

ON GEOMETRIC DEGENERATIONS AND GERSTENHABER FORMAL DEFORMATIONS

SERGIO CHOUHY

ABSTRACT. We study the degeneration relations on the varieties of associative and Lie algebra structures on a fixed finite dimensional vector space and give a description of them in terms of Gerstenhaber formal deformations. We use this result to show how the orbit closure of the 3-dimensional Lie algebras can be determined using homological algebra. For the case of finite dimensional associative algebras, we prove that the N -Koszul property is preserved under the degeneration relation for all $N \geq 2$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13D10.

Keywords: deformations, degenerations, homological methods.

1. INTRODUCTION

The deformation theory of algebraic structures has its origin in the sixties in analogy with the deformation theory of complex varieties developed by Kodaira, Nirenberg, Spencer and Kuranishi [Kod05]. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} . The set X of all possible structure coefficients of a given algebraic structure on V is an algebraic variety. Nijenhuis, Richardson [NR64] and Gabriel [Gab74] established the basis for the geometric theory of algebraic structures, which consists in studying the geometry of X and its relation with the algebraic properties of its points.

For instance, let X be the set of all maps $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} V, V)$ that satisfy the associativity condition $\varphi \circ (\varphi \otimes \text{id}) = \varphi \circ (\text{id} \otimes \varphi)$. Thus, X is the variety of associative algebra structures on V . The group $\text{GL}(V)$ of linear isomorphisms acts on X via the formula $g \cdot \varphi = g \circ \varphi \circ (g^{-1} \otimes g^{-1})$ and the orbits are in correspondence with the isomorphism classes of associative algebras of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$. Subsets of X consisting of algebras with particular properties usually have nice geometric attributes. For example, the properties of having a unit element or of being of finite representation type are open in X for the Zariski topology [Gab74]. There is also a strong relation between the algebraic properties of the points of X and the local geometry of X . This can be seen for instance in [GdlP95] where the authors proved, among other results, that the vanishing of the third Hochschild cohomology space $H^3(A, A)$ of an algebra A implies that it is a smooth point of X . In the same direction, if $H^2(A, A) = 0$, then its orbit is open [Gab74].

Given an algebra A in X , we denote its $\text{GL}(V)$ -orbit by \mathcal{O}_A and its Zariski closure by $\overline{\mathcal{O}_A}$. The set $\overline{\mathcal{O}_A}$ is $\text{GL}(V)$ -invariant, thus it is a union of orbits. An algebra B contained in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_A}$ is called a *degeneration* of A . Given the close connection between the local geometry of X and the algebraic properties of its points, algebras and their degenerations are strongly related. For example, given $i \geq 0$, the map $A \mapsto \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^i(A, A)$ is upper semicontinuous [GdlP95]. As a consequence, if B is an algebra contained in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_A}$ such that $H^i(B, B)$ vanishes, then so does $H^i(A, A)$. Therefore the Hochschild cohomology dimension of A – as defined in [Han06] – bounds that of its degenerations. See also [Gei95], where the author proved that the property of being of tame representation type is preserved under the degeneration relation and used it to determine the representation type of several algebras.

Institute of Algebra and Number Theory, University of Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 57, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany. The author is a DAAD-ALEARG fellow. Email: schouhy@dm.uba.ar.

On the other hand, given an associative algebra structure A on V , a *formal deformation*, or a *one-parameter family of deformations*, of A is a $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ -algebra \mathcal{X} , free as $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ -module, whose specialization at 0 is A . The formal deformation theory – that is, the study of the formal deformations – was initiated by Gerstenhaber in [Ger64]. He proved that it is a natural setting where the Hochschild cohomology spaces have different interpretations. For instance, the obstructions for a formal deformation to exist lie on the third cohomology space, and the vanishing of the second cohomology space implies that its formal deformations are all isomorphic to the algebra $A[[t]]$ of formal series with coefficients in A . In the latter case the algebra A is called *analytically rigid*. Despite the clear geometric motivation for this theory, it has a formal point of view and there is no requirement for the formal deformations to be germs of analytic curves.

There is a connection between the formal and the geometric deformation theories. Namely, if an algebra A is analytically rigid, then \mathcal{O}_A is open. The converse holds if the characteristic of \mathbb{k} is zero and $H^3(A, A) = 0$. See [GdlP95] for more details. It is the purpose of this paper to contribute to the understanding of the relation between these theories in a way we shall now explain.

In [GO88], Grunewald and O’Halloran proved a general result which for the case of associative algebras implies that B is a degeneration of A if and only if there exists an affine curve C in X passing through B and generically contained in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_A}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_B \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_A}$ and denote by R the stalk of C at B , by \mathfrak{m} the maximal ideal of R and by L its fraction field. The two conditions that C satisfies imply that there is an R -algebra \mathcal{X} , free of rank n , such that $\mathcal{X} \otimes_R L$ is isomorphic to $A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} L$ as L -algebras and such that $\mathcal{X} \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}$ is isomorphic to B as \mathbb{k} -algebras. Our starting point is the following observation: the completion of R with respect to the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$, the algebra of formal series, and the completion $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ of \mathcal{X} is thus a $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ -algebra, free of rank n , whose specialization at 0 is B . That is, $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is a Gerstenhaber formal deformation of B . Moreover, $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}((t))$ is isomorphic to $A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}((t))$ as $\mathbb{k}((t))$ -algebras, where $\mathbb{k}((t))$ denotes the field of Laurent series. Our main result states that the existence of such a Gerstenhaber formal deformation is also a sufficient condition for the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_B \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_A}$ to hold.

