GENERALIZED HIRANO INVERSES IN RINGS

MARJAN SHEIBANI ABDOLYOUSEFI AND HUANYIN CHEN

Abstract. We introduce and study a new class of generalized inverses in rings. An element $a$ in a ring $R$ has generalized Hirano inverse if there exists $b \in R$ such that $bab = b, b \in \text{comm}^2(a), a^2 - ab \in R^{qnil}$. We prove that the generalized Hirano inverse of an element is its generalized Drazin inverse. An element $a \in R$ has generalized Hirano inverse if and only if there exists $p = p^2 \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ such that $a^2 - p \in R^{qnil}$. We then completely determine when a $2 \times 2$ matrix over projective-free rings has generalized Hirano inverse. Cline’s formula and additive properties for generalized Hirano inverses are thereby obtained.

1. Introduction

Let $R$ be an associative ring with an identity. The commutant of $a \in R$ is defined by $\text{comm}(a) = \{x \in R \mid xa = ax\}$. Set $R^{qnil} = \{a \in R \mid 1 + ax \in U(R) \text{ for every } x \in \text{comm}(a)\}$. We say $a \in R$ is quasinilpotent if $a \in R^{qnil}$. For a Banach algebra $A$ it is well known that (see [4, page 251])

$$a \in A^{qnil} \iff \lim_{n \to \infty} \| a^n \|^{1/n} = 0.$$ 

The double commutant of $a \in R$ is defined by $\text{comm}^2(a) = \{x \in R \mid xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in \text{comm}(a)\}$. An element $a$ in $R$ is said to have generalized Drazin inverse if there exists $b \in R$ such that

$$b = bab, b \in \text{comm}^2(a), a - a^2b \in R^{qnil}.$$ 

The preceding $b$ is unique, if such element exists. As usual, it will be denoted by $a^d$, and called the generalized Drazin inverse of $a$.
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Generalized Drazin inverse is extensively studied in both matrix theory and Banach algebra (see [3, 7, 8, 9] and [10]).

The goal of this paper is to introduce and study a new class of generalized inverses in a ring. An element \( a \in R \) has generalized Hirano inverse if there exists \( b \in R \) such that

\[
b = bab, b \in \text{comm}^2(a), a^2 - ab \in R^{qnil}.
\]

We shall prove that the preceding \( b \) is unique, if such element exists. It will be denoted by \( a^h \), and called the generalized Hirano inverse of \( a \).

In Section 2 we prove that the generalized Hirano inverse of an element is its generalized Drazin inverse. An element \( a \in R \) has generalized Hirano inverse if and only if there exists \( p = p^2 \in \text{comm}^2(a) \) such that \( a^2 - p \in R^{qnil} \).

Recall that a commutative ring \( R \) is projective-free provided that every finitely generated projective \( R \)-module is free. The class of projective-free rings is very large. It includes local rings, principle ideal domains, polynomial rings over every principal ideal domain, etc. In Section 3, we completely determine when a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix over projective-free rings has Hirano inverse. Let \( R \) be a projective-free ring. We prove that \( A \in M_2(R) \) has generalized Hirano inverse if and only if \( \det(A), tr(A^2) \in J(R); \) or \( \det^2(A) \in 1 + J(R), tr(A^2) \in 2 + J(R); \) or \( \det(A) \in J(R), tr(A^2) \in 1 + J(R) \) and \( x^2 - tr(A^2)x + \det^2(A) = 0 \) is solvable.

Let \( a, b \in R \). Then \( ab \) has generalized Drazin inverse if and only if \( ba \) has generalized Drazin inverse, and \((ba)^d = b((ab)^d) a\). This was known as Cline’s formula for generalized Drazin inverses. It plays an important role in revealing the relationship between the generalized Drazin inverse of a sum of two elements and the generalized Drazin inverse of a block triangular matrix of the form. In Section 4, we establish Cline’s formula for generalized Hirano inverses. Let \( R \) be a ring, and let \( a, b, c \in R \). If \( aba = aca \), we prove that \( ac \) has generalized Hirano inverse if and only if \( ba \) has generalized Hirano inverse, and that \((ba)^h = b((ac)^h) a\).

Finally, we consider additive properties of such generalized inverses in a Banach algebra. Let \( A \) be a Banach algebra and \( a, b \in A \).
If $a, b \in A$ have generalized Hirano inverses and satisfy
\[ a = ab^\pi, b^\pi ba^\pi = b^\pi b, b^\pi a^\pi ba = b^\pi a^\pi ab, \]
we prove that $a+b$ has generalized Hirano inverse. Here $a^\pi = 1-aa^h$ and $b^\pi = 1-bb^h$.

Throughout the paper, all rings are associative with an identity and all Banach algebras are complex. We use $N(R)$ to denote the set of all nilpotent elements in $R$ and $J(R)$ to denote the Jacobson radical of $R$. $\chi(A) = \det(tI_n - A)$ is the characteristic polynomial of $n \times n$ matrix $A$. $\mathbb{N}$ stands for the set of all natural numbers.

