

Waring–Goldbach Problem: One Square, Four Cubes and Higher Powers

Jinjiang Li* & Min Zhang[†]

Department of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology*[†]
Beijing 100083, P. R. China

Abstract: Let $\mathcal{P}_r$ denote an almost–prime with at most r prime factors, counted according to multiplicity. In this paper, it is proved that, for $12 \leq b \leq 35$ and for every sufficiently large odd integer N , the equation

$$N = x^2 + p_1^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^4 + p_6^b$$

is solvable with x being an almost–prime $\mathcal{P}_{r(b)}$ and the other variables primes, where $r(b)$ is defined in the Theorem. This result constitutes an improvement upon that of Lü and Mu.

Keywords: Waring–Goldbach problem; Hardy–Littlewood Method; almost–prime; sieve method

MR(2010) Subject Classification: 11P05, 11P32, 11P55, 11N36.

1 Introduction and main result

Let a, b and N be positive integers and define $H_{a,b}(N)$ to be the number of solutions of the following Diophantine equation

$$N = x_1^2 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 + x_4^3 + x_5^3 + x_6^a + x_7^b,$$

with all the variables x_j being positive integers. In 1981, Hooley [7] obtained an asymptotic formula for $H_{3,5}(N)$. In 1991, from Brüdern’s work, Lu [12] get the asymptotic formula for $H_{3,b}(N)$. In addition, by using a sort of pruning technique, Lu [12] established the asymptotic formula for $H_{4,b}(N)$ ($4 \leq b \leq 6$) and gave the lower bound estimates of the expected order of magnitude for $H_{4,b}(N)$ ($7 \leq b \leq 17$), $H_{5,b}(N)$ ($5 \leq b \leq 9$)

[†]Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: jinjiang.li.math@gmail.com (J. Li), min.zhang.math@gmail.com (M. Zhang).

and $H_{6,b}(N)$ ($6 \leq b \leq 7$). Motivated by the work of Lu [12], Dashkevich [5] obtained the asymptotic formula for $H_{6,8}(N)$. In view of the results of Hooley, Lu and A. M. Dashkevich, it is reasonable to conjecture that, for every sufficiently large odd integer N the following equation

$$N = p_1^2 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^3 + p_6^a + p_7^b \quad (3 \leq a \leq b) \quad (1.1)$$

is solvable, where and below the letter p , with or without subscript, always denotes a prime number. But this conjecture is perhaps out of reach at present. However, it is possible to replace a variable by an almost-prime. In 2016, Lü and Mu [13] employed the sieve theory and the Hardy–Littlewood method to obtain the following approximation to the conjecture (1.1).

Theorem 1.1 (Lü and Mu, 2016) *Let a and b be positive integers such that*

$$\frac{5}{18} < \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} \leq \frac{1}{3}. \quad (1.2)$$

For every sufficiently large odd integer N , let $\mathcal{R}_{a,b}(N)$ denote the number of solutions of the following equation

$$N = x^2 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^3 + p_6^a + p_7^b \quad (1.3)$$

with x being an almost-prime $\mathcal{P}_{r(a,b)}$ and the other variables primes, where $r(a,b)$ is equal to $[\frac{4}{3}(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} - \frac{5}{18})^{-1}]$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{a,b}(N) \gg N^{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{13}{18}} \log^{-7} N.$$

Especially, if $a = 4$, then there holds $12 \leq b \leq 35$ from the condition (1.2), and the values of $r(4, b)$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} r(4, 12) &= 24, \quad r(4, 13) = 27, \quad r(4, 14) = 30, \quad r(4, 15) = 34, \quad r(4, 16) = 38, \\ r(4, 17) &= 42, \quad r(4, 18) = 48, \quad r(4, 19) = 53, \quad r(4, 20) = 60, \quad r(4, 21) = 67, \\ r(4, 22) &= 75, \quad r(4, 23) = 84, \quad r(4, 24) = 96, \quad r(4, 25) = 109, \quad r(4, 26) = 124, \\ r(4, 27) &= 144, \quad r(4, 28) = 168, \quad r(4, 29) = 198, \quad r(4, 30) = 240, \quad r(4, 31) = 297, \\ r(4, 32) &= 384, \quad r(4, 33) = 528, \quad r(4, 34) = 816, \quad r(4, 35) = 1680. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we shall improve the result of Lü and Mu [13] in the cases $a = 4$, $12 \leq b \leq 35$ and establish the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 For $12 \leq b \leq 35$, let $\mathcal{R}_b(N)$ denote the number of solutions of the following equation

$$N = x^2 + p_1^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^4 + p_6^b \quad (1.4)$$

with x being an almost-prime $\mathcal{P}_{r(b)}$ and the other variables primes. Then, for sufficiently large odd integer N , we have

$$\mathcal{R}_b(N) \gg N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-7} N,$$

where

$$r(12) = 6, \quad r(13) = 7, \quad r(14) = 7, \quad r(15) = 7, \quad r(16) = 8, \quad r(17) = 8,$$

$$r(18) = 8, \quad r(19) = 8, \quad r(20) = 9, \quad r(21) = 9, \quad r(22) = 9, \quad r(23) = 10,$$

$$r(24) = 10, \quad r(25) = 10, \quad r(26) = 11, \quad r(27) = 11, \quad r(28) = 11, \quad r(29) = 12,$$

$$r(30) = 12, \quad r(31) = 13, \quad r(32) = 13, \quad r(33) = 14, \quad r(34) = 15, \quad r(35) = 17.$$

The proof of our result employs the Hardy–Littlewood circle method and Iwaniec’s linear sieve method, from which we can give a lower bound estimate of $\mathcal{R}_b(N)$, which is stronger than that of the result of Lü and Mu [13] and leads to the refinement.