Theorem. *Let X be the variety of associative algebra structures on a finite dimensional vector space, and let A and B be associative \mathbb{k} -algebras regarded as points on X . The orbit \mathcal{O}_B is contained in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_A}$ if and only if there exists a Gerstenhaber formal deformation \mathcal{X} of B such that $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}((t))$ is isomorphic to $A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}((t))$ as $\mathbb{k}((t))$ -algebras.*

Similar theorems hold for the varieties of finite dimensional graded associative algebras and finite dimensional Lie algebras. See Theorems 4.1, 4.3 and 5.1. These results are consequences of our Theorem 2.2, which is a variant of the description of orbit closure given by Grunewald and O’Halloran.

From this point of view we study the family of N -Koszul algebras [Ber01]. We prove that the property of being N -Koszul is preserved under the degeneration relation, meaning that in case $\mathcal{O}_B \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_A}$, if B is N -Koszul then A is N -Koszul. The case $N = 2$ was already known and it is a corollary of a result of Drinfeld with important consequences in algebraic combinatorics [SY97]. See Proposition 4.5 for more details. On the Lie algebra setting we show how to use our results to describe the sets of the form $\overline{\mathcal{O}_g}$ for 3-dimensional Lie algebras using homological algebra tools. This description was already obtained by Agaoka in [Aga99] by geometric means.

Acknowledgments. The author is deeply indebted to Andrea Solotar for her help in improving this article.

2. GENERAL THEOREM

First we recall the characterization of orbit closure of Grunewald and O'Halloran in the cases of our interest. As in [GO88], we use the term *affine variety* for the – non necessarily irreducible – zero locus of an ideal of polynomials.

Let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field, let X be an affine variety over \mathbb{k} , and let G be an affine algebraic group acting on X . Define \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{G} to be the functors from the category of associative and commutative \mathbb{k} -algebras to the category of sets given by $\mathcal{S}(A) = \text{Hom}(\text{Spec}(A), X)$ and $\mathcal{G}(A) = \text{Hom}(\text{Spec}(A), G)$ respectively. Given $x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{k})$, denote by \mathcal{O}_x its orbit in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{k})$ under the action of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{k})$, and by $\overline{\mathcal{O}_x}$ its Zariski closure.

Theorem 2.1 ([GO88]). *Let $x, y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{k})$. The orbit \mathcal{O}_y is contained in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_x}$ if and only if there exist a discrete valuation \mathbb{k} -algebra R and an element $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ such that the residue field of R is \mathbb{k} , its quotient field L is finitely generated and of transcendence degree 1 over \mathbb{k} , and*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}(\tau_R)(\mathcal{X}) &= g \cdot (\mathcal{S}(\tau_R \circ \eta_R)(x)), & \text{for some } g \in \mathcal{G}(L), \\ \mathcal{S}(\pi_R)(\mathcal{X}) &= y,\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_R : R \rightarrow L$ is the inclusion map, $\eta_R : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow R$ is the unit, and $\pi_R : R \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ is the canonical projection.

Denote by $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ the algebra of formal series and by $\mathbb{k}((t))$ its fraction field; the field of Laurent series. Our main theorem is the following variation of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $x, y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{k})$. The orbit \mathcal{O}_y is contained in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_x}$ if and only if there exists an element $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{k}[[t]])$ such that the following equalities hold.*

$$\begin{aligned}(1) \quad & \mathcal{S}(\tau)(\mathcal{X}) = g \cdot (\mathcal{S}(\tau \circ \eta)(x)), & \text{for some } g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{k}((t))), \\ (2) \quad & \mathcal{S}(\pi)(\mathcal{X}) = y,\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau : \mathbb{k}[[t]] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}((t))$ is the inclusion map, $\eta : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[[t]]$ is the unit map, and $\pi : \mathbb{k}[[t]] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ is the canonical projection.

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{O}_y \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_x}$. Let R and \mathcal{X} be as in Theorem 2.1. Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of R . The completion of R with respect to the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ [Ser79, Chapter II, Theorem 2]. Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[[t]]$ be the inclusion map and let $\mathcal{X}' := \mathcal{S}(\varphi)(\mathcal{X})$. A diagram chase argument shows that the element \mathcal{X}' satisfies Equations (1) and (2), thereby proving the *only if* part of the statement.

Suppose there exist elements \mathcal{X} in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{k}[[t]])$ and g in $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{k}((t)))$ satisfying Equations (1) and (2). Let B_1 and B_2 be the global sections of X and G respectively. For $i = 1, 2$ let $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\pi_i : \mathbb{k}[X_1, \dots, X_{n_i}] \rightarrow B_i$ be the projections. Define $c_j = \mathcal{X} \circ \pi_1(X_j)$, for $j = 1, \dots, n_1$, and $g_k = g \circ \pi_2(X_k)$ and $g^k = (g^{-1}) \circ \pi_2(X_k)$, for $k = 1, \dots, n_2$.

