LONG-TERM PHOTOMETRIC VARIATIONS IN THE CANDIDATE WHITE-DWARF PULSAR AR SCORPII FROM K2, CRTS, AND ASAS-SN OBSERVATIONS
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ABSTRACT
We analyze long-cadence Kepler K2 observations of AR Sco from 2014, along with survey photometry obtained between 2005 and 2016 by the Catalina Real-Time Sky Survey and the All-Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae. The K2 data show the orbital modulation to have been fairly stable during the 78 days of observations, but we detect aperiodic deviations from the average waveform with an amplitude of ∼2% on a timescale of a few days. A comparison of the K2 data with the survey photometry reveals that the orbital waveform gradually changed between 2005 and 2010, with the orbital maximum shifting to earlier phases. We compare these photometric variations with proposed models of this unusual system.
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1. INTRODUCTION
AR Scorpii (AR Sco) is an unprecedented binary system featuring a white dwarf (WD) that generates highly periodic pulses across the electromagnetic spectrum every 1.97 min, even at radio wavelengths (Marsh et al. 2016). The system’s total luminosity exceeds the combined luminosity of the WD and its red dwarf companion by an average factor of ∼4, and its spectral energy distribution is consistent with synchrotron radiation, with the low X-ray luminosity implying minimal accretion (Marsh et al. 2016). Interpreting AR Sco as the first WD pulsar, Buckley et al. (2017) established that the optical pulses are highly linearly polarized and argued that the observed properties of AR Sco are consistent with a strongly magnetized, rapidly rotating WD whose spin-down powers the system’s luminosity. In this scenario, the WD’s magnetic axis is nearly perpendicular to its rotational axis, and the synchrotron radiation is produced when the WD’s open field lines sweep across the secondary, accelerating electrons in its wind (Geng, Zhang, & Huang 2016). High-angular-resolution interferometric observations have shown the radio-emitting region to be smaller than ∼0.02 AU, implying the absence of a radio-bright outflow, such as a collimated jet (Marcote et al. 2017).

As an alternative to the WD-pulsar model, Katz (2017) proposed two hypotheses. In the first, the WD’s magnetic field sweeps over the face of the secondary, leading to the formation of a bow wave on the leading face of the secondary. Magnetic dissipation occurs preferentially in this bow wave, causing the observed orbital maximum at φorb ∼ 0.4, where superior conjunction of the secondary occurs at φorb = 0.5. In Katz’s alternative hypothesis, the WD’s spin axis is misaligned with the binary’s orbital axis, and the WD’s magnetic moment is inclined with respect to its spin axis. As a result, the magnetic field experienced by the secondary varies with orbital phase, leading to a photometric modulation at the orbital period. In this second hypothesis, the misalignment causes a precession, and Katz predicts that the phase of maximum light drifts on timescales of ∼20–200 years. This provides an observational test between the various models.

In the optical, the system exhibits two principal periodicities: a 3.56-hour orbital period, and the aforementioned 1.97-min, double-peaked pulsation, the amplitude of which can be as large as ∼1.5 mag, corresponding with the beat period between the WD spin and binary orbital periods. Curiously, the peak of the orbital modulation occurs at φorb ∼ 0.4 and is therefore offset from the time of superior conjunction at φorb = 0.5, the phase at which maximum light from the irradiated inner hemisphere of the secondary would normally be observed.

We analyze eleven years of survey photometry of AR Sco, as well as Kepler K2 observations, to investigate
Figure 1. Left: A phase plot of the K2 data, using the orbital ephemeris from Marsh et al. (2016). The green line is the best-fit polynomial. The data are repeated for clarity. Right: The full K2 light curve after subtracting the polynomial model of the phase plot. The smoothed trendline highlights bumps near T = 15 d and T = 75 d.

Figure 2. A Lomb-Scargle power spectrum of the K2 light curve, with the orbital frequency (Ω) and its first two harmonics labeled. There is no evidence of other periodicities within this frequency range. The highest frequency plotted is the Nyquist frequency.

the long-term stability of the orbital modulation in order to provide additional constraints for theoretical explanations of the system.

2. DATA & ANALYSIS

2.1. Kepler K2 photometry

Before Marsh et al. (2016) uncovered AR Sco’s extraordinary nature, the Kepler satellite observed AR Sco in long-cadence mode as part of program GO2049 (P.I. Andrej Prsa) during Campaign 2 of the K2 mission between 23 Aug. 2014 and 10 Nov. 2014. Unfortunately, the 30-minute cadence of the K2 data means that the 1.97-min pulses are not temporally resolved, but the data nevertheless provide a unique opportunity to assess the stability of the orbital waveform across the 79-day K2 run.

