# TORSION TABLE FOR THE LIE ALGEBRA mil

#### LEON LAMPRET AND ALEŠ VAVPETIČ

ABSTRACT. We study the Lie ring  $\mathfrak{nil}_n$  of all strictly upper-triangular  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Its complete homology for n < 8 is computed.

We prove that every  $p^m$ -torsion appears in  $H_*(\mathfrak{nil}_n; \mathbb{Z})$  for  $p^m \le n-2$ . For m=1, Dwyer proved that the bound is sharp, i.e. there is no p-torsion in  $H_*(\mathfrak{nil}_n; \mathbb{Z})$  when prime p > n-2. In general, for m > 1 the bound is not sharp, as we show that there is 8-torsion in  $H_*(\mathfrak{nil}_8; \mathbb{Z})$ .

As a sideproduct, we derive the known result, that the ranks of the free part of  $H_*(\mathfrak{nil}_n; \mathbb{Z})$  are the Mahonian numbers (=number of permutations of [n] with k inversions), using a different approach than Kostant. Furthermore, we determine the algebra structure (cup products) of  $H^*(\mathfrak{nil}_n; \mathbb{Q})$ .

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathfrak{nil}_n$  be the Lie algebra of integral  $n \times n$  strictly upper-triangular matrices. The complete homology  $H_k(\mathfrak{nil}_n; \mathbb{Z})$  is known only for  $n \leq 6$  [4]:

$k \backslash n$	2	3	4	5	6
0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb Z$
1	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^3$	$\mathbb{Z}^4$	$\mathbb{Z}^5$
2		$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^5\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}^9\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^{14}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2^3$
3		$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}^6\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}^{15}\oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^8\oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^{29}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2^{20}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_3^4$
4			$\mathbb{Z}^5$	$\mathbb{Z}^{20}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2^{\overline{1}0}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_3^3$	$\mathbb{Z}^{49} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{47} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{13} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^3$
5			$\mathbb{Z}^3$	$\mathbb{Z}^{22}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2^{10}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_3^3$	$\mathbb{Z}^{71}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2^{79}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_3^{26}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_4^9$
6			$\mathbb Z$	$\mathbb{Z}^{20}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2^8\oplus\mathbb{Z}_3^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^{90}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2^{\overline{1}18}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_3^{\overline{3}5}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_4^{\overline{1}2}$
7				$\mathbb{Z}^{15}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^{101} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{138} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{36} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{12}$
8				$\mathbb{Z}^9$	$\mathbb{Z}^{101} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{118} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{35} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{12}$
9				$\mathbb{Z}^4$	$\mathbb{Z}^{90}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2^{79}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_3^{26}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_4^9$
10				$\mathbb Z$	$\mathbb{Z}^{71} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{47} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{13} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^3$
11					$\mathbb{Z}^{49} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{ ilde{2}0} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{ ilde{4}}$
12					$\mathbb{Z}^{29}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2^{f 3}$
13					$\mathbb{Z}^{14}$
14					$\mathbb{Z}^5$
15					$\mathbb Z$
	,				

The main reason why computations for larger n are exceedingly difficult is that the chain complex  $C_* = \Lambda^* \mathfrak{nil}_n$  is immense. It has  $2^{\binom{n}{2}}$  generators, which is more than 2 million for n=7. In the paper, we divide  $C_*$  in numerous direct summands  $\llbracket w \rrbracket$  (corresponding to sequences  $w \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^n$  with  $w_1 + \ldots + w_n = \binom{n+1}{2}$ ) and show how many of them are isomorphic (up to dimension shift), many are contractible

1

Date: November 2, 2017.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B56, 13P20, 13D02, 55-04, 18G35, 58E05.

Key words and phrases. algebraic/discrete Morse theory, homological algebra, chain complex, acyclic matching, nilpotent Lie algebra, triangular matrices, torsion table, algebraic combinatorics.

and many are obtained from smaller ones as the cone of a chain map  $[w'] \stackrel{\cdot t}{\to} [w']$ . The direct summands corresponding to permutations of  $(1, \ldots, n)$  are generated by just one element, hence those contribute only to free part. We show that any other direct summand contributes only to torsion, so we get  $H^*(\mathfrak{nil}_n; \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Complex.** Let  $e_{ij}$  be the matrix with all entries 0 except 1 in the position (i, j). The chain complex  $C_* = \Lambda^* \mathfrak{nil}_n$ , due to Chevalley (1948), is generated by wedges  $e_{a_1b_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{a_kb_k}$ , where  $1 \leq a_i < b_i \leq n$  for all i. From now on, for the sake of brevity, we shall omit the  $\wedge$  symbols. The boundary is defined by

$$\partial(e_{a_1b_1}\dots e_{a_kb_k}) = \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} [e_{a_ib_i}, e_{a_jb_j}] e_{a_1b_1} \dots \widehat{e_{a_ib_i}} \dots \widehat{e_{a_jb_j}} \dots e_{a_kb_k},$$
 where  $[e_{ab}, e_{cd}]$  equals  $e_{ad}$  if  $b = c$ , equals  $-e_{cb}$  if  $a = d$ , and equals 0 otherwise.

**AMT.** For some computations later on, we shall use algebraic Morse theory, so we include a short review of it. To a chain complex of free modules  $(C_*, \partial_*)$  we associate a weighted digraph  $\Gamma_{C_*}$  (vertices are basis elements of  $C_*$ , weights of edges are nonzero entries of matrices  $\partial_*$ ). Then we carefully select a matching  $\mathcal{M}$  in this digraph, so that its edges have invertible weights and if we reverse the direction of every  $e \in \mathcal{M}$  in  $\Gamma_{B_*}$ , the obtained digraph  $\Gamma_{C_*}^{\mathcal{M}}$  contains no directed cycles and no infinite paths in two adjacent degrees. Under these conditions (i.e. if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a *Morse matching*), the AMT theorem ([8], [4], [6]) provides a homotopy equivalent complex  $(\mathring{C}_*, \mathring{\partial}_*)$ , spanned by the unmatched vertices in  $\Gamma_{C_*}^{\mathcal{M}}$ , and with the boundary  $\mathring{\partial}_*$  of  $v \in \mathring{C}_k$  given by the sum of weights of directed paths in  $\Gamma_{C_*}^{\mathcal{M}}$  to all critical  $v' \in \mathring{C}_{k-1}$ . For more details, we refer the reader to the three articles above (which specify the homotopy equivalence), or [7] for a quick introduction and formulation.

# 2. Subcomplexes

For a set  $M = \{(a_1,b_1),\ldots,(a_k,b_k)\} \subseteq \{(i,j); 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$  we denote  $e_M = e_{a_1b_1}\ldots e_{a_kb_k}$ . For  $M_i := \{x; (i,x) \in M\}$  we have  $e_M = \wedge_{i=1}^{n-1} e_{\{i\} \times M_i}$ . We define the weight vector  $\widetilde{w}(e_M) = (\widetilde{w}_1,\ldots,\widetilde{w}_n)$  by  $\widetilde{w}_i = |\{x; (x,i) \in M\}| - |\{y; (i,y) \in M\}|$ , i.e. the number of times i appears on the left in  $e_M$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n \widetilde{w}_i = 0$ . Every summand in  $\partial(e_M)$  has the same weight as  $e_M$ . Therefore a submodule  $[\widetilde{w}]$  of  $\Lambda^*\mathfrak{nil}_n$ , spanned by the basis elements with weight  $\widetilde{w}$ , forms a chain subcomplex which is a direct summand.

Most equalities will be described more conveniently using the modified weight  $w(e_M) = (1, \ldots, n) - \widetilde{w}(e_M) = (1 - \widetilde{w}_1, \ldots, n - \widetilde{w}_n)$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = \binom{n+1}{2}$  and  $i-n \leq \widetilde{w}_i \leq i-1$  implies  $1 \leq w_i \leq n$  for all i. We denote  $[\![w]\!] = [(1, \ldots, n) - w]$  and let  $[\![w]\!]_k$  be the complex  $[\![w]\!]$  dimensionally shifted by k. Let  $\mathcal{S}_n := \{(w_1, \ldots, w_n) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^n; w_1 + \ldots + w_n = \binom{n+1}{2}\}$ , so that  $\Lambda^*\mathfrak{nil}_n = \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{S}_n} [\![w]\!]$ . Notice that  $[\![w_1, \ldots, w_{n-1}, n]\!] = [\![w_1, \ldots, w_{n-1}]\!]$  and  $[\![1, w_2, \ldots, w_n]\!] = [\![w_2 - 1, \ldots, w_n - 1]\!]$ .

