

Gröbner-Shirshov bases for associative conformal modules^{*}

Yuqun Chen

School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University
Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China
yqchen@scnu.edu.cn

Lili Ni[†]

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Taishan University
Taian 271000, P. R. China
nilili2009@163.com

Abstract: We construct free modules over an associative conformal algebra. We establish Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal modules. As applications, Gröbner-Shirshov bases of the Virasoro conformal module and module over the semidirect product of Virasoro conformal algebra and current algebra are given respectively.

Key words: Gröbner-Shirshov basis, conformal algebra, free associative conformal module

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification(2000): 17B69, 16S15, 13P10

1 Introduction

The subject of conformal algebras is closely related to vertex algebras (see, V. Kac [27]). Implicitly, vertex algebras were introduced by Belavin, Polyakov, and Zamolodchikov in 1984 [1]. Explicitly, the definition of vertex algebras was given by R. Borcherds in 1986 [3], which led to his solution of the Conway-Norton conjecture in the theory of finite simple groups [4, 24]. As pointed out by Kac [27, 28], conformal and vertex algebras provide a rigorous mathematical study of the locality axiom which came from Wightman's axioms of quantum field theory [38]. M. Roitman studied free (Lie and associative) conformal and vertex algebras in [36]. Free vertex algebras were mentioned in the original paper of Borcherds [3]. Since conformal and vertex algebras are not varieties in the sense of universal algebra (see, P.M. Cohn [22]), the existence of free conformal and free vertex algebras is not guaranteed by the general theory and should be proved. It was done by

^{*}Supported by the NNSF of China (no. 11571121) and the Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou (no. 201707010137).

[†]Supported by the talent fund of Taishan University (no. Y-01-2017001).

Roitman [36]. The free associative conformal algebra generated by a set B with a locality function $N(-, -) : B \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is constructed by Bokut, Fong, and Ke in 1997 [13].

Conformal module is a basic tool for the construction of free field realization of infinite dimensional Lie (super)algebras in conformal field theory. Finite irreducible conformal modules over the Virasoro conformal algebra were determined in [20]. The Lie conformal algebra of a Block type was introduced and free intermediate series modules were classified in [25].

Gröbner bases and Gröbner-Shirshov bases were invented independently by A.I. Shirshov for ideals of free (commutative, anti-commutative) non-associative algebras [37, 39], free Lie algebras [39] and implicitly free associative algebras [39] (see also [2, 5]), by H. Hironaka [26] for ideals of the power series algebras (both formal and convergent), and by B. Buchberger [17] for ideals of the polynomial algebras.

Gröbner bases and Gröbner-Shirshov bases theories have been proved to be very useful in different branches of mathematics, including commutative algebra and combinatorial algebra. It is a powerful tool to solve the following classical problems: normal form; word problem; conjugacy problem; rewriting system; automaton; embedding theorem; PBW theorem; extension; homology; growth function; Dehn function; complexity; etc.

Up to now, different versions of Composition-Diamond lemma are known for the following classes of algebras apart those mentioned above: (color) Lie super-algebras [30–32], tensor product of a free algebra and a polynomial algebra [33], tensor product of two free algebras [6], Lie p -algebras [31], associative conformal algebras [15, 34], shuffle operads [23], modules [21, 29] (see also [18]), right-symmetric algebras [10], dialgebras [9], associative algebras with multiple operators [12], Rota-Baxter algebras [8], Lie algebras over a polynomial algebra [7], matabelian Lie algebras [19], semirings [11], and so on.

A Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal algebras is firstly established by Bokut, Fong, and Ke in 2004 [15] which claims that if (i) S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B, N)$, then (ii) the set of S -irreducible words is a linear basis of the quotient conformal algebra $C(B, N|S)$, but not conversely. By introducing some new definitions of normal S -words, compositions and compositions to be trivial, a new Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal algebras is established by Ni and Chen in 2016 [34] which makes the conditions (i) and (ii) equivalent.

In this article we establish Gröbner-Shirshov bases method for modules over an associative conformal algebra and give some applications.

The article is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the concepts of conformal algebra, associative (Lie) conformal algebra, and modules over an associative (Lie) conformal algebra. In section 3, we construct free modules over a free associative conformal algebra and free modules over an associative conformal algebra. In section 4, we establish Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal modules. In section 5, we give some applications of the Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal modules: Gröbner-Shirshov bases of the Virasoro conformal module and module over the semidirect product of Virasoro conformal algebra and current algebra are given and then linear bases of them are obtained respectively.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some related concepts.

2.1 Associative (Lie) conformal algebras

We begin with the formal definition of a conformal algebra.

Definition 2.1 ([13, 14, 16, 27, 36]) A conformal algebra $C = (C, (n), n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, D)$ is a linear space over a field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0, equipped with bilinear multiplications $a_{(n)}b$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and a linear map D , such that the following axioms are valid:

- (C1) (locality) For any $a, b \in C$, there exists a nonnegative integer $N(a, b)$ such that $a_{(n)}b = 0$ for $n \geq N(a, b)$ ($N(a, b)$ is called the order of locality of a and b);
- (C2) $D(a_{(n)}b) = Da_{(n)}b + a_{(n)}Db$ for any $a, b \in C$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$;
- (C3) $Da_{(n)}b = -na_{(n-1)}b$ for any $a, b \in C$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $Da_{(0)}b = 0$.

A conformal algebra C is called associative if the following identity holds for all $a, b, c \in C$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

$$(a_{(n)}b)_{(m)}c = \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t \binom{n}{t} a_{(n-t)}(b_{(m+t)}c).$$

A conformal algebra $L = \langle L, [n], n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, D \rangle$ is called a Lie conformal algebra if L satisfies the following two axioms:

- (Anti-commutativity) $a_{[n]}b = -\{b_{[n]}a\}$, where

$$\{b_{[n]}a\} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^{n+k} \frac{1}{k!} D^k(b_{[n+k]}a).$$

- (Jacobi identity)

$$(a_{[n]}b)_{[m]}c = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} (a_{[n-k]}(b_{[m+k]}c) - b_{[m+k]}(a_{[n-k]}c)).$$

2.2 Modules over a Lie or associative conformal algebra

Definition 2.2 ([20, 27, 35]) Let C be an associative (Lie, resp.) conformal algebra. An associative (Lie, resp.) conformal module ${}_C M$ is a $\mathbf{k}[D]$ -module M endowed with a series of operations $(n) : C \times M \rightarrow M$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, such that for any $a, b \in C$ and $v \in M$,

- (i) (locality) there exists a nonnegative integer $N(a, v)$ such that $a_{(n)}v = 0$ for $n \geq N(a, v)$ ($N(a, v)$ is called the order of locality of a and v);
- (ii) $D(a_{(n)}v) = Da_{(n)}v + a_{(n)}Dv$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$;
- (iii) $Da_{(n)}v = -na_{(n-1)}v$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $Da_{(0)}v = 0$;
- (iv) $(a_{(n)}b)_{(m)}v = \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t \binom{n}{t} a_{(n-t)}(b_{(m+t)}v)$ if C is associative;
 $(a_{[n]}b)_{(m)}v = \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t \binom{n}{t} (a_{(n-t)}(b_{(m+t)}v) - b_{(m+t)}(a_{(n-t)}v))$ if C is Lie.

A submodule M_1 of a conformal module ${}_C M$ is a $\mathbf{k}[D]$ -submodule such that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $C_{(n)}M_1 \subseteq M_1$. If $S \subseteq {}_C M$, $\text{subm}(S)$ means the submodule of ${}_C M$ generated by S .

3 Free associative conformal modules

Definition 3.1 An associative conformal module $\text{mod}_C(Y)$ over an associative conformal algebra C is called the free associative conformal module generated by a set Y with the locality function $N : C \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, if for any associative conformal module ${}_C M$ and any mapping $\varepsilon : Y \rightarrow M$ with $c_{(n)}\varepsilon(y) = 0$ for all $c \in C$, $y \in Y$ and $n \geq N(c, y)$, there exists a unique C -module homomorphism $\varphi : \text{mod}_C(Y) \rightarrow M$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{mod}_C(Y) \\ \varepsilon \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! \varphi & \\ M & & \end{array}$$

where i is the inclusion map.

In this section, we construct the free associative conformal module generated by a set Y .

3.1 Double free associative conformal modules

Let $C(B, N)$ be the free associative conformal algebra generated by B with the locality function $N(-, -) : B \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ over a field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0. Let Y be a set. We construct the free module over $C(B, N)$ generated by Y which is called double free associative conformal module.

We extend the mapping $N(-, -)$ to $B \times (B \cup Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \{b_{1(n_1)}b_{2(n_2)} \cdots b_{k(n_k)}D^i y \mid b_j, b_k \in B, 0 \leq n_j < N(b_j, b_{j+1}), 1 \leq j \leq k-1, \\ &\quad 0 \leq n_k < N(b_k, y), i \geq 0, k \geq 0, y \in Y\}, \\ T &= \{b_{1(n_1)} \cdots b_{k(n_k)}D^i b_{k+1} \mid b_j, b_{k+1} \in B, 0 \leq n_j < N(b_j, b_{j+1}), 1 \leq j \leq k, i, k \geq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[u] := b_{1(n_1)}(b_{2(n_2)}(\cdots (b_{k(n_k)}D^i y) \cdots)).$$

If $k = 0$, then $[u] = D^i y$. We call $k + 1$ the length of u , denoted by $|u|$. Note that $[]$ means right normed bracketing.

Denote $\text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}[U]$ the $\mathbf{k}$ -linear space with a $\mathbf{k}$ -basis $[U] := \{[u] \mid u \in U\}$. We will make $\text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}[U]$ to be a $C(B, N)$ -module.

Note that the set $[T] := \{[a] \mid a \in T\}$ a $\mathbf{k}$ -basis of the free associative conformal algebra $C(B, N)$.

We define a scheme of *algorithm* for $C(B, N)$ -module $\text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}[U]$. For any $a \in T$, $b \in B$, $y \in Y$, $n \geq 0$, $u \in U$, we define $[a]_{(n)}[u]$ as follows.

$$(i) \quad b_{(n)}[u] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } [u] = y, \ n \geq N(b, y), \\ -\sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{i}{t} \frac{n!}{(n-t)!} b_{(n-t)} D^{i-t} y, & \text{if } [u] = D^i y, \ i > 0, \ n \geq N(b, y), \\ -\sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{n}{t} b_{(n-t)} (b_{1(m+t)}[u_1]), & \text{if } [u] = b_{1(m)}[u_1], \ n \geq N(b, b_1). \end{cases}$$

$$(ii) \quad [a]_{(n)}[u] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } [a] = D^i b, \ i > n, \\ (-1)^i \frac{n!}{(n-i)!} b_{(n-i)}[u], & \text{if } [a] = D^i b, \ 0 < i \leq n, \\ \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t \binom{m}{t} b_{(m-t)}([c]_{(n+t)}[u]), & \text{if } [a] = b_{(m)}[c]. \end{cases}$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{For } u = b_{1(n_1)} b_{2(n_2)} \cdots b_{k(n_k)} D^i y \in U,$$

$$D([u]) = \sum_{j=1}^k [b_{1(n_1)} \cdots b_{j-1(n_{j-1})} D b_{j(n_j)} b_{j+1(n_{j+1})} \cdots b_{k(n_k)} D^i y] \\ + [b_{1(n_1)} b_{2(n_2)} \cdots b_{k(n_k)} D^{i+1} y].$$

Lemma 3.2 For any $b \in B$, $y \in Y$, $n \geq 0$, $i \geq 0$, we have

$$D(b_{(n)} D^i y) = D b_{(n)} D^i y + b_{(n)} D^{i+1} y \quad (1)$$

Proof. If $0 \leq n < N(b, y)$ or $i = 1$, the result follows from the definition. We may assume that $n \geq N(b, y)$ and $i > 1$.