Let $\nu : \mathbb{k}((t))^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the valuation map. Define $T(f) = t^{-\nu(f)}f$ for $f \in \mathbb{k}((t))^\times$, and $T(0) = 0$. Note that $T(f)$ belongs to $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ for all $f \in \mathbb{k}((t))$ and also $\pi(T(f)) \neq 0$ for all $f \neq 0$. Let A be the subalgebra of $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ generated by t , c_j , $T(g_k)$ and $T(g^k)$, with $j = 1, \dots, n_1$ and $k = 1, \dots, n_2$. Also, let π_A be the restriction of π to A . Since c_j belongs to A for all j , there exists $\mathcal{X}_A \in \mathcal{S}(A)$ such that $\mathcal{S}(\tau_A)(\mathcal{X}_A) = \mathcal{X}$, where $\tau_A : A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[[t]]$ is the inclusion map. As a consequence

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{S}(\pi_A)(\mathcal{X}_A) = \mathcal{S}(\pi)(\mathcal{X}) = y.$$

Similarly, since all the elements and operations underlying the equality $\mathcal{S}(\tau)(\mathcal{X}) = g \cdot (\mathcal{S}(\tau \circ \eta)(x))$ belong to $A[t^{-1}]$, there exists $g_A \in \mathcal{G}(A[t^{-1}])$ such that

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{S}(\tau'_A)(\mathcal{X}_A) = g_A \cdot (\mathcal{S}(\tau'_A \circ \eta_A)(x)),$$

where $\tau'_A : A \rightarrow A[t^{-1}]$ is the inclusion map and $\eta_A : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow A$ is the unit map.

By the Noether normalization Lemma there exist elements $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r \in A$, algebraically independent over \mathbb{k} , such that A is integral over $\mathbb{k}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r]$. Let \mathfrak{q} be the kernel of π_A . The ideal \mathfrak{q} is maximal and so $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathbb{k}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r]$ is maximal as well. Since \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed, we obtain that $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathbb{k}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r] = (\beta_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, \beta_r - \lambda_r)$ for some elements $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ in \mathbb{k} . We may assume without loss of generality that $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathbb{k}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r] = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$.

For every affine line $L \subset \mathbb{k}^r$ with $0 \in L$, denote by $\mathfrak{p}_L \subseteq (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$ its prime ideal. By the going-down theorem there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{q}_L of A such that $\mathfrak{q}_L \cap \mathbb{k}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r] = \mathfrak{p}_L$ and $\mathfrak{q}_L \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Using that \mathbb{k}^r is the union of all the lines passing through 0, we obtain $\bigcap_L \mathfrak{p}_L = 0$. Since A is integral over $\mathbb{k}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r]$, we deduce $\bigcap_L \mathfrak{q}_L = 0$. As a consequence, there exists an affine line $L \subseteq \mathbb{k}^r$ such that $t \notin \mathfrak{q}_L$ and $\mathfrak{q}_L \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Let $\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{p}_L$ and $\mathfrak{q}' := \mathfrak{q}_L$. The ring $\mathbb{k}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r]/\mathfrak{p}$ is isomorphic to the polynomial ring in one variable $\mathbb{k}[\beta]$.

Define $S = A/\mathfrak{q}'$. Observe that S is integral over $\mathbb{k}[\beta]$. The fact that t does not belong to \mathfrak{q}' implies that its class in S does not vanish. The ideal $\mathfrak{q}S$ is maximal in S and

$$S/\mathfrak{q}S \cong A/\mathfrak{q} \cong \mathbb{k}.$$

Let \overline{S} be the integral closure of S in its quotient field, which we denote by L , and let $\overline{\mathfrak{q}}$ be a maximal ideal in \overline{S} such that $\overline{\mathfrak{q}} \cap S = \mathfrak{q}S$. The algebra \overline{S} is an integrally closed domain of Krull dimension 1. Moreover, by [Ser00, Chapter III, Proposition 16], it is a finitely generated \mathbb{k} -algebra and so it is a Dedekind domain. Define $R = \overline{S}_{\overline{\mathfrak{q}}}$, the localization of \overline{S} at $\overline{\mathfrak{q}}$. The quotient field of R is also L , the quotient field of S , and therefore it is finitely generated and of transcendence degree 1 over \mathbb{k} . Let η_R , π_R and τ_R be respectively the unit map, the canonical projection onto the residue field and the inclusion map of R .

Since \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed and isomorphic to $S/\mathfrak{q}S$, we deduce that $\overline{S}/\overline{\mathfrak{q}}\overline{S} \cong S/\mathfrak{q}S$. It follows that

$$R/\overline{\mathfrak{q}}R \cong \overline{S}/\overline{\mathfrak{q}}\overline{S} \cong S/\mathfrak{q}S \cong A/\mathfrak{q} \cong \mathbb{k}.$$

Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & A/\mathfrak{q} & \longleftarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A[t^{-1}] \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{k} & \longleftarrow & S/\mathfrak{q}S & \longleftarrow & S & \longrightarrow & S[t^{-1}] \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & R/\overline{\mathfrak{q}}R & \longleftarrow & R & \longrightarrow & L \end{array}$$

The maps $R \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ and $R \rightarrow L$ are respectively π_R and τ_R . Let ι be the map from A to R and let ι' be the map from $A[t^{-1}]$ to L . Define

$$\mathcal{X}' = \mathcal{S}(\iota)(\mathcal{X}_A), \quad \text{and} \quad g' = \mathcal{G}(\iota')(g_A).$$

The commutativity of the above diagram and Equations (3) and (4) imply that

$$\mathcal{S}(\tau_R)(\mathcal{X}') = g' \cdot (\mathcal{S}(\tau_R \circ \eta_R)(x)), \quad \mathcal{S}(\pi_R)(\mathcal{X}') = y.$$

From Theorem 2.1 we deduce that $\mathcal{O}_y \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_x}$. □

3. GERSTENHABER FORMAL DEFORMATIONS

Here we briefly recall the notion of Gerstenhaber formal deformations of associative and Lie algebras and some fundamental results that we will need in the forthcoming sections. For a complete account on the subject we refer to the original paper [Ger64].

For a \mathbb{k} -vector space V , denote by $V[[t]]$ the space of formal series with coefficients in V .

