

UPPER AND LOWER LEBESGUE CLASSIFICATION OF MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS OF TWO VARIABLES

OLENA KARLOVA AND VOLODYMYR MYKHAYLYUK

ABSTRACT. We introduce a functional Lebesgue classification of multivalued mappings and obtain results on upper and lower Lebesgue classifications of multivalued mappings $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ for wide classes of spaces X , Y and Z .

Dedicated to the memory of Yu.B. Zelinskii

1. INTRODUCTION

Investigations of Lebesgue classification of separately continuous single-valued functions (i.e., functions of several variables which are continuous with respect to each variable) and their analogs were started by H. Lebesgue in [9] and by K. Kuratowski in [6]. These investigations were continued in papers of many mathematicians (see, for example, [12], [7], [1], [2], [10], [4] and the literature given there).

Some analogs of Lebesgue classification are also known for multivalued maps and are connected with the upper and lower semi-continuity. Namely, a multivalued map $F : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined on a topological space X is called *upper (lower) semi-continuous at $x_0 \in X$* if for every open set U in $[0, 1]$ with $F(x_0) \subseteq U$ ($F(x_0) \cap U \neq \emptyset$) the set

$$F^+(U) = \{x \in X : F(x) \subseteq U\}$$

$$(F^-(U) = \{x \in X : F(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset\})$$

is a neighborhood of x_0 in X . A multivalued map $F : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is *continuous at $x_0 \in X$* if it is upper and lower semi-continuous at x_0 simultaneously. It is well known that a multivalued map $F : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous at $x_0 \in X$ if and only if it is continuous at x_0 as a single-valued map with values in the space of all non-empty subsets of $[0, 1]$ with the Vietoris topology.

For topological spaces X and Y let $U(X, Y)$ ($L(X, Y)$) stands for the collection of all upper (lower) semi-continuous multivalued maps $F : X \rightarrow Y$.

Let X and Y be topological spaces and α be an at most countable ordinal. A multivalued map $F : X \rightarrow Y$ belongs to the

- α 'th *upper Lebesgue class* if for every open set $A \subseteq Y$ the set $F^+(A)$ belongs to the α 'th additive class in X ;
- α 'th *lower Lebesgue class* if for every open set $A \subseteq Y$ the set $F^-(A)$ belongs to the α 'th additive class in X .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C60, 54C08, 28B20.

Key words and phrases. compact-valued mappings, upper semi-continuity, lower semi-continuity, Lebesgue classifications of multi-functions.

Let us observe that Lebesgue classes are often called Borel classes.

For topological spaces X and Y the collection of all multivalued maps $F : X \rightarrow Y$ of the α 'th upper (lower) Lebesgue class we denote by $U_\alpha(X, Y)$ ($L_\alpha(X, Y)$).

For a multivalued map $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$, $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ we write $F^x(y) = F_y(x) = F(x, y)$.

Recall that a topological space is said to be *perfect* if every its closed subset is G_δ .

G. Kwiecińska proved in [8] the following result on Lebesgue classification of multivalued maps of two variables.

Theorem 1.1 ([8]). *Let (X, d) be a metric space, \mathcal{T} be a topology on X , $D \subseteq X$ be an at most countable set, $(U(x) : x \in X)$ be a family of \mathcal{T} -open sets $U(x) \subseteq X$, Y and Z be perfectly normal spaces, α be an at most countable ordinal and $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a compact-valued (multivalued) map satisfying the conditions*

- (a) D is dense in (X, \mathcal{T}) ;
- (b) for every $x \in D$ the set $A(x) = \{u \in X : x \in U(u)\}$ belongs to the α 'th additive class in (X, d) ;
- (c) for every $x \in X$ the sequence $(B_n(x))_{n \in \omega}$ of sets
$$B_n(x) = U(x) \cap \{u \in X : d(x, u) < \frac{1}{n}\}$$
forms a base of (X, \mathcal{T}) at x ;
- (d) for every $y \in Y$ the multivalued map $F_y : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow Z$ is continuous;
- (e) for every $x \in D$, the multivalued map $F^x : Y \rightarrow Z$ belongs to the α 'th lower (upper) Lebesgue class.

Then F is of the upper (lower) Lebesgue class $\alpha + 1$ on the product $(X, d) \times Y$.

Another variants of Lebesgue classification of multivalued maps of two variables were obtained in [5].

