

On non-abelian extensions of 3-Lie algebras ^{*}

Lina Song¹, Abdenacer Makhlouf² and Rong Tang¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China

²University of Haute Alsace, Laboratoire de Mathématiques,
Informatique et Applications, Mulhouse, France

Email: songln@jlu.edu.cn, abdenacer.makhlouf@uha.fr, tangrong16@mails.jlu.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we study non-abelian extensions of 3-Lie algebras through Maurer-Cartan elements. We show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of non-abelian extensions of 3-Lie algebras and equivalence classes of Maurer-Cartan elements in a DGLA. The structure of the Leibniz algebra on the space of fundamental objects is also analyzed.

1 Introduction

Ternary Lie algebras (3-Lie algebras) or more generally n -ary Lie algebras are a natural generalization of Lie algebras. They were introduced and studied first by Filippov in [10]. This type of algebras appeared also in the algebraic formulation of Nambu Mechanics [21], generalizing Hamiltonian mechanics by considering two hamiltonians, see [24] and also [12]. Moreover, 3-Lie algebras appeared in String Theory and M-theory. In [5], Basu and Harvey suggested to replace the Lie algebra appearing in the Nahm equation by a 3-Lie algebra for the lifted Nahm equations. Furthermore, in the context of Bagger-Lambert-Gustavsson model of multiple M2-branes, Bagger-Lambert managed to construct, using a ternary bracket, an $N = 2$ supersymmetric version of the worldvolume theory of the M-theory membrane, see [1] and also [2, 13, 14, 22].

Several algebraic aspects of n -Lie algebras were studied in the last years. See [3, 4] for the construction, realization and classifications of 3-Lie algebras and n -Lie algebras. Representation theory of n -Lie algebras was first introduced by Kasymov in [15] and cohomologies were studied in [8]. The adjoint representation is defined by the ternary bracket in which two elements are fixed. Through fundamental objects one may also represent a 3-Lie algebra and more generally an n -Lie algebra by a Leibniz algebra [6]. Following this approach, deformations of 3-Lie algebras and n -Lie algebras are studied in [9, 25], see [20] for a review. In [23], the author defined a graded Lie algebra structure on the cochain complex of an n -Leibniz algebra and described an n -Leibniz structure as a canonical structure. See the review article [7] for more details. In [16], the authors introduced

⁰ *Keyword: 3-Lie algebra, Leibniz algebra, non-abelian extension, Maurer-Cartan element*

⁰ *MSC: 17B10, 17B56, 17A42.*

^{*}This research is supported by NSFC (11471139) and NSF of Jilin Province (20170101050JC).

the notion of a generalized representation of a 3-Lie algebra, by which abelian extensions of 3-Lie algebras are studied.

Due to its difficulty and less of tools, non-abelian extensions of 3-Lie algebras are not studied. In this paper, motivated by the work in [11, 16], we find a suitable approach which uses Maurer-Cartan elements to study non-abelian extensions of 3-Lie algebras. We also show that the Leibniz algebra on the space of fundamental objects is a non-abelian extension of Leibniz algebras.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a review of non-abelian extensions of Leibniz algebras and cohomologies of 3-Lie algebras. A characterization of non-abelian extensions of a 3-Lie algebra by another 3-Lie algebra is given in Section 3 and several examples provided. In Section 4, we show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of non-abelian extensions of 3-Lie algebras and equivalence classes of Maurer-Cartan elements. Finally, we analyze in Section 5 the corresponding Leibniz algebra structure on the space of fundamental objects and show that it is a non-abelian extension of Leibniz algebras.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, we work over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic 0 and all the vector spaces are over $\mathbb{K}$.

2.1 Non-abelian extensions of Leibniz algebras

A **Leibniz algebra** is a vector space $\mathfrak{k}$ endowed with a linear map $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{k}} : \mathfrak{k} \otimes \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$ satisfying

$$[x, [y, z]_{\mathfrak{k}}]_{\mathfrak{k}} = [[x, y]_{\mathfrak{k}}, z]_{\mathfrak{k}} + [y, [x, z]_{\mathfrak{k}}]_{\mathfrak{k}}, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{k}. \quad (1)$$

This is in fact a left Leibniz algebra. In this paper, we only consider left Leibniz algebras which we call Leibniz algebras.

Let $(\mathfrak{k}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{k}})$ be a Leibniz algebra. We denote by $\text{Der}^L(\mathfrak{k})$ and $\text{Der}^R(\mathfrak{k})$ the set of left derivations and the set of right derivations of $\mathfrak{g}$ respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Der}^L(\mathfrak{k}) &= \{D \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{k}) \mid D[x, y]_{\mathfrak{k}} = [Dx, y]_{\mathfrak{k}} + [x, Dy]_{\mathfrak{k}}, \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{k}\}, \\ \text{Der}^R(\mathfrak{k}) &= \{D \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{k}) \mid D[x, y]_{\mathfrak{k}} = [x, Dy]_{\mathfrak{k}} - [y, Dx]_{\mathfrak{k}}, \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{k}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the right derivations are called anti-derivations in [18, 19]. It is easy to see that for all $x \in \mathfrak{k}$, $\text{ad}_x^L : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$, which is given by $\text{ad}_x^L(y) = [x, y]_{\mathfrak{k}}$, is a left derivation; $\text{ad}_x^R : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$, which is given by $\text{ad}_x^R(y) = [y, x]_{\mathfrak{k}}$, is a right derivation.

Definition 2.1. (1) Let $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{s}, \hat{\mathfrak{k}}$ be Leibniz algebras. A non-abelian extension of Leibniz algebras is a short exact sequence of Leibniz algebras:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{s} \xrightarrow{i} \hat{\mathfrak{k}} \xrightarrow{p} \mathfrak{k} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We say that $\hat{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a non-abelian extension of $\mathfrak{k}$ by $\mathfrak{s}$.

(2) A linear section of $\hat{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a linear map $\sigma : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{k}}$ such that $p \circ \sigma = \text{Id}$.

Let $\hat{\mathfrak{k}}$ be a non-abelian extension of $\mathfrak{k}$ by $\mathfrak{s}$, and $\sigma : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{k}}$ a linear section. Define $\omega : \mathfrak{k} \otimes \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}$, $l : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{s})$ and $r : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{s})$ respectively by

$$\omega(x, y) = [\sigma(x), \sigma(y)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{k}}} - \sigma[x, y]_{\mathfrak{k}}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{k}, \quad (2)$$

$$l_x(\beta) = [\sigma(x), \beta]_{\hat{\mathfrak{k}}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{k}, \beta \in \mathfrak{s}, \quad (3)$$

$$r_y(\alpha) = [\alpha, \sigma(y)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{k}}}, \quad \forall y \in \mathfrak{k}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{s}. \quad (4)$$

Given a linear section, we have $\hat{\mathfrak{k}} \cong \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$ as vector spaces, and the Leibniz algebra structure on $\hat{\mathfrak{k}}$ can be transferred to $\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$:

$$[x + \alpha, y + \beta]_{(l, r, \omega)} = [x, y]_{\mathfrak{k}} + \omega(x, y) + l_x \beta + r_y \alpha + [\alpha, \beta]_{\mathfrak{s}}. \quad (5)$$

Proposition 2.2. *With the above notations, $(\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{s}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{(l, r, \omega)})$ is a Leibniz algebra if and only if l, r, ω satisfy the following equalities:*

$$l_x[\alpha, \beta]_{\mathfrak{s}} = [l_x \alpha, \beta]_{\mathfrak{s}} + [\alpha, l_x \beta]_{\mathfrak{s}}, \quad (6)$$

$$r_x[\alpha, \beta]_{\mathfrak{s}} = [\alpha, r_x \beta]_{\mathfrak{s}} - [\beta, r_x \alpha]_{\mathfrak{s}}, \quad (7)$$

$$[l_x \alpha + r_x \alpha, \beta]_{\mathfrak{s}} = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$[l_x, l_y] - l_{[x, y]_{\mathfrak{k}}} = \text{ad}_{\omega(x, y)}^L, \quad (9)$$

$$[l_x, r_y] - r_{[x, y]_{\mathfrak{k}}} = \text{ad}_{\omega(x, y)}^R, \quad (10)$$

$$r_y(r_x(\alpha) + l_x(\alpha)) = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$l_x \omega(y, z) - l_y \omega(x, z) - r_z \omega(x, y) = \omega([x, y]_{\mathfrak{k}}, z) - \omega(x, [y, z]_{\mathfrak{k}}) + \omega(y, [x, z]_{\mathfrak{k}}). \quad (12)$$

Eq. (6) means that $l_x \in \text{Der}^L(\mathfrak{s})$ and Eq. (7) means that $r_x \in \text{Der}^R(\mathfrak{s})$. See [17] for more details about non-abelian extensions of Leibniz algebras.

