arXiv:1708.02906v1 [cs.DC] 9 Aug 2017

# Implementing $\Diamond P$ with Bounded Messages on a Network of ADD Channels

Saptaparni Kumar, Jennifer Welch.
*Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas- 77840*

March 3, 2022

**Abstract**

We present an implementation of the eventually perfect failure detector ($\Diamond P$) from the original hierarchy of the Chandra-Toueg [4] oracles on an arbitrary partitionable network composed of unreliable channels that can lose and reorder messages. Prior implementations of $\Diamond P$ have assumed different partially synchronous models ranging from bounded point-to-point message delay and reliable communication to unbounded message size and known network topologies. We implement $\Diamond P$ under very weak assumptions on an arbitrary, partitionable network composed of *Average Delayed/Dropped (ADD)* channels [13] to model unreliable communication. Unlike older implementations, our failure detection algorithm uses bounded-sized messages to eventually detect all nodes that are unreachable (crashed or disconnected) from it.

## 1 Introduction

*Failure detectors* were proposed by Chandra and Toueg [4] as oracles to be used to identify failed nodes in a crash-prone asynchronous message-passing system. Each node has a failure detector module that can be queried for information about which nodes in the system have crashed. Unreliable failure detectors can give wrong information by incorrectly suspecting correct nodes, and/or not suspecting crashed nodes. In spite of that, many oracles are powerful enough to solve important distributed problems that are otherwise unsolvable in asynchronous systems with even one crash failure. The hierarchy of the Chandra-Toueg oracles [4] was originally introduced to circumvent the FLP [7] impossibility result for solving consensus in a crash-prone asynchronous message-passing system, by identifying crashed nodes and distinguishing them from slow nodes. These failure detectors can be described by their accuracy and completeness properties. Their implementation in practice requires some degree of partial or even full synchrony. Paper [9] provides a very informative survey on the failure detector abstraction both as building blocks for the design of reliable distributed algorithms and as computability benchmarks.

There are two main lines of research in the area of failure detectors. The first one involves implementing failure detectors on increasingly weaker system models that represent practical applications and the second one involves finding the weakest failure detector for solving a given problem. We contribute to the first line of research by presenting a novel implementation of an *eventually perfect* failure detector ($\Diamond P$) from the original Chandra-Toueg hierarchy on an arbitrary partitionable network of unknown topology composed of ill-behaved channels.

In [1], the definitions of accuracy and completeness for failure detectors were extended to partitionable networks. $\Diamond P$ for partitionable networks satisfies *strong completeness* and *eventual strong accuracy*. Intuitively, $\Diamond P$ can give incorrect information about the nodes in the system for an unknown finite amount of time, after which, it provides perfect information about all nodes in the system. Strong completeness is satisfied if the failure detector of each node eventually suspects all nodes that are unreachable (i.e., crashed or disconnected) from it. Eventual strong accuracy is satisfied if the failure detector of every node eventually stops suspecting all nodes that are reachable from it. The paper by Chen et al. [6] studies accuracy and completeness properties (quality of service) of failure detectors and quantifies how fast different implementations of oracles detect failures and how well they avoid false suspicions. Papers [12] and [10] have discussed

algorithms to perform failure detection on arbitrary networks composed of unreliable channels using counters as heartbeats for the nodes in the system. Unlike our algorithm, the message sizes in their algorithms are unbounded. Papers [8] and [3] do failure detection using bounded sized messages, but unlike our work, they assume that the underlying communication channels are reliable. Our contribution in this paper is a little of both. We present a novel algorithm that implements $\Diamond P$ in an **arbitrary (partitionable)** network composed of channels that provide very weak guarantees (**unreliable channels**), using messages that are **bounded in size**.

The framework of ADD (Average Delayed/Dropped) channels was introduced by Sastry and Pike [13] who exploited the channel properties to implement $\Diamond P$ on cliques; the properties of an ADD channel are valid only for one-hop networks. The motivation for this paper was based on extending the failure detector from a fully connected network of ADD channels to any arbitrary partitionable network composed of ADD channels using bounded size messages. The ADD channels are a realistic partially synchronous model of ill-behaved channels that can lose and reorder messages.