2. Generalized Drazin inverses

The goal of this section is to explore the relations between generalized Drazin inverses and generalized Hirano inverses. We begin with

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $R$ be a ring and $a \in R^{qnil}$. If $e^2 = e \in \text{comm}(a)$, then $ae \in R^{qnil}$.

**Proof.** Let $x \in \text{comm}(ae)$. Then $xae = aex$, and so $(exe)a = ex(ae) = eaex = aex = a(exe)$, i.e., $exe \in \text{comm}(a)$. Hence, $1-a(exe) \in U(R)$, and so $1-(ae)x \in U(R)$. This completes the proof. \hfill $\Box$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $R$ be a ring and $a \in R$. If $a$ has generalized Hirano inverse, then it has generalized Drazin inverse.

**Proof.** Suppose that $a$ has generalized Hirano inverse. Then we have $x \in R$ such that $a^2 - ax \in R^{qnil}$, $x \in \text{comm}(a)$ and $xax = x$. As $a \in \text{comm}(a)$, we see that $ax = xa$. Hence, $a^2 - a^2x^2 = a^2 - a(xax) = a^2 - ax \in R^{qnil}$, and so
\[ a^2(1-a^2x^2) = (a^2-a^2x^2)(1-a^2x^2) \in R^{qnil}, \]
by Lemma 2.1. As $1-a^2x^2 = 1-ax \in R$ is an idempotent and $1-ax \in \text{comm}(a^2-a^2x^2)$, it follows by Lemma 2.1 that
\[ a(a-a^2x) = a(a-a^2(ax^2)) = a^2(1-a^2x^2) \in R^{qnil}. \]

By using Lemma 2.1 again, $(a-a^2x)^2 = a(a-a^2x)(1-ax) \in R^{qnil}$. Let $y \in \text{comm}(a-a^2x)$. Then $y^2 \in \text{comm}(a-a^2x)^2$. Thus, $1-(a-a^2x)^2$
\[(a^2 x)^2 y^2 \in U(R). \] We infer that \((1 - (a - a^2 x)y)(1 + (a - a^2 x)y) \in U(R).\) Therefore \(1 - (a - a^2 x)y \in U(R),\) and so \(a - a^2 x \in R_{qnil}.\) As \(ax = xa\) and \(xax = x,\) we conclude that \(a\) has generalized Drazin inverse \(x.\)

\[\text{Corollary 2.3. Let } R \text{ be a ring and } a \in R. \text{ Then } a \text{ has at most one generalized Hirano inverse in } R, \text{ and if the generalized Hirano inverse of } a \text{ exists, it is exactly the generalized Drazin inverse of } a, \text{ i.e., } a^h = a_d.\]

\[\text{Proof. In view of } [8, \text{Theorem 4.2}], \text{ every element has at most one generalized Drazin inverse, we obtain the result by Theorem 2.1.} \]

\[\text{Lemma 2.4. Let } R \text{ be a ring and } a \in R. \text{ Then the following are equivalent:}\]

1. \(a\) has generalized Hirano inverse.
2. There exists \(b \in R\) such that 
   \[b = ba^2 b, b \in \text{comm}^2(a), a^2 - a^2 b \in R_{qnil}.\]

\[\text{Proof. } \Rightarrow \text{ By hypothesis, there exists } b \in R \text{ such that } \]

\[bab = b, b \in \text{comm}^2(a), a^2 - ab \in R_{qnil}.\]

As \(a \in \text{comm}(a),\) we see that \(ab = ba.\) Hence,

\[a^2 - a^2 b^2 = a^2 - a(bab) = a^2 - ab \in R_{qnil}, b^2 a^2 b^2 = (bab)^2 = b^2.\]

Let \(xa = ax.\) Then \(x \in \text{comm}(a);\) hence, \(bx = xb.\) Thus, \(b^2 x = xb^2,\) and so \(b^2 \in \text{comm}^2(a),\) as required.

\[\Leftarrow \text{ By hypothesis, there exists } b \in R \text{ such that } \]

\[ba^2 b = b, b \in \text{comm}^2(a), a^2 - a^2 b \in R_{qnil}.\]

Thus,

\[a^2 - a(ab) = a^2 - a^2 b \in R_{qnil}, (ab)a(ab) = a(ba^2 b) = ab.\]

Let \(xa = ax.\) Then \(xb = bx,\) and so \((ab)x = a(bx) = a(xb) = (ax)b = x(ab).\) Hence, \(ab \in \text{comm}^2(a).\) Accordingly, \(a \in R\) has generalized Hirano inverse.

\[\text{Theorem 2.5. Let } R \text{ be a ring and } a \in R. \text{ Then the following are equivalent:}\]
(1) \( a \) has generalized Hirano inverse.
(2) There exists \( p^2 = p \in \text{comm}^2(a) \) such that \( a^2 - p \in R^{qnil} \).