2.2 3-Lie algebras and their representations

Definition 2.3. *A 3-Lie algebra is a vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ together with a skew-symmetric linear map $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}} : \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that the following **Fundamental Identity (FI)** holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5} \\ & \triangleq [x_1, x_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} - [[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}} - [x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}} - [x_3, x_4, [x_1, x_2, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Definition 2.4. *A morphism of 3-Lie algebras $f : (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}})$ is a linear map $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ such that*

$$f[x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [f(x), f(y), f(z)]_{\mathfrak{h}}. \quad (14)$$

Elements in $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ are called **fundamental objects** of the 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$. There is a bilinear operation $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{F}}$ on $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$, which is given by

$$[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]_{\text{F}} = [x_1, x_2, y_1]_{\mathfrak{g}} \wedge y_2 + y_1 \wedge [x_1, x_2, y_2]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \forall \mathfrak{X} = x_1 \wedge x_2, \mathfrak{Y} = y_1 \wedge y_2. \quad (15)$$

It is well-known that $(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{F}})$ is a Leibniz algebra [6], which plays an important role in the theory of 3-Lie algebras.

Definition 2.5. ([15]) A representation ρ of a 3-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on a vector space V is a linear map $\rho : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x_1, x_2)\rho(x_3, x_4) &= \rho([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_4) + \rho(x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \rho(x_3, x_4)\rho(x_1, x_2), \\ \rho(x_1, [x_2, x_3, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}}) &= \rho(x_3, x_4)\rho(x_1, x_2) - \rho(x_2, x_4)\rho(x_1, x_3) + \rho(x_2, x_3)\rho(x_1, x_4).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 3-Lie algebra, V a vector space and $\rho : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ a skew-symmetric linear map. Then $(V; \rho)$ is a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ if and only if there is a 3-Lie algebra structure (called the semidirect product) on the direct sum of vector spaces $\mathfrak{g} \oplus V$, defined by

$$[x_1 + v_1, x_2 + v_2, x_3 + v_3]_{\rho} = [x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \rho(x_1, x_2)v_3 + \rho(x_2, x_3)v_1 + \rho(x_3, x_1)v_2, \quad (16)$$

for $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}, v_i \in V, 1 \leq i \leq 3$. We denote this semidirect product 3-Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\rho} V$.

A p -cochain on $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in a representation $(V; \rho)$ is a linear map

$$\alpha : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \overset{(p-1)}{\dots} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow V.$$

Denote the space of p -cochains by $C^{p-1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$. The coboundary operator $\delta : C^{p-1}(\mathfrak{g}, V) \longrightarrow C^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta\alpha)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_p, z) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (-1)^j \alpha(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{k-1}, [\mathfrak{X}_j, \mathfrak{X}_k]_{\mathfrak{F}}, \mathfrak{X}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_p, z) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j \alpha(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_p, [\mathfrak{X}_j, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^{j+1} \rho(\mathfrak{X}_j) \alpha(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_p, z) \\ &+ (-1)^{p+1} \left(\rho(y_p, z) \alpha(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p-1}, x_p) + \rho(z, x_p) \alpha(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p-1}, y_p) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

3 Non-abelian extensions of 3-Lie algebras

Definition 3.1. A non-abelian extension of a 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ by a 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}})$ is a short exact sequence of 3-Lie algebra morphisms:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\iota} \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \xrightarrow{p} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}})$ is a 3-Lie algebra.

Definition 3.2. Two extensions of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{h}$, $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1})$ and $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2})$, are said to be isomorphic if there exists a 3-Lie algebra morphism $\theta : \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2 \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ such that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{h} & \xrightarrow{\iota_2} & \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \theta \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{h} & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1 & \xrightarrow{p_1} & \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Given a section σ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, define $\rho : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{h})$, $\nu : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h})$ and $\omega : \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x, y)(u) &= [\sigma(x), \sigma(y), u]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \\ \nu(x)(u, v) &= [\sigma(x), u, v]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \\ \omega(x, y, z) &= [\sigma(x), \sigma(y), \sigma(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - \sigma[x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}.\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ as vector spaces. Transfer the 3-Lie algebra structure on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ to that on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, we obtain a 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)})$, where $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}& [x_1 + v_1, x_2 + v_2, x_3 + v_3]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} \\ &= [x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \omega(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \rho(x_1, x_2)v_3 + \rho(x_2, x_3)v_1 + \rho(x_3, x_1)v_2 \\ &+ \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3) + \nu(x_2)(v_3, v_1) + \nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2) + [v_1, v_2, v_3]_{\mathfrak{h}}.\end{aligned}$$

The following proposition gives the conditions on ρ, ν and ω such that $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)})$ is a 3-Lie algebra.

Proposition 3.3. *With the above notations, $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)})$ is a 3-Lie algebra if and only if ρ, ν and ω satisfy, for all $x_1, \dots, x_5 \in \mathfrak{g}$, $v_1, \dots, v_5 \in \mathfrak{h}$, the following conditions*

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \omega(x_1, x_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \rho(x_1, x_2)\omega(x_3, x_4, x_5) - \omega([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_4, x_5) \\ &- \rho(x_4, x_5)\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3) - \omega(x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_5) + \rho(x_3, x_5)\omega(x_1, x_2, x_4) \\ &- \omega(x_3, x_4, [x_1, x_2, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}) - \rho(x_3, x_4)\omega(x_1, x_2, x_5),\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \rho(x_1, x_2)(\rho(x_3, x_4)v_5) - \rho([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_4)v_5 + \nu(x_4)(\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3), v_5) \\ &- \rho(x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}})v_5 - \nu(x_3)(\omega(x_1, x_2, x_4), v_5) - \rho(x_3, x_4)(\rho(x_1, x_2)v_5),\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= -\rho(x_1, [x_3, x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}})v_2 + \nu(x_1)(v_2, \omega(x_3, x_4, x_5)) + \rho(x_4, x_5)(\rho(x_1, x_3)v_2) \\ &- \rho(x_3, x_5)(\rho(x_1, x_4)v_2) + \rho(x_3, x_4)(\rho(x_1, x_5)v_2),\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \rho(x_1, x_2)(\nu(x_3)(v_4, v_5)) - \nu([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}})(v_4, v_5) - [\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3), v_4, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &- \nu(x_3)(\rho(x_1, x_2)v_4, v_5) - \nu(x_3)(v_4, \rho(x_1, x_2)v_5),\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \nu(x_1)(v_2, \rho(x_3, x_4)v_5) - \nu(x_4)(\rho(x_1, x_3)v_2, v_5) + \nu(x_3)(\rho(x_1, x_4)v_2, v_5) \\ &- \rho(x_3, x_4)(\nu(x_1)(v_2, v_5)),\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \nu([x_3, x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}})(v_1, v_2) + [v_1, v_2, \omega(x_3, x_4, x_5)]_{\mathfrak{h}} - \rho(x_4, x_5)(\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2)) \\ &+ \rho(x_3, x_5)(\nu(x_4)(v_1, v_2)) - \rho(x_3, x_4)(\nu(x_5)(v_1, v_2)),\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \rho(x_1, x_2)[v_3, v_4, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}} - [\rho(x_1, x_2)v_3, v_4, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}} - [v_3, \rho(x_1, x_2)v_4, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &- [v_3, v_4, \rho(x_1, x_2)v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}},\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \nu(x_1)(v_2, \nu(x_3)(v_4, v_5)) + [\rho(x_1, x_3)v_2, v_4, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}} - \nu(x_3)(\nu(x_1)(v_2, v_4), v_5) \\ &- \nu(x_3)(v_4, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_5)),\end{aligned}\tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= [v_1, v_2, \rho(x_3, x_4)v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}} + \nu(x_4)(\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2), v_5) - \nu(x_3)(\nu(x_4)(v_1, v_2), v_5) \\ &- \rho(x_3, x_4)[v_1, v_2, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}},\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \nu(x_1)(v_2, [v_3, v_4, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}}) - [\nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3), v_4, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}} - [v_3, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_4), v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &- [v_3, v_4, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_5)]_{\mathfrak{h}},\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= [v_1, v_2, \nu(x_3)(v_4, v_5)]_{\mathfrak{h}} - [\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2), v_4, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}} - \nu(x_3)([v_1, v_2, v_4]_{\mathfrak{h}}, v_5) \\ &- \nu(x_3)(v_4, [v_1, v_2, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}}).\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

