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#### Abstract

We establish a natural connection of the $q$-Virasoro algebra $D_{q}$ introduced by Belov and Chaltikian with affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras. More specifically, for each abelian group $S$ together with a one-to-one linear character $\chi$, we define an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra $D_{S}$ which reduces to $D_{q}$ when $S=\mathbb{Z}$. Guided by the theory of equivariant quasi modules for vertex algebras, we introduce another Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ with $S$ as an automorphism group and we prove that $D_{S}$ is isomorphic to the $S$-covariant algebra of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g} S}$. We then relate restricted $D_{S}$-modules of level $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ to equivariant quasi modules for the vertex algebra $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g S S}}}(\ell, 0)$ associated to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g} S}$ with level $\ell$. Furthermore, we show that if $S$ is a finite abelian group of order $2 l+1, D_{S}$ is isomorphic to the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $B_{l}^{(1)}$.


## 1 Introduction

In an attempt to find a meaningful $q$-analog of the Virasoro algebra, a certain infinite-dimensional Lie algebra $D_{q}$ over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers was introduced and studied in [BC] (cf. [N]), where $q$ is a nonzero complex number. In case that $q$ is not a root of unity, Lie algebra $D_{q}$ was studied in GLTW] by using vertex algebra theory in an essential way. It was proved therein that the category of (suitably defined) restricted $D_{q}$-modules of a fixed level $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ is canonically isomorphic to the category of equivariant quasi modules for the vertex algebra $V_{\hat{\mathcal{D}}}(\ell, 0)$ associated to the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ with level $\ell$ of a certain (infinite-dimensional) Lie algebra $\mathcal{D}$ with a symmetric invariant bilinear form.

In the current paper, we continue this study to deal with the case where $q$ is a root of unity. Actually, we work with a slightly more general setting. Let $S$ be an abelian group with a one-to-one linear character $\chi$. (This implies that if $S$ is finite, it must be cyclic.) Then we define an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra $D_{S}$ with

[^0]generators $D^{\alpha}(n)$ for $\alpha \in S, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, subject to certain relations. In case $S=\mathbb{Z}$ with $q=\chi(1), D_{S}$ reduces to $D_{q}$. Guided by the theory of equivariant quasi modules for vertex algebras (see [L2, L3]), we introduce another Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ with $S$ as an automorphism group and we prove that $D_{S}$ is isomorphic to the $S$-covariant algebra of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}}$. We then relate restricted $D_{S}$-modules of level $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ to equivariant quasi modules for the vertex algebra $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}}}(\ell, 0)$ associated to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}}$ with level $\ell$. Furthermore, we show that if $S$ is a finite abelian group of order $2 l+1, D_{S}$ is actually isomorphic to the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $B_{l}^{(1)}$.

Now, we give a more detailed account of the contents of this paper. Let $S$ be an abelian group with a one-to-one linear character $\chi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. We first define an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra $D_{S}$ with generators $D^{\alpha}(n)$ for $\alpha \in S, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, subject to certain relations (see Definition 2.2). Following the common practice in vertex algebra theory, we form a generating function $D^{\alpha}(x)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D^{\alpha}(n) x^{-n-1}$ for each $\alpha \in S$ and then calculate the commutator of two general generating functions. The commutator relations for the generating functions and theory of equivariant quasi modules for vertex algebras indicate that $D_{S}$ is closely related to the affine Lie algebra of some (possibly infinite-dimensional) Lie algebra with a symmetric invariant bilinear form. Motivated by this, for the abelian group $S$ we introduce another Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ with generators $d^{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S$, subject to relations $d^{-\alpha, \beta}=$ $-d^{\alpha, \beta}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[d^{\alpha, \beta}, d^{\mu, \nu}\right]=} & \delta_{\alpha+\mu, \nu-\beta} d^{\alpha+\mu,-\alpha+\nu}-\delta_{\alpha+\mu, \beta-\nu} d^{\alpha+\mu, \alpha+\nu} \\
& -\delta_{\alpha-\mu, \nu-\beta} d^{\alpha-\mu, \nu-\alpha}+\delta_{\alpha-\mu, \beta-\nu} d^{\alpha-\mu, \alpha+\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$. It is proved that $S$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ as an automorphism group with

$$
\gamma \cdot d^{\alpha, \beta}=d^{\alpha, \beta+\gamma} \quad \text { for } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in S
$$

We also equip $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ with a symmetric invariant bilinear form. Then we prove that $D_{S}$ is isomorphic to the so-called $S$-covariant algebra of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}}$ as we explain next.

It is a classical fact that for any Lie algebra $K$ with an automorphism group $G$, all the $G$-fixed points in $K$ form a Lie subalgebra $K^{G}$. An important result in Kac-Moody algebra theory (see [K]) is that twisted affine Kac-Moody algebras are isomorphic to the fixed-point subalgebras of untwisted affine Kac-Moody algebras with respect to Dynkin diagram automorphisms. Now, assume that $G$ is a (possibly infinite) automorphism group of $K$ such that for any $a, b \in K$,

$$
[g a, b]=0 \quad \text { for all but finitely many } g \in G
$$

Under this condition, one can associate another Lie algebra to the pair $(K, G)$ as follows (see [G-K-K], [L3]). Define a new multiplicative operation $[\cdot, \cdot]_{G}$ on $K$ by

$$
[a, b]_{G}=\sum_{g \in G}[g a, b] .
$$

Set

$$
I_{G}=\operatorname{span}\{a-g a \mid a \in K, g \in G\} .
$$

Then $I_{G}$ is a two-sided ideal of the non-associative algebra $\left(K,[\cdot, \cdot]_{G}\right)$ and the quotient algebra by $I_{G}$ is a Lie algebra, which is denoted by $K / G$ and called the $G$ covariant algebra of $K$. In case that $G$ is finite, one can show that Lie algebras $K^{G}$ and $K / G$ are isomorphic.

Now that $D_{S}$ is isomorphic to the $S$-covariant algebra of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}}$, by applying a result of [L3] we show that the category of restricted $D_{S}$-modules of a fixed level $\ell$ is naturally isomorphic to the category of equivariant quasi $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{S}}(\ell, 0)$ modules. This generalizes the corresponding result of GLTW. Then we continue to determine the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ for $S$ a finite abelian group. Assuming $|S|=2 l+1$, we prove that $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ is a simple Lie algebra of type $B_{l}$. It follows that $D_{S}$ is isomorphic to the $S$-covariant algebra of the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $B_{l}^{(1)}$. Using this and a result of Kac (see [K]) we show that $D_{S}$ is actually isomorphic to the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $B_{l}^{(1)}$. For the case with $S$ of an even order, set $S^{0}=\{\alpha \in S \mid 2 \alpha=0\}$ and let $I$ be the subspace of $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$, linearly spanned by the following vectors

$$
d^{\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\gamma}-d^{\alpha, \beta} \quad\left(\alpha, \beta \in S, \gamma \in S^{0}\right) .
$$

We prove that $I$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ and the quotient algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{S} / I$ is a direct sum of simple Lie algebras of type $B$ or a direct sum of simple Lie algebras of type $D$ (see Proposition 3.10).

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce Lie algebras $D_{S}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$, and prove that $D_{S}$ is isomorphic to the $S$-covariant algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}}$. In Section 3, we identify $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ as a subalgebra of the general linear algebra $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$ and prove that if $|S|=2 l+1$, then $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ is isomorphic to $B_{l}$ and $D_{S}$ is isomorphic to the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $B_{l}^{(1)}$.