Theorem 1.2 ([5]). *Let X be a metrizable space, D be a dense subset of X , Y be a perfect space, Z be a perfectly normal space, α be an at most countable ordinal and $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a compact-valued (multivalued) map satisfying the conditions*

- (a) for every $y \in Y$ the multivalued map $F_y : X \rightarrow Z$ is continuous;
- (b) for every $x \in D$, the multivalued map $F^x : Y \rightarrow Z$ is of the α 'th lower (upper) Lebesgue class.

Then F is of the upper (lower) Lebesgue class $\alpha + 1$ on the product $X \times Y$.

In this paper we introduce a functional Lebesgue classification of multivalued maps and generalize Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for a wide class of topological spaces X .

2. MULTIVALUED MAPS OF THE α 'TH UPPER AND LOWER LEBESGUE FUNCTIONAL CLASS

Definition 2.1. Let X and Y be topological spaces. A multivalued map $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is called

- *upper (lower) functionally semi-continuous multivalued map* if $F^+(A)$ ($F^-(A)$) is a functionally open set for every functionally open set $A \subseteq Y$;
- *strongly upper (lower) functionally semi-continuous multivalued map* if $F^+(A)$ ($F^-(A)$) is a functionally open set for every open set $A \subseteq Y$;
- *weakly upper (lower) functionally semi-continuous multivalued map* if $F^+(A)$ ($F^-(A)$) is an open set for every functionally open set $A \subseteq Y$.

The collection of all upper (lower, strongly upper, strongly lower, weakly upper, weakly lower) functional semi-continuous multivalued maps $F : X \rightarrow Y$ we denote by $U^f(X)$ ($L^f(X)$, $U_s^f(X)$, $L_s^f(X)$, $U_w^f(X)$, $L_w^f(X)$).

Let X be a topological space. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_0(X)$ and $\mathcal{M}_0(X)$ the systems of all functionally open and functionally closed subsets of X , respectively. For every at most countable ordinal $\alpha \geq 1$ the system of all unions $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$ of sets A_n from $\bigcup_{\xi < \alpha} \mathcal{M}_\alpha(X)$ we denote by $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(X)$, and the system of all intersections $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} M_n$ of sets M_n from $\bigcup_{\xi < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\alpha(X)$ we denote by $\mathcal{M}_\alpha(X)$. Clearly,

$$\mathcal{A}_\alpha(X) = \{X \setminus M : M \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha(X)\}.$$

Definition 2.2. Let X and Y be topological spaces and α be an at most countable ordinal. A multivalued map $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is called

- *upper Lebesgue functional class α multivalued map* if $F^+(A) \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(X)$ for every functionally open set $A \subseteq Y$;
- *lower Lebesgue functional class α multivalued map* if $F^-(A) \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(X)$ for every functionally open set $A \subseteq Y$.

It is easy to see that F is an upper (lower) Lebesgue functional class α multivalued map if and only if $F^-(B) \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(X)$ ($F^+(B) \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(X)$) for every functionally closed set $B \subseteq Y$.

For topological spaces X and Y the collection of all multivalued maps $F : X \rightarrow Y$ of the α 'th upper (lower) Lebesgue functional class we denote by $U_\alpha^f(X)$ ($L_\alpha^f(X)$). Notice that $U_0^f(X) = U^f(X)$ and $L_0^f(X) = L^f(X)$.

The facts below follow easily from the definitions and we omit their proof.

Proposition 2.3. Let X and Y be a topological spaces, $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be a multivalued map and α be at most countable ordinal.

- (1) $U(X, Y) \cup U^f(X, Y) \subseteq U_w^f(X, Y)$ and $L(X, Y) \cup L^f(X, Y) \subseteq L_w^f(X, Y)$.
- (2) $U_s^f(X, Y) \subseteq U(X, Y) \cap U^f(X, Y)$ and $L_s^f(X, Y) \subseteq L(X, Y) \cap L^f(X, Y)$.
- (3) If X is perfectly normal, then $U_s^f(X, Y) = U(X, Y) \subseteq U^f(X, Y) = U_w^f(X, Y)$ and $L_s^f(X, Y) = L(X, Y) \subseteq L^f(X, Y) = L_w^f(X, Y)$.
- (4) If Y is completely regular, then $U^f(X, Y) \subseteq U(X, Y)$ and $L^f(X, Y) \subseteq L(X, Y)$.
- (5) If Y is perfectly normal, then $U_s^f(X, Y) = U^f(X, Y) \subseteq U(X, Y) = U_w^f(X, Y)$ and $L_s^f(X, Y) = L^f(X, Y) \subseteq L(X, Y) = L_w^f(X, Y)$.
- (6) $U_\alpha^f(X, Y) \subseteq L_{\alpha+1}^f(X, Y)$.
- (7) If F is a compact-valued map then $L_\alpha^f(X, Y) \subseteq U_{\alpha+1}^f(X, Y)$.

