arXiv:1707.09767v2 [hep-ph] 4 Sep 2017

Analysis of the scalar doubly charmed hexaquark state with QCD sum rules

Zhi-Gang Wang [1]
Department of Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding 071003, P. R. China

Abstract

In this article, we study the scalar-diquark-scalar-diquark-scalar-diquark type hexaquark state with the QCD sum rules by carrying out the operator product expansion up to the vacuum condensates of dimension 16. We obtain the lowest hexaquark mass $6.60^{+0.12}_{-0.09}$ GeV, which can be confronted to the experimental data in the future.

PACS number: 12.39.Mk, 12.38.Lg
Key words: Hexaquark state, QCD sum rules

1 Introduction

In the past years, a number of new charmonium-like states have been observed, some are excellent candidates for the exotic states, such as tetraquark states and molecular states, and the spectroscopy of the charmonium-like states have attracted much attentions [1]. The QCD sum rules play an important role in assigning those new charmonium-like states [2, 3, 4].

The scattering amplitude for one-gluon exchange is proportional to

$$t^a_{ij}t^a_{kl} = -\frac{1}{3}\left(\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}-\delta_{il}\delta_{kj}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}+\delta_{il}\delta_{kj}\right), \quad (1)$$

where the t^a is the generator of the $SU_c(3)$ gauge group. The negative sign in front of the antisymmetric antitriplet indicates the interaction is attractive while the positive sign in front of the symmetric sextet indicates the interaction is repulsive. The attractive interaction of one-gluon exchange favors formation of the diquarks in color antitriplet $\overline{3}_c$, flavor antitriplet $\overline{3}_f$ and spin singlet 1_s or flavor sextet 6_f and spin triplet 3_s [5]. The color antitriplet diquarks $\varepsilon^{ijk}q_j^T C\Gamma q_k'$ have five structures in Dirac spinor space, where $C\Gamma = C\gamma_5$, C, $C\gamma_\mu\gamma_5$, $C\gamma_\mu$ and $C\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ for the scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, axialvector and tensor diquarks, respectively. The calculations based on the QCD sum rules indicate that the favored configurations are the $C\gamma_5$ and $C\gamma_\mu$ diquark states [6, 7], while the heavy-light $C\gamma_5$ and $C\gamma_\mu$ diquark states have almost degenerate masses [6]. We can construct the lowest tetraquark states by the $C\gamma_5$ and $C\gamma_\mu$ diquark states and antidiquark states, for example, the $Z_c(3900)$ can be tentatively assigned to be the ground state $C\gamma_5\otimes\gamma_\mu C - C\gamma_\mu\otimes\gamma_5 C$ type tetraquark state [3]. The diquark-antidiquark type tetraquark states have been studied extensively with the QCD sum rules.

In the QCD sum rules for the four-quark states, the largest power of the QCD spectral densities $\rho(s)\propto s^4$, the integral $\int_{4m_c^2}^{\infty} ds\rho(s)\exp\left(-\frac{s}{T^2}\right)$ converges slowly, the pole dominance condition is difficult to satisfy, where the T^2 is the Borel parameter. In previous work, we study the energy scale dependence of the QCD sum rules for the hidden-charm and hidden-bottom tetraquark states and molecular states for the first time, and suggest a formula,

$$\mu = \sqrt{M^2_{X/Y/Z}-(2\mathbb{M}_Q)^2}, \quad (2)$$

with the effective mass $\mathbb{M}_Q$ to determine the energy scales of the QCD spectral densities [3, 4, 8, 9], where the X, Y, Z denote the tetraquark states and molecular states. The formula enhances the pole contributions remarkably.

In this article, we extend our previous work to study the scalar hexaquark state $uuddcc$ with the QCD sum rules in details. We construct the scalar-diquark-scalar-diquark-scalar-diquark type

[1] E-mail: zgwang@aliyun.com.

current, which is supposed to couple potentially to the lowest hexaquark state. In the QCD sum rules for the six-quark states, the largest power of the QCD spectral densities $\rho(s) \propto s^7$, the pole dominance condition is more difficult to satisfy compared to the QCD sum rules for the four-quark states. We use the energy scale formula to enhance the pole contributions.

The article is arranged as follows: we derive the QCD sum rules for the mass and pole residue of the scalar doubly charmed hexaquark state in Sect.2; in Sect.3, we present the numerical results and discussions; and Sect.4 is reserved for our conclusion.