Example 2.1. Let us take a look at bracket subcomplexes in  $\Lambda^* \mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{l}_n$  for  $n \leq 4$ . Set  $\mathcal{S}_2$  consists of permutations of (1,2). Furthermore, there holds  $H_k[\![1,2]\!] = H_k\langle\emptyset\rangle\cong \left\{ egin{array}{l} \mathbb{Z}; & \text{if } k=0 \\ 0; & \text{if } k\neq 0 \end{array} \right.$  and  $H_k[\![2,1]\!] = H_k\langle e_{12}\rangle\cong \left\{ egin{array}{l} \mathbb{Z}; & \text{if } k=1 \\ 0; & \text{if } k\neq 1 \end{array} \right.$  Set  $\mathcal{S}_3$  consists of permutations of (1,2,3), (2,2,2). Furthermore,  $H_k[\![1,2,3]\!] = H_k\langle\emptyset\rangle\cong \left\{ egin{array}{l} \mathbb{Z}; & \text{if } k=0 \\ 0; & \text{if } k\neq 0 \end{array} \right.$   $H_k[\![1,3,2]\!] = H_k\langle e_{23}\rangle\cong \left\{ egin{array}{l} \mathbb{Z}; & \text{if } k=1 \\ 0; & \text{if } k\neq 1 \end{array} \right.$   $H_k[\![2,1,3]\!] = H_k\langle e_{12}\rangle\cong \left\{ egin{array}{l} \mathbb{Z}; & \text{if } k=1 \\ 0; & \text{if } k\neq 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

 $\begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}; & \text{if } k = 1 \\ 0; & \text{if } k \neq 1 \end{cases}, H_k[\![2,3,1]\!] = H_k \langle e_{13}e_{23} \rangle \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}; & \text{if } k = 2 \\ 0; & \text{if } k \neq 2 \end{cases}, H_k[\![3,1,2]\!] = H_k \langle e_{12}e_{13} \rangle \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}; & \text{if } k = 2 \\ 0; & \text{if } k \neq 2 \end{cases}, H_k[\![3,2,1]\!] = H_k \langle e_{12}e_{13}e_{23} \rangle \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}; & \text{if } k = 3 \\ 0; & \text{if } k \neq 3 \end{cases}, H_k[\![2,2,2]\!] = H_k \langle e_{13}, e_{12}e_{23} \rangle \simeq 0.$ 

Set  $S_4$  consists of permutations of (1,1,4,4), (1,2,3,4), (1,3,3,3), (2,2,2,4), (2,2,3,3). The largest complexes are  $[3,2,3,2] = \langle e_{12}e_{14}e_{24}, e_{13}e_{14}e_{34}, e_{12}e_{14}e_{23}e_{34}, e_{12}e_{13}e_{24}e_{34} \rangle$  and  $[2,3,2,3] = \langle e_{14}e_{23}, e_{13}e_{24}, e_{12}e_{23}e_{24}, e_{13}e_{23}e_{34} \rangle$ , with  $[3,2,3,2] \cong [2,3,2,3]_1$ . See the final chapter for the complete computation of  $H_*\mathfrak{nil}_4$ .

**Lemma 2.2.**  $[\![w_1,\ldots,w_n]\!] \cong [\![n+1-w_n,\ldots,n+1-w_1]\!].$ 

*Proof.* Define  $\tau(e_{ab}) = e_{n+1-b,n+1-a}$  and  $\tau(\wedge_{i=1}^k e_{a_ib_i}) = (-1)^{k+1} \wedge_{i=1}^k \tau(e_{a_ib_i})$ . Now  $e_M \in [\![w_1,\ldots,w_n]\!]$  implies  $\tau(e_M) \in [\![n+1-w_n,\ldots,n+1-w_1]\!]$ , because

$$w_{n+1-i}(\tau(e_{a_1b_1}\dots e_{a_kb_k})) = w_{n+1-i}(e_{n+1-b_1,n+1-a_1}\dots e_{n+1-b_k,n+1-a_k})$$

$$= n+1-i-|\{j;a_j=i\}|+|\{j;b_j=i\}|$$

$$= n+1-(i-|\{j;b_j=i\}|+|\{j;a_j=i\}|)$$

$$= n+1-w_i(e_{a_1b_1}\dots e_{a_kb_k}).$$

From  $[\tau(e_{ab}), \tau(e_{cd})] = -\tau([e_{ab}, e_{cd}])$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} \partial \tau(e_{a_1b_1} \dots e_{a_kb_k}) &= (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} [\tau e_{a_ib_i}, \tau e_{a_jb_j}] \dots \widehat{\tau}(e_{a_ib_i}) \dots \widehat{\tau}(e_{a_jb_j}) \dots \\ &= (-1)^k \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \tau [e_{a_ib_i}, e_{a_jb_j}] \dots \widehat{\tau}(e_{a_ib_i}) \dots \widehat{\tau}(e_{a_jb_j}) \dots \\ &= \tau \partial (e_{a_1b_1} \dots e_{a_kb_k}), \end{split}$$

so  $\tau$  is a chain map. Since  $\tau \circ \tau = id$ , our  $\tau$  is an isomorphism of chain complexes.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 2.3.**  $[\![w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n]\!] \cong [\![w_2, \dots, w_n, w_1]\!]_{2w_1-n-1}$ 

*Proof.* Define a linear map  $\varphi : [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n] \longrightarrow [w_2, \dots, w_n, w_1]_{2w_1 - n - 1}$  by  $\varphi \left( \wedge_{i=1}^{n-1} e_{\{i\} \times M_i} \right) = (-1)^{\sum M_i} e_{M_i^C \times \{n+1\}} \wedge_{i=2}^{n-1} e_{\{i\} \times M_i},$ 

where  $M_1^C = \{2, ..., n\} \setminus M_1$ ; it is convenient to have indices in the codomain go from 2 to n+1 instead of from 1 to n. There holds

$$\begin{split} w_i(\varphi(e_M)) &= i - |\{x; e_{x,i+1} \!\in\! \varphi(e_M)\}| + |\{y; e_{i+1,y} \!\in\! \varphi(e_M)\}| \\ &= i - \left(|\{x; e_{x,i+1} \!\in\! e_M\}| + \left\{\begin{smallmatrix} -1 & e_{i+1} & e_{i+1} \\ 0 & e_{i+1} & e_{i+1} \end{smallmatrix}\right\} + \left\{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & e_{i+1} & e_{i+1} \\ 0 & e_{i+1} & e_{i+1} \end{smallmatrix}\right\} + \left\{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & e_{i+1} & e_{i+1} \\ 0 & e_{i+1} & e_{i+1} \end{smallmatrix}\right\} \\ &= i + 1 - |\{x; e_{x,i+1} \!\in\! e_M\}| + |\{y; e_{i+1,y} \!\in\! e_M\}| = w_{i+1}(e_M) \quad \text{for } i \!<\! n \quad \text{and} \\ w_n(\varphi(e_M)) &= n - |\{x; e_{x,n+1} \!\in\! \varphi(e_M)\}| + |\{y; e_{n+1,y} \!\in\! \varphi(e_M)\}| \\ &= n - (n - 1 - |M_1|) + 0 = 1 - 0 + |M_1| = w_1(e_M). \end{split}$$

