

SOME MINIMAL SUBMANIFOLDS GENERALIZING THE CLIFFORD TORUS

JAIGYOUNG CHOE AND JENS HOPPE

ABSTRACT. The Clifford torus is a product surface in \mathbb{S}^3 and it is *helicoidal*. It will be shown that more minimal submanifolds of \mathbb{S}^n have these properties.

The Clifford torus is the simplest minimal surface in \mathbb{S}^3 besides the great sphere. Similarly in higher dimension we have a generalized Clifford torus $\mathbb{S}^p \left(\sqrt{\frac{p}{p+q}} \right) \times \mathbb{S}^q \left(\sqrt{\frac{q}{p+q}} \right)$ which is minimal in \mathbb{S}^{p+q+1} .

In Euclidean space there is an easy theorem that $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ is minimal in $\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}$ if Σ_1 and Σ_2 are minimal in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} and \mathbb{R}^{n_2} , respectively. While one cannot expect the same theorem to hold literally in \mathbb{S}^n , we will prove an analogous theorem as follows:

*If Σ_1^m is minimal in \mathbb{S}^p and Σ_2^n is minimal in \mathbb{S}^q , then
 $\sqrt{\frac{m}{m+n}} \Sigma_1^m \times \sqrt{\frac{n}{m+n}} \Sigma_2^n$ is minimal in \mathbb{S}^{p+q+1} .*

There is another way of proving the minimality of the Clifford torus Σ in \mathbb{S}^3 . It is well known that Σ is (doubly) foliated by great circles and Σ divides \mathbb{S}^3 into two congruent domains D_1, D_2 . For every great circle ℓ in Σ consider the rotation ρ_ℓ of \mathbb{S}^3 about ℓ by 180° . One can show that

$$(0.1) \quad \rho_\ell(\Sigma) = \Sigma, \quad \rho_\ell(D_1) = D_2, \quad \rho_\ell(D_2) = D_1, \quad \rho_\ell(p) = p, \quad \forall p \in \ell.$$

More generally, if a hypersurface Σ^{n-1} of a Riemannian manifold M^n has an isometry ρ (in place of ρ_ℓ) satisfying (0.1) at every point p of Σ^{n-1} , Σ^{n-1} is said to be *helicoidal* in M . In Proposition 2.1 we show that the generalized Clifford torus $\mathbb{S}^p(1/\sqrt{2}) \times \mathbb{S}^p(1/\sqrt{2})$ is helicoidal in \mathbb{S}^{2p+1} . In theorem 2.2 we will prove that every helicoidal hypersurface of M is minimal.

Recently Tkachev [T] and Hoppe-Linardopoulos-Turgut [HLT] found algebraic minimal hypersurfaces N_1 in \mathbb{S}^{n^2-1} and N_2 in \mathbb{S}^{2n^2-n-1} , respectively:

$$N_1 = \{(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{nn}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} : (x_{ij}) \text{ is an } n \times n \text{ matrix with zero determinant.}\} \cap \mathbb{S}^{n^2-1};$$

$$N_2 = \{(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{2n2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4n^2} : (x_{ij}) \text{ is a } 2n \times 2n \text{ skew-symmetric matrix with zero determinant.}\} \cap \mathbb{S}^{4n^2-1} \text{ is similar to a minimal hypersurface in } \mathbb{S}^{2n^2-n-1}. \text{ In this paper we give a new proof of their minimality, showing that they are helicoidal.}$$

1. PRODUCT MANIFOLDS

Let Σ^m be an m -dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{S}^p and Σ^n an n -dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{S}^q . Denote by $a\Sigma^m$ and $b\Sigma^n$ the homothetic expansions of $\Sigma^m \subset \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ and $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ with factors of a and b , respectively.

J.C. supported in part by NRF 2011-0030044, SRC-GAIA.

Theorem 1.1. *If $\Sigma_1^{n_1}$ is minimal in \mathbb{S}^p and $\Sigma_2^{n_2}$ is minimal in \mathbb{S}^q , then $\sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n_1+n_2}}\Sigma_1^{n_1} \times \sqrt{\frac{n_2}{n_1+n_2}}\Sigma_2^{n_2}$ is minimal in \mathbb{S}^{p+q+1} .*