2 The QCD sum rules for the scalar doubly charmed hexaquark state

In the following, we write down the two-point correlation function $\Pi(p)$ in the QCD sum rules,

$$\Pi(p) = i\int d^4x e^{ip\cdot x}\langle 0|T\left\{J(x)J^{\dagger}(0)\right\}|0\rangle \, , \tag{3}$$

where

$$J(x) = \varepsilon^{abc}\varepsilon^{aij}\varepsilon^{bkl}\varepsilon^{cmn}\, u_i^T(x)C\gamma_5 d_j(x)\, u_k^T(x)C\gamma_5 c_l(x)\, d_m^T(x)C\gamma_5 c_n(x) \, , \tag{4}$$

the a, b, c, i, j, k, l, m, n are color indexes, the C is the charge conjugation matrix. We construct the scalar-diquark-scalar-diquark-scalar-diquark type current $J(x)$ to interpolate the lowest hexaquark state Z_{cc}^{++}.

At the phenomenological side, we insert a complete set of intermediate hadronic states with the same quantum numbers as the current operator $J(x)$ into the correlation function $\Pi(p)$ to obtain the hadronic representation [10, 11], and isolate the ground state contribution,

$$\Pi(p) = \frac{\lambda_Z^2}{M_Z^2-p^2}+\cdots \, , \tag{5}$$

where the pole residue λ_Z is defined by $\langle 0|J(0)|Z_{cc}^{++}(p)\rangle = \lambda_Z$.

In the following, we briefly outline the operator product expansion for the correlation function $\Pi(p)$ in perturbative QCD. We contract the u, d and c quark fields in the correlation function $\Pi(p)$ with Wick theorem, and obtain the result:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(p) = & -i\varepsilon^{abc}\varepsilon^{aij}\varepsilon^{bkl}\varepsilon^{cmn}\varepsilon^{a^{\prime}b^{\prime}c^{\prime}}\varepsilon^{a^{\prime}i^{\prime}j^{\prime}}\varepsilon^{b^{\prime}k^{\prime}l^{\prime}}\varepsilon^{c^{\prime}m^{\prime}n^{\prime}}\int d^4x e^{ip\cdot x} \\
& \Big\{ {\rm Tr}\left[\gamma_5 D_{jj^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C U^T_{ii^{\prime}}(x) C\right] {\rm Tr}\left[\gamma_5 C_{ll^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C U^T_{kk^{\prime}}(x) C\right] {\rm Tr}\left[\gamma_5 C_{nn^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C D^T_{mm^{\prime}}(x) C\right] \\
& -{\rm Tr}\left[\gamma_5 C_{ll^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C U^T_{ik^{\prime}}(x) C\gamma_5 D_{jj^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C U^T_{ki^{\prime}}(x) C\right] {\rm Tr}\left[\gamma_5 C_{nn^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C D^T_{mm^{\prime}}(x) C\right] \\
& -{\rm Tr}\left[\gamma_5 C_{ll^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C U^T_{kk^{\prime}}(x) C\right] {\rm Tr}\left[\gamma_5 C D^T_{mj^{\prime}}(x) C\gamma_5 C_{nn^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C D^T_{jm^{\prime}}(x) C\gamma_5 U_{ii^{\prime}}(x)\right] \\
& -{\rm Tr}\left[\gamma_5 D_{jj^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C U^T_{ii^{\prime}}(x) C\right] {\rm Tr}\left[\gamma_5 C_{ln^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C D^T_{mm^{\prime}}(x) C\gamma_5 C_{nl^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C U^T_{kk^{\prime}}(x) C\right] \\
& +{\rm Tr}\left[\gamma_5 C C^T_{ll^{\prime}}(x) C\gamma_5 U_{ki^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C D^T_{mj^{\prime}}(x) C\gamma_5 C_{nn^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C D^T_{jm^{\prime}}(x) C\gamma_5 U_{ik^{\prime}}(x)\right] \\
& +{\rm Tr}\left[\gamma_5 C_{ln^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C D^T_{mm^{\prime}}(x) C\gamma_5 C_{nl^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C U^T_{ik^{\prime}}(x) C\gamma_5 D_{jj^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C U^T_{ki^{\prime}}(x) C\right] \\
& +{\rm Tr}\left[\gamma_5 C_{ln^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C D^T_{jm^{\prime}}(x) C\gamma_5 U_{ii^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C D^T_{mj^{\prime}}(x) C\gamma_5 C_{nl^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C U^T_{kk^{\prime}}(x) C\right] \\
& +{\rm Tr}\left[\gamma_5 C_{ln^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C D^T_{jm^{\prime}}(x) C\gamma_5 U_{ik^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C C^T_{nl^{\prime}}(x) C\gamma_5 D_{mj^{\prime}}(x)\gamma_5 C U^T_{ki^{\prime}}(x) C\right] \Big\} \, ,
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where the $U_{ij}(x)$, $D_{ij}(x)$ and $C_{ij}(x)$ are the full u, d and c quark propagators, respectively [11, 12], the $U_{ij}(x)$ and $D_{ij}(x)$ can be written as $S_{ij}(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{ij}(x) = & \frac{i\delta_{ij}\not\!x}{2\pi^2x^4}-\frac{\delta_{ij}\langle\bar{q}q\rangle}{12}-\frac{\delta_{ij}x^2\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle}{192}-\frac{ig_sG^{\alpha\beta}_{a}t^a_{ij}(\not\!x\sigma^{\alpha\beta}+\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\not\!x)}{32\pi^2x^2} \\
& -\frac{1}{8}\langle\bar{q}_j\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_i\rangle\sigma_{\mu\nu}+\cdots \, ,
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$




