# q-Virasoro algebra and affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras

Hongyan Guo $^{a,c},$  Haisheng Li $^{a,b1},$  Shaobin  $\mathrm{Tan}^{a2}$  and Qing Wang $^{a3}$ 

<sup>a</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences

Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences

Rutgers University, Camden, NJ 08102, USA <sup>c</sup>School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences

University of Alberta, Edmonton T6G 2G1, Canada

#### Abstract

We establish a natural connection of the q-Virasoro algebra  $D_q$  introduced by Belov and Chaltikian with affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras. More specifically, for each abelian group S together with a one-to-one linear character  $\chi$ , we define an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra  $D_S$  which reduces to  $D_q$  when  $S = \mathbb{Z}$ . Guided by the theory of equivariant quasi modules for vertex algebras, we introduce another Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  with S as an automorphism group and we prove that  $D_S$  is isomorphic to the S-covariant algebra of the affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S$ . We then relate restricted  $D_S$ -modules of level  $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$  to equivariant quasi modules for the vertex algebra  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S}(\ell, 0)$  associated to  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S$  with level  $\ell$ . Furthermore, we show that if S is a finite abelian group of order 2l + 1,  $D_S$  is isomorphic to the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type  $B_l^{(1)}$ .

### 1 Introduction

In an attempt to find a meaningful q-analog of the Virasoro algebra, a certain infinite-dimensional Lie algebra  $D_q$  over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers was introduced and studied in [BC] (cf. [N]), where q is a nonzero complex number. In case that q is not a root of unity, Lie algebra  $D_q$  was studied in [GLTW] by using vertex algebra theory in an essential way. It was proved therein that the category of (suitably defined) restricted  $D_q$ -modules of a fixed level  $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$  is canonically isomorphic to the category of equivariant quasi modules for the vertex algebra  $V_{\hat{\mathcal{D}}}(\ell, 0)$ associated to the affine Lie algebra  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  with level  $\ell$  of a certain (infinite-dimensional) Lie algebra  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  with a symmetric invariant bilinear form.

In the current paper, we continue this study to deal with the case where q is a root of unity. Actually, we work with a slightly more general setting. Let S be an abelian group with a one-to-one linear character  $\chi$ . (This implies that if S is finite, it must be cyclic.) Then we define an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra  $D_S$  with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Partially supported by China NSF grants (Nos.11471268, 11571391)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Partially supported by China NSF grants (Nos.11471268, 11531004)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Partially supported by China NSF grants (Nos.11531004, 11622107), Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (No. 2016J06002)

generators  $D^{\alpha}(n)$  for  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , subject to certain relations. In case  $S = \mathbb{Z}$  with  $q = \chi(1)$ ,  $D_S$  reduces to  $D_q$ . Guided by the theory of equivariant quasi modules for vertex algebras (see [L2, L3]), we introduce another Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  with S as an automorphism group and we prove that  $D_S$  is isomorphic to the S-covariant algebra of the affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S$ . We then relate restricted  $D_S$ -modules of level  $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$  to equivariant quasi modules for the vertex algebra  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S}(\ell, 0)$  associated to  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S$  with level  $\ell$ . Furthermore, we show that if S is a finite abelian group of order 2l + 1,  $D_S$  is actually isomorphic to the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type  $B_l^{(1)}$ .

Now, we give a more detailed account of the contents of this paper. Let S be an abelian group with a one-to-one linear character  $\chi : S \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ . We first define an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra  $D_S$  with generators  $D^{\alpha}(n)$  for  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , subject to certain relations (see Definition 2.2). Following the common practice in vertex algebra theory, we form a generating function  $D^{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D^{\alpha}(n)x^{-n-1}$  for each  $\alpha \in S$  and then calculate the commutator of two general generating functions. The commutator relations for the generating functions and theory of equivariant quasi modules for vertex algebras indicate that  $D_S$  is closely related to the affine Lie algebra of some (possibly infinite-dimensional) Lie algebra with a symmetric invariant bilinear form. Motivated by this, for the abelian group S we introduce another Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  with generators  $d^{\alpha,\beta}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ , subject to relations  $d^{-\alpha,\beta} = -d^{\alpha,\beta}$  and

$$[d^{\alpha,\beta}, d^{\mu,\nu}] = \delta_{\alpha+\mu,\nu-\beta} d^{\alpha+\mu,-\alpha+\nu} - \delta_{\alpha+\mu,\beta-\nu} d^{\alpha+\mu,\alpha+\nu} - \delta_{\alpha-\mu,\nu-\beta} d^{\alpha-\mu,\nu-\alpha} + \delta_{\alpha-\mu,\beta-\nu} d^{\alpha-\mu,\alpha+\nu}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ . It is proved that S acts on  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  as an automorphism group with

$$\gamma \cdot d^{\alpha,\beta} = d^{\alpha,\beta+\gamma} \quad \text{for } \alpha,\beta,\gamma \in S.$$

We also equip  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  with a symmetric invariant bilinear form. Then we prove that  $D_S$  is isomorphic to the so-called S-covariant algebra of the affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S$  as we explain next.

It is a classical fact that for any Lie algebra K with an automorphism group G, all the G-fixed points in K form a Lie subalgebra  $K^G$ . An important result in Kac-Moody algebra theory (see [K]) is that twisted affine Kac-Moody algebras are isomorphic to the fixed-point subalgebras of untwisted affine Kac-Moody algebras with respect to Dynkin diagram automorphisms. Now, assume that G is a (possibly infinite) automorphism group of K such that for any  $a, b \in K$ ,

$$[ga, b] = 0$$
 for all but finitely many  $g \in G$ .

Under this condition, one can associate another Lie algebra to the pair (K, G) as follows (see [G-K-K], [L3]). Define a new multiplicative operation  $[\cdot, \cdot]_G$  on K by

$$[a,b]_G = \sum_{g \in G} [ga,b].$$

Set

$$I_G = \operatorname{span}\{a - ga \mid a \in K, \ g \in G\}.$$

Then  $I_G$  is a two-sided ideal of the non-associative algebra  $(K, [\cdot, \cdot]_G)$  and the quotient algebra by  $I_G$  is a Lie algebra, which is denoted by K/G and called the *G*-covariant algebra of K. In case that G is finite, one can show that Lie algebras  $K^G$  and K/G are isomorphic.

Now that  $D_S$  is isomorphic to the S-covariant algebra of the affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S$ , by applying a result of [L3] we show that the category of restricted  $D_S$ -modules of a fixed level  $\ell$  is naturally isomorphic to the category of equivariant quasi  $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_S}(\ell, 0)$ modules. This generalizes the corresponding result of [GLTW]. Then we continue to determine the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  for S a finite abelian group. Assuming |S| = 2l + 1, we prove that  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  is a simple Lie algebra of type  $B_l$ . It follows that  $D_S$  is isomorphic to the S-covariant algebra of the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type  $B_l^{(1)}$ . Using this and a result of Kac (see [K]) we show that  $D_S$  is actually isomorphic to the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type  $B_l^{(1)}$ . For the case with S of an even order, set  $S^0 = \{\alpha \in S \mid 2\alpha = 0\}$  and let I be the subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}_S$ , linearly spanned by the following vectors

$$d^{\alpha+\gamma,\beta+\gamma} - d^{\alpha,\beta} \ (\alpha,\beta\in S,\ \gamma\in S^0).$$

We prove that I is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  and the quotient algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_S/I$  is a direct sum of simple Lie algebras of type B or a direct sum of simple Lie algebras of type D (see Proposition 3.10).

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce Lie algebras  $D_S$ and  $\mathfrak{g}_S$ , and prove that  $D_S$  is isomorphic to the S-covariant algebra of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S$ . In Section 3, we identify  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  as a subalgebra of the general linear algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$  and prove that if |S| = 2l + 1, then  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  is isomorphic to  $B_l$  and  $D_S$  is isomorphic to the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type  $B_l^{(1)}$ .