## 2 Lie algebras $D_{S}, \mathfrak{g} S$, and equivariant quasi modules for $\Gamma$-vertex algebras

In this section, to any abelian group $S$ with a linear character $\chi$ we associate two Lie algebras $D_{S}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$. Furthermore, we equip Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ with a symmetric invariant bilinear form and show that the vertex algebra $V_{\widehat{\widehat{S S}}}(\ell, 0)$ associated to the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}}$ of level $\ell$ is a $\Gamma$-vertex algebra with $\Gamma=S$. Then we establish an isomorphism between the category of restricted $D_{S}$-modules of level $\ell$ and that of equivariant quasi $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{9} S}}(\ell, 0)$-modules.

First of all, we fix some basic notations. Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$denotes the multiplicative group of nonzero complex numbers (with $\mathbb{C}$ denoting the complex number field), and the symbols $x, y, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ denote mutually commuting independent formal variables. All vector spaces in this paper are considered to be over $\mathbb{C}$. For a vector space $U, U((x))$ is the vector space of lower truncated integer power
series in $x$ with coefficients in $U, U[[x]]$ is the vector space of nonnegative integer power series in $x$ with coefficients in $U$, and $U\left[\left[x, x^{-1}\right]\right]$ is the vector space of doubly infinite integer power series in $x$ with coefficients in $U$.

We recall the $q$-analog of the Virasoro algebra from [BC] (cf. [N]). Let $q$ be a nonzero complex number. By definition, $D_{q}$ is the Lie algebra with generators $\mathbf{c}$ and $D^{\alpha}(n)(\alpha, n \in \mathbb{Z})$, subjects to relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& D^{-\alpha}(n)=-D^{\alpha}(n), \\
& {\left[D^{\alpha}(n), D^{\beta}(m)\right]=}\left(q-q^{-1}\right)[\alpha m-\beta n]_{q} D^{\alpha+\beta}(m+n) \\
&-\left(q-q^{-1}\right)[\alpha m+\beta n]_{q} D^{\alpha-\beta}(m+n) \\
&+\left([m]_{q^{\alpha+\beta}}-[m]_{q^{\alpha-\beta}}\right) \delta_{m+n, 0} \mathbf{c} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

for $m, n, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbf{c}$ is central and $[n]_{q}$ is the $q$-integer defined by

$$
[n]_{q}=\frac{q^{n}-q^{-n}}{q-q^{-1}}
$$

Remark 2.1. Here, it is understood that $[n]_{q}=n$ for $q=1$ and $[n]_{q}=n(-1)^{n-1}$ for $q=-1$. This is consistent with the fact that

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow 1}[n]_{q}=n \text { and } \lim _{q \rightarrow-1}[n]_{q}=n(-1)^{n-1}
$$

The following are some simple properties of the $q$-integers:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[-n]_{q}=-[n]_{q}, \quad[n]_{q^{-1}}=[n]_{q}, \quad[m]_{q^{n}}=\frac{[m n]_{q}}{[n]_{q}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We generalize the Lie algebra $D_{q}$ as follows:
Definition 2.2. Let $S$ be an abelian group with a group homomorphism $\chi: S \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$, i.e., a linear character of $S$. Define $D_{S}$ to be the Lie algebra with generators $D^{\alpha}(n)$ for $\alpha \in S, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, subjects to the following relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
D^{-\alpha}(n)=-D^{\alpha}(n) \\
{\left[D^{\alpha}(n), D^{\beta}(m)\right]=\begin{array}{l}
(\chi(m \alpha-n \beta)-\chi(n \beta-m \alpha)) D^{\alpha+\beta}(m+n) \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
+\left(\left[(m]_{\chi(\alpha+\beta)}-[m]_{\chi(\alpha-\beta)}\right) \delta_{m+n, 0} \mathbf{c}\right.
\end{array}}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha, \beta \in S$, where $\mathbf{c}$ is central.
Remark 2.3. Consider $S=\mathbb{Z}$. Let $q$ be a nonzero complex number and let $\chi$ be the linear character of $\mathbb{Z}$ given by $\chi(n)=q^{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $D_{S}$ is reduced to the Lie algebra $D_{q}$. In the case that $q$ is not a root of unity, Lie algebra $D_{q}$ was studied in [GLTW] in the context of vertex algebras and their quasi modules.

From now on, we assume that $\chi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$is one-to-one. Then, for $\alpha \in S$, $\chi(\alpha)=1$ if and only if $\alpha=0$. For $\alpha \in S$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\alpha}(x)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D^{\alpha}(n) x^{-n-1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(x)=D^{\alpha}(x)+\left(1-\delta_{2 \alpha, 0}\right) \frac{1}{\chi(\alpha)-\chi(-\alpha)} \mathbf{c} x^{-1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we use the one-to-one assumption on $\chi$.
By a straightforward calculation (cf. [GLTW]) we have:
Lemma 2.4. The defining relations of $D_{S}$ are equivalent to

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathbf{c}, D_{S}\right]=0, \quad \tilde{D}^{-\alpha}(x)=-\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(x),}  \tag{2.6}\\
{\left[\tilde{D}^{\alpha}\left(x_{1}\right), \tilde{D}^{\beta}\left(x_{2}\right)\right]=\quad \chi(-\alpha) \tilde{D}^{\alpha+\beta}\left(\chi(-\alpha) x_{2}\right) x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(-\alpha-\beta) x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right)} \\
\\
-\chi(\alpha) \tilde{D}^{\alpha+\beta}\left(\chi(\alpha) x_{2}\right) x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha+\beta) x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right) \\
\\
-\chi(-\alpha) \tilde{D}^{\alpha-\beta}\left(\chi(-\alpha) x_{2}\right) x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\beta-\alpha) x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right) \\
 \tag{2.7}\\
+\chi(\alpha) \tilde{D}^{\alpha-\beta}\left(\chi(\alpha) x_{2}\right) x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha-\beta) x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right) \\
\\
\quad-\chi(\alpha-\beta) \delta_{2(\alpha-\beta), 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha-\beta) x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right) \mathbf{c} \\
\\
+\chi(\alpha+\beta) \delta_{2(\alpha+\beta), 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha+\beta) x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right) \mathbf{c}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in S$.
For $\alpha, \beta \in S$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\alpha, \beta}(x)=\chi(\beta) \tilde{D}^{\alpha}(\chi(\beta) x) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[D^{\alpha, \beta}\left(x_{1}\right), D^{\mu, \nu}\left(x_{2}\right)\right] } \\
= & \chi(\beta+\nu)\left[\tilde{D}^{\alpha}\left(\chi(\beta) x_{1}\right), \tilde{D}^{\mu}\left(\chi(\nu) x_{2}\right)\right] \\
= & D^{\alpha+\mu, \nu-\alpha}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\nu-\alpha) x_{2}}{\chi(\mu+\beta) x_{1}}\right)-D^{\alpha+\mu, \alpha+\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha+\nu) x_{2}}{\chi(\beta-\mu) x_{1}}\right) \\
& -D^{\alpha-\mu, \nu-\alpha}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\nu-\alpha) x_{2}}{\chi(\beta-\mu) x_{1}}\right)+D^{\alpha-\mu, \alpha+\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha+\nu) x_{2}}{\chi(\mu+\beta) x_{1}}\right) \\
& -\chi(\alpha-\mu) \delta_{2(\alpha-\mu), 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha-\mu+\nu-\beta) x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right) \mathbf{c} \\
& +\chi(\alpha+\mu) \delta_{2(\alpha+\mu), 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha+\mu+\nu-\beta) x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right) \mathbf{c} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$.
Using this we get another characterization of the Lie algebra $D_{S}$.
Proposition 2.5. Lie algebra $D_{S}$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ with generators c and $D^{\alpha, \beta}(n)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, subject to relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{L}]=0, \quad D^{-\alpha, \beta}(n)=-D^{\alpha, \beta}(n), \quad D^{\alpha, \beta+\gamma}(n)=\chi(\gamma)^{-n} D^{\alpha, \beta}(n) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the relation (2.9) with $D^{\alpha, \beta}(x)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D^{\alpha, \beta}(n) x^{-n-1}$.
Proof. Given Lie algebra $D_{S}$, with $D^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ defined in (2.8), we see that (2.10) and (2.9) hold. It follows that there is a Lie algebra homomorphism $\theta$ from $\mathcal{L}$ onto $D_{S}$ sending $D^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ to $\chi(\beta) \tilde{D}^{\alpha}(\chi(\beta) x)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S$. On the other hand, for Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ we have

$$
D^{\alpha, \beta}(x)=\chi(\beta) D^{\alpha, 0}(\chi(\beta) x) \quad \text { and } D^{-\alpha, 0}(x)=-D^{\alpha, 0}(x) \text { for } \alpha, \beta \in S
$$

