

TAME DISCRETE SUBSETS IN STEIN MANIFOLDS

JÖRG WINKELMANN

ABSTRACT. we generalize the notion of “tameness” for discrete sets to arbitrary Stein manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

For discrete subsets in \mathbb{C}^n the notion of being “tame” was defined in the important paper of Rosay and Rudin [4]. A discrete subset $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is called “tame” if and only there exists an automorphism ϕ of \mathbb{C}^n such that $\phi(D) = \{0\}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

We want to introduce and study a similar notion for complex manifolds other than \mathbb{C}^n .

Therefore we propose a new definition, show that it is equivalent to that of Rosay and Rudin if the ambient manifold is \mathbb{C}^n and deduce some standard properties.

To obtain good results, we need some knowledge on the automorphism group of the respective complex manifold. For this reason we get our best results in the case where the manifold is biholomorphic to a complex Lie group. We concentrate on complex semisimple Lie groups, since every simply-connected complex Lie group is biholomorphic to a direct product of \mathbb{C}^n and a semisimple complex Lie group.

Definition 1.1. *Let X be a complex manifold. An infinite discrete subset D is called (weakly) tame if for every exhaustion function $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and every map $\zeta : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ there exists an automorphism ϕ of X such that $\rho(\phi(x)) \geq \zeta(x)$ for all $x \in D$.*

Andrist and Ugolini ([2]) have proposed a different notion, namely the following:

Definition 1.2. *Let X be a complex manifold. An infinite discrete subset D is called (strongly) tame if for every injective map $f : D \rightarrow D$ there exists an automorphism ϕ of X such that $\phi(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in D$.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32M17.

Key words and phrases. tame discrete set, complex semisimple Lie group.

It is easily verified that “strongly tame” implies “weakly tame”. For $X \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ and $X \simeq SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ both tameness notions coincide. Furthermore, for $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ both notions agree with tameness as defined by Rosay and Rudin.

However, for arbitrary manifolds “strongly tame” and “weakly tame” are not equivalent.

In this article, unless explicitly stated otherwise, tame always means weakly tame, i.e., tame in the sense of definition 1.1.

2. COMPARISON BETWEEN \mathbb{C}^n AND SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUPS

For tame discrete sets in \mathbb{C}^n in the sense of Rosay and Rudin, the following facts are well-known:

- (i) Any two tame sets are equivalent.
- (ii) Every discrete subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$ is tame as a discrete set.
- (iii) Every discrete subset of \mathbb{C}^n is the union of two tame ones.
- (iv) There exist non-tame subsets in \mathbb{C}^n .
- (v) Every injective self-map of a tame discrete subset of \mathbb{C}^n extends to a biholomorphic self-map of \mathbb{C}^n .
- (vi) If v_k is a sequence in \mathbb{C}^n with $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\|v_k\|^{2n-1}} < \infty$, then $\{v_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a tame discrete subset.

(See [4] for (i), (iii), (iv), (v), [5] for (vi). For $n = 2$, (ii) is implied by proposition 4.1. of [3]. The proof given there generalizes easily to arbitrary dimension n .)

For discrete subsets in semisimple complex Lie groups we are able to prove the following properties:

- (i) Any two tame discrete subsets in $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ are equivalent. (proposition 10.6)
- (ii) Certain discrete subgroups may be verified to be tame discrete subsets. In particular, $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ is a tame discrete subset (corollary 11.3), and also every discrete subgroup of a one-dimensional Lie subgroup of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ (proposition 12.2) and every discrete subgroup of a maximal torus (corollary 10.10).
- (iii) Every discrete subset of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the union of n tame discrete subsets. (corollary 10.9)
- (iv) Every semisimple complex Lie group admits a non-tame discrete subset. (proposition 9.8)
- (v) Every injective self-map of a tame discrete subset of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ extends to a biholomorphic self map of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. (proposition 10.6.)
- (vi) For every semisimple complex Lie group S there exists a “threshold sequence”, i.e., there exists a sequence of numbers $R_k > 0$

and an exhaustion function τ such that every sequence g_k with $\tau(g_k) > R_k$ defines a tame discrete subset. (proposition 9.6).

3. RESULTS FOR OTHER MANIFOLDS

While tame discrete sets in semisimple complex Lie groups behave in a way very similar to those in \mathbb{C}^n , for arbitrary complex manifolds the situation is quite different:

- (i) On $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ ($n \geq 2$) there do exist discrete subsets which may not be realized as a finite union of tame discrete subsets. (corollary 6.4.)
- (ii) On $\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ there are (weakly) tame discrete sets which are not strongly tame. There are permutations of tame discrete sets which do not extend to biholomorphic self-maps of the ambient manifold. (proposition 5.3, 5.1)
- (iii) On $\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ there exist inequivalent tame discrete subsets. (corollary 5.2.)
- (iv) On $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ there is no “threshold sequence”. (corollary 6.3.)

4. PREPARATIONS

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be a complex manifold and let D be an infinite discrete subset.*

Then D is tame if and only if there exists one exhaustion function ρ such that the following property holds: For every map $\zeta : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ there exists an automorphism ϕ of X such that $\rho(\phi(x)) \geq \zeta(x)$ for all $x \in D$.

(In the definition 1.1 for being tame it is required that this property holds for *every* exhaustion function.)

Proof. Assume that the property holds with respect to a given exhaustion function ρ . We have to show that D is tame, i.e., that the property holds with respect to every exhaustion function. Let τ be an arbitrary exhaustion function and let $\zeta : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a map. We choose a map $\zeta_0 : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in such a way that

$$\zeta_0(x) > \sup\{\rho(p) : \tau(p) < \zeta(x)\} \quad \forall x \in D$$

By assumption there is an automorphism ϕ of X such that $\rho(\phi(x)) \geq \zeta_0(x)$ for all $x \in D$. By the construction of ζ_0 it follows that

$$\phi(x) \notin \{p \in X : \tau(p) < \zeta(x)\} \quad \forall x \in D.$$

Hence $\tau(\phi(x)) \geq \zeta(x)$ for all $x \in D$ as desired. \square

Definition 4.2. Let X be a complex manifold. Two sequences $A(k)$, $B(k)$ in X are called *equivalent* if there exists a holomorphic automorphism ϕ of the complex manifold X such that $\phi(A(k)) = B(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

A sequence $A(k)$ in X is called *tame* if the set $\{A(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a tame discrete subset of X .

Proposition 4.3. Let X be a complex manifold. Assume that the automorphism group $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ is a finite-dimensional Lie group with countably many connected components.

Then X does not admit any tame discrete subset.

Proof. Let $D = \{p_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an infinite discrete subset of X . Fix an exhaustion function $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. Let K_n be an increasing sequence of compact subsets of $G = \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$, which exhausts G , i.e., $\cup_n K_n = G$. Define $c_n = \max\{\rho(x) : x \in K_n(p_n)\}$. Choose $\zeta_n > c_n$. By construction, if $\rho(\phi(p_n)) > \zeta_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\phi \in G \setminus K_n$. Since $\cap_n (G \setminus K_n) = \{\}$, it follows that there is no $\phi \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ with $\rho(\phi(p_n)) > \zeta_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus D is not tame. \square

Corollary 4.4. Complex manifolds which are hyperbolic in the sense of Kobayashi (e.g. bounded domains in Stein manifolds) do not admit tame subsets.

Corollary 4.5. Let \bar{X} be a compact complex manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) \geq 2$, and let S be a finite subset.