Induction on n . Let $N := N(b, y)$. The left hand side of (1) is

$$D(b_{(N)} D^i y) = D\left(-\sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{i}{t} \frac{N!}{(N-t)!} b_{(N-t)} D^{i-t} y\right) \\ = -\sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{i}{t} \frac{N!}{(N-t)!} D(b_{(N-t)} D^{i-t} y) \\ = A_1 + A_2,$$

where $A_1 := \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{i}{t} \frac{N!}{(N-t-1)!} b_{(N-t-1)} D^{i-t} y = \sum_{p \geq 2} (-1)^{p-1} \binom{i}{p-1} \frac{N!}{(N-p)!} b_{(N-p)} D^{i-p+1} y$ and $A_2 := -\sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{i}{t} \frac{N!}{(N-t)!} b_{(N-t)} D^{i-t+1} y$. Hence

$$A_1 + A_2 = -\sum_{t \geq 2} (-1)^t \binom{i+1}{t} \frac{N!}{(N-t)!} b_{(N-t)} D^{i-t+1} y + i N b_{(N-1)} D^i y \\ = -\sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{i+1}{t} \frac{N!}{(N-t)!} b_{(N-t)} D^{i-t+1} y - N b_{(N-1)} D^i y.$$

The right hand side of (1) is

$$D b_{(N)} D^i y + b_{(N)} D^{i+1} y = -N b_{(N-1)} D^i y - \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{i+1}{t} \frac{N!}{(N-t)!} b_{(N-t)} D^{i-t+1} y.$$

So the result holds for $n = N$. Assume that $n > N$. Then the left hand side of (1) is

$$D(b_{(n)}D^iy) = - \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{i}{t} \frac{n!}{(n-t)!} D(b_{(n-t)}D^{i-t}y)$$

and the right hand side of (1) is

$$Db_{(n)}D^iy + b_{(n)}D^{i+1}y = -nb_{(n-1)}D^iy - \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{i+1}{t} \frac{n!}{(n-t)!} b_{(n-t)}D^{i-t+1}y.$$

By induction, we complete the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.3 *For any $a \in T$, $u \in U$, there exists $N(a, [u]) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $[a]_{(n)}[u] = 0$ for all $n \geq N(a, [u])$.*

Proof. Induction on $|a|$. If $|a| = 1$, say, $[a] = b$, then $[a]_{(n)}[u] = b_{(n)}[u]$. Now, we find, by induction on $|u|$, $N(b, [u]) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $b_{(n)}[u] = 0$ for all $n \geq N(b, [u])$. Let $[u] = D^iy$. Then by Lemma 3.2,

$$b_{(n)}[u] = b_{(n)}D^iy = \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{n}{t} \frac{i!}{(i-t)!} D^{i-t}(b_{(n-t)}y).$$

So $b_{(n)}D^iy = 0$ if $n \geq N(b, y) + i$. Hence, take $N(b, D^iy) = N(b, y) + i$ as required.

Assume that $|u| > 1$ and $[u] = b_{1(m)}[u_1]$. Let $N_0 = N(b, b_1) + N(b_1, [u_1]) - m - 1$. Therefore, for $n \geq N_0 \geq N(b, b_1)$, we have

$$b_{(n)}(b_{1(m)}[u_1]) = - \sum_{t_1 \geq 1} (-1)^{t_1} \binom{n}{t_1} b_{(n-t_1)}(b_{1(m+t_1)}[u_1]).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} m + t_1 < N(b_1, [u_1]) &\Leftrightarrow t_1 < N(b_1, [u_1]) - m \Leftrightarrow n - t_1 > n - N(b_1, [u_1]) + m \\ &\Leftrightarrow n - t_1 > n - N_0 + N(b, b_1) - 1 \Leftrightarrow n - t_1 \geq N(b, b_1), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{(n)}(b_{1(m)}[u_1]) &= - \sum_{t_1 \geq 1} (-1)^{t_1} \binom{n}{t_1} b_{(n-t_1)}(b_{1(m+t_1)}[u_1]) \\ &= \sum_{t_1, t_2 \geq 1} (-1)^{t_1+t_2} \binom{n}{t_1} \binom{n-t_1}{t_2} b_{(n-t_1-t_2)}(b_{1(m+t_1+t_2)}[u_1]) \\ &= \dots \\ &= \sum_{t_j \geq 1} (-1)^{t+k} \binom{n}{t_1} \binom{n-t_1}{t_2} \dots \binom{n-t+t_k}{t_k} b_{(n-t)}(b_{1(m+t)}[u_1]), \end{aligned}$$

where $t = t_1 + \dots + t_k$, $n - t < N(b, b_1)$. Thus $m + t \geq N(b_1, [u_1])$. So $b_{(n)}[u] = 0$ for each $n \geq N_0$.

Assume that $|a| > 1$ and $[a] = b_{(m)}[c]$. Since

$$(b_{(m)}[c])_{(n)}[u] = \sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \binom{m}{p} b_{(m-p)}([c]_{(n+p)}[u]),$$

we can assume $n \geq N([c], [u])$. Therefore, $(b_{(m)}[c])_{(n)}[u] = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 3.4 For any $a \in T$, $u \in U$, $m \geq 0$, we have

$$D[a]_{(m)}[u] = -m[a]_{(m-1)}[u] \quad (2)$$

Proof. Assume first $|a| = 1$ and $[a] = D^i b$. By definition, the left hand side of (2) is

$$D[a]_{(m)}[u] = D^{i+1} b_{(m)}[u] = \begin{cases} 0, & i+1 > m, \\ (-1)^{i+1} \frac{m!}{(m-i-1)!} b_{(m-i-1)}[u], & i+1 \leq m. \end{cases}$$

While the right hand side of (2) is

$$-m[a]_{(m-1)}[u] = (-m)D^i b_{(m-1)}[u] = \begin{cases} 0, & i > m-1, \\ (-1)^{i+1} m \frac{(m-1)!}{(m-i-1)!} b_{(m-i-1)}[u], & i \leq m-1. \end{cases}$$

So $D(D^i b)_{(m)}[u] = -m(D^i b)_{(m-1)}[u]$.

Assume $|a| > 1$, $[a] = b_{(n)}[c]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D[a]_{(m)}[u] &= D(b_{(n)}[c])_{(m)}[u] = -n(b_{(n-1)}[c])_{(m)}[u] + (b_{(n)}D[c])_{(m)}[u] \\ &= -\sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t n \binom{n-1}{t} b_{(n-1-t)}([c]_{(m+t)}[u]) + \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t \binom{n}{t} b_{(n-t)}(D[c]_{(m+t)}[u]) \\ &= \sum_{p \geq 1} (-1)^p n \binom{n-1}{p-1} b_{(n-p)}([c]_{(m+p-1)}[u]) - \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t (m+t) \binom{n}{t} b_{(n-t)}([c]_{(m+t-1)}[u]) \\ &= \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t t \binom{n}{t} b_{(n-t)}([c]_{(m+t-1)}[u]) - \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t (m+t) \binom{n}{t} b_{(n-t)}([c]_{(m+t-1)}[u]) \\ &= -m \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t \binom{n}{t} b_{(n-t)}([c]_{(m+t-1)}[u]) \\ &= -m(b_{(n)}[c])_{(m-1)}[u] = -m[a]_{(m-1)}[u]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2) is true. $\square$

Lemma 3.5 For any $a \in T$, $u \in U$, $m \geq 0$, we have

$$D([a]_{(m)}[u]) = D[a]_{(m)}[u] + [a]_{(m)}D[u] \quad (3)$$

Proof. Case 1. Let $[a] = b$, $[u] = D^i y$. By Lemma 3.2, the identity (3) holds for $m \geq 0$, $i \geq 0$.

Case 2. Let $[a] = b$ and $[u] = b_{1(n)}D^i y$. If $0 \leq m < N(b, b_1)$, the identity (3) is true by the definition. Assume that $[u] = b_{1(n)}D^i y$, $m \geq N(b, b_1)$. Induction on m . Let $N := N(b, b_1)$. Then

$$b_{(N)}(b_{1(n)}D^i y) = -\sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{N}{t} b_{(N-t)}(b_{1(n+t)}D^i y).$$

So the left hand side of (3) is

$$\begin{aligned} D(b_{(N)}[u]) &= - \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{N}{t} D b_{(N-t)}(b_{1(n+t)} D^i y) - \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{N}{t} b_{(N-t)} D(b_{1(n+t)} D^i y) \\ &= A_1 + A_2 + A_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &:= \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t (N-t) \binom{N}{t} b_{(N-t-1)}(b_{1(n+t)} D^i y), \\ A_2 &:= \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t (n+t) \binom{N}{t} b_{(N-t)}(b_{1(n+t-1)} D^i y), \\ A_3 &:= - \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{N}{t} b_{(N-t)}(b_{1(n+t)} D^{i+1} y) = b_{(N)}(b_{1(n)} D^{i+1} y). \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of (3) is

$$\begin{aligned} D b_{(N)}[u] + b_{(N)} D[u] &= -N b_{(N-1)}(b_{1(n)} D^i y) + b_{(N)}(D b_{1(n)} D^i y) + b_{(N)}(b_{1(n)} D^{i+1} y) \\ &= -N b_{(N-1)}(b_{1(n)} D^i y) + \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t n \binom{N}{t} b_{(N-t)}(b_{1(n+t-1)} D^i y) + A_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 + A_2 &= \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t (N-t) \binom{N}{t} b_{(N-t-1)}(b_{1(n+t)} D^i y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t (n+t) \binom{N}{t} b_{(N-t)}(b_{1(n+t-1)} D^i y) \\ &= \sum_{p \geq 2} (-1)^{p-1} (N-p+1) \binom{N}{p-1} b_{(N-p)}(b_{1(n+p-1)} D^i y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t (n+t) \binom{N}{t} b_{(N-t)}(b_{1(n+t-1)} D^i y) \\ &= \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^{t-1} t \binom{N}{t} b_{(N-t)}(b_{1(n+t-1)} D^i y) - m N b_{(N-1)}(b_{1(n)} D^i y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t n \binom{N}{t} b_{(N-t)}(b_{1(n+t-1)} D^i y) + \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t t \binom{N}{t} b_{(N-t)}(b_{1(n+t-1)} D^i y) \\ &= -N b_{(N-1)}(b_{1(n)} D^i y) + \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t n \binom{N}{t} b_{(N-t)}(b_{1(n+t-1)} D^i y), \end{aligned}$$

we have $D(b_{(N)}[u]) = D b_{(N)}[u] + b_{(N)} D[u]$. By induction on m , we can get $D(b_{(m)}[u]) = D b_{(m)}[u] + b_{(m)} D[u]$ for any $|u| = 2$, $m \geq 0$.

Next, we use induction on $|u|$. Assume that $|u| > 2$ and the result is true for $|u| < l$. Let $|u| = l$. Then, we can repeat the argument by induction on m , and get the identity

$D(b_{(m)}[u]) = Db_{(m)}[u] + b_{(m)}D[u]$ for any $[u] \in U$, $m \geq 0$. Hence, the identity (3) is true when $|a| = 1, |u| \geq 1$.

Case 3. Suppose $|a| \geq 1, [a] = b_{(p)}[c]$. By induction on $|a| + |u|$, the left hand side of (3) is

$$\begin{aligned} D([a]_{(m)}[u]) &= D((b_{(p)}[c])_{(m)}[u]) \\ &= \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t \binom{p}{t} D(b_{(p-t)}([c]_{(m+t)}[u])) = A_1 + A_2 + A_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &:= - \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t (p-t) \binom{p}{t} b_{(p-t-1)}([c]_{(m+t)}[u]), \\ A_2 &:= - \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t (m+t) \binom{p}{t} b_{(p-t)}([c]_{(m+t-1)}[u]), \\ A_3 &:= \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t \binom{p}{t} b_{(p-t)}([c]_{(m+t)}D[u]) = (b_{(p)}[c])_{(m)}D[u] = [a]_{(m)}D[u]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} D[a]_{(m)}[u] &= D(b_{(p)}[c])_{(m)}[u] = (Db_{(p)}[c])_{(m)}[u] + (b_{(p)}D[c])_{(m)}[u] \\ &= - \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t p \binom{p-1}{t} b_{(p-t-1)}([c]_{(m+t)}[u]) - \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t (m+t) \binom{p}{t} b_{(p-t)}([c]_{(m-1+t)}[u]) \\ &= A_1 + A_2, \end{aligned}$$

the identity (3) is true. $\square$

Lemma 3.6 *Let $n, m \geq 0$, $a, c \in T$, $u \in U$. Then*

$$([a]_{(n)}[c])_{(m)}[u] = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} [a]_{(n-k)}([c]_{(m+k)}[u]) \quad (4)$$

Proof. (i) We prove that identity (4) is true when $[a] = b, |c| = 1$.

Let $[c] = D^i b'$. If $0 \leq n < N(b, b')$, identity (4) follows from the definition.

Let $n \geq N(b, b')$. When $i = 0$, the left hand side of identity (4) is equal to 0, while the right hand side of identity (4) contains the summand

$$b_{(n)}(b'_{(m)}[u]) = - \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^s \binom{n}{k} b_{(n-k)}(b'_{(m+k)}[u]).$$

Hence the right hand side of identity (4) is 0 as well.

Induction on i . Suppose that $i \geq 1$. The left hand side of identity (4) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (b_{(n)}D^i b')_{(m)}[u] &= D(b_{(n)}D^{i-1} b')_{(m)}[u] + n(b_{(n-1)}D^{i-1} b')_{(m)}[u] \\ &= -m(b_{(n)}D^{i-1} b')_{(m-1)}[u] + n(b_{(n-1)}D^{i-1} b')_{(m)}[u]. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of identity (4) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} b_{(n-k)}(D^i b'_{(m+k)}[u]) = - \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k (m+k) \binom{n}{k} b_{(n-k)}(D^{i-1} b'_{(m+k-1)}[u]) \\
& = -m \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} b_{(n-k)}(D^{i-1} b'_{(m-1+k)}[u]) + \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k+1} k \binom{n}{k} b_{(n-k)}(D^{i-1} b'_{(m+k-1)}[u]) \\
& = -m(b_{(n)} D^{i-1} b')_{(m-1)}[u] + \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k (k+1) \binom{n}{k+1} b_{(n-k-1)}(D^{i-1} b'_{(m+k)}[u]) \\
& = -m(b_{(n)} D^{i-1} b')_{(m-1)}[u] + n \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k} b_{(n-1-k)}(D^{i-1} b'_{(m+k)}[u]) \\
& = -m(b_{(n)} D^{i-1} b')_{(m-1)}[u] + n(b_{(n-1)} D^{i-1} b')_{(m)}[u].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, identity (4) is true for $[a] = b$, $|c| = 1$.

