BEHAVIOR OF THE SQUEEZING FUNCTION NEAR h-EXTENDIBLE BOUNDARY POINTS
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Abstract. It is shown that if the squeezing function tends to one at an h-extendible boundary point of a C∞-smooth, bounded pseudoconvex domain, then the point is strictly pseudoconvex.

Denote by \( B_n \) the unit ball in \( \mathbb{C}^n \). Let \( M \) be an \( n \)-dimensional complex manifold, and \( z \in M \). For any holomorphic embedding \( f : M \to B_n \) with \( f(z) = 0 \), set
\[
s_M(f, z) = \sup\{ r > 0 : rB_n \subset f(M) \}.
\]
The squeezing function of \( M \) is defined by \( s_M(z) = \sup_f s_M(f, z) \) if such \( f \)'s exist, and \( s_M(z) = 0 \) otherwise.

Many properties and applications of the squeezing function have been explored by various authors, see e.g. [3, 5, 6] and the references therein.

It was shown in [3] that if \( D \) is a \( C^2 \)-smooth strictly pseudoconvex domain in \( \mathbb{C}^n \), then
\[
(1) \quad \lim_{z \to \partial D} s_D(z) = 1.
\]

A. Zimmer [10] proved the converse if \( D \) is a \( C^\infty \)-smooth, bounded convex domain; namely, if (1) holds, then \( D \) is necessarily strictly pseudoconvex. Recently, he extended this result to the \( C^{2, \alpha} \)-smooth case [11].

On the other hand, J.E. Fornæss and E.F. Wold [5] provided an example showing that \( C^2 \)-smoothness is not enough. They also asked if Zimmer’s result holds for \( C^\infty \)-smooth, bounded pseudoconvex domains.


This can be extended to larger class of domains by using different arguments. Recall that a \( C^\infty \)-smooth boundary point \( a \) of finite type of a domain \( D \) in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) is said to be h-extendible [8, 9] (or semiregular [4]) if \( D \) is pseudoconvex near \( a \), and Catlin’s and D’Angelo multitypes of \( a \) coincide.
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For example, \( a \) is extendible if the Levi form at \( a \) has a corank at most one \([9]\), or \( D \) is linearly convexifiable near \( a \) \([1]\). In particular, \( h \)-extendibility takes place in the strictly pseudoconvex, two-dimensional finite type, and convex finite type cases.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( a \) be an \( h \)-extendible boundary point of a \( \mathcal{C}^\infty \)-smooth, bounded pseudoconvex domain \( D \) in \( \mathbb{C}^n \). If \( s_D(a_j) \to 1 \) for a nontangential sequence \( a_j \to a \), then \( a \) is a strictly pseudoconvex point.

Nontangentiality means that \( \liminf_{j \to \infty} \frac{d_D(a_j)}{|a_j - a|} > 0 \), where \( d_D \) is the distance to \( \partial D \).

Before proving Theorem 1 we need some preparation.

Denote by \( \mu = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n) \) Catlin’s multitype of \( a \) (\( m_1 = 1 \) and \( m_2 \leq \cdots \leq m_n \) are even numbers). By \([4, 8, 9]\), there exists a local change of variables \( w = \Phi(z) \) near \( a \) such that \( \Phi(a) = 0 \), \( J\Phi(a) = 1 \), 
\[
r(\Phi^{-1}(w)) = \text{Re}(w_1) + P(w') + o(\sigma(w)),
\]
where \( r \) is the signed distance to \( \partial D \), \( \sigma(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n |w_j|^{m_j} \) and \( P \) is a \( 1/\mu \)-homogeneous polynomial without pluriharmonic terms. Moreover, the so-called model domain
\[
E = \{ w \in \mathbb{C}^n : \text{Re}(w_1) + P(w') < 0 \}
\]
(which depends on \( \Phi \)) is of finite type.

