arXiv:1708.03245v2 [math.GR] 14 Aug 2017

FINITE p-GROUPS OF NILPOTENCY CLASS 3 WITH TWO CONJUGACY CLASS SIZES

TUSHAR KANTA NAIK, RAHUL DATTATRAYA KITTURE, AND MANOJ K. YADAV

Abstract. It is proved that, for a prime $p > 2$ and an integer $n \geq 1$, finite p-groups of nilpotency class 3 and having only two conjugacy class sizes 1 and p^n exist if and only if n is even; moreover, for a given even positive integer, such a group is unique up to isoclinism (in the sense of Philip Hall).

1. Introduction

A finite group G is said to be of *conjugate type* $(1 = m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_r)$, $m_i < m_{i+1}$, if m_i's are precisely the different sizes of conjugacy classes of G. In this paper we restrict our attention on finite groups of conjugate type $(1, m)$. Investigation on such groups was initiated by N. Ito [6] in 1953. He proved that groups of conjugate type $(1, m)$ are nilpotent, with m a prime power, say p^n. In particular, G is a direct product of its Sylow-p subgroup and some abelian p'-subgroup. So, it is sufficient to study finite *p-groups* of conjugate type $(1, p^n)$ for p a prime and $n \geq 1$ an integer. It was proved by K. Ishikawa [5] that the nilpotency class of such finite p-groups is either 2 or 3.

It follows (see Section 2) that any two isoclinic groups are of same conjugate type. The study of finite p-groups of conjugate type $(1, p^n)$, up to isoclinism, was initiated by Ishikawa [4]. He classified such groups for $n \leq 2$. As a consequence, it follows that there is no finite p-group of nilpotency class 3 and conjugate type $(1, p)$, and there is a unique finite p-group, up to isoclinism, of nilpotency class 3 and conjugate type $(1, p^2)$. The classification, up to isoclinism, of finite p-groups of conjugate type $(1, p^3)$ was recently done in [9], wherein, among other results, it was observed that there is no finite p-group of nilpotency class 3 and of conjugate type $(1, p^3)$.

The examples of p-group of nilpotency class 3 and of conjugate type $(1, p^{2m})$ are known for all $m \geq 1$. These examples appeared in the construction of certain Camina p-groups of nilpotency class 3 by Dark and Scoppola [1, p. 796-797]. It can be shown that for a given integer $m \geq 1$ and a prime $p > 2$, the p-group of conjugate type $(1, p^{2m})$ and class 3, constructed by Dark and Scoppola, is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_m / \operatorname{Z}(\mathcal{H}_m)$, where $\mathcal{H}_m$ is presented as follows (see Section 4 for more details):

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20D15, 20E45.

Key words and phrases. Finite p-group, conjugate type, isoclinism.

$$\mathcal{H}_m = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c & b & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ d & ab-c & a & 1 & 0 \\ f & e & c & b & 1 \end{bmatrix} : a,b,c,d,e,f \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} \right\}. \tag{1.1}$$

In view of these examples, the question asked in [9] reduces to the following: Does there exist a finite p-group of nilpotency class 3 and conjugate type $(1, p^n)$, for an odd prime p and odd integer $n \geq 5$?

We answer this question, by proving the following much general result.

Main Theorem. *Let $p > 2$ be a prime and $n \geq 1$ an integer. Then there exist finite p-groups of nilpotency class 3 and conjugate type $(1, p^n)$ if and only if n is even. For each positive even integer $n = 2m$, every finite p-group of nilpotency class 3 and of conjugate type $(1, p^n)$ is isoclinic to the group $\mathcal{H}_m/\operatorname{Z}(\mathcal{H}_m)$, where $\mathcal{H}_m$ is as in (1.1).*

We set some notations for a multiplicatively written finite group G which are mostly standard. We denote the commutator subgroup of G by G' and the center of G by $\operatorname{Z}(G)$. The third term of the lower central series of G is denoted by $\gamma_3(G)$. To say that H is a subgroup of G, we write $H \leq G$. For the elements $x, y, z \in G$, the commutator $[x, y]$ of x and y is defined by $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$, and $[x, y, z] = [[x, y], z]$. For an element $x \in G$, x^G denotes the conjugacy class of x in G and $[G, x]$ denotes the set $\{[g, x] \mid g \in G\}$. Note that if $[G, x] \subseteq \operatorname{Z}(G)$, then $[G, x]$ is a subgroup of G. For a subgroup H of G and an element $x \in G$, by $\operatorname{C}_H(x)$ we denote the centralizer of x in H. The exponent of G is denoted by $exp(G)$. If N is a normal subgroup of G, then the fact that $xy^{-1} \in N$ will be denoted by $x \equiv y \pmod{N}$. By $\mathbb{F}_p$ we denote the field of *integers modulo p*.

2. Reductions

In 1940, P. Hall [2] introduced the concept of isoclinism among groups. Let X be a finite group and $\overline{X} = X/\operatorname{Z}(X)$. Then commutation in X gives a well defined map $a_X : \overline{X} \times \overline{X} \mapsto X'$ such that $a_X(x\operatorname{Z}(X), y\operatorname{Z}(X)) = [x, y]$ for $(x, y) \in X \times X$. Two finite groups G and H are said to be *isoclinic*, if there exists an isomorphism ϕ of the factor group $\overline{G} = G/\operatorname{Z}(G)$ onto $\overline{H} = H/\operatorname{Z}(H)$, and an isomorphism θ of the subgroup G' onto H' such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{G} \times \overline{G} & \xrightarrow{a_G} & G' \\ {\scriptstyle \phi\times\phi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta} \\ \overline{H} \times \overline{H} & \xrightarrow{a_H} & H'. \end{array}$$

Note that isoclinism is an equivalence relation among groups. Equivalence classes under this relation are called *isoclinism families*. We recall the following two results of Hall.

Proposition 2.1. [2, p. 136] *Let G and H be two isoclinic finite groups. Then G and H are of the same conjugate type.*

Proposition 2.2. [2, p. 135] *Let G be a finite group. Then there exists a finite group H in the isoclinism family of G such that $\mathrm{Z}(H) \leq H'$.*

The following interesting result is due to M. Isaacs.

Theorem 2.3. [3, p. 501] *Let G be a finite group, which contains a proper normal subgroup N such that all of the conjugacy classes of G which lie outside of N have the same sizes. Then either G/N is cyclic or every non-identity element of G/N has prime order.*

As an immediate consequence of the preceding result, we get

Corollary 2.4. *For a prime p and an integer $n \geq 1$, let G be a finite p-group of conjugate type $(1, p^n)$. Then $exp(G/\mathrm{Z}(G)) = p$. In particular, if G is of nilpotency class > 2, then $p > 2$.*

The following result is due to Ishikawa.

Theorem 2.5. [5, Main Theorem] *For a prime p and an integer $n \geq 1$, let G be a finite p-group of conjugate type $(1, p^n)$. Then the nilpotency class of G is at most 3. As a consequence, G' is elementary abelian.*

The preceding results reduce our study to the finite p-groups G satisfying the following conditions:

(1) G is of conjugate type $(1, p^n)$, $n \geq 2$.
(2) Nilpotency class of G is 3.
(3) $\mathrm{Z}(G) \leq G'$.
(4) $p > 2$.

For notational convenience, we set

Hypothesis (A1). We say that a finite p-group G satisfies *Hypothesis (A1)*, if (1)-(4) above hold for G.

3. Key results

In this section we determine some important invariants associated to a finite p-group satisfying Hypothesis (A1).

Lemma 3.1. *Let G satisfy Hypothesis (A1). Then $[G' : \mathrm{Z}(G)] < p^n$.*

Proof. Let $[G : G'] = p^m$ for some integer $m \geq 1$. Since the nilpotency class of G is 3, G' is abelian; hence by the given hypothesis, for any $y \in G' \setminus \mathrm{Z}(G)$, we have

$$p^n = [G : \mathrm{C}_G(y)] \leq [G : G'] = p^m;$$

hence $n \leq m$.

We proceed by the way of contradiction. Contrarily suppose that $[G' : \mathrm{Z}(G)] = p^k$ with $n \leq k$. Our plan is to count the cardinality of following set in two different ways:

$$X = \Big\{ \big(\langle xG' \rangle, \langle y\,\mathrm{Z}(G) \rangle\big) \mid x \in G \setminus G',\ y \in G' \setminus \mathrm{Z}(G),\ [x, y] = 1 \Big\}.$$

Note that X is well defined. For, if $\langle xG' \rangle = \langle x_1 G' \rangle$ and $\langle y\,\mathrm{Z}(G) \rangle = \langle y_1\,\mathrm{Z}(G) \rangle$, then $[x, y] = 1$ if and only if $[x_1, y_1] = 1$. Note that $G/\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is of exponent p (by Corollary 2.4) and $\mathrm{Z}(G) \leq G'$. Thus

$$exp(G/G') = exp(G'/\mathrm{Z}(G)) = p.$$

It follows that there are $(p^k-1)/(p-1)$ subgroups of order p in $G'/\mathrm{Z}(G)$. Since G' is abelian, for each $y \in G' \setminus \mathrm{Z}(G)$, $G' \subseteq C_G(y)$ and $[\mathrm{C}_G(y) : G'] = p^{m-n}$. As there are $(p^{m-n}-1)/(p-1)$ subgroups of order p in $\mathrm{C}_G(y)/G'$, we get

$$|X| = \frac{(p^{m-n}-1)(p^k-1)}{(p-1)^2}.$$

On the other hand, fix $x \in G \setminus G'$. Set $\mathrm{C}_{G'}(x) := \mathrm{C}_G(x) \cap G'$. If $[G' : \mathrm{C}_{G'}(x)] \geq p^n$, then there are at least p^n conjugates of x in G'; hence, by the given hypothesis, there are exactly p^n conjugates of x in G'. Consequently we have $x^G = x^{G'}$. Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ be a minimal generating set of G. Then $x^{x_i} = x^{h_i}$ for some $h_i \in G'$; hence $x^{x_i h_i^{-1}} = x$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Hence $\{x_1 h_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_m h_m^{-1}\}$ is also a generating set for G, which centralizes x, which implies that $x \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$, a contradiction. Thus $[G' : \mathrm{C}_{G'}(x)] \leq p^{n-1}$ and $[\mathrm{C}_{G'}(x) : \mathrm{Z}(G)] \geq p^{k+1-n} \geq p$. Thus, there are at least $(p^{k+1-n}-1)/(p-1)$ subgroups $\langle y\,\mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle$ of order p in $G'/\mathrm{Z}(G)$ with $[x,y]=1$. Counting all together, we get

$$|X| \geq \frac{(p^m-1)(p^{k+1-n}-1)}{(p-1)^2}.$$

Comparing the size of X, we get

$$(p^m-1)(p^{k+1-n}-1) \leq (p^{m-n}-1)(p^k-1),$$

which on simplification gives

$$p + p^{-k} + p^{n-m} \leq 1 + p^{1-m} + p^{n-k} < 3,$$

a contradiction on the choice of p. Hence $[G' : \mathrm{Z}(G)] < p^n$. □

Before proceeding further, we introduce the notion of breadth in p-groups. Let G be a finite p-group. For $x \in G$, the *breadth* $b(x)$ of x in G is defined as $p^{b(x)} = [G : \mathrm{C}_G(x)]$. The *breadth* b_G of G is defined as

$$b_G = \max\{b(x) \mid x \in G\}.$$

Let A be an abelian normal subgroup of G. Then we define the following:

$$\begin{aligned} p^{b_A(x)} &= [A : C_A(x)]. \\ b_A(G) &= \max\{b_A(x) \mid x \in G\}. \\ B_A(G) &= \{x \in G \mid b_A(x) = b_A(G)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the ease of notation, we denote $B_A(G)$ by B_A.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G satisfiy Hypothesis (A1). Then*

$$B_{G'} = \{x \in G \mid \mathrm{C}_{G'}(x) = \mathrm{Z}(G)\}$$

and $\langle B_{G'}\rangle = G$.