(ii) We prove that identity (4) is true for any $c \in T$, $[a] = b$.

Induction on $|c|$. We have showed the result for $|c| = 1$. Suppose $|c| > 1$ and $[c] = b_{1(p)}[c_1]$. We only need to consider $n \geq N(b, b_1)$. Following the definition, we have

$$b_{(n)}(b_{1(p)}[c_1]) = - \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} b_{(n-k)}(b_{1(p+k)}[c_1]).$$

Now induction on n . Let $n = N(b, b_1) =: N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
A &:= (b_{(N)}[c])_{(m)}[u] = - \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \binom{N}{k} (b_{(N-k)}(b_{1(p+k)}[c_1]))_{(m)}[u] \\
&= - \sum_{k \geq 1, t \geq 0} (-1)^{k+t} \binom{N}{k} \binom{N-k}{t} b_{(N-k-t)}((b_{1(p+k)}[c_1]))_{(m+t)}[u] \\
&= \sum_{k \geq 1, t, r \geq 0} (-1)^{k+t+r+1} \binom{N}{k} \binom{N-k}{t} \binom{p+k}{r} b_{(N-k-t)}(b_{1(p+k-r)}([c_1]_{(m+t+r)}[u])).
\end{aligned}$$

We denote the right hand side of identity (4) is $A_1 + A_2$ where $A_1 = b_{(N)}((b_{1(p)}[c_1]))_{(m)}[u]$, $A_2 = \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \binom{N}{k} b_{(N-k)}((b_{1(p)}[c_1]))_{(m+k)}[u]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t \binom{p}{t} b_{(N)}(b_{1(p-t)}([c_1]_{(m+t)}[u])) \\
&= - \sum_{t \geq 0, r \geq 1} (-1)^{t+r} \binom{p}{t} \binom{N}{r} b_{(N-r)}(b_{1(p-t+r)}([c_1]_{(m+t)}[u])), \\
A_2 &= \sum_{k \geq 1, t \geq 0} (-1)^{k+t} \binom{N}{k} \binom{p}{t} b_{(N-k)}(b_{1(p-t)}([c_1]_{(m+k+t)}[u])).
\end{aligned}$$

We make a transformation

$$(i, j, l) = (N - k - t, p + k - r, m + t + r),$$

where i, j, l are nonnegative integers, and so $i + j + l = N + m + p$. Then A becomes a sum of the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \sum_{i,j,l \geq 0, k \geq 1} (-1)^{k+l+m+1} \binom{N}{k} \binom{N-k}{N-k-i} \binom{p+k}{p+k-j} b_{(i)}(b_{1(j)}([c_1]_{(l)}[u])) \\
&= \sum_{i,j,l \geq 0, k \geq 1} (-1)^{k+l-m+1} \binom{N}{k} \binom{N-k}{i} \binom{p+k}{j} b_{(i)}(b_{1(j)}([c_1]_{(l)}[u])) \\
&= \sum_{i,j,l \geq 0, k \geq 1} (-1)^{k+N+p-i-j+1} \binom{N}{i} \binom{N-i}{k} \binom{p+k}{j} b_{(i)}(b_{1(j)}([c_1]_{(l)}[u])) \\
&= \sum_{i,j,l \geq 0} (-1)^{p+j+1} \binom{N}{i} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{N-i-k} \binom{N-i}{k} \binom{p+k}{j} \right) b_{(i)}(b_{1(j)}([c_1]_{(l)}[u])) \\
&= \sum_{i,j,l \geq 0} (-1)^{p+j+1} \binom{N}{i} \left(\binom{p}{j-N+i} - (-i)^{N-i} \binom{p}{j} \right) b_{(i)}(b_{1(j)}([c_1]_{(l)}[u])) \\
&= \sum_{i,j,l \geq 0} \binom{N}{i} \left((-1)^{p+j+1} \binom{p}{l-m} + (-1)^{l-m} \binom{p}{j} \right) b_{(i)}(b_{1(j)}([c_1]_{(l)}[u])).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, do a similar transformation

$$(i, j, l) = (N - r, p + r - t, m + t),$$

where i, j, l are nonnegative integers, and so $i + j + l = N + m + p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \sum_{i,j,l \geq 0} (-1)^{p+j+1} \binom{N}{N-i} \binom{p}{l-m} b_{(i)}(b_{1(j)}([c_1]_{(l)}[u])) \\
&= \sum_{i,j,l \geq 0} (-1)^{p+j+1} \binom{N}{i} \binom{p}{l-m} b_{(i)}(b_{1(j)}([c_1]_{(l)}[u])).
\end{aligned}$$

Do another transformation

$$(i, j, l) = (N - s, p - t, m + s + t),$$

where i, j, l are nonnegative integers, and so $i + j + l = N + m + p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= \sum_{i,j,l \geq 0} (-1)^{l+m} \binom{N}{N-i} \binom{p}{p-j} b_{(i)}(b_{1(j)}([c_1]_{(l)}[u])) \\
&= \sum_{i,j,l \geq 0} (-1)^{l-m} \binom{N}{i} \binom{p}{j} b_{(i)}(b_{1(j)}([c_1]_{(l)}[u])).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $A = A_1 + A_2$. Assume that $n > N(b, b_1)$, we just repeat the argument of $n = N(b, b_1)$. So identity (4) is true for $[a] = b$.

(iii) We prove that identity (4) holds for $[a] = D^j b$, $j \geq 1$.

By definition, the left hand side of identity (4) is equal to

$$(D^j b_{(n)}[c])_{(m)}[u] = (-1)^j \frac{n!}{(n-j)!} (b_{(n-j)}[c])_{(m)}[u].$$

The right hand side of identity (4) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} D^j b_{(n-k)}([c]_{(m+k)}[u]) \\
&= - \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^{k+j} \binom{n}{k} \frac{(n-k)!}{(n-k-j)!} b_{(n-k-j)}([c]_{(m+k)}[u]) \\
&= (-1)^j \frac{n!}{(n-j)!} \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \binom{n-j}{k} b_{(n-j-k)}([c]_{(m+k)}[u]).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, identity (4) holds for $|a| = 1$.

(iv) Assume that $|a| > 1$. In this case, we write $[a] = b_{(q)}[a_1]$. Then the left hand side of identity (4) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((b_{(q)}[a_1])_{(n)}[c])_{(m)}[u] = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \binom{q}{k} (b_{(q-k)}([a_1]_{(n+k)}[c]))_{(m)}[u] \\
&= \sum_{k, t \geq 0} (-1)^{k+t} \binom{q}{k} \binom{q-k}{t} b_{(q-k-t)}([a_1]_{(n+k)}[c])_{(m+t)}[u] \\
&= \sum_{k, t, r \geq 0} (-1)^{k+t+r} \binom{q}{k} \binom{q-k}{t} \binom{n+k}{r} b_{(q-k-t)}([a_1]_{(n+k-r)}([c]_{(m+t+r)}[u])) =: A.
\end{aligned}$$

Do a transformation on the indices

$$(i, j, l) = (q - k - t, n + k - r, m + t + r),$$

where i, j, l are nonnegative integers, and so $i + j + l = n + m + q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \sum_{i, j, l, k \geq 0} (-1)^{k+l+m} \binom{q}{k} \binom{q-k}{q-k-i} \binom{n+k}{n+k-j} b_{(i)}([a_1]_{(j)}([c]_{(l)}[u])) \\
&= \sum_{i, j, l, k \geq 0} (-1)^{k+n+q-i-j} \binom{q}{k} \binom{q-k}{i} \binom{n+k}{j} b_{(i)}([a_1]_{(j)}([c]_{(l)}[u])) \\
&= \sum_{i, j, l \geq 0} (-1)^{n-j} \binom{q}{i} \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^{q-i-k} \binom{q-i}{k} \binom{n+k}{j} \right) b_{(i)}([a_1]_{(j)}([c]_{(l)}[u])) \\
&= \sum_{i, j, l \geq 0} (-1)^{n-j} \binom{q}{i} \binom{n}{j-q+i} b_{(i)}([a_1]_{(j)}([c]_{(l)}[u])) \\
&= \sum_{i, j, l \geq 0} (-1)^{n-j} \binom{q}{i} \binom{n}{n+m-l} b_{(i)}([a_1]_{(j)}([c]_{(l)}[u])) \\
&= \sum_{i, j, l \geq 0} (-1)^{n-j} \binom{q}{i} \binom{n}{l-m} b_{(i)}([a_1]_{(j)}([c]_{(l)}[u])).
\end{aligned}$$

Denote the right hand side of identity (4) as A' . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
A' &= \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} (b_{(q)}[a_1])_{(n-k)} ([c]_{(m+k)}[u]) \\
&= \sum_{k, t \geq 0} (-1)^{k+t} \binom{n}{k} \binom{q}{t} b_{(q-t)}([a_1]_{(n-k+t)}([c]_{(m+k)}[u])) \\
&= \sum_{i, j, l \geq 0} (-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{l-m} \binom{q}{q-i} b_{(i)}([a_1]_{(j)}([c]_{(l)}[u])) = A,
\end{aligned}$$

where $(i, j, l) = (q - t, n - k + t, m + k)$. Therefore, we complete the proof of identity (4). $\square$

Theorem 3.7 *Let the notation be as above. Then $\text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}[U]$ is the free module generated by Y over the free associative conformal algebra $C(B, N)$.*

We denote $\text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}[U]$ by $\text{mod}_{C(B, N)}(Y)$, the double free associative conformal module.

Proof. By Lemmas 3.3–3.6, $\text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}[U]$ is a module over free associative conformal algebra $C(B, N)$.

For any $C(B, N)$ -module M and any map ε satisfying $[a]_{(n)}\varepsilon(y) = 0$ for all $a \in T, y \in Y, n \geq N([a], y)$, we define the $C(B, N)$ -module homomorphism

$$\varphi : \text{mod}_{C(B, N)}(Y) \longrightarrow {}_{C(B, N)}M, \quad [u] \longmapsto [u]|_{y \mapsto \varepsilon(y)}$$

such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Y & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{mod}_{C(B, N)}(Y) \\
\downarrow \varepsilon & \nearrow \exists! \varphi & \\
M & &
\end{array}$$

Thus, the result is true. $\square$

3.2 Free associative conformal C -modules

Let $C = (C, N(-, -), (n), n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ be an arbitrary associative conformal algebra. Then C is an epimorphic image of some free associative conformal algebra $C(B, N)$. Thus, C has an expression

$$C = C(B, N|S) := C(B, N)/\text{Id}(S)$$

generated by B with defining relations S , where $\text{Id}(S)$ is the ideal of $C(B, N)$ generated by S .

Let $S \subset C(B, N)$, $C = C(B, N|S)$ and $\text{mod}_{C(B, N)}(Y)$ be the $C(B, N)$ -module constructed as above. Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
R &:= \{s_{(m)}[u] \mid s \in S, u \in U, m \geq 0\}, \\
D^\omega(Y) &:= \{D^i y \mid i \geq 0, y \in Y\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\text{subm}(R) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \text{Id}(S)_{(m)} D^\omega(Y)$. Thus, $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y|R)$ is also a C -module if we define: for any $f + \text{Id}(S) \in C$, $n \geq 0$, $h + \text{subm}(R) \in \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y|R)$,

$$(f + \text{Id}(S))_{(n)}(h + \text{subm}(R)) := f_{(n)}h + \text{subm}(R).$$

For any left C -module ${}_C M$, we also can regard ${}_C M$ as a $C(B, N)$ -module in a natural way: for any $f \in C(B, N)$, $n \geq 0$, $v \in M$,

$$f_{(n)}v := (f + \text{Id}(S))_{(n)}v.$$

Theorem 3.8 *Let $C = C(B, N|S)$ be an arbitrary associative conformal algebra, Y a set and $R = \{s_{(m)}[u] \mid s \in S, u \in U, m \geq 0\}$. Then $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y|R)$ is a free C -module generated by Y .*

We denote $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y|R)$ by $\text{mod}_{C(B,N|S)}(Y)$.

Proof. Let ${}_C M$ be a C -module and $\varepsilon : Y \rightarrow M$ be a map such that $c_{(n)}\varepsilon(y) = 0$ for any $n \geq N(c, y)$, $c \in C$, $y \in Y$. By Theorem 3.7, there exists a unique $C(B, N)$ -module homomorphism $\phi : \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y) \rightarrow {}_C M$, such that $\phi i = \varepsilon$. Let π be the natural $C(B, N)$ -module homomorphism from $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$ to $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y|R)$. Obviously, for any $s_{(m)}[u] \in R$,

$$\phi(s_{(m)}[u]) = s_{(m)}\phi([u]) = (s + \text{Id}(S))_{(m)}\phi([u]) = 0.$$

Hence, there exists a unique $C(B, N)$ -module homomorphism $\varphi : \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y|R) \rightarrow {}_C M$ satisfying $\varphi\pi = \phi$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y|R) \\ \varepsilon \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! \phi & & \nearrow \exists! \varphi & \\ {}_C M & & & & \end{array}$$

Noting that φ is also a C -module homomorphism, we complete the proof. $\square$

Let ${}_C M$ be a C -module. Then ${}_C M$ has an expression ${}_C M = \text{mod}_{C(B,N|S)}(Y|Q)$.

