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#### Abstract

Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a normalized harmonic mapping in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. In this paper, we obtain the sharp radius of univalence, fully starlikeness and fully convexity of the harmonic linear differential operators $D_{f}^{\epsilon}=z f_{z}-\epsilon \bar{z} f_{\bar{z}}(|\epsilon|=1)$ and $F_{\lambda}(z)=(1-\lambda) f+\lambda D_{f}^{\epsilon}(0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)$ when the coefficients of $h$ and $g$ satisfy harmonic Bieberbach coefficients conjecture conditions. Similar problems are also solved when the coefficients of $h$ and $g$ satisfy the corresponding necessary conditions of the harmonic convex function $f=h+\bar{g}$. All results are sharp. Some of the results are motivated by the work of Kalaj et al. [9] (Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 59(4) (2014), 539-552).


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the class of all complex-valued harmonic functions $f=h+\bar{g}$ defined on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ normalized by $f(0)=0=h(0)=h^{\prime}(0)-1$, where $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad g(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, let $\mathcal{H}_{0}=\left\{f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}: g^{\prime}(0)=0\right\}$ and $J_{f}(z)=\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}-\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}$ denote the Jacobian of $f=h+\bar{g}$. According to Lewy's theorem (see [10] or [6]), $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is locally univalent and sense-preserving if and only if $J_{f}(z)>0$ in $\mathbb{D}$, i.e $\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|<\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|$; or equivalently, the dilatation $\omega(z)=g^{\prime}(z) / h^{\prime}(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $|\omega(z)|<1$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Note that if $f \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$, then it is clear that $\omega(0)=0$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{H}$ denote the class of univalent and sense-preserving functions $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$. The class $\mathcal{S}_{H}$ for which $g(z) \equiv 0$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{S}$ of normalized univalent analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$. This is fundamental in the study of univalent functions theory. The geometric subclasses of $\mathcal{S}_{H}$ consisting of the convex, starlike and close-to-convex functions in $\mathbb{D}$ are denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{H}, \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{H}$, respectively. It is a standard practice to let

$$
\mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}:=\mathcal{S}_{H} \cap \mathcal{H}_{0}, \quad \mathcal{C}_{H}^{0}:=\mathcal{C}_{H} \cap \mathcal{H}_{0}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0 *}:=\mathcal{S}_{H}^{*} \cap \mathcal{H}_{0}, \quad \mathcal{K}_{H}^{0}:=\mathcal{K}_{H} \cap \mathcal{H}_{0},
$$

We now recall one of the results of Clunie and Sheil-Small [4] which connects analytic close-toconvex functions with that of harmonic close-to-convex functions.

Theorem A. Suppose that $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|<\left|h^{\prime}(0)\right|$ and $h+\epsilon g$ is close-toconvex for each $\epsilon,|\epsilon|=1$, then $f=h+\bar{g}$ is close-to-convex in $\mathbb{D}$.

As an analog of Bieberbach Conjecture proved by de Branges (5) for functions in $\mathcal{S}$, Clunie and Shell-Small [4] proposed the following conjecture for functions in $\mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}$.
Conjecture A. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}$, where the representation of $h$ and $g$ are given by (1.1) with $b_{1}=0$. Then for each $n \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{6}(2 n+1)(n+1), \quad\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{6}(2 n-1)(n-1) \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\left|a_{n}\right|-\left|b_{n}\right|\right| \leq n . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Equality holds for the harmonic Koebe function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(z)=H_{1}(z)+\overline{G_{1}(z)}=\frac{z-\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\frac{1}{6} z^{3}}{(1-z)^{3}}+\frac{\overline{\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\frac{1}{6} z^{3}}}{(1-z)^{3}} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conjecture has been verified for certain special situations, eg. for the family $S_{H}^{0 *}$ and the family of typically real functions in $\mathbb{D}$ by Clunie and Sheil-Small [4] himself, for the family of functions that are convex in one direction by Sheil-Small [15], and for the close-to-convex family $\mathcal{K}_{H}^{0}$ by Wang, Liang and Zhang [17]. More about harmonic mappings may be obtained from the monograph of Duren [6] and the survey article of Ponnusamy and Rasila [13]. For a recent development on Conjecture for functions in $S_{H}^{0}$, we refer to the recent article of Ponnusamy et al. [12].

Also in [4], Clunie and Sheil-Small proved that if $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{C}_{H}^{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{n+1}{2} \text { and }\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{n-1}{2} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for all $n \geq 2$. Equality holds for the harmonic right half-plane mapping $L \in \mathcal{C}_{H}^{0}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(z)=H_{2}(z)+\overline{G_{2}(z)}=\frac{z-\frac{1}{2} z^{2}}{(1-z)^{2}}+\overline{\frac{-\frac{1}{2} z^{2}}{(1-z)^{2}}} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our present article is motivated by the recent work of Kalaj et al. [9] and also by the results known in the analytic case. Accordingly, we are mainly concerned with certain class of (sense-preserving harmonic) functions $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$ satisfying the condition (1.2) or (1.4).

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{S}_{H}$ or $\mathcal{S}_{H}^{0} ; \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}$ or $\mathcal{S}_{H}^{0 *} ; \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{C}_{H}$ or $\mathcal{C}_{H}^{0} ; \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{K}_{H}$ or $\mathcal{K}_{H}^{0}$ etc.. For $r \in(0,1)$, we say that $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{F}$ in $|z|<r$ if $f_{r}(z)=r^{-1} f(r z) \in \mathcal{F}$ in $|z|<1$ in the usual sense.