Proof. Let $[G : G'] = p^m$ for some integer m. As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have $n \leq m$. Let $[G' : \mathrm{Z}(G)] = p^k$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, $k \leq n-1$. Define

$$T := \{x \in G \mid x \text{ commutes with some element } y \in G' \setminus \mathrm{Z}(G)\}.$$

Note that $T = \cup C_G(h)$, where union is taken over all subgroups $\langle hG' \rangle$ of order p in $G'/Z(G)$. Since G' is abelian, for each $h \in G' \setminus Z(G)$, $G' \leq C_G(h)$ and $|C_G(h)| = |G'|\, p^{m-n}$. By Corollary 2.4, $exp(G/Z(G)) = p$, and hence $exp(G'/Z(G)) = p$. Thus,

$$|T| \leq |G'|\, p^{m-n} \frac{(p^k - 1)}{p - 1} < |G'|\, p^{m-n+k} \leq |G'|\, p^{m-1} < |G|.$$

Consequently, T is a proper subset of G, and therefore there exists an element $x \in G \setminus G'$ such that $C_{G'}(x) = Z(G)$. Thus

$$B_{G'} = \{x \in G \mid C_{G'}(x) = Z(G)\} = G \setminus T.$$

If $\langle B_{G'} \rangle = H < G$, then $|H| \leq |G'|\, p^{m-1}$. Thus we have

$$|G| = |T \cup B_{G'}| \leq |G'|p^{m-1} + |G'|\, p^{m-1} < |G'|p^m = |G|,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\langle B_{G'} \rangle = G$, which completes the proof. □

As a consequence of Hall-Witt identity, we get

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a group of nilpotency class 3 and let $x, y, z \in G$ be such that $[x, z], [y, z] \in Z(G)$. Then $[x, y, z] = 1$, that is, $[x, y] \in G' \cap C_G(z)$.*

The following result computes the index of G' in G.

Lemma 3.4. *If G satisfy Hypothesis (A1), then $[G : G'] = p^n$.*

Proof. For $n = 2$, the result is proved in [4, Theorem 4.2]. So we assume that $n > 2$. Since G' is abelian, it follows that $[G : G'] \geq p^n$. If $[G : G'] = p^n$, then there is nothing to prove. So assume that $[G : G'] = p^{n+m}$ with $m > 0$. We now proceed to get a contradiction. Let $[G' : Z(G)] = p^k$, where $k \leq n - 1$ (by Lemma 3.1). We complete the proof in several steps.

Step 1. *For all $h \in G' \setminus Z(G)$, $C_G(h) = C_G(G')$.*

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that we can choose an element $x_1 \in G$ such that

$$C_{G'}(x_1) = C_G(x_1) \cap G' = Z(G).$$

Let $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{m+k}, \ldots, x_{m+n}\}$ be a minimal generating set for G such that $C_G(x_1) = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{m+k}, Z(G) \rangle$.

If $\{[x_1, x_i] \mid m + k < i \leq m + n\} \subseteq Z(G)$, then $[x_1, x_i] \in Z(G)$ for all i with $1 \leq i \leq m + n$. By Lemma 3.3, we get $[x_r, x_s] \in C_G(x_1) \cap G' = Z(G)$ for all r, s with $1 \leq r, s \leq m + n$, that is, $G' \subseteq Z(G)$, a contradiction. Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that $[x_1, x_{m+n}] \notin Z(G)$. Consider the subgroup

$$K = \langle [x_1, x_{m+n}], [x_2, x_{m+n}], \ldots, [x_{m+k}, x_{m+n}], Z(G) \rangle.$$

Since $[G' : Z(G)] = p^k$, among the commutators $[x_i, x_{m+n}]$, $1 \leq i \leq m + k$, at most k are independent modulo $Z(G)$ (viewed as elements of the vector space $G'/Z(G)$). We can assume, without loss of generality, that for some integer l with $1 \leq l \leq k$, $[x_1, x_{m+n}], [x_2, x_{m+n}], \ldots, [x_l, x_{m+n}]$ are independent elements modulo $Z(G)$, and generate K along with $Z(G)$.

We now show that $l = k$. For any t with $l < t \leq m + k$, we have

$$[x_t, x_{m+n}] \equiv [x_1, x_{m+n}]^{i_1}[x_2, x_{m+n}]^{i_2} \cdots [x_l, x_{m+n}]^{i_l} \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}.$$

Let $x'_t = x_t x_1^{-i_1} \cdots x_l^{-i_l}$. Then $[x'_t, x_{m+n}] \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Thus, with $\mathrm{C}_G(x_1) = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{m+k}, \mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle$, we can assume, modifying x_t by x'_t (if necessary), that

$$[x_t, x_{m+n}] \in \mathrm{Z}(G) \text{ for } l < t \leq m + k. \tag{3.5}$$

Since $[x_1, x_i] = [x_1, x_j] = 1$ for any $i, j \leq m + k$, by Lemma 3.3, we get

$$[x_j, x_i] \in \mathrm{C}_G(x_1) \cap G' = \mathrm{Z}(G).$$

In particular, for $l < t \leq m + k$, $[x_t, x_i] \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Consequently by (3.5) and Lemma 3.3,

$$[x_t, [x_i, x_{m+n}]] = 1 \quad (l < t \leq m + k,\ 1 \leq i \leq l).$$

Thus for any i with $1 \leq i \leq l$, $\langle x_{l+1}, \ldots, x_{m+k}, G'\rangle \leq \mathrm{C}_G([x_i, x_{m+n}])$; so

$$p^n = [G : \mathrm{C}_G([x_i, x_{m+n}])] \leq p^{m+n-(m+k-l)} = p^{n-k+l} \leq p^n.$$

Consequently, we get

(i) $k = l$,
(ii) $K = \langle [x_1, x_{m+n}], [x_2, x_{m+n}], \ldots, [x_k, x_{m+n}], \mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle = G'$, and
(iii) $\mathrm{C}_G([x_i, x_{m+n}]) = \langle x_{k+1}, x_{k+2} \ldots, x_{k+m}, G'\rangle := H$ (say), for all i, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

By (ii) and (iii), we get $\mathrm{C}_G(h) = H = \mathrm{C}_G(G')$ for all $h \in G' \setminus \mathrm{Z}(G)$. This proves Step 1.

Observe that, by Lemma 3.2,

$$G \setminus H = \{x \in G \mid \mathrm{C}_{G'}(x) = \mathrm{Z}(G)\} = B_{G'},$$

which implies that $\mathrm{C}_H(x) \cap G' = \mathrm{Z}(G)$ for all $x \in G \setminus H$.

Step 2. *For any $y \in G \setminus H$, there exist elements $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m \in G'$ such that*

$$\langle x_{k+i}h_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\rangle\, \mathrm{Z}(G)/\,\mathrm{Z}(G) = (\mathrm{C}_G(y) \cap H)/\,\mathrm{Z}(G)$$

and are of order p^m.

Let $y \in G \setminus H$ be an arbitrary element. Then $\mathrm{C}_{G'}(y) = \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Consider a minimal generating set $Y := \{y = y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{m+k}, \ldots, y_{m+n}\}$ of G such that $\mathrm{C}_G(y_1) = \langle y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{m+k}, \mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle$. As in Step 1, we can modify (if necessary) the elements $y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{m+k}$ so that

$$\langle y_{k+1}, y_{k+2}, \ldots, y_{m+k}, G'\rangle = H = \langle x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \ldots, x_{m+k}, G'\rangle.$$

Consequently, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, it follows that $x_{k+i} = (\prod_j y_{k+j}^{a_{ij}})h_i^{-1}$ for some integers a_{ij} and some element $h_i \in G'$. Hence $x_{k+i}h_i \in \mathrm{C}_G(y)$, which shows that

$$\langle x_{k+i}h_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\rangle\, \mathrm{Z}(G)/\,\mathrm{Z}(G) \leq (\mathrm{C}_G(y) \cap H)/\,\mathrm{Z}(G). \tag{3.6}$$

Note that for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, $[x_{k+i}h_i, x_{k+j}h_j] \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Thus

$$|\langle x_{k+i}h_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\rangle\, \mathrm{Z}(G)/\,\mathrm{Z}(G)| = p^m. \tag{3.7}$$

By the vary choice of $y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{m+k}$, it follows that

$$\langle y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{k+m}, \mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle = \mathrm{C}_G(y) \cap H;$$

hence $|(\mathrm{C}_G(y) \cap H)/\,\mathrm{Z}(G)| = p^m$. This, along with (3.6) and (3.7), proves Step 2.

Step 3. *The cardinality of*

$$S := \Big\{ \big(\langle x\,\mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle, \langle y\,\mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle\big) \mid x \in G \setminus H,\ y \in H \setminus G',\ [x,y] = 1 \Big\}$$

is $p^{m+k}(p^n-1)(p^m-1)/(p-1)^2$.

