ESSENTIAL SETS FOR RANDOM OPERATORS CONSTRUCTED FROM ARRATIA FLOW
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Abstract. In this paper we consider a strong random operator $T_t$ which
describes shift of functions from $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ along an Arratia flow. We find a compact
set in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ that doesn’t disappear under $T_t$, and estimate its Kolmogorov
widths.

1. Introduction. Arratia flow and random operators

In this paper we consider random operators in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ which describe shifts of
functions along an Arratia flow [1]. Let’s recall the definition.

Definition 1.1 ([1]). A family of random processes {$x(u, s), u \in \mathbb{R}, s \geq 0$} is
called an Arratia flow if

1) for each $u \in \mathbb{R}$ $x(u, \cdot)$ is a Wiener process with respect to the joint filtration
such that $x(u, 0) = u$;
2) for any $u_1 \leq u_2$ and $t \geq 0$

$x(u_1, t) \leq x(u_2, t)$ a.s.

3) the joint characteristics are

$d < x(u_1, \cdot), x(u_2, \cdot) > (t) = \Pi_{x(u_1, t)=x(u_2, t)} dt$.

In the informal language, Arratia flow is a family of Wiener processes started
from each point of $\mathbb{R}$, which move independently up to the meeting, coalesce, and
move together. It was proved in [2, 3] that for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > 0$ the set
$x([a; b], t)$ is finite a.s. Since Arratia flow has a right-continuous modification [4],
$x(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a step function for any time $t > 0$. Hence, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and
t > 0 with probability one there exists a random point $y \in \mathbb{R}$ for which

$\lambda\{u \in [a; b] : x(u, t) = y\} > 0$, \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

where $\lambda$ is Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Since $x(\cdot, t)$ is right-continuous step function
then for a fixed countable set $A$

$P\{x(\mathbb{R}, t) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} = P\{x(\mathbb{Q}, t) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq \sum_{u \in Q} P\{x(u, t) \in A\} = 0$. \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

Since for any $a < b$ the difference $\frac{x(b, \cdot) - x(a, \cdot)}{\sqrt{2}}$ is a Wiener processes until the
collision happens, and $\frac{x(b, 0) - x(a, 0)}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{b-a}{\sqrt{2}}$, then one can find the distribution
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of the time of coalescence \( \tau_{a,b} = \inf\{s \geq 0 \mid x(a, s) = x(b, s)\} \) of the processes \( x(a, \cdot), x(b, \cdot) \), i.e. for any \( t \geq 0 \)

\[
\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{a,b} \leq t\} = \mathbb{P}\{x(a, t) = x(b, t)\} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{\frac{t-a}{\sqrt{2t}}}^{+\infty} e^{-r^2} dv.
\]  

(1.3)

Let’s notice that for a fixed time \( t > 0 \) and an Arratia flow \( X = \{x(u, s), u \in \mathbb{R}, s \in [0; t]\} \) there exists an Arratia flow \( Y = \{y(u, r), u \in \mathbb{R}, r \in [0; t]\} \) such that trajectories of \( X \) and \( \tilde{Y} = \{y(u, t - r), u \in \mathbb{R}, r \in [0; t]\} \) don’t cross \([1, 5]\). \( Y \) is called a conjugated (or dual) Arratia flow. It was proved in \([6]\) the following change of variable formula for an Arratia flow.

**Theorem 1.2** \(([6])\). For any time \( t > 0 \) and nonnegative measurable function \( h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(u) du < +\infty \)

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x(u, t)) du = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(u) dy(u, t) \quad \text{a.s.,}
\]

(1.4)

where the last integral is in scence of Lebesgue-Stieltjes.