Then $X = \bar{X} \setminus S$ contains no tame discrete subset.

Proof. Every automorphism of X extends to an automorphism of \bar{X} and the automorphism group of \bar{X} is a finite-dimensional Lie group by the theorem of Bochner and Montgomery. \square

Corollary 4.6. There are no tame discrete subsets in Riemann surfaces.

Proposition 4.7. A discrete subset D of \mathbb{C}^n is tame in the sense of definition 1.1 if and only if it is tame in the sense of Rosay and Rudin, i.e., if and only if there exists a holomorphic automorphism ϕ of \mathbb{C}^n such that $\phi(D) = \mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}^{n-1}$.

Proof. For every map $\xi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there exists a holomorphic function f on \mathbb{C} with $f(n) = \xi(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The automorphism $z \mapsto (z_1, z_2 + f(z_1), z_3, \dots, z_n)$ maps $\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}^{n-1}$ to $\{(n, \xi(n), 0, \dots, 0) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Using this fact it is clear that $\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}^{n-1}$ is tame in the sense of definition 1.1. Therefore being tame in the sense of Rosay and Rudin implies being tame in the sense of definition 1.1.

Conversely, if a discrete set $D = \{a_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tame in the sense of definition 1.1, there exists a biholomorphic map ϕ of \mathbb{C}^n such that $||\phi(a_k)||^{2n-1} > k^2$ for all k . Then the proposition below implies that D is tame in the sense of Rosay and Rudin, since $\sum_k k^{-2} < \infty$. \square

Proposition 4.8. *Let v_k be a sequence in \mathbb{C}^n . If*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{||v_k||^{2n-1}} < \infty,$$

then $D = \{v_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a tame (in the sense of Rosay and Rudin) discrete subset of \mathbb{C}^n .

Proof. See [5]. \square

Definition 4.9. *Let X be a complex manifold with an exhaustion function ρ . A sequence of positive real numbers R_n is called “threshold sequence” for (X, ρ) if every discrete subset D with*

$$\#\{x \in D : \rho(x) \leq R_n\} < n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

is tame.

In other words: If R_n is a threshold sequence, then every sequence x_k in X with $\rho(x_k) \geq R_k$ defines a tame discrete subset of X .

It follows from [5] that every sequence (R_k) with $\sum_k (R_k)^{-(2n-1)} < \infty$ is a threshold sequence for \mathbb{C}^n (with respect to the exhaustion function $\rho(x) = ||x||$).

If a complex manifold X with exhaustion function ρ admits a threshold sequence R_k and $\tilde{\rho}$ is a different exhaustion function, we may define a threshold sequence \tilde{R}_k for $(X, \tilde{\rho})$ as follows: We need to ensure that $\rho(x) > R_k$ implies $\tilde{\rho}(x) > \tilde{R}_k$. Hence we may define

$$\tilde{R}_k = \max\{\tilde{\rho}(x) : \rho(x) \leq R_k\}.$$

Thus, if there exists a threshold sequence for one exhaustion function, there also exists a threshold sequence for any other exhaustion function on the same complex manifold, i.e., whether or not there exists a threshold sequence depends only on the complex manifold, not on the exhaustion function.

We will see that there exist threshold sequences for every semisimple complex Lie group (proposition 9.6).

In contrast, there is no threshold sequence for $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ (corollary 6.3).

Proposition 4.10. *Let X be a complex manifold for which there exists a “threshold sequence”. Let $A \subset X$ be an unbounded (i.e. not relatively compact) subset.*

Then A contains a subset which is a tame discrete subset of X .

Proof. Obvious. \square

Corollary 4.11. *Let S be a complex semisimple Lie group, let Ω be a Stein open subset with $\Omega \neq S$ and let D be a tame discrete subset of S . Then there exists a holomorphic automorphism ϕ of S such that $\phi(\Omega) \cap D = \{\}$.*

Proof. The manifold S admits a threshold sequence (cf. prop. 9.6). Furthermore $S \setminus \Omega$ is unbounded due to ‘‘Hartogs Kugelsatz’’, because Ω is assumed to be Stein. \square

5. THE CASE $X = \Delta \times \mathbb{C}$

We start by deducing a description of the automorphisms. Let $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. On $X = \Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ there is a natural equivalence relation: Two points $(x, y), (z, w)$ can be separated by a bounded holomorphic function if and only if $x \neq z$. The projection onto the first factor is therefore equivariant for every automorphism of X . Using this fact one easily verifies that every automorphism of X can be written in the form

$$(z, w) \mapsto (\phi(z), f(z)w + g(z))$$

with $\phi \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Delta)$, $f \in \mathcal{O}^*(\Delta)$ and $g \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $X = \Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ with $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.*

A discrete subset $D \subset X$ is tame if and only if

$$\pi_1(D) = \{z \in \Delta : \exists (z, w) \in D\}$$

is discrete in Δ and

$$\pi^{-1}(p) = \{(z, w) \in D : z = p\}$$

is finite for all $p \in \Delta$.

Proof. The discrete sets fulfilling these conditions are tame due to proposition 8.2.

Suppose conversely that D is a discrete subset which does not fulfill all of these conditions. Then there exists a divergent sequence (p_n, q_n) in D with $\lim p_n = p \in \Delta$. We fix an exhaustion function τ on X such that $\tau(z, w) = |w| + (1 - |z|)^{-1}$. We choose R_n such that $R_n > 2^n |q_n|$. By the tameness assumption there exists an automorphism of X given as

$$(z, w) \mapsto (\phi(z), f(z)w + g(z)).$$

such that

$$\tau(\phi(p_n), f(p_n)q_n + g(p_n)) > R_n \quad \forall n.$$

Since $\lim p_n = p$, we have

$$\lim(1 - \phi(p_n))^{-1} = (1 - \phi(p))^{-1}$$

Thus there exists a constant K such that $(1 - \phi(p_n))^{-1} < K$ for all sufficiently large n . Then

$$|f(p_n)q_n + g(p_n)| > R_n - K > 2^n|q_n| - K$$

With $\lim p_n = p$ we obtain

$$\lim \frac{1}{|q_n|} |f(p_n)q_n + g(p_n)| = |f(p)|$$

which contradicts

$$\frac{1}{|q_n|} |f(p_n)q_n + g(p_n)| > \frac{1}{|q_n|} (R_n - K > 2^n|q_n| - K) = 2^n - \frac{K}{|q_n|},$$

since $\lim \left(2^n - \frac{K}{|q_n|}\right) = +\infty$. \square

Corollary 5.2. *The manifold $X = \Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ admits inequivalent tame discrete subsets.*

Proof. Every automorphism of the unit disc preserves the Poincaré metric. Hence it is clear that there are many inequivalent discrete subsets in the unit disc. By the proposition, for each discrete subset $D \subset \Delta$ we obtain a tame discrete subset D' in X via $D' = D \times 0$. \square

Thus $X = \Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ admits many (weakly) tame discrete subsets. In contrast, there are no strongly tame discrete subsets:

Proposition 5.3. *There are no strongly tame discrete subsets in $X = \Delta \times \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Suppose D is strongly tame. Then it is tame. Due to proposition 5.1 $\pi_1(D) \subset \Delta$ is infinite. Thus for any two points $v, w \in D$ we can find an injective map $F : D \rightarrow D$ with $\pi_1(F(v)) \neq \pi_1(F(w))$. It follows that $\pi_1|_D$ is injective. However, now any injective self-map of $\pi_1(D)$ is induced by an injective self-map of D . Thus the assumption of D being strongly tame in X implies that $\pi_1(D)$ is strongly tame (and therefore tame) in Δ , which is impossible (cf. corollary 4.4). \square

6. THE CASE $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$

We start with a preparation.