2 Notation

Throughout this paper, N always denotes a sufficiently large odd integer; $\mathcal{P}_r$ denote an almost-prime with at most r prime factors, counted according to multiplicity; ε always denotes an arbitrary small positive constant, which may not be the same at different occurrences; γ denotes Euler’s constant; $f(x) \ll g(x)$ means that $f(x) = O(g(x))$; $f(x) \asymp g(x)$ means that $f(x) \ll g(x) \ll f(x)$; the letter p , with or without subscript, always stands for a prime number; the constants in the O -term and $\ll$ -symbol depend at most on ε . As usual, $\varphi(n)$, $\mu(n)$ and $\tau_k(n)$ denote Euler’s function, Möbius’ function and the k -dimensional divisor function, respectively. Especially, we write $\tau(n) = \tau_2(n)$. $p^\ell \parallel m$ means that $p^\ell \mid m$ but $p^{\ell+1} \nmid m$. We denote by $a(m)$ and $b(n)$ arithmetical functions satisfying $|a(m)| \ll 1$ and $|b(n)| \ll 1$; (m, n) denotes the greatest common divisor of m and n ; $e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$. We always denote by χ a Dirichlet character $(\bmod q)$, and by χ^0 the principal Dirichlet character $(\bmod q)$. Let

$$A = 10^{100}, \quad Q_0 = \log^{20A} N, \quad Q_1 = N^{\frac{19}{36} - \frac{1}{b} + 50\varepsilon}, \quad Q_2 = N^{\frac{17}{36} + \frac{1}{b} - 50\varepsilon}, \quad D = N^{\frac{3}{4b} - \frac{1}{48} - 51\varepsilon},$$

$$z = D^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad U_k = \frac{1}{k} N^{\frac{1}{k}}, \quad U_3^* = \frac{1}{3} N^{\frac{5}{18}}, \quad F_3(\alpha) = \sum_{U_3 < n \leq 2U_3} e(n^3 \alpha),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_3^*(\alpha) &= \sum_{U_3^* < n \leq 2U_3^*} e(n^3 \alpha), \quad v_k(\beta) = \int_{U_k}^{2U_k} e(\beta u^k) du, \quad v_3^*(\beta) = \int_{U_3^*}^{2U_3^*} e(\beta u^3) du, \\
f_k(\alpha) &= \sum_{U_k < p \leq 2U_k} (\log p) e(p^k \alpha), \quad f_3^*(\alpha) = \sum_{U_3^* < p \leq 2U_3^*} (\log p) e(p^3 \alpha), \\
G_k(\chi, a) &= \sum_{n=1}^q \chi(n) e\left(\frac{an^k}{q}\right), \quad S_k^*(q, a) = G_k(\chi^0, a), \quad S_k(q, a) = \sum_{n=1}^q e\left(\frac{an^k}{q}\right), \\
\mathcal{J}(N) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v_2(\beta) v_3^2(\beta) v_3^{*2}(\beta) v_4(\beta) v_b(\beta) e(-\beta N) d\beta, \quad \mathcal{L} = \{n : U_2 < n \leq 2U_2\}, \\
f_2(\alpha, d) &= \sum_{U_2 < d\ell \leq 2U_2} e(\alpha(d\ell)^2), \quad h(\alpha) = \sum_{m \leq D^{2/3}} a(m) \sum_{n \leq D^{1/3}} b(n) f_2(\alpha, mn), \\
B_d(q, N) &= \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q S_2(q, ad^2) S_3^{*4}(q, a) S_4^*(q, a) S_b^*(q, a) e\left(-\frac{aN}{q}\right), \quad B(q, N) = B_1(q, N), \\
A_d(q, N) &= \frac{B_d(q, N)}{q\varphi^6(q)}, \quad A(q, N) = A_1(q, N), \quad \mathfrak{S}_d(N) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} A_d(q, N), \\
\mathfrak{S}(N) &= \mathfrak{S}_1(N), \quad \mathfrak{P} = \prod_{2 < p < z} p, \quad \log \mathbf{U} = (\log 2U_3)^2 (\log 2U_3^*)^2 (\log 2U_4) (\log 2U_b), \\
\log \mathbf{W} &= (\log U_3) (\log U_3^*)^2 (\log U_4) (\log U_b), \quad g_r(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathcal{N}_r \\ \ell p \in \mathcal{L}}} \frac{\log p}{\log \frac{U_2}{\ell}} e(\alpha(\ell p)^2), \\
\mathcal{M}_r &= \{m : U_2 < m \leq 2U_2, m = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r, z \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_r\}, \\
\mathcal{N}_r &= \{m : m = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{r-1}, z \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_{r-1}, p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{r-2} p_{r-1}^2 \leq 2U_2\}.
\end{aligned}$$

3 Preliminary Lemmas

In order to prove Theorem we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $F(x)$ be a real differentiable function such that $F'(x)$ is monotonic, and $F'(x) \geq m > 0$, or $F'(x) \leq -m < 0$, throughout the interval $[a, b]$. Then we have*

$$\left| \int_a^b e^{iF(x)} dx \right| \leq \frac{4}{m}.$$

Proof. See Lemma 4.2 of Titchmarsh [15]. ■

Lemma 3.2 *Let $f(x)$ be a real differentiable function in the interval $[a, b]$. If $f'(x)$ is monotonic and satisfies $|f'(x)| \leq \theta < 1$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{a < n \leq b} e^{2\pi i f(n)} = \int_a^b e^{2\pi i f(x)} dx + O(1).$$

Proof. See Lemma 4.8 of Titchmarsh [15]. ■

Lemma 3.3 *Let $2 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_s$ be natural numbers such that*

$$\sum_{i=j+1}^s \frac{1}{k_i} \leq \frac{1}{k_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq s-1.$$

Then we have

$$\int_0^1 \left| \prod_{i=1}^s f_{k_i}(\alpha) \right|^2 d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{1}{k_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{k_s} + \varepsilon}.$$