# 2 Lie algebras $D_S$ , $\mathfrak{g}_S$ , and equivariant quasi modules for $\Gamma$ -vertex algebras

In this section, to any abelian group S with a linear character  $\chi$  we associate two Lie algebras  $D_S$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_S$ . Furthermore, we equip Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  with a symmetric invariant bilinear form and show that the vertex algebra  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S}(\ell, 0)$  associated to the affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S$  of level  $\ell$  is a  $\Gamma$ -vertex algebra with  $\Gamma = S$ . Then we establish an isomorphism between the category of restricted  $D_S$ -modules of level  $\ell$  and that of equivariant quasi  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S}(\ell, 0)$ -modules.

First of all, we fix some basic notations. Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$  denotes the multiplicative group of nonzero complex numbers (with  $\mathbb{C}$  denoting the complex number field), and the symbols  $x, y, x_1, x_2, \ldots$  denote mutually commuting independent formal variables. All vector spaces in this paper are considered to be over  $\mathbb{C}$ . For a vector space U, U((x)) is the vector space of lower truncated integer power series in x with coefficients in U, U[[x]] is the vector space of nonnegative integer power series in x with coefficients in U, and  $U[[x, x^{-1}]]$  is the vector space of doubly infinite integer power series in x with coefficients in U.

We recall the q-analog of the Virasoro algebra from [BC] (cf. [N]). Let q be a nonzero complex number. By definition,  $D_q$  is the Lie algebra with generators **c** and  $D^{\alpha}(n)$  ( $\alpha, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ), subjects to relations

$$D^{-\alpha}(n) = -D^{\alpha}(n),$$

$$[D^{\alpha}(n), D^{\beta}(m)] = (q - q^{-1})[\alpha m - \beta n]_q D^{\alpha+\beta}(m+n) - (q - q^{-1})[\alpha m + \beta n]_q D^{\alpha-\beta}(m+n) + ([m]_{q^{\alpha+\beta}} - [m]_{q^{\alpha-\beta}})\delta_{m+n,0}\mathbf{c}$$
(2.1)

for  $m, n, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where **c** is central and  $[n]_q$  is the q-integer defined by

$$[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

**Remark 2.1.** Here, it is understood that  $[n]_q = n$  for q = 1 and  $[n]_q = n(-1)^{n-1}$  for q = -1. This is consistent with the fact that

$$\lim_{q \to 1} [n]_q = n \text{ and } \lim_{q \to -1} [n]_q = n(-1)^{n-1}.$$

The following are some simple properties of the q-integers:

$$[-n]_q = -[n]_q, \quad [n]_{q^{-1}} = [n]_q, \quad [m]_{q^n} = \frac{[mn]_q}{[n]_q}.$$
(2.2)

We generalize the Lie algebra  $D_q$  as follows:

**Definition 2.2.** Let S be an abelian group with a group homomorphism  $\chi : S \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ , i.e., a linear character of S. Define  $D_S$  to be the Lie algebra with generators  $D^{\alpha}(n)$  for  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , subjects to the following relations

$$D^{-\alpha}(n) = -D^{\alpha}(n),$$

$$[D^{\alpha}(n), D^{\beta}(m)] = (\chi(m\alpha - n\beta) - \chi(n\beta - m\alpha))D^{\alpha+\beta}(m+n) - (\chi(m\alpha + n\beta) - \chi(-m\alpha - n\beta))D^{\alpha-\beta}(m+n) + ([m]_{\chi(\alpha+\beta)} - [m]_{\chi(\alpha-\beta)})\delta_{m+n,0}\mathbf{c}$$
(2.3)

for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \alpha, \beta \in S$ , where **c** is central.

**Remark 2.3.** Consider  $S = \mathbb{Z}$ . Let q be a nonzero complex number and let  $\chi$  be the linear character of  $\mathbb{Z}$  given by  $\chi(n) = q^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $D_S$  is reduced to the Lie algebra  $D_q$ . In the case that q is not a root of unity, Lie algebra  $D_q$  was studied in [GLTW] in the context of vertex algebras and their quasi modules.

From now on, we assume that  $\chi : S \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  is one-to-one. Then, for  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $\chi(\alpha) = 1$  if and only if  $\alpha = 0$ . For  $\alpha \in S$ , set

$$D^{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D^{\alpha}(n) x^{-n-1}.$$
(2.4)

Furthermore, we set

$$\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(x) = D^{\alpha}(x) + (1 - \delta_{2\alpha,0}) \frac{1}{\chi(\alpha) - \chi(-\alpha)} \mathbf{c} x^{-1}, \qquad (2.5)$$

where we use the one-to-one assumption on  $\chi$ .

By a straightforward calculation (cf. [GLTW]) we have:

**Lemma 2.4.** The defining relations of  $D_S$  are equivalent to

$$[\mathbf{c}, D_S] = 0, \quad \tilde{D}^{-\alpha}(x) = -\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(x), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D}^{\alpha}(x_{1}), \tilde{D}^{\beta}(x_{2}) \end{bmatrix} = \chi(-\alpha)\tilde{D}^{\alpha+\beta}(\chi(-\alpha)x_{2})x_{1}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(-\alpha-\beta)x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right) -\chi(\alpha)\tilde{D}^{\alpha+\beta}(\chi(\alpha)x_{2})x_{1}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha+\beta)x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right) -\chi(-\alpha)\tilde{D}^{\alpha-\beta}(\chi(-\alpha)x_{2})x_{1}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\beta-\alpha)x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right) +\chi(\alpha)\tilde{D}^{\alpha-\beta}(\chi(\alpha)x_{2})x_{1}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha-\beta)x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right) -\chi(\alpha-\beta)\delta_{2(\alpha-\beta),0}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}x_{1}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha-\beta)x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right)\mathbf{c} +\chi(\alpha+\beta)\delta_{2(\alpha+\beta),0}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}x_{1}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha+\beta)x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right)\mathbf{c}$$
(2.7)

for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ .

For  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ , set

$$D^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \chi(\beta)\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(\chi(\beta)x).$$
(2.8)

From (2.7), we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} D^{\alpha,\beta}(x_{1}), D^{\mu,\nu}(x_{2}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \chi(\beta+\nu) [\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(\chi(\beta)x_{1}), \tilde{D}^{\mu}(\chi(\nu)x_{2})]$$

$$= D^{\alpha+\mu,\nu-\alpha}(x_{2})x_{1}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\nu-\alpha)x_{2}}{\chi(\mu+\beta)x_{1}}\right) - D^{\alpha+\mu,\alpha+\nu}(x_{2})x_{1}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha+\nu)x_{2}}{\chi(\beta-\mu)x_{1}}\right)$$

$$-D^{\alpha-\mu,\nu-\alpha}(x_{2})x_{1}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\nu-\alpha)x_{2}}{\chi(\beta-\mu)x_{1}}\right) + D^{\alpha-\mu,\alpha+\nu}(x_{2})x_{1}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha+\nu)x_{2}}{\chi(\mu+\beta)x_{1}}\right)$$

$$-\chi(\alpha-\mu)\delta_{2(\alpha-\mu),0}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}x_{1}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha-\mu+\nu-\beta)x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right)\mathbf{c}$$

$$+\chi(\alpha+\mu)\delta_{2(\alpha+\mu),0}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}x_{1}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha+\mu+\nu-\beta)x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right)\mathbf{c}$$
(2.9)

for  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ .

Using this we get another characterization of the Lie algebra  $D_S$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** Lie algebra  $D_S$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  with generators **c** and  $D^{\alpha,\beta}(n)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , subject to relations

$$[\mathbf{c},\mathcal{L}] = 0, \quad D^{-\alpha,\beta}(n) = -D^{\alpha,\beta}(n), \quad D^{\alpha,\beta+\gamma}(n) = \chi(\gamma)^{-n} D^{\alpha,\beta}(n)$$
(2.10)

and the relation (2.9) with  $D^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D^{\alpha,\beta}(n) x^{-n-1}$ .