Proof. Assume that $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)})$ is a 3-Lie algebra. By

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, x_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} &= [[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, x_4, x_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} + [x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, x_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} \\ &\quad + [x_3, x_4, [x_1, x_2, x_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that (18) holds. By

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, x_2, [x_3, x_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} &= [[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, x_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} + [x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} \\ &\quad + [x_3, x_4, [x_1, x_2, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that (19) holds. By

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, v_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} &= [[x_1, v_2, x_3]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, x_4, x_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} + [x_3, [x_1, v_2, x_4]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, x_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} \\ &\quad + [x_3, x_4, [x_1, v_2, x_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that (20) holds. By

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, x_2, [x_3, v_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} &= [[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, v_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} + [x_3, [x_1, x_2, v_4]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} \\ &\quad + [x_3, v_4, [x_1, x_2, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that (21) holds. By

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, v_2, [x_3, x_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} &= [[x_1, v_2, x_3]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, x_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} + [x_3, [x_1, v_2, x_4]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} \\ &\quad + [x_3, x_4, [x_1, v_2, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that (22) holds. By

$$\begin{aligned} [v_1, v_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} &= [[v_1, v_2, x_3]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, x_4, x_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} + [x_3, [v_1, v_2, x_4]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, x_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} \\ &\quad + [x_3, x_4, [v_1, v_2, x_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that (23) holds. By

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, x_2, [v_3, v_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} &= [[x_1, x_2, v_3]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, v_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} + [v_3, [x_1, x_2, v_4]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} \\ &\quad + [v_3, v_4, [x_1, x_2, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that (24) holds. By

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, v_2, [x_3, v_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} &= [[x_1, v_2, x_3]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, v_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} + [x_3, [x_1, v_2, v_4]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} \\ &\quad + [x_3, v_4, [x_1, v_2, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that (25) holds. By

$$\begin{aligned} [v_1, v_2, [x_3, x_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} &= [[v_1, v_2, x_3]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, x_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} + [x_3, [v_1, v_2, x_4]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} \\ &\quad + [x_3, x_4, [v_1, v_2, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that (26) holds. By

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, v_2, [v_3, x_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} &= [[x_1, v_2, v_3]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, x_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} + [v_3, [x_1, v_2, x_4]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} \\ &\quad + [v_3, x_4, [x_1, v_2, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that (27) holds. By

$$\begin{aligned} [v_1, v_2, [x_3, v_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} &= [[v_1, v_2, x_3]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, v_4, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} + [x_3, [v_1, v_2, v_4]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)} \\ &\quad + [x_3, v_4, [v_1, v_2, v_5]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that (28) holds.

Conversely, if (18)-(28) hold, it is straightforward to see that $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)})$ is a 3-Lie algebra. ■

Example 3.4. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the simple 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebra defined with respect to a basis $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ by the skew-symmetric brackets

$$[x_1, x_2, x_3] = x_4, [x_1, x_2, x_4] = x_3, [x_1, x_3, x_4] = x_2, [x_2, x_3, x_4] = x_1,$$

and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the 3-dimensional 3-Lie algebra defined with respect to basis $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ by

$$[v_1, v_2, v_3] = v_1.$$

Then every non-abelian extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{h}$ is given by $\rho = 0$. The following families of ν and ω provide non-abelian extensions of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{h}$

- $\nu(x_1)(v_1, v_2) = r_1 v_1, \nu(x_1)(v_1, v_3) = r_2 v_1, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3) = r_3 v_1,$
 $\nu(x_2)(v_1, v_2) = \frac{r_1 r_4}{r_2} v_1, \nu(x_2)(v_1, v_3) = r_4 v_1, \nu(x_2)(v_2, v_3) = \frac{r_3 r_4}{r_2} v_1,$
 $\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2) = \frac{r_1 r_5}{r_2} v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_1, v_3) = r_5 v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_2, v_3) = \frac{r_3 r_5}{r_2} v_1,$
 $\nu(x_4)(v_1, v_2) = \frac{r_1 r_6}{r_2} v_1, \nu(x_4)(v_1, v_3) = r_6 v_1, \nu(x_4)(v_2, v_3) = \frac{r_3 r_6}{r_2} v_1,$
- $\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3) = -\frac{r_3 r_6}{r_2} v_1 + r_6 v_2 - \frac{r_1 r_6}{r_2} v_3,$
 $\omega(x_1, x_2, x_4) = -\frac{r_3 r_5}{r_2} v_1 + r_5 v_2 - \frac{r_1 r_5}{r_2} v_3,$
 $\omega(x_1, x_3, x_4) = -\frac{r_3 r_4}{r_2} v_1 + r_4 v_2 - \frac{r_1 r_4}{r_2} v_3,$
 $\omega(x_2, x_3, x_4) = -r_3 v_1 + r_2 v_2 - r_1 v_3,$

where r_i are parameters in $\mathbb{K}$.

Example 3.5. Now, let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the 3-dimensional 3-Lie algebra defined with respect to a basis $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ by the skew-symmetric bracket $[x_1, x_2, x_3] = x_1$ and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the same 3-Lie algebra which we consider with respect to basis $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, that is $[v_1, v_2, v_3] = v_1$. Then every non-abelian extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{h}$ is given by one of the following triples (ρ, ν, ω) .

1. • $\rho(x_1, x_2)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_2)(v_2) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_2)(v_3) = 0,$
 $\rho(x_1, x_3)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_3)(v_2) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_3)(v_3) = 0,$
 $\rho(x_2, x_3)(v_1) = (r_3 r_6 - r_4 r_5) v_1, \rho(x_2, x_3)(v_2) = r_1 v_1, \rho(x_2, x_3)(v_3) = r_2 v_1,$
• $\nu(x_1)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_1)(v_1, v_3) = 0, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3) = 0,$
 $\nu(x_2)(v_1, v_2) = r_3 v_1, \nu(x_2)(v_1, v_3) = r_4 v_1, \nu(x_2)(v_2, v_3) = \frac{r_2 r_3 - r_1 r_4}{r_4 r_5 - r_3 r_6} v_1,$
 $\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2) = r_5 v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_1, v_3) = r_6 v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_2, v_3) = \frac{r_2 r_5 - r_1 r_6}{r_4 r_5 - r_3 r_6} v_1,$
• $\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0,$
2. • $\rho(x_1, x_2)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_2)(v_2) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_2)(v_3) = 0,$
 $\rho(x_1, x_3)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_3)(v_2) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_3)(v_3) = 0,$
 $\rho(x_2, x_3)(v_1) = -r_3 r_4 v_1, \rho(x_2, x_3)(v_2) = r_1 v_1, \rho(x_2, x_3)(v_3) = r_2 v_1,$