Proof. See Lemma 1 of Brüdern [1]. ■

Lemma 3.4 *For $(a, q) = 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & S_j(q, a) \ll q^{1-\frac{1}{j}}; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & G_j(\chi, a) \ll q^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $(a, p) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii)} \quad & |S_j(p, a)| \leq ((j, p-1) - 1)\sqrt{p}; \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & |S_j^*(p, a)| \leq ((j, p-1) - 1)\sqrt{p} + 1; \\ \text{(v)} \quad & S_j^*(p^\ell, a) = 0 \text{ for } \ell \geq \gamma(p), \text{ where} \\ & \gamma(p) = \begin{cases} \theta + 2, & \text{if } p^\theta \parallel j, p \neq 2 \text{ or } p = 2, \theta = 0, \\ \theta + 3, & \text{if } p^\theta \parallel j, p = 2, \theta > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For (i) and (iii)–(iv), see Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 of Vaughan [17], respectively. For (ii), see Lemma 8.5 of Hua [8] or the Problem 14 of Chapter VI of Vinogradov [18]. For (v), see Lemma 8.3 of Hua [8]. ■

Lemma 3.5 *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \int_0^1 |F_3(\alpha) F_3^{*2}(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{8}{9}+\varepsilon}, & \text{(ii)} \quad & \int_0^1 |F_3(\alpha) F_3^*(\alpha)|^4 d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{13}{9}}, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & \int_0^1 |f_3(\alpha) f_3^{*2}(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{8}{9}+\varepsilon}, & \text{(iv)} \quad & \int_0^1 |f_3(\alpha) f_3^*(\alpha)|^4 d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{13}{9}} \log^8 N. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For (i), one can see the Theorem of Vaughan [16], and for (ii), one can see Lemma 2.4 of Cai [4]. Moreover, (iii) and (iv) follow from (i) and (ii) by considering the number of solutions of the underlying Diophantine equations, respectively. ■

Lemma 3.6 For $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \beta$, define

$$\mathfrak{N}(q, a) = \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qQ_0}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qQ_0} \right], \quad (3.1)$$

$$\Delta_4(\alpha) = f_4(\alpha) - \frac{S_4^*(q, a)}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{U_4 < n \leq 2U_4} e(\beta n^4), \quad (3.2)$$

$$W(\alpha) = \sum_{d \leq D} \frac{c(d)}{dq} S_2(q, ad^2) v_2(\beta), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$c(d) = \sum_{\substack{d=mn \\ m \leq D^{2/3} \\ n \leq D^{1/3}}} a(m)b(n) \ll \tau(d).$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{N}(q,a)} |W(\alpha) \Delta_4(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^{-100A} N \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{N}(q,a)} |W(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll \log^{21A} N. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. For (3.4) and (3.5), one can refer to Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 of Li and Cai [11], respectively. ■

Lemma 3.7 For $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \beta$, define

$$V_k(\alpha) = \frac{S_k^*(q, a)}{\varphi(q)} v_k(\beta), \quad (3.6)$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{N}(q,a)} |V_4(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log^{21A} N, \quad (3.7)$$

where $\mathfrak{N}(q, a)$ is defined by (3.1).

Proof. See (2.12) of Li and Cai [11]. ■

For $(a, q) = 1$, $1 \leq a \leq q \leq Q_2$, set

$$\mathfrak{M}(q, a) = \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qQ_2}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qQ_2} \right], \quad \mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0^5} \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ (a,q)=1}} \mathfrak{M}(q, a),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{M}_0(q, a) &= \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{Q_0}{N}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{Q_0}{N} \right], & \mathfrak{M}_0 &= \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0^5} \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ (a, q) = 1}} \mathfrak{M}_0(q, a), \\
\mathfrak{I}_0 &= \left(-\frac{1}{Q_2}, 1 - \frac{1}{Q_2} \right], & \mathfrak{m}_0 &= \mathfrak{M} \setminus \mathfrak{M}_0, \\
\mathfrak{m}_1 &= \bigcup_{Q_0^5 < q \leq Q_1} \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ (a, q) = 1}} \mathfrak{M}(q, a), & \mathfrak{m}_2 &= \mathfrak{I}_0 \setminus (\mathfrak{M} \cup \mathfrak{m}_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get the Farey dissection

$$\mathfrak{I}_0 = \mathfrak{M}_0 \cup \mathfrak{m}_0 \cup \mathfrak{m}_1 \cup \mathfrak{m}_2. \quad (3.8)$$

Lemma 3.8 For $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \beta$, define

$$V_3^*(\alpha) = \frac{S_3^*(q, a)}{\varphi(q)} v_3^*(\beta).$$

Then $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \beta \in \mathfrak{M}_0$, we have

$$f_k(\alpha) = V_k(\alpha) + O(U_k \exp(-\log^{1/3} N)), \quad (3.9)$$

$$f_3^*(\alpha) = V_3^*(\alpha) + O(U_3^* \exp(-\log^{1/3} N)), \quad (3.10)$$

$$g_r(\alpha) = \frac{c_r(b)V_2(\alpha)}{\log U_2} + O(U_2 \exp(-\log^{1/3} N)), \quad (3.11)$$

where $V_k(\alpha)$ is defined (3.6), and

$$\begin{aligned}
c_r(b) &= (1 + O(\varepsilon)) \\
&\times \int_{r-1}^{\frac{73b-36}{36-b}} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \int_{r-2}^{t_1-1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2} \cdots \int_3^{t_{r-4}-1} \frac{dt_{r-3}}{t_{r-3}} \int_2^{t_{r-3}-1} \frac{\log(t_{r-2}-1)}{t_{r-2}} dt_{r-2}.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. By Siegel–Walfisz theorem and partial summation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
g_r(\alpha) &= \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathcal{N}_r \\ \ell p \in \mathcal{L}}} e\left(\left(\frac{a}{q} + \beta\right)(\ell p)^2\right) \frac{\log p}{\log \frac{U_2}{\ell}} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{h=1 \\ (h, q)=1}}^q e\left(\frac{ah^2}{q}\right) \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{N}_r} \frac{1}{\log \frac{U_2}{\ell}} \sum_{\substack{\frac{U_2}{\ell} < p \leq \frac{2U_2}{\ell} \\ \ell p \equiv h \pmod{q}}} (\log p) e(\beta(\ell p)^2) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{h=1 \\ (h, q)=1}}^q e\left(\frac{ah^2}{q}\right) \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{N}_r} \frac{1}{\log \frac{U_2}{\ell}} \int_{\frac{U_2}{\ell}}^{\frac{2U_2}{\ell}} e(\beta(\ell \nu)^2) d\left(\sum_{\substack{p \leq \nu \\ p \equiv h\ell^{-1} \pmod{q}}} \log p \right) \\
&= \frac{S_2^*(q, a)}{\varphi(q)} v_2(\beta) \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{N}_r} \frac{1}{\ell \log \frac{U_2}{\ell}} + O(U_2 \exp(-\log^{1/3} N)) \\
&= \frac{c_r(b)V_2(\alpha)}{\log U_2} + O(U_2 \exp(-\log^{1/3} N)).
\end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

