flavor symmetry, [Phys. Lett. B](#) **741** (2015) 217–222, [[1411.5042](#)].
 - [29] E. Ma and R. Srivastava,
Dirac or inverse seesaw neutrino masses from gauged $B - L$ symmetry, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](#) **30** (2015) 1530020, [[1504.00111](#)].
 - [30] M. Escudero, N. Rius and V. Sanz,
Sterile neutrino portal to Dark Matter I: The $U(1)_{B-L}$ case, [JHEP](#) **02** (2017) 045, [[1606.01258](#)].
 - [31] M. Escudero, N. Rius and V. Sanz,
Sterile Neutrino portal to Dark Matter II: Exact Dark symmetry, [1607.02373](#).
 - [32] A. Abada, G. Arcadi and M. Lucente,
Dark Matter in the minimal Inverse Seesaw mechanism, [JCAP](#) **1410** (2014) 001, [[1406.6556](#)].
 - [33] N. Rojas, R. A. Lineros and F. Gonzalez-Canales,
Majoron dark matter from a spontaneous inverse seesaw model, [1703.03416](#).
 - [34] G. Bertone, D. Hooper and J. Silk,
Particle dark matter: Evidence, candidates and constraints, [Phys. Rept.](#) **405** (2005) 279–390, [[hep-ph/0404175](#)].
 - [35] J. Silk et al., [Particle Dark Matter: Observations, Models and Searches](#). Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010, [10.1017/CBO9780511770739](#).
 - [36] D. Wyler and L. Wolfenstein, [Massless Neutrinos in Left-Right Symmetric Models](#), [Nucl. Phys. B](#) **218** (1983) 205–214.
 - [37] J. W. F. Valle, [Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay With Quasi Dirac Neutrinos](#), [Phys. Rev. D](#) **27** (1983) 1672–1674.
 - [38] J. W. F. Valle and M. Singer, [Lepton Number Violation With Quasi Dirac Neutrinos](#), [Phys. Rev. D](#) **28** (1983) 540.
 - [39] S. Weinberg, [Baryon and Lepton Nonconserving Processes](#), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](#) **43** (1979) 1566–1570.
 - [40] J. A. Casas, J. R. Espinosa and I. Hidalgo,
[Implications for new physics from fine-tuning arguments. 1. Application to SUSY and seesaw cases](#), [JHEP](#) **11** (2004) 057, [[hep-ph/0410298](#)].
 - [41] F. Vissani, [Do experiments suggest a hierarchy problem?](#), [Phys. Rev. D](#) **57** (1998) 7027–7030, [[hep-ph/9709409](#)].
 - [42] F. Bazzocchi, D. G. Cerdeno, C. Munoz and J. W. F. Valle,
[Calculable inverse-seesaw neutrino masses in supersymmetry](#), [Phys. Rev. D](#) **81** (2010) 051701, [[0907.1262](#)].
 - [43] R. N. Mohapatra and R. E. Marshak,
[Local B-L Symmetry of Electroweak Interactions, Majorana Neutrinos and Neutron Oscillations](#), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](#) **44** (1980) 1316–1319.

- [44] R. E. Marshak and R. N. Mohapatra, Quark - Lepton Symmetry and B-L as the U(1) Generator of the Electroweak Symmetry Group, [*Phys. Lett.* **B91** \(1980\) 222–224](#).
- [45] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Lepton Number as the Fourth Color, [*Phys. Rev.* **D10** \(1974\) 275–289](#).
- [46] H. Georgi, The State of the Art—Gauge Theories, [*AIP Conf. Proc.* **23** \(1975\) 575–582](#).
- [47] H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Unified Interactions of Leptons and Hadrons, [*Annals Phys.* **93** \(1975\) 193–266](#).
- [48] A. Abada and M. Lucente, Looking for the minimal inverse seesaw realisation, [*Nucl. Phys.* **B885** \(2014\) 651–678](#), [[1401.1507](#)].
- [49] M. Drewes et al., A White Paper on keV Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter, [*JCAP* **1701** \(2017\) 025](#), [[1602.04816](#)].
- [50] M. Lindner, S. Schmidt and J. Smirnov, Neutrino Masses and Conformal Electro-Weak Symmetry Breaking, [*JHEP* **10** \(2014\) 177](#), [[1405.6204](#)].
- [51] P. Humbert, M. Lindner and J. Smirnov, The Inverse Seesaw in Conformal Electro-Weak Symmetry Breaking and Phenomenological Consequences, [*JHEP* **06** \(2015\) 035](#), [[1503.03066](#)].
