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#### Abstract

Wavelet and Gabor systems are based on translation-and-dilation and translation-and-modulation operators, respectively. They have been extensively studied. However, dilation-and-modulation systems have not, and they cannot be derived from wavelet or Gabor systems. In this paper, we investigate a class of dilation-and-modulation systems in the causal signal space $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) . L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$can be identified a subspace of $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of all $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$-functions supported on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, and is unclosed under the Fourier transform. So the Fourier transform method does not work in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. In this paper, we introduce the notion of $\Theta_{a}$-transform in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, using $\Theta_{a}$-transform we characterize dilation-and-modulation frames and dual frames in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$; and present an explicit expression of all duals with the same structure for a general dilation-and-modulation frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Interestingly, we prove that an arbitrary frame of this form is always nonredundant whenever the number of the generators is 1 , and is always redundant whenever it is greater than 1 . Some examples are also provided to illustrate the generality of our results.
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## 1 Introduction

It is well known that translation, modulation and dilation are fundamental operations in wavelet analysis. The translation operator $T_{x_{0}}$, the modulation operator $M_{x_{0}}$ with $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and the dilation operator $D_{c}$ with $0<c \neq 1$ are defined by

$$
T_{x_{0}} f(\cdot)=f\left(\cdot-x_{0}\right), M_{x_{0}} f(\cdot)=e^{2 \pi i x_{0} \cdot} f(\cdot) \text { and } D_{c} f(\cdot)=\sqrt{c} f(c \cdot)
$$

for $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, respectively. Given a finite subset $\Psi$ of $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, Gabor frames of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{M_{m b} T_{n a} \psi: m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \psi \in \Psi\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and wavelet frames of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{D_{a^{j}} T_{b k} \psi: j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \psi \in \Psi\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]with $a, b>0$ have been extensively studied (4, 13, 14, 22, 26, 28). However, dilation-and-modulation frames of the form
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{M_{m b} D_{a^{j}} \psi: m, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \psi \in \Psi\right\} \text { with } a, b>0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

have not. Observe that the Fourier transform of (1.3) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{T_{m b} D_{a^{j}} \hat{\psi}: m, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \psi \in \Psi\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It does not fall into the framework of the above wavelet and Gabor systems. Our focus in this paper will be on a class of dilation-and-modulation frames for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with $\mathbb{R}_{+}=(0, \infty) . L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$can be considered as a closed subspace of $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of all functions in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ which vanish outside $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. And it can model causal signal space. In practice, time variable cannot be negative.

For subspace Gabor and wavelet frames of the forms (1.1) and (1.2) respectively, we refer to [2, 6] 8 , 15,19, 23, 24, 31, 32, 36, 38,40, 44, 48, 50, 51, and references therein for details. It is easy to check that there exists no nonzero function $\psi$ such that

$$
T_{n c} \psi(\cdot)=0 \text { on }(-\infty, 0)
$$

for some $c>0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$admits no frame of the form (1.1), (1.2) or (1.4). So it is natural to ask how we construct frames for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with good structures. Two methods are known to us for this purpose. One is to construct frames for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$consisting of a subsystem of (1.2) and some inhomogeneous refinable function-based "boundary wavelets". For details, we refer to [3, 5, 29, 30, 35. 41, 46. 47, and references therein. The other is to use the Cantor group operation and Walsh series theory to introduce the notion of (frame) multiresolution analysis in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, and then derive wavelet frames similarly to the case of $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. For details, we refer to [1, 10, 12, 33, 34, 42, 43, 45, and references therein. The references [20] and [21] also have something to do with this problem. In 20, numerical experiments were made to establish that the nonnegative integer shifts of the Gaussian function form a Riesz sequence in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. And in $[21$, a sufficient condition was obtained to determine whether or not the nonnegative translates of a given function form a Riesz sequence on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.

Given $a>1$, a measurable function $h$ defined on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$is said to be $a$-dilation periodic if $h(a \cdot)=h(\cdot)$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. Throughout this paper, we denote by $\left\{\Lambda_{m}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ the sequence of $a$-dilation periodic functions defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{m}(\cdot)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a-1}} e^{\frac{2 \pi i m .}{a-1}} \text { on }[1, a) \text { for each } m \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Motivated by the above works, we in this paper investigate the dilation-and-modulation systems in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$ of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)=\left\{\Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}: m, j \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq l \leq L\right\} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the following General setup:

## General setup:

(i) $a$ is a fixed positive number greater than 1.
(ii) $\Psi=\left\{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \cdots, \psi_{L}\right\}$ is a finite subset of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with cardinality $L$.