Length difference of  $e_M$  and  $\varphi(e_M)$  is  $(n-1-|M_1|)-|M_1|=n-1-2(w_1-1)=n+1-2w_1$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is a well-defined bijection. Denoting  $M \setminus x \cup y := (M \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{y\}$ , we have

$$\varphi \partial(e_{\{1\} \times M_{1}} e_{N}) = \varphi\left(\sum_{x \in M_{1}, y \in N_{x} \setminus M_{1}} \varepsilon_{xy} e_{\{1\} \times (M_{1} \setminus x \cup y)} e_{N \setminus \{(x,y)\}} + (-1)^{|M_{1}|} e_{\{1\} \times M_{1}} \partial e_{N}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in M_{1}, y \in N_{x} \setminus M_{1}} (-1)^{y-x+\sum M_{1}} \varepsilon_{xy} e_{(M_{1} \setminus x \cup y)} c_{\times \{n+1\}} e_{N \setminus \{(x,y)\}} + (-1)^{|M_{1}|+\sum M_{1}} e_{M_{1}} c_{\times \{n+1\}} \partial e_{N},$$

$$\begin{split} &\partial \varphi(e_{\{1\}\times M_1}e_N) = \partial \big( (-1)^{\Sigma M_1}e_{M_1^C\times \{n+1\}}e_N \big) \\ &= \sum_{y \in N_x \cap M_1^C, \, x \not\in M_1^C} (-1)^{\Sigma M_1} \big( -\varepsilon_{xy}' \big) e_{(M_1^C\setminus y \cup x) \times \{n+1\}}e_{N\setminus \{(x,y)\}} + (-1)^{\Sigma M_1 + |M_1^C|} e_{M_1^C\times \{n+1\}} \partial e_N \\ &= \sum_{x \in M_1, \, y \in N_x \setminus M_1} (-1)^{1+\Sigma M_1} \varepsilon_{xy}' e_{(M_1\setminus x \cup y)^C\times \{n+1\}}e_{N\setminus \{(x,y)\}} + (-1)^{n-1+|M_1|+\Sigma M_1} e_{M_1^C\times \{n+1\}} \partial e_N. \end{split}$$

for  $\varepsilon_{xy}, \varepsilon_{xy}' \in \{1, -1\}$ . Since  $[e_{y,n+1}, e_{x,y}] = -e_{x,n+1}$ , there is a minus before  $\varepsilon_{xy}'$ . We must show that  $(-1)^{y-x}\varepsilon_{xy} = (-1)^n\varepsilon_{xy}'$ : if  $\alpha = (\text{position of } x \text{ in } M_1)$ ,  $\beta = (\text{position of } (x,y) \text{ in } N)$ ,  $\gamma = (\text{position of } y \text{ in } M_1 \setminus x \cup y)$ , then  $y \text{ in } M_1^C$  has position  $y - \gamma - 1$  and  $x \text{ in } M_1^C \setminus y \cup x$  has position  $x - \alpha$ , so  $(-1)^{y-x}\varepsilon_{xy} = (-1)^{y-x+\alpha+(|M_1|+\beta)+(\gamma-1)} = (-1)^{y-x}\varepsilon_{xy} = (-1)^{y-x+\alpha+(|M_1|+\beta)+(\gamma-1)} = (-1)^{y-x}\varepsilon_{xy} = (-1)^{y$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} (-1)^{n+(y-\gamma-1)+(n-1-|M_1|+\beta)+(x-\alpha-1)} \!=\! (-1)^n \varepsilon_{xy}'. \text{ Therefore } \varphi \partial \!=\! (-1)^{n\!-\!1} \partial \varphi, \text{ hence } \overline{\varphi}(e_M) := \begin{cases} \varphi(e_M)(-1)^{n\!-\!1}; & \text{if } |M| \!\in\! 2\mathbb{N} \\ \varphi(e_M) & \text{; if } |M| \!\notin\! 2\mathbb{N} \end{cases} \text{ is an isomorphism of chain complexes.} \end{array} \quad \Box$$

**Lemma 2.4.** 
$$[w_1, \ldots, w_{k-1}, n, w_{k+1}, \ldots, w_n] \cong [w_1, \ldots, w_{k-1}, w_{k+1}, \ldots, w_n]_{n-k}$$
 and  $[w_1, \ldots, w_{k-1}, 1, w_{k+1}, \ldots, w_n] \cong [w_1 - 1, \ldots, w_{k-1} - 1, w_{k+1} - 1, \ldots, w_n - 1]_{k-1}$ .

*Proof.* We can identify  $[w_1, \ldots, w_{n-1}, n]$  with  $[w_1, \ldots, w_{n-1}]$ . By Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{bmatrix} w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}, n, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n, w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}, n \end{bmatrix}_{-2\sum_{i=k+1}^n w_i + (n-k)(n+1)} 
\cong \begin{bmatrix} w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n, w_1, \dots, w_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}_{-2\sum_{i=k+1}^n w_i + (n-k)(n+1)} 
\cong \begin{bmatrix} w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}, n, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n \end{bmatrix}_{(n-k)\cdot 1}.$$

We can identify  $[1, w_2, \ldots, w_n]$  with  $[w_2-1, \ldots, w_n-1]$ . By Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{split} \llbracket w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}, 1, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n \rrbracket & \cong \llbracket 1, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n, w_1, \dots, w_{k-1} \rrbracket_{2\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} w_i - (k-1)(n+1)} \\ & \cong \llbracket w_{k+1} - 1, \dots, w_n - 1, w_1 - 1, \dots, w_{k-1} - 1 \rrbracket_{2\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} w_i - (k-1)(n+1)} \\ & \cong \llbracket w_1 - 1, \dots, w_{k-1} - 1, w_{k+1} - 1, \dots, w_n - 1 \rrbracket_{2(k-1) - (k-1)}. \end{split}$$

This establishes the first and second part of the claim.

If all elements in  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  are distinct, then by applying Lemma 2.4 n times, we see that  $\llbracket w \rrbracket$  has only one generator, namely

$$e_{\pi} := \bigwedge_{i < j, w_i > w_j} e_{ij}, \tag{2.1}$$

which is the wedge of inversions of permutation  $w = \pi$  of  $(1, \ldots, n)$ . Indeed,  $w_k(e_\pi) =$  $|k - |\{i; e_{ik} \in e_{\pi}\}| + |\{j; e_{kj} \in e_{\pi}\}| = |k - |\{i; i < k, w_i > w_k\}| + |\{j; k < j, w_k > w_j\}| = |k - |\{i; i < k, w_i > w_k\}| + |\{j; k < j, w_k > w_j\}| = |k - |\{i; i < k, w_i > w_k\}| + |\{j; k < j, w_k > w_j\}| = |k - |\{i; i < k, w_i > w_k\}| + |\{j; k < j, w_k > w_j\}| = |k - |\{i; i < k, w_i > w_k\}| + |\{j; k < j, w_k > w_j\}| = |k - |\{i; i < k, w_i > w_k\}| + |\{j; k < j, w_k > w_j\}| + |\{j; k < j,$  $1+|\{i; i < k, w_i < w_k\}|+|\{j; k < j, w_k > w_j\}| = 1+|\{r; r \neq k, w_r < w_k\}| = w_k.$ 

Let  $\mathcal{F}_n = \{(w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathcal{S}_n; w_i \neq w_j \text{ for } i \neq j\} = \{\pi(1, \dots, n); \pi \in S_n\}$ . In Lemma 4.2, we show that for  $w \notin \mathcal{F}_n$  the homology of  $\llbracket w \rrbracket$  has only torsion. Therefore the free part is  $FH_*(\mathfrak{nil}_n) = \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{F}_n} \llbracket w \rrbracket$ . Thus we calculate what was already known to Kostant [5, 2], who used the Laplacian method (the fact that dim  $H_k(C_*, \partial_*)$  $\dim \operatorname{Ker}(\partial_{k+1}\partial_{k+1}^t + \partial_k^t \partial_k)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) to obtain part (a) of the following result:

**Theorem 2.5.** (a) 
$$FH_k(\mathfrak{nil}_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{T(n,k)}$$
, where  $T(n,k)$  is the Mahonian number.  
(b)  $H^*(\mathfrak{nil}_n; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \langle x_\pi; \pi \in S_n \rangle \leq \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}[x_{ij}; 1 \leq i < j \leq n]$ , where  $x_\pi = \bigwedge_{i < j, \pi_i > \pi_j} x_{ij}$ .

In words, the cohomology algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is isomorphic to the subalgebra of the polynomial exterior algebra, spanned by the inversions of all permutations.

*Proof.* (a) By definition (OEIS A008302), T(n,k) is the number of permutations of [n] which have k inversions, so the result follows from (2.1) and Lemma 4.2.