- [52] M. Magg and C. Wetterich, Neutrino Mass Problem and Gauge Hierarchy, [*Phys. Lett.* **94B** \(1980\) 61–64](#).
- [53] G. Lazarides, Q. Shafi and C. Wetterich, Proton Lifetime and Fermion Masses in an SO(10) Model, [*Nucl. Phys.* **B181** \(1981\) 287–300](#).
- [54] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Neutrino Masses and Mixings in Gauge Models with Spontaneous Parity Violation, [*Phys. Rev.* **D23** \(1981\) 165](#).
- [55] T. P. Cheng and L.-F. Li, Neutrino Masses, Mixings and Oscillations in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Models of Electroweak Interactions, [*Phys. Rev.* **D22** \(1980\) 2860](#).
- [56] T. Robens and T. Stefaniak, LHC Benchmark Scenarios for the Real Higgs Singlet Extension of the Standard Model, [*Eur. Phys. J.* **C76** \(2016\) 268](#), [[1601.07880](#)].
- [57] ATLAS, CMS collaboration, G. Aad et al., Measurements of the Higgs boson production and decay rates and constraints on its couplings from a combined ATLAS and CMS analysis of the LHC pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV, [*JHEP* **08** \(2016\) 045](#), [[1606.02266](#)].
- [58] F. Staub, SARAH 3.2: Dirac Gauginos, UFO output, and more, [*Comput. Phys. Commun.* **184** \(2013\) 1792–1809](#), [[1207.0906](#)].
- [59] F. Staub, SARAH 4 : A tool for (not only SUSY) model builders, [*Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185** \(2014\) 1773–1790](#), [[1309.7223](#)].
- [60] F. Staub, Exploring new models in all detail with SARAH, [*Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2015** \(2015\) 840780](#), [[1503.04200](#)].

- [61] A. Vicente, Computer tools in particle physics, [1507.06349](#).
- [62] W. Porod,
SPheno, a program for calculating supersymmetric spectra, SUSY particle decays and SUSY particle production at $e^+ e^-$ colliders, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](#) **153** (2003) 275–315, [[hep-ph/0301101](#)].
- [63] W. Porod and F. Staub,
SPheno 3.1: Extensions including flavour, CP-phases and models beyond the MSSM, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](#) **183** (2012) 2458–2469, [[1104.1573](#)].
- [64] A. Belyaev, N. D. Christensen and A. Pukhov,
CalcHEP 3.4 for collider physics within and beyond the Standard Model, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](#) **184** (2013) 1729–1769, [[1207.6082](#)].
- [65] G. Bélanger, F. Boudjema, A. Pukhov and A. Semenov,
micrOMEGAs4.1: two dark matter candidates, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](#) **192** (2015) 322–329, [[1407.6129](#)].
- [66] A. Alves, A. Berlin, S. Profumo and F. S. Queiroz,
Dirac-fermionic dark matter in $U(1)_X$ models, [JHEP](#) **10** (2015) 076, [[1506.06767](#)].
- [67] M. Lindner, A. Merle and V. Niro, Enhancing Dark Matter Annihilation into Neutrinos, [Phys. Rev.](#) **D82** (2010) 123529, [[1005.3116](#)].
- [68] E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, The Early Universe, [Front. Phys.](#) **69** (1990) 1–547.
- [69] PLANCK collaboration, P. A. R. Ade et al.,
Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters, [Astron. Astrophys.](#) **594** (2016) A13, [[1502.01589](#)].
- [70] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, C. Patrignani et al., Review of Particle Physics, [Chin. Phys.](#) **C40** (2016) 100001.
- [71] K. Cheung, P.-Y. Tseng, Y.-L. S. Tsai and T.-C. Yuan,
Global Constraints on Effective Dark Matter Interactions: Relic Density, Direct Detection, Indirect Detection and Collider, [JCAP](#) **1205** (2012) 001, [[1201.3402](#)].
- [72] LUX collaboration, D. S. Akerib et al.,
Results from a search for dark matter in the complete LUX exposure, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](#) **118** (2017) 021303, [[1608.07648](#)].
- [73] XENON collaboration, E. Aprile et al.,
First Dark Matter Search Results from the XENON1T Experiment, [1705.06655](#).
- [74] XENON collaboration, E. Aprile et al.,
Physics reach of the XENON1T dark matter experiment, [JCAP](#) **1604** (2016) 027, [[1512.07501](#)].
- [75] LZ collaboration, D. S. Akerib et al., LUX-ZEPLIN (LZ) Conceptual Design Report, [1509.02910](#).