Proof. (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2) By Lemma 2.4, there exists \( b \in R \) such that
\[
b = ba^2b, \quad b \in \text{comm}^2(a), \quad a^2 - a^2b \in R^{qnil}.
\]
Set \( p = a^2b \). Then \( p^2 = p \in R \). Let \( xa = ax \). Then \( xb = bx \), and so \( xp = x(a^2b) = (a^2b)x \). hence, \( p \in \text{comm}^2(a) \). Furthermore,
\[
a^2 - p \in R^{qnil}, \quad \text{as required.}
\]

(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (1) Since \( a^2 - p \in R^{qnil} \), we see that \( a^2 + 1 - p \in U(R) \).
Set \( e = 1 - p \) and \( b = (a^2 + 1 - p)^{-1}(1 - e) \). Let \( xa = ax \). Then \( px = xp \) and \( xa^2 = a^2x \), and so \( x(a^2 + 1 - p) = (a^2 + 1 - p)x \). This implies that \( x(ab) = (ab)x \), i.e., \( ab \in \text{comm}^2(a) \). We check that
\[
a^2 - a(ab) &= a^2 - a^2(a^2 + 1 - p)^{-1}(1 - e) \\
&= a^2 - (a^2 + e)(a^2 + 1 - p)^{-1}(1 - e) \\
&= a^2 - p \\
&\in R^{qnil}.
\]

Clearly, \( a^2 + e \in U(R) \), and so
\[
(ab)a(ab) &= a^3(a^2 + 1 - p)^{-2}(1 - e) \\
&= a(a^2 + e)(a^2 + 1 - p)^{-2}(1 - e) \\
&= a(a^2 + e)^{-1}(1 - e) \\
&= ab.
\]

Therefore \( a \) has generalized Hirano inverse \( ab \), as asserted. \( \square \)

Corollary 2.6. Let \( R \) be a ring and \( a \in R \). Then the following are equivalent:

(1) \( a \) has generalized Hirano inverse.
(2) There exists \( b \in R \) such that
\[
ab = (ab)^2, \quad b \in \text{comm}^2(a), \quad a^2 - ab \in R^{qnil}.
\]

Proof. (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2) This is obvious.
(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (1) Set \( p = ab \). If \( ax = xa \), then \( bx = xb \); hence, \( px = (ab)x = axb = x(ab) = xp \). Thus, \( p \in \text{comm}^2(a) \). Since \( a^2 - p \in R^{qnil} \), we complete the proof by Theorem 2.5. \( \square \)
In light of Theorem 2.5 and [2, Theorem 3.3], we easily prove that every element in a ring \( R \) is the sum of a tripotent \( e \) (i.e., \( e^3 = e \)) and a nilpotent that commute if and only if every element in \( R \) has generalized Drazin inverse and \( R^{qnil} = N(R) \). This also gives the link between generalized Drazin inverses and tripotents in a ring (see [6])

**Proposition 2.7.** Let \( R \) be a ring. If \( a \in R \) has generalized Hirano inverse, then there exists a unique idempotent \( p \in R \) such that 
\[
    pa = ap, \quad a^2 - p \in R^{qnil}.
\]

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 2.5, there exists an idempotent \( p \in R \) such that 
\[
    p \in comm^2(a), \quad a^2 - p \in R^{qnil}.
\]
Suppose that there exists an idempotent \( q \in R \) such that 
\[
    qa = aq, \quad a^2 - q \in R^{qnil}.
\]
Let \( e = 1 - p \) and \( f = 1 - q \). Then \( a^2 - e, a^2 - f \in U(R), ef = fe \) and \( a^2e, a^2f \in R^{qnil} \). Thus,
\[
    1 - (1 - e)f = 1 - (1 - e)(a^2 - e)^{-1}(a^2 - e)f
    = 1 - (1 - e)(a^2 - e)^{-1}a^2f
    = 1 - ca^2f,
\]
where \( c = (1 - e)(a^2 - e)^{-1} \). As \( p \in comm^2(a) \), we have \( c \in comm(a^2f) \). It follows from \( a^2f \in R^{qnil} \) that \( 1 - ca^2f \in U(R) \). Therefore
\[
    1 - (1 - e)f = 1 - (1 - e)^2f^2 = (1 - (1 - e)f)(1 + (1 - e)f),
\]
and so \( 1 + (1 - e)f = 1 \). This implies that \( f = ef \). Likewise, \( e = fe \).
Accordingly, \( e = ef = fe = f \), and then \( p = 1 - e = 1 - f = q \), hence the result. \( \square \)

If \( R \) is not only a ring, but a Banach algebra, the converse of precious proposition can be guaranteed.