(b) For any 
$$\alpha \in H^i(\mathfrak{g})$$
 and  $\beta \in H^j(\mathfrak{g})$ , the cup product is given by 
$$(\alpha \smile \beta)(x_1 \cdots x_{i+j}) = \sum_{\pi \in S_{i+j}, \, \pi_1 < \dots < \pi_i, \, \pi_{i+1} < \dots < \pi_{i+j}} \operatorname{sgn}_{\pi} \alpha(x_{\pi_1} \cdots x_{\pi_i}) \, \beta(x_{\pi_{i+1}} \cdots x_{\pi_{i+j}}).$$

In our case,  $H^*(\mathfrak{nil}_n; \mathbb{Q})$  is spanned by the duals  $\{x_{\pi} := e_{\pi}^*; \pi \in S_n\}$ . Furthermore,  $(e_{\pi}^* \smile e_{\pi'}^*)(e_M) = \begin{cases} 1; & \text{if } e_M = e_{\pi}e_{\pi'} \\ 0; & \text{if } e_M \neq e_{\pi}e_{\pi'} \end{cases}$ , hence  $e_{\pi}^* \smile e_{\pi'}^* = (e_{\pi} \wedge e_{\pi'})^*$ .

#### 3. Filtrations

Let  $w = (2, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ , so every wedge in [w] contains exactly one  $e_{1*}$ . There is a natural filtration of  $\llbracket w \rrbracket$  by subcomplexes: if  $F_k^w$  is spanned by  $\{e_{1i}e_M; i \geq k\}$ , then  $0 = F_{n+1}^w \le F_n^w \le \ldots \le F_2^w = \llbracket w \rrbracket$ . The quotient  $F_k^w/F_{k+1}^w$  has generators  $\{[e_{1k}e_M]; e_{1k}e_M \in F_k^w\}$  and boundary  $\partial[e_{1k}e_M] = -[e_{1k}\partial e_M]$ , therefore

$$F_k^w/F_{k+1}^w \cong [1, w_2, \dots, w_{k-1}, w_k+1, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n]_1$$
  
$$\cong [w_2-1, \dots, w_{k-1}-1, w_k, w_{k+1}-1, \dots, w_n-1]_1.$$
 (3.1)

**Lemma 3.1.** If  $w_r = w_s = w_t \in \{2, n-1\}$  for distinct r, s, t, then  $H_*[w_1, \dots, w_n] \cong 0$ .

*Proof.* By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.2, we may assume that r=1 and  $w_r=w_s=w_t=2$ . For any  $i \notin \{s,t\}$  there holds  $F_i^w/F_{i+1}^w \cong [w_2-1,\ldots,1,\ldots,w_i,\ldots,1,\ldots,w_n-1]_1 \cong$  $[w_2-2,\ldots,0,\ldots,w_i-1,\ldots,w_n-2]_t \cong 0$ , by (3.1) and Lemma 2.4. Thus we have  $0=F_t^w=\ldots=F_{t+1}^w< F_t^w=\ldots=F_{s+1}^w< F_s^w=\ldots=[w]$  and a long exact sequence of a pair  $\ldots\to H_{k+1}\frac{[w]}{F_t^w}\stackrel{\chi}{\to} H_kF_t^w\to H_k[w]\to H_k\frac{[w]}{F_t^w}\stackrel{\chi}{\to} H_{k-1}F_t^w\to\ldots$  To prove  $H_*[\![w]\!]\cong 0$ , it suffices to show that  $\chi$  is an isomorphism, where  $\chi(x+F_t^w)=[\partial(x)].$ 

Let  $x \in [w]/F_t^w = F_s^w/F_{s+1}^w$ , so  $x = e_{1s} \cdots$ . By  $w_t = 2$ ,  $x = e_{1s}e_{\{2,\dots,s,\dots,t-1\}\times\{t\}} \cdots$ . By  $w_s = 2$ ,  $x = [e_{1s}e_{\{2,...,s-1\}\times\{s\}}e_{\{2,...,s,...,t-1\}\times\{t\}}e_M]$  with no indices s and t in M. Let  $y \in F_t^w = F_t^w/F_{t+1}^w$ , so  $y = e_{1t} \cdots$ . Since  $w_s = 2$ ,  $y = e_{1t}e_{\{2,...,s-1\}\times\{s\}} \cdots$ . Since

 $w_t = 2, \ y = e_{1t}e_{\{2,\dots,s-1\}\times\{s\}}e_{\{2,\dots,\hat{s},\dots,t-1\}\times\{t\}}e_M$  with no indices s and t in M. Since  $H_k \frac{\llbracket w \rrbracket}{F_t^w} = \frac{\operatorname{Ker}\partial}{\operatorname{Im}\partial}$ , its elements are sent by  $\partial$  to  $F_t^w$ , so in x the only multiplication is  $[e_{1s}, e_{st}] = e_{1t}$ . Thus  $\chi$  sends  $x \mapsto y$  and is bijective. 

**Lemma 3.2.**  $[\![\ldots, 2, 2, \ldots]\!] \simeq 0$  and  $[\![\ldots, n-1, n-1, \ldots]\!] \simeq 0$ .

*Proof.* By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.2, it suffices to show that  $\llbracket w \rrbracket := \llbracket 2, 2, w_3, \dots, w_n \rrbracket \simeq 0$ . Now  $\llbracket w \rrbracket$  consists of  $e_{1i}e_M$  with  $i \geq 3$  and  $e_{12}e_{2i}e_M$ , where 2 is not an index in M. Hence  $\mathcal{M} = \{e_{12}e_{2i}e_M \to e_{1i}e_M; e_{1i}e_M \in \llbracket w \rrbracket \}$  is a Morse matching with  $\mathcal{M} = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $w = (2, w_2, w_3, ..., w_n)$  and  $w' = (2, w_3, ..., w_n, w_2)$ . Then  $F_3^w \cong$  $\llbracket w' \rrbracket_{2w_2-n-2}/F_n^{w'}$ . If  $H_*\llbracket w_2, w_3-1, \dots, w_n-1 \rrbracket \cong 0$ , then  $H_*\llbracket w \rrbracket \cong H_*\llbracket w' \rrbracket_{2w_2-n-2}$ .

*Proof.* Define a linear map  $\varphi \colon F_3^w \to \llbracket w' \rrbracket_{2w_2-n-2}/F_n^{w'}$  by

$$\varphi \left( e_{1b} \wedge_{i=2}^{n-1} e_{\{i\} \times M_i} \right) = (-1)^{\sum M_2} [e_{1b} \, e_{M_2^C \times \{n+1\}} \wedge_{i=3}^{n-1} e_{\{i\} \times M_i}],$$

where  $M_2^C = \{3, \ldots, n\} \setminus M_2$  and indices in the codomain are  $1, 3, \ldots, n+1$ . Our  $\varphi$ is a bijection and proof that it is a chain map is similar to the one in Lemma 2.3.

Let  $H_*[w_2, w_3 - 1, \dots, w_n - 1] \cong 0$ , which by (3.1) is  $H_*(F_2^w/F_3^w)$ . By the long exact sequence and first part,  $H_*[w] = H_*F_2^w \cong H_*F_3^w \cong H_*([w']_{2w_2-n-2}/F_n^{w'})$ . By (3.1),  $H_*F_n^{w'} \cong H_*[\![w_3-1,\ldots,w_n-1,w_2]\!] \cong H_*[\![w_2,w_3-1,\ldots,w_n-1]\!]_{n-2w_2} \cong 0$ , so by the long exact sequence,  $H_*([\![w']\!]/F_n^{w'}) \cong H_*[\![w']\!]$  and the result follows.  $\square$ 

Recall that any chain map  $\varphi: B_* \to C_*$  induces a chain complex  $D_* = \operatorname{Cone} \varphi$ , where  $D_n = B_{n-1} \oplus C_n$  and  $\partial(b,c) = (\partial(b), \varphi(b) - \partial(c))$ . Furthermore, there is an exact sequence  $\ldots \to H_{k+1}D_* \to H_kB_* \stackrel{\varphi_*}{\to} H_kC_* \to H_kD_* \to H_{k-1}B_* \stackrel{\varphi_*}{\to} \ldots$ 

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $w = (2, w_2, \dots, w_k, 3, 3, w_{k+3}, \dots, w_n)$  and  $w' = (w_2-2, \dots, w_k-2, \dots, w$  $3, w_{k+3}-2, \ldots, w_n-2$ ). Then  $H_*\llbracket w \rrbracket \cong H_*\operatorname{Cone}(\llbracket w' \rrbracket_k \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \llbracket w' \rrbracket_k)$ .

