

A model theoretic Rieffel's theorem of quantum 2-torus

MASANORI ITAI ^{*}AND BORIS ZILBER [†]

9th August 2017

Abstract

We defined a notion of quantum 2-torus T_θ in [1] and studied its model theoretic property. In this note we associate quantum 2-tori T_θ with the structure over $\mathbb{C}_\theta = (\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, y = x^\theta)$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, and introduce the notion of geometric isomorphisms between such quantum 2-tori.

We show that this notion is closely connected with the fundamental notion of Morita equivalence of non-commutative geometry. Namely, we prove that the quantum 2-tori T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} are Morita equivalent if and only if $\theta_2 = \frac{a\theta_1 + b}{c\theta_1 + d}$ for some $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $|ad - bc| = 1$. This is our version of Rieffel's Theorem [3] which characterises Morita equivalence of quantum tori in the same terms.

The result in essence confirms that the representation T_θ in terms of model-theoretic geometry [1] is adequate to its original definition in terms of non-commutative geometry.

1 Introduction

We introduce the notion of *geometric transformation* from a quantum 2-torus into another which fixes the underlying field structure and gives a one-to-one correspondence between the canonical bases of the

^{*}Department of Mathematical Sciences Tokai University, Hiratsuka, Japan

[†]Mathematical Institute, Oxford University, United Kingdom

modules constituting the quantum 2-tori. When there is a geometric transformation, say L , from T_1 to T_2 . In this case we say that the quantum 2-tori T_1 and T_2 are *geometrically isomorphic*.

Our main result establishes a direct correspondence between the notion of geometric isomorphism of tori and the well-known notion of *Morita equivalence* of quantum 2-tori given in terms of their “coordinate” algebras.

Recall that two algebras A and B are said to be Morita equivalent if the categories $A\text{-mod}$ and $B\text{-mod}$ of modules are equivalent.

For quantum tori this notion was studied by M. Rieffel and in the particular case of 2-tori we have the following

Theorem 1 (Rieffel [3]) *Let A_{θ_1} and A_{θ_2} be (the coordinate algebras of) quantum 2-tori T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} . Then A_{θ_1} and A_{θ_2} are Morita equivalent if and only if there exist integers a, b, c, d such that $ad - bc = \pm 1$ and $\theta_2 = \frac{a\theta_1 + b}{c\theta_1 + d}$.*

We also say in this case that the quantum 2-tori T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} are Morita equivalent.

In section 4 we prove Theorem 12 stating that: T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} are Morita equivalent if and only if T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} are geometrically isomorphic.

Of course, in light of Rieffel’s theorem it is enough to prove that the geometric isomorphism of T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} amounts to the condition

$$\theta_2 = \frac{a\theta_1 + b}{c\theta_1 + d} \text{ for some } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ with } |ad - bc| = 1.$$

In section 2 we review quickly the construction of quantum 2-tori defined in [1]. In section 3, we introduce the notion of *Morita transformation* and *Morita equivalence* and prove basic properties. In section 4, we characterise the property of functions giving rise to Morita transformations and prove Theorem 12.

Acknowledgement: The first author is grateful to Mathematical Institute of Oxford University for the hospitality during the stay this research was proceeded.

2 Quick review of the construction of a quantum 2-torus

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and put $q = \exp(2\pi i\theta)$. Let $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Consider a \mathbb{C}^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_q generated by operators U, U^{-1}, V, V^{-1} satisfying

$$VU = q_1UV, \quad UU^{-1} = U^{-1}U = VV^{-1} = V^{-1}V = I.$$

Let $\Gamma_\theta = q^{\mathbb{Z}} = \{q^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a cyclic multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{C}^* . From now on in this note we work in an uncountable \mathbb{C} -module \mathcal{M} such that $\dim \mathcal{M} \geq |\mathbb{C}|$.

2.1 Γ -sets, Γ -bundles, line-bundles

For each pair $(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$, we will construct two \mathcal{A}_q -modules $M_{|u,v\rangle}$ and $M_{\langle v,u|}$ so that both $M_{|u,v\rangle}$ and $M_{\langle v,u|}$ are sub-modules of \mathcal{M} .