Since the exponent of $G/\,\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is p, it follows that $G/\,\mathrm{Z}(G)$, $H/\,\mathrm{Z}(G)$ and $G'/\,\mathrm{Z}(G)$ have $(p^{n+m+k}-1)/(p-1)$, $(p^{m+k}-1)/(p-1)$ and $(p^k-1)/(p-1)$ number of subgroups of order p respectively. Consequently

$$|\{\langle x\,\mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle \mid x \in G \setminus H\}| = \frac{p^{m+k}(p^n-1)}{p-1} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$|\{\langle y\,\mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle \mid y \in H \setminus G'\}| = \frac{p^k(p^m-1)}{p-1}. \tag{3.9}$$

For each $x \in G \setminus H$, by Step 2, we have $|(\mathrm{C}_G(x) \cap H)/\,\mathrm{Z}(G)| = p^m$; hence

$$|\{\langle y\,\mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle \mid y \in H \setminus G', [x,y] = 1\}| = \frac{p^m-1}{p-1}. \tag{3.10}$$

Hence, by (3.8) and (3.10), we have

$$|S| = \frac{p^{m+k}(p^n-1)(p^m-1)}{(p-1)^2}, \tag{3.11}$$

and the proof of Step 3 is complete.

We now proceed to get the final contradiction. Note that there exists some element $y_0 \in H \setminus G'$ such that

$$|\{\langle x\,\mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle \mid x \in G \setminus H, [x,y_0] = 1\}| \geq \frac{p^m(p^n-1)}{p-1}. \tag{3.12}$$

For, if there is no such y_0, then for each $y \in H \setminus G'$, we get

$$|\{\langle x\,\mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle \mid x \in G \setminus H, [x,y] = 1\}| < \frac{p^m(p^n-1)}{p-1}.$$

But then

$$|S| < \frac{p^{m+k}(p^n-1)(p^m-1)}{(p-1)^2},$$

which is absurd.

Note that $G' \leq \mathrm{C}_G(y_0)$, $[\mathrm{C}_G(y_0) : G'] = p^m$, $|G/H| = p^n$ and $|H/G'| = p^m$. Assume that

$$|\,\mathrm{C}_G(y_0)H/H| = p^{n-s} \text{ and } |\,\mathrm{C}_H(y_0)/G'| = p^{m-r},$$

for some integers s and r. Since $[G : \mathrm{C}_G(y_0)] = p^n$, it follows that $n = s + r$. Then

$$|\,\mathrm{C}_G(y_0)/\,\mathrm{Z}(G)| = p^{k+(m-r)+(n-s)} = p^{k+m}$$

and

$$|\,\mathrm{C}_H(y_0)/\,\mathrm{Z}(G)| = p^{k+(m-r)}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\{\langle x\,\mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle \mid x \in G \setminus H, [x,y_0] = 1\}| &= \frac{(p^{k+m}-1)-(p^{k+m-r}-1)}{p-1} \\ &= \frac{p^{m+k-r}(p^{n-s}-1)}{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The preceding equation along with (3.12) gives

$$p^m(p^n-1)/(p-1) \leq p^{m+k-r}(p^{n-s}-1)/(p-1),$$

which implies that $(p^n-1) \leq p^k - p^{k-r}$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1,

$$p^n < p^k + 1 \leq p^{n-1} + 1,$$

which is absurd, and the proof of the lemma is complete. □

As an immediate consequence of the preceding lemma, we get the following important information about centralizers of elements in G, which we use frequently without any further reference.

Corollary 3.13. *If G satisfies Hypothesis (A1), then for all $x \in G \setminus G'$ the following hold:*

(1) $\mathrm{C}_G(x) \cap G' = \mathrm{Z}(G)$.
(2) $[\mathrm{C}_G(x) : \mathrm{Z}(G)] = [G' : \mathrm{Z}(G)]$.

Theorem 3.14. *Let G satisfies Hypothesis (A1). If $[G' : \mathrm{Z}(G)] = p^m$, then $n = 2m$.*

Proof. Consider $x, y \in G$ with $[x, y] \notin \mathrm{Z}(G)$. We consider two cases, namely (1) $n > 2m$ and (2) $n < 2m$, and get contradiction in both.

Case 1. $n > 2m$

Write $\overline{G} = G/\mathrm{Z}(G)$. Since $\overline{G}$ is of nilpotency class 2 and $|\overline{G}'| = p^m$, we have

$$[\overline{G} : \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x})] \leq p^m \text{ and } [\overline{G} : \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{y})] \leq p^m.$$

Since $[\overline{G} : \overline{G}'] = p^n > p^{2m}$, there exists $\overline{w} \notin \overline{G}'$ such that $\overline{w} \in \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}) \cap \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{y})$, i.e. $[x, w], [y, w] \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Since $w \notin G'$, by Lemma 3.3, $[x, y] \in \mathrm{C}_G(w) \cap G' = \mathrm{Z}(G)$, a contradiction to our supposition that $[x, y] \notin \mathrm{Z}(G)$.

Case 2. $n < 2m$.

Since $x \notin G'$, we have

$$[\mathrm{C}_G(x)G' : G'] = [\mathrm{C}_G(x) : \mathrm{C}_G(x) \cap G'] = [\mathrm{C}_G(x) : \mathrm{Z}(G)] = p^m,$$

and similarly $[\mathrm{C}_G(y)G' : G'] = p^m$. Since $n < 2m$, we have $[G : G'] = p^n < p^{2m}$; hence $\mathrm{C}_G(x)G' \cap \mathrm{C}_G(y)G'$ contains G' properly. Consider $w \in \mathrm{C}_G(x)G' \cap \mathrm{C}_G(y)G'$ with $w \notin G'$. Since G is of nilpotency class 3, it is easy to see that $[w, x], [w, y] \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$, hence by Lemma 3.3, $[x, y] \in \mathrm{C}_G(w) \cap G' = \mathrm{Z}(G)$, a contradiction again.

Hence $n = 2m$, and the proof is complete. □

Before proceeding further, we strengthen Hypothesis (A1) as follows:

Hypothesis (A2). We say that a finite p-group G satisfies *Hypothesis (A2)*, if G is of nilpotency class 3 and of conjugate type $(1, p^{2m})$ with $\mathrm{Z}(G) \leq G'$.

A group G is said to be a *Camina group* if $xG' = x^G$ for all $x \in G \setminus G'$. For determining the structure of $G/\mathrm{Z}(G)$ when G satisfies Hypothesis (A2), the following result of Verardi [11] (also see [8, Lemma 1.2]) will be used.

Theorem 3.15. [8, Lemma 1.2] *For an odd prime p, let G be a Camina p-group of order p^{3n}, of exponent p and of nilpotency class 2. Let $[G : G'] = p^{2n}$ and there are two elementary abelian subgroups A^*, B^* of G such that $G = A^*B^*$, $A^* = A \times G'$, $B^* = B \times G'$, and thus $G = ABG'$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) G is isomorphic to $\mathrm{U}_3(p^n)$.
(2) All the centralizers of non-central elements of G are abelian.

Theorem 3.16. *Let G satisfy Hypothesis (A2). Write $\overline{G} = G/\mathrm{Z}(G)$ and $\overline{x} = x\mathrm{Z}(G)$ for $x \in G$. Then the following hold:*

(1) $\overline{\mathrm{C}_G(x)G'} = \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x})$ and $|\mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x})| = p^{2m}$ for $x \in G \setminus G'$.
(2) $\overline{G}$ is a Camina p-group of order p^{3m}, exponent p, and $|\overline{G}'| = p^m$.
(3) All the centralizers of non-central elements in $\overline{G}$ are elementary abelian of order p^{2m}.
(4) If $A = \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x})$ and $B = \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{y})$ for $\overline{x}, \overline{y} \notin \mathrm{Z}(\overline{G})$ are distinct centralizers in $\overline{G}$, then $A \cap B = \mathrm{Z}(\overline{G})$ and $\overline{G} = AB$.
(5) $\overline{G}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.14, $[G : G'] = p^{2m}$ and $[G' : \mathrm{Z}(G)] = p^m$; hence

$$|\overline{G}| = p^{3m} \text{ and } |\overline{G}'| = p^m.$$

Further, for $h \in G' \setminus \mathrm{Z}(G)$, $\mathrm{C}_G(h) = G'$.

Since $\overline{G}$ is of nilpotency class 2, $\overline{G}'$ is contained in the centralizer of every element in $\overline{G}$. Hence $\overline{\mathrm{C}_G(x)G'} \leq \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x})$ for any $x \in G \setminus G'$. Since $[\mathrm{C}_G(x) : \mathrm{Z}(G)] = [G' : \mathrm{Z}(G)] = p^m$ with $\mathrm{C}_G(x) \cap G' = \mathrm{Z}(G)$, we get $[\mathrm{C}_G(x)G' : \mathrm{Z}(G)] = p^{2m}$ and $[G : \mathrm{C}_G(x)G'] = p^m$. Hence $[\overline{G} : \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x})] \leq p^m$ and $|\mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x})| \geq p^{2m}$.

Fix $x \in G \setminus G'$. If possible, suppose that $|\mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x})| > p^{2m}$, that is, $[\overline{G} : \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x})] < p^m$. Note that $\overline{x} \notin \mathrm{Z}(\overline{G})$. For, let $\overline{x} \in \mathrm{Z}(\overline{G})$. For any minimal generating set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{2m}\}$ of G, $\{\overline{x}_1, \ldots, \overline{x}_{2m}\}$ is also a minimal generating set for $\overline{G}$. Then $[\overline{x}, \overline{x}_i] = 1$, that is $[x, x_i] \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2m$. Then by Lemma 3.3, for $1 \leq i \leq 2m$, $[x_i, x_j] \in \mathrm{C}_G(x) \cap G' = \mathrm{Z}(G)$ (since $x \notin G'$); hence $G' \subseteq \mathrm{Z}(G)$, a contradiction.

Then there exists $t \in G$ such that $[t, x] \notin \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Since $|\overline{G}'| = p^m$, we have $[\overline{G} : \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{t})] \leq p^m$, and therefore it follows that $\mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}) \cap \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{t})$ contains $\overline{G}'$ properly. Take $\overline{w} \in \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}) \cap \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{t}) \setminus \overline{G}'$. Then $[w, x], [w, t] \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$ with $w \notin G'$. By Lemma 3.3, $[x, t] \in \mathrm{C}_G(w) \cap G' = \mathrm{Z}(G)$, a contradiction. Thus $|\mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x})| = p^{2m} = |\overline{\mathrm{C}_G(x)G'}|$. This proves assertion (1).

(2) By Corollary 2.4, $exp(\overline{G}) = p$. Now the assertion (2) follows from assertion (1).