**Corollary 2.8.** Let \( A \) be a Banach algebra and \( a \in A \). Then the following are equivalent:

1. \( a \) has generalized Hirano inverse.
(2) There exists an idempotent \( p \in A \) such that
\[
pa = ap, a^2 - p \in R^{qnil}.
\]

Proof. (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2) This is obvious by Proposition 2.7.
(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (1) As \( a^2 - p \in A^{qnil} \), \( a^2 + 1 - p \in U(A) \). Set \( e = 1 - p \) and \( b = (a^2 + 1 - p)^{-1}(1 - e) \). As in the proof of Theorem 2.5, there exists \( b \in A \) such that
\[
b = bab, ba = ab, a^2 - ab \in A^{qnil}.
\]
Similarly to Theorem 2.1, we see that \( a - a^2b \in A^{qnil} \). In light of \([4, \text{ Theorem 7.5.3}]\), \( a \in R \) has generalized Drazin inverse, and so \( b \in \text{comm}^2(a) \). This completes the proof. \( \Box \)

3. Matrices over projective-free rings

For any commutative ring \( R \), we note that
\[
M_2(R)^{qnil} = \{ A \in M_2(R) \mid A^2 \in M_2(J(R)) \}(\text{see \([11, \text{ Example 4.3}]\)).
\]
The aim of this section is to completely determine when a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices over projective-free rings has generalized Hirano inverse.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( R \) be a projective-free ring, and let \( A \in M_2(R) \).
If \( A \) has generalized Hirano inverse, then

(1) \( A^2 \in M_2(J(R)) \); or
(2) \( (I_2 - A^2)^2 \in M_2(J(R)) \); or
(3) \( \chi(A^2) \) has a root in \( J(R) \) and a root in \( 1 + J(R) \).

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 2.5, we may write \( A^2 = E + W \), where \( E^2 = E, W \in M_2(R)^{qnil} \) and \( E \in \text{comm}^2(A) \). In light of \([1, \text{ Proposition 11.4.9}]\), there exists \( U \in GL_2(R) \) such that \( UEU^{-1} = 0, I_2 \) or
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then \( A^2 \in M_2(R)^{qnil}, I_2 - A^2 \in M_2(R)^{qnil}, \) or \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \).

Case 1. \( A^2 \in M_2(R)^{qnil} \). Then \( A \in M_2(R)^{qnil} \), and so \( A^2 \in M_2(J(R)) \).

Case 2. \( I_2 - A^2 \in M_2(R)^{qnil} \). Then \( (I_2 - A^2)^2 \in M_2(J(R)) \).

Case 3. There exist \( U \in GL_2(R) \) such that \( UEU^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \).

Hence, \( UA^2U^{-1} = UEU^{-1} + UWU^{-1} \). It follows from \( (UEU^{-1}) \)
\[(UWU^{-1}) = (UWU^{-1})(UEU^{-1})\] that \(UWU^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}\) for some \(\lambda, \mu \in J(R)\). Hence, \(UA^2U^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}\). Therefore \(\chi(A^2)\) has a root \(\mu\) in \(J(R)\) and a root \(1 + \lambda\) in \(1 + J(R)\), as desired. \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \(A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})\). Then \(A\) has generalized Hirano inverse if and only if

1. \(A^2 = 0\), or
2. \((I_2 - A^2)^2 = 0\), or
3. \(A^2 = A^4\).

**Proof.** \(\Leftarrow\) This is clear by Theorem 2.5.

\(\Rightarrow\) Since \(J(\mathbb{Z}) = 0\), by Theorem 3.1, we have

- Case 1. \(A^2 = 0\).
- Case 2. \((I_2 - A^2)^2 = 0\).
- Case 3. \(\chi(A^2)\) has a root 0 and a root 1. By Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, \(A^2(I_2^2 - A^2) = 0\); hence, \(A^2 = A^4\). In light of Theorem 2.5, \(A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})\) has generalized Hirano inverse.

Therefore we complete the proof. \(\square\)

**Example 3.3.** Let \(\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} = \{\frac{m}{n} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, (m, n) = 1, 2 \nmid n\}\), and let \(A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}\). Then \(A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_{(2)})\) has no generalized Hirano inverse.

**Proof.** Clearly, \(\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\) is a commutative local ring with \(J(\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}) = 2\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\). Since \(A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 15 & 10 \\ 22 & 7 \end{pmatrix}\), we see that \(A^2, (I_2 - A^2)^2 \notin M_2(J(R))\). In addition, \(tr(A^2) = 29\) and \(det(A^2) = 4\). Taking \(\sigma : \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\) to be the natural map, and \(p(x) = x^2 - 29x + 4 \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[x]\).

Clearly, \(p^*(x) = x^2 - 29x + 4 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]\) is irreducible, and so \(x^2 - 29x + 4 = 0\) is not solvable in \(\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\). Hence, \(x^2 - tr(A^2)x + det(A^2) = 0\) is not solvable in \(\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\). In light of Theorem 3.1, \(A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_{(2)})\) has no generalized Hirano inverse. \(\square\)

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \(R\) be a projective-free ring. Then \(A \in M_2(R)\) has generalized Hirano inverse if and only if
(1) det(A), \text{tr}(A^2) \in J(R); or \\
(2) det^2(A) \in 1 + J(R), \text{tr}(A^2) \in 2 + J(R); or \\
(3) det(A) \in J(R), \text{tr}(A^2) \in 1 + J(R), and \( x^2 - \text{tr}(A^2)x + det^2(A) = 0 \) is solvable.

