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#### Abstract

A graph is called intrinsically knotted if every embedding of the graph contains a knotted cycle. Johnson, Kidwell and Michael, and, independently, Mattman showed that intrinsically knotted graphs have at least 21 edges. Recently Lee, Kim, Lee and Oh, and, independently, Barsotti and Mattman, showed that $K_{7}$ and the 13 graphs obtained from $K_{7}$ by $\nabla Y$ moves are the only intrinsically knotted graphs with 21 edges. Also Kim, Lee, Lee, Mattman and Oh showed that there are exactly three triangle-free intrinsically knotted graphs with 22 edges having at least two vertices of degree 5 . Furthermore, there is no triangle-free intrinsically knotted graph with 22 edges that has a vertex with degree larger than 5 . In this paper we show that there are exactly five trianglefree intrinsically knotted graphs with 22 edges having exactly one degree 5 vertex. These are Cousin 29 of the $K_{3,3,1,1}$ family, Cousins 97 and 99 of the $E_{9}+e$ family and two others that were previously unknown.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper we will take an embedded graph to mean a graph embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We call a graph $G$ intrinsically knotted if every embedding of the graph contains a non-trivially knotted cycle. Conway and Gordon 3] showed that $K_{7}$, the complete graph on seven vertices, is an intrinsically knotted graph. Foisy [4] showed that $K_{3,3,1,1}$ is also intrinsically knotted. A graph $H$ is a minor of another graph $G$ if it can be obtained from a subgraph of $G$ by contracting some edges. If a graph $G$ is intrinsically knotted and has no proper minor that is intrinsically knotted, $G$ is said to be minor minimal intrinsically knotted. Robertson and Seymour's [15] Graph Minor Theorem implies that there are only finitely many minor minimal intrinsically knotted graphs, but finding the complete set is still an open problem. A $\nabla Y$ move is an exchange operation on a graph that removes all edges of a 3-cycle abc and then adds a new vertex $v$ and three new edges $v a, v b$ and $v c$. Its reverse operation is called a $Y \nabla$ move as in Figure 1.

The $\nabla Y$ move preserves intrinsic knottedness [13]. Sachs [16] observed this for intrinsic linking and the proof for intrinsic knotting is similar. With this in mind, we concentrate on triangle-free graphs in this paper. It is known [3, 4, 10] that $K_{7}$ and the 13 graphs obtained from $K_{7}$ by $\nabla Y$ moves,
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Figure 1. $\nabla Y$ and $Y \nabla$ moves
and $K_{3,3,1,1}$ and the 25 graphs obtained from $K_{3,3,1,1}$ by $\nabla Y$ moves are minor minimal intrinsically knotted.
Johnson, Kidwell and Michael [7, and, independently, Mattman [12] showed that intrinsically knotted graphs have at least 21 edges. Recently two groups, working independently, showed that $K_{7}$ and the 13 graphs obtained from $K_{7}$ by $\nabla Y$ moves are the only minor minimal intrinsically knotted graphs with 21 edges. Lee, Kim, Lee and Oh [11] proceeded by showing that the only triangle-free intrinsically knotted graphs with 21 edges are $H_{12}$ and $C_{14}$. Barsotti and Mattman [1] relied on connections with 2-apex graphs.

We say that a graph is intrinsically knotted or completely 3-linked if every embedding of the graph contains a nontrivial knot or a 3 -component link each of whose 2 -component sublinks is non-splittable. Hanaki, Nikkuni, Taniyama and Yamazaki [6] constructed 20 graphs derived from $H_{12}$ and $C_{14}$ by $Y \nabla$ moves, and they showed that these graphs are minor minimal intrinsically knotted or completely 3 -linked graphs. Furthermore they showed that the six graphs $N_{9}, N_{10}, N_{11}, N_{10}^{\prime}, N_{11}^{\prime}$ and $N_{12}^{\prime}$ are not intrinsically knotted. This was also shown by Goldberg, Mattman and Naimi [5, independently.

We say two graphs $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ are cousins if $G^{\prime}$ is obtained from $G$ by a finite sequence of $\nabla Y$ and $Y \nabla$ moves. The set of all cousins of $G$ is called the $G$ family. The $K_{3,3,1,1}$ family consists of 58 graphs. As above, 26 of these graphs were previously known to be minor minimal intrinsically knotted [4, 10. Goldberg et al. [5] showed that the remaining 32 graphs are also minor minimal intrinsically knotted. They also studied the $E_{9}+e$ family which has 110 graphs. As in Figure 2, the graph $E_{9}+e$ is obtained from $N_{9}$ by adding the new edge $e$. They showed that all of these graphs are intrinsically knotted, and exactly 33 of them are minor minimal intrinsically knotted.


Figure 2. $E_{9}+e$

By combining the $K_{3,3,1,1}$ family and the $E_{9}+e$ family, we have 168 graphs of size 22 that were already known to be intrinsically knotted. Among these, 14 are triangle-free, namely, the four cousins $29,31,42$ and 53 in the $K_{3,3,1,1}$ family and the ten cousins $43,56,87,89,94,97,99,105,109$ and 110 in the $E_{9}+e$ family. In earlier work [8] we showed that a triangle-free intrinsically knotted graph with 22 edges has no vertices of degree 6 or more and classified those that have at least two vertices of degree 5 . Cousins 94 and 110 of the $E_{9}+e$ family are two of the three examples.

In this paper we determine graphs which have exactly one vertex of degree 5. Three examples, shown in Figure 3, are Cousin 29 of the $K_{3,3,1,1}$ family and Cousins 97 and 99 of the $E_{9}+e$ family. While Cousin 29 is minor minimal intrinsically knotted, Cousins 97 and 99 have $H_{11}$ in the $K_{7}$ family as a minor, as may be seen by contracting the edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$, respectively. The remaining nine examples in these two families have maximal degree 4 .


Figure 3. Cousin 29 of the $K_{3,3,1,1}$ family and Cousins 97 and 99 of the $E_{9}+e$ family

We introduce two previously unknown graphs $U_{12}$ and $U_{12}^{\prime}$, shown in Figure 4, which are triangle-free intrinsically knotted (but not minor minimal) graphs with 22 edges having a unique vertex of degree 5 . Note that these graphs are not members of the $K_{3,3,1,1}$ family or the $E_{9}+e$ family. Indeed, if we contract the edge $e_{1}$ of $U_{12}$ (similarly $e_{2}$ of $U_{12}^{\prime}$ ), we get $H_{11}$ in the $K_{7}$ family.


Figure 4. New intrinsically knotted graphs $U_{12}$ and $U_{12}^{\prime}$

Our overall goal is to determine the set of intrinsically knotted graphs with 22 edges. Kim, Lee, Lee, Mattman and Oh found all triangle-free intrinsically knotted graphs with 22 edges that have at least two vertices of degree 5 and showed there are none with maximum degree larger than 5 [8]. In this paper we find all triangle-free intrinsically knotted graphs with 22 edges that have exactly one degree 5 vertex.

Theorem 1. There are exactly five triangle-free intrinsically knotted graphs with 22 edges having a unique degree 5 vertex. They are Cousin 29 of the $K_{3,3,1,1}$ family, Cousins 97 and 99 of the $E_{9}+e$ family and two previously unknown graphs, $U_{12}$ and $U_{12}^{\prime}$, shown in Figure 4.