For $\Phi=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \cdots, \varphi_{L}\right\}$, we define $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a)$ similarly to (1.6). The system $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is slightly like but differs from (1.3). The modulation factor $e^{2 \pi i m b}$. in (1.3) is $\mathbb{Z}$-periodic according to addition, while $\Lambda_{m}$ in (1.6) is $a$-dilation periodic. Let $a$ and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup. The system $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is called a frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$if there exist $0<C_{1} \leq C_{2}<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle f, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\right|^{2} \leq C_{2}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2} \text { for } f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are called frame bounds; it is called a Bessel sequence in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$if the right-hand side inequality in (1.7) holds, where $C_{2}$ is called a Bessel bound. In particular, it is called a Parseval frame if $C_{1}=C_{2}=1$ in (1.7). Given a frame $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, a sequence $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a)$ is called a dual (or an $\mathcal{M D}$-dual) of $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ if it is a frame such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle f, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \varphi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)} \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l} \text { for } f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is also a dual of $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a)$ if $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a)$ is a dual of $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$. So, in this case, we say $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ and $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a)$ form a pair of dual frames for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. By the knowledge of frame theory, $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ and $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a)$ form a pair of dual frames for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$if they are Bessel sequences and satisfy (1.8). The fundamentals of frames can be found in 44, 9, 26, 49. Observe that $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is the Fourier transform of the Hardy space $H^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ which is a reducing subspace of $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$
H^{2}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}): \hat{f}(\cdot)=0 \text { a.e. on }(-\infty, 0)\right\}
$$

Wavelet frames in $H^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ of the form (1.2) were studied in [28, 44, 48. By the Plancherel theorem, an $H^{2}(\mathbb{R})$-frame $\left\{D_{a^{j}} T_{b k} \psi: j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \psi \in \Psi\right\}$ leads to a $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$-frame

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{e^{-2 \pi i a^{j} k \cdot} \hat{\psi}\left(a^{j} \cdot\right): j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \psi \in \Psi\right\} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (1.9), $e^{-2 \pi i a^{j} k}$ is $a^{-j} \mathbb{Z}$-periodic with respect to addition, and the period varies with $j$. However, $\Lambda_{m}$ in (1.6) is $a$-dilation periodic, and unrelated to $j$. Therefore, the system (1.6) differs from (1.9) for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, and is of independent interest. Actually, it is slightly related to a kind of function-valued frames in [25].

This paper focuses on the theory of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$-frames of the form (1.6). It cannot be derived from the well known wavelet and Gabor systems, and its operation is more intuitive when compared with the Cantor group
and Walsh series-based systems in [1, 10,12, 33, 34, 42, 43, 45. Also $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is unclosed under the Fourier transform. In particular, the Fourier transform of a compactly supported nonzero function in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$lies outside this space. Therefore, the Fourier transform cannot be used in our setting, and we need to find a new method.

The rest of this paper is organized follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notion of $\Theta_{a}$-transform, and give a $\Theta_{a}$-transform domain characterization of a dilation-and-modulation system $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ being complete, a Bessel sequence and a frame in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, respectively. In Section 3, using $\Theta_{a}$-transform we characterize dual frame pairs of the form $(\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a), \mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a))$, and obtain an explicit expression of all $\mathcal{M D}$-duals of a general frame $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. We also prove that an arbitrary frame $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is a Riesz basis if and only if $L=1$. It means that the frame $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is always nonredundant whenever $L=1$, and it is always redundant whenever $L>1$. In Section 4, we give some examples of $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{D}$-dual frame pairs for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$ to illustrate the generality of our results. They show that the achieved results in this paper provide us with an easy method to construct $\mathcal{M D}$-dual frames for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with the window functions having good properties such as having bounded supports and certain smoothness.

