MCTP-17-13, PP-017-28

Searching for Confining Hidden Valleys at the LHC(b)

Aaron Pierce,[1] Bibhushan Shakya,[2,1] Yuhsin Tsai,[3] and Yue Zhao[1]

[1]*Michigan Center for Theoretical Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA*
[2]*Department of Physics, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221, USA*
[3]*Maryland Center for Fundamental Physics, Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA*

We explore strategies for probing Hidden Valley scenarios exhibiting confinement. Such scenarios lead to a multiplicity of light hidden hadrons from showering processes. Their decays are typically soft and displaced, making them challenging to probe with traditional LHC searches. We show the low trigger thresholds and excellent track and vertex reconstruction at LHCb provide an ideal environment to search for such signals – in both muonic and hadronic channels. We also explore the potential of ATLAS/CMS and discuss modifications to present searches that might make these experiments competitive with the LHCb reach. Our proposed searches can probe Z' models with dominant decays to dark sectors as well as exotic Higgs boson decays in Twin Higgs models.

arXiv:1708.05389v1 [hep-ph] 17 Aug 2017

MOTIVATION

To ensure no physics remains hidden, experiments at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) must search for a wide a variety of phenomena beyond the Standard Model (SM). At present, most searches focus on signatures with energetic particles emerging from a primary vertex or with large missing energy. However, several well-motivated scenarios possess novel decay signatures that are challenging for such traditional LHC searches, motivating new search strategies. We study such strategies for Hidden Valley (HV) scenarios [1], which produce soft and displaced objects with small missing energy.

HV scenarios consist of a sector with light (e.g. GeV scale) degrees of freedom connected to the SM sector only via massive particles. This effectively forms a barrier between the sectors. The hidden sector may confine via a non-abelian gauge symmetry *a la* SM QCD, with a model-dependent mass spectrum. An approximate chiral symmetry spontaneously broken by hidden sector confinement leads to light hidden pions, π_v; otherwise, the lightest hidden degrees of freedom may be hadrons with masses comparable to or even heavier than the hidden confinement scale Λ_v, as in e.g. Fraternal Twin Higgs models [2]. After parton production, showering and hadronization (SH) in the hidden sector produces many hadrons, each much softer than the total energy in the process. Hadron multiplicity can range from a few in the absence of light quarks to $\mathcal{O}(1000)$ [3] for a long showering window with large 't Hooft coupling. In this letter we focus on searches for theories averaging $\mathcal{O}(10)$ hadrons per event, and hadron lifetimes long enough to give rise to displaced vertices (DV) at the LHC. Complementary strategies that instead use kinematic information in such dark showers are discussed in [4, 5].

At LHCb, planned upgrades will remove hardware-level triggers entirely, dramatically improving search flexibility. Furthermore, excellent vertex reconstruction, invariant mass resolution, and particle identification make LHCb an ideal detector for exotic soft long-lived particles. Previous HV searches at LHCb [6, 7] focused on final states with only two hard π_v and are not optimal for a larger hadron multiplicity. Our proposed searches instead have similarities with existing LHCb SM searches for $K_S \to \mu^\pm$ [8] and $B_0 \to D^\pm$ [9].

Although stringent trigger requirements and potentially significant backgrounds make HV searches at ATLAS/CMS challenging, these experiments enjoy $\sim 20\times$ the luminosity and $\sim 10\times$ the angular coverage of LHCb. Specialized triggers for displaced decays of long-lived particles exist (e.g. [10–14]), but are limited in efficiency and scope. We study the efficacy of various existing ATLAS/CMS searches for HV scenarios and mention possible modifications to improve their reach.

SETUP

We consider a heavy $U(1)'$ gauge boson Z_p with gauge coupling g_v, coupled simultaneously to both SM quarks q and HV quarks q_v with $U(1)'$ charges $Q_v^{SM,HV}$:

$$L \supset g_v Z_p^\mu (Q_v^{SM} \bar{q}\gamma_\mu q + Q_v^{HV} \bar{q}_v \gamma_\mu q_v) + \frac{1}{2} m_{Z_p}^2 Z_p^2. \quad (1)$$