Proposition 2.4. Let Y be a topological space such that $\{\emptyset, Y\}$ is the system of all functionally open sets in Y (see, for example, [3, 2.7.18]). Then

- (1) for every topological space X any multivalued map $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is upper and lower functionally semi-continuous;
- (2) for every T_1 -space Z any strongly upper functionally semi-continuous map $F : Y \rightarrow Z$ is constant;
- (3) for every (completely) regular space Z any strongly lower (lower) functionally semi-continuous closed-valued map $F : Y \rightarrow Z$ is constant.

Proof. (1). Since $F^+(\emptyset) = F^-(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $F^+(Y) = F^-(Y) = X$, $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is upper and lower functionally semi-continuous.

(2). Let Z be a T_1 -space, $F : Y \rightarrow Z$ be a map which is non-constant. We chose $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ such that $F(y_1) \not\subseteq F(y_2)$. Since Y is a T_1 -space, there exists an open set $G \subseteq Z$ such that $F(y_1) \not\subseteq G \supseteq F(y_2)$. Then $y_1 \notin F^+(G) \ni y_2$. Therefore, $F^+(G) \notin \{\emptyset, Y\}$ and $F^+(G)$ is not functionally open. Hence, F is not strongly upper functionally semi-continuous.

(3). Let Z be a regular space, $F : Y \rightarrow Z$ be a non-constant map. We choose $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ such that $F(y_1) \not\subseteq F(y_2)$. Since Y is a regular space and $F(y_2)$ is closed, there exists an open set $G \subseteq Z$ such that $G \cap F(y_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $G \cap F(y_2) = \emptyset$. Then $y_1 \in F^-(G) \not\ni y_2$. Therefore, $F^-(G) \notin \{\emptyset, Y\}$ and $F^-(G)$ is not functionally open. Hence, F is not strongly lower functionally semi-continuous. In the case of completely regular space Z we can choose a functionally open set G and the map F is not lower functionally semi-continuous. \square

Example 2.5. Let $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ be a non Borel-measurable set. Then the multivalued map $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$,

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} [0, 1], & x \in A \\ [0, 1), & x \in [0, 1] \setminus A, \end{cases}$$

is a lower (functionally) semi-continuous and F is upper (functionally) nonmeasurable, that is, $F \notin \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \mathcal{U}_\alpha^f([0, 1], [0, 1])$.

3. FUNCTIONAL LEBESGUE CLASSIFICATION OF MULTIVALUED MAPS OF TWO VARIABLES

The following auxiliary statement can be found in [4, Proposition 1.4].

Lemma 3.1. Let X be a topological space, α be an at most countable ordinal, $(U_i : i \in I)$ be a locally finite family of functionally open in X sets U_i and $(A_i : i \in I)$ be a family of sets $A_i \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(X)$ ($A_i \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha(X)$) with $A_i \subseteq U_i$ for every $i \in I$. Then $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(X)$ ($\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha(X)$).

Let us observe that a union of a locally finite family of sets of the α 'th functionally multiplicative class does not belong to the same class even for $\alpha = 0$.

Indeed, let X be the Niemytski plane, i.e. $X = \mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty)$, where a base of neighborhoods of $(x, y) \in X$ with $y > 0$ form open balls with the center in (x, y) , and a base of neighborhoods of $(x, 0)$ form the sets $U \cup \{(x, 0)\}$, where U is an open ball which tangent to $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ in the point $(x, 0)$.

Notice that for every $p \in X$ the set $\{p\}$ is functionally closed in X , since each continuous function on $\mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty)$ is continuous on X . Then the family $\mathcal{F} = (\{(x, 0)\} : x \in \mathbb{Q})$ consists of functionally closed subsets of X . To obtain a contradiction, we assume that the union $F = \bigcup \mathcal{F}$ is functionally closed in X and choose a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $F = f^{-1}(0)$. For all $(x, y) \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we put

$$f_n(x, y) = \begin{cases} f(x, y), & y \geq \frac{1}{n}, \\ f(x, \frac{1}{n}), & 0 \leq y < \frac{1}{n}. \end{cases}$$

Then $f_n : \mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous function and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x, y) = f(x, y)$ for every $(x, y) \in X$. Since $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} f_n^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{k}))$, F is a G_δ -subset of $\mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty)$, which implies a contradiction.