Lemma 6.1. *Let ϕ be a C^1 -diffeomorphism of \mathbb{C}^n fixing the origin.*

Then there exists an open neighborhood W of the origin $(0, \dots, 0)$ and constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1||v|| \leq ||\phi(v)|| \leq C_2||v|| \quad \forall v \in W$$

Proof. Let U be a convex relatively compact open neighborhood of $(0, \dots, 0)$. Define $C = \sup_{v \in U} \max\{\|D\phi\|_v, \|D(\phi^{-1})\|_v\}$. Then $\|\phi(v)\| \leq C\|v\|$ and $\|\phi^{-1}(v)\| \leq C\|v\|$ for all $v \in U$. This implies the assertion with $C_2 = C$, $C_1 = 1/C$ and $W = U \cap \phi^{-1}(U)$. \square

Proposition 6.2. *A discrete subset D in $X = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ is tame if and only if it is discrete and tame considered as a subset of \mathbb{C}^n .*

Proof. We recall that holomorphic automorphisms of X extend to holomorphic automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^n .

We fix the exhaustion function $\tau : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by $\tau(x) = \max\{\|x\|, \|x\|^{-1}\}$.

Assume that D is tame and discrete in \mathbb{C}^n . Then $D_1 = D \cup \{0\}$ is likewise tame. Enumerate the elements of D such that $D = \{a_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let a strictly increasing sequence R_k be given. Choose elements $v_k \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $R_{k+1} \geq \|v_k\| > R_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since D_1 is tame, there exists an automorphism ϕ of \mathbb{C}^n such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(a_k) = v_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows: For any such sequence R_k there exists an automorphism of X such that $\|\phi(a_k)\| > R_k$ for all k , i.e., D is tame in X .

Now assume that D is a discrete subset in X which is not discrete in \mathbb{C}^n . Then there exists a sequence $\gamma_k \in D$ with $\lim \gamma_k = (0, \dots, 0)$. We want to show that D is not tame. We choose a map $\zeta : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\zeta(\gamma_k) > k/\|\gamma_k\|$ for all k . We claim that there exists no holomorphic automorphism ϕ of X such that $\tau(\phi(\gamma_k)) \geq \zeta(\gamma_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed each holomorphic automorphisms of X extends to an automorphism of \mathbb{C}^n fixing the origin $(0, \dots, 0)$. It follows that there exists a neighbourhood W of $(0, \dots, 0)$ in \mathbb{C}^n and constants $C_2 > C_1 > 0$ such that

$$C_2\|v\| \geq \|\phi(v)\| \geq C_1\|v\| \quad \forall v \in W.$$

Assume that W is contained in the unit ball. Then $\tau(v) = 1/\|v\|$ for all $v \in W$ and therefore

$$\frac{1}{C_2\|v\|} \leq \tau(\phi(v)) \leq \frac{1}{C_1\|v\|} \quad \forall v \in W \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$$

This implies that $\tau(\gamma_k) < \zeta(\gamma_k)$ for all k with $\gamma_k \in W$ and $k > 1/C_1$. Hence D can not be tame. \square

Corollary 6.3. *There is no threshold sequence for $X = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$.*

Proof. Let v_n by any sequence in $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ which converges to the origin in \mathbb{C}^n . If there would exist a treshold sequence, (v_n) would contain a tame subsequence, in contradiction to the above proposition. \square

Corollary 6.4. *There exists discrete subsets of X which can not be realized as the union of finitely many tame discrete subsets.*

Proof. Just take any discrete subset of X which in \mathbb{C}^n has an accumulation point in $(0, \dots, 0)$. \square

7. SOME PREPARATION

Lemma 7.1. *Let G be a connected complex Lie group. Then there exists a surjective holomorphic map from some complex vector space \mathbb{C}^n onto G .*

Corollary 7.2. *Let G be a connected complex Lie group, X a Stein complex manifold and $D \subset X$ a discrete subset. Then every map $f : D \rightarrow G$ extends to a holomorphic map $F : X \rightarrow G$.*

Proof. We fix a surjective holomorphic map $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow G$. Then given a map $f : D \rightarrow G$, there is a “lift” $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, i.e., a map $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $f = \Phi \circ g$. The existence of the desired map F now follows from the classical fact in complex analysis that the value of a holomorphic function can be described on a fixed discrete set. \square

8. π -TAME SETS

Definition 8.1. *Let X, Y be complex manifolds and $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ a holomorphic map. An infinite discrete subset $D \subset X$ is called π -tame if there exists an automorphism ϕ of X such that the restriction of the map $\pi \circ \phi$ to D is proper.*

Remark. *A map from a discrete space D into a locally compact space Y is proper if and only if it has discrete image and finite fibers.*

Remark. *If D is π -tame, and D' is discrete infinite with $D' \setminus D$ being finite, then D' is π -tame.*

Proposition 8.2. *Let H be a non-compact connected complex Lie group and let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a H -principal bundle. If Y is Stein, then every π -tame discrete subset $D \subset X$ is tame.*

Proof. Let ρ be an exhaustion function on X . Assume that $\pi|_D$ is proper. Let $q \in \pi(D)$ and $X_q = \pi^{-1}(q)$. Observe that $X_q \cap D$ is finite and that $\rho|_{X_q}$ is unbounded. Hence there exists an element $h_q \in H$ (acting on X_q by the principal H -action of the principal bundle) with

$$\rho(ph_q) \geq \zeta(p) \quad \forall p \in X_q \cap D.$$

Next we choose an holomorphic map $F : Y \rightarrow H$ with $F(q) = h_q$ for all $q \in \pi(D)$. (Such a map F exists due to corollary 7.2.) Now we can

define the desired automorphism ϕ as the principal action of $F(q)$ on X_q for each $q \in Y$. (In other words: $\phi : x \mapsto x \cdot F(\pi(x))$.) \square

Proposition 8.3. *Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a principal bundle with non-compact structure group G . Assume that there exists a non-constant holomorphic function on Y .*

Then there exists a tame discrete subset in X .

Proof. Let f be a non-constant holomorphic function on Y and $W = f(Y)$. Note that W is an open domain in \mathbb{C} and in particular Stein. Choose a sequence x_n in X such that $f(\pi(x_n))$ is without accumulation points in W . Assume that ρ is an exhaustion function on X and let $\zeta : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a given map where $D = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since G is non-compact and acting with closed orbits, we can find elements $g_n \in G$ such that $\rho(x_n g_n) > \zeta(x_n)$. Recall that for every connected complex Lie group G there exists a surjective holomorphic map $h : \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow G$. Using this and the fact that $f(\pi(D))$ is discrete in W , it is clear that there exists a holomorphic map $F : W \rightarrow G$ with $F(f(\pi(x_n))) = g_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now $\phi : x \mapsto x \cdot F(f(\pi(x)))$ defines an automorphism of X such that $\rho(\phi(x)) > \zeta(x)$ for all $x \in D$. Since $\zeta : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ was arbitrary, we may conclude that D is tame. \square

9. GENERIC PROJECTIONS

9.1. Generalities.

Proposition 9.1. *Let V, W be finite-dimensional (real) Hilbert spaces, $V \neq \{0\}$, and let $\pi : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. Let K be a connected compact Lie group which acts linearly and orthogonally on V . We assume that $\ker \pi$ contains no non-trivial K -invariant vector subspace.*

Let μ denote the Haar measure on K (normalized, i.e., $\mu(K) = 1$).