Associative algebras. Let A be an associative algebra over \mathbb{k} with underlying vector space V . A *formal deformation* of A is a pair (\mathcal{X}, Φ) where \mathcal{X} is a complete and torsion-free $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ -algebra and $\Phi : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k} \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{k} -algebras. Equivalently, a formal deformation of A is a $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ -algebra \mathcal{X} with underlying space $V[[t]]$, such that there exists a family of maps $F_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} V, V)$ for all $i \geq 1$ satisfying, for all $v, w \in V$,

$$v \cdot_{\mathcal{X}} w = v \cdot_A w + F_1(v, w)t + F_2(v, w)t^2 + \cdots,$$

where $v \cdot_{\mathcal{X}} w$ and $v \cdot_A w$ denote respectively the products of v and w in \mathcal{X} and in A . Two formal deformations (\mathcal{X}, Φ) and (\mathcal{X}', Φ') of A are *equivalent* if there is an isomorphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ of $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ -algebras such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k} & \xrightarrow{f \otimes \text{id}} & \mathcal{X}' \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k} \\ & \searrow \Phi & \swarrow \Phi' \\ & A & \end{array}$$

commutes. A formal deformation is *trivial* if it is equivalent to $(A[[t]], \text{ev}_0)$, where $A[[t]]$ is the algebra of formal series with coefficients in A , and ev_0 is the map induced by the evaluation at 0 map.

Suppose A is unital. The Hochschild cohomology of A with values in itself, denoted by $H^\bullet(A, A)$, is the cohomology of the complex $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(A^{\otimes i}, A)$ with differential d given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} d(f)(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i) &= a_0 f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i) + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^j f(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_j a_{j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i) \\ &\quad + (-1)^i f(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1}) a_i, \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(A^{\otimes i}, A)$ and all $i \geq 0$. The second Hochschild cohomology space $H^2(A, A)$ describes the formal deformations up to first order. More precisely, for every nontrivial deformation \mathcal{X} of A there exist $n \geq 1$ and a formal deformation \mathcal{X}' of A such that \mathcal{X} is equivalent to \mathcal{X}' and the family of maps $\{F'_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ of \mathcal{X}' satisfy

- $F'_i = 0$ for all $i \leq n-1$, and
- the map F'_n is a 2-cocycle and its class $[F'_n]$ in $H^2(A, A)$ is not zero.

See [Ger64, Section 3, Proposition 1]. Moreover, if $[G] = [F'_n]$ in $H^2(A, A)$ for some map G , then there exists a formal deformation \mathcal{X}'' equivalent to \mathcal{X} and whose family of maps F''_i satisfy $F''_i = 0$ for all $i \leq n-1$ and $F''_n = G$. In particular, if $H^2(A, A)$ is zero then every formal deformation of A is trivial.

If A is graded, a *graded formal deformation* of A is a formal deformation (\mathcal{X}, Ψ) where \mathcal{X} is a graded $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ -algebra and Ψ is an isomorphism of degree zero. The grading of A induces a grading on its Hochschild cohomology, and the space that controls the graded formal deformations is the homogeneous component of degree zero of $H^2(A, A)$.

Lie algebras. The definition of formal deformation for Lie algebras is similar to the associative algebra case. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{k} with underlying vector space V . A formal deformation of \mathfrak{g} is a pair (\mathcal{X}, Ψ) , where \mathcal{X} is a complete and torsion-free $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ -Lie algebra and $\Psi : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}[[t]] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is an isomorphism of Lie algebras. Equivalently, it is a $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ -Lie algebra structure \mathcal{X} on $V[[t]]$ such that there exists a family of maps $F_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(V \wedge V, V)$ for all $i \geq 1$ satisfying

$$[v, w]_{\mathcal{X}} = [v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}} + F_1(v, w)t + F_2(v, w)t^2 + \dots$$

for all $v, w \in V$. In this setting, the space that controls the formal deformations of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is the cohomology space $H_{Lie}^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, which is the cohomology of the complex $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Lambda^i \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ where the differential is

$$\begin{aligned} d(f)(v_0 \wedge \dots \wedge v_i) &= \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^j [v_j, f(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{v}_j \wedge \dots \wedge v_i)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j < k} (-1)^{j+k} f([v_j, v_k] \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{v}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{v}_k \wedge \dots \wedge v_i), \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Lambda^i \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ and all $i \geq 0$. If \mathcal{X} is a nontrivial formal deformation of \mathfrak{g} , then it is equivalent to a formal deformation \mathcal{X}' with family of maps F'_i satisfying $F'_i = 0$ for all $i \leq n-1$ and $[F'_n] \neq 0$ in $H_{Lie}^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, for some $n \geq 1$. As in the case of associative algebras, if $[G] = [F'_n]$, there exists a formal deformation \mathcal{X}'' equivalent to \mathcal{X} and whose family of maps F''_i satisfy $F''_i = 0$ for all $i \leq n-1$ and $F''_n = G$.