For example, we say that $f \in S_{H}^{0 *}$ in $|z|<r$ whenever $f_{r} \in \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0 *}$ (in $|z|<1$ ). This convention will be followed throughout this article.

The class $\mathcal{R}$ given by

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left\{h: h \text { is analytic in } \mathbb{D}, h(0)=0=h^{\prime}(0)-1, \operatorname{Re}\left(h^{\prime}(z)\right)>0, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}
$$

provides a univalent criterion. As a harmonic analog of it, Ponnusamy et al. [14], considered the class

$$
\mathcal{K}_{H}^{1}=\left\{f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}: \operatorname{Re}\left(h^{\prime}(z)\right)>\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\} .
$$

We say that $f \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}$ if $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H}$ maps each subdisk $|z|<r, r \in(0,1)$ onto a domain which is starlike with respect to the origin. Functions in $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}$ is then called a fully starlike in $\mathbb{D}$. We may set $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0 *}:=\mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*} \cap \mathcal{H}_{0}$ for obvious reason. Similarly, one can define the class of $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{C}_{H}$ of fully convex functions, and $\mathcal{F C}_{H}^{0}:=\mathcal{F} \mathcal{C}_{H} \cap \mathcal{H}_{0}$. See [3] (also [9]) for a detailed discussion on fully starlike and fully convex functions.

Moreover, in [9], it was shown that $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0 *} \cap \mathcal{K}_{H}^{2}$ whenever the coefficients of $h$ and $g$ given by (1.1) satisfy the condition

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) \leq 1-\left|b_{1}\right|,
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{K}_{H}^{2}=\left\{f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}:\left|h^{\prime}(z)-1\right|<1-\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\} .
$$

Obviously, we may let $\mathcal{K}_{H}^{1,0}=\mathcal{K}_{H}^{1} \cap \mathcal{H}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{H}^{2,0}=\mathcal{K}_{H}^{2} \cap \mathcal{H}_{0}$.

Definition 2. Function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is said to be fully convex of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ if it maps every circle $|z|=r<1$ in a one-to-one manner onto a convex curve satisfying

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\arg \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)\right)>\alpha, \quad 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi, 0<r<1 .
$$

If $\alpha=0$, then the harmonic mapping $f$ is said to be fully convex (univalent) in $\mathbb{D}$.
Definition 3. A function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is said to be fully starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ if it maps every circle $|z|=r<1$ in a one-to-one manner onto a curve satisfying

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\arg \left(f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)\right)>\alpha, \quad 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi, 0<r<1 .
$$

If $\alpha=0$, then the harmonic mapping $f$ is said to be fully starlike (univalent) in $\mathbb{D}$.
Let $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{C}_{H}(\alpha)$, respectively, denote the subclasses of $\mathcal{S}_{H}$ consisting of fully harmonic starlike and fully harmonic convex functions of order $\alpha$. Also, set

$$
\mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0 *}(\alpha)=\mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{H}_{0} \text { and } \mathcal{F} \mathcal{C}_{H}^{0}(\alpha)=\mathcal{F} \mathcal{C}_{H}(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{H}_{0}
$$

so that $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0 *}(0)=: \mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0 *}$ and $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{C}_{H}^{0}(0)=: \mathcal{F} \mathcal{C}_{H}^{0}$. Jahangiri [7, 8] gave a sufficient condition for functions $f \in \mathcal{H}$ to be $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{C}_{H}(\alpha)$, respectively.
Lemma 1.1. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (1.1). Furthermore, let

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left|b_{n}\right| \leq 1
$$

and $0 \leq \alpha<1$. Then $f \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$.
Lemma 1.2. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (1.1). Furthermore, let

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-\alpha)}{1-\alpha}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+\alpha)}{1-\alpha}\left|b_{n}\right| \leq 1
$$

and $0 \leq \alpha<1$. Then $f \in \mathcal{F C}_{H}(\alpha)$.
According to Radó-Kneser-Choquet theorem, a fully convex harmonic mapping is necessarily univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. However, a fully starlike mapping need not be univalent (see [3]).

For $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}$ we let

$$
D_{f}^{\epsilon}=z f_{z}-\epsilon \bar{z} f_{\bar{z}} \quad(|\epsilon|=1)
$$

and in the case of $\epsilon=1$, we use the notation $D_{f}^{+1}=D f$ for the sake of convenience. As with the analytic differential operator $z f^{\prime}(z)$, the operators $D f$ and $\mathscr{D} f:=D_{f}^{-1}$ play the important roles in harmonic mappings. In 1915, Alexander [1] proved that if $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $z f^{\prime}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$. In 1990, Sheil-Small [15] gave one application of it to harmonic mappings as stated below.

Theorem B. If $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$ is univalent, and has a starlike range and if $H$ and $G$ are the analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ defined by

$$
z H^{\prime}(z)=h(z), z G^{\prime}(z)=-g(z), H(0)=G(0)=0
$$

then $F=H+\bar{G}$ is univalent and has a convex range.
In general, the converse of Theorem B is not necessarily true. For example, if $F=L$, where $L=H_{2}+\overline{G_{2}} \in \mathcal{C}_{H}^{0}$ is the harmonic right half-plane mapping defined by (1.5), then it is easily see that

$$
D L(z)=z H_{2}^{\prime}(z)-\overline{z G_{2}^{\prime}(z)}
$$

is not starlike and moreover, it is not even univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ (see [6, p.110]). However, Ponnusamy and Sairam Kaliraj [11] proved the converse theorem with an additional condition as follows.