Then for every $r, \delta > 0$ there exists a number $R > 0$ such that

$$\mu\{k \in K : \|\pi(k(v))\| < r\} < \delta$$

for all $v \in V$ with $\|v\| > R$.

Proof. There is no loss in generality in assuming $\|\pi(v)\| \leq \|v\|$ for all $v \in V$.

Let $S = \{v \in V : \|v\| = 1\}$. Note that S is compact. We define an auxiliary function $f : K \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$f(k, v) = \|\pi(k \cdot v)\|.$$

Since K does not stabilize any non-trivial vector subspace of $\ker \pi$, it is clear that

$$\forall v \in S : \exists k \in K : f(k, v) > 0.$$

Thus $\{(k, v) : f(k, v) = 0\}$ is a real-analytic subset of $K \times S$ not containing any of the fibers of the projection $pr_2 : K \times S \rightarrow S$. Consequently

$$(9.1) \quad \mu(\{k \in K : f(k, v) = 0\}) = 0$$

for every $v \in S$. (Here we use the fact that nowhere dense real analytic subsets of K are of Haar measure zero.)

Next we define

$$\Omega(v, r) = \{k \in K : f(k, v) < r\}$$

and

$$h(v, r) = \mu(\Omega(v, r))$$

for $(v, r) \in S \times]0, 1]$. Evidently $r \mapsto h(v, r)$ is a monotonously increasing function for every fixed v .

On the other hand $\|\pi(v)\| \leq \|v\| \forall v \in V$ implies

$$\|\pi(kv) - \pi(kw)\| \leq \|v - w\| \forall k \in K, v, w \in V$$

Thus $|f(k, v) - f(k, w)| \leq \|v - w\|$ for all $k \in K, v, w \in S$. This in turn implies

$$\Omega(v, r) \subset \Omega(w, r + \epsilon)$$

for $v, w \in S$ with $\|v - w\| < \epsilon$. From this it follows that

$$(9.2) \quad h(v, r) \leq h(w, r + \|v - w\|) \forall v, w, r.$$

Next we define another auxiliary function $g :]0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$:

$$r \mapsto g(r) = \sup_{v \in S} h(v, r).$$

We claim that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} g(r) = 0$.

First we note that the limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} g(r)$ exists, because g is monotonously increasing and bounded from below: $g \geq 0$.

Define $c = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} g(r)$. Then there exists a sequence r_n in $]0, 1]$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 0$ and $g(r_n) \geq c \forall n$.

Now assume $c > 0$. Then the definition of g implies the existence of a sequence v_n in S with $h(v_n, r_n) > c/2$. Since S is compact, we may assume that v_n is convergent: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = v$.

Due to inequality 9.2 we may deduce

$$h(v, r_n + \|v_n - v\|) \geq h(v_n, r_n) > c/2.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (r_n + \|v_n - v\|) = 0$, σ -additivity of the Haar measure now implies

$$\mu\{k \in K : f(kv) = 0\} = \mu(\cap_n \{k : f(kv) < r_n + \|v_n - v\|\}) \geq c/2 > 0$$

contradicting equation 9.1.

Therefore the claim must hold, i.e., $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} g(r) = 0$.

Now we can prove the statement of the proposition: Given $r, \delta > 0$, we choose $\rho \in]0, 1]$ such that $g(\rho) < \delta$. Then we define $R = r/\rho$.

Let $v \in V$ with $\|v\| > R$ and define $v_0 = \frac{v}{\|v\|} \in S$. We observe that $\|\pi(k(v))\| < r$ is equivalent to $\|\pi(k(v_0))\| < \frac{r}{\|v\|}$.

Now $g(\rho) < \delta$ implies that $h(w, \rho) < \delta$ for all $w \in S$. Thus $h(v_0, \rho) < \delta$, i.e.,

$$\mu\{k \in K : \|\pi(k(v_0))\| < \rho\} < \delta$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mu\{k \in K : \|\pi(k(v))\| < \rho\|v\|\} < \delta.$$

This completes the proof, since $\rho\|v\| > \rho R = r$. \square

Remark. *Instead of a compact real Lie group K with its Haar measure μ we may take an arbitrary connected real Lie group with an arbitrary probability measure of Lebesgue measure class.*

Proposition 9.2. *Let K be a Lie group acting on a manifold S and let $\pi : S \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. Let $\rho : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be an exhaustion function and let $\tau : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be an arbitrary continuous function.*

Assume that K is endowed with a probability measure μ such that the following property holds:

“(*) For every $r, \delta > 0$ there exists a number $R > 0$ such that

$$\mu\{k \in K : \tau(\pi(kx)) < r\} < \delta$$

for all $x \in S$ with $\rho(x) > R$.”

Then there exists a sequence $R_n > 0$ such that for every sequence x_n in S with $\rho(x_n) > R_n \forall n$ we can find an element $k \in K$ such that $\tau(\pi(kx_n)) \geq n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Using (*) we may (for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$) choose $R_n > 0$ such that

$$\mu\{k \in K : \tau(\pi(kx_n)) < n\} < 2^{-(n+1)}.$$

for all x_n with $\rho(x_n) > R_n$.

Since $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-(n+1)} = \frac{1}{2} < 1$, the set

$$\{k \in K : \tau(\pi(kx)) \geq n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

has positive measure (and is therefore non-empty) for every sequence x_n in S with $\rho(x_n) > R_n$. \square

Corollary 9.3. *Choose R_n as above and let $D = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be discrete subset of S for which $\rho(x_n) > R_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Let Ω denote the set of all $k \in K$ for which π restricts to a proper map on $k(D)$, i.e., for which $\pi|_{k(D)}$ has finite fibers and maps to a discrete subset of Y .

Then $K \setminus \Omega$ has measure zero.

Proof. By construction

$$\mu\{k \in K : \tau(\pi(kx_n)) < n\} < 2^{-(n+1)}.$$

Let Ω_N (for a given $N \in \mathbb{N}$) denote the set

$$\{k \in K : \tau(\pi(kx_n)) \geq n \ \forall n \geq N\}$$

We observe that π restricted to $k(D)$ is proper for any k for which there exists a number N such that $k \in \Omega_N$. By construction

$$\mu(K \setminus \Omega_N) \leq 2^{-(N+1)}$$

This implies that

$$K \setminus \Omega \subset \bigcap_N (K \setminus \Omega_N)$$

is a set of measure zero, because $\mu(K \setminus \Omega) \leq 2^{-(N+1)} \ \forall N \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

9.2. Generic projections on semisimple complex Lie groups.

Proposition 9.4. *Let S be an semisimple complex Lie group, $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^d \subset S$ and let K be a maximal compact subgroup of S .*

Let π denote the projection $\pi : S \rightarrow S/T$.

Then there are finitely many regular functions f_i on S/T such that

- (i) *The map $(f_1, \dots, f_s) : S/T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^s = W$ is a proper embedding.*
- (ii) *All functions of the form $x \mapsto f_i(\pi(xk))$ ($k \in K$, $i \in I = \{1, \dots, s\}$) generate a finite-dimensional vector subspace V of $\mathbb{C}[S]$.*
- (iii) *The natural map from S to V^* which associates to each point $p \in S$ the evaluation homomorphism $f \mapsto f(p)$ defines a proper finite morphism from S to V^* .*
- (iv) *The kernel of the natural map $V^* \rightarrow W^*$ contains no non-trivial K -invariant vector subspace.*

Proof. First we note that $Y = S/T$ is an affine variety, because both S and T are reductive. This yields property (i).