In this paper we consider random operators \( T_t, t > 0, \) in \( L_2(\mathbb{R}) \) which are defined as follows

\[
(T_t f)(u) = f(x(u, t)),
\]

where \( f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( u \in \mathbb{R} \). It was proved in \([7]\) that \( T_t \) is a strong random operator \([8]\) in \( L_2(\mathbb{R}) \), but, as it was shown in \([6]\), is not a bounded one. Really, for the point \( y \) from (1.1) one can introduce a sequence of the intervals \( A_i = [r_i; p_i] \) such that \( y \in A_i \) for any \( i \geq 1 \) and \( p_i - r_i \to 0, i \to \infty \). Thus, for any \( i \geq 1 \)

\[
||T_t 1_{A_i}||^2_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \geq \lambda\{u \in [a; b] : x(u, t) = y\} > 0,
\]

which can’t be true if \( T_t \) was a bounded random operator. Hence, the image of a compact set under \( T_t \) may not be a random compact set. Moreover, as it was mentioned in \([9]\), image of a compact set under strong random operator may not exist. However, in \([6]\) it was presented a family of compact sets in \( L_2(\mathbb{R}) \) which images under \( T_t \) exists and are random compact sets. In this paper we consider a compact set of this type, and investigate the change of its Kolmogorov widths \([10]\) under \( T_t \).

### 2. \( T_t \)-essential functions

If support of function \( f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}) \) is bounded, \( \text{supp} f \subset [a; b] \), then \( T_t f \) equals to 0 with positive probability. Really, by (1.4), one can check that

\[
\mathbb{P}\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^2(x(u, t)) du = 0 \right\} \geq \mathbb{P}\{ x(\mathbb{R}, t) \cap [a; b] = \emptyset \} =
\]

\[
= \mathbb{P}\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} 1_{[a; b]}(x(u, t)) du = 0 \right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} 1_{[a; b]}(u) dy(u, t) = 0 \right\},
\]
Thus, by (1.3),

\[ P \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbb{I}_{(a,b)}(u) dy(u, t) = 0 \right\} = P \{ y(b, t) = y(a, t) \} > 0, \]

then \( P \{ \| T_t f \|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} = 0 \} > 0 \). This leads to the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** For a fixed \( t > 0 \) a function \( f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}) \) is said to be a \( T_t \)-essential if

\[ P \{ \| T_t f \|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} > 0 \} = 1. \]

**Example 2.2.** Let \( f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}) \) be an analytic function which doesn’t equal totally to zero. Denote the set of its zeroes \( Z_f = \{ u \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(u) = 0 \} \). Then, by (1.2), \( P \{ x(\mathbb{R}, t) \cap Z_f = \emptyset \} = 1 \), so \( f \) is a \( T_t \)-essential for any \( t > 0 \).

Let us notice that if \( t_1 \neq t_2 \) then \( T_{t_1} \)-essential function may not be a \( T_{t_2} \)-essential. To introduce a \( T_1 \)-essential and not \( T_2 \)-essential function lets consider an increasing sequence \( \{ u_k \}_{k=0}^{\infty} \) such that \( u_0 = 0, u_1 = 1 \) and for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \)

\[ u_{2n+1} - u_{2n} = \frac{1}{2^n}, \quad u_{2n} = u_{2n-1} + 2n(\ln 2)^\frac{1}{2}. \]

**Theorem 2.3.** The function \( f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}_{[u_{2n}; u_{2n+1}]} \) is a \( T_1 \)-essential, and is not a \( T_2 \)-essential.

**Proof.** To prove that \( f \) is not a \( T_2 \) essential we show that \( P \{ \| T_2 f \|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} > 0 \} < 1 \). Since \( [u_{2k}; u_{2k+1}] \cap [u_{2j}; u_{2j+1}] = \emptyset \) for any \( k \neq j \) then, by (1.4),

\[
P \{ \| T_2 f \|^2_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} > 0 \} = P \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{I}_{[u_{2n}; u_{2n+1}]}(x(u, 2)) \right)^2 du > 0 \right\} =
\]

\[
= P \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbb{I}_{[u_{2n}; u_{2n+1}]}(x(u, 2)) du > 0 \right\} =
\]

\[
= P \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( y(u_{2n+1}, 2) - y(u_{2n}, 2) \right) > 0 \right\} =
\]

\[
= P \{ \exists n \geq 0 : y(u_{2n+1}, 2) \neq y(u_{2n}, 2) \} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P \{ y(u_{2n+1}, 2) \neq y(u_{2n}, 2) \}. \]

Thus, by (1.3),

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P \{ y(u_{2n+1}, 2) \neq y(u_{2n}, 2) \} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_{-\frac{1}{2^n}}^{\frac{1}{2^n}} e^{-v^2} dv \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} < 1.
\]