Theorem C. Suppose that $F=H+\bar{G}$ is a sense-preserving normalized convex mapping, and $D F=z F_{z}-\bar{z} F_{\bar{z}}$ is sense-preserving in $\mathbb{D}$. Then $D F$ is univalent and starlike in $\mathbb{D}$.

The above discussions show that the question of univalency of the harmonic differential operator $D_{f}^{\epsilon}$ is interesting. In this paper, we study mainly the radius of univalence, fully convexity and fully starlikeness of the harmonic differential operators $D_{f}^{\epsilon}$ and $(1-\lambda) f+\lambda D_{f}^{\epsilon}$ when the coefficients of $h$ and $g$ satisfy either (1.2) or (1.4). All these results are sharp.

## 2. Radius of starlikeness and convexity of $D_{f}^{\epsilon}$

In this section, we obtain the sharp radius of fully starlikeness and fully convexity of order $\alpha$ for the harmonic differential operator $D_{f}^{\epsilon}$. The following identities are useful in the proof of our results:
Lemma 2.1. We have
(a) $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n r^{n-1}=\frac{r(2-r)}{(1-r)^{2}}$,
(b) $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} r^{n-1}=\frac{r\left(4-3 r+r^{2}\right)}{(1-r)^{3}}$,
(c) $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{3} r^{n-1}=\frac{r\left(8-5 r+4 r^{2}-r^{3}\right)}{(1-r)^{4}}$,
(d) $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{4} r^{n-1}=\frac{r\left(16+r+11 r^{2}-5 r^{3}+r^{4}\right)}{(1-r)^{5}}$,
(e) $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{5} r^{n-1}=\frac{r\left(32+51 r+46 r^{2}-14 r^{3}+6 r^{4}-r^{5}\right)}{(1-r)^{6}}$.

Proof. The proof of (a) follows from $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n r^{n}=r(1-r)^{-2}$ and the rest of them may be obtained by differentiating this and so on.

For $0<r<1$ and $f \in h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$, let us define $D_{f}^{\epsilon, r}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{f}^{\epsilon, r}(z)=\frac{D_{f}^{\epsilon}(r z)}{r}=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_{n} r^{n-1} z^{n}-\epsilon \overline{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n b_{n} r^{n-1} z^{n}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (1.1), and the coefficients satisfy the conditions (1.2) for $n \geq 2$. Then for $D_{f}^{\epsilon}=z f_{z}-\epsilon \bar{z} f_{\bar{z}}(|\epsilon|=1)$,
(1) the radius of fully starlikeness of order $\alpha$ is $r_{s}(\alpha)$, where $r_{s}(\alpha)$ is the unique root of the equation $p_{\alpha}(r)=0$ in the interval $(0,1)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\alpha}(r)=1-\alpha-(17-9 \alpha) r+(13-21 \alpha) r^{2}-21(1-\alpha) r^{3}+10(1-\alpha) r^{4}-2(1-\alpha) r^{5} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) the radius of fully starlikeness is $r_{u} \approx 0.0614313$, where $r_{u}$ is the unique root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-17 r+13 r^{2}-21 r^{3}+10 r^{4}-2 r^{5}=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the interval $(0,1)$.
All the results are sharp.
Proof. By the assumption $h$ and $g$ have the form (1.1) and the coefficients of the series satisfy the conditions (1.2). First, we observe that $b_{1}=g^{\prime}(0)=0$. The conditions (1.2) imply that the series (1.1) are convergent in $\mathbb{D}$, and hence, $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. Thus, $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$ for $0<r<1$, it suffices to show that $D_{f}^{\epsilon, r}$ defined by (2.1) with $b_{1}=0$ belongs to $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0 *}(\alpha)$.

Now, we consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} & =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left|n a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left|n b_{n}\right| r^{n-1} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left(\frac{n(2 n+1)(n+1)}{6}\right) r^{n-1}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left(\frac{n(2 n-1)(n-1)}{6}\right) r^{n-1} \\
& =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2}\left(1-3 \alpha+2 n^{2}\right)}{3(1-\alpha)} r^{n-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{3(1-\alpha)}\left[(1-3 \alpha) \frac{r\left(4-3 r+r^{2}\right)}{(1-r)^{3}}+\frac{2 r\left(16+r+11 r^{2}-5 r^{3}+r^{4}\right)}{(1-r)^{5}}\right]=: T_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used Lemma 2.1(a) and (d) in the last equality. By Lemma 1.1, it suffices to show that $T_{1} \leq 1$ which holds whenever $p_{\alpha}(r) \geq 0$, where $p_{\alpha}(r)$ is defined by (2.2).