*Proof.* Let k=1, so  $w=(2,3,3,\ldots)$ . By (3.1) and Lemma 3.2,  $F_k^w/F_{k+1}^w\simeq 0$  for  $k\geq 4$ , so  $H_*F_4^w \cong 0$  and  $H_*\llbracket w \rrbracket \cong H_*(\llbracket w \rrbracket / F_4^w)$ . There are 4 types of generators in  $\llbracket w \rrbracket / F_4^w$ :

- $A = \{[e_{12}e_{23}e_{2a}e_{3b}e_M]; \text{ all indices in } M \text{ are } \ge 4\},$
- $B = \{[e_{13}e_{23}e_{3a}e_{3b}e_M]; \text{ all indices in } M \text{ are } \ge 4\},$
- $C = \{[e_{12}e_{2a}e_{2b}e_M];$  all indices in M are  $\geq 4\}$ ,
- $D = \{ [e_{13}e_{2a}e_{3b}e_M]; \text{ all indices in } M \text{ are } \ge 4 \}.$

The set  $\mathcal{M} = \{A \ni e_{12}e_{23}e_{2a}e_M \to e_{13}e_{2a}e_M \in D\}$  is a Morse matching, with critical elements  $\mathring{\mathcal{M}} = B \cup C$ . Nontrivial zig-zag paths go from B to C and come in pairs:

which add up to  $\cdot 2$ . We have  $\langle \mathring{\mathcal{M}} \rangle / \langle C \rangle \cong \llbracket w' \rrbracket_2$  (omit  $e_{13}e_{23}$  and indices 1, 2) and  $\langle C \rangle \cong \llbracket w' \rrbracket_1$  (omit  $e_{12}$  and indices 1, 3), so  $H_* \llbracket w \rrbracket \cong H_* \langle \mathring{\mathcal{M}} \rangle \cong H_* \operatorname{Cone}(\llbracket w' \rrbracket_1 \overset{\cdot 2}{\to} \llbracket w' \rrbracket_1)$ . Finally, if  $k \geq 2$ , then  $H_* \llbracket w \rrbracket \cong H_* \llbracket 2, 3, 3, w_{k+3}, \dots, w_n, w_2, \dots, w_k \rrbracket_{\sum_{i=2}^k (2w_i - n - 2)} \cong H_* \operatorname{Cone}\left( \cdot 2 \subset \llbracket 3, w_{k+3} - 2, \dots, w_n - 2, w_2 - 2, \dots, w_k - 2 \rrbracket_{1+\sum_{i=2}^k (2w_i - n - 2)} \right) \cong H_* \operatorname{Cone}\left( \cdot 2 \subset \llbracket w_2 - 2, \dots, w_k - 2, 3, w_{k+3} - 2, \dots, w_n - 2 \rrbracket_{1+\sum_{i=2}^k (2w_i - n - 2) - \sum_{i=2}^k (2(w_i - 2) - (n - 1))} \right) \cong H_* \operatorname{Cone}\left( \llbracket w' \rrbracket_k \overset{\cdot 2}{\to} \llbracket w' \rrbracket_k \right)$  by Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, 2.3, so the job is done.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $w = (2, w_2, \dots, w_{k-1}, 2, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n)$ .

(1) Let  $A = \{e_{\{1,...,k-1\}\times\{k\}}e_{ka}e_{M} \in [\![w]\!]\}$  and  $B = \{e_{1a}e_{\{2,...,k-1\}\times\{k\}}e_{M} \in [\![w]\!]; a > k\}$ . There exists a Morse matching  $\mathcal{M}$  for  $[\![w]\!]$ , such that  $\mathring{\mathcal{M}} = A \cup B$ ,  $\mathring{\partial}|_{B} = \partial|_{B}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \mathring{\partial}|_A &: e_{\{1,...,k-1\} \times \{k\}} e_{ka} e_M \mapsto (-1)^{k+1} \! \left( e_{\{1,...,k-1\} \times \{k\}} \partial(e_{ka} e_M) + n_M e_{1a} e_{\{2,...,k-1\} \times \{k\}} e_M \right) \\ &+ \sum_{(b,c) \in X} (-1)^{\epsilon_{bc} + k+1} e_{1c} e_{\{2,...,k-1\} \times \{k\}} e_{ba} e_{M \setminus \{(b,c)\}}, \end{split}$$

where  $n_M = |\{b \in \{1, ..., k-1\}; (b, a) \notin M\}|, \epsilon_{bc} = (position \ of \ (b, c) \ in \ M), and X = \{(b, c) \in M; b < k < c, (b, a) \notin M\}.$ 

- (2)  $H_*[w] \cong H_* \operatorname{Cone} \varphi$  for some chain map  $\varphi \colon F_{k+1}^w \to F_{k+1}^w$ .
- (3)  $H_*[2, w_2, \dots, w_{n-2}, 2, w_n] \cong H_* \operatorname{Cone}(\cdot (w_{n-1}) \cap [w_2 1, \dots, w_{n-2} 1, 1, w_n]_1).$

*Proof.* (1): There are four types of generators in [w]: A, B,

$$C = \{e_{1a}e_{\{2,...,k-1\}\times\{k\}}e_M; \ a < k, \text{ there is no index 1 or } k \text{ in } M\},\$$

$$D = \{e_{\{1,....,\hat{a},....,k-1\}\times\{k\}}e_M; \ 1 < a < k, \text{ there is no index 1 or } k \text{ in } M\}.$$

Set  $\mathcal{M} = \{C \ni e_{1a}e_{\{2,...,k-1\}\times\{k\}}e_M \to e_{\{1,...,\hat{a},...,k-1\}\times\{k\}}e_M \in D\}$  is a Morse matching, with  $\mathring{\mathcal{M}} = A \cup B$ . Zig-zag paths starting in B are arrows and end in B, so  $\mathring{\partial}|_B = \partial|_B$ . Zig-zag paths starting in A are  $e_{\{1,...,k-1\}\times\{k\}}e_{ka}e_M \stackrel{(-1)^{k+1}}{\longrightarrow} e_{1a}e_{\{2,...,k-1\}\times\{k\}}e_M$  and

- (2): Follows from (1), because  $\langle B \rangle = F_{k+1}^w$  and  $\langle \mathring{\mathcal{M}} \rangle / \langle B \rangle \cong \langle B \rangle_1$  (we mod out B, so 2nd and 3rd summand in  $\mathring{\partial}|_A$  are 0, thus  $e_{\{1,\dots,k-1\}\times\{k\}}e_{ka}e_M \mapsto e_{1a}e_{\{2,\dots,k-1\}\times\{k\}}e_M$  is a chain isomorphism). Ergo  $\varphi$  is the part of  $\mathring{\partial}|_A$  that goes to B.
- (3): Follows from (2), since  $F_{k+1}^w = F_n^w \cong [\![w']\!]_1$  (omit  $e_{1n}$  and index 1) and  $X = \emptyset$  and  $n_M = |\{b \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}; (b, n) \notin M\}| = n-1-(n-w_n) = w_n-1$ .

Dwyer [1] reports how Kunkel proved that  $H_*\mathfrak{nil}_n$  has p-torsion for prime p < n-1. Now we can easily see that  $H_*\mathfrak{nil}_n$  also has  $p^m$ -torsion for every  $p^m < n-1$ :

**Example 3.6.** Let  $q = p^m = n - 2$  and w = (2, 3, ..., q + 1, 2, q + 1). By Lemma 3.5,  $H_*[\![w]\!] \cong H_*$  Cone $([\![w']\!]_1 \xrightarrow{\cdot q} [\![w']\!]_1)$ . Since w' = (2, ..., q, 1, q + 1) is a permutation of (1, ..., q + 1) and  $|\{(i, j); i < j, w'_i > w'_j\}| = q - 1$ , we have  $H_k[\![w']\!] \cong \left\{ egin{align*} \mathbb{Z}_i; & \text{if } k = q - 1 \\ 0; & \text{if } k \neq q - 1 \end{array} \right\}$ , so  $H_k[\![w]\!] \cong \left\{ egin{align*} \mathbb{Z}_q; & \text{if } k = q - 1 \\ 0; & \text{if } k \neq q - 1 \end{array} \right\}$ .