It is clear that relation (2.9) is equivalent to (2.7) with $\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(x)=D^{\alpha, 0}(x)$ for $\alpha \in S$. Then there exists a natural Lie algebra homomorphism from $D_{S}$ onto $\mathcal{L}$, sending $\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(x)$ to $D^{\alpha, 0}(x)$ for $\alpha \in S$. Consequently, $\theta$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism.

Remark 2.6. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{0}=\{\alpha \in S \mid 2 \alpha=0\} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

a subgroup of $S$. Note that if $S^{0}=\{0\}$, relation (2.9) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[D^{\alpha, \beta}\left(x_{1}\right), D^{\mu, \nu}\left(x_{2}\right)\right] } \\
= & D^{\alpha+\mu, \nu-\alpha}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\nu-\alpha) x_{2}}{\chi(\mu+\beta) x_{1}}\right)-D^{\alpha+\mu, \alpha+\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha+\nu) x_{2}}{\chi(\beta-\mu) x_{1}}\right) \\
& -D^{\alpha-\mu, \nu-\alpha}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\nu-\alpha) x_{2}}{\chi(\beta-\mu) x_{1}}\right)+D^{\alpha-\mu, \alpha+\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha+\nu) x_{2}}{\chi(\mu+\beta) x_{1}}\right) \\
& +\left(\delta_{\alpha,-\mu}-\delta_{\alpha, \mu}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} x_{1}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{\chi(\nu-\beta) x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right) \mathbf{c} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$.
In the following, we shall relate $D_{S}$ with the affine algebra of a new Lie algebra.
Definition 2.7. Let $S$ be an abelian group as before. Define $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ to be the Lie algebra with generators $d^{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S$, subject to relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
d^{-\alpha, \beta}=-d^{\alpha, \beta},  \tag{2.13}\\
{\left[d^{\alpha, \beta}, d^{\mu, \nu}\right]=\delta_{\alpha+\mu, \nu-\beta} d^{\alpha+\mu,-\alpha+\nu}-\delta_{\alpha+\mu, \beta-\nu} d^{\alpha+\mu, \alpha+\nu}} \\
-\delta_{\alpha-\mu, \nu-\beta} d^{\alpha-\mu, \nu-\alpha}+\delta_{\alpha-\mu, \beta-\nu} d^{\alpha-\mu, \alpha+\nu} \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$.

The following result can be found in [L3] (Lemma 4.1) (cf. [G-K-K]):
Lemma 2.8. Let $K$ be any Lie algebra with a symmetric invariant bilinear form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and let $G$ be an automorphism group of $K$, preserving $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, such that for any $a, b \in K$,

$$
[g a, b]=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\langle g a, b\rangle=0 \quad \text { for all but finitely many } g \in G
$$

Define a new multiplicative operation $[\cdot, \cdot]_{G}$ and a new bilinear form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{G}$ on $K$ by

$$
[a, b]_{G}=\sum_{g \in G}[g a, b] \quad \text { and } \quad\langle a, b\rangle_{G}=\sum_{g \in G}\langle g a, b\rangle .
$$

Set

$$
I_{G}=\operatorname{span}\{a-g a \mid a \in K, g \in G\}
$$

Then $I_{G}$ is a two-sided ideal of the non-associative algebra $\left(K,[\cdot, \cdot]_{G}\right)$ and the quotient algebra modulo $I_{G}$ is a Lie algebra, which is denoted by $K / G$ and called the $G$-covariant algebra of $K$. Furthermore, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{G}$ reduces to a symmetric invariant bilinear form on $K / G$.

The following is a more explicit construction of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ :
Proposition 2.9. Let $K$ be a vector space with a basis $\left\{F_{\alpha, \beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in S\right\}$. Define a multiplicative operation * on $K$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\alpha, \beta} * F_{\mu, \nu}= & \delta_{\alpha+\mu, \nu-\beta} F_{\alpha+\mu,-\alpha+\nu}-\delta_{\alpha+\mu, \beta-\nu} F_{\alpha+\mu, \alpha+\nu} \\
& -\delta_{\alpha-\mu, \nu-\beta} F_{\alpha-\mu, \nu-\alpha}+\delta_{\alpha-\mu, \beta-\nu} F_{\alpha-\mu, \alpha+\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S . S e t$

$$
J=\operatorname{span}\left\{F_{-\alpha, \beta}+F_{\alpha, \beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in S\right\} .
$$

Then $J$ is a two-sided ideal of the non-associative algebra $(K, *)$ and the quotient algebra $K / J$ is a Lie algebra. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $K / J$ with $d^{\alpha, \beta}$ corresponding to $F_{\alpha, \beta}+J$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S$.

Proof. We shall apply Lemma 2.8. First, define an operation "." on $K$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha, \beta} \cdot F_{\mu, \nu}=\delta_{\nu, \alpha+\beta+\mu} F_{\alpha+\mu, \beta+\mu} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$. It is straightforward to show that $(K, \cdot)$ is an associative algebra. Then $K$ is naturally a Lie algebra, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[F_{\alpha, \beta}, F_{\mu, \nu}\right] } & =\delta_{\nu, \alpha+\beta+\mu} F_{\alpha+\mu, \beta+\mu}-\delta_{\beta, \mu+\nu+\alpha} F_{\mu+\alpha, \nu+\alpha} \\
& =\delta_{\alpha+\mu, \nu-\beta} F_{\alpha+\mu,-\alpha+\nu}-\delta_{\alpha+\mu, \beta-\nu} F_{\alpha+\mu, \alpha+\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$. Let $\theta$ be the linear endomorphism of $K$ defined by

$$
\theta\left(F_{\alpha, \beta}\right)=F_{-\alpha, \beta} \quad \text { for } \alpha, \beta \in S
$$

It is straightforward to show that $\theta$ is an order 2 anti-automorphism of the associative algebra $K$. Then $-\theta$ is an order 2 automorphism of the Lie algebra $K$. From Lemma 2.8, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[F_{\alpha, \beta}, F_{\mu, \nu}\right]_{\langle-\theta\rangle}=} & \delta_{\alpha+\mu, \nu-\beta} F_{\alpha+\mu,-\alpha+\nu}-\delta_{\alpha+\mu, \beta-\nu} F_{\alpha+\mu, \alpha+\nu} \\
& -\delta_{-\alpha+\mu, \nu-\beta} F_{-\alpha+\mu, \alpha+\nu}+\delta_{-\alpha+\mu, \beta-\nu} F_{-\alpha+\mu, \nu-\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$. Then the first part of this lemma follows immediately. As for the second part, we first have a Lie algebra homomorphism $\psi$ from $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ onto $K / J$ with $\psi\left(d^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=F_{\alpha, \beta}+J$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S$. By using a basis of $K / J$, we see that $\psi$ is actually an isomorphism.