(3) Consider any $x \in G \setminus G'$. For $y_1, y_2 \in \mathrm{C}_G(x)$, by Lemma 3.3, $[y_1, y_2] \in \mathrm{C}_G(x) \cap G' = Z(G)$. Hence $[\mathrm{C}_G(x), \mathrm{C}_G(x)] \leq \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Since G is of nilpotency class 3, we have

$$[\mathrm{C}_G(x)G', \mathrm{C}_G(x)G'] = [\mathrm{C}_G(x), \mathrm{C}_G(x)]\,[\mathrm{C}_G(x), G']\,[G', G'] \leq \mathrm{Z}(G),$$

i.e. $\mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}) = \overline{\mathrm{C}_G(x)G'}$ is abelian. Since $\overline{G}$ is of exponent p, then so is $\mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x})$.

(4) It is given that $A = \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x})$ and $B = \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{y})$ are distinct proper subgroups of $\overline{G}$, and are abelian by assertion (3). Thus for any element $\overline{w} \in A \cap B$, it follows that $|\mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{w})| \geq |AB| > p^{2m}$. Hence, by (3), $\overline{w} \in \mathrm{Z}(\overline{G})$. Since $|\overline{G}| = p^{3m}$, $|\mathrm{Z}(\overline{G})| = p^m$ and $|A| = |B| = p^{2m}$, we have $\overline{G} = AB$.

(5) The assertion follows by (2)-(4) along with Theorem 3.15. □

Using Theorem 3.16(1), the following result is an easy exercise.

Corollary 3.17. *Let G satisfy Hypothesis (A1) and $u, v \in G \setminus G'$ such that $[u, v] \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Then there exists an element $h \in G'$ such that $[u, vh] = 1$.*

As a consequence of Hall-Witt identity, in a p-group G of nilpotency class 3, one can deduce that

$$\text{If } [a, b] \in \mathrm{Z}(G) \text{ then } [[a, t], b] = [[b, t], a] \qquad (a, b, t \in G). \tag{3.18}$$

Lemma 3.19. *Let G satisfy Hypothesis (A2). Then $\mathrm{Z}(G) = \gamma_3(G)$, and is elementary abelian of order p^{2m}.*

Proof. Since G' is elementary abelian (by Theorem 2.5), so are $\gamma_3(G)$ and $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ (since $\mathrm{Z}(G) \leq G'$). Consider $x_1 \in G \setminus G'$. Recall that $\mathrm{C}_G(x_1) \cap G' = \mathrm{Z}(G)$, $[\mathrm{C}_G(x_1) : \mathrm{Z}(G)] = [G' : \mathrm{Z}(G)] = p^m$ and $[G : G'] = p^{2m}$. Let $\mathrm{C}_G(x_1) = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_m, \mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle$. Consider $y_1 \in G \setminus \mathrm{C}_G(x_1)G'$. Let $\mathrm{C}_G(y_1) = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_m, \mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle$. Then $\mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}_1) = \langle \overline{x}_1, \ldots, \overline{x}_m, \overline{G}'\rangle$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{y}_1) = \langle \overline{y}_1, \ldots, \overline{y}_m, \overline{G}'\rangle$ are distinct proper subgroups of $\overline{G}$; hence, by Theorem 3.16(4), they generate $\overline{G}$. It follows that $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$ is a (minimal) generating set for G. Define

$$[x_1, y_i] = h_i, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

By Theorem 3.16(2), $h_1, \ldots, h_m$ are independent modulo $\mathrm{Z}(G)$, and

$$G' = \langle h_1, \ldots, h_m, \mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle.$$

Next define

$$[h_1, x_i] = z_i, \text{ and } [h_1, y_i] = z_{m+i}, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Since $x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_m$ are independent modulo $G' = \mathrm{C}_G(h_1)$, it follows that

$$[h_1, x_1], \ldots, [h_1, x_m], [h_1, y_1], \ldots, [h_1, y_m]$$

are independent, and they generate a subgroup K of order p^{2m} in $\gamma_3(G)$.

We now proceed to show that $K = \gamma_3(G)$. It is sufficient to show that for any $h \in G' \setminus \mathrm{Z}(G)$, $[h, x_i], [h, y_i] \in K$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. For any $h \in G' \setminus \mathrm{Z}(G)$ and fixed i with $1 \leq i \leq m$, consider $[h, x_i]$. Let $A = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_m, \mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle$ and $B = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_m, \mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle$. Since $\langle [x_1, y_1], \ldots, [x_1, y_m], \mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle = G'$, there exists $y \in B$ such that $h \equiv [x_1, y] \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}$. Then by (3.18)

$$[h, x_i] = [[x_1, y], x_i] = [[x_i, y], x_1].$$

Again, since $\langle [x_1, y_1], \ldots, [x_m, y_1], \mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle = G'$, there exists $x \in A$ such that $[x_i, y] \equiv [x, y_1] \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}$. Therefore, by (3.18)

$$[h, x_i] = [[x_i, y], x_1] = [[x, y_1], x_1] = [[x_1, y_1], x] = [h_1, x] \in K.$$

Similarly we can show that $[h, y_i] \in K$, $1 \leq i \leq m$; hence $K = \gamma_3(G)$ and is of order p^{2m}.

It only remains to show that $\mathrm{Z}(G) = \gamma_3(G)$. For this, since $[x_1, y_1], \ldots, [x_1, y_m]$ are independent modulo $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ and

$$G' = \langle [x_1, y_1], \ldots, [x_1, y_m], \mathrm{Z}(G)\rangle,$$

it suffices to prove that

$$G' = \langle [x_1, y_1], \ldots, [x_1, y_m], \gamma_3(G) \rangle. \tag{3.20}$$

Note that $\gamma_3(G) \subseteq \mathrm{Z}(G)$ and $\gamma_3(G) = \langle z_1, \ldots, z_{2m} \rangle$. Also

$$A = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_m, \mathrm{Z}(G) \rangle = \mathrm{C}_G(x_1) \text{ and } B = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_m, \mathrm{Z}(G) \rangle = \mathrm{C}_G(y_1).$$

If (3.20) does not hold, then there exist $z \in \mathrm{Z}(G) \setminus \gamma_3(G)$ and a commutator $[x_i, y_j]$ for some i, j with $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, such that

$$[x_i, y_j] = [x_1, y_1]^{e_1} \cdots [x_1, y_m]^{e_m} z,$$

where $e_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $y = y_1^{e_1} \cdots y_m^{e_m}$. Then the preceding equation implies

$$[x_i, y_j] \equiv [x_1, y] z \pmod{\gamma_3(G)}. \tag{3.21}$$

Consequently $[x_i, y_j] \equiv [x_1, y] \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}$. Since $[x_1, x_i] \equiv [y, y_j] \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}$, it follows that $[x_1 y_j, x_i y] \equiv [x_1, y][y_j, x_i] \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}$. Hence, by Corollary 3.17, there exists $h \in G'$ such that $[x_1 y_j, x_i y h] = 1$. Since $G/\gamma_3(G)$ is of nilpotency class 2, using (3.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = [x_1 y_j, x_i y h] &\equiv [x_1, x_i][x_1, y][x_i, y_j]^{-1}[y_j, y] \pmod{\gamma_3(G)} \\ &\equiv z^{-1}[y_j, y] \pmod{\gamma_3(G)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y_j, y \in \mathrm{C}_G(y_1)$, we have $\overline{y}_j, \overline{y} \in \mathrm{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{y_1})$, which is abelian by Theorem 3.16(3); hence $[\overline{y_j}, \overline{y}] = 1$, i.e. $[y_j, y] \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Again by Corollary 3.17, there exists $h_1 \in G'$ such that $[y_j, y h_1] = 1$. Then $[y_j, y] = [y_j, h_1]^{-1} \in \gamma_3(G)$. Thus, we get

$$1 \equiv z^{-1} \pmod{\gamma_3(G)},$$

a contradiction.

This proves that (3.20) holds. Hence $\gamma_3(G) = \mathrm{Z}(G)$, and the proof is complete. □

4. Examples

In this section, we describe the examples of p-groups of conjugate type $(1, p^{2m})$ and class 3 from the construction by Dark and Scoppola [1].

For an odd prime p and an integer $m \geq 1$, let $q = p^m$ and $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the field of order q. Consider the set $\mathcal{G}$ of quintuples (a, b, c, d, e) over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Define an operation '.' on $\mathcal{G}$ as follows. For any two quintuples (a, b, c, d, e) and (x, y, z, u, v), define $(a, b, c, d, e).(x, y, z, u, v)$ to be the quintuple

$$(a + x, b + y, c + z + bx, d + u + az + (ab - c)x, e + v + cy + b(xy - z).$$

A routine check shows that $\mathcal{G}$ is a group under this operation, with identity element $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, which we denote by $\mathbf{0}$, and

$$(a, b, c, d, e)^{-1} = (-a, -b, -c + ab, -d, -e).$$

Then $(a, b, c, d, e).(x, y, z, u, v).(a, b, c, d, e)^{-1}.(x, y, z, u, v)^{-1}$ is the quintuple

$$(0, 0, bx - ay, 2az - 2cx + a^2 y - bx^2, 2(c - ab)y - 2b(z - xy) - ay^2 + b^2 x).$$

It is easy to see that

(1) $\mathcal{G}' = \{(0, 0, c, d, e) \mid c, d, e \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$.

(2) $\gamma_3(\mathcal{G}) = \{(0,0,0,d,e) \mid d,e \in \mathbb{F}_q\} = \mathrm{Z}(\mathcal{G})$.

Consider $(0,0,c,d,e) \in \mathcal{G}' \setminus \mathrm{Z}(\mathcal{G})$. Then $c \neq 0$. If

$$[(0,0,c,d,e),(x,y,z,u,v)] = \mathbf{0},$$

then by the commutator formula above, $-2cx = 2cy = 0$. Since characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_q$ is odd and $c \neq 0$, $x = y = 0$. Noting that $\mathcal{G}'$ is abelian, it follows that the centralizer of any element of $\mathcal{G}' \setminus \mathrm{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ is $\mathcal{G}'$, which has index $q^2 = p^{2m}$ in $\mathcal{G}$.

Next fix $g = (a,b,c,d,e)$ with $(a,b) \neq (0,0)$. Then (x,y,z,u,v) centralizes g if and only if

$$bx - ay = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

$$2az - 2cx + a^2y - bx^2 = 0, \tag{4.2}$$

$$2(c-ab)y - 2b(z-xy) - ay^2 + b^2x = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Suppose $a \neq 0$. For arbitrary $x,u,v \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we see that y is uniquely determined from (4.1) and then z is uniquely determined from (4.2). Further the values of y,z obtained satisfy (4.3). Hence the centralizer of (a,b,c,d,e) has order $q^3 = p^{3m}$, and therefore has index p^{2m} in $\mathcal{G}$. Similarly, if $a = 0$ and $b \neq 0$, then it follows that the centralizer of (a,b,c,d,e) in $\mathcal{G}$ has index p^{2m}. Hence $\mathcal{G}$ is of conjugate type $(1,p^{2m})$.

