On the packing numbers in graphs
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Abstract
In this paper, we find upper bounds on the open packing and \( k \)-limited packing numbers with emphasis on the cases \( k = 1 \) and \( k = 2 \). We solve the problem of characterizing all connected graphs on \( n \) vertices with \( \rho_0(G) = n/\delta(G) \) which was presented in 2015 by Hamid and Saravanakumar. Also, by establishing a relation between the \( k \)-limited packing number and double domination number we improve two upper bounds given by Chellali and Haynes in 2005.
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1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, let $G$ be a finite graph with vertex set $V = V(G)$, edge set $E = E(G)$, minimum degree $\delta = \delta(G)$ and maximum degree $\Delta = \Delta(G)$. We use [10] for terminology and notation which are not defined here. For any vertex $v \in V(G)$, $N(v) = \{u \in G \mid uv \in E(G)\}$ denotes the open neighbourhood of $v$ of $G$, and $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ denotes its closed neighbourhood.

A subset $B \subseteq V(G)$ is a packing (an open packing) in $G$ if for every distinct vertices $u, v \in B$, $N[u] \cap N[v] = \emptyset$ ($N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$). The packing number $\rho(G)$ (open packing number $\rho_o(G)$) is the maximum cardinality of a packing (an open packing) in $G$. These concepts have been studied in [7, 8], and elsewhere.

In [5], Harary and Haynes introduced the concept of tuple domination numbers. Let $1 \leq k \leq \delta(G) + 1$. A set $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a $k$-tuple dominating set in $G$ if $|N[v] \cap D| \geq k$, for all $v \in V(G)$. The $k$-tuple domination number, denoted $\gamma_{x_k}(G)$, is the smallest number of vertices in a $k$-tuple dominating set. In fact, the authors showed that every graph $G$ with $\delta \geq k - 1$ has a $k$-tuple dominating set and hence a $k$-tuple domination number. When $k = 2$, $\gamma_{x_2}(G)$ is called double domination number of $G$. For the special case $k = 1$, $\gamma_{x_1}(G) = \gamma(G)$ is the well known domination number (see [6]). The concept of tuple domination has been studied by several authors including [3, 9]. In general, the reader can find a comprehensive information on various domination parameters in [1] and [9].

Gallant et al. [3] introduced the concept of $k$-limited packing in graphs and exhibited some real-world applications of it to network security, market saturation and codes. A set of vertices $B \subseteq V$ is called a $k$-limited packing set in $G$ if $|N[v] \cap B| \leq k$ for all $v \in V$, where $k \geq 1$. The $k$-limited packing number, $L_k(G)$, is the largest number of vertices in a $k$-limited packing set. When $k = 1$ we have $L_1(G) = \rho(G)$.

In this paper, we find upper bounds on the $k$-limited packing numbers. In Section 2, we prove that $2(n - \ell + s\delta^*)/(1 + \delta^*)$ is a sharp upper bound on $L_2(G)$ for a connected graph $G$ on $n \geq 3$ vertices, where $\ell$, $s$ and $\delta^* = \delta^*(G)$ are the number of pendant vertices, the number of support vertices and $\min\{\text{deg}(v) \mid v \text{ is not a pendant vertex}\}$, respectively. Also, we give an upper bound on $L_k(G)$ (with characterization of all graphs attaining it) in terms of the order, size and $k$. In Section 3, we exhibit a solution to the problem of characterizing all connected graphs of order $n \geq 2$ with $\rho_o(G) = n/\delta(G)$ posed in [4]. Moreover, we prove that $\gamma_{x_2}(G) + \rho(G) \leq n - \delta(G) + 2$ when $\delta(G) \geq 2$. This improves two results in [2] given by Chellali and Haynes, simultaneously.
2 Main results

The 2-limited packing number of $G$ has been bounded from above by $2n/(\delta(G) + 1)$ (see [9], as the special case $k = 2$). We present the following upper bound which works better for all graphs with pendant vertices, especially trees. First, we recall that a support vertex is called a weak support vertex if it is adjacent to just one pendant vertex.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$ with $s$ support vertices and $\ell$ pendant vertices. Then,

$$L_2(G) \leq \frac{2(n - \ell + s\delta^*(G))}{1 + \delta^*(G)}$$

and this bound is sharp. Here $\delta^*(G)$ is the minimum degree taken over all vertices which are not pendant vertices.