Figure 1: The diagrams contribute to the mixed condensate $\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle$ from the terms $\langle\bar{q}_j\sigma_{\mu\nu}q_i\rangle$. Other diagrams obtained by interchanging of the heavy quark lines (dashed lines) or light quark lines (solid lines) are implied.

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ij}(x) &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)^4}\int d^4k e^{-ik\cdot x}\left\{\frac{\delta_{ij}}{\not{k}-m_c}-\frac{g_sG^n_{\alpha\beta}t^n_{ij}}{4}\frac{\sigma^{\alpha\beta}(\not{k}+m_c)+(\not{k}+m_c)\sigma^{\alpha\beta}}{(k^2-m_c^2)^2}\right.\\ &\left.-\frac{g_s^2(t^at^b)_{ij}G^a_{\alpha\beta}G^b_{\mu\nu}(f^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}+f^{\alpha\mu\beta\nu}+f^{\alpha\mu\nu\beta})}{4(k^2-m_c^2)^5}+\cdots\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\lambda\alpha\beta} &= (\not{k}+m_c)\gamma^\lambda(\not{k}+m_c)\gamma^\alpha(\not{k}+m_c)\gamma^\beta(\not{k}+m_c)\,,\\ f^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} &= (\not{k}+m_c)\gamma^\alpha(\not{k}+m_c)\gamma^\beta(\not{k}+m_c)\gamma^\mu(\not{k}+m_c)\gamma^\nu(\not{k}+m_c)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

and $t^n=\frac{\lambda^n}{2}$, the λ^n is the Gell-Mann matrix [11]. Then we compute the integrals both in coordinate space and in momentum space, and obtain the correlation function $\Pi(p)$ at the quark level, therefore the QCD spectral density through dispersion relation. In Eq.(7), we retain the term $\langle\bar{q}_j\sigma_{\mu\nu}q_i\rangle$ originates from the Fierz rearrangement of the $\langle q_i\bar{q}_j\rangle$ to absorb the gluons emitted from other quark lines to form $\langle\bar{q}_jg_sG^a_{\alpha\beta}t^a_{mn}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q_i\rangle$ so as to extract the mixed condensate $\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle$ and squared mixed condensate $\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2$, which play an important role in determining the Borel window, see the typical Feynman diagrams shown in Figs.1-2. It is straightforward but very difficult to calculate those diagrams.

In this article, we carry out the operator product expansion to the vacuum condensates up to dimension-16, and take into account the vacuum condensates which are vacuum expectations of the operators of the orders $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)$ with $k\leq 1$ consistently. The condensates $\langle g_s^3GGG\rangle$, $\langle\frac{\alpha_sGG}{\pi}\rangle^2$, $\langle\frac{\alpha_sGG}{\pi}\rangle\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle$ have the dimensions 6, 8, 9, respectively, but they are the vacuum expectations of the operators of the order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^{3/2})$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^{3/2})$, respectively, and discarded. Furthermore, the condensates $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle\langle\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}GG\rangle$, $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^2\langle\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}GG\rangle$, $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^3\langle\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}GG\rangle$ have dimensions 7, 10, 13, respectively, and they are the vacuum expectations of the operators of the order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$, however, they play a minor important role, and neglected [3, 4, 8, 9].