Definition 3.2. A family $(A_i : i \in I)$ of subsets A_i of topological space X is called *functionally locally finite in X* , if there exists a locally finite in X family

$(U_i : i \in I)$ of functionally open in X sets $U_i \supseteq A_i$. A family $(A_i : i \in I)$ of subsets A_i of topological space X is called σ -functionally locally finite if there exists a partition $I = \bigsqcup_{n \in \omega} I_n$ such that every family $(A_i : i \in I_n)$ is functionally locally finite in X .

Theorem 3.3. *Let X, Y and Z be topological spaces, α be an at most countable ordinal, $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of σ -functionally locally finite covers $\mathcal{A}_n = (A_{i,n} : i \in I_n)$ of X by sets $A_{i,n} \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(X)$, $((x_{i,n} : i \in I_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of families of points $x_{i,n} \in X$ and $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a compact-valued /multivalued/ map satisfying the conditions*

- 1) *for every $x \in X$, for every $y \in Y$ and for every sequence $(i_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of indexes $i_n \in I_n$ with $x \in A_{i_n,n}$ the sequence $(F(x_{i_n,n}, y))_{n \in \omega}$ converges to $F(x, y)$ with respect the Vietoris topology;*
- 2) *$F^x \in L_\alpha^f(Y, Z)$ ($F^x \in U_\alpha^f(Y, Z)$) for every $x \in D = \{x_{i,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in I_n\}$.*

Then $F \in U_{\alpha+1}^f(X \times Y, Z)$ ($F \in L_{\alpha+1}^f(X \times Y, Z)$).

Proof. We consider the case of compact-valued map F . For every $n \in \omega$ and $i \in I_n$ we put $F_{i,n} = F^{x_{i,n}}$. Let $W \subseteq Z$ be a functionally closed set and $\varphi : Z \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a continuous function with $W = \varphi^{-1}(0)$. For every $n \in \omega$ we put $W_n = \varphi^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{n}])$ and $G_n = \varphi^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{n}])$. For every $m, n \in \omega$ we put

$$C_{m,n} = \bigcup_{i \in I_n} (A_{i,n} \times F_{i,n}^-(G_m)) \quad \text{and} \quad C = \bigcap_{m \in \omega} \bigcup_{n \geq m} C_{n,m}.$$

Since $A_{i,n} \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(X)$ and $F_{i,n}^-(G_m) \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(Y)$ by condition 2),

$$A_{i,n} \times F_{i,n}^-(G_m) \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(X \times Y)$$

for every $m, n \in \omega$ and $i \in I_n$. Now according to Lemma 3.1, $C_{m,n} \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(X \times Y)$. Therefore, $C \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha+1}(X \times Y)$.

It remains to show that $C = F^-(W)$. Let $(x_0, y_0) \in F^-(W)$. We fix $m \in \omega$. Notice that $(x_0, y_0) \in F^-(G_m)$. We consider the neighborhood

$$O = \{B \subseteq Z : B \cap G_m \neq \emptyset\}$$

of $F(x_0, y_0)$ with respect the Vietoris topology. According to condition 1), there exists $n_0 \geq m$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$ if $i \in I_n$ with $x_0 \in A_{i,n}$, then $F(x_{i,n}, y_0) \in O$, that is, $(x_{i,n}, y_0) \in F^-(G_m)$. In particular, for some $i \in I_{n_0}$ we have $x_0 \in A_{i,n_0}$ and $y_0 \in F_{i,n_0}^-(G_m)$. Therefore, $(x_0, y_0) \in C_{m,n_0}$. Thus, $(x_0, y_0) \in C$.