To extract the photometry, we downloaded the pixel file for AR Sco and performed aperture photometry on AR Sco’s centroid in each image. All datapoints affected by thruster firings were removed. When phased to the orbital ephemeris in Marsh et al. (2016), the K2 observations show the orbital modulation to be remarkably consistent, with no obvious variations in the system’s overall brightness (Figure 1, left panel). The phase plot shows that the orbital modulation peaked near φ_{orb} ∼ 0.4, as observed in Marsh et al. (2016). The rise to maximum is steeper than the decline to minimum, with the latter showing a change in slope near φ_{orb} ∼ 0.7.

A power spectrum of the light curve (Figure 2) contains the fundamental orbital frequency and its next two harmonics. We did not search for frequencies above the Nyquist frequency for the data. The orbital period in the K2 data is 0.148533(7) d, consistent with the value reported by Marsh et al. (2016). There is no evidence of additional periodicities in the power spectrum.

Based on the phase plot, we computed a polynomial that describes the orbital modulation as a function of orbital phase. We used this polynomial to subtract the
2.2. **CRTS and ASAS-SN photometry**

The excellent signal-to-noise ratio of the orbital modulation in the *K2* data enables us to test whether it is consistent with the orbital modulation in the Catalina Real-Time Sky Survey (CRTS; Drake et al. 2009) and the All-Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASAS-SN; Shappee et al. 2014; Kochanek et al. 2017). Together, the photometry from these two surveys provides coverage of AR Sco from 2005-2016. Because of sparse sampling, we divide the CRTS photometry into a trio of three-year bins (2005-2007, 2008-2010, and 2011-2013) and the ASAS-SN data into three one-year bins (2014, 2015, and 2016); in none of the bins were CRTS and ASAS-SN data merged. We phased the data in each bin.
using the ephemeris from Marsh et al. (2016) and then
fit the average K2 waveform to each bin using $\chi^2$
minimization to solve for two free parameters: a phase shift
and a magnitude offset.

The resulting fits are shown in Fig. 3. Although the
three CRTS-only bins generally agree with the K2 wave-
form, there is a striking trend: the shape of the rising
part of the light curve changes with time. In the earli-
est data (2005-2007), a number of observations between
$0.2 < \phi_{orb} < 0.4$ are significantly fainter than expected
from the K2 fit, some by as much as a half-magnitude.
Although there are some points that are considerably
brighter than the K2 fit, these observations were proba-
bly contaminated by beat pulses, during which AR Sco
can flare by a factor of four in $\lesssim 30$ seconds (Marsh et
al. 2016). In the next bin (2008-2010), the rising part
of the light curve is still fainter than the K2 fit, but the
discrepancy is not as pronounced. Finally, the most re-
cent CRTS bin (2011-2013) shows excellent agreement
with the K2 light curve at all phases.

The K2 fit to the ASAS-SN data underpredicts the
amplitude of the orbital variation, but this is likely the
result of a difference in bandpass. The Marsh et al.
(2016) spectrum shows a strong contribution from the
M-dwarf from $\sim 700$-900 nm. While an unfiltered CCD
is sensitive at these wavelengths, the V-band has negli-
gible transmission redward of 700 nm. As a result, the
contrast between the heated inner hemisphere and the
presumably unheated outer hemisphere will be greater
in the V-band ASAS-SN data than in the unfiltered K2
and CRTS data, giving rise to a larger orbital modula-
tion at shorter wavelengths.

2.3. Phase of the Orbital Maximum

We fit third-order polynomials to the orbital maxi-
imum in each of the bins to estimate the phase of max-
imum light. The initial fits to the data used a con-
ventional least-squares-minimization approach, but the
quality of these fits is adversely affected a number of
bright outliers between orbital phases $\sim 0.1-0.4$. Marsh
et al. (2016) showed that the amplitude of the beat pul-
sations is largest at these orbital phases, so observations
contaminated by beat pulses will drag the maximum of
a conventional least-squares polynomial towards earlier
phases. Thus, we also used random-sample consensus
(RANSAC; Fischler & Bolles 1981), a machine-learning
algorithm that identifies and masks outliers in a dataset,
to fit a robust polynomial to each bin. RANSAC iter-
atively selects random subsets of a dataset, fitting them
with a specified model (in this case, a third-order poly-
nomial). The model describing the subset is compared
to all points not in the subset, and if a certain number
of them agree with the model to within a specified toler-
ance, they are considered inliers, as are the points in the
original subset. The model is then fitted to the set of
inliers to produce the provisional best-fit model; outliers
are ignored. RANSAC repeats this process with differ-
ent subsets, attempting to find the provisional best-fit
model with the lowest residuals.