From Proposition 2.9 we readily have:
Lemma 2.10. The abelian group $S$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ as an automorphism group with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \cdot d^{\alpha, \beta}=d^{\alpha, \beta+\gamma} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\gamma, \alpha, \beta \in S$.
Now, let $\chi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$be a linear character as in the definition of $D_{S}$. It is straightforward to see that $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ admits a bilinear form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\chi}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle d^{\alpha, \beta}, d^{\mu, \nu}\right\rangle_{\chi}=\chi(\alpha+\mu) \delta_{2(\alpha+\mu), 0} \delta_{\alpha+\mu, \beta-\nu}-\chi(\alpha-\mu) \delta_{2(\alpha-\mu), 0} \delta_{\alpha-\mu, \beta-\nu} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$. We have:
Lemma 2.11. The defined bilinear form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\chi}$ on Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ is symmetric and invariant, and the group action of $S$ preserves $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\chi}$. Furthermore, for any $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[d^{\alpha, \beta+\gamma}, d^{\mu, \nu}\right]=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\langle d^{\alpha, \beta+\gamma}, d^{\mu, \nu}\right\rangle_{\chi}=0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all but finitely many $\gamma \in S$.
Proof. We shall use Lemma [2.8. First, recall the associative algebra $K$ from the proof of Proposition [2.9, where $K$ has a basis $\left\{F_{\alpha, \beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in S\right\}$ with

$$
F_{\alpha, \beta} \cdot F_{\mu, \nu}=\delta_{\nu, \alpha+\beta+\mu} F_{\alpha+\mu, \beta+\mu}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$. We define a bilinear form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ on $K$ by

$$
\left\langle F_{\alpha, \beta}, F_{\mu, \nu}\right\rangle=\chi(\alpha+\mu) \delta_{2(\alpha+\mu), 0} \delta_{\alpha+\mu, \beta-\nu}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$. It can be readily seen that $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is symmetric. On the other hand, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is also associative because

$$
\left\langle F_{\alpha, \beta}, F_{\mu, \nu}\right\rangle=f\left(F_{\alpha, \beta} \cdot F_{\mu, \nu}\right)
$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$, where $f$ is the linear functional on $K$ given by

$$
f\left(F_{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\chi(\alpha) \delta_{2 \alpha, 0} \quad \text { for } \alpha, \beta \in S
$$

Consequently, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is a symmetric and invariant bilinear form on $K$ viewed as a Lie algebra. It is also clear that the Lie algebra automorphism $-\theta$ of $K$ preserves $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. For $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle F_{\alpha, \beta}, F_{\mu, \nu}\right\rangle_{\langle-\theta\rangle} & =\left\langle F_{\alpha, \beta}, F_{\mu, \nu}\right\rangle-\left\langle F_{-\alpha, \beta}, F_{\mu, \nu}\right\rangle \\
& =\chi(\alpha+\mu) \delta_{2(\alpha+\mu), 0} \delta_{\alpha+\mu, \beta-\nu}-\chi(\alpha-\mu) \delta_{2(\alpha-\mu), 0} \delta_{\alpha-\mu, \beta-\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the first part of this lemma follows immediately from Lemma 2.8. The second part is clear.

Remark 2.12. Note that in case that $S^{0}=\{0\}$ (where $S^{0}=\{\alpha \in S \mid 2 \alpha=0\}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle d^{\alpha, \beta}, d^{\mu, \nu}\right\rangle_{\chi}=\left(\delta_{\alpha,-\mu}-\delta_{\alpha, \mu}\right) \delta_{\beta, \nu} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$, recalling (2.17).
Let $(S, \chi)$ be given as in the definition of $D_{S}$. We have a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ with a symmetric invariant bilinear form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\chi}$. Associated to the pair $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{S},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\chi}\right)$, we have an affine Lie algebra

$$
\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}}=\mathfrak{g}_{S} \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right] \oplus \mathbb{C} \mathbf{k}
$$

Naturally, $S$ acts on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g} S}$ as an automorphism group, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \cdot \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{k}, \quad \gamma \cdot\left(d^{\alpha, \beta}(n)\right)=\chi(\gamma)^{n} d^{\alpha, \beta+\gamma}(n) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\gamma, \alpha, \beta \in S, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Now, we are in a position to present our first main result.
Theorem 2.13. The linear map $\pi: \widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}} \rightarrow D_{S}$, defined by $\pi(\mathbf{k})=\mathbf{c}$ and

$$
\pi\left(d^{\alpha, \beta}(x)\right)=D^{\alpha, \beta}(x)=\chi(\beta) \tilde{D}^{\alpha}(\chi(\beta) x) \quad \text { for } \alpha, \beta \in S
$$

gives rise to a Lie algebra isomorphism from the covariant algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g} S} / S$ to $D_{S}$.
Proof. Recall the defining relations (2.6) and (2.7) of $D_{S}$. As the relations $d^{-\alpha, \beta}=$ $-d^{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S$ hold in $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$, the linear map $\pi$ is well defined. On the other hand, note that $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}} / S$ as a vector space is the quotient of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}}$ by the relations

$$
d^{\alpha, \beta+\gamma}(x)=\chi(\gamma) d^{\alpha, \beta}(\chi(\gamma) x) \quad \text { for } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in S
$$

Then $\pi$ is a linear isomorphism. Let $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[d^{\alpha, \beta}(m), d^{\mu, \nu}(n)\right]_{S} } \\
= & \sum_{\gamma \in S} \chi(\gamma)^{m}\left[d^{\alpha, \beta+\gamma}(m), d^{\mu, \nu}(n)\right] \\
= & \sum_{\gamma \in S} \chi(\gamma)^{m}\left(\delta_{\alpha+\mu, \nu-\beta-\gamma} d^{\alpha+\mu,-\alpha+\nu}(m+n)-\delta_{\alpha+\mu, \beta+\gamma-\nu} d^{\alpha+\mu, \alpha+\nu}(m+n)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\delta_{\alpha-\mu, \nu-\beta-\gamma} d^{\alpha-\mu, \nu-\alpha}(m+n)+\delta_{\alpha-\mu, \beta+\gamma-\nu} d^{\alpha-\mu, \alpha+\nu}(m+n)\right) \\
& +m \delta_{m+n, 0} \mathbf{k} \sum_{\gamma \in S} \chi(\gamma)^{m}\left(\chi(\alpha+\mu) \delta_{2(\alpha+\mu), 0} \delta_{\alpha+\mu, \beta+\gamma-\nu}-\chi(\alpha-\mu) \delta_{2(\alpha-\mu), 0} \delta_{\alpha-\mu, \beta+\gamma-\nu}\right) \\
= & \chi(\nu-\alpha-\beta-\mu)^{m} d^{\alpha+\mu,-\alpha+\nu}(m+n)-\chi(\alpha+\mu+\nu-\beta)^{m} d^{\alpha+\mu, \alpha+\nu}(m+n) \\
& -\chi(\mu+\nu-\alpha-\beta)^{m} d^{\alpha-\mu, \nu-\alpha}(m+n)+\chi(\alpha+\nu-\beta-\mu)^{m} d^{\alpha-\mu, \alpha+\nu}(m+n) \\
& +m \delta_{m+n, 0} \mathbf{k}\left(\chi(\alpha+\mu) \delta_{2(\alpha+\mu), 0} \chi(\alpha+\mu+\nu-\beta)^{m}-\chi(\alpha-\mu) \delta_{2(\alpha-\mu), 0} \chi(\alpha+\nu-\beta-\mu)^{m}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing this with (2.9), we see that $\pi$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism, and hence it is an isomorphism.