This completes the proof of (3.11). Also, (3.9) and (3.10) can be proved in similar but simpler processes. ■

Lemma 3.9 *For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_2$, we have*

$$h(\alpha) \ll N^{\frac{17}{72} + \frac{1}{2b} - 24\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. By the estimate (4.5) of Lemma 4.2 in Brüdern and Kawada [3], we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} h(\alpha) &\ll \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau^2(q) \log^2 N}{(q + N|q\alpha - a|)^{1/2}} + N^{\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon} D^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &\ll N^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} Q_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} + N^{\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon} D^{\frac{2}{3}} \ll N^{\frac{17}{72} + \frac{1}{2b} - 24\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.9. ■

4 Mean Value Theorems

In this section, we shall prove the mean value theorems for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 4.1 *For $12 \leq b \leq 35$, define*

$$J(N, d) = \sum_{\substack{m^2 + p_1^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^4 + p_6^b = N \\ m \in \mathcal{L}, \quad m \equiv 0 \pmod{d} \\ U_3 < p_1, p_2 \leq 2U_3, U_3^* < p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_3^* \\ U_4 < p_5 \leq 2U_4, U_b < p_6 \leq 2U_b}} \prod_{j=1}^6 \log p_j.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{m \leq D^{2/3}} a(m) \sum_{n \leq D^{1/3}} b(n) \left(J(N, mn) - \frac{\mathfrak{S}_{mn}(N)}{mn} \mathcal{J}(N) \right) \ll N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-A} N.$$

Proof. Let

$$K(\alpha) = h(\alpha) f_3^2(\alpha) f_3^{*2}(\alpha) f_4(\alpha) f_b(\alpha) e(-N\alpha).$$

By the Farey dissection (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m \leq D^{2/3}} a(m) \sum_{n \leq D^{1/3}} b(n) J(N, mn) \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{I}_0} K(\alpha) d\alpha = \left(\int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} + \int_{\mathfrak{M}_1} + \int_{\mathfrak{M}_2} \right) K(\alpha) d\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

From Cauchy's inequality, Lemma 3.3 and (iii) of Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 |f_3^2(\alpha) f_3^{*2}(\alpha) f_4(\alpha) f_b(\alpha)| d\alpha$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll \left(\int_0^1 |f_3(\alpha)f_4(\alpha)f_b(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 |f_3(\alpha)f_3^{*2}(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\ll (N^{\frac{7}{12}+\frac{1}{b}+\varepsilon})^{1/2} (N^{\frac{8}{9}+\varepsilon})^{1/2} \ll N^{\frac{53}{72}+\frac{1}{2b}+\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

By Lemma 3.9 and (4.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathfrak{m}_2} K(\alpha) d\alpha &\ll \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_2} |h(\alpha)| \int_0^1 |f_3^2(\alpha)f_3^{*2}(\alpha)f_4(\alpha)f_b(\alpha)| d\alpha \\
&\ll N^{\frac{17}{72}+\frac{1}{2b}-24\varepsilon} \cdot N^{\frac{53}{72}+\frac{1}{2b}+\varepsilon} \ll N^{\frac{35}{36}+\frac{1}{b}-\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

From Theorem 4.1 of Vaughan [17], for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, we have

$$h(\alpha) = W(\alpha) + O(DQ_1^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}) = W(\alpha) + O(N^{\frac{35}{144}+\frac{1}{4b}-25\varepsilon}), \tag{4.4}$$

where $W(\alpha)$ is defined by (3.3). Define

$$K_1(\alpha) = W(\alpha)f_3^2(\alpha)f_3^{*2}(\alpha)f_4(\alpha)f_b(\alpha)e(-N\alpha). \tag{4.5}$$

Then, by (4.2) and (4.4), we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}_1} K(\alpha) d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1} K_1(\alpha) d\alpha + O(N^{\frac{47}{48}+\frac{3}{4b}-20\varepsilon}). \tag{4.6}$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{N}_0(q, a) = \left[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{N^{709/945}}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{N^{709/945}} \right], \quad \mathfrak{N}_0 = \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \bigcup_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \mathfrak{N}_0(q, a),$$

$$\mathfrak{N}_1(q, a) = \mathfrak{N}(q, a) \setminus \mathfrak{N}_0(q, a), \quad \mathfrak{N}_1 = \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \bigcup_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \mathfrak{N}_1(q, a),$$

$$\mathfrak{N} = \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \bigcup_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \mathfrak{N}(q, a),$$

where $\mathfrak{N}(q, a)$ is defined by (3.1). Then we have $\mathfrak{m}_1 \subset \mathfrak{I}_0 \subset \mathfrak{N}$. By the rational approximation theorem of Dirichlet, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathfrak{m}_1} K_1(\alpha) d\alpha &\ll \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{N}_0} |K_1(\alpha)| d\alpha + \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{N}_1} |K_1(\alpha)| d\alpha \\
&\ll \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{N}_0(q, a)} |K_1(\alpha)| d\alpha \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{N}_1(q, a)} |K_1(\alpha)| d\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$v_k(\beta) \ll \frac{U_k}{1 + |\beta|N}.$$

From the trivial inequality $(q, d^2) \leq (q, d)^2$ and above estimate, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |W(\alpha)| &\ll \sum_{d \leq D} \frac{\tau(d)}{d} (q, d^2)^{1/2} q^{-1/2} |v_2(\beta)| \\ &\ll \tau_3(q) q^{-1/2} |v_2(\beta)| \log^2 N \ll \frac{\tau_3(q) U_2 \log^2 N}{q^{1/2} (1 + |\beta|N)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Thus, for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}_1(q, a)$, we get

$$W(\alpha) \ll N^{\frac{473}{1890}} \log^2 N, \quad (4.9)$$

from which and (4.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{N}_1(q, a)} |K_1(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ &\ll N^{\frac{473}{1890}} \log^2 N \cdot \int_0^1 |f_3^2(\alpha) f_3^{*2}(\alpha) f_6(\alpha) f_7(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{6} - \varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