The left panel in Figure 4 plots the phases of orbital
maximum as measured by the robust polynomials, and
to illustrate how the choice of fitting algorithm impacts
the measured phase of orbital maximum, the right panel
shows a comparison of the least-squares and RANSAC
fits to the first CRTS bin. Additionally, Table 1 lists the
phases of maximum light using the two fitting methods, along with 1σ uncertainties.

Both fitting techniques agree that there was a phase shift towards later phases in the 2005-2007 CRTS bin, but the robust polynomial shows it to be larger and more statistically significant. Although the contemporaneous $K2$ and 2014 ASAS-SN observations are weakly suggestive of a small phase shift, the data after the first CRTS bin are consistent with an essentially constant phase of orbital maximum, so any periodicity in the phase of maximum light must be much longer than the available baseline of data. In addition, as Table 1 indicates, the maximum of the orbital light curve was $\sim0.2$ mag fainter in the earliest CRTS bin than in the other two CRTS bins, and the 2014 ASAS-SN bin was $\sim0.1$ mag fainter than the 2015 and 2016 ASAS-SN data.

Because of a bandpass difference between CRTS and ASAS-SN, the peak magnitudes of the CRTS and ASAS-SN orbital modulation cannot be directly compared with each other. It is for a similar reason that we did not attempt to measure the peak magnitude in the $K2$ data; a single Kepler magnitude cannot be reliably compared with magnitude estimates from other sources on the level of a tenth of a magnitude.

For the CRTS and ASAS-SN data, we used 2,000 Monte Carlo simulations to test the susceptibility of the fitting procedure to false phase shifts induced by the combined effects of (1) sparse sampling, (2) propagated uncertainties from the orbital ephemeris, and (3) the difficulty of disentangling the orbital modulation from the beat pulses. The simulations made use of 22 hours of unfiltered photometry of AR Sco obtained at a typical cadence of $\sim5$ s with the University of Notre Dame’s 80-cm Sarah L. Krizmanich Telescope (SLKT) during 2016 and 2017; at this cadence, the beat pulsations are reasonably well-sampled.\(^1\) The Monte Carlo procedure was as follows. Each simulation began by computing new orbital phases for all data, based on the uncertainties from the Marsh et al. (2016) orbital ephemeris. For each CRTS or ASAS-SN observation in a given bin, we found the SLKT observation with the most similar orbital phase. To simulate random sampling of the beat pulse, we then randomly selected an SLKT observation as well as propagated uncertainties from the orbital ephemeris. While this resulted in comparatively small uncertainties, there is probably an unquantified systematic error stemming from the fact that the beat pulses are fully blended into the orbital modulation, thereby distorting the orbital profile. Each $K2$ integration includes about 15 beat pulses, and because the beat pulses are strongest before the orbital maximum, their contamination will shift the orbital maximum towards earlier phases. The uncertainty

---

\(^1\) The SLKT data will be analyzed in a forthcoming paper.
for the $K2$ phase of orbital maximum does not model this effect.

For both the least-squares and RANSAC fits, Table 1 lists the fraction of simulations for each bin in which the simulated phase shift was larger than the observed phase shift. The results suggest that the three aforementioned effects are insufficient to produce the observed phase shift in the earliest CRTS bin; not one of the simulated phase shifts for that bin was larger than the measured value. Additionally, the simulations suggest that the small phase shift in the 2014 ASAS-SN bin is of marginal significance, but given the comparatively small size of this phase shift relative to its 1σ uncertainty, it is possible that our simulations did not fully account for all possible causes of false phase shifts.

3. CONCLUSION

The $K2$ observations from 2014 establish limits on the stability of the optical orbital modulations of AR Sco on timescales of months and show low-amplitude, apparently aperiodic fluctuations with an unknown source. Because it is well-defined with very little scatter, the $K2$ orbital modulation is a useful point of comparison for the orbital waveforms of the CRTS and ASAS-SN datasets, helping to establish that in early CRTS observations, the peak of the orbital waveform was considerably fainter than in subsequent years.

An analysis of the orbital phase of maximum light reveals a significant phase shift in the earliest CRTS data (2005-2007), but the data from 2008-2016 are consistent with an unchanged phase of orbital maximum. In the 2005-2007 CRTS data, the peak magnitude of the orbital modulation is about ~0.2 mag fainter than in the remaining CRTS bins. The apparent lack of a coherent trend in the phase of orbital maximum rules out sinusoidal variations on timescales of ~20 years, but with only 11 years of observations, there is insufficient data to discount the possibility of a longer periodicity. Katz’s misaligned-spin model predicts a precessional period of up to several centuries, so sustained long-term monitoring of the orbital modulation will be necessary to test this possibility.
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