If $Q_v^{SM} \ll Q_v^{HV}$, as might be expected if the SM coupling is induced via kinetic mixing [15], the Z_p primarily decays to the hidden sector; this also alleviates bounds from direct Z_p searches in leptonic channels [16]. Although the q_v are energetic, SH processes produce multiple hidden sector hadrons, each substantially less energetic than the partons. For concreteness, we assume the low energy HV spectrum possesses two approximately mass degenerate hadrons: a composite vector boson ω_v and a pseudo-

scalar η_v,[1] which decay into SM states. We treat the ω_v lifetime, which depends on the possible presence of additional mediators, as a free parameter. We concentrate on scenarios where the probability to decay within the detector is non-negligible. Chiral suppression gives the η_v a longer lifetime, and we assume they escape the detector.[2] The process of interest is:

$$q\bar{q} \to Z_p \to q_v\bar{q}_v \to N_{\omega_v} \times \omega_v + N_{\eta_v} \times \eta_v,$$
$$\omega_v \to f\bar{f}\,(\text{displaced decay}). \quad (2)$$

We assume 3/4 of the light mesons are ω_v, as expected from considering the spin degrees of freedom.

Exotic decays of the Higgs boson to HV particles [7, 17] give similar final states:

$$gg \to h \to q_v\bar{q}_v \to N_{\omega_v} \times \omega_v + N_{\eta_v} \times \eta_v. \quad (3)$$

We will study this process in a variation of the Twin Higgs (TH) model (see next section).

Showering and hadronization (SH) processes in the hidden sector govern the average number and kinematics of the hidden hadrons produced. We implement SH processes using a modified version of the Pythia 8.1 Hidden Valley implementation [18], as described in Ref. [19].[3] To test the robustness of our results, we compare with results obtained from a simplified analytical procedure using the Quark-combination model (QCM) [21–24] with the Longitudinal phase space approximation (LPSA) [25, 26]. While different approaches give different average meson multiplicity $\langle N_v\rangle \equiv \langle N_{\omega_v+\eta_v}\rangle$, once $\langle N_v\rangle$ is fixed we find similar kinematic distributions of mesons. Thus we treat $\langle N_v\rangle$ as a free parameter. These different schemes then give comparable results (see Fig. 1). With this setup, we discuss a variety of search strategies for (i) hidden hadron decay to muon pairs, and (ii) decays to hadrons via heavy quarks, at both LHCb and ATLAS/CMS.

DI-MUON CHANNEL

LHCb Strategies

Displaced muon pair searches at LHCb are discussed in [8, 27]. The dark photon search strategy of Ref. [27] is directly applicable to our scenario. We apply their selection criteria from the post-module displaced search: [4]

- $\geq$ 1 DV with transverse displacement $\ell_T \in [6, 22]$ mm.
- $\eta(\omega_v) \in [2, 5]$, each vertex contains two opposite-sign muons with $\eta(\mu^\pm) \in [2, 5]$.
- $p_T(\mu^\pm) > 0.5$ GeV, $p(\mu^\pm) > 10$ GeV.

These requirements ensure that the DV is well-separated from the beamline and that the two muons can be reconstructed as tracks in the Vertex Locator (VELO) with muon identification efficiency $\varepsilon_\mu^2 \approx 0.5$. The transverse displacement and η requirements effectively impose a cut on the longitudinal displacement, forcing the decay to occur within the VELO.

Fig. 1 (left panel) presents LHCb sensitivity to the Z_p model (Eq. (2)) with 15 fb^{-1} data for $10 \leq \langle N_v\rangle \leq 30$, shown as the width of the band. We adopt the background $\approx$ 25 events per mass bin from [27]. Results from Pythia and the QCM+LPSA method are shown to illustrate the scheme-independence of our results. We show results for different hidden hadron masses in red (m_{ω_v}=0.3 GeV) and blue (m_{ω_v}=0.6 GeV). We also display the reach requiring two DV, assuming a background-free search. For context, we show the cross section of a Z_p with a photon-like coupling suppressed by $\epsilon = 0.02$.