- $\nu(x_1)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_1)(v_1, v_3) = 0, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3) = 0,$
 $\nu(x_2)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_2)(v_1, v_3) = r_3 v_1, \nu(x_2)(v_2, v_3) = \frac{r_1}{r_4} v_1,$
 $\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2) = r_4 v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_1, v_3) = r_5 v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_2, v_3) = \frac{r_2 r_4 - r_1 r_5}{r_3 r_4} v_1,$
 - $\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0,$
- 3.
- $\rho(x_1, x_2)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_2)(v_2) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_2)(v_3) = 0,$
 $\rho(x_1, x_3)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_3)(v_2) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_3)(v_3) = 0,$
 $\rho(x_2, x_3)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_2, x_3)(v_2) = r_1 v_1, \rho(x_2, x_3)(v_3) = \frac{r_3 r_1}{r_2} v_1,$
 - $\nu(x_1)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_1)(v_1, v_3) = 0, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3) = 0,$
 $\nu(x_2)(v_1, v_2) = r_2 v_1, \nu(x_2)(v_1, v_3) = r_3 v_1, \nu(x_2)(v_2, v_3) = r_4 v_1,$
 $\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2) = r_5 v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_1, v_3) = \frac{r_3 r_5}{r_2} v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_2, v_3) = \frac{r_4 r_5 + r_1}{r_2} v_1,$
 - $\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0,$
- 4.
- $\rho = 0$
 - $\nu(x_1)(v_1, v_2) = r_1 v_1, \nu(x_1)(v_1, v_3) = r_2 v_1, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3) = r_3 v_1,$
 $\nu(x_2)(v_1, v_2) = r_4 v_1, \nu(x_2)(v_1, v_3) = \frac{r_2 r_4}{r_1} v_1, \nu(x_2)(v_2, v_3) = \frac{r_3 r_4}{r_1} v_1,$
 $\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2) = r_5 v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_1, v_3) = \frac{r_2 r_5}{r_1} v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_2, v_3) = \frac{r_3 r_5}{r_1} v_1,$
 - $\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3) = -r_3 v_1 + r_2 v_2 - r_1 v_3,$
- 5.
- $\rho(x_1, x_2)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_2)(v_2) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_2)(v_3) = 0,$
 $\rho(x_1, x_3)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_3)(v_2) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_3)(v_3) = 0,$
 $\rho(x_2, x_3)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_2, x_3)(v_2) = r_1 v_1, \rho(x_2, x_3)(v_3) = \frac{r_3 r_1}{r_2} v_1,$
 - $\nu(x_1)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_1)(v_1, v_3) = 0, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3) = 0,$
 $\nu(x_2)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_2)(v_1, v_3) = 0, \nu(x_2)(v_2, v_3) = -\frac{r_1}{r_2} v_1,$
 $\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2) = r_2 v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_1, v_3) = r_3 v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_2, v_3) = r_4 v_1,$
 - $\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0,$
- 6.
- $\rho(x_1, x_2)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_2)(v_2) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_2)(v_3) = 0,$
 $\rho(x_1, x_3)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_3)(v_2) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_3)(v_3) = 0,$
 $\rho(x_2, x_3)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_2, x_3)(v_2) = 0, \rho(x_2, x_3)(v_3) = r_1 v_1,$
 - $\nu(x_1)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_1)(v_1, v_3) = 0, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3) = 0,$
 $\nu(x_2)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_2)(v_1, v_3) = r_2 v_1, \nu(x_2)(v_2, v_3) = r_3 v_1,$
 $\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_3)(v_1, v_3) = r_4 v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_2, v_3) = \frac{r_3 r_4 + r_1}{r_2} v_1,$
 - $\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0,$
- 7.
- $\rho = 0$
 - $\nu(x_1)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_1)(v_1, v_3) = r_1 v_1, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3) = r_2 v_1,$
 $\nu(x_2)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_2)(v_1, v_3) = r_3 v_1, \nu(x_2)(v_2, v_3) = \frac{r_2 r_3}{r_1} v_1,$
 $\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_3)(v_1, v_3) = r_4 v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_2, v_3) = \frac{r_2 r_4}{r_1} v_1,$
 - $\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3) = -r_2 v_1 + r_1 v_2,$
- 8.
- $\rho = 0$
 - $\nu(x_1)(v_1, v_2) = r_1 v_1, \nu(x_1)(v_1, v_3) = r_2 v_1, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3) = 0,$
 $\nu(x_2)(v_1, v_2) = r_3 v_1, \nu(x_2)(v_1, v_3) = \frac{r_2 r_3}{r_1} v_1, \nu(x_2)(v_2, v_3) = 0,$
 $\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2) = r_4 v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_1, v_3) = \frac{r_2 r_4}{r_1} v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_2, v_3) = 0,$

- $\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3) = r_2 v_2 - r_1 v_3,$
9. • $\rho = 0$
- $\nu(x_1)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_1)(v_1, v_3) = 0, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3) = r_1 v_1,$
 $\nu(x_2)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_2)(v_1, v_3) = 0, \nu(x_2)(v_2, v_3) = r_2 v_1,$
 $\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_3)(v_1, v_3) = 0, \nu(x_3)(v_2, v_3) = r_3 v_1,$
 - $\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3) = -r_1 v_1,$
10. • $\rho(x_1, x_2)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_2)(v_2) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_2)(v_3) = 0,$
 $\rho(x_1, x_3)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_3)(v_2) = 0, \rho(x_1, x_3)(v_3) = 0,$
 $\rho(x_2, x_3)(v_1) = 0, \rho(x_2, x_3)(v_2) = 0, \rho(x_2, x_3)(v_3) = r_1 v_1,$
- $\nu(x_1)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_1)(v_1, v_3) = 0, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3) = 0,$
 $\nu(x_2)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_2)(v_1, v_3) = 0, \nu(x_2)(v_2, v_3) = -\frac{r_1}{r_2} v_1,$
 $\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2) = 0, \nu(x_3)(v_1, v_3) = r_2 v_1, \nu(x_3)(v_2, v_3) = r_3 v_1,$
 - $\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0,$

where r_i are parameters in $\mathbb{K}$.

Any non-abelian extension, by choosing a section, is isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)})$. Therefore, we only consider in the sequel non-abelian extensions of the form $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)})$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho^1, \nu^1, \omega^1)})$ and $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho^2, \nu^2, \omega^2)})$ be two non-abelian extensions of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{h}$. Then the two extensions are isomorphic if and only if there is a linear map $\xi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ such that the following equalities holds:*

$$\nu^2(x_1)(v_2, v_3) - \nu^1(x_1)(v_2, v_3) = -[\xi(x_1), v_2, v_3]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2(x_1, x_2)v_3 - \rho^1(x_1, x_2)v_3 &= -\nu^1(x_1)(\xi(x_2), v_3) - \nu^1(x_2)(v_3, \xi(x_1)) \\ &\quad + [\xi(x_1), \xi(x_2), v_3]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^2(x_1, x_2, x_3) - \omega^1(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= -\rho^1(x_1, x_2)\xi(x_3) - \rho^1(x_2, x_3)\xi(x_1) \\ &\quad - \rho^1(x_3, x_1)\xi(x_2) + \nu^1(x_1)(\xi(x_2), \xi(x_3)) \\ &\quad + \nu^1(x_2)(\xi(x_3), \xi(x_1)) + \nu^1(x_3)(\xi(x_1), \xi(x_2)) \\ &\quad - [\xi(x_1), \xi(x_2), \xi(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + \xi[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho^1, \nu^1, \omega^1)})$ and $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho^2, \nu^2, \omega^2)})$ be two non-abelian extensions of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{h}$. Assume that the two extensions are isomorphic. Then there is a 3-Lie algebra morphism $\theta : \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, such that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{h} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{(\rho^2, \nu^2, \omega^2)} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} & \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \theta \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{h} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{(\rho^1, \nu^1, \omega^1)} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} & \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where ι is the inclusion and pr is the projection. Since for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\text{pr}(\theta(x)) = x$, we can assume that $\theta(x + u) = x - \xi(x) + u$ for some linear map $\xi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$. By

$$\theta[x_1, v_2, v_3]_{(\rho^2, \nu^2, \omega^2)} = [\theta(x_1), \theta(v_2), \theta(v_3)]_{(\rho^1, \nu^1, \omega^1)},$$

we can deduce that (29) holds. By

$$\theta[x_1, x_2, v_3]_{(\rho^2, \nu^2, \omega^2)} = [\theta(x_1), \theta(x_2), \theta(v_3)]_{(\rho^1, \nu^1, \omega^1)},$$

we can deduce that (30) holds. By

$$\theta[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{(\rho^2, \nu^2, \omega^2)} = [\theta(x_1), \theta(x_2), \theta(x_3)]_{(\rho^1, \nu^1, \omega^1)},$$

we can deduce that (31) holds. ■

4 Non-abelian extensions in terms of Maurer Cartan elements

In [23], the author constructed a graded Lie algebra structure by which one can describe an n -Leibniz algebra structure as a canonical structure. Here, we give the precise formulas for the 3-Lie algebra case.