Our failure detection algorithm uses bounded sized heartbeats, timeouts and path information to determine if there is a correct path (all nodes on this path are correct) between two nodes. Periodically, every node sends out its own heartbeat to its neighbors. Every node has an estimated timeout value for its neighbors and if a node does not hear from its neighbor within this estimated time, it suspects the neighbor to be crashed. The timeout value gets incremented every time a neighbor is incorrectly suspected. For a node that is not a neighbor, the algorithm goes through a list of paths from itself to the node and evaluates if the node is reachable via at least one of these paths (i.e., all nodes on a path are correct according to the failure detector). If no such path exists, it suspects this node.

# 2 Model and Definitions

## 2.1 Communication Model

We are considering the original definition [13] of the Average Delayed/Dropped (ADD) channel in which every link connecting two nodes in the network is composed of two unidirectional ADD channels, one in each direction. All messages sent on an ADD channel are eventually delivered or lost but not duplicated. The messages can be partitioned logically into two disjoint sets: privileged and unprivileged. Unprivileged messages have no timing or reliability guarantees and may be arbitrarily delayed or even dropped. However, an ADD channel provides the following guarantee for privileged messages. For every ADD channel there is an **unknown** upper bound $d$ on the delay of all privileged messages and a **unknown** upper bound $r$ on the number of unprivileged messages sent between any consecutive pair of privileged messages. Intuitively this means that out of $r$ consecutive messages sent on an ADD channel at least one message is guaranteed to be delivered within $d$ time.

## 2.2 Network Model

The distributed system consists of a set $\Pi$ of $n$ nodes connected in an arbitrary topology by ADD channels. Nodes may fail only by crashing. Nodes that never crash are called *correct* nodes and those that have not crashed yet are called *live* nodes. Each node that *crash*es remains crashed forever. Each node knows who its neighbors are. Nodes also know the names (ids) of all the nodes in the system. This assumption is not trivial, as Jimenez et al. [11] show that without this assumption, no failure detector can be implemented, even in a fully synchronous system with reliable links.

Nodes communicate with neighbors only via local point-to-point communication. Each node has a local clock which generates ticks at a constant rate. Different clocks can tick at different rates and can be unsynchronized. The network is initially a connected graph but may eventually be partitioned as nodes start crashing. We call this network a *partitionable network*.

**Definition 1.** *The **network graph** at time $t$ is a subgraph of the initial graph obtained by deleting all nodes (and their incident links) that are crashed at time $t$.*

We denote the network graph at time $t$ as $G(t)$.

# 3 Problem Statement

We address the problem of implementing, with bounded-sized messages, an *Eventually Perfect* ($\Diamond P$) failure detector that satisfies the following on an arbitrary partitionable network $G$ composed of ADD channels. For each node $p$, there is a function from $p$'s state to the set of nodes that $p$ suspects. In every execution there exists a time $t_f$ such that for every $t > t_f$ and every correct node $p$,

- *Strong Completeness:* for every node $q$ that is disconnected from $p$ in $G(t)$, $p$ suspects $q$ at time $t$
- *Eventual strong accuracy:* for every node $q$ that is connected to $p$ in $G(t)$, $p$ does not suspect $q$ at time $t$.

# 4 $\Diamond P$ Algorithm

**Algorithm 1** $\Diamond$P: Eventually Perfect Failure Detector, Code for node p

**Constants:**
*neighbors* // list of neighbors of $p$.
$T$ // integer; time between successive heartbeats
**Variables:**
*clock*() // local clock
*last_contact*[.] // array of clock times for all neighbors, last time $p$ received a message about that node; initially $last_contact[q] = 0$, for all $q \in neighbors$
*suspect_local*[·] // array of booleans for all nodes; initially $suspect_local[q]$ = false, for all $q \in \Pi$. This stores the failure information for nodes in $p$'s connected component
*paths*[·] // Array of sets of paths or sequences of node ids. $paths[q]$ is the set of paths taken by the heartbeat messages from $q$ to $p$; Initially $paths[p] = \{p\}$, $paths[q] = \{q \cdot p\}$ for $q \in neighbors$ and $paths[r] = \emptyset$ for all others
*suspect*[·] // array of booleans for all nodes; it is true for all nodes suspected to have failed; initially $suspect[q]$ = false, for all $q \in \Pi$. This stores the failure information stored in $suspect_local[\cdot]$ and also information derived from the $paths[\cdot]$ variable
*timeout*[·] // Array of time-outs for all neighbors. $timeout[q]$ is the estimated maximum time between the receipt of successive messages about neighbor $q$; initially $timeout[q] = T$, for all $q \in neighbors$