Consequently, the function \( f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}_{[u_{2n}; u_{2n+1}]} \) is not a \( T_2 \)-essential. To prove that \( f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}_{[u_{2n}; u_{2n+1}]} \) is a \( T_1 \)-essential one can show the following estimation.
Lemma 2.4. Let \( \{w(u_n, \cdot)\}_{n=0}^\infty \) be a family of independent Wiener processes on \([0; 1]\) such that \( w(u_n, 0) = u_n \). Then for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \)

\[
\mathbb{P}\left\{ \max_{s \in [0;1]} \max_{j=0, 2n-1} w(u_j, s) \geq \min_{s \in [0;1]} w(u_{2n}, s) \right\} < \frac{1}{2^n \sqrt{\pi \ln 2}}.
\]

Proof. Let \( w_1, w_2 \) be an independent Wiener processes on \([0; 1]\) started from point 0, i.e. \( w_1(0) = w_2(0) = 0 \). It can be noticed that

\[
\mathbb{P}\left\{ \max_{s \in [0;1]} \max_{j=0, 2n-1} w(u_j, s) \geq \min_{s \in [0;1]} w(u_{2n}, s) \right\} =
\]

\[
eq \mathbb{P}\left\{ \exists \ j = 0, 2n - 1 : \max_{s \in [0;1]} w(u_j, s) - \min_{s \in [0;1]} w(u_{2n}, s) \geq 0 \right\} \leq
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} \mathbb{P}\left\{ \max_{s \in [0;1]} w(u_j, s) - \min_{s \in [0;1]} w(u_{2n}, s) \geq 0 \right\} \leq
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} \mathbb{P}\left\{ \max_{s \in [0;1]} w_1(s) - \min_{s \in [0;1]} w_2(s) \geq u_{2n} - u_j \right\}.
\]

From the fact that \( \{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \) is an increasing sequence we can estimate the last expression and complete the proof

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} \mathbb{P}\left\{ \max_{s \in [0;1]} w_1(s) - \min_{s \in [0;1]} w_2(s) \geq u_{2n} - u_j \right\} \leq
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} \frac{1}{u_{2n} - u_j} e^{-\frac{(u_{2n} - u_j)^2}{4}} \leq
\]

\[
\leq \frac{2n - 1}{\sqrt{\pi(u_{2n} - u_{2n-1})}} e^{-\frac{(u_{2n} - u_{2n-1})^2}{4}} \leq \frac{1}{2^n \sqrt{\pi \ln 2}}.
\]

\( \square \)

Let \( f = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \mathbb{1}_{\{w_{2n}, w_{2n+1}\}} \) is a \( T_1 \)-essential. Using reasoning from the first part of the proof it can be checked that for considered function \( f \) the following equality holds

\[
\mathbb{P}\{ \|T_1 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} > 0 \} = \mathbb{P}\left\{ \sum_{n=0}^\infty (y(u_{2n+1}, 1) - y(u_{2n}, 1)) > 0 \right\}.
\]

Lets prove that

\[
\mathbb{P}\left\{ \limsup_{n \to \infty} (y(u_{2n+1}, 1) - y(u_{2n}, 1)) \geq 1 \right\} = 1. \quad (2.1)
\]

Lets build a new processes \( \{\tilde{y}(u_n, \cdot)\}_{n=0}^\infty \) such that \( \{\tilde{y}(u_n, \cdot)\}_{n=0}^\infty \) and \( \{y(u_n, \cdot)\}_{n=0}^\infty \) have the same distributions in \( \mathcal{C}(\{0; 1\})^\infty \) in the following way \([2]\). Let \( \{w(u_n, \cdot)\}_{n=0}^\infty \) be a given family of Wiener processes on \([0; 1]\), \( w(u_n, 0) = u_n \). Lets denote collision time of \( f, g \in \mathcal{C}(\{0; 1\}) \) by \( \tau[f, g] := \inf\{t \mid f(t) = g(t)\} \). Put \( \tilde{y}(u_0, \cdot) := w(u_0, \cdot) \). Then for any \( n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in [0; 1] \) one can define

\[
\tilde{y}(u_n, s) := w(u_n, s) \mathbb{1}\{ \ s < \tau[w(u_n, \cdot), \tilde{y}(u_{n-1}, \cdot)] \ + \}
\]
Thus, according to (2.1) it is sufficient to note that

\[ +\bar{y}(u_{n-1}, s)\mathbb{I}\{s \geq \tau[w(u_n, \cdot), \bar{y}(u_{n-1}, \cdot)]\}. \]