Once the QCD spectral density is obtained, we can take the quark-hadron duality and perform Borel transform with respect to the variable $P^2=-p^2$ to obtain the following QCD sum rule,

$$\lambda_Z^2\,\exp\left(-\frac{M_Z^2}{T^2}\right)=\int_{4m_c^2}^{s_0}ds\,\rho(s)\,\exp\left(-\frac{s}{T^2}\right)\,, \tag{10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(s) &= \rho_0(s)+\rho_3(s)+\rho_4(s)+\rho_5(s)+\rho_6(s)+\rho_8(s)+\rho_9(s)+\rho_{10}(s)+\rho_{11}(s)+\rho_{12}(s)\\ &+\rho_{13}(s)+\rho_{14}(s)+\rho_{16}(s)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$




Figure 2: The diagrams contribute to the squared mixed condensate $\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2$ from the terms $\langle\bar{q}_j\sigma_{\mu\nu}q_i\rangle$. Other diagrams obtained by interchanging of the heavy quark lines (dashed lines) or light quark lines (solid lines) are implied.

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_0(s) &= \frac{1}{183500800\pi^{10}}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,yz(1-y-z)^5\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^6\left(9s-2\overline{m}_c^2\right)\\ &+\frac{m_c^2}{235929600\pi^{10}}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,(1-y-z)^5\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^6\,, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$\rho_3(s) = \frac{m_c\langle\bar{q}q\rangle}{491520\pi^8}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,(y+z)(1-y-z)^4\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^4\left(7s-2\overline{m}_c^2\right)\,, \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_4(s) &= -\frac{m_c^2}{7864320\pi^8}\langle\frac{\alpha_sGG}{\pi}\rangle\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,\left(\frac{z}{y^2}+\frac{y}{z^2}\right)(1-y-z)^5\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^3\left(3s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)\\ &-\frac{m_c^4}{35389440\pi^8}\langle\frac{\alpha_sGG}{\pi}\rangle\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,\left(\frac{1}{y^3}+\frac{1}{z^3}\right)(1-y-z)^5\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^3\\ &+\frac{m_c^2}{47185920\pi^8}\langle\frac{\alpha_sGG}{\pi}\rangle\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,\left(\frac{1}{y^2}+\frac{1}{z^2}\right)(1-y-z)^5\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^4\\ &-\frac{1}{251658240\pi^8}\langle\frac{\alpha_sGG}{\pi}\rangle\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,(1-y-z)^5\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^4\left(7s-2\overline{m}_c^2\right)\\ &+\frac{29}{251658240\pi^8}\langle\frac{\alpha_sGG}{\pi}\rangle\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,(y+z)(1-y-z)^4\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^4\left(7s-2\overline{m}_c^2\right)\\ &+\frac{19}{62914560\pi^8}\langle\frac{\alpha_sGG}{\pi}\rangle\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,yz(1-y-z)^3\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^4\left(7s-2\overline{m}_c^2\right)\\ &-\frac{m_c^2}{188743680\pi^8}\langle\frac{\alpha_sGG}{\pi}\rangle\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,\frac{(1-y-z)^5}{yz}\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^4\\ &+\frac{11}{150994944\pi^8}\langle\frac{\alpha_sGG}{\pi}\rangle\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,\overline{m}_c^2\,(1-y-z)^4\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^4\\ &+\frac{17m_c^2}{37748736\pi^8}\langle\frac{\alpha_sGG}{\pi}\rangle\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,(1-y-z)^3\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^4\,, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\rho_5(s) = -\frac{91m_c\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle}{4718592\pi^8}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,(y+z)(1-y-z)^3\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^3\left(3s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)$$
$$+\frac{11m_c\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle}{18874368\pi^8}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,(1-y-z)^4\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^3\left(3s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)$$
$$+\frac{13m_c\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle}{3145728\pi^8}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,\left(\frac{z}{y}+\frac{y}{z}\right)(1-y-z)^4\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^3\left(3s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)\,, \quad (15)$$

$$\rho_6(s) = \frac{7\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^2}{18432\pi^6}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,yz(1-y-z)^2\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^3\left(3s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)$$
$$+\frac{7m_c^2\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^2}{9216\pi^6}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,(1-y-z)^2\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^3\,, \quad (16)$$