Set $C^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \overset{(p)}{\cdots} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ and $C^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_p C^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$. Let $\alpha \in C^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), \beta \in C^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), p, q \geq 0$. Let $\mathfrak{X}_i = x_i \wedge y_i \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p+q$ and $x_i, y_i \in \mathfrak{g}$. A permutation $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ is called an $(i, n-i)$ -unshuffle if $\sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(i)$ and $\sigma(i+1) < \dots < \sigma(n)$. If $i = 0$ or n , we assume $\sigma = \text{Id}$. The set of all $(i, n-i)$ -unshuffles will be denoted by $\text{unsh}(i, n-i)$.

Theorem 4.1. ([23]) *The graded vector space $C^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ equipped with the graded commutator bracket*

$$[\alpha, \beta]^{3\text{Lie}} = \alpha \circ \beta - (-1)^{pq} \beta \circ \alpha, \quad (32)$$

is a graded Lie algebra where $\alpha \circ \beta \in C^{p+q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \circ \beta(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+q}, x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{kq} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \text{unsh}(k, q)} \right. \\ &\quad (-1)^\sigma (\alpha(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(k)}, \beta(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(k+q)}, x_{k+q+1}) \wedge y_{k+q+1}, \mathfrak{X}_{k+q+2}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+q}, x) \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(k)}, x_{k+q+1} \wedge \beta(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(k+q)}, y_{k+q+1}), \mathfrak{X}_{k+q+2}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+q}, x) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\sigma \in \text{unsh}(p, q)} (-1)^{pq} (-1)^\sigma \alpha(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p)}, \beta(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p)}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+q-1)}, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+q)}, x)). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $(C^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), [\cdot, \cdot]^{3\text{Lie}}, \bar{\delta})$ is a DGLA, where $\bar{\delta}$ is given by $\bar{\delta}P = (-1)^p \delta P$ for all $P \in C^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, and δ is the coboundary operator of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in the adjoint representation. See [16] for more details.

Remark 4.2. *The coboundary operator δ associated to the adjoint representation of the 3-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ can be written as $\delta P = (-1)^p [\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}, P]^{3\text{Lie}}$, for all $P \in C^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, where $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is the 3-Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e. $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) = [x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Thus, we have $\bar{\delta}P = [\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}, P]^{3\text{Lie}}$.*

Now, we describe non-abelian extensions using Maurer-Cartan elements. The set $MC(L)$ of **Maurer-Cartan elements** of a DGLA $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], d)$ is defined by

$$MC(L) \triangleq \{P \in L_1 \mid dP + \frac{1}{2}[P, P] = 0\}.$$

Moreover, $P_0, P_1 \in MC(L)$ are called **gauge equivalent** if and only if there exists an element $\xi \in L_0$ such that

$$P_1 = e^{\text{ad}_\xi} P_0 - \frac{e^{\text{ad}_\xi} - 1}{\text{ad}_\xi} d\xi. \quad (33)$$

We can define a path between P_0 and P_1 . Let

$$P(t) = e^{t\text{ad}_\xi} P_0 - \frac{e^{t\text{ad}_\xi} - 1}{\text{ad}_\xi} d\xi.$$

Then $P(t)$ is a power series of t in $MC(L)$. We have $P(0) = P_0$ and $P(1) = P_1$. The set of the gauge equivalence classes of $MC(L)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{MC}(L)$.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}})$ be two 3-Lie algebras. Let $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ be the 3-Lie algebra direct sum of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$, where the bracket is defined by

$$[x + u, y + v, z + w] = \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) + \mu_{\mathfrak{h}}(u, v, w).$$

Then there is a DGLA $(C(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]^{3\text{Lie}}, \bar{\delta})$. Define $C_{>}^k(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}) \subset C^k(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h})$ by

$$C^k(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}) = C_{>}^k(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}) \oplus C^k(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}).$$

Denote by $C_{>}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}) = \oplus_k C_{>}^k(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h})$, which is a graded vector space.

Lemma 4.3. *With the above notations, we have $\bar{\delta}(C_{>}^k(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h})) \subset C_{>}^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h})$, and $(C_{>}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]^{3\text{Lie}}, \bar{\delta})$ is a sub-DGLA of $(C(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]^{3\text{Lie}}, \bar{\delta})$. Furthermore, its degree 0 part $C_{>}^0(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}) = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is abelian.*

Proof. By the definition of the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]^{3\text{Lie}}$ and $\bar{\delta}$, we obtain that $(C(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]^{3\text{Lie}}, \bar{\delta})$ is a sub-DGLA of $(C(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]^{3\text{Lie}}, \bar{\delta})$. For $\alpha \in C_{>}^p(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h})$, we can regard it as $\alpha \in C^p(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h})$ such that $\alpha|_{C^p(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h})} = 0$. Moreover, for $\alpha \in C_{>}^p(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}), \beta \in C_{>}^q(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h})$, we have $[\alpha, \beta]^{3\text{Lie}}|_{C^{p+q}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h})} = 0$ and $\bar{\delta}(\alpha)|_{C^{p+1}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h})} = [\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_{\mathfrak{h}}, \alpha]^{3\text{Lie}}|_{C^{p+1}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h})} = 0$. Thus, we obtain $(C(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]^{3\text{Lie}}, \bar{\delta})$ is a sub-DGLA of $(C(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]^{3\text{Lie}}, \bar{\delta})$. Therefore, $(C_{>}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]^{3\text{Lie}}, \bar{\delta})$ is a sub-DGLA of $(C(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]^{3\text{Lie}}, \bar{\delta})$. Obviously, $C_{>}^0(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}) = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is abelian. ■

Proposition 4.4. *The following two statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)})$ is a 3-Lie algebra, which is a non-abelian extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{h}$;
- (b) $\rho + \nu + \omega$ is a Maurer-Cartan element of the DGLA $(C_{>}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]^{3\text{Lie}}, \bar{\delta})$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)})$ is a 3-Lie algebra if and only if Eqs. (18)-(28) hold.

If $c = \rho + \nu + \omega$ is a Maurer-Cartan element, we have

$$(\bar{\delta}c + \frac{1}{2}[c, c]^{3\text{Lie}})(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3 \wedge e_4, e_5) = 0, \quad \forall e_i = x_i + v_i \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}.$$