Proof. Given Lie algebra  $D_S$ , with  $D^{\alpha,\beta}(x)$  defined in (2.8), we see that (2.10) and (2.9) hold. It follows that there is a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\theta$  from  $\mathcal{L}$  onto  $D_S$ sending  $D^{\alpha,\beta}(x)$  to  $\chi(\beta)\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(\chi(\beta)x)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ . On the other hand, for Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  we have

$$D^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \chi(\beta)D^{\alpha,0}(\chi(\beta)x)$$
 and  $D^{-\alpha,0}(x) = -D^{\alpha,0}(x)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ .

It is clear that relation (2.9) is equivalent to (2.7) with  $\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(x) = D^{\alpha,0}(x)$  for  $\alpha \in S$ . Then there exists a natural Lie algebra homomorphism from  $D_S$  onto  $\mathcal{L}$ , sending  $\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(x)$  to  $D^{\alpha,0}(x)$  for  $\alpha \in S$ . Consequently,  $\theta$  is a Lie algebra isomorphism.  $\Box$ 

Remark 2.6. Set

$$S^{0} = \{ \alpha \in S \mid 2\alpha = 0 \}, \tag{2.11}$$

a subgroup of S. Note that if  $S^0 = \{0\}$ , relation (2.9) becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} D^{\alpha,\beta}(x_1), D^{\mu,\nu}(x_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= D^{\alpha+\mu,\nu-\alpha}(x_2)x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\nu-\alpha)x_2}{\chi(\mu+\beta)x_1}\right) - D^{\alpha+\mu,\alpha+\nu}(x_2)x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha+\nu)x_2}{\chi(\beta-\mu)x_1}\right)$$

$$-D^{\alpha-\mu,\nu-\alpha}(x_2)x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\nu-\alpha)x_2}{\chi(\beta-\mu)x_1}\right) + D^{\alpha-\mu,\alpha+\nu}(x_2)x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\alpha+\nu)x_2}{\chi(\mu+\beta)x_1}\right)$$

$$+ (\delta_{\alpha,-\mu} - \delta_{\alpha,\mu})\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{\chi(\nu-\beta)x_2}{x_1}\right)\mathbf{c}$$
(2.12)

for  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ .

In the following, we shall relate  $D_S$  with the affine algebra of a new Lie algebra. **Definition 2.7.** Let S be an abelian group as before. Define  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  to be the Lie algebra with generators  $d^{\alpha,\beta}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ , subject to relations

$$d^{-\alpha,\beta} = -d^{\alpha,\beta},\tag{2.13}$$

$$[d^{\alpha,\beta}, d^{\mu,\nu}] = \delta_{\alpha+\mu,\nu-\beta} d^{\alpha+\mu,-\alpha+\nu} - \delta_{\alpha+\mu,\beta-\nu} d^{\alpha+\mu,\alpha+\nu} - \delta_{\alpha-\mu,\nu-\beta} d^{\alpha-\mu,\nu-\alpha} + \delta_{\alpha-\mu,\beta-\nu} d^{\alpha-\mu,\alpha+\nu}$$
(2.14)

for  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ .

The following result can be found in [L3] (Lemma 4.1) (cf. [G-K-K]):

**Lemma 2.8.** Let K be any Lie algebra with a symmetric invariant bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and let G be an automorphism group of K, preserving  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , such that for any  $a, b \in K$ ,

[ga, b] = 0 and  $\langle ga, b \rangle = 0$  for all but finitely many  $g \in G$ .

Define a new multiplicative operation  $[\cdot, \cdot]_G$  and a new bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$  on K by

$$[a,b]_G = \sum_{g \in G} [ga,b] \quad and \quad \langle a,b \rangle_G = \sum_{g \in G} \langle ga,b \rangle.$$

Set

 $I_G = \operatorname{span}\{a - ga \mid a \in K, g \in G\}.$ 

Then  $I_G$  is a two-sided ideal of the non-associative algebra  $(K, [\cdot, \cdot]_G)$  and the quotient algebra modulo  $I_G$  is a Lie algebra, which is denoted by K/G and called the G-covariant algebra of K. Furthermore,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$  reduces to a symmetric invariant bilinear form on K/G.

The following is a more explicit construction of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_S$ :

**Proposition 2.9.** Let K be a vector space with a basis  $\{F_{\alpha,\beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in S\}$ . Define a multiplicative operation \* on K by

$$F_{\alpha,\beta} * F_{\mu,\nu} = \delta_{\alpha+\mu,\nu-\beta} F_{\alpha+\mu,-\alpha+\nu} - \delta_{\alpha+\mu,\beta-\nu} F_{\alpha+\mu,\alpha+\nu} - \delta_{\alpha-\mu,\nu-\beta} F_{\alpha-\mu,\nu-\alpha} + \delta_{\alpha-\mu,\beta-\nu} F_{\alpha-\mu,\alpha+\nu}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ . Set

$$J = \operatorname{span}\{F_{-\alpha,\beta} + F_{\alpha,\beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in S\}.$$

Then J is a two-sided ideal of the non-associative algebra (K, \*) and the quotient algebra K/J is a Lie algebra. Furthermore,  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra K/J with  $d^{\alpha,\beta}$  corresponding to  $F_{\alpha,\beta} + J$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ .

*Proof.* We shall apply Lemma 2.8. First, define an operation " $\cdot$ " on K by

$$F_{\alpha,\beta} \cdot F_{\mu,\nu} = \delta_{\nu,\alpha+\beta+\mu} F_{\alpha+\mu,\beta+\mu} \tag{2.15}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ . It is straightforward to show that  $(K, \cdot)$  is an associative algebra. Then K is naturally a Lie algebra, where

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{\alpha,\beta}, F_{\mu,\nu} \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{\nu,\alpha+\beta+\mu}F_{\alpha+\mu,\beta+\mu} - \delta_{\beta,\mu+\nu+\alpha}F_{\mu+\alpha,\nu+\alpha} \\ = \delta_{\alpha+\mu,\nu-\beta}F_{\alpha+\mu,-\alpha+\nu} - \delta_{\alpha+\mu,\beta-\nu}F_{\alpha+\mu,\alpha+\nu}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ . Let  $\theta$  be the linear endomorphism of K defined by

$$\theta(F_{\alpha,\beta}) = F_{-\alpha,\beta} \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in S.$$

It is straightforward to show that  $\theta$  is an order 2 anti-automorphism of the associative algebra K. Then  $-\theta$  is an order 2 automorphism of the Lie algebra K. From Lemma 2.8, we have

$$[F_{\alpha,\beta}, F_{\mu,\nu}]_{\langle -\theta \rangle} = \delta_{\alpha+\mu,\nu-\beta} F_{\alpha+\mu,-\alpha+\nu} - \delta_{\alpha+\mu,\beta-\nu} F_{\alpha+\mu,\alpha+\nu} - \delta_{-\alpha+\mu,\nu-\beta} F_{-\alpha+\mu,\alpha+\nu} + \delta_{-\alpha+\mu,\beta-\nu} F_{-\alpha+\mu,\nu-\alpha}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ . Then the first part of this lemma follows immediately. As for the second part, we first have a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\psi$  from  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  onto K/J with  $\psi(d^{\alpha,\beta}) = F_{\alpha,\beta} + J$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ . By using a basis of K/J, we see that  $\psi$  is actually an isomorphism.

From Proposition 2.9 we readily have:

**Lemma 2.10.** The abelian group S acts on  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  as an automorphism group with

$$\gamma \cdot d^{\alpha,\beta} = d^{\alpha,\beta+\gamma} \tag{2.16}$$

for  $\gamma, \alpha, \beta \in S$ .