Now we define V as in (ii). Finite-dimensionality follows from standard results on transformation groups.

We obtain a natural map from S to V^* which associates to each point $p \in S$ its evaluation map $f \mapsto f(p)$.

We have to show (iii). As a preparation we consider $Z = \bigcap_{k \in K} k(T)k^{-1}$. This is an algebraic subgroup of S , obviously normalized by K and therefore normal in S . Since S is semisimple, $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ can not be normal in S . Hence $\dim(Z) = 0$ and consequently Z is finite.

Let $x, y \in S$. If $f(\pi(xk)) = f(\pi(yk))$ for all $f \in W$, $k \in K$, then necessarily $\pi(xK) = \pi(yK)$ for all $k \in K$. This is equivalent to $y^{-1}x \in kTk^{-1}$. It follows that $F(x) = F(y)$ for all $F \in V$ iff $y^{-1}x \in Z$. Thus $S \rightarrow V^*$ is induced by an injective map from S/Z to V^* .

We still have to check properness.

We recall that the base S/T is properly embedded into W^* . Choose a point $\lambda \in W^*$ which is in the image of S/T , i.e., is the image of some sT and consider its preimage in V^* .

Taking note that all maps between S , S/T , V^* and W^* are S -equivariant, we have to show that T -orbits in the fiber in V^* over $\lambda \in W^*$ are closed.

Using theory of toric varieties, we know that if a T -orbit in V^* is not closed, there is a subgroup $\mathbb{C}^* \simeq T_0 \subset T$ with a non-closed T_0 -orbit.

However, each such T_0 is contained in some $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \simeq H \subset S$. Hence there exists an element $k \in K$ such that conjugation by k induces the automorphism $z \mapsto 1/z$ of $\mathbb{C}^* \simeq T_0$. It follows that the closure of a non-trivial T_0 -orbit in V^* is either the orbit itself or isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_1 . Since $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C})$ as a compact Riemann surface can not be embedded in a complex vector space like V^* , it follows that T_0 -orbits are closed. Therefore T -orbits are closed and consequently the image of S in V^* is closed. This verifies (iii).

Finally we have to show (iv). Assume that C is such a K -invariant vector subspace of the kernel of the map $V^* \rightarrow W^*$.

The linear form on V which is defined by an element $c \in C$ must vanish on W , because C is contained in the kernel. But K -invariance of C implies that c must in fact vanish on the smallest vector subspace of V which contains W and is K -invariant. However, this is V itself, hence $C = \{0\}$. \square

Lemma 9.5. *Let S be a complex semisimple Lie group and Z its center. Let $\tau : S \rightarrow S/Z$ denote the natural projection. Let $D \subset S$ be a tame discrete subset. Let R_n be a sequence of positive real numbers.*

Then there exists a biholomorphic automorphism ϕ of S such that

- (i) *τ is injective on $\phi(D)$.*
- (ii) *The condition $\#\{x \in D : \rho(\phi(x)) \leq R_n\} \leq n$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. First note that $\tau(g) = \tau(h)$ if and only if $g^{-1}h \in Z$.

We choose a (positive-dimensional) unipotent subgroup U of S . Let $\pi : S \rightarrow S/U = Y$ be the natural projection. The quotient S/U is a quasi-affine variety, because U is unipotent. In particular, $Y = S/U$ admits an injective holomorphic map into some \mathbb{C}^m . As a consequence, there is a finite-dimensional complex vector space V of holomorphic functions on Y separating the points. Let B be the unit ball in V for some (arbitrary) norm on V . Note that B is relatively compact and open.

To each $F \in V$ we may associate an automorphism ϕ_F of S via $g \mapsto g \cdot (F(\pi(g)))$. (The analogous automorphisms for \mathbb{C}^n are known as “shears”.) Observe that $\phi_{-F} \circ \phi_F = id_S$. This confirms that ϕ_F is indeed an automorphism.

Since ρ is an exhaustion function, $K_r = \{x \in S : \rho(x) \leq r\}$ is compact for all $R > 0$. As a consequence, we may define

$$\tilde{R}_n = \max_{F \in B, \rho(x) \leq R_n} \rho(\phi_{-F}(x))$$

Observe that $\rho(p) > \tilde{R}_n$ implies that $\rho(\phi_F(p)) > R_n$ for all $F \in B$.

For every $g, h \in D$ we define a subset $\Omega_{g,h} \subset V$ as follows:

$$\Omega_{g,h} = \{F \in V : (\phi_F(g))^{-1} \phi_F(h) \notin Z\}$$

The condition

$$(\phi_F(g))^{-1} \phi_F(h) \notin Z$$

is equivalent to

$$g^{-1}h \notin F(g)ZF(h)$$

Since Z is finite and the functions F separate the points on S/U , it is clear that $\Omega_{g,h}$ is a dense open subset of V . As a Fréchet space V has the Baire property. It follows that

$$B \cap (\cap_{g \in D} \cap_{h \in D} \Omega_{g,h})$$

is again dense and in particular not empty. This implies the statement. \square

Proposition 9.6. *Let S be a connected complex semisimple Lie group with center Z and an exhaustion function $\rho : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup with Haar measure μ . Let T be a “maximal torus” of S in the sense of algebraic groups, i.e., a maximal connected reductive commutative complex Lie subgroup of S .*

For every discrete subset $D \subset S$ let Ω_D denote the set of all $k \in K$ for which the following holds:

- (i) *The natural projection map π_k from S to S/kTk^{-1} restricted to D is proper, i.e., has discrete image and finite fibers.*
- (ii) *For every $x, y \in D$ we have $\pi_k(x) \neq \pi_k(y)$ unless $x^{-1}y \in Z$.*

Then $\mu(K \setminus \Omega_D) = 0$ for every tame discrete set D .

Moreover, there exists a sequence R_n such that every discrete subset $D \subset S$ is tame if $\#\{p \in D : \rho(p) \leq R_n\} \leq n$, i.e., R_n is a “threshold sequence” as defined in definition 4.9.

Proof. We use proposition 9.4 to obtain an embedding $\alpha : S/T \hookrightarrow W^*$, a proper map $\beta : S \rightarrow V^*$ and a linear map $L : V^* \rightarrow W^*$. Conjugation

by K on S induces a natural K -action on V^* , because the dual space V of V^* consists of functions on S .

Due to property (iv) of prop. 9.4 we may invoke proposition 9.1 to obtain the following statement:

For every $\delta, r > 0$ there exists a number $R > 0$ such that

$$\|v\| > R \implies \mu(\{k \in K : \|L(k(v))\| < r\}) < \delta \quad \forall v \in V^*$$

Next we want to apply proposition 9.2 with $Y = W^*$.

Since β is proper, $\rho'(p) = \|\beta(p)\|$ defines an exhaustion function on S . We observe that for any $R > 0$ there exists a number $R' > 0$ such that $\rho(p) > R'$ implies $\rho'(p) > R$.

Therefore proposition 9.2 combined with its corollary implies that we have a conull set Ω'_D for which (i) holds.