*Proof.* Let $\{u_1, \ldots, u_s\}$ be the set of weak support vertices in $G$. Let $G'$ be the graph of order $n'$ formed from $G$ by adding new vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_s$ and edges $u_1v_1, \ldots, urv_s$ to $G$ (we note that $G = G'$ if $G$ has no weak support vertex). Clearly

$$s' = s, n' = n + s_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \ell' = \ell + s_1 \tag{1}$$

in which $s'$ and $\ell'$ are the number of support vertices and pendant vertices of $G'$, respectively. Moreover, since $n \geq 3$ and $G$ is a connected graph, $G$ and $G'$ have the same set of vertices of degree at least two. Therefore,

$$\delta^*(G') = \delta^*(G) = \delta^*. \tag{2}$$

Let $B'$ be a maximum 2-limited packing in $G'$. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a support vertex $u$ in $G'$ for which $|N[u] \cap B'| \leq 1$. Thus, there exists a pendant vertex $v \notin B'$ adjacent to $u$. It is easy to see that $B' \cup \{v\}$ is a 2-limited packing in $G'$ which contradicts the maximality of $B'$. So, we may always assume that $B'$ contains two pendant vertices at each support vertex. This implies that all support vertices and the other $\ell_u - 2$ pendant vertices for each support vertex $u$ belong to $V(G') \setminus B'$, in which $\ell_u$ is the number of pendant vertices adjacent to $u$. Moreover, these pendant vertices have no neighbors in $B'$. Therefore,

$$|[B', V(G') \setminus B']| \leq 2(n' - |B'| - \ell' + 2s'). \tag{3}$$

On the other hand, each pendant vertex in $B'$ has exactly one neighbor in $V(G') \setminus B'$ and each of the other vertices in $V(G') \setminus B'$ has at least $\delta^*(G') - 1$ neighbors in $B'$. Therefore,

$$(|B'| - 2s')(\delta^*(G') - 1) + 2s' \leq |B', V(G') \setminus B'|. \tag{4}$$
Together inequalities (3) and (4) imply that

\[ |B'| \leq \frac{2(n' - \ell' + s'\delta^*(G'))}{1 + \delta^*(G')}. \tag{5} \]

We now let \( B \) be a maximum 2-limited packing in \( G \). Clearly, \( B \) is a 2-limited packing in \( G' \), as well. Thus, \( |B| \leq |B'| \). By (1), (2) and (5) we have

\[ L_2(G) = |B| \leq |B'| \leq \frac{2(n - \ell + s\delta^*)}{1 + \delta^*}, \]

as desired.

To show that the upper bound is sharp, we consider the star \( K_{1,n-1} \), for \( n \geq 3 \), with \( L_2(K_{1,n-1}) = 2 \).

It is easy to see that \( L_k(G) = n \) if and only if \( k \geq \Delta(G) + 1 \). So, in what follows we may always assume that \( k \leq \Delta(G) \) when we deal with \( L_k(G) \).

The following theorem provides an upper bound on \( L_k(G) \) of a graph \( G \) in terms of its order, size and \( k \). Also, we bound \( \rho_o(G) \) from above just in terms of the order and size.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( G \) be a graph of order \( n \) and size \( m \). If \( k \leq 2(n - \sqrt{n^2 - n - 2m}) \) or \( \delta(G) \geq k - 1 \), then

\[ L_k(G) \leq n + k/2 - \sqrt{k^2/4 + (1-k)n + 2m} \]

with equality if and only if \( G \in \Omega \).