$$\rho_8(s) = -\frac{119\langle\bar{q}q\rangle\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle}{147456\pi^6}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,yz(1-y-z)\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^2\left(5s-2\overline{m}_c^2\right)$$
$$-\frac{119m_c^2\langle\bar{q}q\rangle\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle}{49152\pi^6}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,(1-y-z)\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^2$$
$$+\frac{19\langle\bar{q}q\rangle\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle}{393216\pi^6}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,(y+z)(1-y-z)^2\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^2\left(5s-2\overline{m}_c^2\right)$$
$$+\frac{107\langle\bar{q}q\rangle\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle}{196608\pi^6}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,\overline{m}_c^2(1-y-z)^2\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)^2\,, \quad (17)$$

$$\rho_9(s) = \frac{m_c\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^3}{96\pi^4}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,(y+z)(1-y-z)\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)\left(2s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)\,, \quad (18)$$

$$\rho_{10}(s) = \frac{253\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2}{393216\pi^6}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,yz\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)\left(2s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)$$
$$+\frac{253m_c^2\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2}{393216\pi^6}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)$$
$$-\frac{161\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2}{1048576\pi^6}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,(y+z)(1-y-z)\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)\left(2s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)$$
$$-\frac{2713\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2}{4718592\pi^6}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,\overline{m}_c^2(1-y-z)\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)$$
$$+\frac{7m_c^2\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2}{32768\pi^6}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\frac{(1-y-z)^2}{yz}\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)$$
$$+\frac{329\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2}{18874368\pi^6}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,(1-y-z)^2\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)\left(2s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)\,, \quad (19)$$

$$\rho_{11}(s) = -\frac{283m_c\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^2}{36864\pi^4}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,(y+z)\left(3s-2\overline{m}_c^2\right)$$
$$+\frac{29m_c\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^2}{9216\pi^4}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,\left(\frac{z}{y}+\frac{y}{z}\right)(1-y-z)\left(3s-2\overline{m}_c^2\right)$$
$$+\frac{19m_c\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^2}{12288\pi^4}\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\,(1-y-z)\left(3s-2\overline{m}_c^2\right)\,, \quad (20)$$

$$\rho_{12}(s) = \frac{\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^4}{864\pi^2}\int_{y_i}^{y_f} dy\, y(1-y)\left(3s-2\widetilde{m}_c^2\right)+\frac{m_c^2\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^4}{96\pi^2}\int_{y_i}^{y_f} dy\,, \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{13}(s) &= -\frac{355m_c\langle\bar{q}q\rangle\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2}{110592\pi^4}\int_{y_i}^{y_f} dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y} dz\left(\frac{z}{y}+\frac{y}{z}\right)\left[1+\frac{s}{2}\,\delta\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)\right]\\ &-\frac{313m_c\langle\bar{q}q\rangle\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2}{196608\pi^4}\int_{y_i}^{y_f} dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y} dz\left[1+\frac{s}{2}\,\delta\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)\right]\\ &+\frac{467m_c\langle\bar{q}q\rangle\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2}{884736\pi^4}\int_{y_i}^{y_f} dy\int_{z_i}^{1-y} dz\left(\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{z}\right)(1-y-z)\left[1+\frac{s}{2}\,\delta\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)\right]\\ &+\frac{139m_c\langle\bar{q}q\rangle\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2}{36864\pi^4}\int_{y_i}^{y_f} dy\left[1+\frac{s}{2}\,\delta\left(s-\widetilde{m}_c^2\right)\right]\,,\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{14}(s) &= -\frac{\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^3\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle}{432\pi^2}\int_{y_i}^{y_f} dy\, y(1-y)\left[3+\left(\frac{13}{2}+\frac{5s}{T^2}\right)\,s\,\delta\left(s-\widetilde{m}_c^2\right)\right]\\ &+\frac{11\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^3\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle}{27648\pi^2}\int_{y_i}^{y_f} dy+\frac{185\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^3\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle}{55296\pi^2}\int_{y_i}^{y_f} dy\,s\,\delta\left(s-\widetilde{m}_c^2\right)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{16}(s) &= \frac{\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^2\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2}{384\pi^2}\int_{y_i}^{y_f} dy\, y(1-y)\left(1+\frac{s}{T^2}+\frac{s^2}{2T^4}+\frac{5s^3}{3T^6}\right)\,\delta\left(s-\widetilde{m}_c^2\right)\\ &-\frac{1255\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^2\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2}{5308416\pi^2}\int_{y_i}^{y_f} dy\,\delta\left(s-\widetilde{m}_c^2\right)\\ &+\frac{151\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^2\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2}{196608\pi^2}\int_{y_i}^{y_f} dy\frac{s}{T^2}\,\delta\left(s-\widetilde{m}_c^2\right)\\ &-\frac{185\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^2\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle^2}{73728\pi^2}\int_{y_i}^{y_f} dy\,\frac{s^2}{T^4}\,\delta\left(s-\widetilde{m}_c^2\right)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$y_f=\frac{1+\sqrt{1-4m_c^2/s}}{2}$, $y_i=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4m_c^2/s}}{2}$, $z_i=\frac{ym_c^2}{ys-m_c^2}$, $\overline{m}_c^2=\frac{(y+z)m_c^2}{yz}$, $\widetilde{m}_c^2=\frac{m_c^2}{y(1-y)}$, $\int_{y_i}^{y_f}dy\to\int_0^1 dy$, $\int_{z_i}^{1-y}dz\to\int_0^{1-y}dz$ when the δ functions $\delta\left(s-\overline{m}_c^2\right)$ and $\delta\left(s-\widetilde{m}_c^2\right)$ appear, the s_0 is the continuum threshold parameter.