## $2 \Theta_{a}$-transform domain frame characterization

Let $a$ and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup. In this section, by introducing $\Theta_{a}$-transform we give the conditions of completeness, Bessel sequence and frame of $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, respectively.

Definition 2.1. Let $a$ be as in the general setup. For $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{a} f(x, \xi)=\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{\frac{l}{2}} f\left(a^{l} x\right) e^{-2 \pi i l \xi} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2.1. Observe that, given $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$,

$$
\int_{a^{j}}^{a^{j+1}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{l}\left|f\left(a^{l} x\right)\right|^{2} d x=\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}<\infty \text { for } j \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

This implies that $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{l}\left|f\left(a^{l} \cdot\right)\right|^{2}<\infty$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$by the arbitrariness of $j$. Therefore, (2.1) is well-defined.
Lemma 2.1. Let a be as in the general setup. For $m, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $\Lambda_{m}$ as in (1.5), and $e_{m, j}$ by

$$
e_{m, j}(x, \xi)=\Lambda_{m}(x) e^{2 \pi i j \xi} \text { for }(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

Then
(i) $\left\{\Lambda_{m}: m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ and $\left\{e_{m, j}: m, j \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ are orthonormal bases for $L^{2}([1, a))$ and $L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))$, respectively;
(ii) Given $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, we have

$$
\Theta_{a} f\left(a^{j} x, \xi+m\right)=e^{2 \pi i j \xi} a^{-\frac{j}{2}} \Theta_{a} f(x, \xi)
$$

for $j, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a.e. $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}$;
(iii) For $j, m \in \mathbb{Z}, f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$,

$$
\Theta_{a}\left(\Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} f\right)(x, \xi)=e_{m, j}(x, \xi) \Theta_{a} f(x, \xi) \text { for a.e. }(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R} ;
$$

(iv) $\Theta_{a}$-transform is a unitary operator from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$onto $L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))$;
(v)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)}|f(x, \xi)|^{2} d x d \xi=\sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)} f(x, \xi) \overline{e_{m, j}(x, \xi)} d x d \xi\right|^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f \in L^{1}([1, a) \times[0,1))$.

Proof. By a standard argument, we have (i)-(iii). Next we prove (iv) and (v).
(iv) It is easy to check that $\Theta_{a}$-transform is a linear and bijective mapping from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$onto $L^{2}([1, a) \times$ $[0,1))$. We only need to prove that it is norm-preserving. For $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Theta_{a} f\right\|_{L^{2}((1, a) \times(0,1))}^{2} & =\left\|\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{\frac{l}{2}} f\left(a^{l} x\right) e^{-2 \pi i l \xi}\right\|_{L^{2}((1, a) \times(0,1))}^{2} \\
& =\int_{1}^{a} d x \int_{0}^{1}\left|\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{\frac{l}{2}} f\left(a^{l} x\right) e^{-2 \pi i l \xi}\right|^{2} d \xi \\
& =\int_{1}^{a} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{l}\left|f\left(a^{l} x\right)\right|^{2} d x \\
& =\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\Theta_{a}$-transform is norm-preserving.
(v) By (i), (2.2) holds if $f \in L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))$. When $f \in L^{1}([1, a) \times[0,1)) \backslash L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))$, the left-hand side of (2.2) is infinity. Now we prove by contradiction that the right-hand side of (2.2) is also infinity. Suppose it is finite, then the function

$$
g=\sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)} f(x, \xi) \overline{e_{m, j}(x, \xi)} d x d \xi\right) e_{m, j}
$$

belongs to $L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))$ by (i), and thus to $L^{1}([1, a) \times[0,1))$. It has the same Fourier coefficients as $f$. So $f=g$ by the uniqueness of Fourier coefficients, and thus $f \in L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))$. It is a contradiction. The proof is completed.