By noting that $\text{mod}_{C(B,N|S)}(Y) = \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y|R)$, we may assume that $Q \subseteq \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y|R)$. Let $Q_1 = \{f \in \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y) \mid f + \text{subm}(R) \in Q\}$. Then we have

Proposition 3.9 *Let the notation as above. Then*

$$\text{mod}_{C(B,N|S)}(Y|Q) \cong \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y|R \cup Q_1)$$

as $C(B, N)$ -modules and as $C(B, N|S)$ -modules.

4 Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal modules

We give Composition-Diamond lemma for double free associative conformal module $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$ step by step.

In this section, we fix the free $C(B, N)$ -module $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$ generated by Y with a uniform bounded locality function N . Recall that

$$T = \{b_{1(n_1)} \cdots b_{k(n_k)} D^i b_{k+1} \mid b_j, b_{k+1} \in B, 0 \leq n_j < N, 1 \leq j \leq k, i, k \geq 0\},$$

$$U = \{b_{1(n_1)} b_{2(n_2)} \cdots b_{k(n_k)} D^i y \mid b_j \in B, y \in Y, 0 \leq n_j < N, 1 \leq j \leq k, i, k \geq 0\}$$

and $[U] = \{[u] \mid u \in U\}$ is a $\mathbf{k}$ -basis of $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$, where $[]$ means right normed bracketing.

For $j \geq 0$, $u \in U$, uD^j means that we apply D^j to the last letter of u .

A word in $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$ is a polynomial of the form (u) (with some bracketing of u), where $u = b_{1(n_1)} \cdots b_{k(n_k)} D^i y$, $b_j \in B$, $y \in Y$, $n_j, i, k \geq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. Since $[U]$ is a $\mathbf{k}$ -basis of $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$, each word (u) in $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$ is a linear combination of some elements in $[U]$.

4.1 A monomial ordering

Let Y, B be well-ordered sets. We order elements of U according to the lexicographical ordering of their weights. For any $u = b_{1(n_1)} b_{2(n_2)} \cdots b_{k(n_k)} D^i y \in U$, denote by

$$wt(u) := (|u|, b_1, n_1, \dots, b_k, n_k, y, i),$$

where $|u| = k + 1$ is the length of u . Then for any $u, v \in U$, define

$$u > v \Leftrightarrow wt(u) > wt(v) \quad \text{lexicographically.}$$

It is clear that such an ordering is a well ordering on U . We will use this ordering in the sequel.

For $f \in \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$, the leading term of f is denoted by $\bar{f}$ and $\bar{f} \in U$. So $f = \alpha_{\bar{f}}[\bar{f}] + \sum_i \alpha_i[u_i]$, $u_i < \bar{f}$. We will call f monic if $\alpha_{\bar{f}} = 1$.

Lemma 4.1 *Suppose that $a \in T$ is D -free, $0 \leq n < N$, $u, v \in U$. Then*

$$(i) \quad \overline{[a]_{(n)}[u]} = a_{(n)}u;$$

$$(ii) \quad u < v \implies \overline{[a]_{(n)}[u]} < \overline{[a]_{(n)}[v]};$$

$$(iii) \quad \overline{D^i([u])} = uD^i, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0};$$

$$(iv) \quad u < v \implies \overline{D^i([u])} < \overline{D^i([v])}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Proof. (i) Induction on $|a|$. If $|a| = 1$, then $[a] = b$ for some $b \in B$ and

$$\overline{[a]_{(n)}[u]} = \overline{b_{(n)}[u]} = b_{(n)}u.$$

Assume that $|a| > 1$ and $[a] = b_{(m)}[a_1]$, where $a_1 \in T$ is D -free. Then

$$[a]_{(n)}[u] = (b_{(m)}[a_1])_{(n)}[u] = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} b_{(m-k)}([a_1]_{(n+k)}[u]).$$

Since $m < N$,

$$\overline{[a]_{(n)}[u]} = \overline{b_{(m)}([a_1]_{(n)}[u])} = b_{(m)}(\overline{[a_1]_{(n)}[u]}) = b_{(m)}a_{1(n)}u = a_{(n)}u.$$

(ii) This part follows from (i).

(iii) Induction on $|u|$. The result is obvious for $|u| = 1$. Let $|u| > 1$, $[u] = b_{(m)}[u_1]$. Then $D^i([u]) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} \frac{m!}{(m-k)!} b_{(m-k)} D^{i-k}([u_1])$. Therefore,

$$\overline{D^i([u])} = \overline{b_{(m)} D^i([u_1])} = b_{(m)} \overline{D^i([u_1])} = b_{(m)} u_1 D^i = u D^i.$$

(iv) This part follows from (iii). $\square$

Thus, the ordering $>$ on U is a monomial ordering in a sense of (ii) and (iv) in Lemma 4.1.

4.2 S -words and normal S -words

Let $S \subset \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$ be a set of monic polynomials, (u) be a word in $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$, where $u = b_{1(n_1)} \cdots b_{k(n_k)} D^i y$, $b_j \in B$, $y \in Y$, $n_j, i, k \geq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. We define S -word $(u)_{D^i s}$ for any $s \in S$ by induction.

(i) $(D^i s)_{D^i s} = D^i s$ is an S -word of S -length 1;

(ii) If $(u)_{D^i s}$ is an S -word of S -length k , and (a) is any word in $C(B, N)$ of length l , then $(a)_{(n)}(u)_{D^i s}$ is an S -words of S -length $k + l$.

The S -length of an S -word $(u)_{D^i s}$ will be denoted by $|u|_{D^i s}$.

An S -word $[u]_{D^i s} := b_{1(n_1)}(b_{2(n_2)}(\cdots (b_{k(n_k)} D^i s) \cdots))$ is called a right normed S -word, and it is a normal S -word, denoted by $[u]_{D^i s}$, if each $n_j < N$.

Lemma 4.2 *Let $[u]_{D^i s}$ be a normal S -word. Then $\overline{[u]_{D^i s}} = u|_{D^i s \mapsto \overline{s} D^i}$.*

Proof. Let $s = [\overline{s}] + \sum_j \alpha_j [v_j]$, where $v_j < \overline{s}$. Then $D^i s = D^i([\overline{s}]) + \sum_j \alpha_j D^i([v_j])$, and applying Lemma 4.1, we get $\overline{D^i([v_j])} < \overline{D^i([\overline{s}])}$. Hence $\overline{D^i s} = \overline{D^i([\overline{s}])} = \overline{s} D^i$.

If $|u|_{D^i s} = 1$, then $[u]_{D^i s} = D^i s$ and we are done. So assume that $|u|_{D^i s} > 1$. Then $[u]_{D^i s} = b_{(n)}[v]_{D^i s}$, so

$$\overline{[u]_{D^i s}} = \overline{b_{(n)}[v]_{D^i s}} = b_{(n)} \overline{[v]_{D^i s}} = b_{(n)} v|_{D^i s \mapsto \overline{s} D^i} = u|_{D^i s \mapsto \overline{s} D^i}.$$

We complete the proof by induction on $|u|_{D^i s}$. $\square$

Lemma 4.3 Any S -word $(u)_{D^{i_s}}$ can be presented as a linear combination of right normed S -word such that the length of the leading term of each term is less or equal to $|\overline{(u)_{D^{i_s}}}|$.

Proof. Induction on $|u|_{D^{i_s}}$. The result holds trivially if $|u|_{D^{i_s}} = 1$. Let $|u|_{D^{i_s}} > 1$. We assume that

$$(u)_{D^{i_s}} = (a)_{(m)}[v]_{D^{i_s}} \quad (5)$$

where $[v]_{D^{i_s}}$ is a right normed S -word. Next, induction on $|a|$. If $|a| = 1$, then $(a) = D^j b$ for some $b \in B$ and $j \geq 0$, so

$$(a)_{(m)}[v]_{D^{i_s}} = D^j b_{(m)}[v]_{D^{i_s}} = \begin{cases} 0, & j > m, \\ (-1)^j \frac{m!}{(m-j)!} b_{(m-j)}[v]_{D^{i_s}}, & j \leq m. \end{cases}$$

Thus, (5) is right normed and we are done. Let $|a| > 1$ and $(a) = (a_1)_{(n)}(a_2)$. Then $((a_1)_{(n)}(a_2))_{(m)}[v]_{D^{i_s}} = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} (a_1)_{(n-k)}((a_2)_{(m+k)}[v]_{D^{i_s}})$. Now, the result follows from the induction on $|a|$. $\square$

4.3 Compositions

Let $S \subset \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$ with each polynomial in S monic, $w \in U$ and $f, g \in S$.

We have three kinds of compositions.

- If $w = \bar{f} = \overline{[u]_{D^{i_g}}}$ and $i \geq 0$, then define

$$(f, g)_w = f - [u]_{D^{i_g}},$$

which is a composition of inclusion.

- If $w = \bar{f}D^i = a_{(n)}\bar{g}$, $i > 0$ and $a \in T$ with a D -free, then define

$$(f, g)_w = D^i f - [a_{(n)}g],$$

which is a composition of intersection.

- If $b \in B$ and $n \geq N$, then $b_{(n)}f$ is referred to as a composition of left multiplication.

Let $S \subset \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$ be a set of monic polynomials and $h \in \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$. Then h is said to be trivial modulo S , denoted by

$$h \equiv 0 \text{ mod}(S) \quad (6)$$

if $h = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i]_{D^{i_{s_i}}}$, where each $[u_i]_{D^{i_{s_i}}}$ is a normal S -word and $\overline{[u_i]_{D^{i_{s_i}}}} \leq \bar{h}$. For $h_1, h_2 \in \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$, $h_1 \equiv h_2 \text{ mod}(S)$ means $h_1 - h_2 \equiv 0 \text{ mod}(S)$.

The set S is called a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$ if all compositions of elements of S are trivial modulo S . In particular, if S is D -free, then we call S a D -free Gröbner-Shirshov basis.

The set S is said to be closed under the composition of left multiplication if any composition of left multiplication of S is trivial modulo S . That S is closed under the composition of inclusion and intersection is similarly defined.

Lemma 4.4 *Let $S \subset \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$ with each polynomial in S monic and $[u]_{D^i s}$ a normal S -word. Then*

$$(i) \quad D^j([u]_{D^i s}) = [u]_{D^{i+j} s} + \sum_t \beta_t [w_t]_{D^{i+j} s_t}, \text{ where each } [w_t]_{D^{i+j} s_t} \text{ is a normal } S\text{-word and } \overline{[w_t]_{D^{i+j} s_t}} < \overline{[u]_{D^{i+j} s}}.$$

(ii) *If S is closed under the composition of left multiplication, then for any $b \in B$, $n \geq N$, we have $b_{(n)}[u]_{D^i s} \equiv 0 \pmod{(S)}$.*

Proof. (i) Induction on $|u|_{D^i s}$. Let $|u|_{D^i s} = 1$ and $[u]_{D^i s} = D^i s$. Then

$$D^j([u]_{D^i s}) = D^j(D^i s) = D^{i+j} s = [u]_{D^{i+j} s}.$$

Assume that $|u|_{D^i s} > 1$ and $[u]_{D^i s} = b_{(n)}[v]_{D^i s}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} D^j([u]_{D^i s}) &= D^j(b_{(n)}[v]_{D^i s}) = \sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \binom{j}{p} \frac{n!}{(n-p)!} b_{(n-p)} D^{j-p}([v]_{D^i s}) \\ &= b_{(n)} D^j([v]_{D^i s}) + \sum_{p \geq 1} (-1)^p \binom{j}{p} \frac{n!}{(n-p)!} b_{(n-p)} D^{j-p}([v]_{D^i s}) \\ &= b_{(n)}[v]_{D^{i+j} s} + \sum_t \beta_t b_{(n)}[w_t]_{D^{i+j} s_t} + \sum_{p \geq 1} \alpha_p b_{(n-p)}([v]_{D^{i+j-p} s} + \sum_{t'} \gamma_{t'} [w'_{t'}]_{D^{i+j-p} s_{t'}}) \\ &= [u]_{D^{i+j} s} + \sum_t \beta_t b_{(n)}[w_t]_{D^{i+j} s_t} + \sum_{p \geq 1} \alpha_p b_{(n-p)}([v]_{D^{i+j-p} s} + \sum_{t'} \gamma_{t'} [w'_{t'}]_{D^{i+j-p} s_{t'}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{[w_t]_{D^{i+j} s_t}} < \overline{[v]_{D^{i+j} s}}$, $\overline{[w'_{t'}]_{D^{i+j-p} s_{t'}}} < \overline{[v]_{D^{i+j-p} s}}$, $\alpha_p = (-1)^p \binom{j}{p} \frac{n!}{(n-p)!}$. Thus $\overline{b_{(n)}[w_t]_{D^{i+j} s_t}} < \overline{b_{(n)}[v]_{D^{i+j} s}} = \overline{[u]_{D^{i+j} s}}$ and $\overline{b_{(n-p)} D^{j-p}([v]_{D^i s})} = \overline{b_{(n-p)}[v]_{D^{i+j-p} s}} < \overline{b_{(n)}[v]_{D^{i+j} s}} = \overline{[u]_{D^{i+j} s}}$ for $p \geq 1$. By induction, we have $D^j([u]_{D^i s}) \equiv [u]_{D^{i+j} s} \pmod{(S, w)}$.