In [1], Dwyer proved that there is no p-torsion in  $H_*\mathfrak{nil}_n$  for any prime  $p \ge n-1$ . The next example shows that  $H_*\mathfrak{nil}_n$  can have  $p^m$ -torsion for some  $p^m \ge n-1$ :

**Example 3.7.** Let w = (2,4,7,5,4,2,5,7). Complex  $\llbracket w \rrbracket$  is spanned by 192 wedges. Using Lemma 3.5,  $H_* \llbracket w \rrbracket \cong H_* \operatorname{Cone}(\varphi \ominus F_7^w)$ . By (3.1),  $F_8^w \cong \llbracket 3,6,4,3,1,4,7 \rrbracket_1 \cong \llbracket 3,6,4,3,1,4 \rrbracket_1 \cong \llbracket 2,5,3,2,3 \rrbracket_5 \cong \llbracket 2,3,2,3 \rrbracket_8$ , so  $H_{10}F_8^w \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  generated by  $[e_{18}e_M =: a]$  for  $M = \{(2,7),(4,5)\} \cup \{(i,6);i=2,\ldots,5\} \cup \{(3,i);i=4,5,7\}$ . By Lemmas 2.4, 2.2, 3.5,

$$\begin{array}{c} H_*F_7^w/F_8^w \cong H_*[\![3,\!6,\!4,\!3,\!1,\!5,\!6]\!]_1 \cong H_*[\![2,\!5,\!3,\!2,\!4,\!5]\!]_5 \cong \\ \cong H_*[\![2,\!3,\!5,\!4,\!2,\!5]\!]_5 \cong H_*\mathrm{Cone}\big(\cdot 4 \!\subset\! [\![2,\!4,\!3,\!1,\!5]\!]_6\big). \end{array}$$

Because  $H_*[2,4,3,1,5] \cong \mathbb{Z}$  generated by  $[e_{14}e_{23}e_{24}e_{34}]$ , we have  $H_*[2,3,5,4,2,5] \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$  generated by  $[e_{16}e_N]$  where  $N = \{(2,3),(2,4),(2,5),(3,4)\}$ . Then  $H_5[2,5,3,2,4,5]$  is generated by  $[e_{16}e_{N'}]$  for  $N' = \{(7-y,7-x);(x,y) \in N\}$ , and  $H_{10}F_7^w/F_8^w$  is generated by  $[e_{17}e_{28}e_P =: b]$  for  $P = \{(3,4),(3,5),(3,7),(4,5)\} \cup \{(i,6);i=2,\ldots,5\}$ .

by  $[e_{17}e_{28}e_P =: b]$  for  $P = \{(3,4),(3,5),(3,7),(4,5)\} \cup \{(i,6); i = 2, ..., 5\}$ . Exact sequence  $\ldots \to H_{k+1}\frac{F_7^w}{F_8^w} \xrightarrow{X} H_k F_8^w \xrightarrow{\iota_*} H_k F_7^w \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_k \frac{F_7^w}{F_8^w} \xrightarrow{X} H_{k-1}F_8^w \to \ldots$  implies  $H_k F_7^w \cong 0$  for  $k \neq 10$  and  $H_{10}F_7^w = (\text{extension of } \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ by } \mathbb{Z}_4) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \text{ or } \mathbb{Z}_8)$  generated by [a] and [b]. Since  $\partial \left(\sum_{i \in \{3,4,5,7\}} e_{17}e_{2i}e_{i8}e_P\right) = 4e_{17}e_{28}e_P + e_{18}e_M$  (\*) in  $F_7^w$ , we have 0 = 4[b] + [a] in  $H_{10}F_7^w$ , hence [b] itself is a generator and  $H_{10}F_7^w \cong \mathbb{Z}_8$ .

Let us compute  $\varphi_*$ . In the proof of Lemma 3.5 for our case,  $a, b \in \langle B \rangle$  and  $x := e_{16}e_{67}e_{28}e_P = e_{\{1,...,5\}\times\{6\}}e_{67}e_R \in \langle A \rangle \cong \langle B \rangle_1$  for  $R = \{(2,8),(3,4),(3,5),(3,7),(4,5)\}$ ;

 $\varphi(x) = \mathring{\partial}(x) = \pm n_R e_{17} e_{\{2,\dots,5\} \times \{6\}} e_R \pm e_{18} e_{\{2,\dots,5\} \times \{6\}} e_{27} e_{R\backslash \{(2,8)\}} = \pm 4b \pm a.$  By (\*),  $\varphi_*(x)$  is 0 or  $\pm 8[b]$ . In both cases,  $\varphi_* = 0$ . Exact sequence  $\dots \to H_{k+1} \llbracket w \rrbracket \to H_k F_7^w \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} H_k F_7^w \to H_k \llbracket w \rrbracket \to H_{k-1} F_7^w \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} \dots$  then implies  $H_k \llbracket w \rrbracket \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_8; & \text{if } k \in \{10,11\} \\ 0; & \text{if } k \notin \{10,11\} \end{cases}$ .  $\Diamond$ 

## 4. Free Part of Homology

We can generalise the filtration from the previous section to an arbitrary complex  $\llbracket w_1,\ldots,w_n\rrbracket = \llbracket w\rrbracket$ . In every  $e_M\in \llbracket w\rrbracket$ , there are exactly  $t:=w_1-1$  occurrences of  $e_{1*}$ . Thus  $F_k^w:=\left\langle e_{1i_1}\ldots e_{1i_t}e_M;\ i_1+\ldots+i_t\geq k,\ 1\ \text{is not in }M\right\rangle \leq \llbracket w\rrbracket$  is a subcomplex.

Define 
$$w(i_1, ..., i_t) \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1}$$
 as  $(w'_2, ..., w'_n)$ , where  $w'_j = \{w'_j; \text{ if } j \in \{i_1, ..., i_t\} \}$ . Then
$$F_k^w / F_{k+1}^w \cong \bigoplus_{i_1 + ... + i_t = k} \llbracket w(i_1, ..., i_t) \rrbracket_t. \tag{4.1}$$

**Example 4.1.** Let us compute  $H_*[\![3,3,3,3,3]\!]$ . By Lemmas 3.2, 3.5, (4.1),  $F_{10}^w = 0$ ,  $F_9^w/F_{10}^w \cong [\![2,2,3,3]\!]_2 \cong 0$ ,  $H_*F_8^w/F_9^w \cong H_*[\![2,3,2,3]\!]_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  generated by  $[e_{13}e_{15}\ e_{24}e_{35}]$ ,  $F_7^w/F_8^w \cong [\![3,2,2,3]\!]_2 \oplus [\![2,3,3,2]\!]_2 \simeq 0$ ,  $H_*F_6^w/F_7^w \cong H_*[\![3,2,3,2]\!]_2 \cong H_*[\![2,3,2,3]\!]_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  generated by  $[e_{12}e_{14}\ e_{23}e_{25}e_{35}]$ ,  $F_5^w/F_6^w \cong [\![3,3,2,2]\!]_2 \simeq 0$ ,  $F_5^w = [\![w]\!]$ . Thus  $H_*[\![w]\!] \cong H_*F_6$  and  $H_*F_7^w \cong H_*F_8^w \cong H_*F_8^w/F_9^w \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  generated by  $[e_{13}e_{15}\ e_{24}e_{35}]$ . In the exact sequence  $\ldots \to H_{k+1}\frac{F_6^w}{F_7^w} \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_kF_7^w \to H_kF_6^w \to H_k\frac{F_6^w}{F_7^w} \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_{k-1}F_7^w \to \ldots$  our  $\chi$  sends

$$[e_{12}e_{14}e_{23}e_{25}e_{35}] \mapsto [e_{13}e_{14}e_{25}e_{35} + e_{14}e_{15}e_{23}e_{35}] = \\ [-e_{13}e_{15}e_{24}e_{35} - \partial(e_{13}e_{15}e_{23}e_{34}e_{35}) + \partial(e_{13}e_{14}e_{25}e_{34}e_{45})].$$

It is an isomorphism, hence by exactness,  $H_*[3,3,3,3,3,3] \cong H_*F_6^w \cong 0$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** For  $w \in S_n \backslash F_n =: T_n$ , the homology of  $\llbracket w \rrbracket$  has only torsion.