We show that the group $\mathcal{G}$ has a nice description in terms of a matrix group over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Consider the following collection of unitriangular matrices over $\mathbb{F}_q$:

$$\mathcal{H}_m = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ a & 1 & & & \\ c & b & 1 & & \\ d & ab-c & a & 1 & \\ f & e & c & b & 1 \end{bmatrix} : a,b,c,d,e,f \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{H}_m$ is a *subgroup* of $\mathrm{U}_5(q)$. Denote the general element of $\mathcal{H}_m$ by (a,b,c,d,e,f). An easy computation shows that $\mathrm{Z}(\mathcal{H}_m) = \{(0,0,0,0,0,f) \mid f \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$. Therefore, we have a natural homomorphism $\mathcal{H}_m \to \mathcal{H}_m/\mathrm{Z}(\mathcal{H}_m)$, in which we identify

$$(a,b,c,d,e,f)\,\mathrm{Z}(\mathcal{H}_m) \longleftrightarrow (a,b,c,d,e).$$

Then one can check that the product $(a,b,c,d,e)(x,y,z,u,v)$ in $\mathcal{H}_m/\mathrm{Z}(\mathcal{H}_m)$ is the same as $(a,b,c,d,e).(x,y,z,u,v)$ in $\mathcal{G}$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_m/\mathrm{Z}(\mathcal{H}_m)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}$, and therefore is of conjugate type $(1,p^{2m})$ and class 3.

As a conclusion of the preceding discussion, we obtain

Theorem 4.4. *For any even integer $n \geq 1$ and an odd prime p, there exists a finite p-group of nilpotency class 3 and of conjugate type $(1,p^n)$.*

5. Proof of Main Theorem

Let G be a p-group of nilpotency class 3 such that $G/\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is isomorphic to the group $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$. Our strategy for proving Main Theorem is to obtain presentations of groups G satisfying Hypothesis (A2) from a presentation of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$; then we proceed to show that the groups, given by the presentations obtained, belong to the same isoclinism family.

We start with finding some structure constants of $U_3(p^m)$. Let $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ denote the field of order p^m. Then $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$, where α satisfies a monic irreducible polynomial of degree m over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Consider the following matrices in $U_3(p^m)$ for any integer $i \geq 1$:

$$X_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & \\ 0 & \alpha^{i-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ \alpha^{i-1} & 1 & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & \\ \alpha^{i-1} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then it is easy to see that $\{X_1, \ldots, X_m, Y_1, \ldots, Y_m\}$ is a minimal generating set for $U_3(p^m)$ and $\{H_1, \ldots, H_m\}$ is a minimal generating set for the center (as well as the commutator subgroup) of $U_3(p^m)$. Further, these matrices satisfy the following relations.

$$X_i^p = Y_i^p = H_i^p = 1, \tag{5.1}$$

$$[X_i, X_j] = [Y_i, Y_j] = 1, \tag{5.2}$$

$$[H_i, X_j] = [H_i, Y_j] = 1, \tag{5.3}$$

$$[X_i, Y_j] = H_{i+j-1} \text{ for all } i, j \geq 1. \tag{5.4}$$

Since $\mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p$ with basis $(1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{m-1})$, for $\alpha^{i+j-2} \in \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$, there exist unique $\kappa_{i,j,1}, \ldots, \kappa_{i,j,m} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that

$$\alpha^{i+j-2} = \kappa_{i,j,1} + \kappa_{i,j,2}\,\alpha + \cdots + \kappa_{i,j,m}\,\alpha^{m-1}.$$

Then, by (5.4), we have

$$H_{i+j-1} = H_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} H_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots H_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}} = [X_1, Y_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} Y_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots Y_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}],$$

which in turn implies that

$$[X_i, Y_j] = [X_1, Y_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} Y_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots Y_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}], \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m. \tag{5.5}$$

The constants $\kappa_{i,j,l}$ for $1 \leq i, j, l \leq m$, which we call *the structure constants* of $U_3(p^m)$, will be frequently used in the remaining part of the paper. The generators X_i, Y_i, H_i $(1 \leq i \leq m)$, the constants $\kappa_{i,j,l}$, and the relations (5.1) - (5.5) give a presentation of the group $U_3(p^m)$. From (5.4), it follows that $[X_i, Y_j] = [X_j, Y_i]$ for all $i, j \geq 1$, and so

$$\kappa_{i,j,l} = \kappa_{j,i,l}. \tag{5.6}$$

Also, combining (5.5) with the relation $[X_i, Y_j] = [X_j, Y_i]$, we obtain

$$[X_j, Y_i] = [X_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} X_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots X_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}, Y_1], \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m. \tag{5.7}$$

We now build up a presentation of a finite p-group G such that $G/\operatorname{Z}(G) \cong U_3(p^m)$.

Lemma 5.8. *Fix a prime $p > 2$ and an integer $m \geq 2$. Let H be a p-group of nilpotency class 3 and of conjugate type $(1, p^{2m})$. Then there exists*

$$\alpha_{i,j,l},\ \beta_{i,j,l},\ \gamma_{i,j,l},\ \delta_{i,j,l},\ \lambda_{i,j,l},\ \mu_{i,j,l},\ \epsilon_{i,l},\ \nu_{i,l} \in \mathbb{F}_p \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq m,\ 1 \leq l \leq 2m),$$

such that H is isoclinic to the group G admitting the following presentation:

$$G = \Big\langle x_1,\dots,x_m,y_1,\dots,y_m,h_1,h_2,\dots,h_m,z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{2m} \;\Big|$$

(R0) $$x_i^p = \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\epsilon_{i,l}},\ y_i^p = \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\nu_{i,l}},\ h_i^p = 1\ (1\le i\le m),\ z_i^p = 1\ (1\le i\le 2m),$$

(R1) $$[z_k,z_r] = [z_k,x_i] = [z_k,y_i] = [z_k,h_i] = 1 \quad (1\le k,r,\le 2m, 1\le i\le m),$$

(R2) $$[h_i,h_j] = 1 \quad (1\le i,j\le m),$$

(R3) $$[h_i,x_j] = \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\gamma_{i,j,l}} \quad (1\le i,j\le m),$$

(R4) $$[h_i,y_j] = \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\delta_{i,j,l}} \quad (1\le i,j\le m),$$

(R5) $$[x_i,x_j] = \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\alpha_{i,j,l}} \quad (1\le i,j\le m),$$

(R6) $$[y_i,y_j] = \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\beta_{i,j,l}} \quad (1\le i,j\le m),$$

(R7) $$[x_i,y_j] = [x_1, y_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} y_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots y_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}] \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\lambda_{i,j,l}} \quad (1\le i,j\le m),$$

(R8) $$[x_j,y_i] = [x_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} x_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots x_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}, y_1] \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\mu_{i,j,l}} \quad (1\le i,j\le m),$$

(R9) $$[x_1,y_i] = h_i,\ [h_1,x_i] = z_i,\ [h_1,y_i] = z_{m+i} \quad (1\le i\le m)\Big\rangle,$$

where $\kappa_{i,j,l}$, $1\le i,j,l\le m$, are the structure constants of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$.

Proof. By Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, H is isoclinc to a group G satisfying hypothesis (A1). Then by Lemma 3.4, Theorem 3.14 and Lemma 3.19,

$$[G:G'] = p^{2m},\ [G':\mathrm{Z}(G)] = p^m,\ |\mathrm{Z}(G)| = p^{2m}.$$

The desired presentation of G is obtained from the presentation of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$ ($\cong G/\mathrm{Z}(G)$) described just before the lemma. Note that, by Theorem 2.5, G' is elementary abelian.

Since $|\mathrm{Z}(G)| = p^{2m}$ and $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is of exponent p, $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is minimally generated by $2m$ elements $z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{2m}$ (say). Let $\varphi : G\to \mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$ denote a surjective homomorphism with $\ker\varphi = \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Let X_i's, Y_i's and H_i's be the generators of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$ considered in the discussion preceding the lemma.

Choose $x_1,\dots,x_m,y_1,\dots,y_m,h_1,\dots,h_m$ in G such that

$$\varphi(x_i) = X_i,\quad \varphi(y_i) = Y_i \quad\text{and}\quad \varphi(h_i) = H_i \quad (1\le i\le m).$$

It follows that the set

$$\{x_1,\dots,x_m,y_1,\dots,y_m,h_1,h_2,\dots,h_m,z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{2m}\}$$

generates G, and

$$\{h_1,h_2,\dots,h_m,z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{2m}\}$$

generates G'.

Since $\varphi(x_i^p) = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, there exist some $\epsilon_{i,l} \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $1 \leq l \leq 2m$, such that $x_i^p = \prod_l z_l^{\epsilon_{i,l}}$. Similarly there exist some $\nu_{i,l} \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $1 \leq l \leq 2m$, such that $y_i^p = \prod_l z_l^{\nu_{i,l}}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since G' is elementary abelian, we get $h_i^p = 1$, $[h_i, h_j] = 1$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ and $z_i^p = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq 2m$. This gives all the relations in (R0) and (R2). Since $z_i \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$, the relations (R1) are the obvious commutator relations of z_i's with the other generators of G. The relations (5.2), (5.3), (5.5) and (5.7) of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$ give the relations (R3)-(R8) *for some* α's, β's, γ's, δ's, λ's and μ's in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

It remains to obtain relations (R9). Since, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $[X_1, Y_i] = H_i$, by the choice of x_1, y_i and h_i, we have

$$[x_1, y_i] \equiv h_i \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}.$$

Thus $[x_1, y_i] = h_i w_i$ for some $w_i \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Replacing h_i by $h_i w_i$, which do not violate any of the preceding relations, we can assume, without loss of generality, that

$$[x_1, y_i] = h_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m. \tag{5.9}$$

Finally, we have $h_1 \in G' \setminus \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Further, G is of conjugate type $(1, p^{2m})$, and $[G : G'] = p^{2m}$ with G' abelian. Therefore $C_G(h_1) = G'$. Since $x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_m$ are independent modulo $C_G(h_1) = G'$, it follows that the $2m$ commutators

$$[h_1, x_1], \ldots, [h_1, x_m], [h_1, y_1], \ldots, [h_1, y_m]$$

are independent, and they belong to $\gamma_3(G) = \mathrm{Z}(G)$, which is elementary abelian of order p^{2m}. Thus, without loss of generality, we can take $[h_1, x_i] = z_i$ and $[h_1, y_i] = z_{m+i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. This, along with (5.9), gives relations (R9). □

Theorem 5.10. *Given an even integer $n = 2m \geq 2$ and an odd prime p, there is a unique finite p-group satisfying Hypothesis (A2), upto isoclinism. Moreover, such a group is isoclinic to the group $\mathcal{H}_m / \mathrm{Z}(\mathcal{H}_m)$.*

Assuming the preceding theorem, we are now ready to present

Proof of Main Theorem. For an integer $n \geq 1$ and a prime p, let G be a finite p-group of nilpotency class 3 and of conjugate type $(1, p^n)$. In view of Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, we can assume that $\mathrm{Z}(G) \leq G'$. Then, by Theorem 3.14, n is even. Conversely, if $n \geq 1$ is an even integer and p is an odd prime, then that there exists a finite p-group of nilpotency class 3 and of conjugate type $(1, p^n)$, follows from Theorem 4.4. This proves the first assertion of Main Theorem. The second one follows from Theorem 5.10. □

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.10. Throughout, for a prime p, and integer $m \geq 1$, G *(or more precisely, $G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, \mu, \epsilon, \nu)$) always denotes a group of conjugate type $(1, p^{2m})$, admitting the presentation as in Lemma 5.8*, where α's, β's, γ's, δ's, λ's, μ's, ϵ's, and ν's belong to $\mathbb{F}_p$. For $m = 1$, the Theorem 5.10 has been proved by Ishikawa in [4, Theorem 4.2]. Thus, assume that $m \geq 2$. Further, let the homomorphism $\varphi : G \to \mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$,

$$x_i \mapsto X_i, \quad y_i \mapsto Y_i, \text{ and } h_i \mapsto H_i$$

be as in the proof of Lemma 5.8.