4. DEGENERATIONS OF FINITE DIMENSIONAL ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{k} , and let $\text{Ass}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$ be the variety of associative algebra structures on V . More precisely, $\text{Ass}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$ is the subset of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(V \otimes V, V)$ consisting of the elements φ such that

$$\varphi \circ (\varphi \otimes \text{id}) = \varphi \circ (\text{id} \otimes \varphi)$$

as morphisms from $V \otimes V \otimes V$ to V . The algebraic group of linear isomorphisms $\text{GL}(V)$ acts on $\text{Ass}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$ via the formula $g \cdot \varphi = g \circ \varphi \circ (g^{-1} \otimes g^{-1})$ for $g \in \text{GL}(V)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Ass}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$. The orbits are in natural bijection with the isomorphism classes of associative algebras of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} V$. For such an algebra A denote by \mathcal{O}_A its corresponding orbit in $\text{Ass}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$ and by $\overline{\mathcal{O}_A}$ its Zariski closure. Let A and B be \mathbb{k} -algebras in $\text{Ass}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$. The algebra B is said to be a *degeneration* of A if $\mathcal{O}_B \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_A}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let A and B be associative \mathbb{k} -algebras of the same dimension regarded as points of $\text{Ass}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$. The algebra B is a degeneration of A if and only if there exists a Gerstenhaber formal deformation \mathcal{X} of B such that $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}((t))$ is isomorphic to $A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}((t))$ as algebras over $\mathbb{k}((t))$.*

Proof. Let $(X, G) = (\text{Ass}_{\mathbb{k}}(V), \text{GL}(V))$. Let \mathcal{S} be the functor of Theorem 2.2. Note that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{k}[[t]]) = \text{Hom}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[[t]]), X)$ is the set of associative $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ -algebra structures on $V \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}[[t]]$. Since V is finite dimensional, the space $V \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}[[t]]$ is equal to $V[[t]]$. The result follows easily from Theorem 2.2 and the definition of Gerstenhaber formal deformation. \square

There is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1. Let A and B be finite dimensional algebras. Write $B \leq A$ if there exists a formal deformation \mathcal{X} of B such that $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}((t)) \cong A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}((t))$. By Theorem 4.3, $B \leq A$ if and only if B is a degeneration of A . The binary relation defined by the geometric degeneration relation is a partial order on the set of orbits. As a consequence we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.2. *The binary relation \leq is a partial order on the isomorphism classes of finite dimensional associative algebras.*

We do not know whether there is a purely algebraic proof of this fact nor if there is a larger class of algebras than finite dimensional algebras where the relation \leq extends and for which a similar result holds.

For a finite dimensional graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i$ over \mathbb{k} , define $\text{GrAss}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$ to be the variety of graded algebra structures on V . The algebraic group $\text{GL}_0(V)$ of linear isomorphisms of degree 0 acts on $\text{GrAss}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$ and its orbits are in correspondence with the isomorphism classes of finite dimensional graded algebras with Hilbert series $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim_{\mathbb{k}}(V_i) t^i$. For two such algebras A and B , we say that A is a *graded degeneration* of B if $\mathcal{O}_A \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_B}$ in $\text{GrAss}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$. The proof of Theorem 4.1 can be easily adapted to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *Let A and B be graded associative \mathbb{k} -algebras regarded as points of $\text{GrAss}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$. The algebra B is a graded degeneration of A if and only if there exists a graded Gerstenhaber formal deformation \mathcal{X} of B such that $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}((t))$ is isomorphic to $A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}((t))$ as graded algebras over $\mathbb{k}((t))$.*

Next we turn to N -Koszul algebras. Let $A = \bigoplus_i A_i$ be a finite dimensional graded \mathbb{k} -algebra with unit, generated as an algebra by A_1 . In particular $A_0 = \mathbb{k}$ and $J = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} A_i$ is a maximal ideal. This induces an A -module structure on \mathbb{k} by identifying it with A/J .

Let $N \geq 2$ and define the function $n : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $n(2i) = iN$ and $n(2i + 1) = iN + 1$. The grading of A induces a grading on $\text{Tor}_i^A(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$ for all i , whose homogeneous component of degree j we denote by $\text{Tor}_{ij}^A(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$. The algebra A is N -Koszul if $\text{Tor}_{ij}^A(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$ is zero for all $i, j \geq 0$ such that $j \neq n(i)$. These algebras were introduced by Berger in [Ber01], generalising the classical case due to Priddy which corresponds to $N = 2$ [Pri70]. See also [GMMVZ04] for a further generalisation to the non local case.

A result of Drinfeld [Dri92] (see also [PP05, Chapter 6]) has the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4. *Let A and B be finite dimensional graded \mathbb{k} -algebras with unit such that B is a graded degeneration of A . If B is 2-Koszul then A is 2-Koszul.*

In other words, a finite dimensional algebra A is 2-Koszul if at least one of the algebras contained in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_A}$ is 2-Koszul. This result, besides being very interesting in its own, is the key to the proof that the cohomology algebra of the complement of a supersolvable complex hyperplane arrangement is 2-Koszul, which is an important theorem in algebraic combinatorics [SY97].

We extend Corollary 4.4 to the setting of N -Koszul algebras.

Proposition 4.5. *Let A and B be finite dimensional graded algebras with unit such that B is a graded degeneration of A . If B is N -Koszul, then A is N -Koszul.*

For its proof we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *Let P be a complex of finitely generated free $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ -modules. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $H_i(P/tP)$ vanishes, then so does $H_i(P)$.*

Proof. By the canonical isomorphism $P \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k} \cong P/tP$ and the Künneth formula there is an injection $H_i(P)/tH_i(P) \rightarrow H_i(P/tP) = 0$. Then $H_i(P)$ vanishes by the Nakayama Lemma. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.5. By Theorem 4.3 there exists a graded Gerstenhaber formal deformation \mathcal{X} of B such that $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}((t))$ is isomorphic to $A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}((t))$ as graded $\mathbb{k}((t))$ -algebras. Since B is generated in degree 1, the Nakayama Lemma and the following isomorphisms of graded algebras

$$B \cong \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k} \cong \mathcal{X}/t\mathcal{X},$$

imply that \mathcal{X} is generated in degree 1 as a $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ -algebra. As a consequence of the isomorphism $A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}((t)) \cong \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}((t))$, the algebra A is also generated in degree 1.