Proof. We use induction on n. Computing over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , by universal coefficient theorem, it suffices to show that  $H_*\llbracket w \rrbracket \cong 0$ . The claim is trivial for n=2 since  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \emptyset$ . Let n>2 and  $w \in \mathcal{T}_n$ . By Lemma 2.4 and induction hypothesis, we may assume that  $2 \leq w_1, \ldots, w_n \leq n-1$ . By Lemma 2.3 we may assume that  $w_1 \leq w_i$  for all i. If  $w_1 \geq 3$ , all elements of the sequence  $w(i_1, \ldots, i_{w_1-1})$  are at least 2, so  $w(i_1, \ldots, i_{w_1-1}) \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$ . By (4.1) and induction,  $H_*F_k^w/F_{k+1}^w \cong 0$  for all k, hence  $H_*\llbracket w \rrbracket \cong 0$ .

Let  $w_1=2$ . If  $w(i)\in\mathcal{T}_{n-1}$  for all i, then by (4.1) and induction,  $H_*F_i^w/F_{i+1}^w\cong 0$  for all i, hence  $H_*[\![w]\!]\cong 0$ . Suppose there exists  $i\in\{2,\ldots,n\}$  with  $w(i)\notin\mathcal{T}_{n-1}$ . Then  $w_i=n-1,\ w_1=2$ , and other elements of w form a permutation of  $(2,\ldots,n-1)$ , so there are exactly two numbers j< i such that  $w(j),w(i)\in\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ . In the filtration  $0=F_{n+1}^w\leq\ldots\leq F_{i+1}^w\leq F_i^w\leq\ldots\leq F_{j+1}^w\leq F_j^w\leq\ldots\leq F_2^w=[\![w]\!]$ , by (3.1) and (2.1) we have  $H_kF_i^w/F_{i+1}\cong \left\{ egin{array}{l} \mathbb{Q}_i & \text{if } k=1+|I_i| \\ 0 & \text{if } k\neq 1+|I_i| \end{array} \right.$ ,  $H_kF_j^w/F_{j+1}^w\cong \left\{ egin{array}{l} \mathbb{Q}_i & \text{if } k=1+|I_j| \\ 0 & \text{if } k\neq 1+|I_j| \end{array} \right.$ , and  $H_*F_r^w/F_{r+1}^w\cong 0$  for  $r\notin\{i,j\}$ , where  $I_i=\{\text{inversions of } w(i)\}$  and  $I_j=\{\text{inversions of } w(j)\}$ . Therefore  $0\cong H_*F_{n+1}\cong\ldots\cong H_*F_{i+1}$ ,  $H_*F_i\cong \left\{ egin{array}{l} \mathbb{Q}_i & \text{if } k=1+|I_i| \\ 0 & \text{if } k\neq 1+|I_i| \end{array} \right.$ ,  $H_*F_i^w\cong\ldots\cong H_*F_{j+1}^w$ ,  $H_*F_j^w\cong\ldots\cong H_*F_{j+1}^w$ , it suffices to show that in the exact sequence

the morphism  $\chi_{k+1}$  for  $k=|I_j|$  is bijective. Notice that  $H_{k+1}\frac{F_j^w}{F_{j+1}^w}$  and  $H_kF_{j+1}^w\cong H_k\frac{F_i^w}{F_{i+1}^w}$  are generated by  $[e_{1j}\bigwedge_{(a,b)\in I_j}e_{a+1,b+1}]=:[x]$  and  $[e_{1i}\bigwedge_{(a,b)\in I_i}e_{a+1,b+1}]=:[y]$ . Since  $I_j=I_i\cup\{(j-1,i-1)\}$ , we get  $\chi([x])=[\partial(x)]=[\pm y]$ , which concludes the argument.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 4.3.** 
$$H_k[\![w_n,\ldots,w_1]\!] \cong H_{\binom{n}{2}-k-1}[\![w_1,\ldots,w_n]\!]$$
 for  $(w_1,\ldots,w_n) \in \mathcal{T}_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(C^*, \delta^*)$  be the dual of the complex  $(C_*, \partial_*)$  of  $\mathfrak{nil}_n$ , let  $f_M$  be the dual of a basis element  $e_M$ , and  $N = \binom{n}{2}$ . Define  $\tau_* \colon C_* \to C^{N-*}$  by  $\tau(e_M) = \varepsilon_M f_{M^C}$ , where  $\varepsilon_M$  is the sign of the permutation  $(M, M^C)$  of  $\{(i, j); 1 \le i < j \le n\}$ . By [3, p.640],  $\tau_*$  is a chain isomorphism, i.e.  $\tau_{k-1} \partial_k = \delta^{N-k} \tau_k$ . For  $e_M \in \llbracket w_1, \dots, w_n \rrbracket$  we have

$$\begin{array}{l} w_i \big( \tau(e_{\!M}) \big) = i - |\{x; (x,i) \! \in \! M^C\}| + |\{x; (i,x) \! \in \! M^C\}| \\ = i - \big( i \! - \! 1 \! - \! |\{x; (x,i) \! \in \! M\}| \big) \! + \big( n \! - \! i \! - \! |\{x; (i,x) \! \in \! M\}| \big) = n \! + \! 1 \! - \! w_i, \end{array}$$

hence  $\tau(\llbracket w_1, \ldots, w_n \rrbracket) = \llbracket n+1-w_1, \ldots, n+1-w_n \rrbracket^* \cong \llbracket w_n, \ldots, w_1 \rrbracket^*$  by Lemma 2.2. Now the result follows from Lemma 4.2 and the universal coefficient theorem.  $\square$ 

### 5. Computations

We have  $H_*\mathfrak{nil}_n\cong \left(\bigoplus_{w\in\mathcal{F}_n}H_*[\![w]\!]\right)\oplus \left(\bigoplus_{w\in\mathcal{T}_n}H_*[\![w]\!]\right)$ . Free part  $\bigoplus_{w\in\mathcal{F}_n}H_*[\![w]\!]$  is known from Theorem 2.5. For the torsion part, we use Lemma 2.4:

$$TH_*(\mathfrak{nil}_n) \cong \left( \bigoplus_{1,n \notin w \in \mathcal{T}_n} H_*[\![w]\!] \right) \oplus \frac{\left( \bigoplus_{1 \in w \in \mathcal{T}_n} H_*[\![w]\!] \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{n \in w \in \mathcal{T}_n} H_*[\![w]\!] \right)}{\bigoplus_{1,n \in w \in \mathcal{T}_n} H_*[\![w]\!]}$$

$$\cong \left( \bigoplus_{1,n \notin w \in \mathcal{T}_n} H_*[\![w]\!] \right) \oplus \frac{\bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}} \bigoplus_{k=1}^n H_*[\![w]\!]_{k-1} \oplus H_*[\![w]\!]_{n-k}}{\bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{T}_{n-2}} \bigoplus_{i \in [n-1], j \in [n]} H_*[\![w]\!]_{i-1+n-j}}$$

$$\cong \left( \bigoplus_{1,n \notin w \in \mathcal{T}_n} H_*[\![w]\!] \right) \oplus \frac{\bigoplus_{k=0}^{n-1} TH_{*+k}(\mathfrak{nil}_{n-2})^{\min\{i+1,2n-2-i\}}}{\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} TH_{*+i}(\mathfrak{nil}_{n-2})^{\min\{i+1,2n-2-i\}}}.$$
untion and Lemmas 3.1.3.2 it suffices to each place only  $H$ . [[w]] comision to each place only  $H$ .