To complete the classification, it remains to investigate graphs with maximal degree 4 . It's easy to see that there must be at least two vertices of that degree, as in the nine remaining examples in the $K_{3,3,1,1}$ and $E_{9}+e$ families.

In Section 2, we introduce terminology and give an overview of the structure of our proof. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the proof of the main theorem. Especially, in Section 4 we introduce the restoring method used frequently in crucial parts.

## 2. TERMINOLOGY

The notation and terminology used in this paper follow those employed in the previous paper [8]. Let $G=(V, E)$ denote a triangle-free graph with 22 edges where $V$ and $E$ are the sets of vertices and edges, respectively. For distinct vertices $a$ and $b$, let $G \backslash\{a, b\}$ denote the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting two vertices $a$ and $b$. Deleting a vertex means removing the vertex, interiors of all edges adjacent to the vertex and remaining isolated vertices. Let $G_{a, b}$ denote the graph obtained from $G \backslash\{a, b\}$ by deleting all degree 1 vertices, and $\widehat{G}_{a, b}=\left(\widehat{V}_{a, b}, \widehat{E}_{a, b}\right)$ denote the graph obtained from $G_{a, b}$ by contracting edges adjacent to degree 2 vertices, one by one repeatedly, until no degree 2 vertex remains. The degree of $a$, denoted by $\operatorname{deg}(a)$, is the number of edges adjacent to $a$. We say that $a$ is adjacent to $b$, denoted by $a \sim b$, if there is an edge connecting them. If they are not adjacent, we denote $a \nsim b$. We need some notations to count the number of edges of $\widehat{G}_{a, b}$.

- $V(a)=\{c \in V \mid a \sim c\}$
- $V_{n}=\{c \in V \mid \operatorname{deg}(c)=n\}$
- $V_{n}(a)=\{c \in V \mid a \sim c, \operatorname{deg}(c)=n\}$
- $V_{n}(a, b)=V_{n}(a) \cap V_{n}(b)$
- $V_{Y}(a, b)=\left\{c \in V \mid \exists d \in V_{3}(a, b)\right.$ such that $\left.c \in V_{3}(d) \backslash\{a, b\}\right\}$
- $E(a)=\{e \in E \mid e$ is adjacent to $a\}$
- $E\left(V^{\prime}\right)=\cup_{a \in V^{\prime}} E(a)$ for a subset $V^{\prime}$ of $V$

In $G \backslash\{a, b\}$, each vertex of $V_{3}(a, b)$ has degree 1. Also each vertex of $V_{3}(a), V_{3}(b)$, (but not of $V_{3}(a, b)$ ) and $V_{4}(a, b)$ has degree 2 . Therefore, to derive $\widehat{G}_{a, b}$, all edges adjacent to $a, b$ and $V_{3}(a, b)$ are removed from $G$, followed by contracting one of the remaining two edges adjacent to each vertex of $V_{3}(a), V_{3}(b), V_{4}(a, b)$ and $V_{Y}(a, b)$ as shown in Figure 5(a). We have the following equation counting the number of edges of $\widehat{G}_{a, b}$, called the count equation;
$\left|\widehat{E}_{a, b}\right|=22-|E(a) \cup E(b)|-\left(\left|V_{3}(a)\right|+\left|V_{3}(b)\right|-\left|V_{3}(a, b)\right|+\left|V_{4}(a, b)\right|+\left|V_{Y}(a, b)\right|\right)$.
For short, we write $N E(a, b)=|E(a) \cup E(b)|$ and $N V_{3}(a, b)=\left|V_{3}(a)\right|+$ $\left|V_{3}(b)\right|-\left|V_{3}(a, b)\right|$, which is non-negative. Note that the derivation of $\widehat{G}_{a, b}$ must be handled in slightly different way when there is a vertex $c$ in $V$ such that more than one vertex of $V(c)$ is contained in $V_{3}(a, b)$ as in Figure 5(b).

In this case we usually delete or contract more edges even though $c$ is not in $V_{Y}(a, b)$.

(a)

(b)

Figure 5. Derivation of $\widehat{G}_{a, b}$

A graph is called 2-apex if it can be made planar by deleting two vertices. It is known that if $G$ is 2-apex, then it is not intrinsically knotted [2, 14]. Thus we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2. If $\widehat{G}_{a, b}$ has one of the following conditions, then $G$ is not intrinsically knotted.
(1) $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, b}\right| \leq 8$,
(2) $\widehat{G}_{a, b}$ consists of nine edges and is not homeomorphic to $K_{3,3}$, or
(3) $\widehat{G}_{a, b}$ consists of ten edges with a 2-cycle, and does not contain $K_{3,3}$.

Since the intrinsically knotted graphs with 21 edges are known, it is sufficient to consider simple and connected graphs having no vertex of degree 1 or 2 . Especially, we will consider graphs $G$ with 22 edges which are trianglefree as mentioned in the introduction. In our process, we pick particular two vertices $a$ and $b$ of $G$ so that $\widehat{G}_{a, b}$ has least possible number of edges, usually less than or equal to 10 . Finally, Proposition 2 shows whether $G$ is intrinsically knotted or not.

In this paper, we are interested in graphs with 22 edges having a single degree 5 vertex $a$ and the remaining degree three or four vertices. We distinguish into four cases for $\left(\left|V_{4}\right|,\left|V_{3}\right|\right) ;(0,13),(3,9),(6,5)$ or $(9,1)$. We need the followings for consistent use of notations. Henceforth, $b_{i}$ and $c_{j}$ denote the vertices of $V_{4}(a)$ and $V_{3}(a)$ respectively. Let $\bar{V}(a)$ denote the set of vertices which have distance at least 2 from $a$ and $\bar{E}(a)$ denote the set of edges, called extra edges, of the induced subgraph of $G$ whose vertex set is $\bar{V}(a)$.

- $\bar{V}(a)=\left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right\}$ for $n=|\bar{V}(a)|$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(d_{i}\right) \geq \operatorname{deg}\left(d_{i+1}\right)$
- $\bar{E}(a)=\left\{\bar{e}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{e}_{m}\right\}$ for $m=|\bar{E}(a)|$

Note that we use $d_{i}^{\prime}$ instead of $d_{i}$ if there is no information about their degree.

## 3. $(0,13),(3,9)$ AND $(9,1)$ TYPES

In this section we consider the three types and find Cousin 29 of the $K_{3,3,1,1}$ family from the $(3,9)$ type, which is the only intrinsically knotted graph in these types.

## 3.1. $(0,13)$ type.

In this case, $G$ consists of a single degree 5 vertex $a$ and thirteen degree 3 vertices. Among degree 3 vertices which are not contained in $V(a)$, one can always choose a vertex $d$ such that $\left|V_{3}(a, d)\right| \leq 2$. This implies $N E(a, d)=8$ and $N V_{3}(a, d) \geq 6$. Therefore $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d}\right| \leq 8$, and so $G$ is not intrinsically knotted by Proposition 2 .