Fig. 1 (right panel) shows analogous results for exotic decays of the Higgs boson as brown (m_{ω_v}=6 GeV) and orange (m_{ω_v}=2 GeV) bands. The width of the band corresponds to variation in $\langle N_{\omega_v}\rangle$. Here we also explore an incarnation of the Fraternal Twin Higgs model [2, 28], with the hierarchies $\hat{\Lambda}_{QCD} < m_{\hat{c}} \simeq m_{\hat{s}} < m_{\hat{A}} < m_{\hat{b}} \simeq m_{\hat{l},\hat{\nu}} < m_{\hat{t}}$ in the twin sector. We focus on decays into twin b quarks, $gg \to h \to \hat{b}\bar{\hat{b}}$,[5] with subsequent decays $\hat{b} \to \hat{c}\bar{\hat{c}}\hat{s}$. This eventually produces twin $\hat{\omega}/\hat{\eta}$, predominantly comprised of the light flavor, which can decay as $\hat{\omega} \to \mu^+\mu^-$ due to a kinetic mixing ϵ between the SM and twin photons.[6] The reach is denoted by the green curve. Although the light twin mesons are primarily produced via heavy twin B meson decays (rather than SH processes), the multiplicity is low and the kinematic distributions are similar, resulting in a curve differing only slightly from the orange band. The prediction in the Twin Higgs scenario outlined above (green line)[7] demonstrates LHCb's ability to probe such models for proper decay length $c\tau_{\hat{\omega}} \lesssim 1$ m, which can be related to model parameters

[1] Such a spectrum can be realized in models with one light quark.
[2] If the ω_v lifetime is somewhat shorter than considered, the signals discussed here might instead be applicable to η_v decays.
[3] We have checked this gives results consistent with the latest Pythia version [20], which incorporates running effects.
[4] Since we focus on long-lived hidden hadrons, we do not study pre-module event selections.
[5] We ignore comparable decays into twin leptons, which can be invisible or produce similar signals via $\tau' \to \nu'$+hidden hadron.
[6] Such mixing can be induced by exotic fermions in UV-complete TH models [29, 30]. We also assume the hidden $U(1)'$ is broken, and there is no symmetry preventing the decay of mesons like $\bar{c}s$.
[7] Higgs boson branching ratios also depend on the ratio of the twin sector vev f to the SM vev v; we take $f/v = 4$, consistent with existing constraints and modest fine-tuning.




FIG. 1: Left panel: Z_p cross section reach. Green line: cross section for a photon-like coupling, suppressed by $\epsilon = 0.02$. Right panel: Projected upper bounds on BR($h \to$ twin bottom quarks) using the 1 DV search. This process produces lighter twin mesons $\hat{\omega}/\hat{\eta}$ followed by $\hat{\omega} \to \mu^+\mu^-$. Horizontal green line: prediction in a variation of the Fraternal Twin Higgs model (see text); in this context ω_v is a mixture of c' and s'. Green curve: reach for the corresponding decay topology (see text for details).

as $c\tau_{\hat{\omega}} \simeq 0.1\,\mathrm{m}\left(\frac{\mathrm{GeV}}{m_{\hat{c},\hat{s}}}\right)^3\left(\frac{m_{\hat{A}}}{20\,\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^4\left(\frac{10^{-3}}{\epsilon}\right)^2\left(\frac{\mathrm{GeV}}{\Lambda_{QCD}}\right)^2$ [29]. Note, the signal is sensitive to the twin sector spectrum; we postpone studies of such variations to future work.

For Z_p and Higgs decays, the ω_v produced are highly boosted. For decay lengths $\gtrsim 1$ cm, the bounds weaken with increasing lifetime – decays become less likely to occur in the detector. Below $\lesssim \mathcal{O}(0.1)$ cm, the post-module search strategy is not optimal for signal selection, hence the bounds deteriorate. Likewise, heavier m_{ω_v} results in smaller boosts; for long (short) lifetime, the decay probability inside the detector is larger (smaller).

For the Z_p case, for longer lifetimes, $c\tau \gtrsim 10$ cm, the acceptance for an individual meson changes by $< 50\%$ for $10 \le \langle N_v\rangle \le 30$; thus the reach is approximately proportional to $\langle N_v\rangle$. This behavior can be understood from the softness of the showering process: the distribution of hadrons from SH peaks at low boost, and this part of the distribution is relatively insensitive to $\langle N_v\rangle$. The high boost portion has more variation with $\langle N_v\rangle$; however, the requirement that decays occur inside the VELO removes precisely this portion. For sufficiently short decay lengths, even highly boosted particles decay within the detector, resulting in greater sensitivity to $\langle N_v\rangle$, with stronger bounds for smaller $\langle N_v\rangle$.