---

⟨ **output** *Send heartbeat to neighbors* ⟩:
**precondition:**
  $clock() = n \cdot T$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ // clock() is an integer multiple of $T$
**end precondition**
**effect:**
  $send\langle suspect_local[\cdot], paths[\cdot], p\rangle$ to every neighbor of $p$ // Send heartbeat with a copy of the local suspect list and the path list
**end effect**

⟨ **input** $recv\langle suspect_rcv[\cdot], path_sets[\cdot], q\rangle$ ⟩:

**effect:**
  **if** $suspect_local[q] = true$ **then** // neighbor wrongfully suspected
    $timeout[q] := 2 \cdot (clock() - last_contact[q])$
    $suspect_local[q] := false$// Stop suspecting this neighbor
  **end if**
  $last_contact[q] := clock()$

  **for** all $r \notin neighbors$ **do**
    $hop_from_msg$ := Length of the shortest path in $path_sets[r]$ not containing $p$ or a node $u$, $u \neq r$ with $suspect_rcv[u] = true$
    $hop$ := Length of the shortest path in $paths[r]$ not containing a node $u$, $u \neq r$ with $suspect_local[u] = true$
    **if** $hop_from_msg < hop$ **then**
      $suspect_local[r] := suspect_rcv[r]$
    **end if**
    **for** each path, $\pi \in path_sets[r]$ that does not contain $p$ **do**
      $paths[r] := paths[r] \cup \{\pi \cdot p\}$ // Append new paths to the $paths[r]$ set
    **end for**
  **end for**

  $suspect[\cdot] := suspect_local[\cdot]$
  Let $Sus$ be the set of all $u$ with $suspect_local[u] = true$
  **for** all $r \notin neighbors$ **do**
    **if** all paths in $paths[r]$ contain a $u \in Sus$ and $u \neq r$ **then**
      $suspect[r] := true$
    **end if**
  **end for**

**end effect**

⟨ **output** $timer_expiry(q)$ ⟩: // The timer for a neighbor expires
**precondition:**
  $timeout[q] = clock() - last_contact[q]$
**end precondition**
**effect:**
  $suspect_local[q] := true$
**end effect**

---

Algorithm 1 implements $\Diamond P$ over the partitionable network of ADD channels. Every node $p$ maintains a variable $suspect_local[\cdot]$ which is an array of booleans to store information about nodes in $p$'s connected component and a variable $paths[\cdot]$ which is an array of paths (sequences of node ids). For example, the

variable $paths[q]$ stores the paths between $p$ and $q$ that $p$ has learned about from messages from its neighbors. Node $p$ also maintains an array of booleans $suspect[\cdot]$ which stores failure information from $suspect_local[\cdot]$ along with extra information derived from $paths[\cdot]$. Its value is set to true for nodes estimated to have crashed (using failure information from $suspect_local[\cdot]$) or nodes that are estimated to be disconnected from $p$ (using information from $paths[\cdot]$). If $p$'s $suspect[q]$ variable is $true$, then we say $p$ *suspects* $q$.

Node $p$ sends out a heartbeat message containing the variables $suspect_local[\cdot]$ and $paths[\cdot]$ to its neighbors every $T$ units of time. $T$ may be chosen differently by every node. The smaller the value of $T$, the faster the failure detection algorithm will converge, but if $T$ is too small, the network may be over crowded with heartbeat messages. When $p$ receives a heartbeat message from a neighbor $q$, it records its current clock time ($clock()$) as the $last_contact$ value. If $q$ was wrongly suspected as the $timeout[q]$ value was estimated to be too small, $p$ stops suspecting $q$ by setting $suspect_local[q]$ to $false$ and increments its $timeout[q]$ value for $q$. Then, $p$ extracts information about the rest of the network from the message from $q$. For all nodes $r$ that are not neighbors of $p$, $p$ calculates $q$'s distance from $r$ and compares it to its own calculated distance from $r$. If $q$ is calculated to be nearer to $r$ than $p$, then $p$ adopts $q$'s information about $r$. Node $p$ goes through all the paths in the variable $path_sets[\cdot]$ received in the message from $q$ and sees if $p$ is already included in those paths. If $p$ learns about any path $\pi$, from $r$ to $q$ that does not include $p$, it adds the path $\pi \cdot p$ to its $paths[r]$ set.