By Lemma 3.2, we derive

$$f_4(\alpha) = \Delta_4(\alpha) + V_4(\alpha) + O(1).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{N}_0(q, a)} |K_1(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ &\ll \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{N}_0(q, a)} |W(\alpha) \Delta_4(\alpha) f_3^2(\alpha) f_3^{*2}(\alpha) f_b(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{N}_0(q, a)} |W(\alpha) V_4(\alpha) f_3^2(\alpha) f_3^{*2}(\alpha) f_b(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{N}_0(q, a)} |W(\alpha) f_3^2(\alpha) f_3^{*2}(\alpha) f_b(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ &=: \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_3, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where $\Delta_4(\alpha)$ and $V_4(\alpha)$ are defined by (3.2) and (3.6), respectively.

It follows from Cauchy's inequality, (iv) of Lemma 3.5 and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 &\ll \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}_0} |f_b(\alpha)| \left(\sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{N}(q,a)} |W(\alpha) \Delta_4(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 |f_3(\alpha) f_3^*(\alpha)|^4 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll N^{\frac{1}{b}} (N^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^{-100A} N)^{1/2} (N^{\frac{13}{9}} \log^8 N)^{1/2} \ll N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-40A} N. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

From (4.8), we know that, for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, there holds

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_1} |W(\alpha)| \ll N^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^{-30A} N. \quad (4.13)$$

Therefore, by Cauchy's inequality, (3.7), (4.13) and (iv) of Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2 &\ll \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}_0} |f_b(\alpha)| \right) \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_1} |W(\alpha)| \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{N}(q,a)} |V_4(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^1 |f_3(\alpha) f_3^*(\alpha)|^4 d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \\ &\ll N^{\frac{1}{b}} \cdot N^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^{-30A} N \cdot (N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log^{21A} N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (N^{\frac{13}{9}} \log^8 N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-10A} N. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

From Cauchy's inequality, (3.5), and (iv) of Lemma 3.5, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_3 &\ll \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}_0} |f_b(\alpha)| \left(\sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{N}(q,a)} |W(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 |f_3(\alpha) f_3^*(\alpha)|^4 d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \\ &\ll N^{\frac{1}{b}} \cdot (\log^{21A} N)^{\frac{1}{2}} (N^{\frac{13}{9}} \log^8 N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll N^{\frac{13}{18} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{20A} N \ll N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-10A} N. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Combining (4.11), (4.12), (4.14) and (4.15), we can deduce that

$$\sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{N}_0(q,a)} |K_1(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-10A} N. \quad (4.16)$$

From (4.6), (4.7), (4.10) and (4.16) we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}_1} K(\alpha) d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-10A} N. \quad (4.17)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}_0} K(\alpha) d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-10A} N. \quad (4.18)$$

For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}_0$, define

$$K_0(\alpha) = W(\alpha) V_3^2(\alpha) V_3^{*2}(\alpha) V_4(\alpha) V_b(\alpha) e(-N\alpha).$$

Noticing that (4.4) still holds for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}_0$, it follows from (3.9), (3.10) and (4.4) that

$$K(\alpha) - K_0(\alpha) \ll N^{\frac{71}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \exp(-\log^{1/4} N).$$

By the above estimate, we derive that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} K(\alpha) d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} K_0(\alpha) d\alpha + O(N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-A} N). \quad (4.19)$$

By the well-known standard technique in the Hardy–Littlewood method, we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} K_0(\alpha) d\alpha = \sum_{m \leq D^{2/3}} a(m) \sum_{n \leq D^{1/3}} b(n) \frac{\mathfrak{S}_{mn}(N)}{mn} \mathcal{J}(N) + O(N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-A} N), \quad (4.20)$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}(N) \asymp N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}}. \quad (4.21)$$

From (4.1), (4.3), (4.17)–(4.21), the result of Proposition 4.1 follows. ■

In a similar way, we have

Proposition 4.2 *For $12 \leq b \leq 35$, define*

$$J_r(N, d) = \sum_{\substack{(\ell p)^2 + m^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^4 + p_6^b = N \\ \ell p \in \mathcal{L}, \ell \in \mathcal{N}_r, m \equiv 0 \pmod{d} \\ U_3 < p_2 \leq 2U_3, U_3^* < p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_3^* \\ U_4 < p_5 \leq 2U_4, U_b < p_6 \leq 2U_b}} \left(\frac{\log p}{\log \frac{U_2}{\ell}} \prod_{j=2}^6 \log p_j \right).$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{m \leq D^{2/3}} a(m) \sum_{n \leq D^{1/3}} b(n) \left(J_r(N, mn) - \frac{c_r(b) \mathfrak{S}_{mn}(N)}{mn \log U_2} \mathcal{J}(N) \right) \ll N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-A} N,$$

where $c_r(b)$ is defined by (3.12).