(ii) Let $|u|_{D^i s} = 1$ and $n = N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} b_{(N)} D^i s &= D^i(b_{(N)} s) - \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{i}{t} \frac{N!}{(N-t)!} b_{(N-t)} D^{i-t} s \\ &= D^i(b_{(N)} s) - \sum_{t \geq 1} \alpha_t [u_t]_{D^{i-t} s}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_t = (-1)^t \binom{i}{t} \frac{N!}{(N-t)!}$, and $[u_t]_{D^{i-t} s} = b_{(N-t)} D^{i-t} s$. We may assume that $b_{(N)} s = \sum_j \alpha_j [v_j]_{D^{i+j} s_j}$, where $[v_j]_{D^{i+j} s_j} \leq \overline{b_{(N)} s}$. By (i), we get

$$\begin{aligned} D^i(b_{(N)} s) &= \sum_j \alpha_j D^i([v_j]_{D^{i+j} s_j}) = \sum_j \alpha_j ([v_j]_{D^{i+j+i} s_j} + \sum_q \beta_{jq} [w_{jq}]_{D^{i+j+i} s_{jq}}) \\ &= \sum_j \alpha_j [v_j]_{D^{i+j+i} s_j} + \sum_{j, jq} \alpha_j \beta_{jq} [w_{jq}]_{D^{i+j+i} s_{jq}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{[w_{jq}]_{D^{i+j+i} s_{jq}}} < \overline{[v_j]_{D^{i+j+i} s_j}}$ and $\overline{[v_j]_{D^{i+j+i} s_j}} = \overline{D^i([v_j]_{D^{i+j} s_j})} \leq \overline{D^i(b_{(N)} s)}$. By induction on n , the result is true for $|u|_{D^i s} = 1$.

Assume that $|u|_{D^{i_s}} > 1$ and the result holds for any $n \geq N$ when the S -length of a normal S -word is less than $|u|_{D^{i_s}}$. Let $[u]_{D^{i_s}} = b_{1(n_1)}[v]_{D^{i_s}}$. Then

$$b_{(n)}[u]_{D^{i_s}} = b_{(n)}(b_{1(n_1)}[v]_{D^{i_s}}) = - \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{n}{t} b_{(n-t)}(b_{1(n_1+t)}[v]_{D^{i_s}}).$$

Hence, the result follows from the induction on n . $\square$

Lemma 4.5 *Let S be a subset of monic polynomials, closed under the composition of left multiplication. Then any S -word $(u)_{D^{i_s}}$ has a presentation $(u)_{D^{i_s}} = \sum_j \alpha_j [u_j]_{D^{l_j s_j}}$, where $[u_j]_{D^{l_j s_j}}$ is a normal S -word for each j .*

Proof. Due to Lemma 4.3, we may assume that $(u)_{D^{i_s}}$ is right normed, i.e. $(u)_{D^{i_s}} = [u]_{D^{i_s}}$. We prove the result by induction on $|u|_{D^{i_s}}$. If $|u|_{D^{i_s}} = 1$, then the result holds. Assume that $|u|_{D^{i_s}} > 1$, $[u]_{D^{i_s}} = b_{(n)}[u_1]_{D^{i_s}}$, $b \in B$, $i, n \geq 0$. By induction, $[u_1]_{D^{i_s}} = \sum_j \beta_j [v_j]_{D^{l_j s_j}}$. By Lemma 4.4, we can get the result. $\square$

4.4 Key lemmas

The following lemmas play a key role in the proof of the Composition-Diamond lemma, see Theorem 4.8.

Lemma 4.6 *Let S be a set of monic polynomials, closed under the compositions of inclusion and intersection, and $s_1, s_2 \in S$. If $w = \overline{[u_1]_{D^{i_1 s_1}}} = \overline{[u_2]_{D^{i_2 s_2}}}$, then*

$$h := [u_1]_{D^{i_1 s_1}} - [u_2]_{D^{i_2 s_2}} = \sum_t \beta_t [v_t]_{D^{l_t s_t}} \quad (7)$$

where each $[v_t]_{D^{l_t s_t}}$ is a normal S -word and $\overline{[v_t]_{D^{l_t s_t}}} < w$.

Proof. If $|u_1|_{D^{i_1 s_1}} = 1$ or $|u_2|_{D^{i_2 s_2}} = 1$, the result follows from Lemma 4.4. We may assume that $[u_t]_{D^{i_t s_t}} = [a_{t(n_t)} D^{i_t} s_t]$, where $a_t \in T$ is D -free, $t = 1, 2$. There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. Suppose that the subword $\overline{s_1}$ of w contains $\overline{s_2}$ as a subword. Then $\overline{s_1} = a_{(n_2)} \overline{s_2} D^l$, $a_{1(n_1)} a = a_2$, $l = i_2 - i_1$, $a \in T$ is D -free. We have

$$\begin{aligned} h &= [a_{1(n_1)} D^{i_1} s_1] - [a_{2(n_2)} D^{i_2} s_2] = [a_{1(n_1)} D^{i_1} s_1] - [a_{1(n_1)} a_{(n_2)} D^{i_2} s_2] \\ &= [a_{1(n_1)} D^{i_1} s_1] - [a_{1(n_1)} D^{i_1} [a_{(n_2)} D^l s_2]] + \sum_k \alpha_k [q_k]_{D^{j_k s_k}} \\ &= [a_{1(n_1)} D^{i_1} (s_1, s_2)_{w_1}] + \sum_k \alpha_k [q_k]_{D^{j_k s_k}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{[q_k]_{D^{j_k s_k}}} < \overline{[a_{1(n_1)} a_{(n_2)} D^{i_2} s_2]} = w$, $(s_1, s_2)_{w_1}$ is the composition of inclusion. So $\overline{D^{i_1} (s_1, s_2)_{w_1}} < w_1 D^{i_1}$. By Lemma 4.4 (ii), we can get the result.

Case 2. Suppose $\overline{s_1}$ and $\overline{s_2}$ have a nonempty intersection as a subword of w . We may assume that $\overline{s_1}D^l = a_{(n_2)}\overline{s_2}$, $a_{1(n_1)}a = a_2$, $l = i_1 - i_2$, $a \in T$ is D -free. Then, similar to Case 1, we can get the result. $\square$

Let S be a set of monic polynomials. Denote

$$Irr(S) = \{[u] \mid u \in U, u \neq \overline{[v]_{D^{i_s}}} \text{ for any normal } S\text{-word } [v]_{D^{i_s}}\}.$$

Lemma 4.7 *For any $0 \neq f \in \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$, f has a presentation*

$$f = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i] + \sum_j \beta_j [v_j]_{D^{l_j s_j}},$$

where each $[u_i] \in Irr(S)$, $\overline{[v_j]_{D^{l_j s_j}}} \leq \bar{f}$, $\overline{[u_i]} \leq \bar{f}$ and $s_j \in S$.

Proof. If $\bar{f} = \overline{[u]_{D^{i_s}}}$ for some normal S -word $[u]_{D^{i_s}}$, then let $f_1 = f - \alpha[u]_{D^{i_s}}$, where α is the leading coefficient of f . If $[\bar{f}] \in Irr(S)$, then let $f_1 = f - \alpha[\bar{f}]$. In both cases, we have $\bar{f}_1 < \bar{f}$. Thus, the result follows by induction on $\bar{f}$. $\square$

4.5 Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal modules

Theorem 4.8 *(Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal modules) Let $S \subset \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$ be a set of monic polynomials, $<$ the ordering on U as before and $\text{subm}(S)$ the submodule of $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$ generated by S . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$.
- (ii) If $0 \neq f \in \text{subm}(S)$, then $\bar{f} = \overline{[u]_{D^{i_s}}}$ for some normal S -word $[u]_{D^{i_s}}$.
- (iii) $Irr(S) = \{[u] \mid u \in U, u \neq \overline{[v]_{D^{i_s}}}\text{ for any normal } S\text{-word } [v]_{D^{i_s}}\}$ is a $\mathbf{k}$ -basis of the factor module $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y|S) := \text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)/\text{subm}(S)$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis and $0 \neq f \in \text{subm}(S)$. Then, by Lemma 4.5, we have $f = \sum_j \alpha_j [u_j]_{D^{l_j s_j}}$ where each $\alpha_j \in \mathbf{k}$, $s_j \in S$, $l_j \geq 0$. Let

$$w_j = \overline{[u_j]_{D^{l_j s_j}}}, \quad w_1 = w_2 = \cdots = w_t > w_{t+1} \geq \cdots.$$

We will use induction on (w_1, t) to prove that $\bar{f} = \overline{[u]_{D^{i_s}}}$ for some $s \in S$, $i \geq 0$, where $(w_1, t) < (w'_1, t')$ lexicographically.

If $w_1 = \bar{f}$, then the result holds. Assume that $w_1 > \bar{f}$, $t \geq 2$. We have $w_1 = \overline{[u_1]_{D^{l_1 s_1}}} = \overline{[u_2]_{D^{l_2 s_2}}}$. By Lemma 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 [u_1]_{D^{l_1 s_1}} + \alpha_2 [u_2]_{D^{l_2 s_2}} &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) [u_1]_{D^{l_1 s_1}} + \alpha_2 ([u_2]_{D^{l_2 s_2}} - [u_1]_{D^{l_1 s_1}}) \\ &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) [u_1]_{D^{l_1 s_1}} + \sum \alpha_2 \beta_t [v_t]_{D^{j_t s_t}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $[v_t]_{D^j t s_t}$ is a normal S -word for each t and $\overline{[v_t]_{D^j t s_t}} < w_1$. Now the result follows from the induction whenever $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \neq 0$, or $t > 2$, or both $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$ and $t = 2$. This shows (ii).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). By Lemma 4.7, $Irr(S)$ generates $mod_{C(B,N)}(Y|S)$ as a linear space. Suppose that $\sum_i \alpha_i [u_i] = 0$ in $mod_{C(B,N)}(Y|S)$, where $0 \neq \alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$, $[u_i] \in Irr(S)$. It means that $\sum_i \alpha_i [u_i] \in subm(S)$ in $mod_{C(B,N)}(Y)$. Then $\overline{\sum_i \alpha_i [u_i]} = u_j$ for some j . Since $[u_j] \in Irr(S)$, it contradicts (ii).

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that h is any composition of polynomial in S . Then $h \in subm(S)$. Since $Irr(S)$ is a $\mathbf{k}$ -basis for $mod_{C(B,N)}(Y)/subm(S)$ and by Lemma 4.7, we have $h \equiv 0 \mod(S)$, i.e. S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis. $\square$

Let $C = C(B, N|S)$ be an arbitrary associative conformal algebra. We may assume that S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B, N)$ (see [34]). Then by Theorem 3.8, $mod_{C(B,N)}(Y|R) = mod_{C(B,N|S)}(Y)$ is the free C -module generated by Y , where $R = \{s_{(m)}[u] \mid s \in S, u \in U, m \geq 0\} \subseteq mod_{C(B,N)}(Y)$. Then it is important to find a Gröbner-Shirshov basis for $subm(R)$ in $mod_{C(B,N)}(Y)$.

Note that for a D -free monic subset S in $C(B, N)$, S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B, N)$ if S is closed under the compositions of inclusion, intersection and left multiplication in $C(B, N)$, see [34].

Lemma 4.9 *Let S be a D -free subset of $C(B, N)$, $R = \{s_{(m)}[u] \mid s \in S, u \in U, m \geq 0\} \subseteq mod_{C(B,N)}(Y)$ and $R_1 = \{s_{(m)}[u] \mid s \in S, u \in U, 0 \leq m < N\}$. Then in $mod_{C(B,N)}(Y)$, $subm(R) = subm(R_1)$.*

Proof. We only need to show that $R \subseteq subm(R_1)$. Since S is D -free, it is clearly that $s_{(p)}b = 0$, $s_{(p)}y = 0$ for any $s \in S$, $b \in B$, $y \in Y$, $p \geq N$. We will prove $s_{(m)}[u] \in span_{\mathbf{k}} R_1$ for any $m \geq N$.

If $[u] = D^i y$, we have

$$s_{(N)} D^i y = - \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{i}{t} \frac{N!}{(N-t)!} s_{(N-t)} D^{i-t} y,$$

so $s_{(N)} D^i y \in span_{\mathbf{k}} R_1$.