Then, $p$ updates its $suspect[\cdot]$ variables using information from the $paths[\cdot]$ variable about nodes that are not in $p$'s connected component. As there are no paths from these nodes to $p$, information about these nodes is not received directly. Node $p$ checks if at least one path in the $paths[r]$ set has all nodes that have $suspect_local[\cdot]$ set to $false$. If not, it suspects $r$ (i.e., $suspect[r]$ is set to $true$ ).

# 5 Proof of Correctness

To prove correctness, we need to show that the implementation in Algorithm 1 satisfies **strong completeness** and **eventual strong accuracy**. We describe some lemmas to prove that Algorithm 1 implements $\Diamond P$.

Lemma 1 shows that there is an upper bound on the inter-arrival time of heartbeats at all correct nodes from correct neighbors. Lemma 2 shows that eventually, the time-out estimates for neighbors stop changing. Lemmas 3 and 4 show that eventually all correct nodes suspect crashed neighbors and stop suspecting correct neighbors. Lemma 5 shows that eventually the $paths[q]$ variable at a correct node $p$ contains all the paths between $p$ and $q$ in the final network graph. Lemma 6, along with Theorem 1, proves eventual strong accuracy. Theorem 2 proves strong completeness. Finally, Theorem 3 shows that Algorithm 1 implements $\Diamond P$ using Theorems 1 and 2.

We use a subscript to denote which node a variable belongs to; for example $p$'s $suspect_local[q]$ variable will be denoted as $suspect_local_p[q]$. From here on we refer to nodes that are neighbors with respect to the initial network graph as *initial neighbors*.

**Lemma 1.** *There exists an upper bound on the inter-arrival time of heartbeats for correct initial neighbors.*

*Proof.* The properties of an ADD channel guarantee that at least one in every $r$ messages sent on a channel is privileged and thus is delivered within $d$ time. Thus the maximum time between the receipt of two consecutive heartbeat messages sent on Line 14 of Algorithm 1 at any neighbor $q$ of $p$ is $(r+1) \cdot T + d$ where $r$ and $d$ are the ADD channel parameters and $T$ is a constant in $p$'s algorithm.

□

Let $t^*$ be the time when all the failures have occurred. Let $t^{**} \geq t^*$ be the time when all messages (privileged and unprivileged) from all crashed nodes have been delivered or lost. We call the network graph after $t^*$ the *final network graph* and denote it by $\mathbb{G}$.

**Lemma 2.** *Eventually, all timeout[q] variables stop changing.*

*Proof.* Let $q$ be an initial neighbor of $p$. Assume by contradiction that the $timeout_p[q]$ variable at node $p$ keeps changing forever.

If $q$ is a correct node, this would mean that the inter-arrival times of messages from $q$ to $p$ keeps increasing and so does the $timeout_p[q]$ value. But by Lemma 1, there is an upper bound on this value. Thus our assumption that $timeout_p[q]$ changes forever was false.

If $q$ is a crashed node, the number of messages sent to $p$ by $q$ is finite and they are eventually delivered to $p$ or lost. The $timeout_p[q]$ value may keep on increasing till $t^{**}$. After this, $p$ never receives a message from $q$ and the thus $timeout_p[q]$ stops changing after this. Thus our assumption that $timeout_p[q]$ changes forever was false. □

**Observation 1.** *For a correct node $p$, the $suspect_p[q]$ variable for an initial neighbor $q$ is equal to $suspect_local_p[q]$ at all times.*

**Lemma 3.** *There exists some time $t$ after which all correct initial neighbors $p$ of a crashed node $q$ in $\mathbb{G}$ suspect $q$.*

*Proof.* Let us assume that $q$ crashes at time $t_c$. From lines 20 and 41 - 46 of Algorithm 1 we know that the $suspect_local[\cdot]$ variables for initial neighbors are set only by the nodes themselves (i.e., nodes do not update information about their initial neighbors from other nodes). From Lemma 2, we know that by some time $t^f$, the variable $timeout_p[q]$ stops changing. So, by time $t = (\max(t^f, t^{**}) + timeout_p[q])$, $p$ sets $suspect_local_p[q]$ to *true* permanently. By Observation 1, we know that $suspect_p[q] = suspect_local_p[q]$. Thus after $t$, $p$ suspects $q$. □

**Lemma 4.** *There exists some time $t$ after which all correct initial neighbors $p$ of a correct node $q$ stop suspecting $q$.*

*Proof.* Lemma 1 states that there is an upper bound on the inter-arrival time of messages from $q$ to $p$. Lemma 2 states that $timeout_p[q]$ eventually stops changing. Let the time at which $timeout_p[q]$ stops changing be $t$ and the final value of $timeout_p[q]$ be $\tau$. Thus after $t$, $p$ receives a message from $q$ within every $\tau$ time and thus, $p$ never sets $suspect_local_p[q]$ to *true*. By Observation 1, we know that $suspect_p[q] = suspect_local_p[q]$, thus after $t$, $p$ stops suspecting $q$.