Now let $(x_0, y_0) \notin F^-(W)$. Then

$$F(x_0, y_0) \subseteq Z \setminus W = \bigcup_{m \in \omega} (Z \setminus W_m).$$

Since $F(x_0, y_0)$ is a compact set, there exists $m_0 \in \omega$ such that $F(x_0, y_0) \subseteq Z \setminus W_{m_0}$. We consider the following neighborhood

$$O_1 = \{B \subseteq Z : B \cap W_{m_0} = \emptyset\}$$

of $F(x_0, y_0)$ with respect the Vietoris topology. According to condition 1), there exists $n_0 \in \omega$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$ if $x_0 \in A_{i,n}$ then $F(x_{i,n}, y_0) \in O_1$. Therefore, $F(x_{i,n}, y_0) \subseteq Z \setminus W_{m_0} \subseteq Z \setminus W_m$ and $y_0 \notin F_{i,n}^-(G_m)$ for every $m \geq m_0$, $n \geq n_0$ and $i \in I_n$ with $x_0 \in A_{i,n}$. This implies that $(x_0, y_0) \notin C_{n,m}$ for every $n \geq n_0$ and $m \geq m_0$. Thus, $(x_0, y_0) \notin C$.

Now let F be a multivalued map and $F^x \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha^f(Y, Z)$ for every $x \in D$. We argue similarly as in the previous case and use analogous notations. For every $m, n \in \omega$ we put

$$C_{m,n} = \bigcup_{i \in I_n} (A_{i,n} \times F_{i,n}^+(G_m))$$

According to Lemma 3.1, $C_{m,n} \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(X \times Y)$ and

$$C = \bigcap_{m \in \omega} \bigcup_{n \geq m} C_{n,m} \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha+1}(X \times Y).$$

Further, we show that $C = F^+(W)$. Let $(x_0, y_0) \in F^+(W)$ and $m \in \omega$. Then $(x_0, y_0) \in F^+(G_m)$. According to condition 1), there exist $n \geq m$ and $i \in I_n$ such that $x_0 \in A_{i,n}$ and $F(x_{i,n}, y_0) \subseteq G_m$. Therefore, $(x_0, y_0) \in C_{m,n}$. Thus, $(x_0, y_0) \in C$.

Now let $(x_0, y_0) \notin F^+(W)$. Then $F(x_0, y_0) \cap (Z \setminus W) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists $m_0 \in \omega$ such that $F(x_0, y_0) \cap (Z \setminus W_{m_0}) \neq \emptyset$. According to condition 1), there exists $n_0 \in \omega$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$ if $x_0 \in A_{i,n}$ then $F(x_{i,n}, y_0) \cap (Z \setminus W_{m_0}) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $(x_0, y_0) \notin C_{n,m}$ for every $n \geq n_0$ and $m \geq m_0$. Thus, $(x_0, y_0) \notin C$. \square

Remark 3.4. The multivalued map $F : (X, d) \times Y \rightarrow Z$ from the Theorem 1.1 satisfies conditions 1) – 2) of Theorem 3.3. For every $u \in D$ and $n \in \omega$ we put

$$A_{u,n} = A(u) \cap \{v \in X : d(u, v) < \frac{1}{n}\} \quad \text{and} \quad x_{u,n} = u.$$

Then the sequences of families $(A_{u,n} : u \in D)$ and $(x_{u,n} : u \in D)$ provides condition 1). Moreover, condition 2) is equivalent to condition (e). Thus, Theorem 3.3 generalizes Theorem 1.1.

Definition 3.5. A topological space X is called (strong) PP-space if (for any dense set $D \subseteq X$) there exist a sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of locally finite covers $\mathcal{U}_n = (U_{i,n} : i \in I_n)$ of X and a sequence $((x_{i,n} : i \in I_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ of families of points of X (of D) such that for every $x \in X$ and for every neighborhood U of x there exists $n_0 \in \omega$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$ and $i \in I_n$ the inclusion $x \in U_{i,n}$ implies the inclusion $x_{i,n} \in U$.

Obviously, every strong PP-space is a PP-space.

For topological spaces X, Y and Z and at most countable ordinal α the collection of all multivalued maps $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ which are continuous with respect the first variable and are upper (lower) Lebesgue functional class α with respect the second variable we denote by $\text{CU}_\alpha^f(X, Y, Z)$ ($\text{CL}_\alpha^f(X, Y, Z)$). Analogously, the collection of all multivalued maps $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ which are continuous with respect the first variable and such that for some dense in X set D every multivalued map F^x is upper (lower) Lebesgue functional class α we denote by $\overline{\text{CU}}_\alpha^f(X, Y, Z)$ ($\overline{\text{CL}}_\alpha^f(X, Y, Z)$). The collections $\text{CU}_\alpha(X, Y, Z)$, $\text{CL}_\alpha^f(X, Y, Z)$, $\overline{\text{CU}}_\alpha^f(X, Y, Z)$ and $\overline{\text{CL}}_\alpha^f(X, Y, Z)$ are introduced analogously.