First, we proceed to show that there is a unique choice for α's, β's, γ's, δ's, λ's and μ's for which the group G considered in Lemma 5.8 is of conjugate type $(1, p^{2m})$. We start with simplifying the relations of G without any loss of generality.

Lemma 5.11. *We can choose generators x_j's and y_j's of G such that*

$$[x_1, x_j] = [y_1, y_j] = 1 \quad (j = 2, \ldots, m).$$

Proof. Fix j with $2 \leq j \leq m$. Since $x_1, x_j \notin G'$ and $[x_1, x_j] \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$, by Corollary 3.17, there exists $h_j \in G'$ such that $[x_1, x_j h_j] = 1$. Thus replacing x_j by $x_j h_j$, if necessary, we can assume that $[x_1, x_j] = 1$. Similarly, we can choose y_j's such that $[y_1, y_j] = 1$. □

Theorem 5.12. *In the relations (R3) and (R4), for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, we have*

$$[h_i, x_j] = [h_j, x_i] = z_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} z_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots z_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}$$

and

$$[h_i, y_j] = [h_j, y_i] = z_{m+1}^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} z_{m+2}^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots z_{2m}^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}.$$

In particular, γ's and δ's (in the relations (R3) and (R4)) are uniquely determined by the structure constants $\kappa_{i,j,l}$ of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$.

Proof. Since $H_i = [X_1, Y_i]$ in $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, we get $h_i \equiv [x_1, y_i] \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}$ in G. Therefore

$$[h_i, x_j] = [[x_1, y_i], x_j].$$

Since $[x_1, x_j] \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$, by (3.18), we get

$$[h_i, x_j] = [[x_1, y_i], x_j] = [[x_j, y_i], x_1].$$

From the relation (R8), $[x_j, y_i] \equiv [x_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} x_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots x_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}, y_1] \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}$. Then, again by (3.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
[h_i, x_j] = [x_j, y_i, x_1] &= [x_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} x_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots x_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}, y_1, x_1] \\
&= [x_1, y_1, x_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} x_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots x_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}] \\
&= [h_1, x_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} x_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots x_m^{\kappa_{ijm}}] \\
&= z_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} z_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots z_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the structure constants $\kappa_{i,j,l}$ are symmetric in i, j (see (5.6)), $[h_i, x_j] = [h_j, x_i]$. This proves the first assertion of the Lemma, and the second one goes on the same lines. □

As an immediate consequence of the preceding result, we have

Corollary 5.13. *Consider the elements $x = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_m^{a_m}$, $x' = x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_m^{b_m}$, $y = y_1^{c_1} \cdots y_m^{c_m}$ and $y' = y_1^{d_1} \cdots y_m^{d_m}$ in G. If*

$$[x, y, x'] = \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{r_l} \quad \text{and} \quad [y, x, y'] = \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{s_l},$$

for some $r_l, s_l \in \mathbb{F}_p$, then the values of r_l and s_l are uniquely determined by the structure constants of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$ and, respectively, by a_i's, b_i's, c_i's and a_i's, c_i's, d_i's.

Up to now, we have shown the uniqueness of γ's and δ's (Theorem 5.12). Next we show the uniqueness of λ's and μ's. First we prove some lemmas.

Lemma 5.14. *For $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, the following hold:*

(1) $[x_i, x_j] \in \langle z_1, \ldots, z_m \rangle$.
(2) $[y_i, y_j] \in \langle z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{2m} \rangle$.

Proof. Fix i, j with $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. Since $[x_i, x_j] \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$, by Corollary 3.17, there exists $h \in G'$ (depending on x_i, x_j) such that $[x_i, x_j h] = 1$. Then

$$[x_i, x_j] = [x_i, h]^{-1} = [h, x_i].$$

Since $G' = \langle h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m, \mathrm{Z}(G) \rangle$, by Theorem 5.12, $[h, x_i] \in \langle z_1, \ldots, z_m \rangle$, proving the first assertion. The second assertion follows on the same lines. □

Before proceeding further, we recall the following commutator identities in a finite p-group G of nilpotency class 3 with p odd, which will be used in computations without any reference. Note that, in this case G' is abelian, so the ordering of commutators is immaterial. For $a, b, c \in G$,

(1) $[ab, c] = [a, c][b, c][a, c, b]$ and $[a, bc] = [a, b][a, c][a, b, c]$;
(2) $[a^i, b^j, c^k] = [a, b, c]^{ijk}$ (since G is of class 3);
(3) $[a^s, b] = [a, b]^s [a, b, a]^{\binom{s}{2}}$ and $[a, b^s] = [a, b]^s [a, b, b]^{\binom{s}{2}}$ $\quad (s \in \mathbb{F}_p)$,

where $\binom{s}{2} = \frac{s(s-1)}{2}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$, $p > 2$.

Lemma 5.15. *For $x = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_m^{a_m}$ and $y = y_1^{a_1} \cdots y_m^{a_m}$ in G, the following hold:*

(1) $[x_1, y] \equiv [x, y_1] \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}$.
(2) If $[x_1, y] = [x, y_1] \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{c_l}$, then c_l's are uniquely determined by a_i's and the structure constants of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$.

Proof. If $a_i = 0$ for all i, then $x = y = 1$, and so $c_i = 0$ for all i, there is nothing to prove. Thus, assume that not all a_i's are 0. In $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$, consider $X = X_1^{a_1} \cdots X_m^{a_m}$ and $Y = Y_1^{a_1} Y_2^{a_2} \cdots Y_m^{a_m}$. Since $[X_i, Y_j] = [X_j, Y_i]$ for all i, j (by (5.4)), we have $[X_1, Y] = [X, Y_1]$; hence $[x_1, y] \equiv [x, y_1] \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}$ in G. This proves assertion (1).

We prove assertion (2) in two steps, as the arguments of Step 1 will be used further.

Step 1. *For $m + 1 \leq l \leq 2m$, c_l is uniquely determined by the structure constants of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$.*

With X, Y as in the proof of assertion (1), we have $[X_1, Y] = [X, Y_1]$, which implies that $[X_1 Y_1^r, XY^r] = 1$ for any $r \in \mathbb{F}_p$; hence, in G, we get

$$[x_1 y_1^r, x y^r] \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}.$$

By Corollary 3.17, there exists an element $h(r)$, depending on r, in G' such that

$$[x_1 y_1^r, x y^r h(r)] = 1.$$

Since $[x_1, x] = [y_1, y] = 1$ (see Lemma 5.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = [x_1 y_1^r, x y^r h(r)] =& [x_1, y]^r [x_1, y, y]^{\binom{r}{2}} [x_1, y, y_1]^{r^2} [x_1, h(r)] \\ & [y_1, x]^r [y_1, x, y_1]^{\binom{r}{2}} [y_1, x, y]^{r^2} [y_1, h(r)]^r. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by the given hypothesis, we get

$$(\prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{c_l})^{-r} = ([x_1,y][y_1,x])^{-r} = [x_1,y,y]^{\binom{r}{2}}[x_1,y,y_1]^{r^2}[x_1,h(r)] \\ [y_1,x,y_1]^{\binom{r}{2}}[y_1,x,y]^{r^2}[y_1,h(r)]^r. \tag{5.16}$$

By Theorem 5.12, $[G',y],[G',y_1] \leq \langle z_{m+1},\ldots,z_{2m}\rangle$ and $[x_1,h(r)] \in \langle z_1,\ldots,z_m\rangle$; hence all the commutators on the right side of (5.16), except $[x_1,h(r)]$, belong to $\langle z_{m+1},\ldots,z_{2m}\rangle$. Thus

$$(\prod_{l=1}^{m} z_l^{-c_l})^r = [x_1,h(r)] \tag{5.17}$$

and

$$(\prod_{l=m+1}^{2m} z_l^{-c_l})^r = [x_1,y,y]^{\binom{r}{2}}[x_1,y,y_1]^{r^2}[y_1,x,y_1]^{\binom{r}{2}}[y_1,x,y]^{r^2}[y_1,h(r)]^r. \tag{5.18}$$

Since, by Theorem 5.12, $z_i = [h_1,x_i] = [h_i,x_1]$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have

$$\prod_{l=1}^{m} z_l^{-c_l} = \prod_{l=1}^{m} [x_1,h_l]^{c_l} = [x_1,h_1^{c_1}\cdots h_m^{c_m}] = [x_1,\tilde{h}],$$

where $\tilde{h} = h_1^{c_1}\cdots h_m^{c_m}$, which is independent of r. Then from (5.17) we get $[x_1,h(r)] = [x_1,\tilde{h}]^r$, which implies that $\tilde{h}^r h(r)^{-1} \in C_G(x_1) \cap G' = \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Hence

$$h(r) \equiv \tilde{h}^r \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}.$$

Using this in (5.18), we get

$$(\prod_{l=m+1}^{2m} z_l^{-c_l})^r = [x_1,y,y]^{\binom{r}{2}}[x_1,y,y_1]^{r^2}[y_1,x,y_1]^{\binom{r}{2}}[y_1,x,y]^{r^2}[y_1,\tilde{h}]^{r^2}.$$

Since this equation holds for all $r \in \mathbb{F}_p$, for $r = 1$ and $r = -1$, we, respectively, get

$$\prod_{l=m+1}^{2m} z_l^{-c_l} = [x_1,y,y_1][y_1,x,y][y_1,\tilde{h}]$$

and

$$\prod_{l=m+1}^{2m} z_l^{c_l} = [x_1,y,y][x_1,y,y_1][y_1,x,y_1][y_1,x,y][y_1,\tilde{h}].$$

From these equations, we obtain

$$\prod_{l=m+1}^{2m} z_l^{2c_l} = [x_1,y,y][y_1,x,y_1].$$

By Corollary 5.13, the right hand side of the preceding equation is uniquely determined by a_i's and the structure constants of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$, so are c_l for $m+1 \leq l \leq 2m$.