5 On the function $\omega(d)$

In this section, we shall investigate the function $\omega(d)$ which is defined in (5.12) and required in the proof of the Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 5.1 *Let $\mathfrak{R}(q, N)$ and $\mathfrak{L}(q, N)$ denote the number of solutions of the following congruences*

$$u_1^3 + u_2^3 + u_3^3 + u_4^3 + u_5^4 + p_6^b \equiv N \pmod{q}, \quad 1 \leq u_j \leq q, \quad (u_j, q) = 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 6,$$

and

$$x^2 + u_1^3 + u_2^3 + u_3^3 + u_4^3 + u_5^4 + p_6^b \equiv N \pmod{q}, \quad 1 \leq x, u_j \leq q, \quad (u_j, q) = 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 6,$$

respectively. Then we have $\mathfrak{L}(p, N) > \mathfrak{K}(p, N)$. Moreover, there holds

$$\mathfrak{L}(p, N) = p^6 + O(p^5), \quad (5.1)$$

$$\mathfrak{K}(p, N) = p^5 + O(p^4). \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{L}^*(q, N)$ denote the number of solutions to the following congruence

$$x^2 + u_1^3 + u_2^3 + u_3^3 + u_4^3 + u_5^4 + p_6^b \equiv N \pmod{q}, \quad 1 \leq x, u_j \leq q, \quad (xu_j, q) = 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 6.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} p \cdot \mathfrak{L}^*(p, N) &= \sum_{a=1}^p S_2^*(p, a) S_3^{*4}(p, a) S_4^*(p, a) S_b^*(p, a) e\left(-\frac{aN}{p}\right) \\ &= (p-1)^7 + E_p, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$E_p = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} S_2^*(p, a) S_3^{*4}(p, a) S_4^*(p, a) S_b^*(p, a) e\left(-\frac{aN}{p}\right).$$

By (iv) of Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$|E_p| \leq (p-1)^2(\sqrt{p}+1)(2\sqrt{p}+1)^4(3\sqrt{p}+1). \quad (5.4)$$

It is easy to verify that $|E_p| < (p-1)^7$ for $p \geq 13$, hence we have $\mathfrak{L}^*(p, N) > 0$ for $p \geq 13$. In addition, for $p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11$, we can check one by one directly by hand that $\mathfrak{L}^*(p, N) > 0$. Therefore, we have $\mathfrak{L}^*(p, N) > 0$ for every prime p , and

$$\mathfrak{L}(p, N) = \mathfrak{L}^*(p, N) + \mathfrak{K}(p, N) > \mathfrak{K}(p, N). \quad (5.5)$$

From (5.3) and (5.4), we deduce that

$$\mathfrak{L}^*(p, N) = p^6 + O(p^5). \quad (5.6)$$

By similar arguments that lead to (5.3) and (5.4), we have

$$\mathfrak{K}(p, N) = p^5 + O(p^4). \quad (5.7)$$

Combining (5.5)–(5.7), we get (5.1). This completes the proof of Lemma 5.1. ■

Lemma 5.2 *The series $\mathfrak{S}(N)$ is convergent and satisfying $\mathfrak{S}(N) > 0$.*

Proof. From (i) and (ii) of Lemma 3.4, we get

$$|A(q, N)| \ll \frac{|B(q, N)|}{q\varphi^6(q)} \ll \frac{q^{5/2+6\varepsilon}}{\varphi^5(q)} \ll \frac{q^{5/2+6\varepsilon}(\log \log q)^5}{q^5} \ll \frac{1}{q^2}.$$

Thus, the series

$$\mathfrak{S}(N) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} A(q, N)$$

converges absolutely. Noting that $A(q, N)$ is multiplicative in q and by (v) of Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\mathfrak{S}(N) = \prod_p (1 + A(p, N)). \quad (5.8)$$

From (iii) and (iv), we know that, for $p \geq 19$, there holds

$$|A(p, N)| \leq \frac{(p-1)^2 \sqrt{p}(2\sqrt{p}+1)^4(3\sqrt{p}+1)}{p(p-1)^6} \leq \frac{100}{p^2}.$$

Therefore, there holds

$$\prod_{p \geq 19} (1 + A(p, N)) \geq \prod_{p \geq 19} \left(1 - \frac{100}{p^2}\right) \geq c_1 > 0. \quad (5.9)$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$1 + A(p, N) = \frac{\mathfrak{L}(p, N)}{(p-1)^6}, \quad (5.10)$$

from which and (5.5), we have $1 + A(p, N) > 0$. Therefore, there holds

$$\prod_{p < 19} (1 + A(p, N)) \geq c_2 > 0. \quad (5.11)$$

Finally, from (5.8)–(5.11), we conclude that $\mathfrak{S}(N) > 0$. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.2. ■

In view of Lemma 5.2, we define

$$\omega(d) = \frac{\mathfrak{S}_d(N)}{\mathfrak{S}(N)}. \quad (5.12)$$

Similar to (5.8), we have

$$\mathfrak{S}_d(N) = \prod_p (1 + A_d(p, N)) = \prod_{p \nmid d} (1 + A_d(p, N)) \prod_{p|d} (1 + A_d(p, N)). \quad (5.13)$$

If $(d, q) = 1$, then we have $S_k(q, ad^k) = S_k(q, a)$. Moreover, if $p|d$, then we get $A_d(p, N) = A_p(p, N)$. Therefore, it follows from (5.8), (5.12) and (5.13) that

$$\omega(p) = \frac{1 + A_p(p, N)}{1 + A(p, N)}, \quad \omega(d) = \prod_{p|d} \omega(p). \quad (5.14)$$

Also, it is easy to show that

$$1 + A_p(p, N) = \frac{p}{(p-1)^6} \mathfrak{R}(p, N). \quad (5.15)$$

From (5.10), (5.14) and (5.15), we deduce that

$$\omega(p) = \frac{p \cdot \mathfrak{R}(p, N)}{\mathfrak{L}(p, N)}. \quad (5.16)$$

According to (5.1), (5.2), (5.14) and (5.16), we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3 *The function $\omega(d)$ is multiplicative and satisfies*

$$0 \leq \omega(p) < p, \quad \omega(p) = 1 + O(p^{-1}). \quad (5.17)$$

6 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, let $f(s)$ and $F(s)$ denote the classical functions in the linear sieve theory.