The module $M_{|u,v\rangle}$ is generated by linearly independent elements labelled $\{\mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v) \in \mathcal{M} : \gamma \in \Gamma_\theta\}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} U &: \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v) \mapsto \gamma u \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v), \\ V &: \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v) \mapsto v \mathbf{u}(q^{-1}\gamma u, v). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Next let $\phi : \mathbb{C}^*/\Gamma_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\phi(x\Gamma_\theta) \in x\Gamma_\theta$ for each $x\Gamma_\theta \in \mathbb{C}^*/\Gamma_\theta$. Put $\Phi = \text{ran}(\phi)$. We call ϕ a *choice function* and Φ the system of representatives.

Set for $\langle u, v \rangle \in \Phi^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \cdot \mathbf{u}(u, v) &:= \{\gamma \mathbf{u}(u, v) : \gamma \in \Gamma_\theta\}, \\ \mathbf{U}_{\langle u, v \rangle} &:= \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\theta} \Gamma_\theta \cdot \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v) = \{\gamma_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}(\gamma_2 u, v) : \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_\theta\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

And set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}_\phi &:= \bigcup_{\langle u, v \rangle \in \Phi^2} \mathbf{U}_{\langle u, v \rangle} \\ &= \{\gamma_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}(\gamma_2 u, v) : \langle u, v \rangle \in \Phi^2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_\theta\}, \\ \mathbb{F}^* \mathbf{U}_{\phi_1} &:= \{x \cdot \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v) : \langle u, v \rangle \in \Phi^2, x \in \mathbb{F}^*, \gamma \in \Gamma_\theta\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We call $\Gamma \cdot \mathbf{u}(u, v)$ a Γ -**set** over the pair (u, v) , \mathbf{U}_ϕ a Γ -**bundle** over $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*/\Gamma$, and $\mathbb{C}^* \mathbf{U}_\phi$ a **line-bundle** over \mathbb{C}^* . Notice that \mathbf{U}_ϕ can also be seen as a bundle inside $\bigcup_{\langle u, v \rangle} M_{|u, v\rangle}$. Notice also that the line bundle $\mathbb{C}^* \mathbf{U}_\phi$ is closed under the action of the operators U and V satisfying the relations (1).

We define the module $M_{\langle v, u \rangle}$ generated by linearly independent elements labelled $\{\mathbf{v}(\gamma v, u) \in \mathcal{M} : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} U &: \mathbf{v}(\gamma v, u) \mapsto u \mathbf{v}(q \gamma v, u), \\ V &: \mathbf{v}(\gamma v, u) \mapsto \gamma v \mathbf{v}(\gamma v, u), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} U^{-1} &: \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v) \mapsto \gamma^{-1} u^{-1} \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v), \\ V^{-1} &: \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v) \mapsto v^{-1} \mathbf{u}(q \gamma u, v). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Similarly a Γ -set $\Gamma \cdot \mathbf{v}(v, u)$ over the pair (v, u) , a Γ -bundle \mathbf{V}_ϕ over $\mathbb{C}^*/\Gamma \times \mathbb{C}^*$, and $\mathbb{C}^* \mathbf{V}_\phi$ a **line-bundle** over \mathbb{C}^* are defined.

To define the line bundles $\mathbb{C}^* \mathbf{U}_\phi$ and $\mathbb{C}^* \mathbf{V}_\phi$, we do not need any particular properties of the element $q = \exp(2\pi i \theta)$ or the choice function ϕ . Therefore we have:

Proposition 2 (Proposition 2 [1]) *Let \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}' be fields and $q \in \mathbb{F}, q' \in \mathbb{F}'$ such that there is a field isomorphism i from \mathbb{F} to \mathbb{F}' sending q to q' . Then i can be extended to an isomorphism from the Γ -bundle \mathbf{U}_ϕ to the Γ' -bundle $\mathbf{U}_{\phi'}$ and also from the line-bundle $\mathbb{F}^* \mathbf{U}_\phi$ to the line-bundle $(\mathbb{F}')^* \mathbf{U}_{\phi'}$. The same is true for the line-bundles $\mathbb{F}^* \mathbf{V}_\phi$ and $(\mathbb{F}')^* \mathbf{V}_{\phi'}$.*

In particular the isomorphism type of Γ -bundles and line-bundles does not depend on the choice function.