- [76] FERMI-LAT collaboration, M. Ackermann et al.,
Searching for Dark Matter Annihilation from Milky Way Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxies with Six Years of Fermi Large Area Telescope Data, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](#) **115** (2015) 231301, [[1503.02641](#)].
- [77] H.E.S.S. collaboration, A. Abramowski et al.,
Search for a Dark Matter annihilation signal from the Galactic Center halo with H.E.S.S.

- [Phys. Rev. Lett. **106** \(2011\) 161301](#), [[1103.3266](#)].
- [78] M. Wood, J. Buckley, S. Digel, S. Funk, D. Nieto and M. A. Sanchez-Conde, Prospects for Indirect Detection of Dark Matter with CTA, in [Proceedings, Community Summer Study 2013: Snowmass on the Mississippi \(CSS2013\): Minneapolis, MN, USA, July 29-August 6, 2013](#), 2013, [1305.0302](#), <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/econf/C1307292/docs/submittedArxivFiles/1305.0302.pdf>.
 - [79] T. Basse, O. E. Bjaelde, J. Hamann, S. Hannestad and Y. Y. Y. Wong, Dark energy properties from large future galaxy surveys, [JCAP **1405** \(2014\) 021](#), [[1304.2321](#)].
 - [80] L. Amendola et al., [Cosmology and Fundamental Physics with the Euclid Satellite](#), [1606.00180](#).
 - [81] CMS collaboration, V. Khachatryan et al., Search for narrow resonances in dilepton mass spectra in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and combination with 8 TeV data, [Phys. Lett. **B768** \(2017\) 57–80](#), [[1609.05391](#)].
 - [82] ATLAS collaboration, T. A. collaboration, Search for new high-mass resonances in the dilepton final state using proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, .
 - [83] A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling, R. S. Thorne and G. Watt, Parton distributions for the LHC, [Eur. Phys. J. **C63** \(2009\) 189–285](#), [[0901.0002](#)].
 - [84] P. S. B. Dev and R. N. Mohapatra, TeV Scale Inverse Seesaw in SO(10) and Leptonic Non-Unitarity Effects, [Phys. Rev. **D81** \(2010\) 013001](#), [[0910.3924](#)].
 - [85] S. Blanchet, P. S. B. Dev and R. N. Mohapatra, Leptogenesis with TeV Scale Inverse Seesaw in SO(10), [Phys. Rev. **D82** \(2010\) 115025](#), [[1010.1471](#)].
 - [86] A. Abada, G. Arcadi, V. Domcke and M. Lucente, Lepton number violation as a key to low-scale leptogenesis, [JCAP **1511** \(2015\) 041](#), [[1507.06215](#)].
 - [87] P. Hernández, M. Kekic, J. López-Pavón, J. Racker and N. Rius, Leptogenesis in GeV scale seesaw models, [JHEP **10** \(2015\) 067](#), [[1508.03676](#)].
 - [88] R. E. Shrock, New Tests For, and Bounds On, Neutrino Masses and Lepton Mixing, [Phys. Lett. **B96** \(1980\) 159–164](#).
 - [89] R. E. Shrock, General Theory of Weak Leptonic and Semileptonic Decays. 1. Leptonic Pseudoscalar Meson Decays, with Associated Tests For, and Bounds on, Neutrino Masses and Lepton Mixing, [Phys. Rev. **D24** \(1981\) 1232](#).
 - [90] R. E. Shrock, General Theory of Weak Processes Involving Neutrinos. 2. Pure Leptonic Decays, [Phys. Rev. **D24** \(1981\) 1275](#).
 - [91] P. Langacker and D. London, Mixing Between Ordinary and Exotic Fermions, [Phys.Rev. **D38** \(1988\) 886](#).
 - [92] S. M. Bilenky and C. Giunti,

- Seesaw type mixing and muon-neutrino \rightarrow τ -neutrino oscillations, [Phys.Lett. **B300** \(1993\) 137–140](#), [[hep-ph/9211269](#)].
- [93] E. Nardi, E. Roulet and D. Tommasini,
Limits on neutrino mixing with new heavy particles, [Phys.Lett. **B327** \(1994\) 319–326](#), [[hep-ph/9402224](#)].
- [94] D. Tommasini, G. Barenboim, J. Bernabeu and C. Jarlskog,
Nondecoupling of heavy neutrinos and lepton flavor violation, [Nucl.Phys. **B444** \(1995\) 451–467](#), [[hep-ph/9503228](#)].