By straightforward computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\delta}c(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3 \wedge e_4, e_5) &= -(\delta c)(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3 \wedge e_4, e_5) \\ &= c([e_1, e_2, e_3] \wedge e_4, e_5) + c(e_3 \wedge [e_1, e_2, e_4], e_5) \\ &\quad + c(e_3 \wedge e_4, [e_1, e_2, e_5]) - c(e_1 \wedge e_2, [e_3, e_4, e_5]) \\ &\quad - [e_1, e_2, c(e_3 \wedge e_4, e_5)] + [e_3, e_4, c(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_5)] \\ &\quad + [e_4, e_5, c(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3)] + [e_5, e_3, c(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_4)]. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ and the c , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2, e_3] &= [x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [v_1, v_2, v_3]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ c(e_1, e_2, e_3) &= \rho(x_1, x_2)v_3 + \rho(x_2, x_3)v_1 + \rho(x_3, x_1)v_2 \\ &\quad + \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3) + \nu(x_2)(v_3, v_1) + \nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2) + \omega(x_1, x_2, x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c([e_1, e_2, e_3] \wedge e_4, e_5) &= \rho([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_4)(v_5) + \rho(x_4, x_5)([v_1, v_2, v_3]_{\mathfrak{h}}) + \rho(x_5, [x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}})(v_4) \\ &\quad + \nu([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}})(v_4, v_5) + \nu(x_4)(v_5, [v_1, v_2, v_3]_{\mathfrak{h}}) + \nu(x_5)([v_1, v_2, v_3]_{\mathfrak{h}}, v_4) \\ &\quad + \omega([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_4, x_5), \\ c(e_3 \wedge [e_1, e_2, e_4], e_5) &= \rho(x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}})(v_5) + \rho([x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_5)(v_3) + \rho(x_5, x_3)([v_1, v_2, v_4]_{\mathfrak{h}}) \\ &\quad + \nu(x_3)([v_1, v_2, v_4]_{\mathfrak{h}}, v_5) + \nu([x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}})(v_5, v_3) + \nu(x_5)(v_3, [v_1, v_2, v_4]_{\mathfrak{h}}) \\ &\quad + \omega(x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_5), \\ c(e_3 \wedge e_4, [e_1, e_2, e_5]) &= \rho(x_3, x_4)([v_1, v_2, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}}) + \rho(x_4, [x_1, x_2, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}})(v_3) + \rho([x_1, x_2, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_3)(v_4) \\ &\quad + \nu(x_3)(v_4, [v_1, v_2, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}}) + \nu(x_4)([v_1, v_2, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}}, v_3) + \nu([x_1, x_2, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}})(v_3, v_4) \\ &\quad + \omega(x_3, x_4, [x_1, x_2, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}), \\ c(e_1 \wedge e_2, [e_3, e_4, e_5]) &= \rho(x_1, x_2)([v_3, v_4, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}}) + \rho(x_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}})(v_1) + \rho([x_3, x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_1)(v_2) \\ &\quad + \nu(x_1)(v_2, [v_3, v_4, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}}) + \nu(x_2)([v_3, v_4, v_5]_{\mathfrak{h}}, v_1) + \nu([x_3, x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}})(v_1, v_2) \\ &\quad + \omega(x_1, x_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}), \\ [e_1, e_2, c(e_3 \wedge e_4, e_5)] &= [v_1, v_2, \rho(x_3, x_4)(v_5)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_1, v_2, \rho(x_4, x_5)(v_3)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_1, v_2, \rho(x_5, x_3)(v_4)]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &\quad + [v_1, v_2, \nu(x_3)(v_4, v_5)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_1, v_2, \nu(x_4)(v_5, v_3)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_1, v_2, \nu(x_5)(v_3, v_4)]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &\quad + [v_1, v_2, \omega(x_3, x_4, x_5)]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ [e_3, e_4, c(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_5)] &= [v_3, v_4, \rho(x_1, x_2)(v_5)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_3, v_4, \rho(x_2, x_5)(v_1)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_3, v_4, \rho(x_5, x_1)(v_2)]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &\quad + [v_3, v_4, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_5)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_3, v_4, \nu(x_2)(v_5, v_1)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_3, v_4, \nu(x_5)(v_1, v_2)]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &\quad + [v_3, v_4, \omega(x_1, x_2, x_5)]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ [e_4, e_5, c(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3)] &= [v_4, v_5, \rho(x_1, x_2)(v_3)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_4, v_5, \rho(x_2, x_3)(v_1)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_4, v_5, \rho(x_3, x_1)(v_2)]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &\quad + [v_4, v_5, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_4, v_5, \nu(x_2)(v_3, v_1)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_4, v_5, \nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2)]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &\quad + [v_4, v_5, \omega(x_1, x_2, x_3)]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ [e_5, e_3, c(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_4)] &= [v_5, v_3, \rho(x_1, x_2)(v_4)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_5, v_3, \rho(x_2, x_4)(v_1)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_5, v_3, \rho(x_4, x_1)(v_2)]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &\quad + [v_5, v_3, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_4)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_5, v_3, \nu(x_2)(v_4, v_1)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_5, v_3, \nu(x_4)(v_1, v_2)]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &\quad + [v_5, v_3, \omega(x_1, x_2, x_4)]_{\mathfrak{h}}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by the definition of the bracket in Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{2}[c, c]^{3\text{Lie}}\right)(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3 \wedge e_4, e_5) &= (c \circ c)(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3 \wedge e_4, e_5) \\ &= c(c(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) \wedge e_4, e_5) + c(e_3 \wedge c(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_4), e_5) \\ &\quad - c(e_1 \wedge e_2, c(e_3 \wedge e_4, e_5)) + c(e_3 \wedge e_4, c(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_5)). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c(c(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) \wedge e_4, e_5) &= \rho(x_4, x_5)(\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3)) + \rho(x_4, x_5)(\rho(x_1, x_2)v_3) + \rho(x_4, x_5)(\rho(x_2, x_3)v_1) \\
&\quad + \rho(x_4, x_5)(\rho(x_3, x_1)v_2) + \rho(x_4, x_5)(\nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3)) + \rho(x_4, x_5)(\nu(x_2)(v_3, v_1)) \\
&\quad + \rho(x_4, x_5)(\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2)) + \nu(x_4)(v_5, \omega(x_1, x_2, x_3)) + \nu(x_4)(v_5, \rho(x_1, x_2)v_3) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_4)(v_5, \rho(x_2, x_3)v_1) + \nu(x_4)(v_5, \rho(x_3, x_1)v_2) + \nu(x_4)(v_5, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3)) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_4)(v_5, \nu(x_2)(v_3, v_1)) + \nu(x_4)(v_5, \nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2)) + \nu(x_5)(\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3), v_4) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_5)(\rho(x_1, x_2)v_3, v_4) + \nu(x_5)(\rho(x_2, x_3)v_1, v_4) + \nu(x_5)(\rho(x_3, x_1)v_2, v_4) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_5)(\nu(x_1)(v_2, v_3), v_4) + \nu(x_5)(\nu(x_2)(v_3, v_1), v_4) + \nu(x_5)(\nu(x_3)(v_1, v_2), v_4), \\
c(e_3 \wedge c(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_4), e_5) &= \rho(x_5, x_3)(\rho(x_1, x_2)v_4) + \rho(x_5, x_3)(\rho(x_2, x_4)v_1) + \rho(x_5, x_3)(\rho(x_4, x_1)v_2) \\
&\quad + \rho(x_5, x_3)(\nu(x_1)(v_2, v_4)) + \rho(x_5, x_3)(\nu(x_2)(v_4, v_1)) + \rho(x_5, x_3)(\nu(x_4)(v_1, v_2)) \\
&\quad + \rho(x_5, x_3)(\omega(x_1, x_2, x_4)) + \nu(x_3)(\rho(x_1, x_2)v_4, v_5) + \nu(x_3)(\rho(x_2, x_4)v_1, v_5) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_3)(\rho(x_4, x_1)v_2, v_5) + \nu(x_3)(\nu(x_1)(v_2, v_4), v_5) + \nu(x_3)(\nu(x_2)(v_4, v_1), v_5) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_3)(\nu(x_4)(v_1, v_2), v_5) + \nu(x_3)(\omega(x_1, x_2, x_4), v_5) + \nu(x_5)(v_3, \rho(x_1, x_2)v_4) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_5)(v_3, \rho(x_2, x_4)v_1) + \nu(x_5)(v_3, \rho(x_4, x_1)v_2) + \nu(x_5)(v_3, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_4)) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_5)(v_3, \nu(x_2)(v_4, v_1)) + \nu(x_5)(v_3, \nu(x_4)(v_1, v_2)) + \nu(x_5)(v_3, \omega(x_1, x_2, x_4)), \\
c(e_1 \wedge e_2, c(e_3 \wedge e_4, e_5)) &= \rho(x_1, x_2)(\rho(x_3, x_4)v_5) + \rho(x_1, x_2)(\rho(x_4, x_5)v_3) + \rho(x_1, x_2)(\rho(x_5, x_3)v_4) \\
&\quad + \rho(x_1, x_2)(\nu(x_3)(v_4, v_5)) + \rho(x_1, x_2)(\nu(x_4)(v_5, v_3)) + \rho(x_1, x_2)(\nu(x_5)(v_3, v_4)) \\
&\quad + \rho(x_1, x_2)(\omega(x_3, x_4, x_5)) + \nu(x_1)(v_2, \rho(x_3, x_4)v_5) + \nu(x_1)(v_2, \rho(x_4, x_5)v_3) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_1)(v_2, \rho(x_5, x_3)v_4) + \nu(x_1)(v_2, \nu(x_3)(v_4, v_5)) + \nu(x_1)(v_2, \nu(x_4)(v_5, v_3)) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_1)(v_2, \nu(x_5)(v_3, v_4)) + \nu(x_1)(v_2, \omega(x_3, x_4, x_5)) + \nu(x_2)(\rho(x_3, x_4)v_5, v_1) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_2)(\rho(x_4, x_5)v_3, v_1) + \nu(x_2)(\rho(x_5, x_3)v_4, v_1) + \nu(x_2)(\nu(x_3)(v_4, v_5), v_1) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_2)(\nu(x_4)(v_5, v_3), v_1) + \nu(x_2)(\nu(x_5)(v_3, v_4), v_1) + \nu(x_2)(\omega(x_3, x_4, x_5), v_1), \\
c(e_3 \wedge e_4, c(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_5)) &= \rho(x_3, x_4)(\rho(x_1, x_2)v_5) + \rho(x_3, x_4)(\rho(x_2, x_5)v_1) + \rho(x_3, x_4)(\rho(x_5, x_1)v_2) \\
&\quad + \rho(x_3, x_4)(\nu(x_1)(v_2, v_5)) + \rho(x_3, x_4)(\nu(x_2)(v_5, v_1)) + \rho(x_3, x_4)(\nu(x_5)(v_1, v_2)) \\
&\quad + \rho(x_3, x_4)(\omega(x_1, x_2, x_5)) + \nu(x_3)(v_4, \rho(x_1, x_2)v_5) + \nu(x_3)(v_4, \rho(x_2, x_5)v_1) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_3)(v_4, \rho(x_5, x_1)v_2) + \nu(x_3)(v_4, \nu(x_1)(v_2, v_5)) + \nu(x_3)(v_4, \nu(x_2)(v_5, v_1)) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_3)(v_4, \nu(x_5)(v_1, v_2)) + \nu(x_3)(v_4, \omega(x_1, x_2, x_5)) + \nu(x_4)(\rho(x_1, x_2)v_5, v_3) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_4)(\rho(x_2, x_5)v_1, v_3) + \nu(x_4)(\rho(x_5, x_1)v_2, v_3) + \nu(x_4)(\nu(x_1)(v_2, v_5), v_3) \\
&\quad + \nu(x_4)(\nu(x_2)(v_5, v_1), v_3) + \nu(x_4)(\nu(x_5)(v_1, v_2), v_3) + \nu(x_4)(\omega(x_1, x_2, x_5), v_3).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $c = \rho + \nu + \omega$ is a Maurer-Cartan element if and only if (18)-(28) hold. ■