Proof. \(\Longleftarrow\) Case 1. \( det(A), \text{tr}(A^2) \in J(R). \) By Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, we have \( A^2 - \text{tr}(A^2)A^2 + det(A^2)I_2 = 0; \) hence, \( A^2 = tr(A^2)A^2 - det(A^2)I_2 \in J(M_2(R)). \) Thus, \( A^2 \in M_2(J(R)) \), and so \( A^2 \in M_2(R)^{qnil} \). Thus, A has generalized Hirano inverse, by Theorem 2.5.

Case 2. \( det^2(A) \in 1 + J(R), \text{tr}(A^2) \in 2 + J(R). \) Then \( tr(I_2 - A^2) = 2 - \text{tr}(A^2) \in J(A) \) and \( det(I_2 - A^2) = 1 - \text{tr}(A^2) + det(A^2) \in J(R). \) As in Case 1, \( I_2 - A^2 \in M_2(R)^{qnil} \). In light of Theorem 2.5, A has generalized Hirano inverse.

Case 3. \( det(A) \in J(R), \text{tr}(A^2) \in 1 + J(R) \) and \( x^2 - \text{tr}(A^2)x + det(A^2) = 0 \) is solvable. Write \( A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \). Then \( a + d = \text{tr}(A^2) \in U(R) \). Hence, \( a \) or \( d \) are invertible. Say \( a \in U(R). \)

Suppose \( x^2 - \text{tr}(A^2)x + det(A^2) = 0 \) is solvable. Say \( x_1^2 - \text{tr}(A^2)x_1 + det(A^2) = 0. \) Set \( x_2 = \text{tr}(A^2) - x_1. \) Then \( x_2^2 - \text{tr}(A^2)x_2 + det(A^2) = 0. \)

As \( x_1x_2 = det(A^2) \in J(R), \) we see that \( x_1 \in J(R), x_2 \in U(R) \) or \( x_1 \in U(R), x_2 \in J(R). \) Say \( x_1 \in J(R), x_2 \in U(R). \) Then \( x_2^2 = \text{tr}(A^2) + (x_1 - 2\text{tr}(A^2))x_1 \in 1 + J(R). \) Let \( U = \begin{pmatrix} b & a - x_1 \\ x_1 - a & c \end{pmatrix}. \) It follows from \( det(U) = atr(A^2) + (x_1^2 - 2ax_1 - det(A^2)) \in U(R) \) that \( U \in GL_2(R). \) One easily checks that

\[
U^{-1}A^2U = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix},
\]

and so

\[
U^{-1}A^2U = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 - 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

If \( C = (c_{ij}) \in \text{comm} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \) then \( c_{12}(x_1 - x_2) = c_{21}(x_1 - x_2) = 0. \) As \( x_1 - x_2 \in U(R), \) we get \( c_{12} = c_{21} = 0. \) Therefore \( C\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} C. \) This shows that \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \)
In light of Theorem 2.5, $A \in M_2(R)$ has generalized Hirano inverse.

In view of Theorem 3.1, $A^2 \in M_2(J(R))$; or $(I - A^2) \in M_2(J(R))$; or $\chi(A^2)$ has a root in $J(R)$ and a root in $1 + J(R)$.

Case 1. $A^2 \in M_2(J(R))$. Hence, $\det(A), \text{tr}(A^2) \in J(R)$.

Case 2. $(I - A^2) \in M_2(J(R))$. Then $I - A^2 \in N(M_2(R/J(R)))$.

Proof. Since $\det(I - A^2) = t^2 - \text{tr}(I - A^2) - t^2 \in J(R)[t]$, we have $\det(I - A^2) = 1 - \text{tr}(A^2) + \det^2(A)$, we get $\det^2(A) \in 1 + J(R)$, as desired.

Case 3. $\chi(A^2)$ has a root $\alpha$ in $J(R)$ and a root $\beta$ in $1 + J(R)$. Since $\chi(A^2) = x^2 - \text{tr}(A^2) x + \det^2(A)$, we see that $\det^2(A) = \alpha \beta \in J(R)$, and so $\det(A) \in J(R)$. Moreover, $\text{tr}(A^2) = \alpha + \beta \in 1 + J(R)$.

Therefore we complete the proof. \hfill \Box

Corollary 3.5. Let $R$ be a projective-free ring, and let $A \in M_2(R)$. If $\chi(A^2) = (t - \alpha)(t - \beta)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in J(R) \cup (1 + J(R))$, then $A$ has generalized Hirano inverse.

Proof. Since $\chi(A^2) = (t - \alpha)(t - \beta)$, $\det(A^2) = \alpha \beta$ and $\text{tr}(A^2) = \alpha + \beta$.

Case 1. $\alpha, \beta \in J(R)$. Then $\det(A), \text{tr}(A^2) \in J(R)$.

Case 2. $\alpha, \beta \in 1 + J(R)$. Then $\det^2(A) \in 1 + J(R), \text{tr}(A^2) \in 2 + J(R)$.

Case 3. $\alpha \in J(R), \beta \in 1 + J(R)$. Then $\det(A) \in J(R), \text{tr}(A^2) \in 1 + J(R)$.