Since \mathcal{X} is free of finite rank as a $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ -module, there exists a resolution P of $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ by finitely generated projective graded left \mathcal{X} -modules. So $\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{k}[[t]], \mathbb{k}[[t]])$ is isomorphic to $H_i(\mathbb{k}[[t]] \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} P)$. On the other hand, by the Künneth formula, the complex $P \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}$ is a resolution of \mathbb{k} by finitely generated graded projective B -modules and therefore $\mathrm{Tor}_i^B(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$ is isomorphic to $H_i(\mathbb{k} \otimes_B P \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k})$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{k} \otimes_B P \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k} &\cong \mathbb{k} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} P \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k} \\ &\cong \mathbb{k} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} P \\ &\cong \mathbb{k} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}[[t]] \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} P \\ &\cong \mathbb{k}[[t]] \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} P / t(\mathbb{k}[[t]] \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} P). \end{aligned}$$

Let i and j be natural numbers such that $j \neq n(i)$. Since B is Koszul, the space $\mathrm{Tor}_{ij}^B(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$ vanishes. So, applying Lemma 4.6 to the homogeneous component of degree j of the complex $\mathbb{k}[[t]] \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} P$, we obtain that $\mathrm{Tor}_{ij}^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{k}[[t]], \mathbb{k}[[t]]) = 0$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tor}_i^A(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}((t)) &\cong \mathrm{Tor}_i^{A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}((t))}(\mathbb{k}((t)), \mathbb{k}((t))) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}((t))}(\mathbb{k}((t)), \mathbb{k}((t))) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{k}[[t]], \mathbb{k}[[t]]) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}((t)). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence $\mathrm{Tor}_{ij}^A(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}((t))$ vanishes, implying that A is N -Koszul. \square

5. DEGENERATIONS OF FINITE DIMENSIONAL LIE ALGEBRAS

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} . Let $\mathrm{Lie}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$ be the variety of Lie algebra structures on V , that is, the set of maps $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Lambda^2 V, V)$ satisfying the Jacobi identity

$$\varphi(u \wedge \varphi(v \wedge w)) + \varphi(v \wedge \varphi(w \wedge u)) + \varphi(w \wedge \varphi(u \wedge v)) = 0,$$

for all $u, v, w \in V$. For $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Lie}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$, the map $g \circ \varphi \circ (g^{-1} \wedge g^{-1})$ belongs again to $\mathrm{Lie}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$. The orbits of this action are in natural correspondence with the isomorphism classes of Lie algebras of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} V$. The next result is obtained by applying Theorem 2.2 to the pair $(\mathrm{Lie}_{\mathbb{k}}(V), \mathrm{GL}(V))$. The proof is analogous to the case of associative algebras and we omit the details. For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ the Zariski closure in $\mathrm{Lie}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$ of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. A Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} is a *degeneration* of \mathfrak{g} if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{h}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} be Lie algebras over \mathbb{k} of the same dimension. The algebra \mathfrak{h} is a degeneration of \mathfrak{g} if and only if there exists a Gerstenhaber formal deformation \mathcal{X} of \mathfrak{h} such that $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}((t))$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}((t))$ as Lie algebras over $\mathbb{k}((t))$.*

We give an application of Theorem 5.1 to the description of the degenerations of Lie algebras of dimension 3. These have been already computed by Agaoka in [Aga99]. We show how Theorem 5.1 can be used to obtain Agaoka's result by means of homological algebra.

Classification of 3-dimensional Lie algebras. We begin by recalling the classification of the isomorphism classes of 3-dimensional Lie algebras. See for example [FH91].

If \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra of dimension 3 over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} , then \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to one of the algebras in the following list.

TABLE 1

	non-trivial bracket operations
L_0	
L_1	$[x, y] = z$
L_2	$[x, y] = y$
L_3	$[x, y] = y, [x, z] = y + z$
$(\alpha \in \mathbb{k}^\times) L_4(\alpha)$	$[x, y] = y, [x, z] = \alpha z$
L_5	$[x, y] = y, [x, z] = -z, [y, z] = x$

For $0 \leq i, j \leq 5$, the algebras L_i and L_j are not isomorphic if $(i, j) \neq (4, 4)$, and $L_4(\alpha)$ is isomorphic to $L_4(\beta)$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta^{-1}$ or $\alpha = \beta$.

Note that if \mathbb{F} is an algebraically closed field such that $\mathbb{k} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$, for example the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{k}((t))$, then every Lie algebra over \mathbb{F} is either isomorphic to $L_i \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{F}$, for some $i \neq 4$, or it is isomorphic to $L_4(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a 3-dimensional Lie algebra. There is a method given in [FH91] to determine which algebra of the above list is isomorphic to \mathfrak{g} , which we shall now describe. Let n be the rank of \mathfrak{g} , that is, the dimension of $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Note that regarding the bracket as a map $[\cdot, \cdot] : \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, we obtain that $n = \dim_{\mathbb{k}}(\text{Im}[\cdot, \cdot])$. If $n = 0$, then \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to L_0 , and if $n = 3$, then \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to L_5 .

Suppose now $n = 1$. In this case there exists $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\{x \wedge y : y \in \mathfrak{g}\} = \ker[\cdot, \cdot]$. Let $y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ be such that $\{x, y, z\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g} and let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{k}$ be such that $[y, z] = ax + by + cz$. If $b = c = 0$, then \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to L_1 , and if $(b, c) \neq (0, 0)$, then \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to L_2 .

Suppose $n = 2$. Let $\{x, y, z\}$ be a basis of \mathfrak{g} such that $\{y, z\}$ is a basis of $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. It is not difficult to see that $\text{ad}(x) : [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is an isomorphism. If $\text{ad}(x)$ is not diagonalizable, then \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to L_3 . If $\text{ad}(x)$ is diagonalizable and λ_1, λ_2 are its eigenvalues, then \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to $L_4(\lambda_1/\lambda_2)$.