Proof: Let i be an isomorphism from \mathbb{F} to \mathbb{F}' sending q to q' . Set $i(x \cdot \mathbf{u}(\gamma u, v)) = i(x) \cdot \mathbf{u}(i(\gamma u), i(v))$. Then this defines an isomorphism from $\mathbb{F}^* \mathbf{U}_\phi$ to $(\mathbb{F}')^* \mathbf{U}_{\phi'}$. \blacksquare

2.2 Pairing function

Recall next the notion of *pairing function* $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ which plays the rôle of an *inner product* of two Γ -bundles \mathbf{U}_ϕ and \mathbf{V}_ϕ :

$$\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle : (\mathbf{V}_\phi \times \mathbf{U}_\phi) \cup (\mathbf{U}_\phi \times \mathbf{V}_\phi) \rightarrow \Gamma. \quad (6)$$

having the following properties:

1. $\langle \mathbf{u}(u, v) | \mathbf{v}(v, u) \rangle = 1$,
2. for each $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\langle U^r V^s \mathbf{u}(u, v) | U^r V^s \mathbf{v}(v, u) \rangle = 1$,

3. for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4 \in \Gamma$,

$$\langle \gamma_1 \mathbf{u}(\gamma_2 u, v) | \gamma_3 \mathbf{v}(\gamma_4 v, u) \rangle = \langle \gamma_3 \mathbf{v}(\gamma_4 v, u) | \gamma_1 \mathbf{u}(\gamma_2 u, v) \rangle,$$

4. $\langle \gamma_1 \mathbf{u}(\gamma_2 u, v) | \gamma_3 \mathbf{v}(\gamma_4 v, u) \rangle = \gamma_1^{-1} \gamma_3 \langle \mathbf{u}(\gamma_2 u, v) | \mathbf{v}(\gamma_4 v, u) \rangle$, and

5. for $v' \notin \Gamma \cdot v$ or $u' \notin \Gamma \cdot u$, $\langle q^s \mathbf{v}(v', u) | q^r \mathbf{u}(u', v) \rangle$ is not defined.

Proposition 3 (Proposition 3 [1]) *The pairing function (6) defined above satisfies the following: for any $m, k, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\langle q^s \mathbf{v}(q^m v, u) | q^r \mathbf{u}(q^k u, v) \rangle = q^{r-s-km} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\langle q^r \mathbf{u}(q^k u, v) | q^s \mathbf{v}(q^m v, u) \rangle = q^{km+s-r} = \langle q^s \mathbf{v}(q^m v, u) | q^r \mathbf{u}(q^k u, v) \rangle^{-1}. \quad (8)$$

We call the three sorted structure $\langle \mathbf{U}_\phi, \mathbf{V}_\phi, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle \rangle$ a quantum 2-torus and denoted by T_θ .

From Proposition 2 we know that the structure of the line-bundles does not depend on the choice function. The next proposition tells us that the structure of the quantum 2-torus $T_q^2(\mathbb{C})$ depends only on \mathbb{C} , q and not on the choice function.

Proposition 4 (cf. Proposition 4.4, [5]) *Given $q \in \mathbb{F}^*$ not a root of unity, any two structures of the form $T_q^2(\mathbb{F})$ are isomorphic over \mathbb{F} . In other words, the isomorphism type of $T_q^2(\mathbb{F})$ does not depend on the system of representatives Φ .*

3 Geometrically isomorphic quantum 2-tori

From now on we work in the structure $\mathbb{C}^\theta = (\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, 1, x^\theta)$ (raising to real power θ in the complex numbers).