Now we shall show that the polynomial $p_{\alpha}(r)$ defined by (2.2) has exactly one zero in the interval $(0,1)$ for every $\alpha \in[0,1)$. Since $p_{\alpha}(0)=1-\alpha>0$ and $p_{\alpha}(1)=-16<0$, so $p_{\alpha}(r)$ has at least one zero in the interval $(0,1)$. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{\alpha}^{\prime}(r) & =9 \alpha-17+2(13-21 \alpha) r-63(1-\alpha) r^{2}+40(1-\alpha) r^{3}-10(1-\alpha) r^{4} \\
& =9 \alpha-17+2(13-21 \alpha) r-(1-\alpha) r^{2}\left[23+10(r-2)^{2}\right] \quad(\text { as } 0<r<1) \\
& <9 \alpha-17+2(13-21 \alpha) r-33(1-\alpha) r^{2}=: q_{\alpha}(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

It suffices to show that $q_{\alpha}(r)$ is negative in the interval $r \in(0,1)$ for every $\alpha \in[0,1)$. Moreover,

$$
q_{\alpha}^{\prime}(r)=2[(13-21 \alpha)-33(1-\alpha) r]
$$

which gives the critical point $r_{0}=\frac{13-21 \alpha}{33(1-\alpha)}$ and $q_{\alpha}^{\prime}(r)>0$ for $0 \leq r<r_{0}$ and $q_{\alpha}^{\prime}(r)<0$ for $r_{0}<r<1$. Clearly, we need to deal with the cases $0 \leq \alpha \leq 13 / 21$ and $13 / 21<\alpha<1$.

Case 1. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 13 / 21$. We compute that

$$
q_{\alpha}\left(r_{0}\right)=\frac{8\left(18 \alpha^{2}+39 \alpha-49\right)}{33(1-\alpha)}<0 \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{13}{21}
$$

This implies that $q_{\alpha}(r)<0$ in the interval $r \in(0,1)$ for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq 13 / 21$.
Case 2. Let $13 / 21<\alpha<1$. Then we have $q_{\alpha}^{\prime}(r)<0$ for $r \in[0,1)$. Also, since $q_{\alpha}(0)=9 \alpha-17<0$ and $q_{\alpha}(1)=-24<0$, we find that $q_{\alpha}(r)<0$ in the interval $r \in(0,1)$ and for all $13 / 21<\alpha<1$.

Combining the last two cases, we conclude that $q_{\alpha}(r)<0$ in the interval $(0,1)$ and for all $\alpha \in[0,1)$. This proves $p_{\alpha}^{\prime}(r)<q_{\alpha}(r)<0$ in the interval $(0,1)$ and for all $\alpha \in[0,1)$. Thus, $D_{f}^{\epsilon, r} \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0 *}(\alpha)$ for $r \leq r_{s}(\alpha)$, where $r_{s}(\alpha)$ is the unique real root of the equation (2.2).

Note that the roots of the equation (2.2) in $(0,1)$ are decreasing as a function of $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha<1$. Consequently, $r_{s} \leq r_{u}$. Note that for $\alpha=0$, Equation (2.2) reduces to (2.3). Then, by Lemma 1.1, we see that the harmonic function $f$ is starlike and univalent in $|z| \leq r_{u} \approx 0.0614313$, where $r_{u}$ is the unique root of the equation (2.3) in the interval $(0,1)$.

Next, to prove the sharpness, we consider the function

$$
D F(z)=z H^{\prime}(z)-\overline{z G^{\prime}(z)}=H_{d}(z)+\overline{G_{d}(z)}
$$

where

$$
H(z)=2 z-H_{1}(z) \quad \text { and } \quad G(z)=-G_{1}(z)
$$

Here, $H_{1}(z)$ and $G_{1}(z)$ are defined by (1.3). We note that

$$
D F(z)=z\left(2-H_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right)+\overline{z G_{1}^{\prime}(z)}=z\left(2-\frac{1+z}{(1-z)^{4}}\right)+\overline{\left(\frac{z^{2}(1+z)}{(1-z)^{4}}\right)}
$$

Direct computation leads to

$$
H_{d}^{\prime}(r)=2-\frac{2 r^{2}+5 r+1}{(1-r)^{5}} \quad \text { and } \quad G_{d}^{\prime}(r)=\frac{r\left(r^{2}+5 r+2\right)}{(1-r)^{5}}
$$

As $D F(r)$ has real coefficients, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{D F}(r) & =\left(H_{d}^{\prime}(r)+G_{d}^{\prime}(r)\right)\left(H_{d}^{\prime}(r)-G_{d}^{\prime}(r)\right) \\
& =\left(2-\frac{2 r^{2}+5 r+1}{(1-r)^{5}}+\frac{r\left(r^{2}+5 r+2\right)}{(1-r)^{5}}\right)\left(2-\frac{2 r^{2}+5 r+1}{(1-r)^{5}}-\frac{r\left(r^{2}+5 r+2\right)}{(1-r)^{5}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(1-13 r+23 r^{2}-19 r^{3}+10 r^{4}-2 r^{5}\right)\left(1-17 r+13 r^{2}-21 r^{3}+10 r^{4}-2 r^{5}\right)}{(1-r)^{5}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $J_{D F}(r)=0$ in $(0,1)$ if and only if $r=r_{u} \approx 0.0614313$ or $r=r_{u}^{*}=0.0903331$, where $r_{u}$ and $r_{u}^{*}$ are the roots of

$$
1-13 r+23 r^{2}-19 r^{3}+10 r^{4}-2 r^{5}=0 \text { and } 1-17 r+13 r^{2}-21 r^{3}+10 r^{4}-2 r^{5}=0
$$

in the interval $(0,1)$, respectively. Moreover, for $r_{u}<r<r_{u}^{*}$, we have $J_{D F}(r)<0$. The graph of the function $J_{D F}(r)$ for $r \in(0,0.15)$ is shown in Figure 1 . Therefore, in view of Lewy's theorem, the function $D F(z)$ is not univalent in $|z|<1$ if $r>r_{u}$. This shows that $r_{u}$ is sharp.