According to constructions of stochastic processes \(\{\bar{y}(u_n, \cdot)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\)

\[ P\{ \exists \, N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall \, n \geq N \quad \bar{y}(u_{2n}, t) = w(u_{2n}, t), \]

\[ \bar{y}(u_{2n+1}, t) = w(u_{2n+1}, t)\mathbb{I}\{t < \tau[w(u_{2n}, \cdot), w(u_{2n+1}, \cdot)]\} + \]

\[ +w(u_{2n}, t)\mathbb{I}\{t \geq \tau[w(u_{2n}, \cdot), w(u_{2n+1}, \cdot)]\} \} = 1. \quad (2.2) \]

Thus,

\[ P\{ \exists \, N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall \, n \geq N \quad \bar{y}(u_{2n+1}, t) - \bar{y}(u_{2n}, t) = w(u_{2n+1}, t) - w(u_{2n}, t) \} = 1. \]

For the considered sequence \(\{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\) and any \(N \in \mathbb{N}\) the following inequality holds

\[ P\{ w(u_{2n+1}, t) - w(u_{2n}, t) \geq 1 \} = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\frac{1}{2})^2}{4}} dv \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_1^{\infty} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4}} dv. \]

Therefore, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma and (2.2),

\[ P\{ \limsup_{n \to \infty} (\bar{y}(u_{2n+1}, t) - \bar{y}(u_{2n}, t)) \geq 1 \} = 1. \]

Using observation from Example 2.2 one can introduce a family of \(T_1\)-essential functions for all \(t > 0\).

For any \(\varepsilon > 0\) let us consider an integral operator \(K_{\varepsilon}\) in \(L_2(\mathbb{R})\) with the kernel

\[ k_{\varepsilon}(v_1, v_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{\varepsilon}(u - v_1)p_{\varepsilon}(u - v_2)dy(u, t), \quad (2.3) \]

where \(v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}\), and \(p_{\varepsilon}(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\varepsilon}}\). By the change of variables formula for an Arratia flow [6],

\[ (K_{\varepsilon}f, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f * p_{\varepsilon})^2(x(u, t))du. \quad (2.4) \]

**Lemma 2.5.** For any \(\varepsilon > 0\) and nonzero function \(f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})\)

\[ P\{ (K_{\varepsilon}f) \neq 0 \} = 1. \]

**Proof.** According to (2.1) it is sufficient to note that \(f * p_{\varepsilon}\) is an analytic function. Consequently, for any \(t > 0\) the following relations are true

\[ P\{ (K_1f, f) > 0 \} = P\{ \|T_t(f * p_{\varepsilon})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} > 0 \} = P\{ x(\mathbb{R}, t) \cap Z_{f * p_{\varepsilon}} = \emptyset \} = 1. \]

According to the last theorem and (2.4), for any \(\varepsilon > 0\) and nonzero \(f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})\) the function \(f * p_{\varepsilon}\) is a \(T_1\)-essential for each \(t > 0\).
3. On change of compact sets under strong random operator
generated by an Arratia flow

As it was noticed in the introduction any function with bounded support isn’t a $T_t$-essential. Consequently, if $K \subseteq L_2(\mathbb{R})$ is a compact set of functions with uniformly bounded supports such that $T_t(K)$ is well-defined, then the image $T_t(K)$ equals to $\{0\}$ with positive probability. It was shown in [6] that $T_t$ may also change the geometry of $K$ even in the case of a compact set $K$ for which $T_t(K) \neq \{0\}$ a.s. For example, the image $T_t(K)$ of a convergent sequence and its limiting point may not have limiting points. In this section we build a compact set $K$ for which $T_t(K) \neq \{0\}$ a.s. and investigate the change of its Kolmogorov-widths in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ under random operator $T_t$.