Case 4. $\alpha \in 1 + J(R), \beta \in J(R)$. Then $\det(A) \in J(R), \text{tr}(A^2) \in 1 + J(R)$.

Therefore $A$ has generalized Hirano inverse, by Theorem 3.4. \hfill \Box

Corollary 3.6. Let $R$ be a projective-free ring. If $\frac{1}{2} \in R$, then $A \in M_2(R)$ has Hirano inverse if and only if

1. $\det(A), \text{tr}(A^2) \in J(R)$; or
2. $\det^2(A) \in 1 + J(R), \text{tr}(A^2) \in 2 + J(R)$; or
3. $\det(A) \in J(R), \text{tr}(A^2) \in 1 + J(R)$ and there exists $u \in U(R)$ such that $\text{tr}^2(A^2) - 4\det^2(A) = u^2$. 


Proof. Suppose that \( \det(A) \in J(R) \), \( \text{tr}(A^2) \in 1 + J(R) \). If \( x^2 - \text{tr}(A^2)x + \det^2(A) = 0 \) is solvable, then this equation has a root \( x_1 \). Set \( x_2 = \text{tr}(A^2) - x_1 \). Then \( \det(A^2) = x_1(\text{tr}(A^2) - x_1) = x_1x_2 \in J(R) \). Set \( u = x_1 - x_2 \). Then \( u \in U(R) \). Therefore \( \text{tr}(A^2) - 4\det^2(A) = (x_1 + x_2)^2 - 4x_1x_2 = (x_1 - x_2)^2 = u^2 \). Conversely, assume that \( \text{tr}(A^2) - 4\det^2(A) = u^2 \) for a \( u \in U(R) \). Then \( \frac{\text{tr}(A^2)}{2} + u \) is a root of \( x^2 - \text{tr}(A^2)x + \det^2(A) = 0 \). In light of Theorem 3.4, we complete the proof. □

Example 3.7. Let \( \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{ \frac{m}{n} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, (m, n) = 1, 2 \nmid n \} \), and let \( A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) \). Then \( A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) \) has generalized Hirano inverse.

Proof. Clearly, \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) is a commutative local ring with \( J(\mathbb{Z}_2) = 2\mathbb{Z}_2 \). Clearly, \( A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 43 & 42 \\ 21 & 22 \end{pmatrix} \). Then \( \det(A^2) = 64 \in J(\mathbb{Z}_2) \), \( \text{tr}(A^2) = 65 \in 1 + J(\mathbb{Z}_2) \). Thus, \( \det(A) \in J(\mathbb{Z}_2) \), and that \( x^2 - \text{tr}(A^2)x + \det^2(A) = 0 \) has a root 1. Therefore we are done by Theorem 3.4. □

4. Cline’s formula

In [9, Theorem 2.1], Liao et al. proved Cline’s formula for generalized Drazin inverse. Lian and Zeng extended this result and proved that if \( aba = aca \) then \( ac \) has generalized Drazin inverse if and only if \( ba \) has generalized Drazin inverse (see [10, Theorem 2.3]). The aim of this section is to generalize Clines formula from generalized Drazin inverses to generalized Hirano inverses.

Theorem 4.1. Let \( R \) be a ring, and let \( a, b, c \in R \). If \( aba = aca \), Then \( ac \) has generalized Hirano inverse if and only if \( ba \) has generalized Hirano inverse. In this case, \( (ab)^h = b((ac)^h)^2a \).

Proof. Suppose that \( ac \) has generalized Hirano inverse and \( (ac)^h = d \). Let \( e = bd^2a \) and \( f \in \text{comm}(ba) \). Then

\[
fe = fb(dacd)^2a = fbacacd^4a = baba fcd^4a = b(acfcd^4a = b(acfcd^4a).
\]
Also we have
\[
ac(acafc) = ababafc = afbabac = afbacac = abafcac = (acafc)ac.
\]
As \(d \in \text{comm}^2(ac)\), we see that \((acafc)d = d(acafc)\). Thus, we conclude that
\[
fe = b(acafc)d^2a = bd^4(acafc)a = bd^4aba fca = bd^4ababa f = bd^4acaca f = bd^2af = ef.
\]
This implies that \(e \in \text{comm}^2(ba)\). We have
\[
e(ba)e = bd^2a(ba)bd^2a = bd^2ababacd^2a = bd^2(ac)^3d^3a = bd^2a = e.
\]
Let \(p = ac - acd^2\) then,
\[
pac = acac - acd^2ac = acac - dac = (ac)^2 - acd
\]
that is contained in \(R_{qnil}\). Also we have
\[
(ba)^2 - bae = baba - babd^2a = baba - babacd^2da = baca - bacacd^2da = b(ac - acd^2)a = bpa.
\]
Since
\[
abpa = ab(ac - acd^2)a = ac(ac - acd^2)a = acpa,
\]
we deduce that
\[
(pa)b(pa) = (pa)c(pa).
\]
Then by [10, Lemma 2.2], \(bpa \in R_{qnil}\). Hence \(e\) is generalized Hirano inverse of \(ba\) and as the generalized Hirano inverse is unique we deduce that \(e = bd^2a = (ba)^h\). \(\square\)

**Corollary 4.2.** Let \(R\) be a ring and \(a, b \in R\). If \(ab\) has generalized Hirano inverse, then so has \(ba\), and \((ba)^h = b((ab)^h)^2a\).