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ be two 3-Lie algebras. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between non-abelian extensions of the 3-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{h}$ and Maurer-Cartan elements in the DGLA $(C_{>}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]^{3\text{Lie}}, \bar{\delta})$.*

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ be two 3-Lie algebras. Then the isomorphism classes of non-abelian extensions $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{h}$ one-to-one correspond to the gauge equivalence classes of Maurer-Cartan elements in the DGLA $(C_{>}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]^{3\text{Lie}}, \bar{\delta})$.*

Proof. Two elements $c = \rho + \nu + \omega$ and $c' = \rho' + \nu' + \omega'$ in $MC(L)$ are equivalent if there exists

$\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ such that

$$c' = e^{\text{ad}_\xi} c - \frac{e^{\text{ad}_\xi} - 1}{\text{ad}_\xi} \bar{\delta} \xi.$$

More precisely, for all $e_i = x_i + v_i \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c'(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) &= ((\text{Id} + \text{ad}_\xi + \frac{1}{2!} \text{ad}_\xi^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \text{ad}_\xi^3 + \cdots + \frac{1}{n!} \text{ad}_\xi^n + \cdots) c)(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) \\ &\quad - ((\text{Id} + \frac{1}{2!} \text{ad}_\xi + \frac{1}{3!} \text{ad}_\xi^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{n!} \text{ad}_\xi^{n-1} + \cdots) \bar{\delta} \xi)(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by the bracket in Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\xi, c]^{3\text{Lie}}(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) &= (\xi \circ c)(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) - (c \circ \xi)(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) \\ &= -(c(\xi(e_1) \wedge e_2, e_3) + c(e_1 \wedge \xi(e_2), e_3) + c(e_1 \wedge e_2, \xi(e_3))) \\ &= -c(\xi(x_1) \wedge e_2, e_3) - c(e_1 \wedge \xi(x_2), e_3) - c(e_1 \wedge e_2, \xi(x_3)) \\ &= -\rho(x_2, x_3)\xi(x_1) - \nu(x_2)(v_3, \xi(x_1)) - \nu(x_3)(\xi(x_1), v_2) \\ &\quad - \rho(x_3, x_1)\xi(x_2) - \nu(x_1)(\xi(x_2), v_3) - \nu(x_3)(v_1, \xi(x_2)) \\ &\quad - \rho(x_1, x_2)\xi(x_3) - \nu(x_1)(v_2, \xi(x_3)) - \nu(x_2)(\xi(x_3), v_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[\xi, [\xi, c]^{3\text{Lie}}]^{3\text{Lie}}(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) \\ &= -[\xi, c]^{3\text{Lie}}(\xi(x_1) \wedge e_2, e_3) - [\xi, c]^{3\text{Lie}}(e_1 \wedge \xi(x_2), e_3) - [\xi, c]^{3\text{Lie}}(e_1 \wedge e_2, \xi(x_3)) \\ &= 2\nu(x_1)(\xi(x_2), \xi(x_3)) + 2\nu(x_2)(\xi(x_3), \xi(x_1)) + 2\nu(x_3)(\xi(x_1), \xi(x_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$[\xi, [\xi, [\xi, c]^{3\text{Lie}}]^{3\text{Lie}}]^{3\text{Lie}}(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) = 0.$$

More generally, for $n \geq 3$

$$\text{ad}_\xi^n c = 0.$$

For all $e_i = x_i + v_i \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\delta} \xi(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) &= [\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_{\mathfrak{h}}, \xi]^{3\text{Lie}}(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) \\ &= ((\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_{\mathfrak{h}}) \circ \xi)(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) - (\xi \circ (\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_{\mathfrak{h}}))(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) \\ &= (\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_{\mathfrak{h}})(\xi(e_1) \wedge e_2, e_3) + (\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_{\mathfrak{h}})(e_1 \wedge \xi(e_2), e_3) \\ &\quad + (\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_{\mathfrak{h}})(e_1 \wedge e_2, \xi(e_3)) - \xi((\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_{\mathfrak{h}})(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3)) \\ &= [\xi(x_1), v_2, v_3]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_1, \xi(x_2), v_3]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [v_1, v_2, \xi(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{h}} - \xi[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\xi, \bar{\delta} \xi]^{3\text{Lie}}(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) &= -\bar{\delta} \xi(\xi(x_1) \wedge e_2, e_3) - \bar{\delta} \xi(e_1 \wedge \xi(x_2), e_3) - \bar{\delta} \xi(e_1 \wedge e_2, \xi(x_3)) \\ &= -2[\xi(x_1), \xi(x_2), v_3]_{\mathfrak{h}} - 2[v_1, \xi(x_2), \xi(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{h}} - 2[\xi(x_1), v_2, \xi(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &[\xi, [\xi, \bar{\delta} \xi]^{3\text{Lie}}]^{3\text{Lie}}(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) \\ &= -[\xi, \bar{\delta} \xi]^{3\text{Lie}}(\xi(x_1) \wedge e_2, e_3) - [\xi, \bar{\delta} \xi]^{3\text{Lie}}(e_1 \wedge \xi(x_2), e_3) - [\xi, \bar{\delta} \xi]^{3\text{Lie}}(e_1 \wedge e_2, \xi(x_3)) \\ &= 6[\xi(x_1), \xi(x_2), \xi(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{h}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$[\xi, [\xi, [\xi, \bar{\delta}\xi]^{3\text{Lie}}]^{3\text{Lie}}]^{3\text{Lie}}(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3) = 0.$$

More generally, for $n \geq 3$

$$\text{ad}_\xi^n \bar{\delta}\xi = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$c' = (c + [\xi, c]^{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{2!}[\xi, [\xi, c]^{3\text{Lie}}]^{3\text{Lie}}) - (\bar{\delta}\xi + \frac{1}{2!}[\xi, \bar{\delta}\xi]^{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{3!}[\xi, [\xi, \bar{\delta}\xi]^{3\text{Lie}}]^{3\text{Lie}}).$$

Thus, two elements $c = \rho + \nu + \omega$ and $c' = \rho' + \nu' + \omega'$ in $MC(L)$ are equivalent if and only (29)-(31) hold. ■

5 Non-abelian extensions of Leibniz algebras

In this section, we always assume that $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)})$ is a non-abelian extension of the 3-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{h}$. We aim to analyze the corresponding Leibniz algebra structure on the space of fundamental objects. Note that $\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}) \cong ((\wedge^2 \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h})) \oplus (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g})$ naturally. We use $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{F}}}$ to denote the Leibniz bracket on the space of fundamental objects of the 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)})$.