We derive Eq.(10) with respect to $\tau=\frac{1}{T^2}$, then eliminate the pole residue λ_Z to obtain the QCD sum rule for the mass,

$$M_Z^2=\frac{-\frac{d}{d\tau}\int_{4m_c^2}^{s_0}ds\,\rho(s)\,e^{-\tau s}}{\int_{4m_c^2}^{s_0}ds\,\rho(s)\,e^{-\tau s}}\,. \tag{25}$$

3 Numerical results and discussions

We take the standard values of the vacuum condensates $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle=-(0.24\pm0.01\,\text{GeV})^3$, $\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle=m_0^2\langle\bar{q}q\rangle$, $m_0^2=(0.8\pm0.1)\,\text{GeV}^2$, $\langle\frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi}\rangle=(0.33\,\text{GeV})^4$ at the energy scale $\mu=1\,\text{GeV}$ [10, 11, 13], and choose the $\overline{MS}$ mass $m_c(m_c)=(1.275\pm0.025)\,\text{GeV}$ from the Particle Data Group [1].

Moreover, we take into account the energy-scale dependence of the input parameters,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\bar{q}q\rangle(\mu) &= \langle\bar{q}q\rangle(Q)\left[\frac{\alpha_s(Q)}{\alpha_s(\mu)}\right]^{\frac{4}{9}}\,, \\
\langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle(\mu) &= \langle\bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq\rangle(Q)\left[\frac{\alpha_s(Q)}{\alpha_s(\mu)}\right]^{\frac{2}{27}}\,, \\
m_c(\mu) &= m_c(m_c)\left[\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(m_c)}\right]^{\frac{12}{25}}\,, \\
\alpha_s(\mu) &= \frac{1}{b_0 t}\left[1-\frac{b_1}{b_0^2}\frac{\log t}{t}+\frac{b_1^2(\log^2 t-\log t-1)+b_0 b_2}{b_0^4 t^2}\right]\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $t=\log\frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2}$, $b_0=\frac{33-2n_f}{12\pi}$, $b_1=\frac{153-19n_f}{24\pi^2}$, $b_2=\frac{2857-\frac{5033}{9}n_f+\frac{325}{27}n_f^2}{128\pi^3}$, $\Lambda=213\,\text{MeV}$, $296\,\text{MeV}$ and $339\,\text{MeV}$ for the flavors $n_f=5$, 4 and 3, respectively [1], and evolve all the input parameters to the optimal energy scale μ to extract the mass of the Z_{cc}^{++}.

In Refs.[3, 4, 8, 9], we study the acceptable energy scales of the QCD spectral densities for the hidden-charm (hidden-bottom) tetraquark states and molecular states in the QCD sum rules for the first time, and suggest an empirical formula $\mu=\sqrt{M^2_{X/Y/Z}-(2\mathbb{M}_Q)^2}$ to determine the optimal energy scales. The energy scale formula enhances the pole contributions remarkably and works well. The energy scale formula also works well in studying the hidden-charm pentaquark states [14]. In this article, we study the diquark-diquark-diquark type hexaquark state, the basic constituent are also diquarks, just like in the case of the diquark-antidiquark type tetraquark states [3, 4, 8]. So we extend our previous work to study the hexaquark state by taking the energy scale formula $\mu=\sqrt{M^2_{X/Y/Z}-(2\mathbb{M}_c)^2}$ with the updated value $\mathbb{M}_c=1.82\,\text{GeV}$ as a constraint to obey [15].

