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Abstract

Proper relation algebras can be constructed using $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ as a base set using a method due to Comer. The cycle structure of such an algebra must, in general, be determined a posteriori, normally with the aid of a computer. In this paper, we give an improved algorithm for checking the cycle structure that reduces the time complexity from $O(p^2)$ to $O(p)$.

1 Introduction

Comer [5] introduced a technique for constructing finite integral proper relation algebras using $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ as a base set for $p$ prime. Set $p = nk + 1$. Then there is a multiplicative subgroup $H < (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ of order $k$ and index $n$, and the subgroup $H$ can be used to construct a proper relation algebra of order $2^{n+1}$. Specifically, fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and let $X_0 = H$ be the unique multiplicative subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ of index $n$. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ be its cosets; in particular, let $X_i = g^i \cdot X_0 = \{g^{an+i} : a \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$, where $g$ is a primitive root modulo $p$, i.e., $g$ is a generator of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Then define relations

$$R_i = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} : x - y \in X_i\}.$$ 

The $R_i$’s, along with $\text{Id} = \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\}$, partition the set $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. The $R_i$’s will be symmetric if $k$ is even and asymmetric otherwise. For more information on relation algebras, see [8] or [9].

Given a prime $p$ and integer $n$ a divisor of $p-1$, it is difficult in general to say much about the cycle structure of the algebra generated by the $R_i$’s (although it is shown in [1] that if $p > n^4 + 5$ then the cycles $(R_i, R_i, R_i)$ are mandatory); one
must use a computational approach. The cycle \((R_i, R_j, R_k)\) is forbidden just in case \((X_i + X_j) \cap X_k = \emptyset\). Naively, one computes all the sumsets \(X_i + X_j\), though because of rotational symmetry, one may assume \(i = 0\):

Lemma 1. Let \(n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\) and let \(p = nk + 1\) be a prime number and \(g\) a primitive root modulo \(p\).

For \(i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}\), define

\[
X_i = \{g^i, g^{n+i}, g^{2n+i}, \ldots, g^{(k-1)n+i}\}.
\]

Then \((X_0 + X_j) \cap X_k = \emptyset\) if and only if \((X_i + X_{i+j}) \cap X_{i+k} = \emptyset\).

The lemma is trivial to prove: just multiply through by \(g^i\).

In 1983, Comer used his technique to construct (representations of) symmetric algebras with \(n\) diversity atoms forbidding exactly the 1-cycles (i.e., the “monochrome triangles”) for \(1 \leq n \leq 5\). Comer did the computations by hand, and his work was later extended via computer in [7] (\(n \leq 7\)), [4] (\(n \leq 400, n \neq 8, 13\)), and [1] (401 \(\leq n \leq 2000\)). This last significant advance was made possible by a much less general version of the improved algorithm presented here. Another variation was used in [2] to construct representations of algebras in which all the diversity atoms are flexible, and to give the first known cyclic group representation of relation algebra \(32_{65}\). Finally, an ad hoc variant was used in [3] to give the first known finite representation of relation algebra \(59_{65}\).

2 Symmetric Comer algebras

The following naïve algorithm, used in [4] to find Ramsey algebras for \(n \leq 400, n \neq 8, 13\), computes all the sumsets \(X_0 + X_i\).

Data: A prime \(p\), a divisor \(n\) of \((p-1)/2\) such that \((p-1)/n\) is even, a primitive root \(g\) modulo \(p\)

Result: a list of mandatory and forbidden cycles of the form \((0, x, y)\)

Compute \(X_0 = \{g^{an} \mod p : 0 \leq a < (p-1)/n\}\);

for \(i \leftarrow 0\) to \(n-1\) do

\[
X_i = \{g^{an+i} \mod p : 0 \leq a < (p-1)/n\};
\]

Compute \(X_0 + X_i \mod p\);

for \(j \leftarrow i\) to \(n-1\) do

\[
X_j = \{g^{an+j} \mod p : 0 \leq a < (p-1)/n\};
\]

if \((X_0 + X_i) \supseteq X_j\) then

| add \((0, i, j)\) to list of mandatory cycles |

else

| add \((0, i, j)\) to list of forbidden cycles |

end

end

Algorithm 1: Naïve algorithm for symmetric Comer algebras
The following lemma is very easy to prove and was apparently known to Comer. The algorithmic speed-up it provides, however, was not previously noticed.

**Lemma 2.** Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and let $p = nk + 1$ be a prime number, $k$ even, and $g$ a primitive root modulo $p$. For $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, define

$$X_i = \{ g^i, g^{n+i}, g^{2n+i}, \ldots, g^{(k-1)n+i} \}.$$  

Then if $(X_0 + X_i) \cap X_j \neq \emptyset$, then $(X_0 + X_i) \supseteq X_j$.

In particular, Lemma 2 implies that Comer’s construction always yields a relation algebra.

**Corollary 3.** A diversity cycle $(X_0, X_i, X_j)$ is forbidden if and only if $(g^j - X_0) \cap X_i \neq \emptyset$.

Corollary 3 affords us the following faster algorithm for computing the cycle structure of Comer relation algebras.