We define

$$x^\theta = \exp(\theta \cdot (\ln x + 2\pi i \mathbb{Z})) = \{\exp(\theta \cdot (\ln x + 2\pi i k)) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

as a multi-valued function and by $y = x^\theta$ we mean the relation $\exists z (x = \exp(z) \wedge y = \exp(z\theta))$.

Notation 5 $C_\theta(x, y)$ denotes the binary relation $y = x^\theta$ as defined above.

Let $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Set $q_1 = \exp(2\pi i \theta_1)$ and $q_2 = \exp(2\pi i \theta_2)$. Put $\Gamma_{q_1} = \langle q_1 \rangle$ and $\Gamma_{q_2} = \langle q_2 \rangle$.

Let Φ_1 be the system of representatives for a choice function $\phi_1 : \mathbb{C}^* / \Gamma_{q_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Let T_{q_2} be quantum 2-tori constructed as explained in the previous section.

Suppose $(u, v) \in (\Phi_1)^2$. We identify the modules $M_{|u, v\rangle}$ constitutes the quantum 2-torus T_{q_1} with its canonical basis denoted by $E_{|u, v\rangle}$. Put

$$E_{|u, v\rangle} = \{q^{nl} \mathbf{u}(q^n u, v) : l, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We see the Γ_{q_1} -bundle \mathbf{U}_{ϕ_1} as a bundle inside $\bigcup_{(u, v) \in (\Phi_1)^2} M_{|u, v\rangle}$. Thus knowing the set of bases of \mathbf{U}_{ϕ_1} that is the set $\bigcup_{(u, v) \in (\Phi_1)^2} E_{|u, v\rangle}$, we can determine the quantum 2-torus T_{q_1} which we denote T_{θ_1} .

Let Φ_2 be the system of representatives for a choice function $\phi_2 : \mathbb{C}^* / \Gamma_{q_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Let T_{q_2} be quantum 2-tori constructed as explained in the previous section.

We define a similar set $E_{|u', v'\rangle}$ which is a canonical basis for $M_{|u', v'\rangle}$ where $(u', v') \in (\Phi_2)^2$ and the set $\bigcup_{(u', v') \in (\Phi_2)^2} E_{|u', v'\rangle}$ determines the quantum 2-torus T_{q_2} which we denote T_{θ_2} .

We now introduce the notion called *Morita equivalence* between quantum 2-tori.

Definition 6 Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

- (1) We say that C_θ sends the coset $a \cdot \Gamma_{q_1}$ of Γ_{q_1} to the coset $b \cdot \Gamma_{q_2}$ of Γ_{q_2} if

$$\forall x' \in a \cdot \Gamma_{q_1} \quad \forall y' \in \mathbb{C}^* \left(y' \in b \cdot \Gamma_{q_2} \iff C_\theta(x', y') \right).$$

- (2) We say that C_θ sends the cosets of Γ_{q_1} to the cosets of Γ_{q_2} if C_θ gives rise to a one-to-one correspondence from the cosets of Γ_{q_1} to the cosets of Γ_{q_2} .

Definition 7 (Geometric isomorphism) We say that the quantum 2-torus T_{θ_1} is geometrically isomorphic to T_{θ_2} , written $T_{\theta_1} \simeq_\theta T_{\theta_2}$, if

- (1) C_θ sends the cosets of Γ_{q_1} to the cosets of Γ_{q_2} , and

(2) there is a one-to-one correspondence L_θ from $\bigcup_{\langle u,v \rangle} E_{|u,v\rangle}$ to $\bigcup_{\langle u',v' \rangle} E_{|u',v'\rangle}$ such that for each $(u,v) \in (\Phi_1)^2$ and $(u',v') \in (\Phi_2)^2$ satisfying $C_\theta(u,u')$ and $C_\theta(v,v')$ we have

$$L_\theta(q_1^{nl} \mathbf{u}(q_1^n u, v)) = q_2^{nl} \mathbf{u}(q_2^n u', v').$$