Proof. Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n_1}$ be the local coordinates of $\Sigma_1^{n_1}$ such that $\mathbf{m}_1 : (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n_1}) \in D_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \rightarrow \mathbf{m}_1(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n_1}) \in \Sigma_1^{n_1} \subset \mathbb{S}^p \subset \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ is a local immersion. Similarly, $\varphi_{n_1+1}, \dots, \varphi_{n_1+n_2}$ are local coordinates of $\Sigma_2^{n_2}$ with a local immersion $\mathbf{m}_2 : D_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \rightarrow \Sigma_2^{n_2} \subset \mathbb{S}^q \subset \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$. Clearly $\sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n_1+n_2}}\Sigma_1^{n_1} \times \sqrt{\frac{n_2}{n_1+n_2}}\Sigma_2^{n_2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{p+q+2}$ is a submanifold of \mathbb{S}^{p+q+1} . Let's define a local immersion $\hat{\mathbf{m}} : D_1 \times D_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p+q+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{p+q+2}$ by

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n_1+n_2}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n_1+n_2}}\mathbf{m}_1(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n_1}) \\ \sqrt{\frac{n_2}{n_1+n_2}}\mathbf{m}_2(\varphi_{n_1+1}, \dots, \varphi_{n_1+n_2}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$ is an immersion into $\sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n_1+n_2}}\Sigma_1^{n_1} \times \sqrt{\frac{n_2}{n_1+n_2}}\Sigma_2^{n_2}$. The metric of $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$ is

$$ds^2 = \sum_{A,B=1}^{n_1+n_2} \hat{g}_{AB} d\varphi_A d\varphi_B,$$

where (\hat{g}_{AB}) is the block matrix

$$(\hat{g}_{AB}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n_1}{n_1+n_2}g_{ab} & O \\ O & \frac{n_2}{n_1+n_2}g_{a'b'} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$a, b = 1, \dots, n_1, \quad a', b' = n_1 + 1, \dots, n_1 + n_2,$$

and

$$g_{ab} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{m}_1}{\partial \varphi_a} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{m}_1}{\partial \varphi_b}, \quad g_{a'b'} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{m}_2}{\partial \varphi_{a'}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{m}_2}{\partial \varphi_{b'}}.$$

Moreover,

$$(\hat{g}^{AB}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n_1+n_2}{n_1}g^{ab} & O \\ O & \frac{n_1+n_2}{n_2}g^{a'b'} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\hat{g} = \det(\hat{g}_{AB}) = \frac{n_1^{n_1} n_2^{n_2}}{(n_1 + n_2)^{n_1+n_2}} gg', \quad g = \det(g_{ab}), \quad g' = \det(g_{a'b'}).$$

Let $\Delta_{n_1}, \Delta_{n_2}, \Delta_{n_1+n_2}$ denote the Laplacians on $\Sigma_1^{n_1}, \Sigma_2^{n_2}$ and $\sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n_1+n_2}}\Sigma_1^{n_1} \times \sqrt{\frac{n_2}{n_1+n_2}}\Sigma_2^{n_2}$, respectively. Since $\Sigma_1^{n_1}, \Sigma_2^{n_2}$ are minimal, we have

$$\Delta_{n_1} \mathbf{m}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \sum_{a,b} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_a} \left(\sqrt{g} g^{ab} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_b} \mathbf{m}_1 \right) = -n_1 \mathbf{m}_1,$$

$$\Delta_{n_2} \mathbf{m}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g'}} \sum_{a',b'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{a'}} \left(\sqrt{g'} g^{a'b'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{b'}} \mathbf{m}_2 \right) = -n_2 \mathbf{m}_2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{n_1+n_2} \hat{\mathbf{m}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \sum_{A,B} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_A} \left(\sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_B} \hat{\mathbf{m}} \right) \\
&= \frac{n_1+n_2}{n_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \sum_{a,b} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_a} \left(\sqrt{g} g^{ab} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_b} \sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n_1+n_2}} \mathbf{m}_1 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{n_1+n_2}{n_2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g'}} \sum_{a',b'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{a'}} \left(\sqrt{g'} g^{a'b'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{b'}} \sqrt{\frac{n_2}{n_1+n_2}} \mathbf{m}_2 \right) \\
&= -(n_1+n_2) \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n_1+n_2}} \mathbf{m}_1 \\ O \end{pmatrix} - (n_1+n_2) \begin{pmatrix} O \\ \sqrt{\frac{n_2}{n_1+n_2}} \mathbf{m}_2 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= -(n_1+n_2) \hat{\mathbf{m}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n_1+n_2}} \Sigma_1^{n_1} \times \sqrt{\frac{n_2}{n_1+n_2}} \Sigma_2^{n_2}$ is minimal. \square