Recall the following notion of quasi module for a vertex algebra from [L2]:
Definition 2.14. Let $V$ be a vertex algebra. A quasi $V$-module is a vector space $W$ equipped with a linear map

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{W}(\cdot, x): \quad V & \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((x))) \subset(\operatorname{End} W)\left[\left[x, x^{-1}\right]\right], \\
v & \mapsto Y_{W}(v, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfying the conditions that

$$
Y_{W}(\mathbf{1}, x)=\mathbf{1}_{W} \quad(\text { the identity operator on } W)
$$

and that for any $u, v \in V$, there exists a nonzero polynomial $p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{x_{0}}\right) p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) Y_{W}\left(u, x_{1}\right) Y_{W}\left(v, x_{2}\right) \\
&-x_{0}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{-x_{0}}\right) p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) Y_{W}\left(v, x_{2}\right) Y_{W}\left(u, x_{1}\right) \\
&= x_{2}^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_{1}-x_{0}}{x_{2}}\right) p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) Y_{W}\left(Y\left(u, x_{0}\right) v, x_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also recall the definitions of a $\Gamma$-vertex algebra and an equivariant quasi module from [L3].
Definition 2.15. Let $\Gamma$ be a group. A $\Gamma$-vertex algebra is a vertex algebra $V$ equipped with group homomorphisms

$$
R: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V) ; g \longmapsto R_{g}, \text { and } \chi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}
$$

such that $R_{g}(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{1}$ for $g \in \Gamma$ and

$$
R_{g} Y(v, x) R_{g}^{-1}=Y\left(R_{g}(v), \chi(g)^{-1} x\right) \text { for } g \in \Gamma, v \in V
$$

Note that this is a reformulation of the notion of $\Gamma$-vertex algebra defined in [L2].
Definition 2.16. Let $V$ be a $\Gamma$-vertex algebra. An equivariant quasi $V$-module is a quasi module $\left(W, Y_{W}\right)$ for $V$ viewed as a vertex algebra, satisfying the condition that

$$
Y_{W}\left(R_{g}(v), x\right)=Y_{W}(v, \chi(g) x) \text { for } g \in \Gamma, v \in V
$$

and for $u, v \in V$, there exist $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k} \in \chi(\Gamma) \subset \mathbb{C}^{\times}$such that

$$
\left(x_{1}-\alpha_{1} x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{1}-\alpha_{k} x_{2}\right)\left[Y_{W}\left(u, x_{1}\right), Y_{W}\left(v, x_{2}\right)\right]=0 .
$$

Remark 2.17. Let $V$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vertex algebra in the sense that $V$ is a vertex algebra equipped with a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading $V=\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(n)}$ such that $\mathbf{1} \in V_{(0)}$ and

$$
u_{m} V_{(n)} \subset V_{(k+n-m-1)} \quad \text { for } u \in V_{(k)}, k, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Denote by $L(0)$ the linear operator on $V$, defined by $\left.L(0)\right|_{V_{(n)}}=n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define an automorphism of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vertex algebra $V$ to be an automorphism of vertex algebra $V$, which preserves the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading. Let $\Gamma$ be an automorphism group of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vertex algebra $V$ and let $\chi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$be a group homomorphism. Then $V$ becomes a $\Gamma$-vertex algebra with $R_{g}=\chi(g)^{-L(0)} g$ for $g \in \Gamma$ (see [L2]).

Let $\ell$ be a complex number, which is fixed for the rest of this section. Given the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}}$ and the complex number $\ell$, we have a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vertex algebra $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g S}}}(\ell, 0)$ (cf. [FZ], [LL]). As a vector space,

$$
V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{S}_{S}}}(\ell, 0)=U\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}}\right) \otimes_{U\left(\mathfrak{g}_{S} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]+\mathbb{C} \mathbf{k}\right)} \mathbb{C}_{\ell},
$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{\ell}$ denotes the one-dimensional $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{S} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]+\mathbb{C} \mathbf{k}\right)$-module $\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathfrak{g}_{S} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ acting trivially and with $\mathbf{k}$ acting as scalar $\ell$, and that $\mathbf{1}=1 \otimes 1, Y\left(d^{\alpha, \beta}, x\right)=d^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S$. Furthermore, $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g} S}}(\ell, 0)_{(1)}=\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ is a generating subspace of $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g} S}}(\ell, 0)$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ is identified as a subspace of $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g} S}}(\ell, 0)$ through the linear map $a \mapsto a(-1) \mathbf{1}$.

Using a straightforward argument we have:
Lemma 2.18. For each $\gamma \in S$, there exists an automorphism $\sigma_{\gamma}$ of the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vertex algebra $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{S} S}}(\ell, 0)$, which is uniquely determined by $\sigma_{\gamma}\left(d^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=d^{\alpha, \beta+\gamma}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in$ $S$.

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=S \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{G} S}}(\ell, 0)\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{G S}}}(\ell, 0)$ is viewed as a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vertex algebra. Define a group homomorphism

$$
R: \Gamma \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}\left(V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g} S}}(\ell, 0)\right)
$$

by

$$
R_{\gamma}=\chi(\gamma)^{-L(0)} \gamma \text { for } \gamma \in S
$$

where $L(0)$ is the linear operator on $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{Q S}}}(\ell, 0)$ defined by $L(0) v=n v$ for $v \in$ $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{s} S}}(\ell, 0)_{(n)}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In view of Remark 2.17, equipped with group homomorphisms $R$ and $\chi$, the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vertex algebra $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{G S}}}(\ell, 0)$ becomes a $\Gamma$-vertex algebra.

Definition 2.19. A $D_{S}$-module $W$ is said to be restricted if for every $\alpha \in S$ and $w \in$ $W, D^{\alpha}(n) w=0$ for $n$ sufficiently large, or equivalently, if $D^{\alpha}(x) \in \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((x)))$, or equivalently, if $\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(x) \in \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((x)))$ for all $\alpha \in S$. We say a $D_{S}$-module W is of level $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ if the central element $\mathbf{c}$ acts as scalar $\ell$.

As the second main result of this section we have:
Theorem 2.20. Let $W$ be a restricted $D_{S}$-module of level $\ell$. Then there exists an equivariant quasi $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g S}}}(\ell, 0)$-module structure $Y_{W}(\cdot, x)$ on $W$, which is uniquely determined by

$$
Y_{W}\left(d^{\alpha, \beta}, x\right)=D^{\alpha, \beta}(x)=\chi(\beta) \tilde{D}^{\alpha}(\chi(\beta) x) \quad \text { for } \alpha, \beta \in S
$$

On the other hand, let $\left(W, Y_{W}\right)$ be an equivariant quasi $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g} S}}(\ell, 0)$-module. Then $W$ is a restricted $D_{S}$-module of level $\ell$ with $\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(x)=Y_{W}\left(d^{\alpha, 0}, x\right)$ for $\alpha \in S$.

Proof. Recall from Theorem 2.13 that $D_{S}$ is isomorphic to the covariant Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}} / S$ of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}}$. Note that $\chi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$is assumed to be injective. Then all the assertions follow immediately from Theorem 4.9 of [L3].

## 3 Identifying Lie algebra $D_{S}$ with affine Kac-Moody algebras

In this section, we relate Lie algebra $D_{S}$ to affine Kac-Moody algebras. To do this, we determine the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ introduced in Section 2. Especially, we show that if $S$ is a finite abelian group of order $2 l+1$, then $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ is a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra of type $B_{l}$ and Lie algebra $D_{S}$ is isomorphic to the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $B_{l}^{(1)}$. We also obtain some results for $S$ of an even order.