Now, let  $\chi : S \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  be a linear character as in the definition of  $D_S$ . It is straightforward to see that  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  admits a bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\chi}$  such that

$$\langle d^{\alpha,\beta}, d^{\mu,\nu} \rangle_{\chi} = \chi(\alpha + \mu) \delta_{2(\alpha + \mu),0} \delta_{\alpha + \mu,\beta - \nu} - \chi(\alpha - \mu) \delta_{2(\alpha - \mu),0} \delta_{\alpha - \mu,\beta - \nu}$$
(2.17)

for  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ . We have:

**Lemma 2.11.** The defined bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\chi}$  on Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  is symmetric and invariant, and the group action of S preserves  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\chi}$ . Furthermore, for any  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ ,

$$[d^{\alpha,\beta+\gamma},d^{\mu,\nu}] = 0 \quad and \quad \langle d^{\alpha,\beta+\gamma},d^{\mu,\nu}\rangle_{\chi} = 0 \tag{2.18}$$

for all but finitely many  $\gamma \in S$ .

*Proof.* We shall use Lemma 2.8. First, recall the associative algebra K from the proof of Proposition 2.9, where K has a basis  $\{F_{\alpha,\beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in S\}$  with

$$F_{\alpha,\beta} \cdot F_{\mu,\nu} = \delta_{\nu,\alpha+\beta+\mu} F_{\alpha+\mu,\beta+\mu}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ . We define a bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on K by

$$\langle F_{\alpha,\beta}, F_{\mu,\nu} \rangle = \chi(\alpha + \mu) \delta_{2(\alpha + \mu),0} \delta_{\alpha + \mu,\beta - \mu}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ . It can be readily seen that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is symmetric. On the other hand,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is also associative because

$$\langle F_{\alpha,\beta}, F_{\mu,\nu} \rangle = f \left( F_{\alpha,\beta} \cdot F_{\mu,\nu} \right)$$

for  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ , where f is the linear functional on K given by

$$f(F_{\alpha,\beta}) = \chi(\alpha)\delta_{2\alpha,0} \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in S$$

Consequently,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a symmetric and invariant bilinear form on K viewed as a Lie algebra. It is also clear that the Lie algebra automorphism  $-\theta$  of K preserves  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . For  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ , we have

$$\langle F_{\alpha,\beta}, F_{\mu,\nu} \rangle_{\langle -\theta \rangle} = \langle F_{\alpha,\beta}, F_{\mu,\nu} \rangle - \langle F_{-\alpha,\beta}, F_{\mu,\nu} \rangle = \chi(\alpha + \mu) \delta_{2(\alpha + \mu),0} \delta_{\alpha + \mu,\beta - \nu} - \chi(\alpha - \mu) \delta_{2(\alpha - \mu),0} \delta_{\alpha - \mu,\beta - \nu}.$$

Then the first part of this lemma follows immediately from Lemma 2.8. The second part is clear.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**Remark 2.12.** Note that in case that  $S^0 = \{0\}$  (where  $S^0 = \{\alpha \in S \mid 2\alpha = 0\}$ ), we have

$$\langle d^{\alpha,\beta}, d^{\mu,\nu} \rangle_{\chi} = (\delta_{\alpha,-\mu} - \delta_{\alpha,\mu}) \,\delta_{\beta,\nu} \tag{2.19}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ , recalling (2.17).

Let  $(S, \chi)$  be given as in the definition of  $D_S$ . We have a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  with a symmetric invariant bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\chi}$ . Associated to the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}_S, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\chi})$ , we have an affine Lie algebra

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S = \mathfrak{g}_S \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}.$$

Naturally, S acts on  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S$  as an automorphism group, where

$$\gamma \cdot \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}, \quad \gamma \cdot (d^{\alpha,\beta}(n)) = \chi(\gamma)^n d^{\alpha,\beta+\gamma}(n)$$
 (2.20)

for  $\gamma, \alpha, \beta \in S, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now, we are in a position to present our first main result.

**Theorem 2.13.** The linear map  $\pi : \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S \to D_S$ , defined by  $\pi(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{c}$  and

$$\pi(d^{\alpha,\beta}(x)) = D^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \chi(\beta)\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(\chi(\beta)x) \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in S,$$

gives rise to a Lie algebra isomorphism from the covariant algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S/S$  to  $D_S$ .

*Proof.* Recall the defining relations (2.6) and (2.7) of  $D_S$ . As the relations  $d^{-\alpha,\beta} = -d^{\alpha,\beta}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$  hold in  $\mathfrak{g}_S$ , the linear map  $\pi$  is well defined. On the other hand, note that  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S/S$  as a vector space is the quotient of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S$  by the relations

$$d^{\alpha,\beta+\gamma}(x) = \chi(\gamma)d^{\alpha,\beta}(\chi(\gamma)x) \quad \text{ for } \alpha,\beta,\gamma \in S$$

Then  $\pi$  is a linear isomorphism. Let  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & [d^{\alpha,\beta}(m), d^{\mu,\nu}(n)]_{S} \\ = & \sum_{\gamma \in S} \chi(\gamma)^{m} [d^{\alpha,\beta+\gamma}(m), d^{\mu,\nu}(n)] \\ = & \sum_{\gamma \in S} \chi(\gamma)^{m} (\delta_{\alpha+\mu,\nu-\beta-\gamma} d^{\alpha+\mu,-\alpha+\nu}(m+n) - \delta_{\alpha+\mu,\beta+\gamma-\nu} d^{\alpha+\mu,\alpha+\nu}(m+n)) \\ & -\delta_{\alpha-\mu,\nu-\beta-\gamma} d^{\alpha-\mu,\nu-\alpha}(m+n) + \delta_{\alpha-\mu,\beta+\gamma-\nu} d^{\alpha-\mu,\alpha+\nu}(m+n)) \\ & + m \delta_{m+n,0} \mathbf{k} \sum_{\gamma \in S} \chi(\gamma)^{m} \left( \chi(\alpha+\mu) \delta_{2(\alpha+\mu),0} \delta_{\alpha+\mu,\beta+\gamma-\nu} - \chi(\alpha-\mu) \delta_{2(\alpha-\mu),0} \delta_{\alpha-\mu,\beta+\gamma-\nu} \right) \\ = & \chi(\nu-\alpha-\beta-\mu)^{m} d^{\alpha+\mu,-\alpha+\nu}(m+n) - \chi(\alpha+\mu+\nu-\beta)^{m} d^{\alpha+\mu,\alpha+\nu}(m+n) \\ & -\chi(\mu+\nu-\alpha-\beta)^{m} d^{\alpha-\mu,\nu-\alpha}(m+n) + \chi(\alpha+\nu-\beta-\mu)^{m} d^{\alpha-\mu,\alpha+\nu}(m+n) \\ & + m \delta_{m+n,0} \mathbf{k} \left( \chi(\alpha+\mu) \delta_{2(\alpha+\mu),0} \chi(\alpha+\mu+\nu-\beta)^{m} - \chi(\alpha-\mu) \delta_{2(\alpha-\mu),0} \chi(\alpha+\nu-\beta-\mu)^{m} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with (2.9), we see that  $\pi$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism, and hence it is an isomorphism.

Recall the following notion of quasi module for a vertex algebra from [L2]:

**Definition 2.14.** Let V be a vertex algebra. A *quasi* V-module is a vector space W equipped with a linear map

$$Y_W(\cdot, x): V \to \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((x))) \subset (\operatorname{End} W)[[x, x^{-1}]],$$
$$v \mapsto Y_W(v, x)$$

satisfying the conditions that

 $Y_W(\mathbf{1}, x) = \mathbf{1}_W$  (the identity operator on W)

and that for any  $u, v \in V$ , there exists a nonzero polynomial  $p(x_1, x_2)$  such that

$$x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_2}{x_0}\right)p(x_1,x_2)Y_W(u,x_1)Y_W(v,x_2)$$
  
- $x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_1}{-x_0}\right)p(x_1,x_2)Y_W(v,x_2)Y_W(u,x_1)$   
=  $x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_0}{x_2}\right)p(x_1,x_2)Y_W(Y(u,x_0)v,x_2).$ 

We also recall the definitions of a  $\Gamma$ -vertex algebra and an equivariant quasi module from [L3].