**Definition 3.1** ([10]). The Kolmogorov $n$-width of a set $C \subseteq H$ in a Hilbert space $H$ is given by

$$d_n(C) = \inf_{\dim L \leq n} \sup_{f \in C} \inf_{g \in L} \|f - g\|_H,$$

where $L$ is a subspace of $H$.

We consider the following compact set in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$

$$K = \{ f \in W^2_2(\mathbb{R}) | \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(u)(1 + |u|)^3 \, du + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f'(u))^2 (1 + |u|)^3 \, du \leq 1 \}. \quad (3.1)$$

Estimations on its Kolmogorov-widths in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ are presented in the next lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** There exist positive constants $C_1, C_2$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\frac{C_1}{n} \leq d_n(K) \leq \frac{C_2}{n^{1/3}}.$$

**Proof.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. To estimate $d_n(K)$ from above one can consider the partition $\{ u_k \}_{k=0}^n$ of $[-n^{1/3}; n^{1/3}]$ into $n$ segments $\{ [u_k; u_{k+1}], k = 0, n-1 \}$ with equal lengths. Let $K = \{ f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}) \}$ be fixed. To estimate $d_n(K)$ from below one can consider the partition $\{ u_k \}_{k=0}^n$ of $[-n^{1/3}; n^{1/3}]$ into $n$ segments $\{ [u_k; u_{k+1}], k = 0, n-1 \}$ with equal lengths. Let $L_n = L S \{ \Pi_{[u_k; u_{k+1}]} \}$, $k = 0, n-1$}.

$$\sup_{f \in K} \inf_{g \in L_n} \|f - g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{C_2}{n^{1/3}}.$$ 

If $f \in K$ then $\int_{|u|>c} f^2(u)(1 + |u|)^3 \, du \leq 1$. Thus, for any $C > 0$

$$\int_{|u|>c} f^2(u) \, du \leq \frac{1}{(1 + C)^3} \int_{|u|>c} f^2(u)(1 + |u|)^3 \, du \leq \frac{1}{C^3}.$$

So, for the function $g_f = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(u_k) \Pi_{[u_k; u_{k+1}]}$ $\in L_n$ the following estimation is true

$$\|f - g_f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{1}{n^{1/3}} + \int_{|u|\leq n^{1/3}} (f(u) - g_f(u))^2 \, du.$$

By the Cauchy inequality, for $f \in K$ and $u \in [u_k; u_{k+1}]$

$$\left( \int_{u_k}^u f'(v) \, dv \right)^2 \leq \int_{u_k}^u \frac{dv}{(1 + |v|)^\gamma} \leq u - u_k.$$
Consequently,

\[
\int_{|u| \leq n^{\frac{1}{n}}} (f(u) - g_f(u))^2 \, du = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{u_k}^{u_{k+1}} \left( \int_{u_k}^{u} f'(v) \, dv \right)^2 \, du \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (u_{k+1} - u_k)^2 = \frac{2}{n},
\]

and the upper estimation for \( d_n(K) \) holds with the constant \( C_2 = 3^{\frac{n}{2}} \).

To get a lower estimation for \( d_n(K) \) we use the theorem about \( n \)-width of \((n+1)\)-dimensional ball [10]. Let \( \{u_k\}_{k=0}^{2(n+1)} \) be a partition of \([0; 1]\) into \(2(n+1)\) segments \(\{[u_k; u_{k+1}], \; k = 0, 2n+1\}\) with equal lengths. Consider \((n+1)\)-dimensional space \( L_{n+1} = \text{LS}\{f_k, \; k = 0, n\} \), where the functions \( f_k, \; k = 0, n \), are defined as follows

\[
f_k = \begin{cases} 
0, & u \notin [u_{2k}; u_{2k+1}], \\
1, & u \in [u_{2k} + \frac{1}{6(n+1)}; u_{2k} + \frac{2}{6(n+1)}], \\
6(n+1)(u - u_{2k}), & u \in [u_{2k}; u_{2k} + \frac{1}{6(n+1)}], \\
-6(n+1)(u - u_{2k+1}), & u \in [u_{2k} + \frac{2}{6(n+1)}; u_{2k+1}].
\end{cases}
\]