Experimentally, there is no candidate for the doubly charged hexaquark state Z_{cc}^{++} with the symbolic quark structure *uuddcc*. In the scenario of tetraquark states, the QCD sum rules indicate that the $Z_c(3900)$ and $Z(4430)$ can be tentatively assigned to be the ground state and the first radial excited state of the axialvector tetraquark states, respectively [16], the $Y(3915)$ and $X(4500)$ can be tentatively assigned to be the ground state and the first radial excited state of the scalar tetraquark states, respectively [17]. The energy gap between the ground state and the first radial excited state of the hidden-charm tetraquark states is about $0.6\,\text{GeV}$. Now we suppose the energy gap between the ground state and the first radial excited state of the doubly charmed hexaquark states is about $0.6\,\text{GeV}$, and tentatively take the continuum threshold parameter to be $\sqrt{s_0}=M_Z+(0.4\sim0.6)\,\text{GeV}$, which also serves as a constraint to obey.

We search for the optimal Borel parameter and continuum threshold parameter to satisfy the two criteria (pole dominance and convergence of the operator product expansion) of the QCD sum rules, and obtain the values $T^2=(5.3-5.7)\,\text{GeV}^2$ and $\sqrt{s_0}=(7.1\pm0.1)\,\text{GeV}$ for the energy scale $\mu=5.5\,\text{GeV}$, the predicted mass satisfies the energy scale formula and the continuum threshold parameter satisfies our naive expectation. The pole contribution is about $(26-41)\%$, the pole dominance condition is not satisfied, see Fig.3. In fact, if we do not use the energy scale formula, the pole contribution is much smaller. In Fig.4, we plot the contributions of the vacuum condensates in the operator product expansion with variations of the Borel parameter T^2 for the value $\sqrt{s_0}=7.1\,\text{GeV}$. From the figure, we can see that the vacuum condensates of dimensions 10, 12, 13, 14, 16 play a minor important role in the Borel window, the operator product expansion is well convergent. In calculations, we observe that the integral $\int_{4m_c^2}^{s_0}ds\,\rho(s)\,\exp\left(-\frac{s}{T^2}\right)$ is negative at the region $T^2<4\,\text{GeV}^2$ for $\sqrt{s_0}=7.1\,\text{GeV}$. Although the vacuum condensates of dimensions 10, 12, 13, 14, 16 play a minor important role in the Borel window, they play an important role in determining the Borel window. In Fig.5, we plot the mass with variation of the Borel parameter T^2 by taking into account the vacuum condensates up to dimensions 16 and 10, respectively. From




Figure 3: The pole contribution of the Z_{cc}^{++} with variation of the Borel parameter T^2.

the figure, we can see that the predicted mass decreases monotonously with increase of the Borel parameter T^2 for the truncation $n \leq 10$, there appears no platform.

We take into account all uncertainties of the input parameters, and obtain the values of the mass and pole residue of the Z_{cc}^{++}, which are shown explicitly in Figs.6-7,

$$\begin{aligned} M_Z &= 6.60^{+0.12}_{-0.09}\,\text{GeV}\,, \\ \lambda_Z &= 7.64^{+1.17}_{-1.05}\times 10^{-3}\,\text{GeV}^8\,. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

From Figs.6-7, we can see that there appear platforms at the Borel window $T^2 = (5.3-5.7)\,\text{GeV}^2$, no platform can be obtained at the value $T^2 < 5.2\,\text{GeV}^2$. The predicted mass $M_Z = 6.60^{+0.12}_{-0.09}\,\text{GeV}$ lies above the thresholds $\Sigma_c(2455)\Sigma_c(2455)$ and $\Sigma_c(2520)\Sigma_c(2520)$, the decays to the charmed-baryon pairs $\Sigma_c(2455)\Sigma_c(2455)$ and $\Sigma_c(2520)\Sigma_c(2520)$ are Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka super-allowed, we can search for the Z_{cc}^{++} in those decay channels. The diquark-diquark-diquark type hexaquark state is not a baryon-baryon type dibaryon [18] or a baryon-antibaryon type baryonium [19], whose masses lie near the corresponding thresholds. In the QCD sum rules for the dibaryon or baryonium, the pole dominance is also failed to satisfy. In Ref.[20], it is observed that no stable hexaquark states exist below the corresponding two-baryon thresholds based on a simple potential quark model. In the present work, we observe that the scalar hexaquark state lies far above the $\Sigma_c(2455)\Sigma_c(2455)$ and $\Sigma_c(2520)\Sigma_c(2520)$ thresholds.