**Proof.** This follows directly from Theorem 4.1 as \(aba = aba\). \(\square\)

**Corollary 4.3.** Let \(R\) be a ring, and let \(a, b \in R\). If \((ab)^k\) has generalized Hirano inverse, then so is \((ba)^k\).
Proof. Let $k = 1$, then by Corollary 4.2, $ab$ has generalized Hirano inverse if and only if $ba$ has generalized Hirano inverse. Now let $k \geq 2$, as $(ab)^k = a(b(ab)^{k-1})$, then by Corollary 4.2, $(b(ab)^{k-1})a$ has generalized Hirano inverse, so $(ba)^k$ has generalized Hirano inverse. 

\[ \square \]

Lemma 4.4. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra, $a, b \in A$ and $ab = ba$.

1. If $a, b \in R^{qnil}$, then $a + b \in R^{qnil}$.
2. If $a$ or $b \in R^{qnil}$, then $ab \in R^{qnil}$.

Proof. See [13, Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 2.11]. \[ \square \]

Theorem 4.5. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra, and let $a, b \in A$. If $a, b$ have generalized Hirano inverses and $ab = ba$. Then $ab$ has generalized Hirano inverse and

\[ (ab)^h = a^h b^h. \]

Proof. In order to prove that $(ab)^h = a^h b^h$, we need to prove

\[ a^h b^h \in \text{comm}^2(ab) \text{ and } (ab)^2 - aba^h b^h \in R^{qnil}. \]

As $a^h a^h = a^h$ and $b^h b^h = b^h$, also $a$ can be commuted with $a^h, b, b^h$ and $b$ can be commuted with $a, a^h, b^h$, we have

\[ a^h b^h \in \text{comm}^2(ab). \]

Let $e \in \text{comm}^2(ab)$, then $a^h b^h e = a^h e b^h = e a^h b^h$, as $a^h, b^h \in \text{comm}(ab)$. Note that

\[ (ab)^2 - aba^h b^h = a^2 (b^2 - bb^h) + b^2 (a^2 - aa^h) - (a^2 - aa^h) (b^2 - bb^h) \]

that is in $R^{qnil}$ by Lemma 4.4, which implies that $(ab)^2 - aba^h b^h \in R^{qnil}$. \[ \square \]

Corollary 4.6. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra. If $a \in A$ has generalized Hirano inverse, then $a^n$ has generalized Hirano inverse and $(a^n)^h = (a^h)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. It easily follows from Theorem 4.5 and using induction on $n$. \[ \square \]
We note that the converse of the previous corollary is not true.

**Example 4.7.** Let $\mathbb{Z}_5 = \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ be the ring of integers modulo 5. Then $-2 \in \mathbb{Z}_5$ has no generalized Hirano inverse. If $b$ is the generalized Hirano inverse of $-2$, then $-2b^2 = b$ which implies that $b = 0$ or $b = 2$, in both cases $(-2)^2 - (-2)b$ is not quasinilpotent, a contradiction. But $(-2)^2 = -1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ has generalized Hirano inverse $1$.

5. ADDITIVE PROPERTIES

In this section, we are concern on additive properties of generalized Hirano inverses in a Banach algebra. Let $p \in R$ be an idempotent, and let $x \in R$. Then we write

$$x = pxp + pxp(1-p) + (1-p)xp + (1-p)x(1-p),$$

and induce a representation given by the matrix

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} pxp & px(1-p) \\ (1-p)xp & (1-p)x(1-p) \end{pmatrix},$$

and so we may regard such matrix as an element in $R$. The following lemma is crucial.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $R$ be a Banach algebra, let $a \in A$ and let

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix},$$

relative to $p^2 = p \in A$. If $a \in pAp$ and $b \in (1-p)A(1-p)$ have generalized Hirao inverses, then so is $x$ in $A$.

**Proof.** In view of Corollary 2.3, $a$ and $b$ have generalized Drazin inverses, and that $a^2 - aa^d, b^2 - bb^d \in A^{qnil}$. Hence, in view of [13, Lemma 2.1], we can find $u \in pA(1-p)$ such that

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} a^d & u \\ 0 & b^d \end{pmatrix} \in comm^2(x), e = e^2 x \text{ and } x - x^2 e \in A^{qnil}.$$

Thus,

$$x^2 - xe = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 - aa^d & v \\ 0 & b^2 - bb^d \end{pmatrix}.$$
for some \( v \in A \). Clearly, \( v(a^2 - aa^d) = 0 \) and \( v^2 = 0 \). It follows by [3, Lemma 2.1] that \( (a^2 - aa^d) + v \in A^{qnil} \). Furthermore, \( (b^2 - bb^d)((a^2 - aa^d) + v) = 0 \). By using [3, Lemma 2.1] again, \( (a^2 - aa^d) + v + (b^2 - bb^d) \in A^{qnil} \). Therefore \( x^2 - xe \in A^{qnil} \). Accordingly, \( x \) has generalized Hirao inverses, as asserted.

\[ \Box \]

**Lemma 5.2.** Let \( A \) be a Banach algebra. Suppose that \( a \in A^{qnil} \) and \( b \in A \) has generalized Hirano inverses. If

\[ a = ab^\pi, b^\pi ba = b^\pi ab, \]

then \( a + b \) has generalized Hirano inverse.