Step 2. *For $1 \leq l \leq m$, c_l is uniquely determined by the structure constants of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$.*

With X, Y as in the proof of assertion (1), we have $[Y,X_1] = [Y_1,X]$, which implies that $[Y_1X_1^r, YX^r] = 1$ for any $r \in \mathbb{F}_p$; hence, in G, we get

$$[y_1x_1^r, yx^r] \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}.$$

By Corollary 3.17, there exists an element $k(r)$, depending on r, in G' such that $[y_1x_1^r, yx^rk(r)] = 1$. With appropriate modifications in Step (1), it follows that c_l for $1 \leq l \leq m$ are uniquely determined by a_i's and the structure constants of $U_3(p^m)$.

Finally by Step 1 and Step 2, c_l for $1 \leq l \leq 2m$ are uniquely determined by a_i's and the structure constants of $U_3(p^m)$. □

Lemma 5.19. *In the relations (R7), namely, for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$,*

$$[x_i, y_j] = [x_1, y_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} y_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots y_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}] \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\lambda_{i,j,l}}, \tag{5.20}$$

$\lambda_{i,j,l}$, $m+1 \leq l \leq 2m$, are uniquely determined by the structure constants of $U_3(p^m)$.

Proof. For simplicity, we fix $i, j \leq m$ and write $y_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} y_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots y_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}} = y$. Since $[x_i, y_j] \equiv [x_1, y] \pmod{Z(G)}$, we have $[x_1y_j^r, x_iy^r] \equiv 1 \pmod{Z(G)}$ for any $r \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

That $\lambda_{i,j,l}$, $m+1 \leq l \leq 2m$, are uniquely determined by the structure constants of $U_3(p^m)$, follows on the lines (without any extra work) of Step 1 of Lemma 5.15. □

By the symmetry of x_i's and y_i's, the following lemma is dual of the preceding one.

Lemma 5.21. *In the relations (R8), namely, for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$,*

$$[x_j, y_i] = [x_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} x_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots x_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}, y_1] \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\mu_{i,j,l}}, \tag{5.22}$$

$\mu_{i,j,l}$, $1 \leq l \leq m$, are uniquely determined by the structure constants of $U_3(p^m)$.

We are now ready to prove the uniqueness of λ's and μ's.

Theorem 5.23. *In the relations (R7) and (R8), $\lambda_{i,j,l}$ and $\mu_{i,j,l}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, $1 \leq l \leq 2m$, are uniquely determined by the structure constants of $U_3(p^m)$.*

Proof. The proof involves a careful application of Lemmas 5.15, 5.19 and 5.21.

Interchanging i and j in (5.22), and noting that $\kappa_{i,j,l} = \kappa_{j,i,l}$, we get

$$[x_i, y_j] = [x_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} x_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots x_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}, y_1] \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\mu_{j,i,l}}. \tag{5.24}$$

By Lemma 5.21, $\mu_{j,i,l}$, $1 \leq i, j, l \leq m$, are uniquely determined by the structure constants of $U_3(p^m)$.

By (5.20) and (5.24), we get

$$[x_1, y] = [x, y_1] \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\mu_{j,i,l} - \lambda_{i,j,l}},$$

where $x = x_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} \cdots x_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}$ and $y = y_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} \cdots y_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}$. By Lemma 5.15, $\mu_{j,i,l} - \lambda_{i,j,l}$, $1 \leq i, j, \leq m$, $1 \leq l \leq 2m$, are uniquely determined by the structure constants of $U_3(p^m)$.

Hence $\lambda_{i,j,l}$, $1 \leq i, j, \leq m$, $1 \leq l \leq m$, are uniquely determined by the structure constants of $U_3(p^m)$. This, together with Lemma 5.19, completes the uniqueness of λ's.

Similarly, $\mu_{i,j,l}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, $1 \leq l \leq 2m$, are uniquely determined by the structure constants of $U_3(p^m)$. □

Finally we prove the uniqueness of α's and β's in the relations (R5) and (R6). Although the proofs are almost similar to the proofs of the previous cases, these can not go verbatim. Thus, we give complete proof of uniqueness of α's and β's.

We need the following preliminary lemma.

Lemma 5.25. *For any i with $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $c_j, c'_j, d_j, d'_j \in \mathbb{F}_p$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, assume that the commutator equations*

$$[h_1^{c_1} \cdots h_m^{c_m}, x_i] = z_1^{d_1} \cdots z_m^{d_m}$$

and

$$[h_1^{c'_1} \cdots h_m^{c'_m}, y_i] = z_{m+1}^{d'_1} \cdots z_{2m}^{d'_m}$$

hold in the group G. Then there exists an $m \times m$ invertible matrix A, whose entries are the structure constants $\kappa_{i,j,l}$ of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$, such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_m \end{bmatrix} A = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & \cdots & d_m \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} c'_1 & \cdots & c'_m \end{bmatrix} A = \begin{bmatrix} d'_1 & \cdots & d'_m \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. Since $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m$ are independent modulo $C_G(x_i)$, it follows that $[h_1, x_i]$, $[h_2, x_i]$, $\ldots$, $[h_m, x_i]$ are independent, and lie in $\langle z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m \rangle$ (by Theorem 5.12). In other words, $\{[h_1, x_i], [h_2, x_i], \ldots, [h_m, x_i]\}$ is also a basis for the vector space $\langle z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m \rangle$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. From Theorem 5.12, $[h_j, x_i] = z_1^{\kappa_{j,i,1}} z_2^{\kappa_{j,i,2}} \cdots z_m^{\kappa_{j,i,m}}$. The desired matrix A is the matrix of change of basis from $\{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$ to $\{[h_1, x_i], [h_2, x_i], \ldots, [h_m, x_i]\}$, which is given by

$$A = (a_{r,s}),$$

where $a_{r,s} = \kappa_{r,i,s}$ for $1 \leq r, s \leq m$.

Changing x_i by y_i and z_i by z_{m+i}, similarly, we get the second assertion. □

Theorem 5.26. *In the relations (R5) and (R6), $\alpha_{i,j,l}$ and $\beta_{i,j,l}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, $1 \leq l \leq 2m$, are uniquely determined by the structure constants of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$.*

Proof. Fix i, j with $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. Consider the relations (R7):

$$[x_i, y_j] = [x_1, y_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} y_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots y_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}] \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\lambda_{i,j,l}}.$$

Since $\kappa_{i,j,l} = \kappa_{j,i,l}$, interchanging i and j, we get

$$[x_j, y_i] = [x_1, y_1^{\kappa_{i,j,1}} y_2^{\kappa_{i,j,2}} \cdots y_m^{\kappa_{i,j,m}}] \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\lambda_{j,i,l}}.$$

From the preceding two equations, we obtain

$$[x_i, y_j] = [x_j, y_i] \prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\lambda_{i,j,l} - \lambda_{j,i,l}}; \tag{5.27}$$

hence $[x_i, y_j] \equiv [x_j, y_i] \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}$. Since $[x_i, x_j] \equiv [y_i, y_j] \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}$, it follows that $[x_i y_i^r, x_j y_j^r] \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{F}_p$. By Corollary (3.17), there exists an element $k(r)$, depending on r, in G' such that $[x_i y_i^r, x_j y_j^r k(r)] = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = [x_i y_i^r, x_j y_j^r k(r)] =& [x_i, x_j][x_i, y_j]^r [x_i, y_j, y_j]^{\binom{r}{2}} [x_i, y_j, y_i]^{r^2} [x_i, k(r)] \\ & [y_i, x_j]^r [y_i, x_j, y_i]^{\binom{r}{2}} [y_i, x_j, y_j]^{r^2} [y_i, y_j]^{r^2} [y_i, k(r)]^r \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.27), we get

$$\Big(\prod_{l=1}^{2m} z_l^{\lambda_{i,j,l}-\lambda_{j,i,l}}\Big)^{-r} = \Big([x_i,x_j][x_i,k(r)]\Big)\Big([x_i,y_j,y_j]^{\binom{r}{2}}[x_i,y_j,y_i]^{r^2} [y_i,x_j,y_i]^{\binom{r}{2}}[y_i,x_j,y_j]^{r^2}[y_i,y_j]^{r^2}[y_i,k(r)]^r\Big).$$

Using Theorem 5.12 and Lemma 5.14, it follows that $[x_i,x_j][x_i,k(r)] \in \langle z_1,\ldots,z_m\rangle$ and the rest of the terms in the right side of the preceding equation belong to $\langle z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{2m}\rangle$. Thus

$$\Big(\prod_{l=1}^{m} z_l^{\lambda_{j,i,l}-\lambda_{i,j,l}}\Big)^{r} = [x_i,x_j][x_i,k(r)] \tag{5.28}$$

and

$$\Big(\prod_{l=m+1}^{2m} z_l^{\lambda_{j,i,l}-\lambda_{i,j,l}}\Big)^{r} = [x_i,y_j,y_j]^{\binom{r}{2}}[x_i,y_j,y_i]^{r^2}[y_i,x_j,y_i]^{\binom{r}{2}} [y_i,x_j,y_j]^{r^2}[y_i,y_j]^{r^2}[y_i,k(r)]^r. \tag{5.29}$$

Since $[h_1,x_i],[h_2,x_i],\ldots,[h_m,x_i]$ are independent and belong to $\langle z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_m\rangle$ (see Theorem 5.12), we get

$$\langle z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_m\rangle = \langle [h_1,x_i],[h_2,x_i],\ldots.[h_m,x_i]\rangle,$$