**Definition 2.15.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a group. A  $\Gamma$ -vertex algebra is a vertex algebra V equipped with group homomorphisms

$$R: \Gamma \to \mathrm{GL}(V); \ g \longmapsto R_g, \ \mathrm{and} \ \chi: \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$$

such that  $R_g(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$  for  $g \in \Gamma$  and

$$R_g Y(v, x) R_g^{-1} = Y(R_g(v), \chi(g)^{-1}x) \text{ for } g \in \Gamma, \ v \in V.$$

Note that this is a reformulation of the notion of  $\Gamma$ -vertex algebra defined in [L2].

**Definition 2.16.** Let V be a  $\Gamma$ -vertex algebra. An *equivariant quasi V-module* is a quasi module  $(W, Y_W)$  for V viewed as a vertex algebra, satisfying the condition that

 $Y_W(R_q(v), x) = Y_W(v, \chi(g)x)$  for  $g \in \Gamma, v \in V$ 

and for  $u, v \in V$ , there exist  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \chi(\Gamma) \subset \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  such that

$$(x_1 - \alpha_1 x_2) \cdots (x_1 - \alpha_k x_2) [Y_W(u, x_1), Y_W(v, x_2)] = 0.$$

**Remark 2.17.** Let V be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex algebra in the sense that V is a vertex algebra equipped with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading  $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(n)}$  such that  $\mathbf{1} \in V_{(0)}$  and

$$u_m V_{(n)} \subset V_{(k+n-m-1)}$$
 for  $u \in V_{(k)}, k, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Denote by L(0) the linear operator on V, defined by  $L(0)|_{V_{(n)}} = n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Define an *automorphism* of a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex algebra V to be an automorphism of vertex algebra V, which preserves the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading. Let  $\Gamma$  be an automorphism group of a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex algebra V and let  $\chi : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  be a group homomorphism. Then Vbecomes a  $\Gamma$ -vertex algebra with  $R_g = \chi(g)^{-L(0)}g$  for  $g \in \Gamma$  (see [L2]).

Let  $\ell$  be a complex number, which is fixed for the rest of this section. Given the affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{S}$  and the complex number  $\ell$ , we have a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex algebra  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{S}}(\ell, 0)$  (cf. [FZ], [LL]). As a vector space,

$$V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S}(\ell,0) = U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}_S \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k})} \mathbb{C}_{\ell},$$

where  $\mathbb{C}_{\ell}$  denotes the one-dimensional  $(\mathfrak{g}_S \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k})$ -module  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\mathfrak{g}_S \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$  acting trivially and with  $\mathbf{k}$  acting as scalar  $\ell$ , and that  $\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes 1$ ,  $Y(d^{\alpha,\beta}, x) = d^{\alpha,\beta}(x)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ . Furthermore,  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S}(\ell, 0)_{(1)} = \mathfrak{g}_S$  is a generating subspace of  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S}(\ell, 0)$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  is identified as a subspace of  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S}(\ell, 0)$  through the linear map  $a \mapsto a(-1)\mathbf{1}$ .

Using a straightforward argument we have:

**Lemma 2.18.** For each  $\gamma \in S$ , there exists an automorphism  $\sigma_{\gamma}$  of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex algebra  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{S}}(\ell, 0)$ , which is uniquely determined by  $\sigma_{\gamma}(d^{\alpha,\beta}) = d^{\alpha,\beta+\gamma}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ .

Set

$$\Gamma = S \subset \operatorname{Aut}(V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S}(\ell, 0)), \tag{2.21}$$

where  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{S}}(\ell, 0)$  is viewed as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex algebra. Define a group homomorphism

$$R: \Gamma \to \operatorname{GL}(V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{S}}(\ell, 0))$$

by

$$R_{\gamma} = \chi(\gamma)^{-L(0)} \gamma \text{ for } \gamma \in S,$$

where L(0) is the linear operator on  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{S}}(\ell, 0)$  defined by L(0)v = nv for  $v \in V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{S}}(\ell, 0)_{(n)}$  with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In view of Remark 2.17, equipped with group homomorphisms R and  $\chi$ , the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex algebra  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{S}}(\ell, 0)$  becomes a  $\Gamma$ -vertex algebra.

**Definition 2.19.** A  $D_S$ -module W is said to be *restricted* if for every  $\alpha \in S$  and  $w \in W$ ,  $D^{\alpha}(n)w = 0$  for n sufficiently large, or equivalently, if  $D^{\alpha}(x) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$ , or equivalently, if  $\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(x) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$  for all  $\alpha \in S$ . We say a  $D_S$ -module W is of *level*  $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$  if the central element **c** acts as scalar  $\ell$ .

As the second main result of this section we have:

**Theorem 2.20.** Let W be a restricted  $D_S$ -module of level  $\ell$ . Then there exists an equivariant quasi  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S}(\ell, 0)$ -module structure  $Y_W(\cdot, x)$  on W, which is uniquely determined by

$$Y_W(d^{\alpha,\beta},x) = D^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \chi(\beta)D^{\alpha}(\chi(\beta)x) \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in S.$$

On the other hand, let  $(W, Y_W)$  be an equivariant quasi  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S}(\ell, 0)$ -module. Then W is a restricted  $D_S$ -module of level  $\ell$  with  $\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(x) = Y_W(d^{\alpha,0}, x)$  for  $\alpha \in S$ .

*Proof.* Recall from Theorem 2.13 that  $D_S$  is isomorphic to the covariant Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_S}/S$  of the affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_S}$ . Note that  $\chi: S \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  is assumed to be injective. Then all the assertions follow immediately from Theorem 4.9 of [L3].

# 3 Identifying Lie algebra $D_S$ with affine Kac-Moody algebras

In this section, we relate Lie algebra  $D_S$  to affine Kac-Moody algebras. To do this, we determine the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  introduced in Section 2. Especially, we show that if S is a finite abelian group of order 2l + 1, then  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  is a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra of type  $B_l$  and Lie algebra  $D_S$  is isomorphic to the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type  $B_l^{(1)}$ . We also obtain some results for S of an even order.

Let S be an abelian group as before, which is fixed throughout this section. Define  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$  to be the associative algebra with generators  $E_{\alpha,\beta}$  for  $\alpha,\beta \in S$ , subject to relations

$$E_{\alpha,\beta} \cdot E_{\mu,\nu} = \delta_{\beta,\mu} E_{\alpha,\nu} \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S.$$
(3.1)

It is straightforward to see that  $E_{\alpha,\beta}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$  form a basis of  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$ . Notice that if S is of order n, then  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $M(n,\mathbb{C})$  of  $n \times n$  complex matrices. Naturally,  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$  is a Lie algebra with

$$[E_{\alpha,\beta}, E_{\mu,\nu}] = E_{\alpha,\beta} \cdot E_{\mu,\nu} - E_{\mu,\nu} \cdot E_{\alpha,\beta} = \delta_{\beta,\mu} E_{\alpha,\nu} - \delta_{\nu,\alpha} E_{\mu,\beta}.$$

Equip  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$  with the bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  defined by

$$\langle E_{\alpha,\beta}, E_{\mu,\nu} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha,\nu} \delta_{\beta,\mu} \tag{3.2}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ . It is straightforward to show that this form is symmetric, associative (invariant), and nondegenerate. Define a linear endomorphism  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$  by

$$\tau(E_{\alpha,\beta}) = -E_{\beta,\alpha} \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in S.$$
(3.3)

Then  $\tau$  is an order-2 automorphism of  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$  viewed as a Lie algebra and we see that  $\tau$  preserves the bilinear form.