ATLAS / CMS searches

We consider three existing triggers. The first, multiple displaced soft muons, is naively the closest fit to our topology. The other two, $\not{E}_T$ and a hard displaced muon, can give meaningful bounds despite not exactly matching the signal features. Ordinary multi-lepton searches reject muons with large displacements to remove cosmic-ray muon background [31] and do not apply. Searches for displaced objects relax such requirements, thus this background can dominate and requires proper treatment.

(i) Multiple displaced soft muons. Ref. [14] used a tri-muon trigger for displaced lepton jet (DLJ) searches, selecting events with at least three muon spectrometer (MS)-only tracks with $p_T > 6$ GeV within a $\Delta R < 0.4$ cone. In a HV scenario, this requires at least two hidden hadrons to decay between $4\,\mathrm{m} < r < 6.5\,\mathrm{m}$ within $\Delta R < 0.4$. The analysis required an additional displaced decay with $\Delta R \ge 0.4$ separation from the ones used for the trigger, with a more inclusive $10\,\mathrm{cm} < r < 6.5\,\mathrm{m}$. This necessitates the decay of a third hidden hadron. We require $p_T > 6$ GeV for each muon. For the reconstruction efficiency of a muon pair from hidden hadron decay, we adopt the value of 0.4 reported in the analogous CMS displaced muon search [32] for the decay of a 150 GeV particle, although a lower efficiency may be expected for softer muons. To estimate background, which may be dominantly from cosmic muons, we rescale the background from [14] to 300 fb^{-1}, optimistically assuming systematic uncertainties improve as $\sqrt{N}$. The corresponding reach curve (Fig. 2, 2 DLJ – brown band) is worse than that from LHCb (blue band, corresponding to the red band of Fig. 1). One possibility to reduce the background is to require yet another displaced vertex with the looser r cut and $\Delta R \ge 0.4$ from the initial DLJs. For lifetimes such that displaced hadron decays within the detector are likely, this search (3 DLJ – green band) can be competitive with LHCb assuming negligible background. Requiring too many hidden hadrons to decay within a small region of the detector hurts signal acceptance. Relaxing this by, e.g., including the inner detector for the tri-muon trigger could improve the reach dramatically.

(ii) $\not{E}_T$ trigger. For long lifetimes, most hidden hadrons escape the detector without decaying and con-




FIG. 2: Projected bounds from various ATLAS/CMS displaced muons search strategies, see text for details. The brown curve represents an extrapolation of a current analysis, while the green curve represents only a minor modification. The orange and purple projections have aggressive assumptions about backgrounds and will likely weaken following detailed detector simulations. The band widths correspond to $10 \leq \langle N_v \rangle \leq 30$. The blue band is derived from the LHCb search proposed in this work.

tribute to missing energy,[8] motivating a search for $\not{E}_T$ in combination with soft (displaced) muons. An ATLAS $\not{E}_T$+DV search [13] triggered on events with $\not{E}_T$, then further (at the analysis level) required a hard muon ($p_T > 55$ GeV). To optimize for our signal, we relax the $\not{E}_T$ down to the trigger requirement [35]. We then relax the muon p_T cut, demanding instead two dimuon DVs: [9]

- $\not{E}_T \geq 110$ GeV.
- Reconstruct ≥ 2 DVs, each with $\ell_T \in [1, 30]$ cm.
- Each muon has $p_T > 10$ GeV.

The DV ℓ_T requirement ensures they are inside the silicon detector (before the transition radiation tracker) in order to reconstruct the muon track in the tracker. With a displaced vertex reconstruction efficiency of 0.4 and an optimistic assumption that the search is background-free, the corresponding reach is shown in Fig. 2 (orange band), which is weaker than our LHCb projection.

(iii) Hard displaced muon. Following the analysis in [13], we require:

- ≥ 1 reconstructed DV, each with $\ell_T \in [1, 30]$ cm.
- ≥ 1 muon with $p_T \geq 55$ GeV and transverse impact factor > 1.5 mm, and $p_T > 10$ GeV for the other displaced muon from this vertex.

For light hidden hadrons, the DV invariant mass cut imposed in [13] must be removed. There are already $\mathcal{O}(1)$ background events in the low invariant mass region in this 8 TeV, 20.3/fb search (see Fig. 9 in Ref. [13]); the precise number is difficult to estimate since the dominant background is likely combinatoric in nature. Regardless, this search has a slightly weaker projected reach than LHCb even when assumed to be background-free (Fig. 2, purple band). We expect the sensitivity to significantly improve if the stringent $p_T(\mu)$ cut, detrimental for the generically soft hidden hadrons from SH processes, could be relaxed while maintaining low background.