- [95] S. Antusch, C. Biggio, E. Fernandez-Martinez, M. Gavela and J. Lopez-Pavon,
Unitarity of the Leptonic Mixing Matrix, [JHEP **0610** \(2006\) 084](#), [[hep-ph/0607020](#)].
- [96] S. Antusch, J. P. Baumann and E. Fernandez-Martinez,
Non-Standard Neutrino Interactions with Matter from Physics Beyond the Standard Model, [Nucl.Phys. **B810** \(2009\) 369–388](#), [[0807.1003](#)].
- [97] C. Biggio,
The Contribution of fermionic seesaws to the anomalous magnetic moment of leptons, [Phys. Lett. **B668** \(2008\) 378–384](#), [[0806.2558](#)].
- [98] D. V. Forero, S. Morisi, M. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle,
Lepton flavor violation and non-unitary lepton mixing in low-scale type-I seesaw, [JHEP **09** \(2011\) 142](#), [[1107.6009](#)].
- [99] W. Abdallah, A. Awad, S. Khalil and H. Okada,
Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment and $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$ in B-L Model with Inverse Seesaw, [Eur. Phys. J. **C72** \(2012\) 2108](#), [[1105.1047](#)].
- [100] R. Alonso, M. Dhen, M. Gavela and T. Hambye,
Muon conversion to electron in nuclei in type-I seesaw models, [JHEP **1301** \(2013\) 118](#), [[1209.2679](#)].
- [101] S. M. Boucenna, S. Morisi and J. W. F. Valle, The low-scale approach to neutrino masses, [Adv. High Energy Phys. **2014** \(2014\) 831598](#), [[1404.3751](#)].
- [102] A. Abada, V. De Romeri and A. M. Teixeira,
Effect of sterile states on lepton magnetic moments and neutrinoless double beta decay, [JHEP **09** \(2014\) 074](#), [[1406.6978](#)].
- [103] A. Abada, V. De Romeri, S. Monteil, J. Orloff and A. M. Teixeira,
Indirect searches for sterile neutrinos at a high-luminosity Z-factory, [JHEP **04** \(2015\) 051](#), [[1412.6322](#)].
- [104] E. Arganda, M. J. Herrero, X. Marcano and C. Weiland,
Imprints of massive inverse seesaw model neutrinos in lepton flavor violating Higgs boson decays, [Phys. Rev. **D91** \(2015\) 015001](#), [[1405.4300](#)].
- [105] A. Abada and T. Toma,
Electric Dipole Moments of Charged Leptons with Sterile Fermions, [JHEP **02** \(2016\) 174](#), [[1511.03265](#)].
- [106] A. Abada and T. Toma, Electron electric dipole moment in Inverse Seesaw models, [JHEP **08** \(2016\) 079](#), [[1605.07643](#)].
- [107] A. Abada, V. De Romeri and A. M. Teixeira,

- Impact of sterile neutrinos on nuclear-assisted cLFV processes, [JHEP](#) **02** (2016) 083, [[1510.06657](#)].
- [108] A. Abada, D. Bečirević, M. Lucente and O. Sumensari,
Lepton flavor violating decays of vector quarkonia and of the Z boson, [Phys. Rev.](#) **D91** (2015) 113013, [[1503.04159](#)].
- [109] E. Fernandez-Martinez, J. Hernandez-Garcia, J. Lopez-Pavon and M. Lucente,
Loop level constraints on Seesaw neutrino mixing, [JHEP](#) **10** (2015) 130, [[1508.03051](#)].
- [110] V. De Romeri, M. J. Herrero, X. Marcano and F. Scarcella,
Lepton flavor violating Z decays: A promising window to low scale seesaw neutrinos, [Phys. Rev.](#) **D95** (2017) 075028, [[1607.05257](#)].
- [111] A. Abada, V. De Romeri, J. Orloff and A. M. Teixeira,
In-flight cLFV conversion: $e - \mu$, $e - \tau$ and $\mu - \tau$ in minimal extensions of the Standard Model with sterile fermions, [Eur. Phys. J.](#) **C77** (2017) 304, [[1612.05548](#)].
- [112] S. Antusch and O. Fischer,
Non-unitarity of the leptonic mixing matrix: Present bounds and future sensitivities, [JHEP](#) **1410** (2014) 94, [[1407.6607](#)].
- [113] E. Fernandez-Martinez, J. Hernandez-Garcia and J. Lopez-Pavon,
Global constraints on heavy neutrino mixing, [JHEP](#) **08** (2016) 033, [[1605.08774](#)].