Then by (2.8) and (2.9) of Chapter 8 in [6], we have

$$F(s) = \frac{2e^\gamma}{s}, \quad 1 \leq s \leq 3; \quad f(s) = \frac{2e^\gamma \log(s-1)}{s}, \quad 2 \leq s \leq 4.$$

In the proof of Theorem 1.2, let $\lambda^\pm(d)$ be the lower and upper bounds for Rosser's weights of level D , hence for any positive integer d we have

$$|\lambda^\pm(d)| \leq 1, \quad \lambda^\pm(d) = 0 \quad \text{if } d > D \quad \text{or} \quad \mu(d) = 0.$$

For further properties of Rosser's weights we refer to Iwaniec [9]. Let

$$\mathcal{V}(z) = \prod_{2 < p < z} \left(1 - \frac{\omega(p)}{p}\right).$$

Then from Lemma 5.3 and Mertens' prime number theorem (See [14]) we obtain

$$\mathcal{V}(z) \asymp \frac{1}{\log N}. \quad (6.1)$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 6.1 *Under the condition (5.17), then if $z \leq D$, there holds*

$$\sum_{d|\mathfrak{P}} \frac{\lambda^-(d)\omega(d)}{d} \geq \mathcal{V}(z) \left(f\left(\frac{\log D}{\log z}\right) + O(\log^{-1/3} D) \right), \quad (6.2)$$

and if $z \leq D^{1/2}$, there holds

$$\sum_{d|\mathfrak{P}} \frac{\lambda^+(d)\omega(d)}{d} \leq \mathcal{V}(z) \left(F\left(\frac{\log D}{\log z}\right) + O(\log^{-1/3} D) \right). \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. See (12) and (13) of Lemma 3 in Iwaniec [10]. ■

Let $M(b) = \lfloor \frac{72b}{36-b} \rfloor$. From the definition of $\mathcal{M}_r$, we know that $r \leq M(b)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_b(N) &\geq \sum_{\substack{m^2+p_1^3+p_2^3+p_3^3+p_4^3+p_5^4+p_6^b=N \\ m \in \mathcal{L}, (m, \mathfrak{P})=1 \\ U_3 < p_1, p_2 \leq 2U_3, U_3^* < p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_3^* \\ U_4 < p_5 \leq 2U_4, U_b < p_6 \leq 2U_b}} 1 - \sum_{r=r(b)+1}^{M(b)} \sum_{\substack{m^2+p_1^3+p_2^3+p_3^3+p_4^3+p_5^4+p_6^b=N \\ m \in \mathcal{M}_r, U_4 < p_5 \leq 2U_4, U_b < p_6 \leq 2U_b \\ U_3 < p_1, p_2 \leq 2U_3, U_3^* < p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_3^*}} 1 \\
&=: \Gamma_0 - \sum_{r=r(b)+1}^{M(b)} \Gamma_r. \tag{6.4}
\end{aligned}$$

By the property of Rosser's weight $\lambda^-(d)$ and Proposition 4.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_0 &\geq \frac{1}{\log \mathbf{U}} \sum_{\substack{m^2+p_1^3+p_2^3+p_3^3+p_4^3+p_5^4+p_6^b=N \\ m \in \mathcal{L}, (m, \mathfrak{P})=1 \\ U_3 < p_1, p_2 \leq 2U_3, U_3^* < p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_3^* \\ U_4 < p_5 \leq 2U_4, U_b < p_6 \leq 2U_b}} \prod_{j=1}^6 \log p_j \\
&= \frac{1}{\log \mathbf{U}} \sum_{\substack{m^2+p_1^3+p_2^3+p_3^3+p_4^3+p_5^4+p_6^b=N \\ m \in \mathcal{L}, U_4 < p_5 \leq 2U_4, U_b < p_6 \leq 2U_b \\ U_3 < p_1, p_2 \leq 2U_3, U_3^* < p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_3^*}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^6 \log p_j \right) \sum_{d|(m, \mathfrak{P})} \mu(d) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\log \mathbf{U}} \sum_{\substack{m^2+p_1^3+p_2^3+p_3^3+p_4^3+p_5^4+p_6^b=N \\ m \in \mathcal{L}, U_4 < p_5 \leq 2U_4, U_b < p_6 \leq 2U_b \\ U_3 < p_1, p_2 \leq 2U_3, U_3^* < p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_3^*}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^6 \log p_j \right) \sum_{d|(m, \mathfrak{P})} \lambda^-(d) \\
&= \frac{1}{\log \mathbf{U}} \sum_{d|\mathfrak{P}} \lambda^-(d) J(N, d) \\
&= \frac{1}{\log \mathbf{U}} \sum_{d|\mathfrak{P}} \frac{\lambda^-(d) \mathfrak{S}_d(N)}{d} \mathcal{J}(N) + O(N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-A} N) \\
&= \frac{1}{\log \mathbf{U}} \left(\sum_{d|\mathfrak{P}} \frac{\lambda^-(d) \omega(d)}{d} \right) \mathfrak{S}(N) \mathcal{J}(N) + O(N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-A} N) \\
&\geq \frac{\mathfrak{S}(N) \mathcal{J}(N) \mathcal{V}(z)}{\log \mathbf{U}} f(3) \left(1 + O(\log^{-1/3} D) \right) + O(N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-A} N). \tag{6.5}
\end{aligned}$$