**Data:** A prime $p$, a divisor $n$ of $(p-1)/2$, a primitive root $g$ modulo $p$

**Result:** a list of mandatory and forbidden cycles of the form $(0, x, y)$

Compute $X_0 = \{ g^{an} \pmod{p} : 0 \leq a < (p-1)/n \}$;
Compute $g^j - X_0 \pmod{p}$ for each $0 \leq j < n$;

**Algorithm 2:** Fast algorithm for symmetric Comer algebras

For example, let $p = 113$, $n = 7$. Then $k = 16$. Since $k$ is even, we get a symmetric algebra, i.e. $X_i = -X_i$. The forbidden cycles that Algorithm 2 spits out are $(0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, 4)$, and $(0, 3, 3)$. Note that $(0, 0, 4)$ and $(0, 3, 3)$ are equivalent by Lemma 1. (Here we are using $g = 3$, the smallest primitive root modulo $p$.)

For another example, let $p = 71$, $n = 10$. Then $k = 7$ is odd, so we get an asymmetric algebra with five pairs $X_i, X_{i+5}$ such that $X_i = -X_{i+5}$. The
forbidden cycles are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
(0, 0, 0) & \quad (0, 0, 3) & \quad (0, 0, 4) \\
(0, 0, 5) & \quad (0, 0, 8) & \quad (0, 0, 9) \\
(0, 1, 2) & \quad (0, 1, 4) & \quad (0, 1, 6) \\
(0, 1, 7) & \quad (0, 2, 3) & \quad (0, 2, 6) \\
(0, 2, 7) & \quad (0, 2, 9) & \quad (0, 3, 3) \\
(0, 3, 5) & \quad (0, 3, 9) & \quad (0, 4, 5) \\
(0, 4, 7) & \quad (0, 4, 8) & \quad (0, 5, 5) \\
(0, 5, 7) & \quad (0, 6, 8) & \quad (0, 7, 8) \\
(0, 7, 9) & \quad (0, 8, 8)
\end{align*}
\]

Here we are using \( g = 7 \), the smallest primitive root modulo \( p \). Note that the choice of primitive root does affect how the various cosets are indexed – in particular, one always has \( g \in X_1 \) – but it does not affect which relation algebra one gets.

**Theorem 4.** Algorithm 2 runs in \( O(p^2) \) time while Algorithm 3 runs in \( O(p) \) time, for \( n \) fixed.

**Proof.** In Algorithm 1, each pass through the inner loop requires \( O(k) \) comparisons, and each pass through the outer loop requires \( O(k^2) \) additions. So overall, \( O(nk^2) \) additions and \( O(n^2k) \) comparisons are required, for an overall runtime of \( O(p^2) \) for \( n \) fixed.

In Algorithm 2, each pass through the inner loop requires \( O(k) \) additions and \( O(k) \) comparisons. So overall, \( O(n^2k) \) additions and \( O(n^2k) \) comparisons are required, for an overall runtime of \( O(p) \) for \( n \) fixed.

Algorithm 2 is much faster in practice. Both algorithms were implemented by the first author in Python 3.4. Timing data were collected for primes \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{23} \) under 15,000 on an Intel Core i5-7500T @ 2.7GHz. See Figure 1. The quadratic nature of Algorithm 1 is evident.

### 3 Asymmetric Corner algebras

For the case of asymmetric algebras, we need to take a little more care in our enumeration over indices \( i, j \) in checking whether \( (X_0 + X_i) \supseteq X_j \). Let \( n \) be even, where \( n = 2m \). Since \(-X_i = X_{i+m}\), where all indices are computed mod \( n \), we have the following equivalence:

\[
(X_0 + X_i) \supseteq X_j \iff (X_0 + X_{j+m}) \supseteq X_{i+m}.
\]

Thus for every triple \((0, i, j)\) of indices, there is an equivalent triple \((0, j + m, i + m)\) that would be redundant to check. So consider the involution \((0, i, j) \mapsto (0, j + m, i + m)\) on triples of indices. The fixed points of this involution are of the form \((0, i, i + m)\). (Of course, we continue to compute indices mod \( n \).) Consider an \( n \times n \) matrix \( A \) where the entry \( A_{ij} = (0, i, j + m) \). For example, see the matrix below, where \( n = 6 \):
Figure 1: Run-time comparison for Algorithms 1 & 2

Then the fixed points of the involution are on the diagonal, and $A_{ij}$ is equivalent to $A_{ji}$ by the equivalence (1). Thus it suffices to enumerate over the “upper triangle” of the matrix.

In Algorithm 3 below, the enumeration is done according to the discussion
in the previous paragraph.

Data: A prime $p$, a divisor $n$ of $(p - 1)$ such that $(p - 1)/n$ is odd, a
primitive root $g$ modulo $p$

Result: a list of mandatory and forbidden cycles of the form $(0, x, y)$

Let $m = n/2$. Compute $X_0 = \{g^{an} \pmod{p} : 0 \leq a < (p - 1)/n\}$;
Compute $g^j - X_0 (\pmod{p})$ for each $0 \leq j < n$;

for $i \leftarrow 0$ to $n - 1$ do
  $X_i = \{g^{an+i} \pmod{p} : 0 \leq a < (p - 1)/n\}$
  for $j \leftarrow i + m \pmod{n}$ to $n + m - 1 \pmod{n}$ do
    if $(g^j - X_0) \cap X_i \neq \emptyset$ then
      add $(0, i, j)$ to list of mandatory cycles
    else
      add $(0, i, j)$ to list of forbidden cycles
  end
end

Algorithm 3: Fast algorithm for asymmetric Comer algebras
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