We show that if \( c = \frac{2^3(5+2^9\cdot3^3)}{5} \) then the ball \( B_{n+1} = \{f \in L_{n+1} \mid \|f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{cn}} \} \) is a subset of \( K \). Since \( \|f_k\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{5}{18(n+1)} \), \( k = 0, n \), then for any \( f \in B_{n+1} \) such that \( f = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k f_k \) the following relation holds \( \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k^2 \leq \frac{36}{5cn} \). Thus, according to (3.2),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(u)(1 + |u|)^5 \, du + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f'(u))^2 (1 + |u|)^7 \, du \leq \frac{2^3}{cn^2} + 2^7 \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k^2 \left( \int_{u_{2k}}^{u_{2k+1}} (6(n+1))^2 \, du + \int_{u_{2k}}^{u_{2k+1}} (6(n+1))^2 \, du \right) \leq \frac{2^3}{cn^2} + 2^{10} \cdot 3n \cdot \frac{36}{5cn} \leq \frac{c}{c} \frac{2^3(5+2^9\cdot3^3)}{5} = 1.
\]

Consequently, \( B_{n+1} \subset K \) and \( d_n(K) \geq d_n(B_{n+1}) \). Due to the theorem about \( n \)-width of \((n+1)\)-dimensional ball, \( d_n(B_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{cn}} \) [10]. So the lower estimation for \( d_n(K) \) holds with \( C_1 := \sqrt{c} \).

To show that estimations from above for the Kolmogorov-widths of considered compact set \( K \) don’t change under \( T_1 \) one may use the same idea as in Lemma 2.

**Theorem 3.3.** There exists \( \Omega \) of probability one such that for any \( \omega \in \Omega \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \)

\[
d_n(T_1^\omega(K)) \leq \frac{C(\omega)}{n^{10}}, \quad (3.3)
\]

where the constant \( C(\omega) > 0 \) doesn’t depend on \( n \).
Proof. For a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let’s consider a partition $\{u_k\}_{k=0}^{n}$ of $[-n^{\frac{1}{5}}; n^{\frac{1}{5}}]$ into $n$ segments with equal lengths. To prove (3.3) it’s sufficient to show the following inequality for the linear space $L_{\omega} = LS\{ T_{\omega}^{u} \Pi_{\{u_k; u_{k+1}\}}, \ k = 0, n-1 \}$ with dimension at most $n$

$$\sup_{h_1 \in T_{\omega}^{u}(K)} \inf_{h_2 \in L_{\omega}^{u}} \|h_1 - h_2\|_{L_{\omega}^{u}} \leq \frac{C(\omega)}{n^\frac{3}{5}}.$$ 

According to the change of variable formula for an Arratia flow, one can check the equality for any $\omega$

$$T_{\omega}^{u} f - T_{\omega}^{u} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(u_k) \Pi_{\{u_k; u_{k+1}\}} \right) = \int_{|u|>n^{\frac{1}{5}}} f^2(u) dy(u, t, \omega) + \int_{|u| \leq n^{\frac{1}{5}}} \left( f(u) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(u_k) \Pi_{\{u_k; u_{k+1}\}}(u) \right)^2 dy(u, t, \omega).$$

To estimate from above the last integral let’s notice that

$$\int_{|u| \leq n^{\frac{1}{5}}} \left( f(u) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(u_k) \Pi_{\{u_k; u_{k+1}\}}(u) \right)^2 dy(u, t, \omega) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{u_k}^{u_{k+1}} \left( \int_{u_k}^{u} |f'(v)| dv \right)^2 dy(u, t, \omega).$$

Due to (3.1), for any $f \in K$ and $u \in [u_k; u_{k+1}]

$$\left( \int_{u_k}^{u} |f'(v)| dv \right)^2 \leq \int_{u_k}^{u} \frac{dv}{(1 + |v|)^7} \leq u_{k+1} - u_k.$$ 

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{u_k}^{u_{k+1}} \left( \int_{u_k}^{u} |f'(v)| dv \right)^2 dy(u, t, \omega) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (u_{k+1} - u_k) \int_{u_k}^{u_{k+1}} dy(u, t, \omega) = \frac{2}{n^{\frac{1}{5}}} (y(n^{\frac{1}{5}}, t, \omega) - y(-n^{\frac{1}{5}}, t, \omega)).$$