We still have to discuss property (ii) of Ω_D . Let $p, q \in D$ and consider

$$C_{p,q} = \{k \in K : \pi_k(p) = \pi_k(q)\}$$

If $C_{p,q} = K$, then

$$pq^{-1} = \cap_k kTk^{-1} = Z$$

Thus $C_{p,q}$ is a nowhere dense real-analytic subset of K if $pq^{-1} \notin Z$. This proves the statement on Ω_D , because nowhere dense real analytic subsets are of Haar measure zero, and there are only countably many choices for $(p, q) \in D \times D$.

Finally the statement on the threshold sequence is a direct consequence. \square

Proposition 9.7. *Let S be a semisimple complex Lie group with maximal torus T and a complex-analytic subset $E \subset S$. Let $D \subset S$ be a tame discrete subset. Then there exists an automorphism ϕ of S such that for both quotients of S by T , the quotient by the right action as well as the quotient by the left action, the projection map restricts to an injective map from S to a discrete subset of the respective quotient manifold. In addition, ϕ may be chosen such that $\phi(D) \cap E = \{\}$.*

Proof. Let R_n be a threshold sequence and let Z denote the center of S . Due to lemma 9.5 we may without loss of generality assume that the natural projection $\tau : S \rightarrow S/Z$ is injective on D . Now let Ω_D be defined as in proposition 9.6.

Define $\alpha : S \rightarrow S$ as $\alpha(g) = g^{-1}$ and $D' = \alpha(D)$.

Due to proposition 9.6 we know that $K \setminus \Omega_D$ and $K \setminus \Omega_{D'}$ are sets of Haar measure zero.

Now define

$$U = (K \times K) \setminus \cup_{g \in D} \{(k, h) \in K \times K : kgh \in E\}$$

For each $dg \in D$ the set $\{(k, h) \in K \times K : kgh \in E\}$ is a nowhere dense real-analytic subset and therefore a set of Haar measure zero. Since D is countable, it follows that $(K \times K) \setminus U$ is a set of Haar measure zero. We observe that

$$M = (\Omega_D \times \Omega_{D'}) \cap U$$

is a conull set and therefore non-empty. Now we choose $(k, h) \in M$ and define $\phi : g \mapsto kgh^{-1}$. \square

Proposition 9.8. *Every complex semisimple Lie group S admits a non-tame discrete subset.*

Proof. S is Stein as a complex manifold. Due to [6] there exists a discrete subset $D_0 \subset S$ such that $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(S \setminus D_0) = \{id\}$. On the other hand, if D is a tame discrete subset in S , then there exists a biholomorphic self-map ϕ of S such that $\pi(\phi(D))$ is discrete in S/T where T is a maximal torus and $\pi : S \rightarrow S/T$ denotes the natural projection. But this implies that S admits many holomorphic automorphisms fixing each element of D : We may just take any holomorphic map $f : S/T \rightarrow T$ such that $f(q) = e_T$ for all $q \in \pi(\phi(D))$ and define

$$x \mapsto \phi^{-1}(\phi(x) \cdot f(\pi(\phi(x)))).$$

Thus $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(S \setminus D_0) = \{id\}$ prevents D_0 from being tame. \square

10. THE CASE $SL_n \mathbb{C}$

Definition 10.1. *A sequence $A(k)$ in $S = SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is called “well-placed” if the matrix coefficients $A_{i,j}(k)$ of the elements $A(k)$ fulfill the following two conditions:*

- (i) $A_{i,j}(k) \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.
- (ii) For every $2 \leq j \leq n$ and every $1 \leq h \leq n$ the sequences $\alpha_k = |A_{1,h}(k)/A_{j,h}(k)|$ and $\beta_k = |A_{h,1}(k)/A_{h,j}(k)|$ are unbounded and strictly increasing.

Proposition 10.2. *If a sequence $A(k)$ is “well-placed”, then $D = \{A(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a tame discrete subset of S and both natural projections $\pi : S \rightarrow S/T$ and $\pi' : S \rightarrow T \setminus S$ map D injectively onto a discrete subset of the respective quotient manifold.*

Proof. T is the subgroup of diagonal matrices. Its action by left multiplication on S may be identified with $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$ acting on the coefficients $A_{i,j}$ of elements A of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ via $A_{j,k} \mapsto A_{j,k} \lambda_{k-1}$ for $k \geq 2$ and $A_{j,1} \mapsto A_{j,1} \Pi_k \lambda_k^{-1}$. We may identify S/T with $(\mathbb{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}))^n \setminus Z$ where the projection map $\pi : S \rightarrow S/T$ is realized by projecting the columns of the matrix A to their respective equivalence classes

in $\mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$; and where the “bad locus” Z consists of those elements $([v_1], \dots, [v_n]) \in (\mathbb{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}))^n$ for which v_1, \dots, v_n are not linearly independent. The assumption of $A(k)$ being “well-placed” implies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \pi(A(k)) = ([e], \dots, [e])$$

with $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Therefore $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \pi(A(k)) \in Z$, i.e., $\{\pi(A(k)) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is discrete in S/T . Injectivity follows from the requirement that any sequence $k \mapsto |A_{j,1}(k)/A_{j,h}(k)|$ is strictly increasing. Tameness is due to proposition 8.2, taking into account that S/T is Stein due to the theorem of Matsushima. The statement for the left quotient are derived in the same way. \square

Lemma 10.3. *Let $A(k)$ be a well-placed sequence $A(k)$. Assume that $\lambda_i(k) \in \mathbb{C}^*$ are given for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

- (i) $|\lambda_1(k)| \geq |\lambda_j(k)|$ for all $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (ii) $|\lambda_1(k+1)\lambda_j(k)| \geq |\lambda_1(k)\lambda_j(k+1)|$ for all $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (iii) $\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(k) = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define $B_{i,j}(k) = \lambda_i A_{i,j}(k)$. Then $B(k)$ is well-placed and equivalent to $A(k)$.

Proof. The conditions on the $\lambda_i(k)$ ensure that $B(k)$ is well-placed. Proposition 10.2 implies that the sequence $A(k)$ is mapped injectively onto a discrete subset of S/T by the natural projection $\pi : S \rightarrow S/T$. Now the $\lambda_i(k)$ define a map g from $D = \{A(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ to T such that $B(k) = A(k) \cdot g(A(k))$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $B(k)$ is equivalent to $A(k)$. \square

Proposition 10.4. *Let $D(k)$ be a tame sequence in $S = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then there is a sequence $A(k)$ in S such that*

- (i) $D(k)$ and $A(k)$ are equivalent
- (ii) $A(k)$ is well-placed.

Proof. Due to proposition 9.7 we may assume that the projection map $\pi : S \rightarrow S/T$ restricts to an injective map from D onto a discrete subset of S/T . Moreover, we may assume that all the matrix coefficients $A_{i,j}(k)$ of every element $A(k)$ are non-zero, again by proposition 9.7. (noting that the set E of all $A \in SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ with at least one matrix coefficient being zero is a complex analytic subset of S .) T is non-compact and S/T is Stein. Thus for every sequence $\zeta(k) \in T$ we can find an automorphism ϕ of the complex manifold S such that $\phi(A(k)) = A(k) \cdot \zeta(k)$. From this we deduce the assertion. \square

Proposition 10.5. *Let $A(k)$, $B(k)$ be well-placed sequences in $S = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\tau : SL_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ be the map which associated to each matrix its first column vector.*

Then there exists a well-placed sequences $C(k)$, $D(k)$ in S such that

- (i) A and C are equivalent,
- (ii) B and D are equivalent,
- (iii) $\tau(C(k)) = \tau(D(k))$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (iv) $\{\tau(C(k)) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is discrete in \mathbb{C}^n .