Cohomology of 3-dimensional Lie algebras. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra of dimension 3 over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} . Recall that the spaces $H_{\text{Lie}}^i(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ are the homology spaces of the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{d_1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{d_2} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{d_3} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Lambda^3 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

We may identify the spaces $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Lambda^i \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ and $\Lambda^i \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathfrak{g}$ for all i , where $\mathfrak{g}^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{k})$. Let $\{x, y, z\}$ be a basis of \mathfrak{g} and $\{\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*$ its dual basis. The following table shows for each algebra \mathfrak{g} a list of elements in $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathfrak{g}$ such that their classes in $H_{\text{Lie}}^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ form a basis.

TABLE 2

L_1	$\hat{y} \wedge \hat{x} \otimes x, \hat{y} \wedge \hat{x} \otimes y, \hat{z} \wedge \hat{x} \otimes y, \hat{z} \wedge \hat{y} \otimes x, \hat{z} \wedge \hat{x} \otimes x - \hat{z} \wedge \hat{y} \otimes y$
L_2	$\hat{z} \wedge \hat{x} \otimes z$
L_3	$\hat{y} \wedge \hat{x} \otimes z$
$(\alpha \neq -1, 1) L_4(\alpha)$	$\hat{z} \wedge \hat{x} \otimes z$
$L_4(-1)$	$\hat{z} \wedge \hat{x} \otimes z, \hat{z} \wedge \hat{y} \otimes x$
$L_4(1)$	$\hat{y} \wedge \hat{x} \otimes z, \hat{z} \wedge \hat{x} \otimes y, \hat{z} \wedge \hat{x} \otimes z$

The space $H_{\text{Lie}}^2(L_0, L_0)$ is $\Lambda^2 L_0^* \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} L_0$ and the space $H_{\text{Lie}}^2(L_5, L_5)$ is zero. This is obtained by straightforward computations using the above complex.

Degenerations of 3-dimensional Lie algebras. Let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field. From now on we assume all 3-dimensional Lie algebras over \mathbb{k} to have the same underlying vector space V with

basis $\{x, y, z\}$. The next proposition shows in a particular case how Theorem 5.1 and the theory of Gerstenhaber formal deformations can be used to determine the Zariski closure of an orbit.

Proposition 5.2. *If \mathcal{O}_{L_2} is contained in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ for some Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathcal{O}_{L_2}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{h} = L_2$. Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{h}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ for some Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} not isomorphic to \mathfrak{h} . By Theorem 5.1 there exists a nontrivial Gerstenhaber formal deformation \mathcal{X} of \mathfrak{h} such that

$$\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}((t)) \cong \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}((t)).$$

By Table 2 and the remark at the end of Section 3 we may assume without loss of generality that there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ and $n \geq 1$ such that for all $v, w \in V$,

$$(5) \quad [v, w]_{\mathcal{X}} = [v, w]_{\mathfrak{h}} + F_n(v, w)t^n + F_{n+1}(v, w)t^{n+1} + \dots,$$

for some maps $F_i : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$ for all $i \geq n$ such that $F_n = \lambda \hat{z} \wedge \hat{x} \otimes z$.

Since V is finite dimensional, the spaces $V[[t]]$ and $V \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}[[t]]$ are isomorphic and so $V[[t]]$ is the free $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ -module with basis $\{x, y, z\}$. Specializing Equation (5) at $v, w \in \{x, y, z\}$ we obtain that there exist elements $f_i, g_i, h_i \in \mathbb{k}[[t]]$, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} [y, x]_{\mathcal{X}} &= t^{n+1}f_1x + (-1 + t^{n+1}f_2)y + t^{n+1}f_3z, \\ [z, x]_{\mathcal{X}} &= t^{n+1}g_1x + t^{n+1}g_2y + (\lambda t^n + t^{n+1}g_3)z, \\ [z, y]_{\mathcal{X}} &= t^{n+1}h_1x + t^{n+1}h_2y + t^{n+1}h_3z. \end{aligned}$$

Since the minor

$$(-1 + t^{n+1}f_2)(\lambda t^n + g_3t^{n+1}) - t^{n+1}g_2t^{n+1}f_3 \equiv -\lambda t^n \pmod{t^{n+1}},$$

we deduce that it is different from zero and therefore the set $\{x, [y, x]_{\mathcal{X}}, [z, x]_{\mathcal{X}}\}$ is basis of $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}((t))$. As a consequence the rank of $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}((t))$ is at least 2. Thus \mathfrak{g} is of rank at least 2 and not isomorphic to L_0, L_1 or L_2 .

Suppose the rank of \mathfrak{g} is 2, that is, \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic either to L_3 or to $L_4(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{k}^\times$. Let \mathbb{F} be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{k}((t))$. Then, the algebra $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{F}$ is isomorphic either to $L_3 \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{F}$ or to $L_4(\alpha) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}((t))} \mathbb{F}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{k}^\times$. In any case, if $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ are the eigenvalues of $\text{ad}(x)$, we obtain $\mu_1 = \beta \mu_2$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{k}^\times$. The matrix of the map $\text{ad}(x)$ in the basis $\{[y, x], [z, x]\}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - t^{n+1}f_2 & -t^{n+1}g_2 \\ -t^{n+1}f_3 & -\lambda t^n - t^{n+1}g_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and its trace and determinant are

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \beta)\mu_2 &= -\text{tr}(\text{ad}(x)) = -1 + t^{n+1}f_2 + \lambda t^n + t^{n+1}g_3, \\ \beta \mu_2^2 &= \det(\text{ad}(x)) = (1 - t^{n+1}f_2)(-\lambda t^n - t^{n+1}g_3). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that we denote $v : \mathbb{k}((t)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the valuation of the Laurent series field. The first equality implies that $\mu_2 \in \mathbb{k}[[t]]$ and that $v(\mu_2) = 0$. On the other hand, the second equality implies that $v(\mu_2) > 1$. This is a contradiction and we deduce \mathfrak{g} is not of rank 2.