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\arg \left(D F\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)\right)\right|_{\theta=0} & =\frac{r H_{d}^{\prime}(r)-r G_{d}^{\prime}(r)}{H_{d}(r)+G_{d}(r)} \\
& =\frac{1-17 r+13 r^{2}-21 r^{3}+10 r^{4}-2 r^{5}}{1-9 r+21 r^{2}-21 r^{3}+10 r^{4}-2 r^{5}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by (2.2) and $p_{\alpha}\left(r_{s}(\alpha)\right)=0$, we have

$$
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\arg \left(D F\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)\right)\right|_{\theta=0, r=r_{s}(\alpha)}=\alpha
$$

This shows that $r_{s}(\alpha)$ is the best possible.
A slight change in the proof of Theorem 2.2 with the help of Lemma 1.2 yields the radius of fully convex for $D_{f}^{\epsilon}$. So the proof is omitted here.

Theorem 2.3. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2, for $D_{f}^{\epsilon}=z f_{z}-\epsilon \bar{z} f_{\bar{z}}(|\epsilon|=1)$ is fully convex of order $\alpha$ in $|z|<r_{c}(\alpha)$, where $r_{c}(\alpha)$ is the unique root of the equation
$1-\alpha-2(15-7 \alpha) r-12(1+3 \alpha) r^{2}-2(29-21 \alpha) r^{3}+29(1-\alpha) r^{4}-12(1-\alpha) r^{5}+2(1-\alpha) r^{6}=0$ in the interval $(0,1)$. In particular, $D_{f}^{\epsilon}$ is fully convex in $|z|<r_{c} \approx 0.0328348$, where $r_{c}$ is the unique root of the equation

$$
1-30 r-12 r^{2}-58 r^{3}+29 r^{4}-12 r^{5}+2 r^{6}=0
$$

in the interval $(0,1)$. All results are sharp.
Theorem 2.4. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (1.1), and the coefficients satisfy the conditions (1.4) for $n \geq 2$. Then for $D_{f}^{\epsilon}=z f_{z}-\epsilon \bar{z} f_{\bar{z}}(|\epsilon|=1)$,


Figure 1. The roots of the Jacobian $J_{D F}(r)$ for $r \in(0,0.15)$.
(1) the radius of full starlikeness of order $\alpha$ is $r_{s}(\alpha)$, where $r_{s}(\alpha)$ is the unique root of the equation $s_{\alpha}(r)=0$ in the interval $(0,1)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\alpha}(r)=1-\alpha-6(2-\alpha) r+11(1-\alpha) r^{2}-8(1-\alpha) r^{3}+2(1-\alpha) r^{4}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) the (univalent) radius of fully starlikeness is $r_{u} \approx 0.0903331$, where $r_{u}$ is the unique root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-12 r+11 r^{2}-8 r^{3}+2 r^{4}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the interval $(0,1)$.
All the results are sharp.
Proof. We follow the notation and the method of proof of Theorem 2.2. In order to prove (1) of Theorem [2.4, it suffices to show that $D_{f}^{\epsilon, r} \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$, where $D_{f}^{\epsilon, r}(z)$ is defined by (2.1). Accordingly, we consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2} & =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left|n a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left|n b_{n}\right| r^{n-1} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right) r^{n-1}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right) r^{n-1} \\
& =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n\left(n^{2}-\alpha\right)}{1-\alpha} r^{n-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\left[\frac{r\left(8-5 r+4 r^{2}-r^{3}\right)}{(1-r)^{4}}-\frac{\alpha r(2-r)}{(1-r)^{2}}\right]=: T_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used Lemma 2.1(a) and (c) in the last equality. According to Lemma 1.1, it is sufficient to show that $T_{2} \leq 1$, which is equivalent to $s_{\alpha}(r) \geq 0$, where $s_{\alpha}(r)$ is given by (2.4). Note that $s_{\alpha}(0)=1-\alpha>0$ and $s_{\alpha}(1)=-6<0$ and so $s_{\alpha}(r)$ has at least one zero in the interval $(0,1)$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{\alpha}^{\prime}(r) & =-2\left[3(2-\alpha)+11(1-\alpha) r-12(1-\alpha) r^{2}+4(1-\alpha) r^{3}\right] \\
& =-2\left\{3(2-\alpha)+(1-\alpha) r\left[4\left(r-\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2}+2\right]\right\}<0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$s_{\alpha}(r)$ is strictly monotone decreasing in $(0,1)$ and hence, $s_{\alpha}(r)$ has exactly one root in $(0,1)$ for all $\alpha \in[0,1)$. Thus, $D_{f}^{\epsilon, r} \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0 *}(\alpha)$ for $r \leq r_{s}(\alpha)$, where $r_{s}(\alpha)$ is the real root of $s_{\alpha}(r)=0$ in the interval $(0,1)$.