## 3.2. $(3,9)$ type.

We divide into four sub-cases according to the number of the vertices in $V_{4}(a)$. First, assume that $V_{4}(a)$ is empty and choose any degree 4 vertex $d$. Then $\left|V_{3}(a)\right|=5$ and $N E(a, d)=9$. The count equation implies that $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d}\right| \leq 8$.

Suppose that $\left|V_{4}(a)\right|=1$ and $b$ denotes the unique degree 4 vertex in $V_{4}(a)$. If $\left|V_{3}(b)\right|=3$, then $N E(a, b)=8$ and $N V_{3}(a, b)=7$, yielding $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, b}\right| \leq$ 7. For otherwise, $V(b)$ has a degree 4 vertex $d$. Then $N E(a, d)=9,\left|V_{3}(a)\right|=$ 4 and $\left|V_{4}(a, d)\right|=1$, yielding $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d}\right| \leq 8$.

Suppose that $\left|V_{4}(a)\right|=2$, say $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$. If $\left|V_{3}\left(b_{1}\right)\right|=3$ (or similarly $\left.\left|V_{3}\left(b_{2}\right)\right|=3\right)$, then $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, b_{1}}\right| \leq 8$ as above. Thus both $V\left(b_{1}\right)$ and $V\left(b_{2}\right)$ must contain the remaining degree 4 vertex, say $d$. Therefore $N E(a, d)=9$, $\left|V_{3}(a)\right|=3$ and $\left|V_{4}(a, d)\right|=2$, yielding $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d}\right| \leq 8$.

For the last case, $\left|V_{4}(a)\right|=3$, say $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$. There is a vertex $d_{1}$, except $a$, adjacent to all $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$. For otherwise, there are two vertices among them, say $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$, so that $\left|V_{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right| \leq 1$. Therefore $N E\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=8$, and $N V_{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)+\left|V_{Y}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right|=6$, yielding $\left|\widehat{E}_{b_{1}, b_{2}}\right| \leq 8$. If there is a vertex $d_{2}$ in $V_{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$ other than $d_{1}$, then $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, b_{3}}\right| \leq 9$ and furthermore $\widehat{G}_{a, b_{3}}$ contains a 3 -cycle $\left(b_{1} b_{2} d_{2}\right)$ or a 2 -cycle $\left(b_{1} b_{2}\right)$ when $d_{2} \sim b_{3}$. Thus $\widehat{G}_{a, b_{3}}$ is not homeomorphic to $K_{3,3}$, and so $G$ is not intrinsically knotted by Proposition 2 , Therefore each of six vertices in $\bar{V}(a)$ excluding $d_{1}$ is adjacent to exactly one of $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$ as in Figure 6 (a).

Now we consider $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$. Since $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \leq 9$, it remains to consider the case that $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$ is homeomorphic to $K_{3,3}$. The six edges of $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$ which do not touching vertices $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$ are denoted by $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}$ as in the figure. Because of the symmetry of $K_{3,3}$, we may assume that $c_{1}$ is attached to the middle point of $e_{1}$. If $c_{2}$ is not attached to a middle point of one of $\left\{e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$, then $\left|\widehat{E}_{b_{1}, b_{2}}\right| \leq 9$ and $\widehat{G}_{b_{1}, b_{2}}$ has a 3 -cycle as in Figure 6 (b), so $\widehat{G}_{b_{1}, b_{2}}$ is not homeomorphic to $K_{3,3}$. Similarly if $c_{2}$ is not attached to a middle point of one of $\left\{e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{6}\right\}$ then $\widehat{G}_{b_{2}, b_{3}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle. Therefore $c_{2}$ must be attached to the middle point of $e_{3}$. This graph $G$ is indeed Cousin 29 of the $K_{3,3,1,1}$ family as drawn in Figure 3,

## 3.3. $(9,1)$ type.

Note that there are two or three extra edges. If there is an extra edge $\bar{e}_{1}$ which is not connected to the other extra edges, then we may say that


Figure 6. Construction of $G$
$\bar{e}_{1}$ connects two degree 4 vertices in $\bar{V}(a)$. Furthermore the rest six edges adjacent to these two vertices must be adjacent to the vertices in $V(a)$, and so there is a 3 -cycle containing $\bar{e}_{1}$. For otherwise, all extra edges are connected and so they miss at least one degree 4 vertex $d_{1}$ in $\bar{V}(a)$. Now $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \leq 9$ since $V(a)$ contains all vertices of $V\left(d_{1}\right)$. Since $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$ still has a degree 4 vertex in $\bar{V}(a)$, it is not homeomorphic to $K_{3,3}$.

## 4. $(6,5)$ TYPE

To handle graphs with this type, we distinguish into several cases according to the number of the set $V_{4}(a)$.

## 4.1. $\left|V_{4}(a)\right| \leq 2$ cases.

Two cases $\left|V_{4}(a)\right|=0$ and 1 can be handled as in the proof of the two cases $\left|V_{4}(a)\right|=0$ and 1 of the (3,9)-type in Section 3.

Suppose that $\left|V_{4}(a)\right|=2$, say $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$. As mentioned in Section 2, $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ denote the vertices of $V_{3}(a)$, and $\bar{V}(a)$ consists of degree 4 vertices $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{4}$ and degree 3 vertices $d_{5}$ and $d_{6}$. If $V\left(b_{1}\right) \cap V\left(b_{2}\right)$ contains $d_{1},\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \leq 8$, and it contains $d_{5}, \widehat{G}_{a, d_{5}}$ has at most nine edges with a degree 4 vertex. Therefore we may say that $V\left(b_{1}\right) \cap V\left(b_{2}\right)$ is empty. If $d_{5}, d_{6} \in V\left(b_{1}\right), \widehat{G}_{a, b_{1}}$ has at most nine edges with a degree 4 vertex. Without loss of generality, we further assume that $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{5} \in V\left(b_{1}\right)$ and $d_{3}, d_{4}, d_{6} \in$ $V\left(b_{2}\right)$. If $d_{1}$ and $d_{5}$ are connected by an extra edge, then $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \leq 8$. This argument implies that the five extra edges connect five pairs of vertices $\left\{d_{1}, d_{3}\right\},\left\{d_{1}, d_{4}\right\},\left\{d_{2}, d_{3}\right\},\left\{d_{2}, d_{4}\right\},\left\{d_{5}, d_{6}\right\}$ to avoid creating an unexpected 3 -cycle. Suppose that some $c_{i}$ is adjacent to two vertices of degree 3 and 4 in $\bar{V}(a)$, say $d_{1}$ and $d_{5}$. Then $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \leq 8$ since $V_{Y}\left(a, d_{1}\right)$ is not empty. It means that one of $c_{i}$ 's is adjacent to both vertices $d_{5}$ and $d_{6}$ producing an unexpected 3 -cycle. Therefore $G$ is not intrinsically knotted in this case.

## 4.2. $\left|V_{4}(a)\right|=3$ case.

In this case, we have exactly two triangle-free intrinsically knotted graphs Cousin 97 of the $E_{9}+e$ family (Figure 3) and $U_{12}$ (Figure 4).