□

**Lemma 5.** *For all $p$ and $q$ such that $q$ is not an initial neighbor of $p$, eventually the $paths_p[q]$ variable contains all the paths in $\mathbb{G}$ from $q$ to $p$ and $paths_p[q]$ stops changing.*

*Proof.* Let us assume that there exists a path $\pi$ in $\mathbb{G}$ that is never included in $paths_p[q]$ variable at $p$. Let the length of this path be $k$ and the nodes in this path be $q \cdot q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot q_{k-1} \cdot p$. From Lemma 1, we know that each node in this path receives a message from the previous node in this path infinitely often as all nodes in this path are correct. From line number 30, we know that each of these paths is appended to the $paths_p[q_i]$ variable of each node $q_i \notin neighbors_p$. So, when $p$ gets a message from $q_{k-1}$ with the path $q \cdot q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot q_{k-1}$ in it, it adds the path $q \cdot q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot q_{k-1} \cdot p$ to its $paths_p[q]$ variable.

Note that all $paths_p[q]$ end with $p$. After $paths_p[q]$ contains all the paths between $q$ and $p$ in $\mathbb{G}$, if $p$ learns about a new path from $q$ to $p$, it must be a cycle with $p$ in it already. Line number 29 checks if $p$ is in the path already and if this is true, $p$ ignores it. Thus the value of $paths_p[q]$ stops changing once it learns about all paths between $q$ and $p$ in $\mathbb{G}$.

□

A node $p$ has *perfect information* about node $q$ at time $t > t^*$, if any one of the following hold:

- If $q$ is in $p$'s connected component in $\mathbb{G}$, $suspect_p[q] = false$ at $t$.
- If $q$ is crashed and is an initial neighbor of $p$'s connected component $C$ in $\mathbb{G}$, $suspect_local_p[q] = true$ at $t$ (This is because $suspect_local_p$ stores information about $C$ and its crashed initial neighbors). Note that if $suspect_local_p[q]$ is *true*, then line numbers 33 to 39 imply that $suspect_p[q]$ is set to *true* as well.
- If $q$ is not in $p$'s connected component in $\mathbb{G}$, $suspect_p[q] = true$ at $t$.

**Lemma 6.** *For all $k$, there exists a time $t_k$ such that, for all $t \geq t_k$, all correct nodes $p$ in a connected component $C$ in $\mathbb{G}$, have perfect information about all nodes $q$ that are either correct and in $C$ or are crashed and are initial neighbors of $C$, at a distance at most $k$ from $p$ in $\mathbb{G}$.*

*Proof.* We prove this lemma by strong induction on the distance $k$ of node $p$ from $q$ in $\mathbb{G}$. *Base case:* $k = 1$. From Lemmas 3 and 4, we know that there exists a time when all correct initial neighbors have perfect information about $q$.
*Inductive hypothesis:* Let us assume that all nodes that are at most $k-1$ hops away from $q$ in $\mathbb{G}$ have perfect information about $q$ after some time $t_{k-1}$.
*Inductive step:* Let $p$ be a node at a distance $k$ from $q$ in $\mathbb{G}$. If $q$ is correct, there exists a path $q \cdot \pi \cdot p$ from $q$ to $p$ (since $p, q \in C$). If $q$ is crashed, there exists a path $\pi \cdot p$ from an initial neighbor of $q$ to $p$ (since $q$ is an initial neighbor of $C$). Let $r$ be a node on this path $k-1$ hops away from $q$ (note, $r$ is an initial neighbor of $p$). From the inductive hypothesis we know that $r$ has perfect information about $q$ after time $t_{k-1}$. Before time $t_{k-1}$, $r$ sent only a finite number of messages to $p$. Let $t^\dagger$ be the time when all messages sent before $t_{k-1}$ are either delivered to $p$ or lost. All messages that $r$ sends to $p$ after time $t_{k-1}$ have perfect information about $q$ in them.