HEAVY FLAVOR DECAY CHANNELS

We focus on the $c\bar{c}$ channel and comment on the $b\bar{b}$ channel later.

LHCb Strategies

For hidden hadron decays to $c\bar{c}$, subsequent SM hadronization often produces two D mesons, $D^0_{(s)}$, $\bar{D}^0_{(s)}$ or $D^\pm$. The non-negligible lifetimes of charmed hadrons creates an additional separation between the DV from the two D meson decays, resulting in two vertices with large separation from the primary vertex and a small but significant separation from each other. Resolving the secondary vertices should be straightforward as the position resolution in the VELO is $\mathcal{O}(10)\mu$m while a D meson has proper decay length $\mathcal{O}(100)\mu$m. We do not explicitly impose a minimum separation requirement between the two vertices, but note this could be implemented as an additional powerful handle to reject background if necessary. Several strategies to identify D mesons at LHCb exist; some do not require the D meson to be fully reconstructed [37]. Given the sizable probability for a single D meson decay to create three or more charged tracks that can be well-reconstructed in the VELO, we consider the following increasingly inclusive search strategies:

- Two nearby reconstructed displaced D mesons. (2D)
- One displaced reconstructed D meson and one DV with ≥ 3 charged tracks nearby. (1D1V)
- Two DV, each with ≥ 3 charged tracks, near each other. (2V)

When reconstructing a D meson, we focus on decay channels containing only charged particles: $BR(D^+ \to K^-2\pi^+) \sim 9.5\%$, $BR(D^0 \to K^-2\pi^+\pi^-) \sim 8\%$, and

[8] Here we define $\not{E}_T$ as the opposite of the vector sum of all well-constructed objects. We do not include the (displaced) muons in this category. With this choice, our $\not{E}_T$ definition should align with that in [33, 34].

[9] The idea of using multiple displaced vertices in similar scenarios was also discussed in Ref. [36].

$BR(D_s^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+) \sim 5\%$. Meanwhile, requiring ≥ 3 charged tracks in a DV rather than a reconstructed D meson selects the decays $BR(D^+ \to 3-\text{prong}) \sim 18.6\%$, $BR(D^0 \to 4-\text{prong}) \sim 14.5\%$ and $BR(D_s^+ \to 3-\text{prong}) \sim 12\%$.

A well-defined track is required to have $p_T > 0.1$ GeV and hit at least three disks in the VELO; with these, we expect good track reconstruction resolution despite the displacement from the primary vertex. To retain $\sim 90\%$ of the signal while rejecting cosmic rays and combinatoric background, we impose varying *maximum* displacement requirements. For 2D events, the boost factor for each D meson is known, and we require $d_D \lesssim 5c\tau_D\gamma_{max}$, where d_D is the distance between the two D mesons in the lab frame, and γ_{max} is the larger of the two D meson boost factors. For 1D1V events, we require $d_D < 5c\tau_D\gamma_D$ and $d_{T,D} < 8c\tau_D$, where $d_{T,D}$ is the transverse distance between the D meson vertex and the DV. Finally, for 2V events, we require $d_{T,D} < 5c\tau_D$ and $d_{Z,D} < 30c\tau_D$, where $d_{Z,D}$ is the distance between the two vertices along the beam direction. We require that the D meson decay $> 10c\tau_D$ away from the primary vertex to eliminate SM heavy quark backgrounds. Following the $B^0 \to D^+D^-$ search in [9], when reconstructing a D meson, we require the scalar sum of the p_T of the charged tracks exceed 1.8 GeV. When identifying a D meson pair, we require the scalar sum of the p_T of the two mesons and the total momentum exceed 5 and 10 GeV respectively.

The background is expected to come dominantly from combinatorics [9], necessitating a detailed detector simulation. Since this is beyond the scope of this paper, we instead present our results in Fig. 3 assuming 0, 100 background events with 15 fb^{-1} data. The limits degrade with increasing lifetime because the decay particles are more likely to escape the VELO without leaving a sufficient number of hits. The limits also degrade at shorter decay lengths (analogous to Figures 1, 2), but we do not plot this region as SM backgrounds are expected to become important for displacement $\lesssim \mathcal{O}$ (cm).