The set $V(a)$ consists of degree 4 vertices $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$ and degree 3 vertices $c_{1}, c_{2}$, and the set $\bar{V}(a)$ consists of degree 4 vertices $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}$ and degree 3 vertices $d_{4}, d_{5}, d_{6}$.

Claim 1. If the three degree 4 vertices of $V_{4}(a)$ are adjacent to a vertex $d$ of $\bar{V}(a)$ in common, then $G$ is not intrinsically knotted.

Proof. Consider $\widehat{G}_{a, d}$. Then $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d}\right| \leq 9$ and there is at least one degree 4 vertex in $\widehat{G}_{a, d}$.

Since $|\bar{E}(a)|=4$ and $|\bar{V}(a)|=6$, we distinguish the induced subgraph of $G$ whose vertices set is $\bar{V}(a)$ into six sub-cases according to the connection of four extra edges of $\bar{E}(a)$ as drawn in Figure 7. Mention that graphs of Type I and II have vertices of degree 4 and 3 , respectively, and the other graphs have vertices of degree at most 2 .


Figure 7. Six types according to the connection of $\bar{E}(a)$

### 4.2.1. Type I.

Let $d_{1}$ be the degree 4 vertex where the four extra edges are adjacent. If $\left|V_{3}\left(d_{1}\right)\right|=3$ then $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \leq 8$. So we may assume that $V\left(d_{1}\right)$ consists of two degree 4 vertices $d_{2}, d_{3}$ and two degree 3 vertices $d_{4}, d_{5}$. By Claim 1 , each of $d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ is adjacent to at least one of $c_{1}$ or $c_{2}$. If $V_{3}\left(d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$ has at most nine edges with a 2 -cycle $\left(d_{2} d_{3}\right)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $V\left(d_{2}\right)=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, c_{1}, d_{1}\right\}$. If $c_{1}$ is adjacent to a degree 3 vertex in $\bar{V}(a),\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{2}}\right| \leq 8$ because $V_{Y}\left(a, d_{2}\right)$ is not empty. Therefore $c_{1} \sim d_{3}$.

Since $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \leq 9$, we only need to handle the case that $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$ is $K_{3,3}$. In $G_{a, d_{1}}$ drawn as Figure 8 (a), there are four dotted degree 2 vertices and six degree 3 vertices which are colored by black and white related to the vertex partition of $K_{3,3}$. Furthermore four dotted edges are already assigned. The edge of $K_{3,3}$ connecting $d_{3}$ and $d_{6}$ inevitably passes through degree 2 vertex $c_{2}$. Similarly two edges of $K_{3,3}$ from $b_{3}$ to $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ pass through degree 2 vertices $d_{4}$ and $d_{5}$. Draw the remaining edges. Finally, we obtain the graph $G$ by restoring vertices $a$ and $d_{1}$ and the adjacent nine edges. Check the graph $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{3}}$ which has nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(b_{1} b_{2} d_{2}\right)$, showing that $G$ is not intrinsically knotted.

At this point, we introduce the restoring method which is the graphconstruction technique we used in the previous paragraph. This method
will be used frequently in the rest of the proof.

Restoring method. The specific condition of the degree information of all vertices and the connection information of some edges is given. We choose two vertices $a$ and $d$ in $G$ such that $\widehat{G}_{a, d}$ has at most nine edges. Note that if $\widehat{G}_{a, d}$ is not $K_{3,3}$, it should be 2-apex. The purpose of the restoring method is to select non 2-apex graphs among all graphs satisfying the given condition. By this reason, we only need to consider the case that it is $K_{3,3}$.

$$
\widehat{G}_{a, d}\left(=K_{3,3}\right) \rightarrow G_{a, d} \quad \rightarrow \quad G
$$

Now we consider the graph $G_{a, d}$ which consists of six degree 3 vertices and some degree 2 vertices. Recall that $\widehat{G}_{a, d}$ is obtained from $G_{a, d}$ by replacing every maximal path whose interior has only degree 2 vertices by an edge. Since six degree 3 vertices of $G_{a, d}$ are the vertices of $K_{3,3}$, we may assign the usual $K_{3,3}$ bi-partition of these vertices from the given specific condition. Assign the remaining edges of $G_{a, b}$ so as to preserve $\widehat{G}_{a, d}$ to be $K_{3,3}$. Finally, we obtain the graph $G$ by restoring vertices $a$ and $d$, and the removed edges.


Figure 8. Types I and II

### 4.2.2. Type II.

Let $d^{\prime}$ be the degree vertex where the three extra edges are adjacent. First consider the case that $d^{\prime}$ has degree 4 (use $d_{1}$ instead of $d^{\prime}$ as usual) and we may assume the fourth edge adjacent to $d_{1}$ is also adjacent to either $b_{1}$ or $c_{1}$. In the former case, we may assume that the three extra edges adjacent to $d_{1}$ are adjacent to the rest two degree 4 vertices $d_{2}, d_{3}$ and one degree

3 vertex $d_{4}$. For otherwise, $\left|V_{3}\left(d_{1}\right)\right| \geq 2$ implying $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \leq 8$. Since $G$ is a triangle-free graph, the vertex $b_{1}$ is adjacent to the remaining degree 3 vertices $d_{5}, d_{6}$. Without loss of generality, the fourth extra edge is not adjacent to $d_{2}$. If $d_{2}$ is adjacent to two degree 3 vertices $c_{1}, c_{2}$, then $\widehat{G}_{b_{1}, d_{2}}$ has at most nine edges with a degree 4 vertex $a$. So, it is not homeomorphic to $K_{3,3}$. So we assume that $d_{2}$ is adjacent to two degree 4 vertices $b_{2}, b_{3}$ and a degree 3 vertex $c_{1}$. Furthermore $c_{1} \sim d_{3}$ as drawn in Figure 8 (b), for otherwise $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{2}}\right| \leq 8$ because $V_{Y}\left(a, d_{2}\right)$ is not empty. Then the graph drawn as Figure 8 (c) becomes a subgraph of $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$. But, we cannot assign $K_{3,3}$ bi-partition of degree 3 vertices.

In the latter case so that $d_{1} \sim c_{1}$, we divide into three cases according to $\left|V_{4}\left(d_{1}\right)\right|$. If $V_{4}\left(d_{1}\right)$ is empty, $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \leq 8$. If $V_{4}\left(d_{1}\right)$ has exactly one vertex $d_{2}$, then we may say that $d_{4}$ and $d_{5}$ are the remaining degree 3 vertices of $V\left(d_{1}\right)$, and $d_{3}$ and $d_{6}$ are respectively the degree 4 and 3 vertices not in $V\left(d_{1}\right)$. Now $b_{1}$, similarly $b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$, is adjacent to at least two vertices of $d_{3}$, $d_{4}$ and $d_{5}$, for otherwise, $b_{1}$ must adjacent to both $d_{2}$ and $d_{6}$ and so $\widehat{G}_{b_{1}, d_{1}}$ has at most nine edges with a degree 4 vertex $a$. Without loss of generality, by Claim [1, $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$ are adjacent to $d_{3}, d_{4}$ and $d_{5}$ as in Figure 8 (d). Furthermore, by considering $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}, c_{1} \nsim d_{6}$, so $c_{1} \sim d_{3}$; by considering $\widehat{G}_{a, b_{1}}$, $b_{1} \nsim d_{6}$, so $b_{1} \sim d_{2}$. Since $d_{6}$ must be adjacent to $b_{2}$ or $b_{3}, \widehat{G}_{b_{2}, b_{3}}$ has at most ten edges with a 2 -cycle and a 3 -cycle. Therefore, after deleting an edge of the 2 -cycle, it is not homeomorphic to $K_{3,3}$. Lastly, if $\left|V_{4}\left(d_{1}\right)\right|=2$, $V_{3}\left(d_{1}\right)$ has two vertices which are $c_{1}$ and $d_{4}$, say. Thus $d_{4}$ is not adjacent to at least one vertex among $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$, say $b_{1}$. Then $\widehat{G}_{b_{1}, d_{1}}$ has at most nine edges with a degree 4 vertex $a$.