From Lemma 1, we know that there is an upper bound on the time between two consecutive receive events from $r$ to $p$. Let this upper bound be $\tau$. By Lemma 5, after some time $t_a$, all paths from $q$ to $r$ in $\mathbb{G}$ are appended to $paths_r[q]$ and sent to $p$ in the variable $path_sets[q]$. So, after time $t' = (\max\{t_{k-1}, t^\dagger, t_a\} + 2\tau)$, all messages $p$ gets from $r$ have perfect information about $q$ and contain all paths between $q$ and $r$ (in $\mathbb{G}$).

When $p$ processes a message from $r$ after $t'$ we argue that the value of $hop_from_msg$ for $q$ on line number 24 is $k-1$. $hop_from_msg$ cannot be greater than $k-1$ because the variable $path_sets[q]$ contains $q \cdot \pi$ which is of length $k-1$. Also, $hop_from_msg$ cannot be less than $k-1$ because $r$ has perfect information about all nodes at a distance $k-1$ from $r$, and so, the estimate for $hop_from_msg$ will discard all paths with length less than $k-1$ as they are no more available in $\mathbb{G}$. We also argue that the value of $hop$ for $q$ on line number 25 is greater than $k-1$. This is because, by the inductive hypothesis, $p$ has perfect information about nodes that are $k-1$ hops away from $p$ in $\mathbb{G}$. So, all entries in $paths_p[r]$ with length at most $k-1$ are discarded as they are correctly estimated to have a at least one crashed node in them. Thus, the value of $hop$ for $q$ is greater than $k-1$. As a result, the 'if' condition on line number 26 is satisfied and $p$ adopts $r$'s information about $q$. By the inductive hypothesis, this information is perfect (note that $p$ adopts only $r$'s $suspect_local_r[q]$ variable which currently contains perfect information about $q$).

We still have to show that $p$'s $suspect_p[q]$ variable does not get set to something different (from the one set by the message from $r$) by a message coming from a node with wrong information about $q$. Let us assume that by contradiction, $p$ gets a message from a node $s$ that is at a distance $i > k$ from $q$ and the value of $hop_from_msg$ for $q$ on line number 24 is miscalculated to be at most $k-1$. This scenario is possible only if there is a path $\pi'$ in $paths_s[q]$ with $|\pi'| \leq k-1$ that is wrongly assumed to exist in $\mathbb{G}$. However, since $s$ is at a distance $i$ greater than $k$ from $q$ in $\mathbb{G}$, $\pi'$ must have a crashed node in it. Let $z \in \pi'$ be the crashed node that $s$ has wrong information about. Since $z$ is less than $k$ hops away from $s$, by the induction hypothesis, $s$ already has perfect information about $z$. Thus the assumption that the value of $hop_from_msg$ for $q$ on line number 24 is calculated to be at most $k-1$ is incorrect and $p$ permanently possesses perfect information about $q$.

□

Theorem 1 proves eventual strong accuracy and Theorem 2 proves strong completeness.

**Theorem 1.** *Eventually, two correct nodes $p$ and $q$ in the same connected component of $\mathbb{G}$ stop suspecting each other.*

*Proof.* The proof is direct from Lemma 6. □

**Observation 2.** *Let $C$ be a connected component in $\mathbb{G}$. Let $q$ be a node in $\Pi - C$. For every path $\pi$ between $p \in C$ and $q$ in the original network graph, there exists a node $r$ such that $r$ is a crashed initial neighbor of $C$.*

**Theorem 2.** *Every correct node eventually suspects all nodes that are not in its connected component in $\mathbb{G}$.*

*Proof.* Let $C$ be a connected component in $\mathbb{G}$. We show that all $p \in C$ eventually suspect all $q \in \Pi - C$. Since originally the network was a connected graph, there was a path from all $q$ to $p$ in the initial network graph. We separate this proof into two parts:

- $q$ is an initial neighbor of $p$. In this case, the proof is direct from Lemma 3.
- $q$ is not an initial neighbor of $p$. Let $\pi$ be a path in $paths_p[q]$. From Observation 2, we know that all paths from $q$ to $p$ have a crashed node $r$ that is an initial neighbor of a node in $C$. From Lemma 6, we know that after some time $t$, $p$ has perfect information about $r$. Thus, all $\pi \in paths_p[q]$ have a node $r$ that has $suspect_local_p[r] = true$. When $p$ calculates the *suspect* variable for $q$ on line numbers 33 to 39, the if condition on line number 36 is satisfied and $suspect_p[q]$ is set to *true* on line number 37.