Remark. Even if $\Sigma_1^{n_1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n_1+1}$ and $\Sigma_2^{n_2} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n_2+1}$ are hypersurfaces, $\sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n_1+n_2}} \Sigma_1^{n_1} \times \sqrt{\frac{n_2}{n_1+n_2}} \Sigma_2^{n_2}$ has codimension 3 in $\mathbb{S}^{n_1+n_2+3}$. But if $\Sigma_1^{n_1} = \mathbb{S}^{n_1}$ one can say that $\Sigma_1^{n_1}$ is trivially minimal in \mathbb{S}^{n_1} and then $\sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n_1+n_2}} \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \sqrt{\frac{n_2}{n_1+n_2}} \Sigma_2^{n_2}$ is minimal with codimension 2 in $\mathbb{S}^{n_1+n_2+2}$. Furthermore, $\sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n_1+n_2}} \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \sqrt{\frac{n_2}{n_1+n_2}} \mathbb{S}^{n_2}$ is minimal with codimension 1 in $\mathbb{S}^{n_1+n_2+1}$.

2. HELICOIDAL

Just as the Clifford torus is helicoidal in \mathbb{S}^3 , so is the helicoid in \mathbb{R}^3 . For a more general setting we introduce the following definition.

Definition. Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold and Σ an embedded hypersurface of M . Assume that Σ divides M into two domains D_1 and D_2 . Suppose that at any point p of Σ there is an isometry φ of M such that

$$\varphi(p) = p, \quad \varphi(\Sigma) = \Sigma, \quad \varphi(D_1) = D_2, \quad \varphi(D_2) = D_1.$$

Then we say that Σ is *helicoidal* in M .

Proposition 2.1. *The generalized Clifford torus $\Sigma^{2p} = \mathbb{S}^p(1/\sqrt{2}) \times \mathbb{S}^p(1/\sqrt{2})$ is helicoidal in \mathbb{S}^{2p+1} .*

Proof. Let ξ be the reflection of \mathbb{R}^{2p+2} defined by

$$\xi(x_1, \dots, x_{2p+2}) = (x_{p+2}, x_{p+3}, \dots, x_{2p+2}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p+1}).$$

If D_1, D_2 are the domains of \mathbb{S}^{2p+1} divided by Σ^{2p} , then

$$\xi(\Sigma^{2p}) = \Sigma^{2p}, \quad \xi(D_1) = D_2, \quad \xi(D_2) = D_1$$

and $\xi(p) = p$ if and only if

$$p = (x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}, x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}).$$

For any $q \in \Sigma^{2p}$, there exists an isometry η of \mathbb{S}^{2p+1} mapping q to p such that

$$\eta(\Sigma^{2p}) = \Sigma^{2p}, \quad \eta(D_1) = D_1, \quad \eta(D_2) = D_2.$$

Hence

$$\eta^{-1} \circ \xi \circ \eta(q) = q, \quad \eta^{-1} \circ \xi \circ \eta(\Sigma^{2p}) = \Sigma^{2p},$$

and

$$\eta^{-1} \circ \xi \circ \eta(D_1) = D_2, \quad \eta^{-1} \circ \xi \circ \eta(D_2) = D_1.$$

So $\eta^{-1} \circ \xi \circ \eta$ is the desired isometry. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Every helicoidal hypersurface Σ of a Riemannian manifold M^n is minimal in M wherever Σ is twice differentiable.*

Proof. Let \vec{H} be the mean curvature vector of Σ at a point $p \in \Sigma$, that is,

$$\vec{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} e_i)^\perp,$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Riemannian connection on M and e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} are orthonormal vectors of Σ at p . Since $\varphi(\Sigma) = \Sigma$ and p is a fixed point of φ , one sees that $\varphi_*(e_1), \dots, \varphi_*(e_{n-1})$ are also orthonormal on Σ at p . Hence

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi_*(\vec{H}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\bar{\nabla}_{\varphi_*(e_i)} \varphi_*(e_i))^\perp = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} e_i)^\perp = \vec{H}.$$

On the other hand, the condition $\varphi(D_1) = D_2$ implies that if \vec{H} points into D_1 then $\varphi_*(\vec{H})$ points into D_2 . Likewise, if \vec{H} points into D_2 , then $\varphi_*(\vec{H})$ should point into D_1 . Therefore $\varphi_*(\vec{H}) = -\vec{H}$, which together with (2.1) implies $\vec{H} = 0$ at p . As p is arbitrarily chosen, one concludes that Σ is minimal. \square

Incidentally, $\mathbb{S}^1(1/\sqrt{2}) \times \mathbb{S}^1(1/\sqrt{2})$ is congruent in \mathbb{S}^3 to $\mathbb{S}^3 \cap \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : \det \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_3 \\ x_2 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} = 0\}$. Also $\mathbb{S}^2(1/\sqrt{2}) \times \mathbb{S}^2(1/\sqrt{2})$ is congruent in \mathbb{S}^5 to

$$\mathbb{S}^5 \cap \{(x_1, \dots, x_6) \in \mathbb{R}^6 : \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ -x_1 & 0 & x_4 & x_5 \\ -x_2 & -x_4 & 0 & x_6 \\ -x_3 & -x_5 & -x_6 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0\}.$$

When is the zero determinant set minimal? With regard to this question, the following two theorems have been recently proved.