Let $|u| > 1$ and $[u] = b_{1(n_1)}[u_1]$. Then

$$s_{(m)}[u] = s_{(m)}(b_{1(n_1)}[u_1]) = - \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{m}{t} s_{(m-t)}(b_{1(n_1+t)}[u_1]).$$

By induction on m , we complete the proof. $\square$

Proposition 4.10 *Let the notation be as in Lemma 4.9 and S a D -free Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B, N)$. Then R_1 is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $mod_{C(B,N)}(Y)$. Moreover,*

$$Irr(R_1) = \{[a_{(n)} D^i y] \mid [a] \in Irr(S), a \in T \text{ with } a \text{ } D\text{-free}, 0 \leq n < N, i \geq 0, y \in Y\},$$

is a $\mathbf{k}$ -basis for the module $mod_{C(B,N)}(Y|R)$, where

$$Irr(S) = \{[u] \mid u \in T, u \text{ is } D\text{-free and } u \neq \overline{[v]_{D^i s}} \text{ for any normal } s\text{-word } [v]_{D^i s}\}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.9, we have $\text{subm}(R) = \text{subm}(R_1)$.

For any $f = s_{1(m_1)}[u_1]$, $g = s_{2(m_2)}[u_2] \in R_1$, we assume that $[u_j] = [a_{j(n_j)}D^{i_j}y_j]$, $j = 1, 2$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f - [s_{1(m_1)}a_1]_{(n_1)}D^{i_1}y_1 \\ &= f - \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t \binom{m_1}{t} s_{1(m_1-t)}([a_1]_{(n_1+t)}D^{i_1}y_1) \\ &= f - s_{1(m_1)}([a_1]_{(n_1)}D^{i_1}y_1) - \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{m_1}{t} s_{1(m_1-t)}([a_1]_{(n_1+t)}D^{i_1}y_1) \\ &= \sum_k \alpha_k h_k, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_k \in R_1$ and $\overline{h_k} < \bar{f}$.

Similarly, we have $g - [s_{2(m_2)}a_2]_{(n_2)}D^{i_2}y_2 = \sum_t \gamma_t q_t$, where $q_t \in R_1$ and $\overline{q_t} < \bar{f}$.

If f, g have composition, then $n_1 = n_2 =: n$, $y_1 = y_2 =: y$. There are three cases to consider.

Case 1. $w = \bar{f} = a_{(p)}\bar{g}D^k$ where $a \in T$ is D -free and $k \geq 0$. Then $\bar{s}_{1(m_1)}a_1 = a_{(p)}\bar{s}_{2(m_2)}a_2 =: c$, and $i_1 = i_2 + k$. Since S is a D -free Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B, N)$, we have

$$[s_{1(m_1)}a_1] - [a_{(p)}s_{2(m_2)}a_2] = \sum_{j'} \beta_{j'} [v_{j'}]_{D^{l_{j'}}s_{j'}},$$

where each $[v_{j'}]_{D^{l_{j'}}s_{j'}}$ is a normal S -word and $\overline{[v_{j'}]_{D^{l_{j'}}s_{j'}}} < c$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f - [a_{(p)}D^l g] &= [s_{1(m_1)}a_1]_{(n)}D^{i_1}y - [a_{(p)}D^l([s_{2(m_2)}a_2]_{(n)}D^{i_2}y)] \\ &\quad + \sum_k \alpha_k h_k - \sum_t \gamma_t [a_{(p)}D^l q_t] \\ &= [s_{1(m_1)}a_1]_{(n)}D^{i_1}y - [a_{(p)}([s_{2(m_2)}a_2]_{(n)}D^{l+i_2}y)] \\ &\quad - \alpha[a_{(p)}([s_{2(m_2)}a_2]_{(n-l)}D^{i_2}y)] + \sum_k \alpha_k h_k - \sum_t \gamma_t [a_{(p)}D^l q_t] \\ &= ([s_{1(m_1)}a_1] - [a_{(p)}s_{2(m_2)}a_2])_{(n)}D^{i_1}y - \alpha[a_{(p)}([s_{2(m_2)}a_2]_{(n-l)}D^{i_2}y)] \\ &\quad + \sum_k \alpha_k h_k - \sum_t \gamma_t [a_{(p)}D^l q_t] \\ &\equiv 0 \text{ mod}(R_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = (-1)^l \frac{n!}{(n-l)!}$.

Case 2. $w = \bar{f}D^i = a_{(n)}\bar{g}$ where $a \in T$ is D -free and $i \geq 0$. Similar to Case 1, we have $D^i f - [a_{(n)}g] \equiv 0 \text{ mod}(R_1)$.

Case 3. For any $b \in B$, $n \geq N$, we will prove $b_{(n)}f \equiv 0 \text{ mod}(R_1)$. If $n = N$, then by Lemma 4.9,

$$b_{(N)}f = b_{(N)}(s_{1(m_1)}[u_1]) = (b_{(N)}s_1)_{(m_1)}[u_1] - \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{N}{t} b_{(N-t)}(s_{1(m_1+t)}[u_1]).$$

Since S is a D -free Gröbner-Shirshov basis, we have $b_{(N)}s_1 = \sum_j \beta_j [a_{j(n_j)}s_{j(q_j)}c_j]$, where $a_j, c_j \in T$ are D -free. So

$$b_{(N)}f \equiv \sum_j \beta_j [a_{j(n_j)}s_{j(q_j)}c_j]_{(m_1)}[u_1] \equiv \sum_j \beta_j [a_{j(n_j)}s_{j(q_j)}c_{j(m_1)}u_1] \equiv 0 \mod(R_1).$$

Assume that $n > N$. By induction, we have $b_{(n)}f \equiv (b_{(n)}s_1)_{(m_1)}[u_1] \equiv 0 \mod(R_1)$.

Therefore, R_1 is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y)$. Now, by Theorem 4.8, the set R_1 is a $\mathbf{k}$ -basis for the module $\text{mod}_{C(B,N)}(Y|R)$. $\square$

Remark: The condition that S is D -free in Proposition 4.10 is essential. For example, let $C = C(a, N = 2|S)$ be an associative conformal algebra, where

$$S = \{a_{(1)}a - a_{(0)}Da, [a_{(0)}a_{(1)}a], [a_{(1)}a_{(0)}a], [a_{(0)}a_{(0)}a], [a_{(1)}a_{(1)}a]\}.$$

It is easy to check that S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(a, N = 2)$. Let Y be a well-ordered set, $\text{mod}_{C(B,N=2)}(Y)$ the double free conformal module and $R = \{s_{(m)}[u] \mid s \in S, u \in U, m \geq 0\}$, where $U = \{a_{(n_1)} \cdots a_{(n_k)} D^i y \mid y \in Y, 0 \leq n_j < 2, 1 \leq j \leq k, i, k \geq 0\}$. Since $(a_{(1)}a - a_{(0)}Da)_{(2)}y = a_{(0)}(Da_{(2)}y) = -2a_{(0)}(a_{(1)}y)$, $a_{(0)}(a_{(1)}y) \in \text{subm}(R)$. Thus $(a_{(1)}a - a_{(0)}Da)_{(2)}y = 0 \in \text{mod}_{C(B,N=2)}(Y|R)$. Noting that $a_{(0)}a \in \text{Irr}(S)$, the set $\{[a_{(n)}D^i y] \mid [a] \in \text{Irr}(S)\}$ isn't a $\mathbf{k}$ -basis of $\text{mod}_{C(B,N=2)}(Y|R)$.

5 Applications

5.1 Conformal modules over universal enveloping conformal algebra

Let L be a Lie conformal algebra which is a free $\mathbf{k}[D]$ -module with a well-ordered $\mathbf{k}[D]$ -basis $B = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ and a uniform bounded locality $N(a_i, a_j) \leq N$ for all $i, j \in I$. Let the multiplication table of L on B be

$$a_{i[n]}a_j = \sum_{t \in I} \alpha_{nijt} a_t, \quad \alpha_{nijt} \in \mathbf{k}[D], \quad i \geq j, \quad i, j \in I, \quad n < N.$$

Then by $\mathcal{U}(L)$, the universal enveloping associative conformal algebra of L with respect to B and N , one means the following associative conformal algebra, see [15],

$$\mathcal{U}(L) = C(B, N \mid a_{i(n)}a_j - \{a_{j(n)}a_i\} - [a_{i[n]}a_j], \quad i \geq j, \quad i, j \in I, \quad n < N)$$

where $\{a_{j(n)}a_i\} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^{n+k} \frac{1}{k!} D^k (a_{j(n+k)}a_i)$ and $[a_{i[n]}a_j] = \sum_{t \in I} \alpha_{nijt} a_t$.

Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the complex field,

$$\text{Vir} = C_{\text{Lie}}(v, N = 2 \mid v_{[0]}v - Dv, v_{[1]}v - 2v)$$

be the Lie conformal algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ (Vir is called the Virasoro conformal algebra), see [15], and

$$\mathcal{U}(\text{Vir}) = C(v, N = 2 \mid v_{(1)}v - v)$$

the universal enveloping associative conformal algebra of Vir .

Example 5.1 Let $\Delta \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let

$$M(\Delta, \alpha) = \text{mod}_{C(v; N=2)}(y|R \cup Q),$$

where

$$R = \{(v_{(1)}v - v)_{(m)}[v_{(n_1)}v \cdots v_{(n_k)}D^i y] \mid n_j \in \{0, 1\}, 1 \leq j \leq k, m, k, i \geq 0\}$$

and $Q = \{f_1, f_2\}$ where $f_1 = v_{(0)}y - (D + \alpha)y$, $f_2 = v_{(1)}y - \Delta y$.

Then $Q = \{f_1, f_2\}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis for $M(\Delta, \alpha)$. So, by Theorem 4.8, the set $\text{Irr}(Q) = \{D^i y \mid i \geq 0\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of $M(\Delta, \alpha)$. It follows that $M(\Delta, \alpha) = \mathbb{C}[D]y$ is a $\mathcal{U}(\text{Vir})$ -module, called the Virasoro conformal module, see [20, 27, 35].

Proof. Let $R_1 = \{(v_{(1)}v - v)_{(m)}[v_{(n_1)}v \cdots v_{(n_k)}D^i y] \mid m, n_j \in \{0, 1\}, 1 \leq j \leq k, k, i \geq 0\}$. By Lemma 4.2 in [15], $\{v_{(1)}v - v\}$ is a D -free Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(v; N = 2)$. Then by Lemma 4.9, $\text{subm}(R) = \text{subm}(R_1)$ in $\text{mod}_{C(v; N)}(y)$. So $M(\Delta, \alpha) = \text{mod}_{C(v; N)}(y|R \cup Q) = \text{mod}_{C(v; N)}(y|R_1 \cup Q)$.

If $i > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} v_{(m)}D^i y &= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{i!}{(i-t)!} D^{i-t}(v_{(m-t)}y) \\ &= \begin{cases} D^i(v_{(0)}y), & m = 0, \\ D^i(v_{(1)}y) + iD^{i-1}(v_{(0)}y), & m = 1 \end{cases} \\ &\equiv \begin{cases} (D + \alpha)D^i y, & m = 0, \\ \Delta D^i y + i(D + \alpha)D^{i-1}y, & m = 1 \end{cases} \\ &\equiv \begin{cases} D^{i+1}y + \alpha D^i y \mod(Q), & m = 0, \\ (\Delta + i)D^i y + i\alpha D^{i-1}y \mod(Q), & m = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So $[v_{(n_1)}v \cdots v_{(n_k)}D^i y] \equiv \sum_{l \geq 0} \beta_l D^l y \mod(Q)$, where $n_j \in \{0, 1\}$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, $k, i \geq 0$ and $\beta_l \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote $s = v_{(1)}v - v$. Let $h = s_{(m)}[v_{(n_1)}v \cdots v_{(n_k)}D^i y] \in R_1$. Then we can get $h \equiv 0 \mod(Q)$ following $s_{(n)}D^k y \equiv 0 \mod(Q)$ for any $k \geq 0, n \in \{0, 1\}$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} v_{(2)}Dy &= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{2}{t} \frac{1}{(1-t)!} D^{1-t}(v_{(2-t)}y) \equiv 2\Delta y \mod(Q), \\ v_{(2)}D^i y &= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{2}{t} \frac{i!}{(i-t)!} D^{i-t}(v_{(2-t)}y) = 2iD^{i-1}(v_{(1)}y) + i(i-1)D^{i-2}(v_{(0)}y) \\ &\equiv (i^2 - i + 2\Delta i)D^{i-1}y + \alpha(i^2 - i)D^{i-2}y \mod(Q), \quad i \geq 2, \\ s_{(0)}y &= (v_{(1)}v)_{(0)}y - v_{(0)}y = v_{(1)}(v_{(0)}y) - v_{(0)}(v_{(1)}y) - v_{(0)}y \\ &\equiv v_{(1)}(D + \alpha)y - v_{(0)}\Delta y - (D + \alpha)y \\ &\equiv v_{(1)}Dy - (\Delta + 1)Dy - \alpha y \\ &\equiv 0 \mod(Q), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{(0)}D^iy &= (v_{(1)}v)_{(0)}D^iy - v_{(0)}D^iy = v_{(1)}(v_{(0)}D^iy) - v_{(0)}(v_{(1)}D^iy) - v_{(0)}D^iy \\
&\equiv v_{(1)}(D^{i+1}y + \alpha D^iy) - v_{(0)}((\Delta + i)D^iy + i\alpha D^{i-1}y) - D^{i+1}y - \alpha D^iy \\
&\equiv (\Delta + i + 1)D^{i+1}y + (i + 1)\alpha D^iy + \alpha(\Delta + i)D^iy + i\alpha^2 D^{i-1}y \\
&\quad - (\Delta + i)(D^{i+1}y + \alpha D^iy) - i\alpha D^iy - i\alpha^2 D^{i-1}y - D^{i+1}y - \alpha D^iy \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{Q}, \quad i > 0, \\
s_{(1)}D^iy &= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{1}{t} \frac{i!}{(i-t)!} D^{i-t}(s_{(1-t)}y) = \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{1}{t} \frac{i!}{(i-t)!} D^{i-t}((v_{(1)}v)_{(1-t)}y - v_{(1-t)}y) \\
&= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{1}{t} \frac{i!}{(i-t)!} D^{i-t}(v_{(1)}(v_{(1-t)}y) - v_{(0)}(v_{(2-t)}y) - v_{(1-t)}y) \\
&\equiv \begin{cases} v_{(1)}(v_{(1)}y) - v_{(1)}y, & i = 0, \\ iD^{i-1}(v_{(1)}(v_{(0)}y) - v_{(0)}(v_{(1)}y) - v_{(0)}y) + D^i(v_{(1)}(v_{(1)}y) - v_{(1)}y), & i > 0 \end{cases} \\
&\equiv \begin{cases} (\Delta^2 - \Delta)y, & i = 0, \\ iD^{i-1}(v_{(1)}(D + \alpha)y - v_{(0)}\Delta y - (D + \alpha)y) + (\Delta^2 - \Delta)D^iy, & i > 0 \end{cases} \\
&\equiv \begin{cases} (\Delta^2 - \Delta)y, & i = 0, \\ iD^{i-1}(v_{(1)}Dy - (\Delta + 1)Dy - \alpha y) + (\Delta^2 - \Delta)D^iy, & i > 0 \end{cases} \\
&\equiv (\Delta^2 - \Delta)D^iy \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{Q}.
\end{aligned}$$