Now consider the case that $d^{\prime}$ has degree 3 (use $d_{4}$ instead). Assume that the three vertices adjacent to $d_{4}$ are all degree 4 vertices $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}$. Then there is a vertex $d_{i}$ among them such that $d_{i}$ is adjacent to at most one of $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, and so $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{i}}\right| \leq 8$ because $N V_{3}\left(a, d_{1}\right)+\left|V_{4}\left(a, d_{1}\right)\right| \geq 5$. Assume that exactly two vertices adjacent to $d_{4}$ are degree 4 vertices $d_{1}, d_{2}$ with the assumption that the fourth extra edge is not adjacent to $d_{1}$. To be $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \geq 9, d_{1}$ must be adjacent to both $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, and furthermore $c_{1}$ is adjacent to either $d_{2}$ or $d_{3}$, and $c_{2}$ is adjacent to the other. Since $\left|V_{4}\left(a, d_{3}\right)\right| \geq 2, \widehat{G}_{a, d_{3}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(d_{1} d_{2} d_{4}\right)$. It remains to consider the case that the vertices adjacent to $d_{4}$ are one degree 4 vertex $d_{1}$ and two degree 3 vertices $d_{5}, d_{6}$. If $d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ are connected by the fourth extra edge, the rest six edges adjacent to $d_{2}$ or $d_{3}$ are also adjacent to the five vertices adjacent to $a$ producing a 3 -cycle. Thus we may assume that no extra edge is adjacent to $d_{2}$. To be $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{2}}\right| \geq 9, d_{2}$ must be adjacent to both $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, and furthermore $c_{1}$ is adjacent to either $d_{1}$ or $d_{3}$, and $c_{2}$ is adjacent to the other. Since $\left|V_{4}\left(a, d_{3}\right)\right| \geq 2, \widehat{G}_{a, d_{3}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(d_{1} d_{2} d_{4}\right)$. Similarly to be $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{3}}\right| \geq 9, d_{3} \sim d_{1}$ as in Figure $\mathbb{\square}$ (e). Now the rest four edges adjacent to $d_{5}$ or $d_{6}$ are also adjacent to the three vertices $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$, so we may say that $b_{1}$ is adjacent to both $d_{5}$ and $d_{6}$. Then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$ has at most nine edges with a 2 -cycle or a 3 -cycle containing $d_{5}$ and $d_{6}$.

### 4.2.3. Type III.

Let $C$ be the cycle consisting of the four extra edges. If there is a vertex, say $d_{1}$, of degree 4 in $\bar{V}(a)$ away from $C$, vertex $d_{1}$ must be adjacent to both $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ by Claim and furthermore $c_{1}$ is adjacent to either $d_{2}$ or $d_{3}$, and $c_{2}$ is adjacent to the other for being $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{2}}\right| \geq 9$. Let $d_{i}$ be the remaining vertex of $\bar{V}(a)$ not in $C \cup\left\{d_{1}\right\}$. Vertex $d_{i}$ must be adjacent to all $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$ because all edges adjacent to $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are occupied. This contradicts to Claim [1.

Without loss of generality, $C=\left(d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} d_{4}\right)$ where only $d_{4}$ has degree 3 . By Claim $\mathbb{1}$, each vertex $d_{5}$ and $d_{6}$ of degree 3 is adjacent to at least one of $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. Furthermore $d_{1}$ (and similarly $d_{3}$ ) is adjacent to either $c_{1}$ or $c_{2}$, for otherwise $\left|V_{4}\left(a, d_{1}\right)\right|=2$ and so $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \leq 8$.

If $c_{1}$ adjacent to $d_{1}$ is adjacent to one of $d_{5}$ and $d_{6}$, then $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \leq 8$ because of $\left|V_{Y}\left(a, d_{1}\right)\right|=1$. Therefore $c_{1}$ is adjacent to both $d_{1}$ and $d_{3}$ as in Figure 9 (a), and so $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{2}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3-cycle $\left(d_{1} d_{3} d_{4}\right)$.

(a)

(b)

Figure 9. Types III and IV

### 4.2.4. Type IV.

By Claim [, we may assume $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$ are adjacent to $\bar{V}(a)$ as in Figure 9 (b). Suppose that $d_{2}^{\prime}$ has degree 4 and is adjacent to $c_{1}$. By considering $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{2}^{\prime}}$, both $d_{1}$ and $d_{3}$ have degree 4 , for otherwise $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{2}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(d_{4}^{\prime} d_{5}^{\prime} d_{6}^{\prime}\right)$. Now $c_{1}$ is adjacent to one vertex $d_{i}^{\prime}$ among the degree 3 vertices of $\bar{V}(a)$. Since $\left|V_{Y}\left(a, d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right|=1, \widehat{G}_{a, d_{2}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 2 -cycle.

Now consider that $d_{2}^{\prime}$ has degree 3. If $d_{1}^{\prime}$ has degree 4 , then $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}^{\prime}}\right| \leq 8$. Thus $d_{1}^{\prime}$ and similarly $d_{3}^{\prime}$ have degree 3 . Therefore $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{2}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle ( $d_{4}^{\prime} d_{5}^{\prime} d_{6}^{\prime}$ ).

### 4.2.5. Type $V$.

Let $d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{5}^{\prime}$ denote the five vertices appeared successively on the path of length 4 consisting of the edges of $\bar{E}(a)$. Let $d_{6}^{\prime}$ be the remaining vertex. By Claim (1, we may assume that $b_{1} \nsim d_{6}^{\prime}$. Then $b_{1}$ must be adjacent to $d_{1}^{\prime}, d_{3}^{\prime}, d_{5}^{\prime}$ as in Figure 10 (a). If $d_{1}^{\prime}$ has degree $4, d_{1}^{\prime}$ must be adjacent to both $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. For otherwise, $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(d_{3}^{\prime} d_{4}^{\prime} d_{5}^{\prime}\right)$. Furthermore $d_{2}^{\prime}$ has degree 4 because, if not, $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}^{\prime}}\right| \leq 8$. In this case, at least one of $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ is adjacent to a degree 3 vertex $d_{i}^{\prime}$ or they are
adjacent to the remaining degree 4 vertex $d_{j}^{\prime}, j \neq 1,2$. In both cases, $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle ( $d_{3}^{\prime} d_{4}^{\prime} d_{5}^{\prime}$ ) (it may be a loop or 2 -cycle).