For  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ , set

$$G_{\alpha,\beta} = E_{\alpha+\beta,\beta-\alpha}.\tag{3.4}$$

We have

$$G_{\alpha,\beta} \cdot G_{\mu,\nu} = \delta_{\beta-\alpha,\mu+\nu} G_{\alpha+\mu,\alpha+\nu} \quad \text{for } \alpha,\beta,\mu,\nu \in S.$$

Furthermore, set

$$\mathcal{A}_S = \operatorname{span}\{G_{\alpha,\beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in S\} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_S.$$
(3.5)

By a straightforward argument we get the following results:

**Lemma 3.1.** The subspace  $\mathcal{A}_S$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$  is an associative subalgebra with a basis

$$\{E_{\mu,\nu} \mid \mu, \nu \in S \text{ with } \mu + \nu \in 2S\}.$$
(3.6)

Furthermore, the automorphism  $\tau$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$  preserves  $\mathcal{A}_S$ , where

$$\tau(G_{\alpha,\beta}) = -G_{-\alpha,\beta} \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in S.$$
(3.7)

Recall that  $S^0 = \{ \alpha \in S \mid 2\alpha = 0 \}$ . We have:

**Lemma 3.2.** For  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \in S$ ,  $G_{\alpha,\beta} = G_{\alpha',\beta'}$  if and only if  $\alpha = \alpha' + \gamma$ ,  $\beta = \beta' - \gamma = \beta' + \gamma$  for some  $\gamma \in S^0$ .

*Proof.* It is straightforward as  $E_{\mu,\nu}$  for  $\mu, \nu \in S$  form a basis of  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$ .

Set

$$\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau} = \{ a \in \mathcal{A}_{S} \mid \tau(a) = a \}, \tag{3.8}$$

a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}_S$ . For  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ , set

$$G_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau} = G_{\alpha,\beta} + \tau(G_{\alpha,\beta}) = G_{\alpha,\beta} - G_{-\alpha,\beta} = E_{\alpha+\beta,\beta-\alpha} - E_{\beta-\alpha,\beta+\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_S^{\tau}.$$
 (3.9)

Then

$$\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau} = \operatorname{span}\{G_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau} \mid \alpha, \beta \in S\}.$$
(3.10)

We have

$$G^{\tau}_{\alpha+\gamma,\beta+\gamma} = G^{\tau}_{\alpha,\beta} \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in S, \ \gamma \in S^0,$$
(3.11)

$$G^{\tau}_{-\alpha,\beta} = -G^{\tau}_{\alpha,\beta} \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in S.$$
(3.12)

On the other hand, by a straightforward calculation we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} G^{\tau}_{\alpha,\beta}, G^{\tau}_{\mu,\nu} \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{\alpha+\mu,\beta-\nu} G^{\tau}_{\alpha+\mu,\nu+\alpha} - \delta_{\alpha+\mu,\nu-\beta} G^{\tau}_{\alpha+\mu,\mu+\beta} + \delta_{\alpha-\mu,\nu-\beta} G^{\tau}_{\alpha-\mu,\beta-\mu} - \delta_{\alpha-\mu,\beta-\nu} G^{\tau}_{\alpha-\mu,\alpha+\nu}$$
(3.13)

for  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** The group S acts on the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{A}_S^{\tau}$  as an automorphism group with  $\gamma \in S$  acting as  $\sigma_{\gamma}$ , where

$$\sigma_{\gamma}(G^{\tau}_{\alpha,\beta}) = G^{\tau}_{\alpha,\beta+\gamma} \tag{3.14}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ . Furthermore, the action preserves the bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  defined in (3.2).

*Proof.* First, S acts on  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$  as an automorphism group by

$$\sigma_{\gamma}(E_{\alpha,\beta}) = E_{\alpha+\gamma,\beta+\gamma} \quad \text{for } \gamma, \alpha, \beta \in S.$$
(3.15)

It is clear that S preserves the bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$ . Second, for  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in S$ , we have

$$\sigma_{\gamma}(G_{\alpha,\beta}) = E_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma,\beta-\alpha+\gamma} = G_{\alpha,\beta+\gamma}$$

and furthermore, we have

$$\sigma_{\gamma}(G_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau}) = G_{\alpha,\beta+\gamma} - G_{-\alpha,\beta+\gamma} = G_{\alpha,\beta+\gamma}^{\tau}$$

Consequently, S acts on  $\mathcal{A}^{\tau}_{S}$  as an automorphism group.

Next, we relate the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  introduced in Section 2 with Lie subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_S^{\tau}$  of  $(\mathfrak{gl}_S)^{\tau}$ . Recall that S also acts on  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  as an automorphism group. From relations (3.12) and (3.13) and the definition of  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  we immediately have:

**Lemma 3.4.** There exists a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\pi$  from  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_S^{\tau} \subset (\mathfrak{gl}_S)^{\tau}$  such that

$$\pi(d^{\alpha,\beta}) = G^{\tau}_{-\alpha,\beta} = -G^{\tau}_{\alpha,\beta} = E_{\beta-\alpha,\alpha+\beta} - E_{\alpha+\beta,\beta-\alpha} \quad for \ \alpha,\beta \in S.$$
(3.16)

Furthermore,  $\pi$  preserves the group actions of S.

Furthermore, we have:

**Proposition 3.5.** Assume that S is an abelian group such that  $S^0 = \{0\}$ . Then the Lie algebra homomorphism  $\pi$  defined in Lemma 3.4 from  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  to  $\mathcal{A}_S^{\tau}$  is an isomorphism, which also preserves the invariant bilinear form.

*Proof.* For  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in S$ , we have

$$\langle G_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau}, G_{\mu,\nu}^{\tau} \rangle = \langle E_{\alpha+\beta,\beta-\alpha} - E_{\beta-\alpha,\alpha+\beta}, E_{\mu+\nu,\nu-\mu} - E_{\nu-\mu,\mu+\nu} \rangle$$

$$= \delta_{\alpha+\beta,\nu-\mu} \delta_{\beta-\alpha,\mu+\nu} - \delta_{\alpha+\beta,\mu+\nu} \delta_{\beta-\alpha,\nu-\mu}$$

$$= \delta_{2(\alpha+\mu),0} \delta_{\beta-\alpha,\mu+\nu} - \delta_{2(\alpha-\mu),0} \delta_{\beta-\alpha,\nu-\mu}$$

$$= \delta_{\alpha+\mu,0} \delta_{\beta-\alpha,\mu+\nu} - \delta_{\alpha-\mu,0} \delta_{\beta-\alpha,\nu-\mu}$$

$$= (\delta_{\alpha,-\mu} - \delta_{\alpha,\mu}) \delta_{\beta,\nu}.$$

$$(3.17)$$

It follows from this and (2.19) that  $\pi$  preserves the invariant bilinear form. For the isomorphism assertion it remains to show that  $\pi$  is injective. As  $S^0 = \{0\}$ , in view of Lemma 3.2, we have that  $G_{\alpha,\beta} = G_{\alpha',\beta'}$  if and only if  $\alpha = \alpha'$  and  $\beta = \beta'$ for  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \in S$ . Consequently,  $G_{\alpha,\beta}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$  form a basis of  $\mathcal{A}_S$ . Let  $S_$ be a subset of S such that  $S = \{0\} \cup S_- \cup (-S_-)$  is a disjoint decomposition. As  $G_{-\alpha,\beta}^{\tau} = -G_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_S^{\tau}$  is linearly spanned by  $G_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau}$  for  $\alpha \in S_-$ ,  $\beta \in S$ , which are linearly independent since  $G_{\alpha,\beta}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$  are linearly independent. Then  $G_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau}$  for  $\alpha \in S_-$ ,  $\beta \in S$  form a basis of  $\mathcal{A}_S^{\tau}$ . On the other hand, with (2.13), we see that  $d^{\alpha,\beta}$  with  $\alpha \in S_-$ ,  $\beta \in S$  linearly span  $\mathfrak{g}_S$ . As  $\pi$  is a linear map from  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_S^{\tau}$  with  $\pi(d^{\alpha,\beta}) = -G_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ , elements  $d^{\alpha,\beta}$  for  $\alpha \in S_-$ ,  $\beta \in S$ must be linearly independent, so that  $\{d^{\alpha,\beta} \mid \alpha \in S_-, \beta \in S\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_S$ . Consequently,  $\pi$  is injective. This completes the proof.