For any $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{(n)}f_1 &= v_{(n)}(v_{(0)}y) - v_{(n)}(D + \alpha)y \\
&= - \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{n}{t} v_{(n-t)}(v_{(t)}y) - v_{(n)}Dy - \alpha v_{(n)}y \\
&\equiv nv_{(n-1)}(v_{(1)}y) - nv_{(n-1)}y \\
&\equiv \begin{cases} 0, & n > 2, \\ 2(\Delta^2 - \Delta)y, & n = 2, \end{cases} \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{Q}, \\
v_{(n)}f_2 &= v_{(n)}(v_{(1)}y) - v_{(n)}\Delta y = - \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{n}{t} v_{(n-t)}(v_{(1+t)}y) - \Delta v_{(n)}y \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{Q}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that $\text{subm}(Q) = \text{subm}(R_1 \cup Q)$ and all left multiplication compositions in Q are trivial modulo Q .

Then Q is closed under the left multiplication composition. Since Q has no composition of inclusion and intersection, Q is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of $M(\Delta, \alpha)$.

Now, by Theorem 4.8 and Proposition 3.9, the results follow. $\square$

Example 5.2 *Module over the semidirect product of Virasoro conformal algebra and current algebra.*

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with a well-ordered $\mathbb{C}$ -basis $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $Cur(\mathfrak{g})$ be the current algebra over $\mathfrak{g}$, where

$$Cur(\mathfrak{g}) = C_{Lie}(\{a_i\}_{i \in I}, N = 1 \mid a_{i[0]}a_j = [a_i a_j], i, j \in I).$$

The semidirect product of Vir and $Cur(\mathfrak{g})$ is

$$Vir \oplus Cur(\mathfrak{g}) = C_{Lie}(\{v\} \cup \{a_i\}_{i \in I}, N = 2 \mid S),$$

where

$$S = \{v_{[0]}v - Dv, v_{[1]}v - 2v, v_{[0]}a_i - Da_i, v_{[1]}a_i - a_i, a_{i[0]}a_j - [a_i a_j], a_{i[1]}a_j, i > j, i, j \in I\}.$$

Then, see section 4.4 in [15],

$$\mathcal{U}(Vir \oplus Cur(\mathfrak{g})) = C(\{v\} \cup \{a_i\}_{i \in I}, N = 2 \mid S^{(-)}),$$

where $S^{(-)}$ consists of

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= a_{i(0)}a_j - a_{j(0)}a_i - [a_i a_j], i > j, \\ s_2 &= a_{i(1)}a_j, i > j, \\ s_3 &= v_{(1)}v - v, \\ s_4 &= v_{(0)}a_i + a_{i(1)}Dv - 2a_{i(0)}v - Da_i, \\ s_5 &= v_{(1)}a_i + a_{i(1)}v - a_i, \\ s_6 &= v_{(0)}(a_{j(0)}a_i) - a_{j(0)}(v_{(0)}a_i), i > j, \\ s_7 &= v_{(0)}(a_{i(0)}v) - a_{i(0)}(v_{(0)}v), \\ s_8 &= v_{(0)}(a_{i(1)}v) - a_{i(1)}(v_{(0)}v) + a_{i(0)}v. \end{aligned}$$

Let V be a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with a $\mathbb{C}$ -basis Y and $\Delta \in \{0, 1\}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let

$$M(\Delta, \alpha, V) = mod_{C(\{v\} \cup \{a_i\}_{i \in I}, N)}(Y \mid R \cup Q),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \{s_{(m)}[c_{1(n_1)} \cdots c_{k(n_k)} D^t y] \mid s \in S^{(-)}, n_j \in \{0, 1\}, c_j \in \{v\} \cup \{a_i\}_{i \in I}, \\ &\quad y \in Y, 1 \leq j \leq k, k, m, t \geq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

and $Q = \{f_{1y}, f_{2y}, f_{3iy}, f_{4iy} \mid i \in I, y \in Y\}$ where

$$f_{1y} = v_{(0)}y - (D + \alpha)y, f_{2y} = v_{(1)}y - \Delta y, f_{3iy} = a_{i(0)}y - a_i y, f_{4iy} = a_{i(1)}y, i \in I, y \in Y.$$

Then Q is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis for $M(\Delta, \alpha, V)$. Moreover, $M(\Delta, \alpha, V) = \mathbb{C}[DY]$ is a $Vir \oplus Cur(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, see [20, 27].

Proof. Let $m \geq 0, k \geq 1$. Then we have, $\text{mod}(Q)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{(m)}D^k y &= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}(v_{(m-t)}y) \\
&\equiv \begin{cases} \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} D^{k-m}(v_{(0)}y) + m \frac{k!}{(k-m+1)!} D^{k-m+1}(v_{(1)}y), & k \geq m, \\ \binom{m}{k} v_{(m-k)}y, & k < m \end{cases} \\
&\equiv \begin{cases} \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} (D + \alpha) D^{k-m}y + m \Delta \frac{k!}{(k-m+1)!} D^{k-m+1}y, & m \leq k, \\ (k+1)\Delta y, & m = k+1, \\ 0, & m > k+1, \end{cases} \\
a_{i(m)}D^k y &= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}(a_{i(m-t)}y) \\
&\equiv \begin{cases} 0, & 1 \leq k < m, \\ \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} D^{k-m}(a_i y), & k \geq m \geq 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

So $[c_{1(n_1)} \cdots c_{k(n_k)} D^i y] \equiv \sum_{l \geq 0} \beta_l D^l y \text{ mod}(Q)$, where $n_j \in \{0, 1\}$, $c_j \in \{v\} \cup \{a_i\}_{i \in I}$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, $i, k \geq 0$ and $\beta_l \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $h = s_{(m)}[c_{1(n_1)} \cdots c_{k(n_k)} D^i y] \in R$. Then we can get $h \equiv 0 \text{ mod}(Q)$ following $s_{(n)} D^k y \equiv 0 \text{ mod}(Q)$ for any $s \in S^{(-)}$, $n, k \geq 0$.

Let $m \geq 0$. For s_1, s_2, s_3, s_6 , we have, $\text{mod}(Q)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{1(m)}D^k y &= (a_{i(0)}a_j - a_{j(0)}a_i - [a_i a_j])_{(m)} D^k y \\
&= a_{i(0)}(a_{j(m)} D^k y) - a_{j(0)}(a_{i(m)} D^k y) - [a_i a_j]_{(m)} D^k y \\
&\equiv \begin{cases} 0, & 1 \leq k < m, \\ \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} D^{k-m}(a_i(a_j y)) - (a_j(a_i y)) - [a_i a_j]y, & k \geq m \geq 0 \end{cases} \\
&\equiv 0, \\
s_{2(m)}D^k y &= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}(s_{2(m-t)}y) \\
&= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}((a_{i(1)}a_j)_{(m-t)}y) \\
&= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}((a_{i(1)}(a_{j(m-t)}y) - (a_{i(0)}(a_{j(m-t+1)}y))) \\
&\equiv 0, \\
s_{3(0)}D^k y &= D^k((v_{(1)}v - v)_{(0)}y) = D^k(v_{(1)}(v_{(0)}y) - v_{(0)}(v_{(1)}y) - v_{(0)}y) \\
&\equiv D^k(v_{(1)}(D + \alpha)y - v_{(0)}\Delta y - (D + \alpha)y) \\
&\equiv 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{3(m)}D^ky &= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}(s_{3(m-t)}y) \\
&= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}((v_{(1)}v)_{(m-t)}y - v_{(m-t)}y) \\
&= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}(v_{(1)}(v_{(m-t)}y) - v_{(0)}(v_{(m-t+1)}y) - v_{(m-t)}y) \\
&\equiv \begin{cases} 0, & m > k+1 \geq 1, \\ m \frac{k!}{(k+1-m)!} D^{k+1-m}(\Delta^2 - \Delta)y, & 1 \leq m \leq k+1, \end{cases} \\
&\equiv 0, \\
s_{6(m)}D^ky &= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}((v_{(0)}(a_{j(0)}a_i) - a_{j(0)}(v_{(0)}a_i))_{(m-t)}y) \\
&= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}(v_{(0)}(a_{j(0)}(a_{i(m-t)}y)) - a_{j(0)}(v_{(0)}(a_{i(m-t)}y))) \\
&\equiv \begin{cases} 0, & m > k \geq 0, \\ \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} D^{k-m}(v_{(0)}(a_{j(0)}\{a_i y\}) - a_{j(0)}(v_{(0)}\{a_i y\})), & 0 \leq m \leq k \end{cases} \\
&\equiv 0.
\end{aligned}$$

For s_4, s_5, s_7, s_8 and $m \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{4(m)}D^ky &= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}((v_{(0)}a_i + a_{i(1)}Dv - 2a_{i(0)}v - Da_i)_{(m-t)}y) \\
&= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}(v_{(0)}(a_{i(m-t)}y) + a_{i(1)}(Dv_{(m-t)}y) - a_{i(0)}(Dv_{(m-t+1)}y) \\
&\quad - 2a_{i(0)}(v_{(m-t)}y) - Da_{i(m-t)}y) \\
&= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}(v_{(0)}(a_{i(m-t)}y) + a_{i(1)}(Dv_{(m-t)}y) \\
&\quad + (m-t+1)a_{i(0)}(v_{(m-t)}y) - Da_{i(m-t)}y), \\
s_{5(m)}D^ky &= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}((v_{(1)}a_i + a_{i(1)}v - a_i)_{(m-t)}y) \\
&= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}(v_{(1)}(a_{i(m-t)}y) - v_{(0)}(a_{i(m-t+1)}y) + a_{i(1)}(v_{(m-t)}y) \\
&\quad - a_{i(0)}(v_{(m-t+1)}y) - a_{i(m-t)}y), \\
s_{7(m)}D^ky &= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}((v_{(0)}(a_{i(0)}v) - a_{i(0)}(v_{(0)}v))_{(m-t)}y) \\
&= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t}(v_{(0)}(a_{i(0)}(v_{(m-t)}y)) - a_{i(0)}(v_{(0)}(v_{(m-t)}y))),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{8(m)}D^k y &= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t} ((v_{(0)}(a_{i(1)}v) - a_{i(1)}(v_{(0)}v) + a_{i(0)}v)_{(m-t)}y) \\
&= \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{t} \frac{k!}{(k-t)!} D^{k-t} (v_{(0)}(a_{i(1)}(v_{(m-t)}y)) - v_{(0)}(a_{i(0)}(v_{(m-t+1)}y)) \\
&\quad - a_{i(1)}(v_{(0)}(v_{(m-t)}y)) + a_{i(0)}(v_{(0)}(v_{(m-t+1)}y)) + a_{i(0)}(v_{(m-t)}y)).
\end{aligned}$$