So we may assume that $d_{1}^{\prime}$ (similarly $d_{5}^{\prime}$ ) has degree 3 , and without loss of generality $d_{2}^{\prime}$ has degree 4 . We further assume that $d_{3}^{\prime}$ has degree 4 , for otherwise, $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{2}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle ( $b_{1} d_{4}^{\prime} d_{5}^{\prime}$ ). If $d_{6}^{\prime}$ has degree 4 , it must be adjacent to $b_{2}, b_{3}, c_{1}, c_{2}$. Moreover $c_{1}$ is adjacent to either $d_{2}^{\prime}$ or $d_{3}^{\prime}$, and $c_{2}$ is adjacent to the other, for otherwise, $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{6}^{\prime}}\right| \leq 8$. Now we assume that $d_{2}^{\prime} \sim b_{2}$. Since $d_{4}^{\prime}$ has degree $3, \widehat{G}_{a, d_{3}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle ( $b_{2} d_{2}^{\prime} d_{6}^{\prime}$ ) as in Figure 10 (b). Therefore $d_{6}^{\prime}$ has degree 3 and so $d_{4}^{\prime}$ has degree 4 .
Suppose that $b_{2} \nsim d_{6}^{\prime}$. As $b_{1}$, it must be adjacent to $d_{1}^{\prime}, d_{3}^{\prime}, d_{5}^{\prime}$. Then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{3}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 2 -cycle $\left(d_{1}^{\prime} d_{5}^{\prime}\right)$. Without loss of generality, $d_{6}^{\prime}$ is adjacent to $b_{2}, b_{3}, c_{1}$. If $c_{2} \nsim d_{2}^{\prime},\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{2}^{\prime}}\right| \leq 8$ since $\left|V_{4}\left(a, d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left|V_{Y}\left(a, d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right|=2$. Therefore $c_{2} \sim d_{2}^{\prime}$, and similarly $c_{2} \sim d_{4}^{\prime}$. If $d_{3}^{\prime} \sim b_{2}$ (or similarly $d_{3}^{\prime} \sim b_{3}$ ), $b_{2}$ must be adjacent to either $d_{1}^{\prime}$ or $d_{5}^{\prime}$, say $b_{2} \sim d_{1}^{\prime}$. Then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{2}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3-cycle $\left(b_{1} b_{2} d_{3}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore $d_{3}^{\prime} \sim c_{1}$ as in Figure 10 (c).

Finally, if $d_{1}^{\prime}$ and $d_{5}^{\prime}$ are adjacent to the same vertex $b_{2}$ (or $b_{3}$ ), then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{3}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(b_{2} d_{1}^{\prime} d_{5}^{\prime}\right)$. Without loss of generality, $b_{2}$ is adjacent to both $d_{1}^{\prime}$ and $d_{4}^{\prime}$, and $b_{3}$ is adjacent to both $d_{2}^{\prime}$ and $d_{5}^{\prime}$. Then $G$ is homeomorphic to Cousin 97 of the $E_{9}+e$ family.

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 10. Type V

### 4.2.6. Type VI.

Let $d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{4}^{\prime}$ denote the four vertices appeared successively on the path of length 3 consisting of the edges of $\bar{E}(a)$, and the remaining vertices $d_{5}^{\prime}, d_{6}^{\prime}$ are connected by an edge of $\bar{E}(a)$. Each degree 4 vertex $b_{i}$ is adjacent to two vertices among $d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{4}^{\prime}$ and one of $d_{5}^{\prime}, d_{6}^{\prime}$. Consider the number $\left|V_{4}\left(a, d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left|V_{4}\left(a, d_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right|$ which must be 3 or 2 by Claim 1 . Without loss of generality, either case is drawn as Figure 11 (a) or (b), respectively.

For the first case (a), $d_{2}^{\prime}$ and $d_{4}^{\prime}$ have degree 4 , for otherwise $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{3}^{\prime}}\right| \leq 8$. Furthemore $d_{4}^{\prime}$ is adjacent to both $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ and we may assume that $d_{2}^{\prime} \sim c_{1}$. Therefore $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{3}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(b_{3} d_{2}^{\prime} d_{4}^{\prime}\right)$.

For the second case (b), If $d_{2}^{\prime}$ has degree 3 , then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(b_{3} d_{3}^{\prime} d_{4}^{\prime}\right)$. So $d_{2}^{\prime}$ and similarly $d_{3}^{\prime}$ have degree 4 . Assume


Figure 11. Type VI
that $d_{2}^{\prime} \sim c_{1}$ and $d_{3}^{\prime} \sim c_{2}$. If $d_{1}^{\prime}$ has degree 4 , then $d_{1}^{\prime} \sim c_{2}$. Since $V_{Y}\left(a, d_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is nonempty, $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{2}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(b_{1} d_{1}^{\prime} d_{3}^{\prime}\right)$. So $d_{1}^{\prime}$ and similarly $d_{4}^{\prime}$ have degree 3 . Assume that $d_{5}^{\prime}$ and $d_{6}^{\prime}$ have degree 4 and 3 , respectively. Now $d_{5}^{\prime}$ is adjacent to both $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, for otherwise $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{5}^{\prime}}\right| \leq 8$. If $d_{5}^{\prime} \sim b_{1}$, then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{5}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(d_{1}^{\prime} d_{2}^{\prime} d_{3}^{\prime}\right)$. Finally $d_{6}^{\prime} \sim b_{1}$ and similarly $d_{6}^{\prime} \sim b_{3}$, and so $d_{5}^{\prime} \sim b_{2}$ as drawn in Figure 11(c). This graph is a previously unknown intrinsically knotted graph $U_{12}$ in Figure 4 .

## 4.3. $\left|V_{4}(a)\right|=4$ case.

In this case, we have exactly two triangle-free intrinsically knotted graphs Cousin 99 of the $E_{9}+e$ family (Figure 3) and $U_{12}^{\prime}$ (Figure 4).

The set $V(a)$ consists of degree 4 vertices $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}$ and degree 3 vertex $c_{1}$, and the set $\bar{V}(a)$ consists of degree 4 vertices $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and degree 3 vertices $d_{3}, d_{4}, d_{5}, d_{6}$. We first introduce three useful claims.

Claim 2. $d_{1} \nsim d_{2}$.
Proof. Suppose instead $d_{1} \sim d_{2}$. Without loss of generality, we assume $c_{1} \nsim d_{1}$ since $G$ is a triangle-free graph. We divide into three cases according to $\left|V_{4}\left(a, d_{1}\right)\right|$ as in Figure 12 .