  Now we show that this value of the *suspect* variable is not reversed. Since from Lemma 5, we know that $paths_p[q]$ stops changing and the information about all nodes $r$ is never reversed, we can safely conclude that line number 36 is always satisfied henceforth and $suspect_p[q]$ always remains *true*.

□

**Theorem 3.** *Algorithm 1 implements an eventually perfect failure detector for partitionable networks using bounded size messages.*

*Proof.* This proof is direct from Theorems 1 and 2 which prove eventual strong accuracy and strong completeness respectively.

The messages sent by a node $p \in \Pi$ have the variables $suspect_local[\cdot]$ and $paths[\cdot]$ in them. Note that both these variables are bounded in size. The $suspect_local[\cdot]$ variable has $n$ booleans and so has size $n$ bits. The $paths[\cdot]$ variable contains only simple paths between nodes which can be at most $O(n \cdot n!)$ bits (in the case of a complete graph) and so has message size at most $O((n+1)!)$ bits. Thus, the messages used in this algorithm are bounded in size. □

# 6 Conclusion

We have implemented the eventually perfect failure detector ($\Diamond P$) in a weak, arbitrary, partitionable network model composed of unreliable, partially synchronous ADD channel with unbounded message loss and unbounded message delay for a majority of the messages. This work is an important step towards understanding the minimal assumptions on network topology, message sizes, reliability of channels and partial synchrony necessary to implement this oracle. The algorithm is quite practical for sparsely connected graphs as the number of paths between two nodes (and the message size) will be $\ll (n+1)!$. Even though the message size for this algorithm is bounded, can we do better than our current results using smaller messages or fewer messages? We think that these are important questions that need to be answered in the future.

# References


[1] Marcos Kawazoe Aguilera, Wei Chen, Sam Toueg. *Using the heartbeat failure detector for quiescent reliable communication and consensus in partitionable networks.* Theoretical Computer Science, 220(1):3-30, 1999

[2] Marcos Kawazoe Aguilera, Wei Chen, Sam Toueg. *Failure Detection and Consensus in the Crash-Recovery Model.* Distributed Computing 13(2): 99-125 (2000)

[3] Christian Fernndez-Campusano, Roberto Cortias, Mikel Larrea: *A Performance Study of Consensus Algorithms in Omission and Crash-Recovery Scenarios.* PDP 2014: 240-243

[4] Tushar Deepak Chandra, Sam Toueg, *Unreliable Failure Detectors for Reliable Distributed Systems*, Journal of the ACM. Vol 43, 1996, 225-267

[5] Tushar Deepak Chandra, Vassos Hadzilacos, Sam Toueg. *The weakest failure detector for solving consensus.* Journal of the ACM (JACM), 43(4):685?722, 1996.

[6] Wei Chen, Sam Toueg, Marcos Kawazoe Aguilera. *On the Quality of Service of Failure Detectors.* IEEE Trans. Computers 51(5): 561-580 (2002)

[7] Michael J. Fischer, Nancy A. Lynch, Mike Paterson: *Impossibility of Distributed Consensus with One Faulty Process.* J. ACM 32(2): 374-382 (1985)

[8] Pierre Fraigniaud, Sergio Rajsbaum, Corentin Travers, Petr Kuznetsov, Thibault Rieutord: *Perfect Failure Detection with Very Few Bits.* SSS 2016: 154-169

[9] Felix C. Freiling, Rachid Guerraoui, Petr Kuznetsov: *The failure detector abstraction.* ACM Comput. Surv. 43(2): 9:1-9:40 (2011)

[10] Martin Hutle, *An efficient failure detector for sparsely connected networks*, Parallel and Distributed Computing and Networks 2004. pp. 369–374

[11] Ernesto Jimnez, Sergio Arvalo, Antonio Fernndez *Implementing unreliable failure detectors with unknown membership* Inf. Process. Lett., 100 (2) (2006), pp. 60-63

[12] Robert van Renesse, Yaron Minsky, Mark Hayden *A Gossip-Style Failure Detection Service.* In: Davies N., Jochen S., Raymond K. (eds) Middleware(1998) . Springer, London

[13] Srikanth Sastry, Scott Pike, *Eventually Perfect Failure Detectors Using ADD Channels* , in IEEE International Symposium on Parallel and Distributed Processing with Applications, 2007, pp. 483–496.