Hence there exists $\tilde{k} \in G'$, independent of r, such that $\prod_{l=1}^{m} z_l^{-\lambda_{i,j,l}+\lambda_{j,i,l}} = [x_i,\tilde{k}]$. Similarly there exists $\hat{k} \in G'$, independent of r, such that

$$[x_i,x_j] = [x_i,\hat{k}^{-1}]. \tag{5.30}$$

Therefore from (5.28), we get $[x_i,\tilde{k}]^r = [x_i,\hat{k}^{-1}][x_i,k(r)]$, which implies that $\tilde{k}^r\hat{k}k(r)^{-1} \in C_G(x_i)\cap G' = Z(G)$. Hence

$$k(r) \equiv \tilde{k}^r\hat{k} \pmod{Z(G)}.$$

Using this in (5.29), we get

$$\prod_{l=m+1}^{2m} z_l^{r(\lambda_{j,i,l}-\lambda_{i,j,l})} = [x_i,y_j,y_j]^{\binom{r}{2}}[x_i,y_j,y_i]^{r^2}[y_i,x_j,y_i]^{\binom{r}{2}}[y_i,x_j,y_j]^{r^2}[y_i,\tilde{k}]^{r^2}[y_i,y_j]^{r^2}[y_i,\hat{k}]^r.$$

Writing this equation for $r=1$ and $r=-1$, we get

$$\prod_{l=m+1}^{2m} z_l^{\lambda_{j,i,l}-\lambda_{i,j,l}} = [x_i,y_j,y_i][y_i,x_j,y_j][y_i,\tilde{k}][y_i,y_j][y_i,\hat{k}]$$

and

$$\prod_{l=m+1}^{2m} z_l^{\lambda_{i,j,l}-\lambda_{j,i,l}} = [x_i,y_j,y_j][x_i,y_j,y_i][y_i,x_j,y_i][y_i,x_j,y_j][y_i,\tilde{k}][y_i,y_j][y_i,\hat{k}]^{-1}.$$

Preceding two equations give

$$\prod_{l=m+1}^{2m} z_l^{2(\lambda_{j,i,l}-\lambda_{i,j,l})} = [x_i,y_j,y_j]^{-1}[y_i,x_j,y_i]^{-1}[y_i,\hat{k}]^2.$$

Rearranging the terms, we get

$$\prod_{l=m+1}^{2m} z_l^{(\lambda_{j,i,l}-\lambda_{i,j,l})}[x_i,y_j,y_j]^{1/2}[x_i,y_j,y_i]^{1/2} = [y_i,\hat{k}].$$

Note that the left side of the preceding equation is of the form $z_{m+1}^{d_1} \cdots z_{2m}^{d_m}$. By Corollary 5.13 and Theorem 5.23, d_i's are uniquely determined by the structure constants of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$. Let $\hat{k} = h_1^{c_1} \cdots h_m^{c_m} \pmod{\mathrm{Z}(G)}$. Thus, we have the commutator equation

$$z_{m+1}^{d_1} \cdots z_{2m}^{d_m} = [y_i, h_1^{c_1} \cdots h_m^{c_m}].$$

By Lemma 5.25, it follows that c_i's are uniquely determined by the structure constants of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$.

By Lemma 5.14, $\alpha_{i,j,l} = 0$ for $l > m$. Then by (5.30), we have

$$\prod_{l=1}^{m} z_l^{\alpha_{i,j,l}} = [x_i, x_j] = [\hat{k}, x_i] = [h_1^{c_1} \cdots h_m^{c_m}, x_i].$$

Again, by Lemma 5.25, it follows that $\alpha_{i,j,l}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, $1 \leq l \leq 2m$, are uniquely determined by the structure constants of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$.

That $\beta_{i,j,l}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, $1 \leq l \leq 2m$, are uniquely determined by the structure constants of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$, follows on the same lines. □

Before proceeding for the proof of Theorem 5.10, we summarize the preceding discussion of this section.

For simplicity, fix a prime $p > 2$, an integer $m \geq 2$, and a finite p-group H of nilpotency class 3 and of conjugate type $(1, p^{2m})$. Then, there exist

$$\alpha_{i,j,l},\ \beta_{i,j,l},\ \gamma_{i,j,l},\ \delta_{i,j,l}, \lambda_{i,j,l},\ \mu_{i,j,l},\ \epsilon_{i,l},\ \nu_{i,l} \in \mathbb{F}_p \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq m,\ 1 \leq l \leq 2m),$$

such that H is isoclinic to the group G with presentation as in Lemma 5.8. By Theorems 5.12, 5.23 and 5.26, $\alpha_{i,j,l}$, $\beta_{i,j,l}$, $\gamma_{i,j,l}$, $\delta_{i,j,l}, \lambda_{i,j,l}$, $\mu_{i,j,l}$, are uniquely determined. In particular, the isoclinism type of the group H depends only on $\epsilon_{i,l}$ and $\nu_{i,l}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, $1 \leq l \leq 2m$.

Thus, for the proof of Theorem 5.10, we fix those unique

$$\alpha_{i,j,l},\ \beta_{i,j,l},\ \gamma_{i,j,l},\ \delta_{i,j,l}, \lambda_{i,j,l},\ \mu_{i,j,l} \in \mathbb{F}_p \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq m,\ 1 \leq l \leq 2m),$$

for which, a group given by the presentation as in Lemma 5.8 is of conjugate type $(1, p^{2m})$, for some ϵ's and ν's in $\mathbb{F}_p$. Then we denote the resulting group with presentation as in Lemma 5.8 by $G(\epsilon, \nu)$.

Lemma 5.31. *For any choice of $\epsilon_{i,l}, \nu_{i,l}, \epsilon'_{i,l}, \nu'_{i,l} \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq l \leq 2m$, the groups $G(\epsilon, \nu)$ and $G(\epsilon', \nu')$ are isoclinic.*

Proof. Consider the presentation of $G(\epsilon, \nu)$ as in Lemma 5.8. To distinguish the generators of $G(\epsilon, \nu)$ and $G(\epsilon', \nu')$, we write the presentation of $G(\epsilon', \nu')$ as in Lemma 5.8, where we replace x_i by $\hat{x}_i$, y_i by $\hat{y}_i$, h_i by $\hat{h}_i$, and z_l by $\hat{z}_l$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq l \leq 2m$. For simplicity, we denote the groups $G(\epsilon, \nu)$ and $G(\epsilon', \nu')$ by G_1 and G_2 respectively.

It follows, from the construction of G_1 and G_2, that the map

$$x_i \mathrm{Z}(G_1) \mapsto \hat{x}_i \mathrm{Z}(G_2), \quad y_i \mathrm{Z}(G_1) \mapsto \hat{y}_i \mathrm{Z}(G_2), \quad h_i \mathrm{Z}(G_1) \mapsto \hat{h}_i \mathrm{Z}(G_2)$$

extends to an isomorphism $\phi : G_1/\mathrm{Z}(G_1) \to G_2/\mathrm{Z}(G_2)$. Since G_1' and G_2' are elementary abelian, it is clear that the map

$$h_i \mapsto \hat{h}_i, \quad z_l \mapsto \hat{z}_l$$

extends to an isomorphism $\theta : G_1' \to G_2'$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{G}_1 \times \overline{G}_1 & \xrightarrow{a_{G_1}} & G_1' \\ {\scriptstyle \phi\times\phi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta} \\ \overline{G}_2 \times \overline{G}_2 & \xrightarrow{a_{G_2}} & G_2', \end{array}$$

where a_{G_1} and a_{G_2} are the commutation maps as defined in Section 2.

From the commutator relations of G_1 and G_2 (that is, the relations (R1)-(R9) of G_1, and correspondingly those of G_2), it follows that the above diagram commutes for the generators of G_1 and G_2 taken in their presentation. A routine calculation now shows that the diagram commutes. □

Stitching all the above pieces together, we get

Proof of Theorem 5.10. For a prime $p > 2$ and integer $n = 2m \geq 2$, let H be a finite p-group of nilpotency class 3 and of conjugate type $(1, p^n)$. If $m = 1$, then the result follows from [4, Theorem 4.2]. Thus, we can assume that $m \geq 2$.

By Lemma 5.8, there exist α's, β's, γ's, δ's, λ's, μ's, ϵ's and ν's in $\mathbb{F}_p$ such that H is isoclinic to a group G with the presentation as in Lemma 5.8. By Theorems 5.12, 5.23 and 5.26, α's, β's, γ's, δ's, λ's, and μ's are uniquely determined by the structure constants of $\mathrm{U}_3(p^m)$. By Lemma 5.31, the isoclinism type of G is independent of the choice of ϵ's and ν's in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Thus, for any $m \geq 1$, H is uniquely determined up to isoclinism, and hence is isoclinic to the group $\mathcal{H}_m / \operatorname{Z}(\mathcal{H}_m)$ (see Section 4). □

Acknowledgement. We thank Prof. C. M. Scoppola for letting us know the examples of finite p-groups of nilpotency class 3 and conjugate type $(1, p^{2m})$ constructed in [1].

References

[1] R. Dark and C. M. Scoppola, *On Camina groups of prime power order*, J. Algebra, **181**, 787-802 (1996).
[2] P. Hall, *The classification of prime-power groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **182**, 130-141 (1940).
[3] I. M. Isaacs, *Groups with many equal classes*, Duke Math. J., **37**(3), 501-506 (1970).
[4] K. Ishikawa, *Finite p-groups up to isoclinism, which have only two conjugacy lengths*, J. Algebra, **220**(1), 333-345 (1999).
[5] K. Ishikawa, *On finite p-groups which have only two conjugacy lengths*, Israel J. Math., **129**(1), 119-123 (2002).
[6] N. Ito, *On finite groups with given conjugate types I*, Nayoga Math. J., **6**, 17-28 (1953).
[7] N. Ito, *Simple groups of conjugate rank* 4, J. Algebra, **20**, 226-249 (1972).
[8] A. Mann and C. M. Scoppola, *On p-groups of Frobenius type*, Arch. Math. (Basel), **56**(4), 320-332 (1991).
[9] T. K. Naik and M. K. Yadav, *Finite p-groups of conjugate type* $\{1, p^3\}$, J. Group Theory, 12 pages (Accepted for publication). https://arxiv.org/pdf/1707.09746.pdf
[10] G. Parmeggiani and B. Stellmacher, *p-groups of small breadth*, J. Algebra, **213**(1), 52-68 (1999).
[11] L. Verardi, *Gruppi semiextraspeciali di esponente p*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **148**, 131-171 (1987).

Harish-Chandra Research Institute, HBNI, Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi, Allahabad-211 019, India
E-mail address: mathematics67@gmail.com and tusharkanta@hri.res.in

Harish-Chandra Research Institute, HBNI, Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi, Allahabad-211 019, India
E-mail address: rahul.kitture@gmail.com and rahuldattatraya@hri.res.in

Harish-Chandra Research Institute, HBNI, Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi, Allahabad-211 019, India
E-mail address: myadav@hri.res.in