Figure 12. Three cases for Claim 2
First, assume that $d_{1}$ is adjacent to $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$. Consider $V_{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$. If $V_{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$ has two vertices, say $d_{3}, d_{4}$, then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$ has at most nine edges with a 2-cycle $\left(d_{3} d_{4}\right)$. If $V_{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$ is empty, then $\widehat{G}_{b_{1}, b_{2}}$ has at most nine edges with a degree 4 vertex $d_{2}$. Therefore $\left|V_{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right|=1$ and similarly
$\left|V_{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{3}\right)\right|=\left|V_{3}\left(b_{2}, b_{3}\right)\right|=1$. Therefore we have two configurations drawn as Figure 13,


Figure 13. Two configurations in the first case
For Figure 13 (a), $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(d_{3} d_{4} d_{5}\right)$. For Figure 13 (b), $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \leq 9$ and so we only need to handle that $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$ is $K_{3,3}$. In the process of the restoring method, we construct $G_{a, d_{1}}$ which is drawn as Figure 14 (a). In details, there are four dotted degree 2 vertices and six degree 3 vertices which are colored by black and white related to the vertex partition of $K_{3,3}$. Note that three vertices $d_{4}, d_{5}, d_{6}$ are in the same partition because of the three dotted edges. Then there is a unique way to connect $b_{4}$ and the three black vertices, and also $d_{2}$ is connected to these black vertices by the remaining two extra edges and the path via $c_{1}$. Now we obtain the graph $G$ by restoring vertices $a$ and $d_{1}$ and the adjacent nine edges. Then $\widehat{G}_{b_{3}, d_{2}}$ has eight edges.

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 14. Restoring methods for Claim [2
Second, assume that $d_{1}$ is adjacent to $b_{1}, b_{2}, d_{3}$. If $V_{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$ has two vertices, say $d_{3}, d_{4}$, then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$ has at most nine edges with a 2 -cycle $\left(d_{3} d_{4}\right)$. We assume without loss of generality that $V_{3}\left(b_{1}\right)=\left\{d_{4}, d_{5}\right\}$ and $V_{3}\left(b_{2}\right)=$ $\left\{d_{5}, d_{6}\right\}$. The remaining edge adjacent to $d_{5}$ must be adjacent to a degree 4 vertex. For otherwise, $\widehat{G}_{b_{1}, b_{2}}$ has at most nine edges with a degree 4 vertex $d_{2}$. If $d_{5} \sim d_{2}$, then $\left|V_{4}\left(a, d_{2}\right)\right|+\left|V_{Y}\left(a, d_{2}\right)\right|=2$, and so $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{2}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(b_{1} b_{2} d_{1}\right)$. Therefore $d_{5} \sim b_{3}$ (or similarly for $d_{5} \sim b_{4}$ ). Following the restoring method, we construct $G_{a, d_{1}}$ as drawn
in Figure 14 (b). In this case, $b_{3}, d_{4}, d_{6}$ are in the same partition and the rest of the construction is similar to the previous case. From $G$ obtained by restoring the vertices and edges, we have $\widehat{G}_{b_{2}, d_{2}}$ with nine edges and a degree 4 vertex $a$.

Third, assume that $d_{1}$ is adjacent to $b_{1}, d_{3}, d_{4}$, and so $V_{3}\left(b_{1}\right)=\left\{d_{5}, d_{6}\right\}$. If $d_{2}$ is adjacent to $b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}$, we already handle in the first case of this proof. Therefore we may assume that $d_{2}$ is adjacent to $b_{2}, b_{3}, c_{1}$. Following the restoring method, we construct $G_{a, d_{1}}$ as drawn in Figure 14 (c). In this case, $b_{2}, b_{3}$ are in the same partition and $d_{5}, d_{6}$ are in different partitions. We assume that $b_{2}, b_{3}, d_{6}$ are in the same partition, and similarly construct the rest. From the restored graph $G$, we get $\widehat{G}_{b_{2}, b_{4}}$ with nine edges and a degree 4 vertex $d_{2}$.

Claim 3. At least one extra edge is adjacent to $d_{i}, i=1,2$.
Proof. Suppose instead that $\left|V(a) \cap V\left(d_{1}\right)\right|=4$. If $\left|V_{4}\left(a, d_{1}\right)\right|=4$ then $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \leq 8$. So we assume that $d_{1}$ is adjacent to $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, c_{1}$. If $V_{Y}\left(a, d_{1}\right)$ is not empty, then $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \leq 8$. Thus $c_{1} \sim d_{2}$ by an edge $e$. Let $d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{5}^{\prime}$, denote five vertices of $\bar{V}(a)$ excluding $d_{1}$, and the three extra edges are arranged by three ways (I), (II) and (III) as described in Figure 15, Note that we do not distinguish $d_{2}$ from the other four degree 3 vertices.


Figure 15. Three cases according to the way $\bar{E}(H)$ is connected in Claim 3

Following the restoring method, in three cases (I), (II) and (III), we easily obtain $G_{a, d_{1}}$ as drawn in Figure 15 (a), (b) and (c), respectively. Now we obtain the graph $G$ by restoring the nine edges adjacent to $a$ and $d_{1}$ and
one more edge $e$ connecting $c_{1}$ and one among $d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{5}^{\prime}$. In the figure (a), if $c_{1} \sim d_{1}^{\prime}$, then $\widehat{G}_{b_{1}, d_{1}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with degree 4 vertex $a$. If $c_{1} \sim d_{2}^{\prime}$, then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{2}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 2 -cycle $\left(d_{3}^{\prime} d_{4}^{\prime}\right)$. If $c_{1} \sim d_{5}^{\prime}$, then $\widehat{G}_{b_{1}, b_{2}}$ has at most ten edges with two distinct 2-cycles $\left(b_{3} c_{1}\right)$ and $\left(b_{4} d_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. In the figure (b), if $c_{1} \sim d_{1}^{\prime}$ (and similarly $c_{1} \sim d_{3}^{\prime}$ ), then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle ( $b_{3} d_{1} d_{4}^{\prime}$ ). If $c_{1} \sim d_{2}^{\prime}$, then $\widehat{G}_{b_{2}, b_{3}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(a b_{1} c_{1}\right)$. If $c_{1} \sim d_{4}^{\prime}$, then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}^{\prime}}$ has at most ten edges with a 2 -cycles $\left(d_{1} d_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ and a 3 -cycle $\left(b_{3} d_{1} d_{4}^{\prime}\right)$. If $c_{1} \sim d_{5}^{\prime}$, then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{5}^{\prime}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(b_{2} b_{3} d_{1}\right)$. In the figure (c), if $c_{1} \sim d_{i}^{\prime}$, $i=1, \ldots, 5$, then the reader easily check that $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{i}^{d}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle in each case.
Claim 4. $c_{1}$ is adjacent to both $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$.
Proof. Suppose instead that $c_{1} \nsim d_{1}$. Then $\left|\widehat{E}_{a, d_{1}}\right| \leq 8$ because $d_{1} \nsim d_{2}$.
Since $|\bar{E}(a)|=3$ and $|\bar{V}(a)|=6$, by Claims 2 and 3, we distinguish the induced subgraph $H$ of $G$ whose vertices set is $\bar{V}(a)$ into seven subcases according to the connection of three extra edges of $\bar{E}(a)$ as drawn in Figure 16. In details, if $H$ has a vertex of degree $3, d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ must be vertices of degree 1 , so we may say that $d_{3}$ is adjacent to $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{4}$ as in Type I. If $H$ has all disconnected three edges, it has Type II. If $H$ has consecutive three edges, it has two Types III and IV because $d_{1} \nsim d_{2}$. Finally, $H$ has three Types V, VI and VII when only two edges are connected. Recall that $c_{1}$ is adjacent to $d_{1}, d_{2}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $d_{1}$ is adjacent to $b_{1}, b_{2}$.