The second part (2) of Theorem 2.4 follows as before by setting $\alpha=0$.
To prove the sharpness, we consider function $D F(z)=H_{d}(z)+\overline{G_{d}(z)}$ with

$$
H_{d}(z)=2 z-z H_{2}^{\prime}(z) \quad \text { and } \quad G_{d}(z)=-z G_{2}^{\prime}(z),
$$

where $H_{2}(z)$ and $G_{2}(z)$ are defined by (1.5). Direct computation yields

$$
H_{d}^{\prime}(r)=2-\frac{1+2 r}{(1-r)^{4}} \quad \text { and } \quad G_{d}^{\prime}(r)=\frac{r(2+r)}{(1-r)^{4}}
$$

Again, since $D F(r)$ has real coefficients, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{D F}(r) & =\left(H_{d}^{\prime}(r)+G_{d}^{\prime}(r)\right)\left(H_{d}^{\prime}(r)-G_{d}^{\prime}(r)\right) \\
& =\left(2-\frac{1+2 r}{(1-r)^{4}}+\frac{r(2+r)}{(1-r)^{4}}\right)\left(2-\frac{1+2 r}{(1-r)^{4}}-\frac{r(2+r)}{(1-r)^{4}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(1-8 r+13 r^{2}-8 r^{3}+2 r^{4}\right)\left(1-12 r+11 r^{2}-8 r^{3}+2 r^{4}\right)}{(1-r)^{4}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $J_{F}(r)=0$ in $(0,1)$ if and only if $r=r_{u} \approx 0.0903331$ or $r=r_{u}^{*}=0.164878$, where $r_{u}$ and $r_{u}^{*}$ are the roots of

$$
1-12 r+11 r^{2}-8 r^{3}+2 r^{4}=0 \text { and } 1-8 r+13 r^{2}-8 r^{3}+2 r^{4}=0
$$

in the interval $(0,1)$, respectively. The graph of the function $J_{D F}(r)$ for $r \in(0,0.25)$ is shown in Figure 2. Therefore, in view of Lewy's theorem, the function $\operatorname{DF}(z)$ is not univalent in $|z|<1$ if $r>r_{u}$. This shows that $r_{u}$ is sharp. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\arg \left(D F\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)\right)\right|_{\theta=0} & =\frac{r H_{d}^{\prime}(r)-r G_{d}^{\prime}(r)}{H_{d}(r)+G_{d}(r)} \\
& =\frac{1-12 r+11 r^{2}-8 r^{3}+2 r^{4}}{1-6 r+11 r^{2}-8 r^{3}+2 r^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by (2.4) and $s_{\alpha}\left(r_{s}(\alpha)\right)=0$, we have

$$
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\arg \left(D F\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)\right)\right|_{\theta=0, r=r_{s}(\alpha)}=\alpha
$$

This shows that $r_{s}(\alpha)$ is the best possible.
The following result can be obtained by a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem [2.4, and so we omit the proof here.


Figure 2. The roots of the Jacobian $J_{D F}(r)$ for $r \in(0,0.25)$.
Theorem 2.5. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (1.1), and the coefficients satisfy the conditions (1.4) for $n \geq 2$. Then $D_{f}^{\epsilon}=z f_{z}-\epsilon \bar{z} f_{\bar{z}}(|\epsilon|=1)$ is fully convex of order $\alpha$ in $|z|<r_{c}(\alpha)$, where $r_{c}(\alpha)$ is the unique root of the equation
$1-\alpha-(23-10 \alpha) r+(19-30 \alpha) r^{2}-(41-42 \alpha) r^{3}+(30-31 \alpha) r^{4}-12(1-\alpha) r^{5}+2(1-\alpha) r^{6}=0$ in the interval $(0,1)$. In particularly, $D_{f}^{\epsilon}$ is fully convex in $|z|<r_{c} \approx 0.0449935$, where $r_{c}$ is the unique root of the equation

$$
1-23 r+19 r^{2}-41 r^{3}+30 r^{4}-12 r^{5}+2 r^{6}=0
$$

in the interval $(0,1)$. All results are sharp.

## 3. Radius of the harmonic linear differential operator $(1-\lambda) f+\lambda D_{f}^{\epsilon}$

For $\lambda \geq 0$, let us introduce

$$
\mathcal{K}_{H}^{1}(\lambda)=\left\{f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}: \operatorname{Re}\left(h_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)\right)>\left|g_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)\right|, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\},
$$

where $h_{\lambda}(z)=\left(h * \phi_{\lambda}\right)(z)$ and $g_{\lambda}(z)=\left(g * \phi_{\lambda}\right)(z)$, with

$$
\phi_{\lambda}(z)=(1-\lambda) \frac{z}{1-z}+\lambda \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-\lambda+n \lambda) z^{n} .
$$

Similarly, we define

$$
\mathcal{K}_{H}^{2}(\lambda)=\left\{f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}:\left|h_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)-1\right|<1-\left|g_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)\right|, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\} .
$$

Also, we note that $\mathcal{K}_{H}^{1}:=\mathcal{K}_{H}^{1}(0)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{H}^{2}:=\mathcal{K}_{H}^{2}(0)$. Next, we observe that if $f \in \mathcal{K}_{H}^{1}(\lambda)$ and $F_{\lambda}(z)=h_{\lambda}(z)+\epsilon g_{\lambda}(z)$, then

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(F_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(h_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)+\epsilon g_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)\right) \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(h_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)\right)-\left|g_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)\right|>0
$$

for each $|\epsilon| \leq 1$. Moreover, because $F_{\lambda}(z)=\left((h+\epsilon g) * \phi_{\lambda}\right)(z)$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z) & =\left(h^{\prime}(z)+\epsilon g^{\prime}(z)\right) *\left(\frac{\phi_{\lambda}(z)}{z}\right) \\
& =\left(h^{\prime}(z)+\epsilon g^{\prime}(z)\right) *\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-\lambda+\lambda n) z^{n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
F_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z) * \frac{\Psi_{\lambda}(z)}{z}=h^{\prime}(z)+\epsilon g^{\prime}(z), \quad \Psi_{\lambda}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{1-\lambda+\lambda n} .
$$