ATLAS / CMS searches

An ATLAS search for long-lived hadronic decays [10], targeting HV Z_p and stealth supersymmetry models, applies two different triggers: jet+$\not{E}_T$, and a Muon Region of Interest (RoI) cluster trigger. Post trigger, both require $\geq$ 2 DV at the analysis level. Two types of DV are considered: an inner detector (ID) vertex, covering 5 cm $< r <$ 30 cm, or an MS vertex, covering the region between the outer edge of the hadronic calorimeter and the middle station of the muon chambers, 4 m $<$ r $<$ 6.5 m. The jet+$\not{E}_T$ trigger requires a leading jet with $p_T > 120$ GeV, $\not{E}_T > 200$ GeV, and ≥ 7 charged tracks in each DV. Given the small probability of getting ≥ 7 tracks in our scenarios (see BR into 3–prong and 4–prong topologies listed earlier), this trigger is not optimal for our signal.




FIG. 3: Projected bounds from various displaced $c\bar{c}$ search strategies, see text. Purple curves: ATLAS/CMS reach estimate for DV decays into ≥ 5 charged tracks, with either two DV in the muon spectrometer (solid) or one DV in the inner detector and one in the muon spectrometer (dotted). Brown: analogous ATLAS/CMS reach for $\omega_v \to b\bar{b}, m_{\omega_v} = 11$ GeV.

In the Muon RoI cluster triggered events, ≥ 5 charged tracks are required at each DV. Triggering the signal requires $\geq$ 3 tracks with $p_T > 10$ GeV from the MS vertices. We estimate bounds for both two MS displaced decays or one MS vertex and one ID vertex in Fig. 3, rescaling the background in [10] to 300 fb^{-1}. While this extension naively appears weaker than the LHCb reach, further optimization might yield improvement. For instance, the number of charged tracks required in Ref. [10] was optimized for specific benchmark models and may not be ideal for all scenarios. Requiring more displaced vertices, however, does not appear promising: while this may eliminate background, we find that the signal efficiency is such that the reach worsens.

The above analyses can be repeated without major modifications for the $b\bar{b}$ channel as the decay of a B meson is very likely to produce a D meson. At LHCb, more detailed B meson reconstruction will likely allow further background rejection, leading to comparable or potentially better reach than the $c\bar{c}$ channel. At ATLAS/CMS, a greater number of tracks in $b\bar{b}$ decay favors the ≥ 7 charged tracks requirement but reduces the p_T of each track, resulting in worse reach than the $c\bar{c}$ channel (Fig. 3, brown curves). The relative sensitivity to the $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ channels depends on m_{Z_p} as well as $\langle N_{\omega_v} \rangle$.

CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated that LHCb provides an ideal environment to search for decays of soft long-lived particles in dimuon and heavy flavor channels as realized in confining Hidden Valley scenarios, with good reach for

Z_p models and a realization of the Twin Higgs model. Projections for current ATLAS/CMS strategies appear comparatively worse, as even the displaced searches often require energetic final state particles or large missing energy, which are inefficient for HV searches. Our studies indicate that using additional displaced vertices in the trigger or as a background discriminant in place of such stringent cuts could lead to dramatic improvements in sensitivity, potentially making ATLAS/CMS competitive with LHCb. Related ideas have recently been discussed in Ref. [38].

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to David Curtin, Yuanning Gao, Brian Hamilton, Philip Ilten, Hassan Jawahery, Simon Knapen, Daniel Levin, William Parker, Heather Russell, Raman Sundrum, Jesse Thaler, Andreas Weiler, Mike Williams and Zhenwei Yang for useful discussions. This work was performed in part at the Aspen Center for Physics, which is supported by National Science Foundation grant PHY-1066293. AP and YZ are supported by US Department of Energy under grant DE -SC0007859. YT is supported by the NSF under grant NSF-PHY-1620074 and the Maryland Center for Fundamental Physics. BS acknowledges support from the University of Cincinnati and thanks the CERN and DESY theory groups, where part of this work was conducted, for hospitality.