Furthermore, \( \rho_o(G) \leq n - \sqrt{2m - n} \) for any graph \( G \) with no isolated vertex. The bound holds with equality if and only if \( G \in \Sigma \).

**Proof.** Let \( L \) be a maximum \( k \)-limited packing set in \( G \) and let \( E(G[L]) \) and \( E(G[V \setminus L]) \) be the edge set of subgraphs of \( G \) induced by \( L \) and \( V \setminus L \), respectively. Clearly,

\[ m = |E(G[L])| + |L, V(G) \setminus L| + |E(G[V \setminus L])|. \tag{6} \]

Therefore,

\[ 2m \leq (k - 1)|L| + 2k(n - |L|) + (n - |L|)(n - |L| - 1). \tag{7} \]
Solving the above inequality for \(|L|\) we obtain
\[
L_k(G) = |L| \leq \frac{2n + k - \sqrt{k^2 + 4(1-k)n + 8m}}{2},
\]
as desired (note that \(k \leq 2(n - \sqrt{n^2 - n - 2m})\) or \(\delta(G) \geq k - 1\) implies that \(k^2/4 + (1 - k)n + 2m \geq 0\)).

We now suppose that the equality in the upper bound holds. Therefore \(|E(G[L])| = (k-1)|L|\), \(|[L, V(G) \setminus L]| = k(n - |L|)\) and \(|E(G[V(G) \setminus L])] = (n - |L|)(n - |L| - 1)\), by (7). This shows that \(V(G) \setminus L\) is a clique satisfying the property \((p_1)\). Thus, \(G \in \Omega\). Conversely, suppose that \(G \in \Omega\). Let \(S\) be a clique of the minimum size among all cliques having the property \((p_1)\). Then, it is easy to see that \(L = V(G) \setminus S\) is a \(k\)-limited packing for which the upper bound holds with equality.

The proof of the second result is similar to the proof of the first one when \(k = 1\).  

3 The special case \(k = 1\)

Hamid and Saravanakumar [4] proved that
\[
\rho_o(G) \leq \frac{n}{\delta(G)}
\]  
for any connected graph \(G\) of order \(n \geq 2\). Moreover, the authors characterized all the regular graphs which attain the above bound. In general, they posed the problem of characterizing all connected graphs of order \(n \geq 2\) with equality in [5]. We solve this problem in this section. For this purpose, we define the family \(\Gamma\) containing all graphs \(G\) constructed as follows. Let \(H\) be disjoint union of \(t \geq 1\) copies of \(K_2\). Join every vertex \(u\) of \(H\) to \(k\) new vertices as its private neighbors lying outside \(V(H)\). Let \(V = V(H) \cup (\cup_{u \in V(H)}pm(u))\), in which \(pm(u)\) is the set of neighbors (private neighbors) of \(u\) which lies outside \(V(H)\). Add new edges among the vertices in \(\cup_{u \in V(H)}pm(u)\) to construct a connected graph \(G\) on the set of vertices in \(V = V(G)\) with \(\deg(v) \geq k + 1\), for all \(v \in \cup_{u \in V(H)}pm(u)\).

Clearly, every vertex in \(V(H)\) has the minimum degree \(\delta(G) = k + 1\) and every vertex in \(\cup_{u \in V(H)}pm(u)\) has exactly one neighbor in \(V(H)\).

We are now in a position to present the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let \(G\) be a connected graph of order \(n \geq 2\). Then, \(\rho_o(G) = \frac{n}{\delta(G)}\) if and only if \(G \in \Gamma\).

Proof. We first state a proof for [5]. Let \(B\) be a maximum open packing in \(G\). Every vertex in \(V(G)\) has at most one neighbor in \(B\) and hence every
vertex in $B$ has at least $\delta(G) - 1$ neighbors in $V(G) \setminus B$, by the definition of an open packing. Thus,

$$(\delta(G) - 1)|B| \leq |B, V(G) \setminus B| \leq n - |B|. \tag{9}$$

Therefore, $\rho_o(G) = |B| \leq \frac{n}{\delta(G) + 1}$.