Proof. We want to use lemma 10.3. For this purpose we define recursively sequences $\lambda_j(k)$ and $\mu_j(k)$ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ such that

- (i) $|\lambda_j(k)| \leq 1$ and $|\mu_j(k)| \leq 1$ for all $2 \leq j \leq n$.
- (ii) $|\lambda_j(k)| \leq |\lambda_j(k-1)/\lambda_1(k-1)|$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$.
- (iii) $|\mu_j(k)| \leq |\mu_j(k-1)/\mu_1(k-1)|$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$.
- (iv) $\lambda_j(k)A_{j,1}(k) = \mu_j(k)B_{j,1}$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$.
- (v) $\prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_j(k) = 1 = \prod_{j=1}^n \mu_j(k)$

Next we choose recursively sequences $\tilde{\lambda}_j(k)$ and $\tilde{\mu}_j(k)$ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ such that

- (i) $|\tilde{\lambda}_j(k)| \leq 1$ and $|\tilde{\mu}_j(k)| \leq 1$ for all $2 \leq j \leq n$.
- (ii) $|\tilde{\lambda}_j(k)| \leq |\tilde{\lambda}_j(k-1)/\tilde{\lambda}_1(k-1)|$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$.
- (iii) $|\tilde{\mu}_j(k)| \leq |\tilde{\mu}_j(k-1)/\tilde{\mu}_1(k-1)|$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$.
- (iv) $\tilde{\lambda}_1(k)\lambda_1(k)A_{1,1}(k) = \tilde{\mu}_1(k)\mu_1(k)B_{1,1}$.
- (v) $\prod_{j=1}^n \tilde{\lambda}_j(k) = 1 = \prod_{j=1}^n \tilde{\mu}_j(k)$

Now we define sequences $C'(k)$, $C(k)$ as follows:

- (i) $C'_{j,h}(k) = \lambda_j(k)A_{h,j}(k)$
- (ii) $C_{j,h}(k) = \tilde{\lambda}_h(k)C'_{j,h}(k) = \tilde{\lambda}_h(k)\lambda_j(k)A_{h,j}(k)$

Lemma 10.3 now first implies that $A(k)$ and $C'(k)$ are equivalent well-placed sequences and then it implies that $C(k)$ and $C'(k)$ are equivalent well-placed sequences.

Thus $A(k)$ and $C(k)$ are equivalent.

Similarly one verifies that for

$$D_{j,h}(k) = \tilde{\lambda}_h(k)\lambda_j(k)B_{h,j}(k)$$

the sequences $B(k)$ and $D(k)$ are equivalent. \square

Proposition 10.6. *Any two tame sequences in $S = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ are equivalent.*

Proof. Let $A(k)$, $B(k)$ be two tame sequences. Each tame sequence is equivalent to a well-placed sequence (proposition 10.4). Thus we may assume that both $A(k)$ and $B(k)$ are well-placed. Due to proposition 10.5 we may furthermore assume that for every k the first columns

of the matrices $A(k)$ and $B(k)$ coincide. The map projecting each matrix A to its first column can be described as $\tau : S \rightarrow S/Q \simeq \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$. By proposition 10.5 we know that $\tau(A(k)) = \tau(B(k))$ constitutes a sequence in S/Q which is discrete in \mathbb{C}^n . For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $g(k) \in Q$ denote the element such that $A(k) = B(k) \cdot g(k)$. Now let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow Q$ be a holomorphic map such that $F(\tau(A(k))) = g(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then F defines an automorphism ϕ of the manifold S given by $x \mapsto x \cdot F(\tau(x))$. Via this automorphism ϕ the two sequences $A(k)$ and $B(k)$ are equivalent. \square

Corollary 10.7. *Let D be a tame discrete subset of $S = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then every permutation of D extends to an automorphism of the complex manifold S .*

Proposition 10.8. *Let D be a discrete subset of $S = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\pi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ denote the linear projection of matrices in $S \subset Mat(n \times n, \mathbb{C})$ onto its first column.*

Then D is tame, if $\pi|_D$ has finite fibers and its image is a discrete subset of \mathbb{C}^n .

Corollary 10.9. *Every discrete subset D of $S = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be realized as the union of n tame discrete subsets.*

Proof. Let π_k denote the projection onto the k -th column and define

$$D_k = \{x \in D : \|\pi_k(x)\| \geq \|\pi_j(x)\| \forall j\}$$

By construction we have

$$\|\pi_k(x)\| \geq \frac{1}{n} \|x\| \quad \forall x \in D_k \quad \forall k$$

Since D is discrete, $\{x \in D : \|x\| < R\}$ is finite for all $R > 0$. Hence $\{x \in D_k : \|\pi_k(x)\| < \frac{1}{n}R\}$ is finite for all $R > 0$. It follows that $\pi_k(D_k)$ is discrete and that $\pi_k|_{D_k}$ has finite fibers. Hence each D_k is tame due to proposition 8.2. \square

Corollary 10.10. *Let T be a torus (i.e. commutative reductive complex Lie subgroup) of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let D be a discrete subset of T .*

Then D is a tame discrete subset in $X = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Every torus is contained in a maximal torus and all the maximal tori are conjugate. Hence we may assume that T is the group of diagonal $n \times n$ -matrices with determinant 1.

Let A denote the matrix given as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then for each diagonal matrix M with coefficients $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ we have

$$\pi(M \cdot A) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in Z = \{v \in \mathbb{C}^n : \Pi_i v_i = 1\}.$$

Thus $\psi : M \rightarrow M \cdot A$ defines a biholomorphic self-map of X which maps T biholomorphically onto the closed complex analytic subset Z of \mathbb{C}^n . Hence $\psi(D)$ (and therefore also D) is tame due to the proposition. \square

11. SPECIAL RESULTS FOR $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$

11.1. $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ is tame. We will see that $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ and more generally $SL_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ for every imaginary quadratic number field K are tame discrete subsets in $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

We will need some kind of “overshears” on $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 11.1. *For every holomorphic function $\lambda : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ with $\lambda(0, w) = 1$ for every $w \in \mathbb{C}$ there is a biholomorphic automorphism ϕ_λ of the complex manifold $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$\phi_\lambda : \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & c\lambda(a, b) \\ b & d' \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$d' = \frac{1 + bc\lambda(a, b)}{a} = d + \frac{1 - \lambda(a, b)}{a}$$

if $a \neq 0$.

If we choose a global coordinate for a fiber $F_v = \pi_1^{-1}(v) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ the restriction of ϕ_λ to F_v assumes the form $t \mapsto \lambda(v)t + c$ (with c depending on the choice of the global coordinate).

Proof. Explicit calculation combined with the observation that

$$\frac{1 - \lambda(a, b)}{a}$$

has a removable singularity along $a = 0$ because we required λ to fulfill the identity $\lambda(0, w) = 1 \forall w \in \mathbb{C}$. \square

Proposition 11.2. *Let $\pi_i : SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ denote the projection onto the i -th column. Let Γ be a discrete subset of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\pi_1(\Gamma)$ is a discrete subset of \mathbb{C}^2 .*

Then Γ is tame.

Proof. Define $H = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : z_1 z_2 = 0\}$. Observe that $\pi_1(AB) = A \cdot \pi_1(B)$ for $A, B \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ the set $U_\gamma = \{A : A\pi_1(\gamma) \notin H\}$ is Zariski open, because $\pi_1(\Gamma) \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. Since Γ is countable, $\bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} U_\gamma$ is not empty. Thus by replacing Γ with $\{A\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ for a suitably chosen A we may assume that $\Lambda = \pi_1(\Gamma) \cap H$ is empty. We fix a bijection $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Lambda$.