Let us see that \mathfrak{g} does not have rank 3. From the equation

$$0 = [x, [y, z]_{\mathcal{X}}]_{\mathcal{X}} + [y, [z, x]_{\mathcal{X}}]_{\mathcal{X}} + [z, [x, y]_{\mathcal{X}}]_{\mathcal{X}},$$

we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 - \lambda h_1 t^n + t^{n+1}(f_1 h_2 - f_2 h_1 + g_1 h_3 - g_3 h_1) &= 0, \\ g_1 + \lambda h_2 t^n - t^{n+1}(f_2 g_1 - f_1 g_2 + g_2 h_3 - g_3 h_2) &= 0, \\ h_3 - \lambda f_1 t^n + t^{n+1}(f_3 g_1 - f_1 g_3 + f_3 h_2 - f_2 h_3) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From these equations we can express h_1 , g_1 and h_3 as polynomials on $f_1, f_2, f_3, g_2, g_3, h_1$ and h_2 . Using these identities, the determinant of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^{n+1}f_1 & -1 + t^{n+1}f_2 & t^{n+1}f_3 \\ t^{n+1}g_1 & t^{n+1}g_2 & \lambda t^n + t^{n+1}g_3 \\ t^{n+1}h_1 & t^{n+1}h_2 & t^{n+1}h_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

is zero. We performed these computations using *Mathematica 10* [WR14]. Thus $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[[t]]} \mathbb{k}((t))$ is not of rank 3 and therefore \mathfrak{g} is not of rank 3.

As a consequence, the rank of the algebra \mathfrak{g} is different from 0, 1, 2 or 3. This is a contradiction coming from the assumption that \mathfrak{g} is not isomorphic to \mathfrak{h} . \square

The methods of Proposition 5.2 give an homological algebra tool to decide whether the degeneration relation $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{h}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ holds for some Lie algebras \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{g} . Since each set $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ is a union of orbits, a complete description of the degeneration relation determines them. Using this technique we were able to recover the full description of the sets $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ for all 3-dimensional Lie algebras given by Agaoka in [Aga99, Proposition 5] with geometric methods. We omit the details which involve computations similar to the ones given in the proof of Proposition 5.2.

REFERENCES

- [Aga99] Y. Agaoka, *On the variety of 3-dimensional Lie algebras*, Lobachevskii J. Math. **3** (1999), 5–17 (electronic). Towards 100 years after Sophus Lie (Kazan, 1998).
- [Ber01] R. Berger, *Koszulity for nonquadratic algebras*, J. Algebra **239** (2001), no. 2, 705–734.
- [Dri92] V. G. Drinfeld, *On quadratic commutation relations in the quasiclassical case [translation of Mathematical physics, functional analysis (Russian), 25–34, 143, “Naukova Dumka”, Kiev, 1986; MR0906075 (89c:58048)]*, Selecta Math. Soviet. **11** (1992), no. 4, 317–326. Selected translations.
- [FH91] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. A first course; Readings in Mathematics.
- [Gab74] P. Gabriel, *Finite representation type is open*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Representations of Algebras (Carleton Univ., Ottawa, Ont., 1974), Carleton Univ., Ottawa, Ont., 1974, pp. 23 pp. Carleton Math. Lecture Notes, No. 9.
- [Gei95] C. Geiss, *On degenerations of tame and wild algebras*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **64** (1995), no. 1, 11–16.
- [GdlP95] C. Geiss and J. A. de la Peña, *On the deformation theory of finite-dimensional algebras*, Manuscripta Math. **88** (1995), no. 2, 191–208.
- [Ger64] M. Gerstenhaber, *On the deformation of rings and algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **79** (1964), 59–103.
- [GMMVZ04] E. L. Green, E. N. Marcos, R. Martínez-Villa, and P. Zhang, *D-Koszul algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **193** (2004), no. 1-3, 141–162.
- [GO88] F. Grunewald and J. O’Halloran, *A characterization of orbit closure and applications*, J. Algebra **116** (1988), no. 1, 163–175.
- [Han06] Y. Han, *Hochschild (co)homology dimension*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **73** (2006), no. 3, 657–668.
- [Kod05] K. Kodaira, *Complex manifolds and deformation of complex structures*, Reprint of the 1986 English edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. Translated from the 1981 Japanese original by Kazuo Akao.
- [NR64] A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Richardson Jr., *Cohomology and deformations of algebraic structures*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1964), 406–411.
- [PP05] A. Polishchuk and L. Positselski, *Quadratic algebras*, University Lecture Series, vol. 37, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [Pri70] S. B. Priddy, *Koszul resolutions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **152** (1970), 39–60.
- [Ser00] J.-P. Serre, *Local algebra*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. Translated from the French by CheeWhye Chin and revised by the author.
- [Ser79] ———, *Local fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 67, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979. Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg.
- [SY97] B. Shelton and S. Yuzvinsky, *Koszul algebras from graphs and hyperplane arrangements*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **56** (1997), no. 3, 477–490.
- [WR14] Inc. Wolfram Research, *Mathematica* (2014).