Let $S$ be an abelian group as before, which is fixed throughout this section. Define $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$ to be the associative algebra with generators $E_{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S$, subject to relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\alpha, \beta} \cdot E_{\mu, \nu}=\delta_{\beta, \mu} E_{\alpha, \nu} \quad \text { for } \alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to see that $E_{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S$ form a basis of $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$. Notice that if $S$ is of order $n$, then $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$ is isomorphic to the algebra $M(n, \mathbb{C})$ of $n \times n$ complex matrices. Naturally, $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$ is a Lie algebra with

$$
\left[E_{\alpha, \beta}, E_{\mu, \nu}\right]=E_{\alpha, \beta} \cdot E_{\mu, \nu}-E_{\mu, \nu} \cdot E_{\alpha, \beta}=\delta_{\beta, \mu} E_{\alpha, \nu}-\delta_{\nu, \alpha} E_{\mu, \beta} .
$$

Equip $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$ with the bilinear form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle E_{\alpha, \beta}, E_{\mu, \nu}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha, \nu} \delta_{\beta, \mu} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$. It is straightforward to show that this form is symmetric, associative (invariant), and nondegenerate. Define a linear endomorphism $\tau$ of $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(E_{\alpha, \beta}\right)=-E_{\beta, \alpha} \quad \text { for } \alpha, \beta \in S \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\tau$ is an order-2 automorphism of $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$ viewed as a Lie algebra and we see that $\tau$ preserves the bilinear form.

For $\alpha, \beta \in S$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\alpha, \beta}=E_{\alpha+\beta, \beta-\alpha} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
G_{\alpha, \beta} \cdot G_{\mu, \nu}=\delta_{\beta-\alpha, \mu+\nu} G_{\alpha+\mu, \alpha+\nu} \quad \text { for } \alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S
$$

Furthermore, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{S}=\operatorname{span}\left\{G_{\alpha, \beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in S\right\} \subset \mathfrak{g l}_{S} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a straightforward argument we get the following results:
Lemma 3.1. The subspace $\mathcal{A}_{S}$ of $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$ is an associative subalgebra with a basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{E_{\mu, \nu} \mid \mu, \nu \in S \text { with } \mu+\nu \in 2 S\right\} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the automorphism $\tau$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$ preserves $\mathcal{A}_{S}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(G_{\alpha, \beta}\right)=-G_{-\alpha, \beta} \quad \text { for } \alpha, \beta \in S \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $S^{0}=\{\alpha \in S \mid 2 \alpha=0\}$. We have:
Lemma 3.2. For $\alpha, \beta, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime} \in S, G_{\alpha, \beta}=G_{\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}$ if and only if $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}+\gamma, \beta=$ $\beta^{\prime}-\gamma=\beta^{\prime}+\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in S^{0}$.

Proof. It is straightforward as $E_{\mu, \nu}$ for $\mu, \nu \in S$ form a basis of $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$.
Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}=\left\{a \in \mathcal{A}_{S} \mid \tau(a)=a\right\}, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_{S}$. For $\alpha, \beta \in S$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}=G_{\alpha, \beta}+\tau\left(G_{\alpha, \beta}\right)=G_{\alpha, \beta}-G_{-\alpha, \beta}=E_{\alpha+\beta, \beta-\alpha}-E_{\beta-\alpha, \beta+\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}=\operatorname{span}\left\{G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau} \mid \alpha, \beta \in S\right\} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\gamma}^{\tau}=G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau} \quad \text { for } \alpha, \beta \in S, \gamma \in S^{0},  \tag{3.11}\\
G_{-\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}=-G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau} \quad \text { for } \alpha, \beta \in S . \tag{3.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand, by a straightforward calculation we get

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}, G_{\mu, \nu}^{\tau}\right]=} & \delta_{\alpha+\mu, \beta-\nu} G_{\alpha+\mu, \nu+\alpha}^{\tau}-\delta_{\alpha+\mu, \nu-\beta} G_{\alpha+\mu, \mu+\beta}^{\tau} \\
& +\delta_{\alpha-\mu, \nu-\beta} G_{\alpha-\mu, \beta-\mu}^{\tau}-\delta_{\alpha-\mu, \beta-\nu} G_{\alpha-\mu, \alpha+\nu}^{\tau} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$.
Lemma 3.3. The group $S$ acts on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$ as an automorphism group with $\gamma \in S$ acting as $\sigma_{\gamma}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\gamma}\left(G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}\right)=G_{\alpha, \beta+\gamma}^{\tau} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in S$. Furthermore, the action preserves the bilinear form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ defined in (3.2).

Proof. First, $S$ acts on $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$ as an automorphism group by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\gamma}\left(E_{\alpha, \beta}\right)=E_{\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\gamma} \quad \text { for } \gamma, \alpha, \beta \in S \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $S$ preserves the bilinear form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$. Second, for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in S$, we have

$$
\sigma_{\gamma}\left(G_{\alpha, \beta}\right)=E_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma, \beta-\alpha+\gamma}=G_{\alpha, \beta+\gamma}
$$

and furthermore, we have

$$
\sigma_{\gamma}\left(G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}\right)=G_{\alpha, \beta+\gamma}-G_{-\alpha, \beta+\gamma}=G_{\alpha, \beta+\gamma}^{\tau} .
$$

Consequently, $S$ acts on $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$ as an automorphism group.
Next, we relate the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ introduced in Section 2 with Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$ of $\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{S}\right)^{\tau}$. Recall that $S$ also acts on $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ as an automorphism group. From relations (3.12) and (3.13) and the definition of $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ we immediately have:

Lemma 3.4. There exists a Lie algebra homomorphism $\pi$ from $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ onto $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau} \subset\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{S}\right)^{\tau}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left(d^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=G_{-\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}=-G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}=E_{\beta-\alpha, \alpha+\beta}-E_{\alpha+\beta, \beta-\alpha} \quad \text { for } \alpha, \beta \in S \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $\pi$ preserves the group actions of $S$.
Furthermore, we have:

Proposition 3.5. Assume that $S$ is an abelian group such that $S^{0}=\{0\}$. Then the Lie algebra homomorphism $\pi$ defined in Lemma 3.4 from $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ to $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$ is an isomorphism, which also preserves the invariant bilinear form.

Proof. For $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}, G_{\mu, \nu}^{\tau}\right\rangle & =\left\langle E_{\alpha+\beta, \beta-\alpha}-E_{\beta-\alpha, \alpha+\beta}, E_{\mu+\nu, \nu-\mu}-E_{\nu-\mu, \mu+\nu}\right\rangle \\
& =\delta_{\alpha+\beta, \nu-\mu} \delta_{\beta-\alpha, \mu+\nu}-\delta_{\alpha+\beta, \mu+\nu} \delta_{\beta-\alpha, \nu-\mu} \\
& =\delta_{2(\alpha+\mu), 0} \delta_{\beta-\alpha, \mu+\nu}-\delta_{2(\alpha-\mu), 0} \delta_{\beta-\alpha, \nu-\mu} \\
& =\delta_{\alpha+\mu, 0} \delta_{\beta-\alpha, \mu+\nu}-\delta_{\alpha-\mu, 0} \delta_{\beta-\alpha, \nu-\mu} \\
& =\left(\delta_{\alpha,-\mu}-\delta_{\alpha, \mu}\right) \delta_{\beta, \nu} . \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from this and (2.19) that $\pi$ preserves the invariant bilinear form. For the isomorphism assertion it remains to show that $\pi$ is injective. As $S^{0}=\{0\}$, in view of Lemma 3.2, we have that $G_{\alpha, \beta}=G_{\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}$ if and only if $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta=\beta^{\prime}$ for $\alpha, \beta, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime} \in S$. Consequently, $G_{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S$ form a basis of $\mathcal{A}_{S}$. Let $S_{-}$ be a subset of $S$ such that $S=\{0\} \cup S_{-} \cup\left(-S_{-}\right)$is a disjoint decomposition. As $G_{-\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}=-G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S, \mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$ is linearly spanned by $G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}$ for $\alpha \in S_{-}, \beta \in S$, which are linearly independent since $G_{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S$ are linearly independent. Then $G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}$ for $\alpha \in S_{-}, \beta \in S$ form a basis of $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$. On the other hand, with (2.13), we see that $d^{\alpha, \beta}$ with $\alpha \in S_{-}, \beta \in S$ linearly span $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$. As $\pi$ is a linear map from $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ onto $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$ with $\pi\left(d^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=-G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S$, elements $d^{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha \in S_{-}, \beta \in S$ must be linearly independent, so that $\left\{d^{\alpha, \beta} \mid \alpha \in S_{-}, \beta \in S\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$. Consequently, $\pi$ is injective. This completes the proof.