[1] M. J. Strassler and K. M. Zurek, Phys. Lett. **B651**, 374 (2007), hep-ph/0604261.
[2] N. Craig, A. Katz, M. Strassler, and R. Sundrum, JHEP **07**, 105 (2015), 1501.05310.
[3] S. Knapen, S. Pagan Griso, M. Papucci, and D. J. Robinson (2016), 1612.00850.
[4] T. Cohen, M. Lisanti, H. K. Lou, and S. Mishra-Sharma (2017), 1707.05326.
[5] T. Cohen, M. Lisanti, and H. K. Lou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 171804 (2015), 1503.00009.
[6] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb), Eur. Phys. J. **C75**, 595 (2015), 1506.09173.
[7] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb), Eur. Phys. J. **C76**, 664 (2016), 1609.03124.
[8] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb), JHEP **01**, 090 (2013), 1209.4029.
[9] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb), Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 261801 (2016), 1608.06620.
[10] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS), Phys. Rev. **D92**, 012010 (2015), 1504.03634.
[11] Tech. Rep. ATLAS-CONF-2016-103, CERN, Geneva (2016), URL `http://cds.cern.ch/record/2219571`.
[12] V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS), Phys. Rev. **D91**, 012007 (2015), 1411.6530.
[13] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS), Phys. Rev. **D92**, 072004 (2015), 1504.05162.
[14] Tech. Rep. ATLAS-CONF-2016-042, CERN, Geneva (2016), URL `http://cds.cern.ch/record/2206083`.
[15] B. Holdom, Phys. Lett. **166B**, 196 (1986).
[16] D. Curtin, R. Essig, S. Gori, and J. Shelton, JHEP **02**, 157 (2015), 1412.0018.
[17] M. J. Strassler and K. M. Zurek, Phys. Lett. **B661**, 263 (2008), hep-ph/0605193.
[18] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Z. Skands, Comput. Phys. Commun. **178**, 852 (2008), 0710.3820.
[19] P. Schwaller, D. Stolarski, and A. Weiler, JHEP **05**, 059 (2015), 1502.05409.
[20] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P. Z. Skands, Comput. Phys. Commun. **191**, 159 (2015), 1410.3012.
[21] Q.-B. Xie and X.-M. Liu, Phys. Rev. **D38**, 2169 (1988).
[22] Q. Wang, Z.-G. Si, and Q.-B. Xie, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A11**, 5203 (1996).
[23] Z.-G. Si, Q.-B. Xie, and Q. Wang, in *Proceedings, 7th International Workshop on Correlations and fluctuations in Multiparticle Production (IWCF 1996): Nijmegen, Netherlands, June 30-July 6, 1996* (1997), pp. 203–211, [Submitted to: Commun. Theor. Phys.(1997)], hep-ph/9704271.
[24] Z.-G. Si, Q. Wang, and Q.-B. Xie, Phys. Lett. **B401**, 107 (1997), hep-ph/9704226.
[25] B. R. Webber, in *Proceedings: Summer School on Hadronic Aspects of Collider Physics, Zuoz, Switzerland, Aug 23-31, 1994* (1994), pp. 49–77, hep-ph/9411384.
[26] T. Han, Z. Si, K. M. Zurek, and M. J. Strassler, JHEP **07**, 008 (2008), 0712.2041.
[27] P. Ilten, Y. Soreq, J. Thaler, M. Williams, and W. Xue, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 251803 (2016), 1603.08926.
[28] Z. Chacko, H.-S. Goh, and R. Harnik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 231802 (2006), hep-ph/0506256.
[29] H.-C. Cheng, S. Jung, E. Salvioni, and Y. Tsai, JHEP **03**, 074 (2016), 1512.02647.
[30] H.-C. Cheng, E. Salvioni, and Y. Tsai, Phys. Rev. **D95**, 115035 (2017), 1612.03176.
[31] Tech. Rep. ATLAS-CONF-2016-075, CERN, Geneva (2016), URL `https://cds.cern.ch/record/2206245`.
[32] V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS), Phys. Rev. **D91**, 052012 (2015), 1411.6977.
[33] Tech. Rep. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-023, CERN, Geneva (2015), URL `http://cds.cern.ch/record/2037700`.
[34] V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS), JINST **10**, P02006 (2015), 1411.0511.
[35] A. M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS) (2017), 1703.01651.
[36] Y. Cui and B. Shuve, JHEP **02**, 049 (2015), 1409.6729.
[37] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb), JINST **10**, P06013 (2015), 1504.07670.
[38] Y. Gershtein (2017), 1705.04321.