Theorem 2.3. (Tkachev, [T]) $\Sigma = \{(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{nn}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} : (x_{ij}) \text{ is an } n \times n \text{ real matrix with zero determinant}\}$ is a minimal hypercone in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} .

Theorem 2.4. (Hoppe-Linardopoulos-Turgut, [HLT]) $\Sigma = \{(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{2n, 2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4n^2} : (x_{ij}) \text{ is a } 2n \times 2n \text{ skew-symmetric matrix with zero determinant}\}$ is congruent to a minimal hypercone in \mathbb{R}^{2n^2-n} .

They obtained these theorems from the harmonicity of x_{ij} on Σ . Here we will give a new proof by showing that Σ is helicoidal.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let M_n be the set of all real $n \times n$ matrices. One can identify M_n with \mathbb{R}^{n^2} . Define $\Sigma = \{X \in M_n : \det X = 0\}$. Then Σ is an $(n^2 - 1)$ -dimensional algebraic variety in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} . Σ divides \mathbb{R}^{n^2} into two domains D_+ and D_- with

$$D_+ = \{X \in M_n : \det X > 0\}, \quad D_- = \{X \in M_n : \det X < 0\}.$$

Let's introduce an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in M_n by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{tr}(X^T Y), \quad X, Y \in M_n.$$

Given $A \in O(n)$, define $\varphi_A : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ by $\varphi_A(X) = AX$. Then φ_A is an isometry on M_n because

$$\langle \varphi_A(X), \varphi_A(Y) \rangle = \langle AX, AY \rangle = \text{tr}(X^T A^T AY) = \text{tr}(X^T Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle.$$

Clearly

$$\varphi_A(\Sigma) = \Sigma.$$

Moreover, if $A \in SO(n)$, then

$$\varphi_A(D_+) = D_+ \text{ and } \varphi_A(D_-) = D_-,$$

and if $A \in O(n) \setminus SO(n)$, then

$$\varphi_A(D_+) = D_- \text{ and } \varphi_A(D_-) = D_+.$$

Choose any $X \in \Sigma$. Then the column vectors of X are linearly dependent. Let P be an $(n-1)$ -dimensional hyperplane of \mathbb{R}^n containing all the column vectors of X and let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonzero normal vector of P . Then there exists $A \in O(n) \setminus SO(n)$ such that P is an eigenspace of A with eigenvalue 1 and v an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue -1 . Hence

$$\varphi_A(X) = X \text{ and } \varphi_A(D_+) = D_-, \quad \varphi_A(D_-) = D_+.$$

Therefore Σ is helicoidal in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} and so by Theorem 2.2 it is minimal in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} . Σ is a cone since $\det X$ is a homogeneous polynomial. \square

It is known that the determinant of a $2n \times 2n$ skew-symmetric matrix A can be written as the square of the Pfaffian of A . The Pfaffian $pf(A)$ of $A = (a_{ij})$ is defined as follows. Let ω be a 2-vector

$$\omega = \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} e_i \wedge e_j,$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Then $pf(A)$ is defined by

$$\frac{1}{n!} \omega^n = pf(A) e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{2n}.$$

One computes

$$pf(A) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{n=1}^n a_{\sigma(2i-1)\sigma(2i)}.$$

Moreover,

$$(2.2) \quad pf(B^T AB) = \det(B) pf(A)$$

for any skew-symmetric matrix A and any $2n \times 2n$ matrix B .

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Define

$$N = \left\{ X \in M_{2n} : X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_1 & x_2 & \cdot & \cdot & x_{2n-1} \\ -x_1 & 0 & x_{2n} & \cdot & \cdot & x_{4n-3} \\ -x_2 & -x_{2n} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & x_{6n-6} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & x_{2n^2-n} \\ -x_{2n-1} & -x_{4n-3} & -x_{6n-6} & \cdot & -x_{2n^2-n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

and

$$\Sigma = \{X \text{ is a } 2n \times 2n \text{ skew-symmetric matrix with } \det X = 0\}.$$