**Proof.** Case 1. \( b \in A^{qnil} \). Then \( b^\pi = 1 \), and so it follows from \( b^\pi ba = b^\pi ab \) that \( ab = ba \). In light of Lemma 4.4, \( a + b \in A^{qnil} \). By using Lemma 4.4 again, \( (a + b)^2 \in A^{qnil} \). Therefore \( a + b \) has generalized Hirano inverse by Theorem 2.5.

Case 2. \( b \not\in A^{qnil} \). In view of Theorem 2.1, \( b \) has generalized Drazin inverse, and so we have

\[ b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix}_p, a = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_1 \\ a_{21} & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_p, \]

where \( b_1 \in U(pAp), b_2 \in ((1-p)A(1-p))^{qnil} \subseteq A^{qnil} \). From \( a = ab^\pi \), we see that \( a_{11} = a_{21} = 0 \), and so

\[ a + b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 \\ 0 & a_2 + b_2 \end{pmatrix}_p. \]

It follows from \( b^\pi ba = b^\pi ab \) that \( a_2 b_2 = b_2 a_2 \). By Lemma 4.4, \( a_2 + b_2 \in A^{qnil} \), and then \( (a_2 + b_2)^2 \in A^{qnil} \). In light of Theorem 2.5, \( a_2 + b_2 \) has generalized Hirano inverse in \( A \), one easily checks that \( b_1 = pbp \) has generalized inverse. According to Lemma 5.1, \( a + b \) has generalized Hirano inverse, thus completing the proof. \[ \Box \]

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \( A \) be a Banach algebra. If \( a, b \in A \) have generalized Hirano inverses and satisfy

\[ a = ab^\pi, b^\pi ba = b^\pi b, b^\pi a^\pi ba = b^\pi a^\pi ab, \]
then \(a + b\) has generalized Hirano inverse and

\[
(a + b)^h = \left( b^h + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (b^h)^{n+2} a(a + b)^n \right) a^\pi
\]
\[- \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (b^h)^{n+2} a(a + b)^n (a^h)^{k+2} b(a + b)^{k+1}
\]
\[+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (b^h)^{n+2} a(a + b)^n b^2 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b^h a(a^h)^{n+2} b(a + b)^n.
\]

Proof. Case 1. \(b \in A^q\text{nil}\). Then \(b^\pi = 1\), and so we obtain the result by applying Lemma 5.2.

Case 2. \(b \notin A^q\text{nil}\). We have

\[
b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix}_p, a = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_1 \\ a_{21} & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_p,
\]

where \(b_1 \in U(pAp), b_2 \in ((1 - p)A(1 - p))^{q\text{nil}} \subseteq A^{q\text{nil}}\). It follows from \(a = ab^\pi\) that \(a_{11} = a_{21} = 0\), and so

\[
a + b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 \\ 0 & a_2 + b_2 \end{pmatrix}_p.
\]

Since \(b^\pi ba^\pi = b^\pi b, b^\pi a^\pi ba = b^\pi a^\pi ab\), we see that \(a_{21}^2 b_2 = a_{22}^2 b_2 a_2\).

Now, it follows that \(a_{2} + b_{2} \in (1 - p)A(1 - p)\) has generalized Hirano inverse. As \(b_1 = pbp\) has generalized Hirano inverse, it follows by Lemma 5.1 that \(a + b\) has generalized Hirano inverse. According to Corollary 2.2, we see that \((a + b)^h = (a + b)^d, a^h = a^d\) and \(b^h = b^d\). Therefore we complete the proof, by [3, Theorem 2.2].

Corollary 5.4. Let \(A\) be a Banach algebra and \(a, b \in A\). If \(a, b \in A\) have generalized Hirano inverses and satisfy

\[ab = ba, a = ab^\pi, b^\pi = ba^\pi = b^\pi b,
\]

then \(a + b\) has generalized Hirano inverse and \((a + b)^h = b^h\).

Proof. Since \(a = ab^\pi\), we see that \(ab^h = 0\). Thus, \((b^h)^{n+2} a(a + b)^n = a(b^h)^{n+2} (a + b)^n = 0\). Therefore the result follows by Theorem 5.3.

Corollary 5.5. Let \(A\) be a Banach algebra and \(a, b \in A\). If \(a, b\) have generalized Hirano inverses and \(ab = ba = 0\), then \(a + b\) has generalized Hirano inverse and \((a + b)^h = a^h + b^h\).
Proof. This is obvious by Theorem 5.3. □
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