By the property of Rosser's weight $\lambda^+(d)$ and Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\Gamma_r \leq \sum_{\substack{(\ell p)^2+m^3+p_2^3+p_3^3+p_4^3+p_5^4+p_6^b=N \\ \ell \in \mathcal{N}_r, \ell p \in \mathcal{L}, (m, \mathfrak{P})=1 \\ U_3 < p_2 \leq 2U_3, U_3^* < p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_3^* \\ U_4 < p_5 \leq 2U_4, U_b < p_6 \leq 2U_b}} 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{\log \mathbf{W}} \sum_{\substack{(\ell p)^2 + m^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^4 + p_6^b = N \\ \ell \in \mathcal{N}_r, \ell p \in \mathcal{L}, (m, \mathfrak{P})=1 \\ U_3 < p_2 \leq 2U_3, U_3^* < p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_3^* \\ U_4 < p_5 \leq 2U_4, U_b < p_6 \leq 2U_b}} \frac{\log p}{\log \frac{U_2}{\ell}} \prod_{j=2}^6 \log p_j \\
&= \frac{1}{\log \mathbf{W}} \sum_{\substack{(\ell p)^2 + m^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^4 + p_6^b = N \\ \ell \in \mathcal{N}_r, \ell p \in \mathcal{L}, \\ U_3 < p_2 \leq 2U_3, U_3^* < p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_3^* \\ U_4 < p_5 \leq 2U_4, U_b < p_6 \leq 2U_b}} \left(\frac{\log p}{\log \frac{U_2}{\ell}} \prod_{j=2}^6 \log p_j \right) \sum_{d|(m, \mathfrak{P})} \mu(d) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\log \mathbf{W}} \sum_{\substack{(\ell p)^2 + m^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^4 + p_6^b = N \\ \ell \in \mathcal{N}_r, \ell p \in \mathcal{L}, \\ U_3 < p_2 \leq 2U_3, U_3^* < p_3, p_4 \leq 2U_3^* \\ U_4 < p_5 \leq 2U_4, U_b < p_6 \leq 2U_b}} \left(\frac{\log p}{\log \frac{U_2}{\ell}} \prod_{j=2}^6 \log p_j \right) \sum_{d|(m, \mathfrak{P})} \lambda^+(d) \\
&= \frac{1}{\log \mathbf{W}} \sum_{d|\mathfrak{P}} \lambda^+(d) J_r(N, d) \\
&= \frac{1}{\log \mathbf{W}} \sum_{d|\mathfrak{P}} \frac{\lambda^+(d) c_r(b) \mathfrak{S}_d(N)}{d \log U_2} \mathcal{J}(N) + O(N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-A} N) \\
&= \frac{c_r(b) \mathfrak{S}(N) \mathcal{J}(N)}{(\log U_2) \log \mathbf{W}} \sum_{d|\mathfrak{P}} \frac{\lambda^+(d) \omega(d)}{d} + O(N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-A} N) \\
&\leq \frac{c_r(b) \mathfrak{S}(N) \mathcal{J}(N) \mathcal{V}(z)}{\log \mathbf{U}} F(3) \left(1 + O(\log^{-1/3} D) \right) + O(N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-A} N). \quad (6.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$C(b) = \sum_{r=r(b)+1}^{M(b)} c_r(b).$$

According to simple numerical calculation, we obtain

$$C(12) < 0.681372, \quad C(13) < 0.430703, \quad C(14) < 0.408611, \quad C(15) < 0.649606, \quad (6.7)$$

$$C(16) < 0.496677, \quad C(17) < 0.386493, \quad C(18) < 0.621141, \quad C(19) < 0.651975, \quad (6.8)$$

$$C(20) < 0.382485, \quad C(21) < 0.631281, \quad C(22) < 0.599447, \quad C(23) < 0.426621, \quad (6.9)$$

$$C(24) < 0.394069, \quad C(25) < 0.644773, \quad C(26) < 0.603438, \quad C(27) < 0.510736, \quad (6.10)$$

$$C(28) < 0.615415, \quad C(29) < 0.502098, \quad C(30) < 0.660826, \quad C(31) < 0.403155, \quad (6.11)$$

$$C(32) < 0.656868, \quad C(33) < 0.635545, \quad C(34) < 0.669316, \quad C(35) < 0.547965. \quad (6.12)$$

From (6.4)–(6.12), we deduce that

$$\mathcal{R}_b(N) \geq (f(3) - F(3)C(b)) \left(1 + O(\log^{-\frac{1}{3}} D) \right) \frac{\mathfrak{S}(N) \mathcal{J}(N) \mathcal{V}(z)}{\log \mathbf{U}} + O\left(\frac{N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}}}{\log^A N} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{2e^\gamma}{3}(\log 2 - 0.681372) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{1/3} D}\right)\right) \frac{\mathfrak{S}(N)\mathcal{J}(N)\mathcal{V}(z)}{\log \mathbf{U}} + O\left(\frac{N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}}}{\log^A N}\right) \\
&\gg N^{\frac{35}{36} + \frac{1}{b}} \log^{-7} N,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express the most sincere gratitude to Professor Wenguang Zhai for his valuable advice and constant encouragement.

References

- [1] J. Brüdern, *Sums of squares and higher powers*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **35**(2) (1987), 233–243.
- [2] J. Brüdern, *A problem in additive number theory*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **103**(1) (1988), 27–33.
- [3] J. Brüdern, K. Kawada, *Ternary problems in additive prime number theory*, in: Analytic Number Theory, C. Jia and K. Matsumoto (eds.), Dev. Math. 6, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2002, 39–91.
- [4] Y. C. Cai, *The Waring–Goldbach problem: one square and five cubes*, Ramanujan J., **34**(1) (2014), 57–72.
- [5] A. M. Dashkevich, *On representing natural numbers as a sum of mixed powers*, Math. Notes, **57**(3), 254–260.
- [6] H. Halberstam, H. E. Richert, *Sieve Methods*, Academic Press, London, 1974.
- [7] C. Hooley, *On a new approach to various problems of Waring’s type*, in: Recent progress in analytic number theory, Vol. 1, Academic Press, London, 1981, 127–191.
- [8] L. K. Hua, *Additive Theory of Prime Numbers*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1965.
- [9] H. Iwaniec, *Rosser’s sieve*, Acta Arith., **36**(2) (1980), 171–202.
- [10] H. Iwaniec, *A new form of the error term in the linear sieve*, Acta Arith., **37**(1) (1980), 307–320.

- [11] Y. J. Li, Y. C. Cai, *Waring–Goldbach problem: two squares and some higher powers*, J. Number Theory, **162** (2016), 116–136.
- [12] M. G. Lu, *On a problem of sums of mixed powers*, Acta Arith., **58**(1) (1991), 89–102.
- [13] X. D. Lü, Q. W. Mu, *On Waring–Goldbach problem of mixed powers*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux, **28**(2) (2016), 523–538.
- [14] F. Mertens, *Ein Beitrag zur analytischen Zahlentheorie*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **78** (1874), 46–62.
- [15] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd edn., (Revised by D. R. Heath–Brown), Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986.
- [16] R. C. Vaughan, *Sums of three cubes*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **17**(1) (1985), 17–20.
- [17] R. C. Vaughan, *The Hardy–Littlewood Method*, 2nd edn., Cambridge Tracts Math., Vol. 125, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [18] I. M. Vinogradov, *Elements of Number Theory*, Dover Publications, New York, 1954.