As a consequence of Proposition 3.5, we have:
Corollary 3.6. Assume that $S$ is a finite abelian group of order $2 l+1$ with $l$ a positive integer. Then $\mathcal{A}_{S}=\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{S}\right)^{\tau}$ which is isomorphic to the simple Lie algebra of type $B_{l}$.

Proof. As $|S|$ is odd, there exist integers $m$ and $n$ such that $1=2 m+n|S|$. Then $\alpha=2 m \alpha$ for $\alpha \in S$. It follows from (3.4) that for any $\alpha, \beta \in S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\alpha, \beta}=G_{m(\alpha-\beta), m(\alpha+\beta)} \in \mathcal{A}_{S} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\mathcal{A}_{S}=\mathfrak{g l}$ and hence $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}=\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{S}\right)^{\tau}$. Again, since $|S|$ is odd, we have $S^{0}=\{0\}$. By Proposition 3.5, $\mathfrak{g}_{S} \simeq \mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}=\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{S}\right)^{\tau}$. It is well known that $\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{S}\right)^{\tau}$ is isomorphic to the finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra of type $B_{l}$. This completes the proof.

Furthermore, we have:
Theorem 3.7. Assume that $S$ is a finite abelian group of order $2 l+1$ with $l$ a positive integer. Then Lie algebra $D_{S}$ is isomorphic to the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $B_{l}^{(1)}$.

Proof. Note that as $\chi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$is assumed to be one-to-one, $S$ must be cyclic. Assume $S=\mathbb{Z} /(2 l+1) \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\chi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$be the group homomorphism defined by $\chi(\alpha)=q^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in S$, where $q$ is a primitive $(2 l+1)$-th root of unity. From Theorem 2.13 and Corollary 3.6, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{S} \simeq \widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{S}} / S, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{S} \simeq\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{S}\right)^{\tau} \simeq B_{l} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\sigma_{1}$ be the automorphism of the Lie algebra $\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{S}\right)^{\tau}\left(\simeq B_{l}\right)$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}\left(E_{\alpha, \beta}-E_{\beta, \alpha}\right)=E_{\alpha+[1], \beta+[1]}-E_{\beta+[1], \alpha+[1]} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z} /(2 l+1) \mathbb{Z}=S$, where [1] denotes the image of 1 in $\mathbb{Z} /(2 l+1) \mathbb{Z}$. With $S$ viewed as an automorphism group of $\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{S}\right)^{\tau}$ (recalling (3.15)), we have $S=\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle$. Then, using (3.19) we get

$$
D_{S} \simeq \widehat{B_{l}} / S \simeq \widehat{B}_{l}^{S}=\widehat{B}_{l}^{\sigma_{1}}
$$

where $\widehat{B}_{l}{ }^{S}$ (resp. ${\widehat{B_{l}}}^{\sigma_{1}}$ ) denotes the $S$-fixed (resp. $\sigma_{1}$-fixed) points subalgebra. Note that for the isomorphism relation $\widehat{B_{l}} / S \simeq \widehat{B}_{l}$, $\mathbf{k}$ corresponds to $(2 l+1) \mathbf{k}$.

Note that there is no nontrivial Dynkin diagram automorphism for a type $B_{l}$ simple Lie algebra. From [K] (Proposition 8.1), $\sigma_{1}$ is conjugate to an inner automorphism $\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i}{2 l+1} \operatorname{ad} h\right)$, where $h$ is an element such that ad $h$ is semi-simple with only integer eigenvalues. It follows that ${\widehat{B_{l}}}^{\sigma_{1}}$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{B_{l}}$ (see Appendix; cf. Section 8.5, [ K ]). Consequently, $D_{S}$ is isomorphic to the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $B_{l}^{(1)}$.

Next, we consider the general case. Recall $\mathcal{A}_{S}=\operatorname{span}\left\{E_{\alpha+\beta, \alpha-\beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in S\right\}$, which is an associative subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$, and

$$
\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}=\operatorname{span}\left\{G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}=E_{\beta+\alpha, \beta-\alpha}-E_{\beta-\alpha, \beta+\alpha} \mid \alpha, \beta \in S\right\},
$$

a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_{S}$. We also recall $S^{0}=\{\alpha \in S \mid 2 \alpha=0\}$. The following is a generalization of Proposition 3.5:

Proposition 3.8. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\operatorname{span}\left\{d^{\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\gamma}-d^{\alpha, \beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in S, \gamma \in S^{0}\right\} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{S} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $I$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$. Furthermore, the quotient Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{S} / I$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$.

Proof. From (2.14), it is straightforward to show that $I$ is an ideal. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.2, for $\alpha, \beta \in S, \gamma \in S^{0}$, we have

$$
G_{\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\gamma}^{\tau}=G_{\alpha+\gamma, \beta+\gamma}-G_{-\alpha-\gamma, \beta+\gamma}=G_{\alpha, \beta}-G_{-\alpha, \beta}=G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}
$$

in $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$. Then the Lie algebra homomorphism $\pi$ from $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ onto $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$ reduces to a Lie algebra homomorphism $\bar{\pi}$ from $\mathfrak{g}_{S} / I$ onto $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$ with $\bar{\pi}\left(d^{\alpha, \beta}+I\right)=-G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S$. It suffices to prove that $\bar{\pi}$ is injective. Let $T$ be a complete set of equivalence class representatives of $S$ modulo $S^{0}$ with $0 \in T$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $\left\{G_{\alpha, \beta} \mid(\alpha, \beta) \in T \times S\right\}$ is a base for $\mathcal{A}_{S}$. Furthermore, consider the equivalence relation $\sim$ on $S$ defined by $\alpha \sim \beta$ if and only if $\beta= \pm \alpha$. Let $T_{-}$be a complete set of equivalence class representatives in $T \backslash\{0\}$ with respect to this particular equivalence relation. Noticing that if $\alpha \in T_{-}$, then $-\alpha \notin T_{-}$, we see that the linear independence of $\left\{G_{\alpha, \beta} \mid(\alpha, \beta) \in T \times S\right\}$ implies that $G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}$ with $\alpha \in T_{-}, \beta \in S$ are linearly independent. Then $\left\{G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau} \mid(\alpha, \beta) \in T_{-} \times S\right\}$ is a base for $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$. On the other hand, from the defining relations of $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$ and the definition of $I$, we see that $\mathfrak{g}_{S} / I$ is linearly spanned by $d^{\alpha, \beta}+I$ with $(\alpha, \beta) \in T_{-} \times S$. It follows that $\left\{d^{\alpha, \beta}+I \mid(\alpha, \beta) \in T_{-} \times S\right\}$ is a base for $\mathfrak{g}_{S} / I$. Consequently, $\bar{\pi}$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism.