We call L_θ a geometric transformation from $\bigcup_{\langle u,v \rangle} E_{|u,v\rangle}$ to $\bigcup_{\langle u',v' \rangle} E_{|u',v'\rangle}$ and we simply write as

$$L_\theta : E_{|u,v\rangle} \mapsto E_{|u',v'\rangle}.$$

For a geometric transformation L_θ , we have the following diagrams, for each $(u,v) \in (\Phi_1)^2$ and $(u',v') \in (\Phi_2)^2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{u}((q_1)^n u, v) & \xrightarrow{L_\theta} & \mathbf{u}((q_2)^n u', v') \\ \downarrow U & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow U \\ (q_1)^n u \mathbf{u}((q_1)^n u, v) & \xrightarrow{L_\theta} & (q_2)^n u' \mathbf{u}((q_2)^n u', v') \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{u}((q_1)^n u, v) & \xrightarrow{L_\theta} & \mathbf{u}((q_2)^n u', v') \\ \downarrow V & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow V \\ v \mathbf{u}((q_1)^{-1} (q_1)^n u, v) & \xrightarrow{L_\theta} & v' \mathbf{u}((q_2)^{-1} (q_2)^n u', v') \end{array}$$

Conversely, the existence of such diagrams is sufficient for L_θ to be a geometric transformation.

Remark. Note that for corresponding $(u,v) \in (\Phi_1)^2$ and $(u',v') \in (\Phi_2)^2$ such diagram to exist it is enough to have isomorphism between the groups Γ_{q_1} and Γ_{q_2} . This is clearly the case when q_1 and q_2 are of infinite order.

In order to show that a geometric transformation gives rise to a geometric isomorphism between quantum 2-tori, we need to show that it preserves the values of pairing functions $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\theta_1}$ in T_{θ_1} and the pairing function $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\theta_2}$ in T_{θ_2} .

Lemma 8 *A geometric transformation preserves the values of pairing functions $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\theta_1}$ and $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\theta_2}$. More precisely we have;*

$$L_\theta(\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\theta_1}) = \langle L_\theta(\cdot) | L_\theta(\cdot) \rangle_{\theta_2}.$$

Proof: We show that the five properties of pairing function are preserved by geometric transformation.

1.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_\theta(\langle \mathbf{u}(u, v) | \mathbf{v}(v, u) \rangle_{\theta_1}) & = & \langle L_\theta(\mathbf{u}(u, v)) | L_\theta(\mathbf{v}(v, u)) \rangle_{\theta_2} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ L_\theta(1) & & \langle \mathbf{u}(u', v') | \mathbf{v}(v', u') \rangle_{\theta_2} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ 1 & & 1 \end{array}$$

2. It suffices to note that we have for each $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$L_\theta(U^r V^s \mathbf{u}(u, v)) = U^r V^s (L_\theta(\mathbf{u}(u, v))) = U^r V^s (\mathbf{u}(u', v'))$$

and the same equation for $\mathbf{v}(v, u)$.

3., 4., 5., are proved by similar computations. ■

Knowing the modules $M_{|u, v\rangle}$ for each $(u, v) \in (\Phi_1)^2$ and the modules $M_{|u', v'\rangle}$ for each $(u', v') \in (\Phi_2)^2$ we can determine the structure of quantun 2-tori T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} . Thus we have

Lemma 9 *A geometric transformation from $\bigcup_{(u, v) \in (\Phi_1)^2} E_{|u, v\rangle}$ to $\bigcup_{(u', v') \in (\Phi_2)^2} E_{|u', v'\rangle}$ induces a geometric isomorphism between T_{θ_1} and T_{θ_2} .*

4 Relations giving rise to geometric transformations

Proposition 10 *For each $\begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the binary relation*

$$C_\Theta(x, y), \quad \Theta = \frac{m_{11}\theta + m_{12}}{m_{21}\theta + m_{22}}$$

corresponding to

$$y = x^{\frac{m_{11}\theta + m_{12}}{m_{21}\theta + m_{22}}}$$

is positive quantifier-free definable in the structure \mathbb{C}_θ .