Considering (9), we can see that the equality in (8) holds if and only if $(\delta(G) - 1)|B| = |B, V(G) \setminus B|$ and $|B, V(G) \setminus B| = n - |B|$. Since, $B$ is an open packing, this is equivalent to the fact that $H = G[B]$ is a disjoint union of $t = |B|/2$ copies of $K_2$, in which every vertex has the minimum degree and is adjacent to $k = \delta(G) - 1$ vertices in $V(G) \setminus B$ and each vertex in $V(G) \setminus B$ has exactly one neighbor in $B$. Now, it is easy to see that the equality in (8) holds if and only $G \in \Gamma$.

**Remark 3.2.** Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 we have $\rho(G) \leq n/(\delta(G) + 1)$, for each connected graph $G$ of order $n$. Furthermore, the characterization of graphs $G$ attaining this bound can be obtained in a similar fashion by making some changes in $\Gamma$. It is sufficient to consider $H$ as a subgraph of $G$ with no edges in which every vertex has exactly $\delta(G)$ private neighbors lying outside $V(H)$.

In [2], Chellali and Haynes proved that for any graph $G$ of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geq 2$,

$$\gamma_{\ast 2}(G) + \rho(G) \leq n.$$

Also, they proved that

$$\gamma_{\ast 2}(G) \leq n - \delta(G) + 1$$

for any graph $G$ with no isolated vertices.

We note that the second upper bound is trivial for $\delta(G) = 1$. So, we may assume that $\delta(G) \geq 2$. In the following theorem, using the concepts of double domination and $k$-limited packing, we improve these two upper bounds, simultaneously.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$. If $\delta(G) \geq 2$, then

$$\gamma_{\ast 2}(G) + \rho(G) \leq n - \delta(G) + 2.$$

Furthermore, this bound is sharp.

**Proof.** Let $B$ be a maximum $(\delta(G) - 1)$-limited packing set in $G$. Every vertex in $B$ has at most $\delta(G) - 2$ neighbors in $B$. Therefore it has at least two neighbors in $V(G) \setminus B$. On the other hand, every vertex in $V(G) \setminus B$ has at most $\delta(G) - 1$ neighbors in $B$, hence it has at least one neighbour
in $V(G) \setminus B$. This implies that $V(G) \setminus B$ is a double dominating set in $G$. Therefore,

$$\gamma \times 2(G) + L_{\delta(G)-1}(G) \leq n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Now let $1 \leq k \leq \Delta(G)$ and let $B$ be a maximum $k$-limited packing set in $G$. Then $|N[v] \cap B| \leq k$, for all $v \in V(G)$. We claim that $B \neq V(G)$. If $B = V(G)$ and $u \in V(G)$ such that $\deg(u) = \Delta(G)$, then $\Delta(G) + 1 = |N[u] \cap B| \leq k \leq \Delta(G)$, a contradiction. Now let $u \in V(G) \setminus B$. It is easy to check that $|N[v] \cap (B \cup \{u\})| \leq k + 1$, for all $v \in V(G)$. Therefore $B \cup \{u\}$ is a $(k + 1)$-limited packing set in $G$. Hence

$$L_{k+1}(G) \geq |B \cup \{u\}| = |B| + 1 = L_k(G) + 1,$$

for $k = 1, \ldots, \Delta(G)$. Applying this inequality repeatedly leads to

$$L_{\delta-1}(G) \geq L_1(G) + \delta(G) - 2 = \rho(G) + \delta(G) - 2.$$

Hence, $\gamma \times 2(G) + \rho(G) \leq n - \delta(G) + 2$ by (10). Finally, the upper bound is sharp for the complete graph $K_n$ with $n \geq 3$.
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