There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. $m > k \geq 0$. Then, $\text{mod}(Q)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{4(m)}D^k y &\equiv \binom{m}{k} k! (a_{i(1)}(Dv_{(m-k)}y) + (m-k-1)a_{i(0)}(v_{(m-k)}y) - Da_{i(m-k)}y) \\
&\equiv \begin{cases} 0, & m-k > 1, \\ m!(-a_{i(1)}(v_{(0)}y) + a_{i(0)}y), & m-k = 1 \end{cases} \\
&\equiv 0, \\
s_{5(m)}D^k y &\equiv \binom{m}{k} (v_{(1)}(a_{i(m-k)}y) - v_{(0)}(a_{i(m-k+1)}y) + a_{i(1)}(v_{(m-k)}y)) \\
&\equiv 0, \\
s_{7(m)}D^k y &= \binom{m}{k} (v_{(0)}(a_{i(0)}(v_{(m-k)}y)) - a_{i(0)}(v_{(0)}(v_{(m-k)}y))) \\
&\equiv \begin{cases} 0, & m-k > 1, \\ m(v_{(0)}(a_{i(0)}\Delta y) - a_{i(0)}(v_{(0)}\Delta y)), & m-k = 1 \end{cases} \\
&\equiv \begin{cases} 0, & m-k > 1, \\ m(v_{(0)}\Delta(a_i y) - a_{i(0)}\Delta(D+\alpha)y), & m-k = 1 \end{cases} \\
&\equiv 0, \\
s_{8(m)}D^k y &\equiv \binom{m}{k} (v_{(0)}(a_{i(1)}(v_{(m-k)}y)) - a_{i(1)}(v_{(0)}(v_{(m-k)}y)) + a_{i(0)}(v_{(m-k)}y)) \\
&\equiv \begin{cases} 0, & m-k > 1, \\ m(v_{(0)}(a_{i(1)}\Delta y) - a_{i(1)}(v_{(0)}\Delta y) + a_{i(0)}\Delta y), & m-k = 1 \end{cases} \\
&\equiv \begin{cases} 0, & m-k > 1, \\ m(v_{(0)}(-a_{i(1)}\Delta(D+\alpha)y + \Delta(a_i y)), & m-k = 1 \end{cases} \\
&\equiv 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $0 \leq m \leq k$. Then, $\text{mod}(Q)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{4(m)}D^k y &= \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} D^{k-m} (v_{(0)}(a_{i(0)}y) - a_{i(0)}(v_{(0)}y)) \\
&\quad + m \frac{k!}{(k+1-m)!} D^{k+1-m} (a_{i(1)}(Dv_{(1)}y) + a_{i(0)}y) \\
&\equiv \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} D^{k-m} (v_{(0)}(a_i y) - a_{i(0)}(D+\alpha)y) \\
&\quad + m \frac{k!}{(k+1-m)!} D^{k+1-m} (-a_{i(1)}(D+\alpha)y + a_{i(0)}y) \\
&\equiv 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{5(m)}D^k y &\equiv \frac{k!}{(k-m)!}D^{k-m}(v_{(1)}(a_{i(0)}y) + a_{i(1)}(v_{(0)}y) - a_{i(0)}(v_{(1)}y) - a_{i(0)}y) \\
&\equiv 0, \\
s_{7(m)}D^k y &= \frac{k!}{(k-m)!}D^{k-m}(v_{(0)}(a_{i(0)}(v_{(0)}y)) - a_{i(0)}(v_{(0)}(v_{(0)}y))) \\
&\quad + m \frac{k!}{(k-m+1)!}D^{k-m+1}(v_{(0)}(a_{i(0)}(v_{(1)}y)) - a_{i(0)}(v_{(0)}(v_{(1)}y))) \\
&\equiv \frac{k!}{(k-m)!}D^{k-m}(v_{(0)}(a_{i(0)}(D+\alpha)y) - a_{i(0)}(v_{(0)}(D+\alpha)y)) \\
&\quad + m \frac{k!}{(k-m+1)!}D^{k-m+1}(v_{(0)}(a_{i(0)}\Delta y) - a_{i(0)}(v_{(0)}\Delta y)) \\
&\equiv \frac{k!}{(k-m)!}D^{k-m}(v_{(0)}(D+\alpha)(a_i y)) - a_{i(0)}(D+\alpha)^2 y \\
&\quad + m \frac{k!}{(k-m+1)!}D^{k-m+1}(v_{(0)}\Delta(a_i y) - a_{i(0)}\Delta(D+\alpha)y) \\
&\equiv 0, \\
s_{8(m)}D^k y &\equiv \frac{k!}{(k-m)!}D^{k-m}(v_{(0)}(a_{i(1)}(v_{(0)}y)) - v_{(0)}(a_{i(0)}(v_{(1)}y)) - a_{i(1)}(v_{(0)}(v_{(0)}y)) \\
&\quad + a_{i(0)}(v_{(0)}(v_{(1)}y)) + a_{i(0)}(v_{(0)}y)) \\
&\quad + m \frac{k!}{(k-m+1)!}D^{k-m+1}(v_{(0)}(a_{i(1)}(v_{(1)}y)) - a_{i(1)}(v_{(0)}(v_{(1)}y)) + a_{i(0)}(v_{(1)}y)) \\
&\equiv 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $n \geq 2$ and $i, j \in I$. Then we have, $\text{mod}(Q)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{(n)}f_{1y} &\equiv \begin{cases} 0, & n > 2, \\ 2(\Delta^2 - \Delta)y, & n = 2, \end{cases} \equiv 0, \\
v_{(n)}f_{2y} &= v_{(n)}(v_{(1)}y) - v_{(n)}\Delta y \equiv 0, \\
v_{(n)}f_{3iy} &= v_{(n)}(a_{i(0)}y - a_i y) \equiv \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k+1} \binom{n}{k} v_{(n-k)}(a_{i(k)}y) \equiv 0, \\
v_{(n)}f_{4iy} &= v_{(n)}(a_{i(1)}y) = \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k+1} \binom{n}{k} v_{(n-k)}(a_{i(k+1)}y) \equiv 0, \\
a_{j(n)}f_{1y} &= a_{j(n)}(v_{(0)}y - (D+\alpha)y) = a_{j(n)}(v_{(0)}y) - a_{j(n)}(D+\alpha)y \\
&\equiv \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k+1} \binom{n}{k} a_{j(n-k)}(v_{(k)}y) - n a_{j(n-1)}y \\
&\equiv n a_{j(n-1)}(v_{(1)}y) \equiv 0, \\
a_{j(n)}f_{2y} &= a_{j(n)}(v_{(1)}y - \Delta y) \equiv \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k+1} \binom{n}{k} a_{j(n-k)}(v_{(1+k)}y) \equiv 0, \\
a_{j(n)}f_{3iy} &= a_{j(n)}(a_{i(0)}y - a_i y) \equiv \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k+1} \binom{n}{k} a_{j(n-k)}(a_{i(k)}y) \equiv 0, \\
a_{j(n)}f_{4iy} &= a_{j(n)}(a_{i(1)}y) \equiv 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\text{subm}(Q) = \text{subm}(R \cup Q)$ and all left multiplication compositions in Q are trivial modulo Q . Since Q has no composition of inclusion and intersection, Q is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of $M(\Delta, \alpha, V)$.

Now, the results follow from Theorem 4.8 and Proposition 3.9. $\square$

References

- [1] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, A.B. Zamolodchikov, Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory, Nuclear Phys. B241(1984), 333-380.
- [2] G.M. Bergman, The diamond lemma for ring theory, Adv. Math., 29(1978), 178-218.
- [3] R.E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the monster, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 83(1986), 3068-3071.
- [4] R.E. Borcherds, Monstrous moonshine and monstrous Lie superalgebras, Invent. Math., 109(1992), 405-444.
- [5] L.A. Bokut, Imbeddings into simple associative algebras, Algebra i Logika, 15(1976), 117-142.
- [6] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Yongshan Chen, Composition-Diamond lemma for tensor product of free algebras, Journal of Algebra, 323(2010), 2520-2537.
- [7] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Yongshan Chen, Groebner-Shirshov bases for Lie algebras over a commutative algebra, Journal of Algebra, 337(2011), 82-102.
- [8] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Xueming Deng, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for Rota-Baxter algebras, Siberian Math. J., 51(6)(2010), 978-988.
- [9] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Cihua Liu, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for dialgebras, International Journal of Algebra and Computation, 20(3)(2010), 391-415.
- [10] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Yu Li, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for Vinberg-Koszul-Gerstenhaber right-symmetric algebras, Fundamental and Applied Mathematics, 14(8)(2008), 55-67 (in Russian). J. Math. Sci., 166(2010), 603-612.
- [11] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Qihui Mo, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for semirings, Journal of Algebra, 385(2013), 47-63.
- [12] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Jianjun Qiu, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for associative algebras with multiple operators and free Rota-Baxter algebras, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 214(2010), 89-100.
- [13] L.A. Bokut, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke, Free associative conformal algebras, Proceedings of the 2nd Tainan-Moscow Algebra and Combinatorics Workshop, Tainan, Springer-Verlag, Hong Kong, 1997, pp.13-25.
- [14] L.A. Bokut, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke, Gröbner-Shirshov bases and composition lemma for associative conformal algebras: an example, Contemp. Math., 264(2000), 63-90.

- [15] L.A. Bokut, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke, Composition Diamond Lemma for associative conformal algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 272(2004), 739-774.
- [16] L. A. Bokut, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke, P. Kolesnikov, Gröbner and Gröbner-Shirshov bases in Algebra and Conformal algebras, *Fundamental and Applied Mathematics*, 6(2000), N3, 669-706.
- [17] B. Buchberger, An algorithmical criteria for the solvability of algebraic systems of equations, *Aequationes Math.*, 4(1970), 374-383.
- [18] Yuqun Chen, Yongshan Chen, Chanyan Zhong, Composition-Diamond lemma for modules, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 60(135)(2010), 59-76.
- [19] Yongshan Chen, Yuqun Chen, Groebner-Shirshov bases for matabelian Lie algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 358(2012), 143-161.
- [20] S.-J Cheng, V. Kac. Conformal modules, *Asian J. Math.*, 1(1997), 181-193.
- [21] E.S. Chibrikov, On free Lie conformal algebras, *Vestnik Novosibirsk State University*, 4(1)(2004), 65-83.
- [22] P.M. Cohn, Universal Algebra, 2nd Edition, in: *Mathematics and its Applications*, vol. 6, Reidel, DordrechtC Boston, MA, 1981.
- [23] V. Dotsenko, A. Khoroshkin, Gröbner bases for operads, *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 153(2)(2010), 363-396.
- [24] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, in: *Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 134, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.
- [25] M. Gao, Y. Xu, X. Yue, The Lie conformal algebra of a Block type Lie algebra, *Algebra Colloquium*, 3(2015), 367-382.
- [26] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field if characteristic zero, I, II, *Ann. of Math.*, 79(1964), 109-203, 205-326.
- [27] V. Kac, Vertex algebras for beginners, *University Lecture Series*, Vol. 10., AMS, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [28] V. Kac, The idea of locality, in: M.-D. Doebner, et al. (Eds.), *Physical Applications and Mathematical Aspects of Geometry, Groups and Algebras*, World Scientific, Singapore, (1997), 16-32.
- [29] S.-J. Kang, K.-H. Lee, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for irreducible sl_{n+1} -modules, *Journal of Algebra*, 232(2000), 1-20.
- [30] A.A. Mikhalev, The junction lemma and the equality problem for color Lie superalgebras, *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.*, 5(1989), 88-91. English translation: *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.*, 44(1989), 87-90.
- [31] A.A. Mikhalev, The composition lemma for color Lie superalgebras and for Lie p -superalgebras, *Contemp. Math.*, 131(2)(1992), 91-104.

- [32] A.A. Mikhalev, Shirshov's composition techniques in Lie superalgebra (non-commutative Gröbner bases). Trudy. Sem. Petrovsk., 18(1995), 277-289. English translation: J. Math. Sci., 80(1996), 2153-2160.
- [33] A.A. Mikhalev, A.A. Zolotykh, Standard Gröbner-Shirshov bases of free algebras over rings, I. Free associative algebras, International Journal of Algebra and Computation, 8(6)(1998), 689-726.
- [34] Lili Ni, Yuqun Chen, A new Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal algebras, Journal of Algebra and its Applications, 16(5)(2017), 1750094(28pages).
- [35] Alexander Retakh, Structure and representations of conformal algebras, rings and their representations, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, (2006), 289-311.
- [36] M. Roitman, On free conformal and vertex algebras, Journal of Algebra, 217(2)(1999), 496-527.
- [37] A.I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problem for ε -algebras, Sibirsk. Mat. Z., 3(1962), 132-137.
- [38] A.S. Wightman, Quantum field theory in terms of vacuum expectation values, Phys. Rev., 101(1956), 860-866.
- [39] Selected works of A.I. Shirshov, Eds L.A. Bokut, V. Latyshev, I. Shestakov, E. Zelmanov, Trs M. Bremner, M. Kochetov, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 2009.