By the convolution result, since $\Psi_{\lambda}$ is convex in $\mathbb{D}$ and thus, $\operatorname{Re}\left(\Psi_{\lambda}(z) / z\right)>1 / 2$ in $\mathbb{D}$, it follows that $\operatorname{Re}\left(h^{\prime}(z)+\epsilon g^{\prime}(z)\right)>0$ in $\mathbb{D}$ and therefore, $h+\epsilon g$ is close-to-convex for each $|\epsilon| \leq 1$. Consequently, by Theorem A the harmonic function $f=h+\bar{g}$ is close-to-convex in $\mathbb{D}$ whenever $f \in \mathcal{K}_{H}^{1}(\lambda)$. Thus, functions in $\mathcal{K}_{H}^{1}(\lambda)$ are close-to-convex in $\mathbb{D}$.

The following result follows immediately from [9], and we omit its proof.
Theorem 3.1. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$, where $h$ and $g$ have the form (1.1) with $J_{f}(0)=1-\left|b_{1}\right|^{2}>0$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1-\lambda+\lambda n) n\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) \leq 1-\left|b_{1}\right| \quad(\lambda \geq 0) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, then $f \in \mathcal{K}_{H}^{2}(\lambda)$.
Theorem 3.2. Let $h$ and $g$ have the form (1.1) and the coefficients of the series satisfy the conditions (1.2). Then, $f=h+\bar{g}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\left|h_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)-1\right|<1-\left|g_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)\right| \quad(\lambda \geq 0)
$$

in the disk $|z|<r_{s}$ and is fully starlike in $|z|<r_{s}$, where $r_{s}$ is the root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-(11+6 \lambda) r+(21-8 \lambda) r^{2}-(19+2 \lambda) r^{3}+10 r^{4}-2 r^{5}=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the interval $(0,1)$. The result is sharp.
Proof. By assumption (1.2), $f=h+\bar{g}$ is harmonic in $\mathbb{D}$. Let $0<r<1$. It suffices to show that the coefficients of $f_{r}(z)=r^{-1} f(r z)$ satisfy the inequality (3.1), where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{r}(z)=\frac{f(r z)}{r}=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} r^{n-1} z^{n}+\overline{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} r^{n-1} z^{n}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By hypotheses

$$
n\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) \leq \frac{n\left(2 n^{2}+1\right)}{3}
$$

and thus, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{3} & =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1-\lambda+\lambda n) n\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) r^{n-1} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1-\lambda+\lambda n) \frac{n\left(2 n^{2}+1\right)}{3} r^{n-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left[2 \lambda n^{4}+2(1-\lambda) n^{3}+\lambda n^{2}+(1-\lambda) n\right] r^{n-1}=: T_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is less than or equal to 1 if $T_{3} \leq 1$, which is equivalent to

$$
1-(11+6 \lambda) r+(21-8 \lambda) r^{2}-(19+2 \lambda) r^{3}+10 r^{4}-2 r^{5} \geq 0
$$

Thus, from Theorem 3.1, $f_{r}(z)=r^{-1} f(r z)$ is close-to-convex (univalent) and fully starlike in $\mathbb{D}$ for all $0<r \leq r_{s}$, where $r_{s}$ is the root of the equation (3.2) in the interval $(0,1)$. In particular, $f$ is close-to-convex (univalent) and fully starlike in $|z|<r_{s}$.

The proof of sharpness is similar to Theorem 2.2 and so we omit it here.
Remark 1. If $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 3.2, the equation (3.2) reduces to

$$
(1-r)\left(1-10 r+11 r^{2}-8 r^{3}+2 r^{4}\right)=0
$$

Thus, $f_{r}(z) \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*} \cap \mathcal{K}_{H}^{2}$ for $r \leq r_{S} \approx 0.112903$, where $r_{S}$ is the unique root of the equation

$$
1-10 r+11 r^{2}-8 r^{3}+2 r^{4}=0
$$

This gives the result obtained in [9, Lemma 1.2].
If $\lambda=1$ in Theorem [3.2, then the equation (3.2) reduces to the equation (2.3) in Theorem 2.2 , Thus, the univalent radius is $r_{u} \approx 0.0614313$, where $r_{u}$ is the root of the equation (2.3).

Corollary 3.3. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (1.1), and the coefficients satisfy the conditions (1.2) for $n \geq 2$. Then the radius of fully starlikeness for $F(z)=(1-\lambda) f+\lambda D_{f}^{\epsilon}(0 \leq$ $\lambda \leq 1)$ is at least $r_{s}$, where $r_{s}$ is the root of the equation (3.2) in the interval $(0,1)$.

Proof. If we write

$$
F(z)=\frac{F(r z)}{r}=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n} z^{n}+\overline{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{n} z^{n}}
$$

then

$$
A_{n}=[(1-\lambda)+\lambda n] a_{n} r^{n-1} \quad \text { and } \quad B_{n}=[(1-\lambda)+\bar{\epsilon} \lambda n] b_{n} r^{n-1}(\lambda>0)
$$

so that

$$
n\left(\left|A_{n}\right|+\left|B_{n}\right|\right) \leq(1-\lambda+\lambda n)\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) n r^{n-1} \leq(1-\lambda+\lambda n) \frac{n\left(2 n^{2}+1\right)}{3} r^{n-1}
$$