Corollary 3.6. Let X be a PP-space, Y and Z be topological spaces and α be an at most countable ordinal. Then $\text{CU}_\alpha^f(X, Y, Z) \subseteq \text{L}_{\alpha+1}^f(X \times Y, Z)$ and $\text{CL}_\alpha^f(X, Y, Z) \subseteq \text{U}_{\alpha+1}^f(X \times Y, Z)$.

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $((x_{i,n} : i \in I_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ be sequences from Definition 3.5 and $A_{i,n} = U_{i,n}$ for every $n \in \omega$ and $i \in I_n$. It remains to use Theorem 3.3. \square

For strongly PP-spaces we can prove the following result similarly.

Corollary 3.7. *Let X be a strong PP-space, Y and Z be topological spaces and α be an at most countable ordinal. Then $\text{C}\bar{\text{U}}_\alpha^f(X, Y, Z) \subseteq \text{L}_{\alpha+1}^f(X \times Y, Z)$ and $\text{C}\bar{\text{L}}_\alpha^f(X, Y, Z) \subseteq \text{U}_{\alpha+1}^f(X \times Y, Z)$.*

4. LEBESGUE CLASSIFICATION OF MULTIVALUED MAPS OF TWO VARIABLES

We start from the following generalization of Theorem 3.30 from [11].

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a perfect space, α be an at most countable ordinal, $(A_i : i \in I)$ be a locally finite family of sets A_i of additive (multiplicative) class α on X . Then the set $A = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ is of additive (multiplicative) class α on X .*

Proof. We argue using the induction on α . It is well-known that this statement is true for $\alpha = 0$.

Let $(A_i : i \in I)$ be a locally finite family of F_σ -sets $A_i \subseteq X$ and $((B_{i,n})_{n \in \omega} : i \in I)$ be a sequence of families of closed in X sets $B_{i,n}$ such that $A_i = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} B_{i,n}$ for every $i \in I$. Notice that every family $(B_{i,n} : i \in I)$ is locally finite. Therefore, all sets $B_n = \bigcup_{i \in I} B_{i,n}$ is closed and $A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} B_n$ is an F_σ -set.

Let $(A_i : i \in I)$ be a locally finite family of G_δ sets $A_i \subseteq X$ and $((B_{i,n})_{n \in \omega} : i \in I)$ be a sequence of families of open in X sets $B_{i,n}$ such that $A_i = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} B_{i,n}$ for every $i \in I$. For every $i \in I$ we put $F_i = \overline{A_i}$. Clearly, the family $(F_i : i \in I)$ is locally finite and the set $F = \bigcup_{i \in I} F_i$ is closed in X . For every $x \in F$ we put $I(x) = \{i \in I : x \in F_i\}$ and $n(x) = |I(x)|$. Moreover, $K_n = \{x \in F_{I(x)} : n(x) > n\}$ for every $n \in \omega$. Since $(F_i : i \in I)$ is locally finite, every set K_n is closed. We consider the set $C = F \setminus A$ and show that C is an F_σ -set.

For every $n \in \omega$ we put

$$C_n = \{x \in C : |n(x)| = n\},$$

$$\mathcal{J}_n = \{J \subseteq I : |J| = n\}.$$

and

$$C_{J,n} = \{x \in C_n : I(x) = J\}$$

for every $J \in \mathcal{J}_n$. Show that every family $\mathcal{C}_n = (C_{J,n} : J \in \mathcal{J}_n)$ is locally finite. Fix $x \in X$ and choose a neighborhood U of x in X such that the set $I_1 = \{i \in I : U \cap F_i \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite. Then

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \{J \in \mathcal{J}_n : U \cap C_{J,n} \neq \emptyset\} \subseteq \{J \in \mathcal{J}_n : J \subseteq I_1\}.$$

Therefore, \mathcal{I}_1 is finite and \mathcal{C}_n is locally finite. Now show that

$$C_{J,n} = \left(\bigcap_{i \in J} F_i \right) \setminus \left(K_n \cup \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i \right)$$

for every $n \in \omega$ and $J \in \mathcal{J}_n$. Since $C_{J,n} \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in J} F_i$ and $C_{J,n} \cap (K_n \cup \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i) = \emptyset$, $C_{J,n} \subseteq (\bigcap_{i \in J} F_i) \setminus (K_n \cup \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i)$. Conversely, let $x \in \bigcap_{i \in J} F_i$, $x \notin K_n$ and $x \notin \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i$. Then $n(x) \geq |J| = n$ and $n(x) \leq n$. Therefore, $n(x) = n$ and $I(x) = J$. Thus, $x \notin F_i$ for every $i \in I \setminus J$. Hence,

$$x \notin \left(\bigcup_{i \in J} A_i \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i \in I \setminus J} F_i \right) \supseteq A.$$