Recall the subgroup $2 S$ of $S$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S_{0} \cup S_{1} \cup \cdots \cup S_{r-1} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the decomposition of $S$ into distinct cosets of $2 S$ with $S_{0}=2 S$. We have $2 S \simeq$ $S / S^{0}$, which implies $|2 S| \cdot\left|S^{0}\right|=|S|$. Thus $r=\left|S^{0}\right|$. Notice that for $\alpha, \beta \in S$, if $\alpha+\beta \in S_{j}$ for $0 \leq j<r$, we have $\beta-\alpha=(\alpha+\beta)-2 \alpha \in S_{j}$, so that

$$
G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}=E_{\beta+\alpha, \beta-\alpha}-E_{\beta-\alpha, \beta+\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g l}_{S_{j}} .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau} \subset \mathfrak{g l}_{S_{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g l}_{S_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g l}_{S_{r-1}} \subset \mathfrak{g l}_{S} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0 \leq j \leq r-1$, set

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{j}=\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau} \cap \mathfrak{g l}_{S_{j}},
$$

a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}=\mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{r-1}, \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

a direct sum of Lie algebras.
Furthermore, we have:
Lemma 3.9. For each $0 \leq j<r$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{E_{\mu, \nu}-E_{\nu, \mu} \mid \mu, \nu \in S_{j}\right\} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{j}=\frac{1}{2} k(k-1)$ where $k=|2 S|$.

Proof. From definition we have

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{E_{\beta+\alpha, \beta-\alpha}-E_{\beta-\alpha, \beta+\alpha} \mid \alpha, \beta \in S \text { with } \alpha+\beta \in S_{j}\right\}
$$

noticing that $\alpha+\beta \in S_{j}$ implies $\beta-\alpha=(\alpha+\beta)-2 \alpha \in S_{j}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{g}_{j} \subset \operatorname{span}\left\{E_{\mu, \nu}-E_{\nu, \mu} \mid \mu, \nu \in S_{j}\right\}$. On the other hand, let $\mu, \nu \in S_{j}$. Fix an element $\alpha_{j} \in S_{j}$. Then $\mu=\alpha_{j}+2 \alpha$ and $\nu=\alpha_{j}+2 \beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in S$. Thus

$$
E_{\mu, \nu}-E_{\nu, \mu}=G_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha+\beta+\alpha_{j}}^{\tau} \in \mathfrak{g}_{j} .
$$

This proves that $\mathfrak{g}_{j} \supset \operatorname{span}\left\{E_{\mu, \nu}-E_{\nu, \mu} \mid \mu, \nu \in S_{j}\right\}$. Hence (3.25) holds. From this the second assertion of the lemma follows immediately.

Note that $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$ naturally acts on the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[S]$ of $S$. Equip $\mathbb{C}[S]$ with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ defined by $\left\langle e^{\alpha}, e^{\beta}\right\rangle=\delta_{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S$. As subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}, \mathcal{A}_{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$ also act on $\mathbb{C}[S]$. We have the following $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$-module decomposition

$$
\mathbb{C}[S]=\mathbb{C}\left[S_{0}\right] \oplus \mathbb{C}\left[S_{1}\right] \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}\left[S_{r-1}\right]
$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_{j}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}\left[S_{j}\right]$ for $0 \leq j<r$. Denoting by $\rho$ the algebra homomorphism of $\mathfrak{g l}_{S}$ to $\mathfrak{g l}(\mathbb{C}[S])$, we have

$$
\rho\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}\right) \subset\left\{A \in \mathfrak{g l}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[S_{j}\right]\right) \mid(A u, v)=-(u, A v) \quad \text { for } u, v \in \mathbb{C}\left[S_{j}\right]\right\}
$$

Since $\left|S_{j}\right|=k$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{j}=\frac{1}{2} k(k-1)$ by Lemma 3.9, we see that actually the equality holds. Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}_{j}$ is a simple Lie algebra of type either $B$ or $D$, depending on that $k(=|2 S|)$ is odd or even. Consequently, $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$ is semi-simple.

To summarize, we have:
Proposition 3.10. Let $S$ be a finite abelian group. Set $k=|2 S|$ and $r=|S / 2 S|$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_{S} / I$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $r$ copies of simple Lie algebras of type $B_{\frac{1}{2}(k-1)}$ if $k$ is odd and of type $D_{\frac{1}{2} k}$ if $k$ is even, where $I$ is the ideal of $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$, which was obtained in Proposition 3.8.

## Appendix

Here, we provide a proof of a statement at the end of the proof of Theorem 3.7.
Lemma 3.11. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra with a symmetric invariant bilinear form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and $h$ is an element of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that adh is semi-simple with only integer eigenvalues. Set $\sigma=\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i}{T} \operatorname{ad} h\right)$, where $T$ is a fixed positive integer. Then $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\sigma} \simeq \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $\mathbf{k}$ corresponding to $\frac{1}{T} \mathbf{k}$.

Proof. Recall the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right] \oplus \mathbb{C} \mathbf{k}$, where

$$
\left[a \otimes t^{m}, b \otimes t^{n}\right]=[a, b] \otimes t^{m+n}+m \delta_{m+n, 0}\langle a, b\rangle \mathbf{k}
$$

for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, we have Lie algebra

$$
\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, T)=\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[t^{\frac{1}{T}}, t^{-\frac{1}{T}}\right] \oplus \mathbb{C} \mathbf{k}
$$

where

$$
\left[a \otimes t^{\frac{m}{T}}, b \otimes t^{\frac{n}{T}}\right]=[a, b] \otimes t^{\frac{m+n}{T}}+\frac{m}{T} \delta_{m+n, 0}\langle a, b\rangle \mathbf{k}
$$

for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is straightforward to see that $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, T)$ with $\mathbf{k}$ corresponding to $\frac{1}{T} \mathbf{k}$. View $\sigma$ as an automorphism of $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, T)$ by

$$
\sigma\left(a \otimes t^{\frac{n}{T}}\right)=\exp \left(\frac{2 n \pi i}{T}\right) \sigma(a) \otimes t^{\frac{n}{T}} \quad \text { for } a \in \mathfrak{g}, n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

and then set

$$
\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]=\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, T)^{\sigma} .
$$

Then $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\sigma}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ with $\mathbf{k}$ corresponding to $\frac{1}{T} \mathbf{k}$. Now, it suffices to prove that $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ is isomorphic to $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $\mathbf{k}$ corresponding to $\mathbf{k}$. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $\mathfrak{g}_{r}=\{u \in \mathfrak{g} \mid[h, u]=r u\}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}=\oplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z} \mathfrak{g}_{r}}$ and $\left\langle\mathfrak{g}_{r}, \mathfrak{g}_{s}\right\rangle=0$ whenever $r+s \neq 0$. For $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{r}$ with $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$
a^{\sigma}(x)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(a \otimes t^{n-\frac{r}{T}}\right) x^{-n-1+\frac{r}{T}} \in x^{\frac{r}{T}} \hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]\left[\left[x, x^{-1}\right]\right] .
$$

It was esentially proved in [L1] (Remark 5.5) that the linear map $\psi: \hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma] \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ defined by $\psi(\mathbf{k})=\mathbf{k}$ and

$$
\psi\left(a^{\sigma}(x)\right)=x^{\frac{r}{T}}\left(a(x)+\frac{1}{T}\langle h, a\rangle \mathbf{k} x^{-1}\right)
$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{r}$ with $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, is a Lie algebra isomorphism. In terms of components, we have

$$
\psi\left(a \otimes t^{n-\frac{r}{T}}\right)=a \otimes t^{n}+\frac{1}{T}\langle h, a\rangle \delta_{n, 0} \mathbf{k}
$$

noticing that $\langle h, a\rangle=\delta_{r, 0}\langle h, a\rangle$ as $h \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$. On the other hand, it is straightforward to show that $\psi$ is indeed a Lie algebra isomorphism.
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