Then Σ is a hypersurface in the $(2n^2 - n)$ -dimensional subspace N of \mathbb{R}^{4n^2} . Let

$$D_+ = \{X \text{ is a } 2n \times 2n \text{ skew-symmetric matrix with } pf(X) > 0\},$$

$$D_- = \{X \text{ is a } 2n \times 2n \text{ skew-symmetric matrix with } pf(X) < 0\}.$$

For any $A \in O(2n)$ define $\psi_A : M_{2n} \rightarrow M_{2n}$ by

$$\psi_A(X) = A^T X A.$$

One sees that $\psi_A(X)$ is skew-symmetric if X is. Hence

$$\psi_A : N \rightarrow N \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_A(\Sigma) = \Sigma.$$

ψ_A is an isometry since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_A(X), \psi_A(Y) \rangle &= \langle A^T X A, A^T Y A \rangle = \text{tr}(A^T X^T A A^T Y A) \\ &= \text{tr}(A^T X^T Y A) = \text{tr}(A A^T X^T Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Every skew-symmetric matrix can be reduced to a block diagonal form by a special orthogonal matrix. In particular, every $2n \times 2n$ skew symmetric matrix X with zero determinant can be transformed by an orthogonal matrix Q to the form

$$(2.3) \quad Q^T X Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda_1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \lambda_k & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -\lambda_k & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} := \Lambda,$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are real.

Define a $2n \times 2n$ block matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n-2} & O^T \\ O & K \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_{2n-2} is the $(2n-2) \times (2n-2)$ identity matrix, O is the $2 \times (2n-2)$ zero matrix and $K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then for any $X \in \Sigma$ we have an orthogonal matrix Q such that

$$Q^T X Q = \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad J \Lambda J = \Lambda.$$

Hence

$$J Q^T X Q J = Q^T X Q.$$

Therefore

$$(Q J Q^T) X (Q J Q^T) = X, \quad Q J Q^T \neq I, \quad \det(Q J Q^T) = -1.$$

$Q J Q^T$ is orthogonal because

$$(Q J Q^T)(Q J Q^T)^T = Q J Q^T Q J Q^T = Q J J Q^T = Q Q^T = I.$$

Let $B = Q J Q^T$. Then by (2.2)

$$pf(\psi_B(Y)) = -pf(Y)$$

for any skew-symmetric matrix Y and hence

$$\psi_B(X) = X, \quad \psi_B(\Sigma) = \Sigma, \quad \psi_B(D_+) = D_-, \quad \psi_B(D_-) = D_+.$$

Therefore Σ is helicoidal and thus minimal in N everywhere it is twice differentiable.

Let $\mu : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n^2-n}$ be the map defined by

$$\mu(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n^2-n}),$$

where

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_1 & x_2 & \cdot & \cdot & x_{2n-1} \\ -x_1 & 0 & x_{2n} & \cdot & \cdot & x_{4n-3} \\ -x_2 & -x_{2n} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & x_{6n-6} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & x_{2n^2-n} \\ -x_{2n-1} & -x_{4n-3} & -x_{6n-6} & \cdot & -x_{2n^2-n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then μ is an isometry. Therefore $\mu(\Sigma)$ is a minimal hypercone in \mathbb{R}^{2n^2-n} . \square

Questions.

1. A generalized helicoid is defined in [CH] to be the locus of the minimal cone $O \rtimes (\mathbb{S}^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times \mathbb{S}^n(1/\sqrt{2}))$ when the multi-screw motion in \mathbb{R}^{2n+3} is applied to the cone. That generalized helicoid is minimal. Instead of \mathbb{S}^n , let's consider its minimal submanifold M . Then the cone $O \rtimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}M \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}M \right)$ is minimal in \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} . If we apply the multi-screw motion in \mathbb{R}^{2n+3} to the cone, is its locus minimal?
2. In the proof of Theorem 2.3 the hyperplane P is assumed to contain all the column vectors of the matrix X . The minimal hypercone Σ of the theorem may have a singularity other than the origin. Is it true that the rank of X is related with the Hausdorff dimension of the singular set of Σ ?

REFERENCES

- [CH] J. Choe and J. Hoppe, *Higher dimensional minimal submanifolds generalizing the catenoid and helicoid*, Tohoku Math. J. **65** (2013), 43-55.
- [HLT] J. Hoppe, G. Linardopoulos, O. T. Turgut, *New minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{(k+1)(2k+1)}$ and \mathbb{S}^{2k^2+3k}* , 2017, arXiv:1602.09101.
- [T] V. G. Tkachev, *Minimal cubic cones via Clifford algebras*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **4** (2010), 685-700.

KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, SEOUL, 02455, KOREA
E-mail address: `choe@kias.re.kr`

KTH, STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `hoppe@kth.se`