Proof: Observe the following immediate equivalences:

- $y = x^{m\theta} \equiv C_\theta(x^m, y)$
- $y = x^{m\theta+n} \equiv C_\theta(x^m, yx^{-n})$

- $y = x^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \equiv C_{\theta}(y, x)$
- $y = x^{\frac{1}{m\theta+n}} \equiv x = y^{m\theta+n} \equiv C_{\theta}(y^m, xy^{-n})$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned}
y = x^{\frac{m_{11}\theta+m_{12}}{m_{21}\theta+m_{22}}} &\equiv y^{m_{21}\theta+m_{22}} = x^{m_{11}\theta+m_{12}} \\
&\equiv (y^{m_{21}}x^{-m_{11}})^{\theta} = x^{m_{12}}y^{-m_{22}} \\
&\equiv C_{\theta}(y^{m_{21}}x^{-m_{11}}, x^{m_{12}}y^{-m_{22}})
\end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 11 *Suppose that C_{θ} sends the cosets of Γ_{q_1} to the cosets of Γ_{q_2} . Then there is a geometric transformation from T_{θ_1} to T_{θ_2} , hence we have $T_{\theta_1} \simeq_{\theta} T_{\theta_2}$.*

Proof: Once we know the correspondence between the cosets of Γ_{q_1} and the cosets of Γ_{q_2} , by the remark above we can define a geometric transformation L_{θ} from T_{θ_1} to T_{θ_2} , and we have $T_{\theta_1} \simeq_{\theta} T_{\theta_2}$ ■

4.1 Main theorem

We now show the main theorem.

Theorem 12 *Let $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Then $T_{\theta_1} \simeq_{\theta} T_{\theta_2}$ if and only if $\theta_2 = \frac{a\theta_1 + b}{c\theta_1 + d}$ for some $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $|ad - bc| = 1$.*

Proof: By Lemma 11 $T_{\theta_1} \simeq_{\theta} T_{\theta_2}$ if and only if C_{θ} sends cosets of Γ_{q_1} to Γ_{q_2} . In particular, C_{θ} induces a group isomorphism $\Gamma_{q_1} = \langle q_1 \rangle$ to $\Gamma_{q_2} = \langle q_2 \rangle$:

$$\exp(2\pi i(\mathbb{Z}\theta_1 + \mathbb{Z})) \xrightarrow{\theta} \exp(2\pi i((\mathbb{Z}\theta_1 + \mathbb{Z})\theta)) = \exp(2\pi i(\mathbb{Z}\theta_2 + \mathbb{Z})).$$

The isomorphism is completely determined by the images of $q_1 = \exp(2\pi i\theta_1)$ and 1 both in Γ_{q_1} . Thus it suffices to know the images of θ_1 and 1 by this isomorphism i.e., multiplication by θ . Hence we have

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \theta_1\theta & = & a\theta_2 + b \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \theta & = & c\theta_2 + d \end{cases} \text{ where } a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } |ad - bc| = 1.$$

It follows that

$$\theta = \frac{a\theta_2 + b}{\theta_1} = c\theta_2 + d. \quad (9)$$

Solving for θ_2 we get

$$\theta_2 = \frac{d\theta_1 - b}{-c\theta_1 + a}. \quad (10)$$

Since $|ad - bc| = 1$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

And this completes the proof. ■

References

- [1] Masanori Itai and Boris Zilber, *Notes on a model theory of quantum 2-torus T_q^2 for generic q* , arXiv:1503.06045v1 [mathLO]
- [2] Matilde Marcoli, *Noncommutative geometry and arithmetic*, Invited talk, 2010 ICM Hyderabad
- [3] M. A. Rieffel and A. Schwarz, *Morita equivalence of multidimensional noncommutative tori*, Internat. J. Math. 10, 2 (1999) 289-299
- [4] Boris Zilber, *The theory of exponential sums*, arxiv.org/abs/1501.03297
- [5] Boris Zilber, Perfect infinities and finite approximation In: **Infinity and Truth**. IMS Lecture Notes Series, V.25, 2014