For an Arratia flow $\{y(u, s), u \in \mathbb{R}, s \in [0; t]\}$ the following relation is true [11]

$$\lim_{|u| \to +\infty} \frac{|y(u, t)|}{|u|} = 1 \text{ a.s.}$$

Consequently, for any $\omega \in \Omega = \{ \omega' \in \Omega \mid \lim_{|u| \to +\infty} \frac{|y(u, t, \omega')|}{|u|} = 1 \}$ the estimation holds

$$\int_{|u| \leq n^{\frac{1}{5}}} \left( f(u) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(u_k) \Pi_{\{u_k; u_{k+1}\}}(u) \right)^2 dy(u, t, \omega) \leq \frac{4c(\omega)}{n^{\frac{3}{5}}} \quad (3.4)$$

with the constant

$$c(\omega) := \sup_{|u| \geq 1} \frac{|y(u, t, \omega)|}{|u|}. \quad (3.5)$$
Let’s prove that for any $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$ there exists a constant $\tilde{c}(\omega)$ such that
$$\int_{|u|>n^{\frac{1}{5}}} f^2(u)dy(u, t, \omega) \leq \frac{\tilde{c}(\omega)}{n^{\frac{3}{5}}}.$$ 

It can be noticed that $\int_{|u|>n^{\frac{1}{5}}} f^2(u)dy(u, t) \leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{5}}} \int_{|u|>n^{\frac{1}{5}}} f^2(u)(1 + |u|)^3dy(u, t)$.

Denote by $\{\theta_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ a sequence of jump points of the function $y(\cdot, t)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Thus, one may show
$$\int_{u>n^{\frac{1}{5}}} f^2(u)(1 + u)^3dy(u, t) = \sum_{\theta_i \geq n^{\frac{1}{5}}} f^2(\theta_i)(1 + \theta_i)^3\Delta y(\theta_i, t) =$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\{i: \theta_i \in [k; k+1)\}} f^2(\theta_i)(1 + \theta_i)^3\Delta y(\theta_i, t) \leq$$
$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2 + k)^3 \sum_{\{i: \theta_i \in [k; k+1)\}} f^2(\theta_i)\Delta y(\theta_i, t).$$

According to the Cauchy inequality and (3.1), for any $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$ the following relations hold
$$f^2(u) \leq \int_{u}^{+\infty} (f'(v))^2 (1 + v)^7 dv \cdot \int_{u}^{+\infty} \frac{dv}{(1 + v)^3} \leq \frac{1}{6u^6}.$$ 

Consequently, due to (3.5), the inequalities are true
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2 + k)^3 \sum_{\{i: \theta_i \in [k; k+1)\}} f^2(\theta_i)\Delta y(\theta_i, t) \leq$$
$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2 + k)^3 \frac{1}{6k^5} (y(k + 1, t) - y(k, t)) \leq \frac{16c}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2}.$$ 

Hence, for any $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$ there exists the constant $C_1(\omega) = \frac{16c(\omega)}{3}$ such that
$$\int_{u>n^{\frac{1}{5}}} f^2(u)dy(u, t, \omega) \leq \frac{C_1(\omega)}{n^{\frac{3}{5}}}.$$ 

Similarly, it can be proved that $\int_{u<-n^{\frac{1}{5}}} f^2(u)dy(u, t, \omega) \leq \frac{C_1(\omega)}{n^{\frac{3}{5}}}$. According to this and (3.4), for any $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$ an upper estimation for $d_n(T_t^\omega(K))$ is true. 