Since $\bigcap_{i \in J} F_i$ and K_n are closed and $\bigcup_{i \in J} A_i$ is a G_δ -set, the $C_{J,n}$ is a F_σ -set. Therefore, every C_n is an F_σ -set as a locally finite union of F_σ -sets. Thus, C is an F_σ -set too.

Assume that Lemma is true for all $\alpha < \beta$ where $\beta \geq 1$ is an at most countable ordinal. Let $(A_i : i \in I)$ be a locally finite family of sets of additive class β on X . Suppose that $\beta = \alpha + 1$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$. Then for every $i \in I$ there exists a sequence $(B_{i,n})_{n \in \omega}$ of sets $B_{i,n}$ of multiplicative class α on X such that $A_i = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} B_{i,n}$ for every $i \in I$. According to the assumption, every set $B_n = \bigcup_{i \in I} B_{i,n}$ belongs to the α 'th multiplicative class in X . Therefore, the set $A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} B_n$ belongs to the β 'th additive class in X .

We consider the case of limit ordinal β . We choose an increasing sequence of ordinals $\alpha_n < \beta$ such that $\sup_{n \in \omega} \alpha_n = \beta$. For every $i \in I$ there exists a sequence $(B_{i,n})_{n \in \omega}$ of sets $B_{i,n}$ of the multiplicative class α_n in X such that $A_i = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} B_{i,n}$ for every $i \in I$. Then every set $B_n = \bigcup_{i \in I} B_{i,n}$ belongs to the α 'th multiplicative class in X and $A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} B_n$ belongs to the β 'th additive class in X .

Now we consider the case of sets of a multiplicative class $\beta \geq 2$. Let $(A_i : i \in I)$ be a locally finite family of sets of the β 'th multiplicative class in X , $\beta = \alpha + 1$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $((B_{i,n})_{n \in \omega} : i \in I)$ be a sequence of families of sets $B_{i,n}$ of additive class α in X such that $A_i = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} B_{i,n}$ for every $i \in I$. For every $i \in I$ we put $F_i = \overline{A_i}$. The family $(F_i : i \in I)$ is locally finite. For every $n \in \omega$ and $i \in I$ we put $A_{i,n} = B_{i,n} \cap F_i$. Since $\alpha \geq 1$, every set $A_{i,n}$ belongs to the α 'th additive class. Then every set $B_n = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i,n}$ belongs to the α 'th additive class and $A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} B_n$.

For a limit ordinal β we argue analogously. \square

The following results can be proved similarly as the corresponding results from the previous section.

Theorem 4.2. *Let X be a topological space, Y be a perfect space and Z be a perfectly normal space, α be an at most countable ordinal, $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of σ -locally finite covers $\mathcal{A}_n = (A_{i,n} : i \in I_n)$ of X by sets $A_{i,n}$ of additive class α on X , $((x_{i,n} : i \in I_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of families of points $x_{i,n} \in X$ and $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a compact-valued (multivalued) map satisfying the conditions*

- 1) *for every $x \in X$, for every $y \in Y$ and for every sequence $(i_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of indexes $i_n \in I_n$ with $x \in A_{i_n,n}$ the sequence $(F(x_{i_n,n}, y))_{n \in \omega}$ converges to $F(x, y)$ with respect the Vietoris topology;*
- 2) *$F^x \in L_\alpha(Y, Z)$ ($F^x \in U_\alpha(Y, Z)$) for every $x \in D = \{x_{i,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in I_n\}$.*

Then $F \in U_{\alpha+1}(X \times Y, Z)$ ($F \in L_{\alpha+1}(X \times Y, Z)$).