As a consequence of Proposition 3.5, we have:

**Corollary 3.6.** Assume that S is a finite abelian group of order 2l + 1 with l a positive integer. Then  $\mathcal{A}_S = \mathfrak{gl}_S$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_S$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathfrak{gl}_S)^{\intercal}$  which is isomorphic to the simple Lie algebra of type  $B_l$ .

*Proof.* As |S| is odd, there exist integers m and n such that 1 = 2m + n|S|. Then  $\alpha = 2m\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in S$ . It follows from (3.4) that for any  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ ,

$$E_{\alpha,\beta} = G_{m(\alpha-\beta),m(\alpha+\beta)} \in \mathcal{A}_S.$$
(3.18)

Thus  $\mathcal{A}_S = \mathfrak{gl}_S$  and hence  $\mathcal{A}_S^{\tau} = (\mathfrak{gl}_S)^{\tau}$ . Again, since |S| is odd, we have  $S^0 = \{0\}$ . By Proposition 3.5,  $\mathfrak{g}_S \simeq \mathcal{A}_S^{\tau} = (\mathfrak{gl}_S)^{\tau}$ . It is well known that  $(\mathfrak{gl}_S)^{\tau}$  is isomorphic to the finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra of type  $B_l$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Furthermore, we have:

**Theorem 3.7.** Assume that S is a finite abelian group of order 2l + 1 with l a positive integer. Then Lie algebra  $D_S$  is isomorphic to the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type  $B_l^{(1)}$ .

*Proof.* Note that as  $\chi : S \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  is assumed to be one-to-one, S must be cyclic. Assume  $S = \mathbb{Z}/(2l+1)\mathbb{Z}$  and let  $\chi : S \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  be the group homomorphism defined by  $\chi(\alpha) = q^{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in S$ , where q is a primitive (2l+1)-th root of unity. From Theorem 2.13 and Corollary 3.6, we have

$$D_S \simeq \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_S / S, \quad \mathfrak{g}_S \simeq (\mathfrak{gl}_S)^{\tau} \simeq B_l.$$
 (3.19)

Let  $\sigma_1$  be the automorphism of the Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{gl}_S)^{\tau} (\simeq B_l)$ , given by

$$\sigma_1(E_{\alpha,\beta} - E_{\beta,\alpha}) = E_{\alpha+[1],\beta+[1]} - E_{\beta+[1],\alpha+[1]}$$
(3.20)

for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}/(2l+1)\mathbb{Z} = S$ , where [1] denotes the image of 1 in  $\mathbb{Z}/(2l+1)\mathbb{Z}$ . With S viewed as an automorphism group of  $(\mathfrak{gl}_S)^{\tau}$  (recalling (3.15)), we have  $S = \langle \sigma_1 \rangle$ . Then, using (3.19) we get

$$D_S \simeq \widehat{B_l} / S \simeq \widehat{B_l}^S = \widehat{B_l}^{\sigma_1},$$

where  $\widehat{B}_l^S$  (resp.  $\widehat{B}_l^{\sigma_1}$ ) denotes the *S*-fixed (resp.  $\sigma_1$ -fixed) points subalgebra. Note that for the isomorphism relation  $\widehat{B}_l/S \simeq \widehat{B}_l^S$ , **k** corresponds to  $(2l+1)\mathbf{k}$ .

Note that there is no nontrivial Dynkin diagram automorphism for a type  $B_l$  simple Lie algebra. From [K] (Proposition 8.1),  $\sigma_1$  is conjugate to an inner automorphism  $\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2l+1} \operatorname{ad} h\right)$ , where h is an element such that  $\operatorname{ad} h$  is semi-simple with only integer eigenvalues. It follows that  $\widehat{B}_l^{\sigma_1}$  is isomorphic to  $\widehat{B}_l$  (see Appendix; cf. Section 8.5, [K]). Consequently,  $D_S$  is isomorphic to the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type  $B_l^{(1)}$ .

Next, we consider the general case. Recall  $\mathcal{A}_S = \operatorname{span}\{E_{\alpha+\beta,\alpha-\beta} \mid \alpha,\beta \in S\}$ , which is an associative subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$ , and

$$\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau} = \operatorname{span}\{G_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau} = E_{\beta+\alpha,\beta-\alpha} - E_{\beta-\alpha,\beta+\alpha} \mid \alpha,\beta \in S\},\$$

a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}_S$ . We also recall  $S^0 = \{\alpha \in S \mid 2\alpha = 0\}$ . The following is a generalization of Proposition 3.5:

#### **Proposition 3.8.** Set

$$I = \operatorname{span}\{d^{\alpha+\gamma,\beta+\gamma} - d^{\alpha,\beta} \mid \alpha,\beta \in S, \ \gamma \in S^0\} \subset \mathfrak{g}_S.$$
(3.21)

Then I is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}_S$ . Furthermore, the quotient Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_S/I$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}_S^{\tau}$ .

*Proof.* From (2.14), it is straightforward to show that I is an ideal. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.2, for  $\alpha, \beta \in S, \gamma \in S^0$ , we have

$$G_{\alpha+\gamma,\beta+\gamma}^{\tau} = G_{\alpha+\gamma,\beta+\gamma} - G_{-\alpha-\gamma,\beta+\gamma} = G_{\alpha,\beta} - G_{-\alpha,\beta} = G_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau}$$

in  $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$ . Then the Lie algebra homomorphism  $\pi$  from  $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$  reduces to a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\bar{\pi}$  from  $\mathfrak{g}_{S}/I$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$  with  $\bar{\pi}(d^{\alpha,\beta}+I) = -G_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ . It suffices to prove that  $\bar{\pi}$  is injective. Let T be a complete set of equivalence class representatives of S modulo  $S^{0}$  with  $0 \in T$ . It follows from Lemma 3.2 that  $\{G_{\alpha,\beta} \mid (\alpha,\beta) \in T \times S\}$  is a base for  $\mathcal{A}_{S}$ . Furthermore, consider the equivalence relation  $\sim$  on S defined by  $\alpha \sim \beta$  if and only if  $\beta = \pm \alpha$ . Let  $T_{-}$  be a complete set of equivalence class representatives in  $T \setminus \{0\}$  with respect to this particular equivalence relation. Noticing that if  $\alpha \in T_{-}$ , then  $-\alpha \notin T_{-}$ , we see that the linear independence of  $\{G_{\alpha,\beta} \mid (\alpha,\beta) \in T \times S\}$  implies that  $G_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau}$  with  $\alpha \in T_{-}$ ,  $\beta \in S$ are linearly independent. Then  $\{G_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau} \mid (\alpha,\beta) \in T_{-} \times S\}$  is a base for  $\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau}$ . On the other hand, from the defining relations of  $\mathfrak{g}_{S}$  and the definition of I, we see that  $\mathfrak{g}_{S}/I$  is linearly spanned by  $d^{\alpha,\beta} + I$  with  $(\alpha,\beta) \in T_{-} \times S$ . It follows that  $\{d^{\alpha,\beta} + I \mid (\alpha,\beta) \in T_{-} \times S\}$  is a base for  $\mathfrak{g}_{S}/I$ . Consequently,  $\bar{\pi}$  is a Lie algebra isomorphism.

Recall the subgroup 2S of S. Let

$$S = S_0 \cup S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{r-1} \tag{3.22}$$

be the decomposition of S into distinct cosets of 2S with  $S_0 = 2S$ . We have  $2S \simeq S/S^0$ , which implies  $|2S| \cdot |S^0| = |S|$ . Thus  $r = |S^0|$ . Notice that for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ , if  $\alpha + \beta \in S_j$  for  $0 \le j < r$ , we have  $\beta - \alpha = (\alpha + \beta) - 2\alpha \in S_j$ , so that

$$G_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau} = E_{\beta+\alpha,\beta-\alpha} - E_{\beta-\alpha,\beta+\alpha} \in \mathfrak{gl}_{S_i}$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_{S_{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{S_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{S_{r-1}} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_{S}.$$

$$(3.23)$$

For  $0 \leq j \leq r - 1$ , set

$$\mathfrak{g}_j = \mathcal{A}_S^\tau \cap \mathfrak{gl}_{S_j},$$

a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}_S^{\tau}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\tau} = \mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{r-1}, \qquad (3.24)$$

a direct sum of Lie algebras.