First we introduce a Leibniz algebra structure on $(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h})$. Define a linear map $\{\cdot, \cdot\} : ((\wedge^2 \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h})) \otimes ((\wedge^2 \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h})) \rightarrow (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & \{u_1 \wedge v_1 + x_1 \otimes w_1, u_2 \wedge v_2 + x_2 \otimes w_2\} \\ &= [u_1, v_1, u_2]_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge v_2 + u_2 \wedge [u_1, v_1, v_2]_{\mathfrak{h}} + \nu(x_2)(u_1, v_1) \wedge w_2 + x_2 \otimes [u_1, v_1, w_2]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &+ \nu(x_1)(w_1, u_2) \wedge v_2 + u_2 \wedge \nu(x_1)(w_1, v_2) - \rho(x_1, x_2)(w_1) \wedge w_2 + x_2 \otimes \nu(x_1)(w_1, w_2). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Proposition 5.1. *With the above notations, $((\wedge^2 \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h}), \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ is a Leibniz algebra.*

Proof. By direct computation, we have

$$\{u_1 \wedge v_1 + x_1 \otimes w_1, u_2 \wedge v_2 + x_2 \otimes w_2\} = [u_1 \wedge v_1 + x_1 \otimes w_1, u_2 \wedge v_2 + x_2 \otimes w_2]_{\hat{\mathfrak{F}}}.$$

Thus, $((\wedge^2 \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h}), \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ is a Leibniz subalgebra of the Leibniz algebra $(\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{F}}})$. ■

We define $\varpi : (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}) \otimes (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h})$, $l : (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}((\wedge^2 \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h}))$, and $r : (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}((\wedge^2 \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h}))$ respectively by

$$\varpi(x \wedge y, z \wedge t) = -t \otimes \omega(x, y, z) + z \otimes \omega(x, y, t), \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} l(x \wedge y)(u \wedge v + z \wedge w) &= \rho(x, y)(u) \wedge v + u \wedge \rho(x, y)(v) + [x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes w \\ &+ \omega(x, y, z) \wedge w + z \otimes \rho(x, y)(w), \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} r(x \wedge y)(u \wedge v + z \wedge w) &= -y \otimes \nu(x)(u, v) + x \otimes \nu(y)(u, v) \\ &+ y \otimes \rho(z, x)(w) - x \otimes \rho(z, y)(w), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

for all $x, y, z, t \in \mathfrak{g}$, $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Now we are ready to give the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}})$ be two 3-Lie algebras and $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{(\rho, \nu, \omega)})$ a non-abelian extension of the 3-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{h}$. Then the Leibniz algebra $(\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{F}}})$ is a non-abelian extension of the Leibniz algebra $(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{F}}})$ by the Leibniz algebra $((\wedge^2 \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h}), \{\cdot, \cdot\})$.*

Proof. One can show that conditions (6)-(12) in Proposition 2.2 hold directly. Thus, $(\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{F}})$ is a non-abelian extension of the Leibniz algebra $(\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_F)$ by the Leibniz algebra $((\wedge^2\mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h}), \{\cdot, \cdot\})$. Here we use a different approach to prove this theorem. Using the isomorphism between $\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h})$ and $((\wedge^2\mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h})) \oplus (\wedge^2\mathfrak{g})$, the Leibniz algebra structure on $\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & [u_1 \wedge v_1 + x_1 \otimes w_1 + y_1 \wedge z_1, u_2 \wedge v_2 + x_2 \otimes w_2 + y_2 \wedge z_2]_{\hat{F}} \\ &= [u_1 \wedge v_1 + x_1 \otimes w_1, u_2 \wedge v_2 + x_2 \otimes w_2]_{\hat{F}} + [y_1 \wedge z_1, u_2 \wedge v_2 + x_2 \otimes w_2]_{\hat{F}} \\ &+ [u_1 \wedge v_1 + x_1 \otimes w_1, y_2 \wedge z_2]_{\hat{F}} + [y_1 \wedge z_1, y_2 \wedge z_2]_{\hat{F}} \\ &= \{u_1 \wedge v_1 + x_1 \otimes w_1, u_2 \wedge v_2 + x_2 \otimes w_2\} + l(y_1 \wedge z_1)(u_2 \wedge v_2 + x_2 \otimes w_2) \\ &+ r(y_2 \wedge z_2)(u_1 \wedge v_1 + x_1 \otimes w_1) + \varpi(y_1 \wedge z_1, y_2 \wedge z_2) + [y_1 \wedge z_1, y_2 \wedge z_2]_F. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (5), we deduce that $(\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{F}})$ is a non-abelian extension of the Leibniz algebra $(\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_F)$ by the Leibniz algebra $((\wedge^2\mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h}), \{\cdot, \cdot\})$. ■

References

- [1] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple M2-branes gauge theories. *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008), 065008.
- [2] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, Three-algebras and N=6 Chern-Simons gauge theories. *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009), no. 2, 025002, 8 pp.
- [3] R. Bai, C. Bai and J. Wang, Realizations of 3-Lie algebras. *J. Math. Phys.* **51** (2010), 063505.
- [4] R. Bai, G. Song and Y. Zhang, On classification of n -Lie algebras. *Front. Math. China* **6** (2011), no. 4, 581–606.
- [5] A. Basu and J. A. Harvey, The M2-M5 brane system and a generalized Nahm’s equation. *Nucl. Phys. B* **713**, 136 (2005), 136–150.
- [6] Y. Daletskii and L. Takhtajan, Leibniz and Lie algebra structures for Nambu algebra. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **39** (1997), 127–141.
- [7] J. A. de Azcárraga and J. M. Izquierdo, n -ary algebras: a review with applications, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43** (2010), 293001.
- [8] J. A. de Azcárraga and J. M. Izquierdo, Cohomology of Filippov algebras and an analogue of Whitehead’s lemma. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **175**: 012001, (2009).
- [9] J. Figueroa-O’Farrill, Deformations of 3-algebras. *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009), no. 11, 113514, 27 pp.
- [10] V. T. Filippov, n -Lie algebras. *Sib. Mat. Zh.* **26** (1985) 126–140.
- [11] Y. Frégier, Non-abelian cohomology of extensions of Lie algebras as Deligne groupoid. *J. Algebra* **398** (2014) 243–257.
- [12] P. Gautheron, Some remarks concerning Nambu mechanics. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996) 103–116.

- [13] J. Gomis, D. Rodríguez-Gómez, M. Van Raamsdonk and H. Verlinde, Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory from Lorentzian three-algebras. *J. High Energy Phys.* no. 8, 094, 18 pp (2008).
- [14] P. Ho, R. Hou and Y. Matsuo, Lie 3-algebra and multiple M_2 -branes. *J. High Energy Phys.* no. 6, 020, 30 pp (2008).
- [15] Sh. M. Kasymov, On a theory of n -Lie algebras. (Russian) *Algebra i Logika* **26**, no. 3 (1987) 277–297.
- [16] J. Liu, A. Makhlouf and Y. Sheng, A new approach to representations of 3-Lie algebras and abelian extensions. *Algebr Represent Theor.* (2017), doi:10.1007/s10468-017-9693-0.
- [17] J. Liu, Y. Sheng and Q. Wang, On non-abelian extensions of Leibniz algebras. *Comm. Algebra.* (2018), DOI:10.1080/00927872.2017.1324870.
- [18] J.-L. Loday, Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz. *Enseign. Math.* (2), 39 (1993), 269–293.
- [19] J.-L. Loday and T. Pirashvili, Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology. *Math. Ann.* 296 (1993), 139–158.
- [20] A. Makhlouf, On Deformations of n -Lie Algebras, Chapter 4 in Non Associative & Non Commutative Algebra and Operator Theory, C.T. Gueye, M.S. Molina (eds.), Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics **160**, (2016).
- [21] Y. Nambu, Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics. *Phys. Rev. D* **7** (1973) 2405–2412.
- [22] G. Papadopoulos, M_2 -branes, 3-Lie algebras and Plucker relations. *J. High Energy Phys.* (2008), no. 5, 054, 9 pp.
- [23] M. Rotkiewicz, Cohomology ring of n -Lie algebras. *Extracta Math.* **20** no. 3, (2005) 219–232.
- [24] L. Takhtajan, On foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **160** (1994) 295–315.
- [25] L. Takhtajan, A higher order analog of Chevalley-Eilenberg complex and deformation theory of n -algebras. *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **6** (1995) 429–438.