Corollary 4.3. *Let X be a PP-space, Y be a perfect space, Z be a perfectly normal spaces and α be an at most countable ordinal. Then $CU_\alpha(X, Y, Z) \subseteq L_{\alpha+1}(X \times Y, Z)$ and $CL_\alpha(X, Y, Z) \subseteq U_{\alpha+1}(X \times Y, Z)$.*

Corollary 4.4. *Let X be a strong PP-space, Y and Z be topological spaces and α be an at most countable ordinal. Then $C\overline{U}_\alpha(X, Y, Z) \subseteq L_{\alpha+1}(X \times Y, Z)$ and $C\overline{L}_\alpha(X, Y, Z) \subseteq U_{\alpha+1}(X \times Y, Z)$.*

Remark 4.5. *Since every metrizable space is a strong PP-space, Theorem 4.2 generalizes Theorem 1.2. Moreover, Theorem 4.2 generalizes Theorem 1.1 (it is enough to argue analogously as in Remark 3.4).*

The following example shows that the condition of map to be compact-valued is essential in Theorems 3.3 and 4.2.

Proposition 4.6. *There exists a separately continuous lower semi-continuous map $F : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is upper (functionally) nonmeasurable.*

Proof. Let $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ be a non-measurable set. We consider a continuous function $g : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $g(x, y) = \frac{2(x+1)(y+1)}{(x+1)^2 + (y+1)^2}$, and a multivalued maps $F : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$,

$$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} [0, g(x, y)], & (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 \setminus \{(z, z) : z \in A\} \\ [0, 1], & (x, y) \in \{(z, z) : z \in A\}. \end{cases}$$

We put $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in [0, 1]\}$. Since the function g is continuous, the map F is separately continuous at every point of the set $[0, 1]^2 \setminus \{(z, z) : z \in A\}$ and jointly continuous at every point of the set $[0, 1]^2 \setminus \Delta$. Since the map $H(x, y) = [0, g(x, y)]$ is continuous and $H^-(G) = F^-(G)$ for every open set $G \subseteq [0, 1]$, the map F is lower semi-continuous. Moreover, $F(x, y) \subseteq [0, 1] \subseteq F(z, z)$ for every $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 \setminus \Delta$ and $z \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, F is separately upper semi-continuous at every point of the set Δ . Thus, F is a separately continuous lower semi-continuous map. According to Example 2.5, the restriction $F|_{\Delta}$ is upper nonmeasurable. Hence, F is upper nonmeasurable too. \square

REFERENCES

1. Banach T. (Metrically) quarter-stratifiable spaces and their applications, Mat. Studii **18** (1) (2002) 10-28.
2. Burke M. Borel measurability of separately continuous functions, Top. Appl. **129** (1) (2003) 29-65.
3. Engelking R., *General Topology*, Revised and completed edition, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin (1989).
4. Karlova O. Baire classification of maps which are continuous with respect to the first variable and of the functional Lebesgue class α with respect to the second one, Math. Bull. Shevchenko Sci. Soc. **2** (2004), 98-114 (in Ukrainian).
5. Karlova O., Sobchuk O. Lebesgue classification of multivalued maps of two variables, Nauk. visnyk Cherniv. un-tu. Matematyka. **160** (2003) 76-79 (in Ukrainian).
6. Kuratowski K. Sur la théorie des fonctions dans les espaces métriques, Fund. Mat. **17** (1931), 275-282.
7. Kuratowski K. Quelques problèmes concernant les espaces métriques non-séparables, Fund. Mat. **25** (1935), 533-545.
8. Kwiecińska G. On Lebesgue theorem for multivalued functions of two variables, Proceedings of the Ninth Prague Topological Symposium, (2001) 181-189.
9. Lebesgue H. Sur les fonctions représentables analytiquement J. Math. **1**, 6 (1905), 139-216.
10. Maslyuchenko O., Maslyuchenko V., Mykhaylyuk V. Paracompactness and Lebesgue's classifications Mat. Met. i Fiz.-Mekh. Polya. **V.47** (2) (2004), 65-72 (in Ukrainian).
11. Maslyuchenko O., Maslyuchenko V., Mykhaylyuk V., Sobchuk O., Paracompactness and separately continuous mappings, General Topology in Banach spaces., Nova Sci. Publ., Nantintong-New-York (2001) 147-169.
12. Montgomery D. Non separable metric spaces, Fund. Mat. **25** (1935), 527-533.

CHERNIVTSI NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, KOTSIUBYN'S'KOHO
2, CHERNIVTSI 58012, UKRAINE
E-mail address: maslenizza.ua@gmail.com

CHERNIVTSI NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, KOTSIUBYN'S'KOHO
2, CHERNIVTSI 58012, UKRAINE
E-mail address: vmykhaylyuk@ukr.net