Furthermore, we have:

**Lemma 3.9.** For each  $0 \le j < r$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_j = \operatorname{span}\{E_{\mu,\nu} - E_{\nu,\mu} \mid \mu, \nu \in S_j\}$$
(3.25)

and dim  $\mathfrak{g}_j = \frac{1}{2}k(k-1)$  where k = |2S|.

*Proof.* From definition we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_j = \operatorname{span}\{E_{\beta+\alpha,\beta-\alpha} - E_{\beta-\alpha,\beta+\alpha} \mid \alpha,\beta \in S \text{ with } \alpha+\beta \in S_j\},\$$

noticing that  $\alpha + \beta \in S_j$  implies  $\beta - \alpha = (\alpha + \beta) - 2\alpha \in S_j$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{g}_j \subset \operatorname{span}\{E_{\mu,\nu} - E_{\nu,\mu} \mid \mu, \nu \in S_j\}$ . On the other hand, let  $\mu, \nu \in S_j$ . Fix an element  $\alpha_j \in S_j$ . Then  $\mu = \alpha_j + 2\alpha$  and  $\nu = \alpha_j + 2\beta$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ . Thus

$$E_{\mu,\nu} - E_{\nu,\mu} = G_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha+\beta+\alpha_j}^{\tau} \in \mathfrak{g}_j.$$

This proves that  $\mathfrak{g}_j \supset \operatorname{span} \{ E_{\mu,\nu} - E_{\nu,\mu} \mid \mu, \nu \in S_j \}$ . Hence (3.25) holds. From this the second assertion of the lemma follows immediately.

Note that  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$  naturally acts on the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[S]$  of S. Equip  $\mathbb{C}[S]$  with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  defined by  $\langle e^{\alpha}, e^{\beta} \rangle = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ . As subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_S$  and  $\mathcal{A}_S^{\tau}$  also act on  $\mathbb{C}[S]$ . We have the following  $\mathcal{A}_S^{\tau}$ -module decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}[S] = \mathbb{C}[S_0] \oplus \mathbb{C}[S_1] \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}[S_{r-1}],$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}_j$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}[S_j]$  for  $0 \leq j < r$ . Denoting by  $\rho$  the algebra homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{gl}_S$  to  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{C}[S])$ , we have

$$\rho(\mathfrak{g}_j) \subset \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{C}[S_j]) \mid (Au, v) = -(u, Av) \quad \text{for } u, v \in \mathbb{C}[S_j] \}$$

Since  $|S_j| = k$  and dim  $\mathfrak{g}_j = \frac{1}{2}k(k-1)$  by Lemma 3.9, we see that actually the equality holds. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{g}_j$  is a simple Lie algebra of type either B or D, depending on that k (= |2S|) is odd or even. Consequently,  $\mathcal{A}_S^{\tau}$  is semi-simple.

To summarize, we have:

**Proposition 3.10.** Let S be a finite abelian group. Set k = |2S| and r = |S/2S|. Then  $\mathfrak{g}_S/I$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of r copies of simple Lie algebras of type  $B_{\frac{1}{2}(k-1)}$  if k is odd and of type  $D_{\frac{1}{2}k}$  if k is even, where I is the ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}_S$ , which was obtained in Proposition 3.8.

## Appendix

Here, we provide a proof of a statement at the end of the proof of Theorem 3.7.

**Lemma 3.11.** Suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie algebra with a symmetric invariant bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and h is an element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathrm{ad}h$  is semi-simple with only integer eigenvalues. Set  $\sigma = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{T}\mathrm{ad}h)$ , where T is a fixed positive integer. Then  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\sigma} \simeq \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  with  $\mathbf{k}$  corresponding to  $\frac{1}{T}\mathbf{k}$ .

*Proof.* Recall the affine Lie algebra  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}$ , where

$$[a \otimes t^m, b \otimes t^n] = [a, b] \otimes t^{m+n} + m\delta_{m+n,0} \langle a, b \rangle \mathbf{k}$$

for  $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . On the other hand, we have Lie algebra

$$\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{g},T) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\frac{1}{T}},t^{-\frac{1}{T}}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k},$$

where

$$[a \otimes t^{\frac{m}{T}}, b \otimes t^{\frac{n}{T}}] = [a, b] \otimes t^{\frac{m+n}{T}} + \frac{m}{T} \delta_{m+n,0} \langle a, b \rangle \mathbf{k}$$

for  $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It is straightforward to see that  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, T)$  with  $\mathbf{k}$  corresponding to  $\frac{1}{T}\mathbf{k}$ . View  $\sigma$  as an automorphism of  $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, T)$  by

$$\sigma(a \otimes t^{\frac{n}{T}}) = \exp\left(\frac{2n\pi i}{T}\right)\sigma(a) \otimes t^{\frac{n}{T}} \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}, \ n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and then set

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma] = \widehat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, T)^{\sigma}.$$

Then  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\sigma}$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$  with  $\mathbf{k}$  corresponding to  $\frac{1}{T}\mathbf{k}$ . Now, it suffices to prove that  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$  is isomorphic to  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  with  $\mathbf{k}$  corresponding to  $\mathbf{k}$ . For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , set  $\mathfrak{g}_r = \{u \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, u] = ru\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_r$  and  $\langle \mathfrak{g}_r, \mathfrak{g}_s \rangle = 0$  whenever  $r + s \neq 0$ . For  $a \in \mathfrak{g}_r$  with  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , set

$$a^{\sigma}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a \otimes t^{n-\frac{r}{T}}) x^{-n-1+\frac{r}{T}} \in x^{\frac{r}{T}} \hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma][[x, x^{-1}]].$$

It was esentially proved in [L1] (Remark 5.5) that the linear map  $\psi : \hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma] \to \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  defined by  $\psi(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}$  and

$$\psi(a^{\sigma}(x)) = x^{\frac{r}{T}} \left( a(x) + \frac{1}{T} \langle h, a \rangle \mathbf{k} x^{-1} \right)$$

for  $a \in \mathfrak{g}_r$  with  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is a Lie algebra isomorphism. In terms of components, we have

$$\psi(a \otimes t^{n-\frac{r}{T}}) = a \otimes t^n + \frac{1}{T} \langle h, a \rangle \delta_{n,0} \mathbf{k},$$

noticing that  $\langle h, a \rangle = \delta_{r,0} \langle h, a \rangle$  as  $h \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ . On the other hand, it is straightforward to show that  $\psi$  is indeed a Lie algebra isomorphism.

## References

- [BC] A. Belov, K. Chaltikian, q-deformation of Virasoro algebra and lattice conformal theories, *Modern Phys. Lett. A* 8(1993), 1233-1242.
- [FZ] I. B. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.
- [G-K-K] M. Golenishcheva-kutuzova and V. Kac, Γ-conformal algebras, J. Math. Phys. 39 (1998), 2290-2305.

- [GLTW] H. Guo, H.-S. Li, S. Tan and Q. Wang, q-Virasoro algebra and vertex algebras, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **219** (2015) 1258-1277.
- [K] V. G. Kac, Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [LL] J. Lepowsky and H.-S. Li, Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations, Progress in Math., Vol. 227, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
- [L1] H.-S. Li, Local systems of twisted vertex operators, vertex operator superalgebras and twisted modules, *Contemporary Math.* **193** (1996), 203-236.
- [L2] H.-S. Li, A new construction of vertex algebras and quasi modules for vertex algebras, *Advances in Math.* **202** (2006), 232-286.
- [L3] H.-S. Li, On certain generalizations of twisted affine Lie algebras and quasimodules for Γ-vertex algebras, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra 209 (2007), 853-871.
- [N] A. Nigro, A q-Virasoro algebra at roots of unity, Free Fermions and Temperley Lieb Hamiltonians, J. Math. Phys. 57 (2016), no.4, 041702.