4 Conclusion

In this article, we construct the scalar-diquark-scalar-diquark-scalar-diquark type current to interpolate the scalar hexaquark state, and study it with QCD sum rules by carrying out the operator product expansion up to the vacuum condensates of dimension 16. In calculation, we take the energy scale formula as a constraint to determine the energy scale of the QCD spectral density to extract the mass and pole residue. In the Borel window, the operator product expansion is well convergent, while the pole contribution is about $(26-41)\%$. We obtain the lowest hexaquark mass $M_Z = 6.60^{+0.12}_{-0.09}\,\text{GeV}$, which can be confronted to the experimental data in the future, while the predicted pole residue can be used to study the strong decays of the hexaquark state with the three-point QCD sum rules.




Figure 4: The contributions of different terms in the operator product expansion with variations of the Borel parameter T^2, where the 0, 3, 4, 5, 6, $\cdots$ denote the dimensions of the vacuum condensates.




Figure 5: The mass of the Z_{cc}^{++} with variation of the Borel parameter T^2, where the $D = 16$ and $D = 10$ denote the truncations in the operator product expansion.




Figure 6: The mass of the Z_{cc}^{++} with variation of the Borel parameter T^2.




Figure 7: The pole residue of the Z_{cc}^{++} with variation of the Borel parameter T^2.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation, Grant Number 11375063.

References

[1] C. Patrignani et al, Chin. Phys. **C40** (2016) 100001.

[2] R. D. Matheus, S. Narison, M. Nielsen and J. M. Richard, Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007) 014005; S. H. Lee, A. Mihara, F. S. Navarra and M. Nielsen, Phys. Lett. **B661** (2008) 28; Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C62** (2009) 375; Z. G. Wang, Z. C. Liu and X. H. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. **C64** (2009) 373; J. R. Zhang and M. Q. Huang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **54** (2010) 1075; W. Chen and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. **D81** (2010) 105018; J. M. Dias, R. M. Albuquerque, M. Nielsen and C. M. Zanetti, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 116012; C. F. Qiao and L. Tang, Eur. Phys. J. **C74** (2014) 2810; S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi and H. Sundu, Eur. Phys. J. **C77** (2017) 321.

[3] Z. G. Wang and T. Huang, Phys. Rev. **D89** (2014) 054019.

[4] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C74** (2014) 2874.

[5] A. De Rujula, H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. **D12** (1975) 147; T. DeGrand, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson and J. E. Kiskis, Phys. Rev. **D12** (1975) 2060.

[6] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C71** (2011) 1524; R. T. Kleiv, T. G. Steele and A. Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D87** (2013) 125018.

[7] Z. G. Wang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **59** (2013) 451.

[8] Z. G. Wang and T. Huang, Nucl. Phys. **A930** (2014) 63.

[9] Z. G. Wang and T. Huang, Eur. Phys. J. **C74** (2014) 2891; Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C74** (2014) 2963.

[10] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. **B147** (1979) 385; Nucl. Phys. **B147** (1979) 448.

[11] L. J. Reinders, H. Rubinstein and S. Yazaki, Phys. Rept. **127** (1985) 1.

[12] P. Pascual and R. Tarrach, "QCD: Renormalization for the practitioner", Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1984).

[13] P. Colangelo and A. Khodjamirian, hep-ph/0010175.

[14] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C76** (2016) 70.

[15] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C76** (2016) 387.

[16] Z. G. Wang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **63** (2015) 325.

[17] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C77** (2017) 78; Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **A53** (2017) 19.

[18] N. Kodama, M. Oka and T. Hatsuda, Nucl. Phys. **A580** (1994) 445.

[19] Z. G. Wang, J. Phys. **G34** (2007) 505; H. X. Chen, D. Zhou, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Eur. Phys. J. **C76** (2016) 602.

[20] J. Vijande, A. Valcarce, J. M. Richard and P. Sorba, Phys. Rev. **D94** (2016) 034038.