The fibers of π_1 are the orbits of the principal right action given as

$$R_t : \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & c + at \\ b & d + bt \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus there is a natural distance function on each π_1 -fiber given as $d(A, B) = |t|$ if $B = R_t(A)$. Using this distance function, choose numbers ρ_k such that $\pi_1^{-1}(\alpha(k)) \setminus \Gamma$ contains a ρ_k -ball. Next we choose a map $\lambda_0 : H \cup \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda_0|_H \equiv 1$ and

$$|\lambda(\alpha(k))| \rho_k \|\alpha(k)\| > k \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Using lemma 11.1 we may from now on assume that $\rho_k \|\alpha(k)\| > k$. Observe that for any $v, A, B \in \pi_1^{-1}(v)$ we have $\|\pi_2(A) - \pi_2(B)\| = \|v\| d(A, B)$.

From this we may deduce that there exists a sequence t_k such that

$$\pi_2(R_{t_k}(\Gamma \cap \pi_1^{-1}(\alpha_k))) \cap \{w \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \|w\| < k\} = \{\}.$$

Moreover, choosing t_k sufficiently generic, we may assume that after applying an automorphism ψ extending the R_{t_k} the projection map $\pi_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ becomes injective.

Then the assertion follows from proposition 10. \square

Corollary 11.3. *For $K = \mathbb{Q}$ or an imaginary quadratic number field K let $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ where \mathcal{O}_K denotes the ring of algebraic integers in K .*

Then Γ is tame.

Proof. If π_1 denotes the projection onto the first column, then $\pi_1(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{O}_K \times \mathcal{O}_K \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ and \mathcal{O}_K is discrete in \mathbb{C} . \square

In particular, $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ is tame.

12. MISCELLENEA

Proposition 12.1. *Let $G = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ with a tame infinite discrete subset D .*

Then the automorphism group $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(G \setminus D)$ has uncountably many connected components (with respect to the compact-open topology).

Proof. Since D is of codimension at least two in G , every holomorphic function on $G \setminus D$ extends to G . Now G is Stein and therefore may be realized as a closed complex submanifold in some \mathbb{C}^N . For every holomorphic automorphism ϕ of $G \setminus D$ both ϕ and its inverse ϕ^{-1} extend as holomorphic maps F resp. F_1 with values in \mathbb{C}^N through D . Since $G \setminus D$ is dense in G , we have $F(G) \subset G$ and $F_1(G) \subset G$. Now $F \circ F_1$ and $F_1 \circ F$ equal the identity map on $G \setminus D$ and therefore also on

G . Hence $F : G \rightarrow G$ is a biholomorphic self-map of G extending $\phi \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(G \setminus D)$. Thus every holomorphic automorphism of $G \setminus D$ induces a permutation of D . Conversely every permutation of D extends to a holomorphic automorphism. Let $p, q \in D$. We choose a compact subset K of $G \setminus D$ and a Stein open subset $\Omega \subset G$ such that

$$p \in \hat{K} \subset \Omega$$

(where \hat{K} denotes the holomorphic convex hull of K in G) and such that $\Omega \cap D = \{p\}$. Let ϕ_0 be an automorphism of $G \setminus D$ resp. its extension to G with $\phi_0(p) = q$. Now

$$W = \{\phi \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(G \setminus D) : \phi(K) \subset \phi_0(\Omega)\}$$

is open with respect to the compact-open topology on $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(G \setminus D)$. For every $\phi \in W$ the extension to G (by abuse of notation again denoted by ϕ) has the property $\phi(\hat{K}) \subset \phi_0(\Omega)$, because $\phi_0(\Omega)$ is Stein and $\phi(K) \subset \phi_0(\Omega)$. Since

$$\phi(p) \in D \cap \phi_0(\Omega) = \phi_0(\Omega \cap D) = \{\phi_0(p)\},$$

we obtain that $\phi(p) = q$ for all $\phi \in W$. It follows that

$$\{\phi \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(G \setminus D) : \phi(p) = q\}$$

is open in $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(G \setminus D)$. This in turn implies that we have a continuous surjective map from $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(G \setminus D)$ to $\text{Perm}(D)$ where $\text{Perm}(D)$ is endowed with a totally disconnected topology, namely the topology which has

$$W_{p,q} = \{\phi : \phi(p) = q\}$$

as a basis of topology. Because $\text{Perm}(D)$ is uncountable for an infinite countable set D the assertion follows. \square

It is easily verified that a discrete subset D in \mathbb{C}^n is tame if and only if there is a biholomorphic self-map ψ of \mathbb{C}^n such that $\psi(D)$ is contained in a complex line. The complex lines through the origin in \mathbb{C}^n are precisely the one-dimensional connected complex Lie subgroups of the additive group $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$. Below we present a statement in the same spirit for semisimple Lie groups.

Proposition 12.2. *An infinite discrete subset of $S = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is tame if and only if there exists an connected one-dimensional complex algebraic subgroup A and an automorphism of the complex manifold S such that $\phi(D) \subset A$.*

Proof. Since A is unbounded, it contains a tame discrete subset D' (proposition 9.6 and proposition 4.10). This proves one direction,

because any two tame discrete subsets of S are equivalent (proposition 10.6).

For the opposite direction, let $D \subset A$ be a discrete subset.

If A is reductive, i.e., if $A \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$, then D is tame due to corollary 10.10.

It remains to discuss the case where $A \simeq \mathbb{C}$. Let $p_k : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ denote the map which associates to each matrix its k -th column. Choose a k such that p_k is not constant on A . Then $p_k : A \simeq \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a non-constant algebraic morphism. Note that every algebraic morphism from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{C}^n is given by polynomials and therefore is a proper map. Hence D is tame due to proposition 10. \square

13. SOME OPEN QUESTIONS

- Is every discrete subgroup in a Stein complex Lie group tame?
- To which extent do our results for $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ extend to arbitrary Stein complex Lie groups, and more generally, to arbitrary homogeneous manifolds, perhaps presuming “flexibility” or “density property” ?
- Does every non-compact complex manifold admit a non-tame infinite discrete subset?

Instead of considering the full automorphism group one may also discuss certain subgroups, e.g., the subgroup preserving some volume form.

REFERENCES

- [1] Andersen, E.; Lempert, L.: On the group of holomorphic automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^n . *Invent. Math.* **110** (1992), no. 2, 371-388.
- [2] Andrist, R.; Ugolini, R.: Personal communication.
- [3] Buzzard, G.; Lu, S.: Algebraic surfaces holomorphically dominable by \mathbb{C}^2 . *Invent. Math.* **139**, (3) 617-659 (2000)
- [4] Rosay, J.P.; Rudin, W.: Holomorphic maps from \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^n . *Trans. A.M.S.* **310**, 47-86 (1988)
- [5] Winkelmann, J.: Tameness and growth conditions. *documenta math.* **13**, 97-101 (2008)
- [6] Winkelmann, J.: Large Discrete Sets in Stein Manifolds. *Math. Z.* **236**, 883-901 (2001)
- [7] Winkelmann, J.: Non-degenerate maps and sets. *Math. Z.* **249** 783-795 (2005)

JÖRG WINKELMANN, LEHRSTUHL ANALYSIS II, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT,
NA 4/73, RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM, 44780 BOCHUM, GERMANY
E-mail address: jwinkel@member.ams.org