Thus, we desired result follows from the proof of Theorem 3.2,
Theorem 3.4. Let $h$ and $g$ have the form (1.1) and the coefficients of the series satisfy the conditions (1.4). Then, $f=h+\bar{g} \in K_{H}^{2}(\lambda)(\lambda \geq 0)$ in the disk $|z|<r_{c}$ and is fully starlike in $|z|<r_{c}$, where $r_{c}$ is the root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-4(2+\lambda) r+(13-2 \lambda) r^{2}-8 r^{3}+2 r^{4}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the interval $(0,1)$. The result is sharp.
Proof. Clearly, it suffices to observe that

$$
n\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) \leq n\left(\frac{n+1}{2}+\frac{n-1}{2}\right)=n^{2}
$$

and thus,

$$
S_{4}=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1-\lambda+\lambda n) n\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) r^{n-1} \leq(1-\lambda) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} r^{n-1}+\lambda \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{3} r^{n-1}=: T_{4}
$$

It follows that $S_{4} \leq 1$ if $T_{4} \leq 1$ which is equivalent to

$$
1-4(2+\lambda) r+(13-2 \lambda) r^{2}-8 r^{3}+2 r^{4} \geq 0
$$

The desired conclusion and the sharpness follow as before.
If $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 3.4, the equation (3.4) reduces to

$$
(1-r)\left(1-7 r+6 r^{2}-2 r^{3}\right)=0
$$

and this gives the following result obtained in [9].

Theorem D. Let $h$ and $g$ have the form (1.1) and the coefficients of the series satisfy the conditions (1.4). Then, $f=h+\bar{g}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\left|h^{\prime}(z)-1\right|<1-\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|
$$

in the disk $|z|<r_{S} \approx 0.164878$ and fully starlike in $|z|<r_{S}$, where $r_{S}$ is the root of the equation

$$
1-7 r+6 r^{2}-2 r^{3}=0
$$

in the interval $(0,1)$. The result is sharp.
If $\lambda=1$ in Theorem [3.4, the equation (3.4) reduces to the equation (2.5) in Theorem [2.4(2). Moreover, by Lemma 1.1 and Theorem [3.4, we can easily obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.5. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (1.1), and the coefficients satisfy the conditions (1.4) for $n \geq 2$. Then the radius of fully starlikeness for $F(z)=(1-\lambda) f+\lambda D_{f}^{\epsilon}$ is at least $r_{c}$. where $r_{c}$ is the root of the equation (3.4) in the interval $(0,1)$. The result is sharp.
Theorem 3.6. Let $h$ and $g$ have the form (1.1) with $\left|b_{1}\right|=\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|<1$, and the coefficients satisfy the conditions

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right| \leq c
$$

for $n \geq 2$. Then, $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{K}_{H}^{2}(\lambda)$ and is fully starlike in $|z|<r_{v}$, where $r_{v}$ is the root of the equation $\Phi_{c,\left|b_{1}\right|, \lambda}(r)=0$ in the interval $(0,1)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{c,\left|b_{1}\right|, \lambda}(r)=\left(1+c-\left|b_{1}\right|\right)(1-r)^{3}-c[1+(2 \lambda-1) r] . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp.
Proof. We apply Theorem 3.1 and show that $f_{r}$ defined by (3.3) belongs to $\mathcal{K}_{H}^{2}(\lambda)$. As in the proofs of Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 it is suffices to show that the corresponding coefficient inequality (3.1), namely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{5} & =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1-\lambda+\lambda n) n\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) r^{n-1}+\left|b_{1}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1-\lambda+\lambda n) n c r^{n-1}+\left|b_{1}\right| \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 (a) and (b), the last inequality is easily seen to be equivalent to

$$
c\left[(1-\lambda) \frac{r(2-r)}{(1-r)^{2}}+\lambda \frac{r\left(4-3 r+r^{2}\right)}{(1-r)^{3}}\right] \leq 1-\left|b_{1}\right|
$$

which upon simplification reduces to $\Phi_{c,\left|b_{1}\right|, \lambda}(r) \geq 0$. The result follows.

$$
\left(1+c-\left|b_{1}\right|\right)(1-r)^{3}-c[1+(2 \lambda-1) r] \geq 0 .
$$

The function $f_{0}=h_{0}+\overline{g_{0}}=(1-\lambda) h_{1}+\lambda z h_{1}^{\prime}+\overline{(1-\lambda) g_{1}+\lambda z g_{1}^{\prime}}$, where

$$
h_{1}(z)=z-\frac{c}{2}\left(\frac{z^{2}}{1-z}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad g_{1}(z)=-\left|b_{1}\right| z-\frac{c}{2}\left(\frac{z^{2}}{1-z}\right),
$$

shows that the result is sharp. Note that

$$
J_{f_{0}}(r)=\left|h_{0}^{\prime}(r)\right|^{2}-\left|g_{0}^{\prime}(r)\right|^{2}=\frac{\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right)}{(1-r)^{3}}\left(\left(1+c-\left|b_{1}\right|\right)(1-r)^{3}-c[1+(2 \lambda-1) r]\right)
$$

which shows that $J_{f_{0}}(r)>0$ for $r<r_{v}$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

Remark 2. Take $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 3.6, the equation (3.5) reduces to

$$
(1-r)\left[\left(1+c-\left|b_{1}\right|\right)(1-r)^{2}-c\right]=0 .
$$

Then $f_{r}(z) \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{H}^{*}$ for $r<r_{S}=1-\sqrt{\frac{c}{1+c-\mid b_{1}}}$. This result is due to Kalaj et al. [9, Lemma 1.6].
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