The functions from Lemma 2 that were used to build the $(n + 1)$-dimensional subspace are not $T_t$ essential for any $t > 0$. Thus, the image of this subspace under the random operator $T_t$ may be equal to $\{0\}$ with positive probability. So, one can ask about existence of a finite-dimensional subspace such that for any $t > 0$ its image under $T_t$ is a linear subspace with the same dimension.
There exists a set

\[ \epsilon \in H \]

Hence, due to continuity of Gram determinant, one may notice that there exists a lower estimation of \( d_n(T_n(K)) \).

\[ \text{Proof.} \]

Denote by \( G \) the integral operator in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) with the kernel \( k \). To prove the statement of the theorem it’s enough to show that on some \( \Omega \) in the following way. Let \( \{ u_k \}_{k=0}^{2(n+1)} \) be a partition of \([0; n^{-2}]\) into \( 2(n+1) \) segments with equal lengths. For any \( k = \overline{0, n} \) define \( f_k \) by

\[
f_k = \begin{cases} 
0, & u \notin [u_{2k}; u_{2k+1}], \\
1, & u \in [u_{2k} + \frac{n^{-2}}{6(n+1)}; u_{2k} + \frac{2n^{-2}}{6(n+1)}], \\
\frac{6(n+1)}{n-2}(u - u_{2k}), & u \in [u_{2k}; u_{2k} + \frac{n^{-2}}{6(n+1)}], \\
-\frac{6(n+1)}{n-2}(u - u_{2k+1}), & u \in [u_{2k} + \frac{2n^{-2}}{6(n+1)}; u_{2k+1}].
\end{cases}
\]

(4.1)

\[ \textbf{Lemma 4.1.} \] There exists \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) such that for any \( 0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0 \) the functions \( \{ f_k * p\epsilon, k = \overline{0, n} \} \) are linearly independent.

\[ \text{Proof.} \]

Since considered functions \( \{ f_k, k = \overline{0, n} \} \) are linearly independent then its Gram determinant doesn’t equal to 0, i.e. \( G(f_0, \ldots, f_n) \neq 0 \). For each \( k = \overline{0, n} \)

\[ f_k * p\epsilon \to f_k, \ \epsilon \to 0. \]

Hence, due to continuity of Gram determinant, one may notice that there exists \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) such that for any \( 0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0 \)

\[ G(f_0 * p\epsilon, \ldots, f_n * p\epsilon) \neq 0, \]

and the desired result is proved. \( \square \)

\[ \textbf{Theorem 4.2.} \] There exists a set \( \Omega_0 \) of probability one such that for any \( \omega \in \Omega_0 \) the functions \( T_n^\omega(f_0 * p\epsilon), \ldots, T_n^\omega(f_n * p\epsilon) \) are linearly independent.

\[ \text{Proof.} \]

Denote by \( K_\epsilon \) the integral operator in \( L_2(\mathbb{R}) \) with the kernel \( k \). To prove the statement of the theorem it’s enough to show that on some \( \Omega_0 \) of probability one the following inequality holds \( (K_\epsilon f, f) > 0 \) for any nonzero \( f \in LS\{f_0, \ldots, f_n\} \). Due to (1.4)

\[ (K_\epsilon f, f) = \sum_\theta (f * p\epsilon)^2(\theta) \Delta y(\theta, t), \]

(4.2)

where \( \theta \) is a point of jump of the function \( y(\cdot, t) \).

It was proved in [12] that there exists \( \Omega_0 \) of probability one such that for any \( \omega \in \Omega_0 \) a linear span of the functions \( \{ p\epsilon(\cdot - \theta) \}_{[0; 1] \theta(\omega)} \) is dense in \( L_2([0; 1]) \). Thus, on the set \( \Omega_0 \) for any \( f \in LS\{f_0, \ldots, f_n\} \subset L_2([0; 1]) \) one can find a random point \( \theta_f \) such that \( (f(\cdot), p\epsilon(\cdot - \theta_f)) \neq 0 \). Since \( y(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is nondecreasing then \( \Delta y(\theta, t) > 0 \) for any jump-point \( \theta \). Consequently, on the set \( \Omega_0 \)

\[ \sum_\theta (f * p\epsilon)^2(\theta) \Delta y(\theta, t) = \sum_\theta (f(\cdot), p\epsilon(\cdot - \theta))^2 \Delta y(\theta, t) \geq \]

\[ \sum_\theta (f(\cdot))^2(\theta) \Delta y(\theta, t) \]
\[ \geq (f(\cdot), p^\epsilon_{\cdot}(-\theta f))^2 \Delta y(\theta f, t) > 0, \]

which proves the theorem. \(\Box\)
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