By induction and Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, it suffices to calculate only  $H_*[\![w]\!]$  coming from  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_n := \{w \in \mathcal{T}_n; 1, n \notin w, \nexists i \colon w_i = w_{i+1} \in \{2, n-1\}, \nexists i < j < k \colon w_i = w_j = w_k \in \{2, n-1\}\}$ . Define maps  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \colon \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_n \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_n$  by  $\alpha(w_1, \ldots, w_n) = (w_2, \ldots, w_n, w_1), \ \beta(w_1, \ldots, w_n) = (n+1-w_n, \ldots, n+1-w_1), \ \gamma(w_1, \ldots, w_n) = (w_n, \ldots, w_1)$ . Let  $\sim$  be the smallest equivalence relation on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_n$  with  $w \sim \xi(w)$  for  $\xi \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ . By Lemmas 2.3, 2.2, 4.3, we need to compute  $H_*$  only for one complex in each equivalence class.

$$\bigoplus_{w \in \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_n} H_k \llbracket w \rrbracket = \bigoplus_{x \in \{a,b,c\}} \bigoplus_{i \in \{0,\dots,4\}} \left( H_k \llbracket \alpha^i(x) \rrbracket \oplus H_k \llbracket \gamma \alpha^i(x) \rrbracket \right) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^2; \ k = 3, \\ \mathbb{Z}_2^6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^3; \ k = 4, \\ \mathbb{Z}_2^6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^3; \ k = 5, \\ \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^2; \ k = 6. \end{cases}$$

Case n=6 is still doable by hand. Set  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_6/_{\sim}$  has 28 elements: 9 cases are done by Lemma 3.4, 6 by Lemma 3.5, and the rest by examining their filtration. There are only 3 classes containing no 2 or n-1: (3,3,3,4,4,4), (3,3,4,3,4,4), (3,4,3,4,3,4).

Cases n=7,8 require a computer. The set  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_7/_{\sim}$  has 250 elements, and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_8/_{\sim}$  has 3485 elements. See the table below for the homology of  $\mathfrak{nil}_7$  and  $\mathfrak{nil}_8$ .

Cases  $n \ge 9$ : The set  $T_9/_{\sim}$  has 59 102 elements. We have not been able to compute, among other things, the homology of the complex [5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5].

# 6. Afterword

- 6.1. **Conclusion.** We have seen that methods, designed for a specific family of Lie algebras, where we partition the problem into smaller pieces and solve only the nontrivial nonequivalent parts, can enable us to compute more than twice as much data compared with the usual approach.
- 6.2. **Acknowledgment.** This research was supported by the Slovenian Research Agency (research core funding no. P1-0292, J1-7025, J1-8131).

$k \backslash n$	7	8
0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$
1	$\mathbb{Z}^6$	$\mathbb{Z}^7$
2	$\mathbb{Z}^{20}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_2^4$	$\mathbb{Z}^{27}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_2^5$
3	$\mathbb{Z}^{49}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_2^{ar{3}5}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_3^6$	$\mathbb{Z}^{76}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_2^{ar{5}7}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_3^8$
4	$\mathbb{Z}^{98} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{\overline{1}24} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{27} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^6$	$\mathbb{Z}^{174} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{253} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{45} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^9$
5	$\mathbb{Z}^{169} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{303} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{78} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{28} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5^4$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{Z}^{343} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\overline{7}93} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{\overline{1}68} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{\overline{5}3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{5}^{8} \\ \mathbb{Z}^{602} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\overline{2}132} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{\overline{4}79} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{\overline{1}64} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{5}^{\overline{4}7} \end{array} $
6	$\mathbb{Z}^{259} \! \oplus \! \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{ar{6}35} \! \oplus \! \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{ar{1}68} \! \oplus \! \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{ar{6}5} \! \oplus \! \mathbb{Z}_{5}^{ar{1}7}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{602} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\bar{2}132} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{479} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{164} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{5}^{47}$
7	$\mathbb{Z}^{359} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{1122} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{275} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{112} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5^{38}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{961} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\overline{4}880} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{\overline{1}050} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{\overline{3}80} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{5}^{\overline{1}45}$
8	$\mathbb{Z}^{455} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\tilde{1}674} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{\tilde{3}84} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{\tilde{1}60} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{5}^{\tilde{5}6}$	
9	$\mathbb{Z}^{531} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{2096} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{481} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{196} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5^{63}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{1940} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{17721} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{3178} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{1200} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5^{524} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8^5$
10	$\mathbb{Z}^{573} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\overline{2}238} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{\overline{5}22} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{\overline{2}10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{5}^{\overline{6}4}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{2493} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{27826} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{4781} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{1728} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5^{766} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8^{12}$
11	$\mathbb{Z}^{573} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{2096} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{481} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{196} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5^{63}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{3017} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{38810} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{6504} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{2253} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5^{1007} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8^{18}$
12	$\mathbb{Z}^{531} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{1674} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{384} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{160} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5^{56}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{3450} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{48576} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{7902} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{2720} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5^{1219} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8^{17}$
13	$\mathbb{Z}^{455} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{1122} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{275} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{112} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5^{38}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{3736} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{54457} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{8614} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{3011} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5^{1351} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8^{11}$
14	$\mathbb{Z}^{359} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\bar{6}35} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{1\check{6}8} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{6\check{5}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{5}^{1\check{7}}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{3836} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\bar{5}4457} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{\bar{8}614} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{\bar{3}011} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{5}^{\bar{1}351} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{8}^{\bar{1}1}$
15	$\mathbb{Z}^{259} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{303} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{78} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{28} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5^4$	$\mathbb{Z}^{3736} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\overline{4}8576} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{\overline{7}902} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{\overline{2}720} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{5}^{\overline{1}219} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{8}^{\overline{1}7}$
16	$\mathbb{Z}^{169}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_2^{124}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_3^{27}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_4^6$	$\mathbb{Z}^{3450} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\bar{3}8810} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{\bar{6}504} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{\bar{2}253} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{5}^{\bar{1}007} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{8}^{\bar{1}8}$
17	$\mathbb{Z}^{98}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_2^{35}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_3^6$	$\mathbb{Z}^{3017} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\overline{2}7826} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{\overline{4}781} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{\overline{1}728} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{5}^{\overline{7}66} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{8}^{\overline{12}}$
18	$\mathbb{Z}^{49}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_2^4$	$\mathbb{Z}^{2493} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{17721} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{3178} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{1200} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5^{524} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8^5$
19	$\mathbb{Z}^{20}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{1940} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{9882} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{1927} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{730} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5^{309} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8$
20	$\mathbb{Z}^6$	$\mathbb{Z}^{1415} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{4880} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^{1050} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{380} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5^{145}$
21	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{961} \! \oplus \! \mathbb{Z}_2^{2\bar{1}32} \! \oplus \! \mathbb{Z}_3^{4\bar{7}9} \! \oplus \! \mathbb{Z}_4^{16\bar{4}} \! \oplus \! \mathbb{Z}_5^{47}$
22		$\mathbb{Z}^{602}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{ar{7}93}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{1ar{6}8}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_{4}^{5ar{3}}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_{5}^{8}$
23		$\mathbb{Z}^{343}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_2^{ar{2}53}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_3^{ar{4}5}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_4^9$
24		$\mathbb{Z}^{174} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{ar{5}7} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^8$
25		$\mathbb{Z}^{76}\!\oplus\!\mathbb{Z}_2^5$
26		$\mathbb{Z}^{27}$
27		$\mathbb{Z}^7$
28		$\mathbb{Z}$

### References

- W.G. Dwyer, Homology of integral upper-triangular Matrices, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 94 (1985), 523-528.
- [2] P. Hanlon, The Laplacian Method, Symmetric Functions 2001, NATO 74, (2002), 65-91.
- [3] M. Hazewinkel, A duality theorem for cohomology of Lie algebras, (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 125 (1970) 639–644.
- [4] M. Jöllenbeck, Algebraic Discrete Morse Theory and Applications to Commutative Algebra, Thesis, (2005).
- [5] B. Kostant, Lie Algebra Homology and the Generalized Borel-Weil Theorem, Ann. of Math. 74 (1961), 329–387.
- [6] D.N. Kozlov, Discrete Morse theory for free chain complexes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 340 (2005), 867–872.
- [7] L. Lampret, A. Vavpetič, (Co)homology of Lie algebras via algebraic Morse theory, J. Algebra 463 (2016), 254–277.
- [8] E. Sköldberg, Morse theory from an algebraic viewpoint, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), no. 1, 115–129.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA E-mail address: leon.lampret@fmf.uni-lj.si

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA  $E\text{-}mail\ address:}$  ales.vavpetic@fmf.uni-lj.si