Figure 16. Seven types according to the connection of $\bar{E}(a)$
For Type I, we claim that $d_{2}$ is adjacent to $b_{3}, b_{4}$. Suppose instead that $d_{2} \sim b_{1}$. We have two choices for the remaining edge adjacent to $b_{1}$. If $b_{1} \sim d_{4}, \widehat{G}_{a, d_{4}}$ has at most ten edges, three edges among them connect $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$. If $b_{1} \sim d_{5}, \widehat{G}_{a, d_{5}}$ has at most ten edges with a 2 -cycle $\left(d_{1} d_{2}\right)$ and a 3 -cycle $\left(d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}\right)$. Following the restoring method, we construct $G_{a, d_{1}}$ as drawn in Figure 17 (a). In this case, $b_{3}, b_{4}, d_{4}$ are in the same partition. In the restored $G$, we have $\widehat{G}_{b_{1}, b_{2}}$ with nine edges and a 2 -cycle $\left(b_{3} b_{4}\right)$.

For Type II, $d_{4}$ is adjacent to $b_{3}, b_{4}$ since $G$ is triangle-free. Following the restoring method, we construct $G_{a, d_{1}}$ as drawn in Figure 17 (b). In this
case, without loss of generality, we assume that $d_{2}, b_{3}, d_{5}$ are in the same partition. Then the restored $G$ is homeomorphic to Cousin 99 of the $E_{9}+e$ family as drawn in Figure 17 (c).


Figure 17. Types I and II
For Type III, we consider the remaining edge adjacent to $d_{3}$. If $d_{3} \sim b_{1}$ (or similarly $b_{2}$ ), $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{2}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(b_{1} d_{1} d_{4}\right)$. So $d_{3} \sim b_{3}$ (or $b_{4}$ ), and so $\widehat{G}_{b_{3}, d_{1}}$ has at most nine edges with a degree 4 vertex $a$.

For Type IV, we say that $d_{4} \sim b_{3}$ since $G$ is triangle-free. If $b_{4} \nsim d_{2}$, $N V_{3}\left(b_{4}, d_{1}\right)=5$ and so $\widehat{G}_{b_{4}, d_{1}}$ has at most nine edges with a degree 4 vertex $a$. Therefore $b_{4}$ is adjacent to $d_{2}, d_{5}, d_{6}$. If $b_{3} \sim d_{3}, \widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(b_{3} d_{2} d_{3}\right)$. Thus $b_{3}$ is adjacent to $d_{5}, d_{6}$. But, $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{2}}$ has at most nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(b_{3} d_{5} d_{6}\right)$.

For Type V, we assume that $d_{3} \sim b_{3}$ and further assume that $b_{3}$ is adjacent to $d_{5}, d_{6}$ since $G$ is triangle-free. Following the restoring method, we construct $G_{a, d_{1}}$ as drawn in Figure 18 (a). In this case, $d_{2}, d_{5}, d_{6}$ are in the same partition. Then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{2}}$ has nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(b_{3} d_{5} d_{6}\right)$.

For Type VI, we assume that $d_{4} \sim b_{3}$ and so $b_{3} \sim d_{6}$. Furthermore $b_{4}$ is adjacent to $d_{5}, d_{6}$. If $d_{2}$ is adjacent to both $b_{3}$ and $b_{4}$, then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{2}}$ has nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(d_{4} d_{5} d_{6}\right)$. So $d_{2}$ is adjacent to $b_{1}$ or $b_{2}$. Following the restoring method, we construct $G_{a, d_{1}}$ as drawn in Figure 18 (b). In this case, $d_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}$ are in the same partition. Then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{2}}$ has nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(b_{3} b_{4} d_{6}\right)$.

For Type VII, $d_{5}$ should be adjacent to $b_{3}, b_{4}$. Following the restoring method, $G_{a, d_{1}}$ is constructed as drawn in Figure 18 (c). In this case, $d_{2}, b_{3}, d_{6}$ are in the same partition. Then the restored $G$ is as drawn in Figure 18 (d). This graph is a previously unknown intrinsically knotted graph $U_{12}^{\prime}$ in Figure 4.

## 4.4. $\left|V_{4}(a)\right|=5$ case.

The set $V(a)$ consists of degree 4 vertices $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{5}$, and the set $\bar{V}(a)$ consists of a degree 4 vertex $d_{1}$ and degree 3 vertices $d_{2}, \ldots, d_{6}$. Now we distinguish into three cases according to the number of vertices of $V(a) \cap$ $V\left(d_{1}\right)$. First suppose that $\left|V(a) \cap V\left(d_{1}\right)\right|=2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $d_{1}$ is adjacent to $b_{1}, b_{2}, d_{2}, d_{3}$. We say that $b_{1}$ is adjacent


Figure 18. Types V, VI and VII
to $d_{4}, d_{5}$. If $b_{2}$ is adjacent to both $d_{4}$ and $d_{5}, \widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$ has nine edges with a 2 -cycle $\left(d_{4} d_{5}\right)$, so we assume that $b_{2}$ is adjacent to $d_{5}, d_{6}$. Following the restoring method, $G_{a, d_{1}}$ is constructed as drawn in Figure 19 (a). In this case, $b_{4}, d_{4}, d_{6}$ are in the same partition. Then $\widehat{G}_{b_{4}, b_{5}}$ has nine edges with a 3 -cycle ( $b_{1} b_{2} d_{5}$ ).


Figure 19. Two cases for $\left|V_{4}(a)\right|=5$
Suppose that $\left|V(a) \cap V\left(d_{1}\right)\right|=3$. We similarly assume that $d_{1}$ is adjacent to $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, d_{2}$. If $\left|V_{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right|=0, \widehat{G}_{b_{1}, b_{2}}$ has nine edges with a degree 4 vertex $b_{4}$. If $\left|V_{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right|=2, \widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$ has nine edges with a 2 -cycle. Therefore $\left|V_{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right|=\left|V_{3}\left(b_{1}, b_{3}\right)\right|=\left|V_{3}\left(b_{2}, b_{3}\right)\right|=1$. If the three vertices of these
three sets are all different, say $d_{3}, d_{4}, d_{5}$ (similar to Figure 13 (a)), then $\widehat{G}_{a, d_{1}}$ has nine edges with a 3 -cycle $\left(d_{3} d_{4} d_{5}\right)$. Therefore we may assume that $d_{3}$ is adjacent to $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$ (similar to Figure $13(\mathrm{~b})$ ). Following the restoring method, $G_{a, d_{1}}$ is constructed as drawn in Figure 19 (b). In this case, $d_{3}, d_{4}, d_{5}$ are in the same partition. Then $\widehat{G}_{b_{1}, b_{4}}$ has nine edges with a degree 4 vertex $b_{5}$.

Lastly, suppose that $\left|V(a) \cap V\left(d_{1}\right)\right|=4$ which are $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}$. As in the previous case, $\left|V_{3}\left(b_{i}, b_{j}\right)\right|=1$ for any different $i, j=1,2,3,4$. But, we can not construct the graph with this property. Finally we complete the proof.
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