In \([9, 7]\), the nontangential boundary behavior of the Kobayashi-Royden and Carathéodory-Reiffen metrics of \( D \) near \( a \) are expressed in terms of \( r, \Phi \), and the respective metrics of \( E_\Phi \) at its interior point \( e = (-1, 0') \). Obvious modifications in the proofs of these results allows to obtain similar results for the Kobayashi-Eisenman and Carathéodory-Eisenman volumes of \( D \):
\[
K_D(u) = \inf\{|Jf(0)|^{-1} : f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}^n, D), f(0) = u \},
\]
\[
C_D(u) = \sup\{|Jf(u)| : f \in \mathcal{O}(D, \mathbb{B}^n), f(u) = 0 \}.
\]

**Proposition 2.** Let \( a \) be an \( h \)-extendible boundary point of a domain \( D \) in \( \mathbb{C}^n \). Let \( \mu \) be Catlin’s multitype of \( a \) and \( m = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{m_j} \). Then
\[
K_D(a_j)(d_D(a_j))^{m} \to K_E(e)
\]
for any nontangential sequence \( a_j \to a \).

If, in addition, \( D \) is \( \mathcal{C}^\infty \)-smooth, bounded pseudoconvex, then
\[
C_D(a_j)(d_D(a_j))^{m} \to C_E(e).
\]
Since $E$ is hyperbolic with respect to the Carathéodory-Reiffen metric [7], it is easy to see $C_E > 0$. So, the limits above are positive.

**Sketch of the proof of Proposition [2]** Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and 

$$E_{\pm \varepsilon} = \{ w \in \mathbb{C}^n : \text{Re}(w_1) + P(w') \pm \varepsilon \sigma(w) < 0 \}.$$ 

There exists a neighborhood $U_{\varepsilon}$ of $a$ such that $E_{\pm \varepsilon} \cap V_{\varepsilon} \subset \Phi(D \cap U_{\varepsilon}) \subset E_{-\varepsilon} \cap V_{\varepsilon}$, where $V_{\varepsilon} = \Phi(U_{\varepsilon})$. Since $a \in \partial D$ is a local holomorphic peak point [4, 8], the localization $\frac{\mathcal{K}_{D \cap V_{\varepsilon}}(a_j)}{\mathcal{K}_D(a_j)} \to 1$ holds. On the other hand, $E_{\pm \varepsilon}$ are taut domains if $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ [9]; in particular, $\mathcal{K}_{E_{\pm \varepsilon}}$ are continuous functions. Let $-c_j + id_j$ be the first coordinate of $b_j (c_j, d_j \in \mathbb{R})$. Since $d_j/c_j$ is a bounded sequence, it suffices to show (2), when $d_j/c_j \to s$. Set $b_j = \Phi(a_j)$ and $\pi_j(w) = (w_1c_j^{-1/m_1}, \ldots, w_nc_j^{-1/m_n})$. Note that $\pi_j(b_j) \to e_s := (-1+is, 0')$. Now, applying the scaling of coordinates $\pi_j$ and using normal family arguments, we obtain that $\mathcal{K}_{E_{\pm \varepsilon} \cap V_{\varepsilon}}(b_j)c_j^m \to \mathcal{K}_{E_{\pm \varepsilon}}(e_s)$. Finally, following [9] Theorem 2.1], one can prove that $\mathcal{K}_{E_{\pm \varepsilon}}(e_s) \to \mathcal{K}_E(e)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. These facts, together with $\frac{c_j}{d_j(\mathcal{K}_D)} \to 1$, imply (2).

The proof of (3) follows by similar but more delicate arguments as in [7].

**Proof of Theorem [7]** By [3], one has that

$$(s_D(a_j))^\alpha \mathcal{K}_D(a_j) \leq \mathcal{C}_D(a_j) \leq \mathcal{K}_D(a_j).$$

It follows by Proposition [2] that

$$\mathcal{C}_E(e) = \mathcal{K}_E(e).$$

Since $\mathbb{B}_n$ and $E$ are taut domains [8, 9], there exist extremal functions for $\mathcal{C}_E(e)$ and $\mathcal{K}_E(e)$. Then the Carathéodory-Cartan-Kaup-Wu theorem implies that $E$ and $\mathbb{B}_n$ are biholomorphic. Since $E$ is a model domain of finite type, the main result in [2] shows that $m_2 = \cdots = m_n = 2$, that is, $a$ is a strictly pseudoconvex point. □
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