Remark 2.2. We call the property (ii) the quasi-periodicity of $\Theta_{a}$-transform. By (iv), an arbitrary function $F \in L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))$ determines a unique $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$in the following way. Observe that there exists a unique $\left\{c_{m, j}\right\}_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ such that

$$
F(x, \xi)=\sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{m, j} e_{m, j}(x, \xi)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{m, j} \Lambda_{m}(x)\right) e^{2 \pi i j \xi}
$$

for a.e. $(x, \xi) \in[1, a) \times[0,1)$ by (i). Define $f$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$by

$$
f\left(a^{j} x\right)=a^{-\frac{j}{2}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{m,-j} \Lambda_{m}(x) \text { for } j \in \mathbb{Z} \text { and a.e. } x \in[1, a)
$$

Then

$$
\Theta_{a} f(x, \xi)=F(x, \xi) \text { for a.e. }(x, \xi) \in[1, a) \times[0,1)
$$

Therefore, we can define $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$-functions in $\Theta_{a}$-transform domain.

Lemma 2.2. Let $a$ and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup. Then

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle f, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\right|^{2}=\int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)\right|^{2}\right)\left|\Theta_{a} f(x, \xi)\right|^{2} d x d \xi \text { for } f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)
$$

Proof. Fix $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1 (iii) and (iv), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle f, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\right|^{2} & =\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle\Theta_{a} f, \Theta_{a} \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))}\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle\Theta_{a} f, e_{m, j} \Theta_{a} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))}\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)} \overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)} \Theta_{a} f(x, \xi) \overline{e_{m, j}(x, \xi)} d x d \xi\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again applying Lemma 2.1(v) to $\overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)} \Theta_{a} f(x, \xi)$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle f, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\right|^{2} & =\sum_{l=1}^{L} \int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)}\left|\overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)} \Theta_{a} f(x, \xi)\right|^{2} d x d \xi \\
& =\int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)\right|^{2}\right)\left|\Theta_{a} f(x, \xi)\right|^{2} d x d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the proof.

Theorem 2.1. Let $a$ and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup. Then $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is complete in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)\right|^{2} \neq 0 \text { for a.e. }(x, \xi) \in[1, a) \times[0,1) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 for $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle f, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\right|^{2}=0 \text { a.e. on } \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)\right|^{2}\right)\left|\Theta_{a} f(x, \xi)\right|^{2}=0 \text { for a.e. }(x, \xi) \in[1, a) \times[0,1) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is complete in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$if and only if $f=0$ is a unique solution to (2.4) in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. It follows that the completeness of $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is equivalent to $f=0$ being a unique solution to (2.5) in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. This is in turn equivalent to the fact that $\Theta_{a} f=0$ is a unique solution to (2.5) in $L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))$ by Lemma 2.1 (iv), which is equivalent to (2.3). The proof is completed.

Theorem 2.2. Let $a$ and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup. Then $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is a Bessel sequence in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$ with the Bessel bound B if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)\right|^{2} \leq B \quad \text { for a.e. } \quad(x, \xi) \in[1, a) \times[0,1) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle f, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\right|^{2}=\int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)\right|^{2}\right)\left|\Theta_{a} f(x, \xi)\right|^{2} d x d \xi \text { for } f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So (2.6) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle f, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\right|^{2} \leq B \int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)}\left|\Theta_{a} f(x, \xi)\right|^{2} d x d \xi=B\|f\|_{L_{\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$by Lemman 2.1 (iv). Thus $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is a Bessel sequence in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with the Bessel bound B.

Now we prove the converse implication by contradiction. Suppose $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is a Bessel sequence in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with the Bessel bound $B$, and $\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\Theta_{a} \psi(\cdot, \cdot)\right|^{2}>B$ on some $E \subset[1, a) \times[0,1)$ with $|E|>0$. Take $f$ by

$$
\Theta_{a} f(\cdot, \cdot)=\chi_{E}(\cdot, \cdot) \text { on }[1, a) \times[0,1)
$$

in (2.7), where $\chi_{E}$ denotes the characteristic function of $E$. Then $f$ is well-defined,

$$
\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}=\int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)}\left|\Theta_{a} f(x, \xi)\right|^{2} d x d \xi=|E|
$$

by Lemma 2.1 (iv), and

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle f, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\right|^{2}>B|E|=B\|f\|_{L_{\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}}
$$

It contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is a Bessel sequence in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with the Bessel bound $B$. The proof is completed.

By an argument similar to Theorem 2.2 we have
Theorem 2.3. Let $a$ and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup. Then $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is a frame in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with frame bounds $A$ and $B$ if and only if $A \leq \sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)\right|^{2} \leq B$ for a.e. $(x, \xi) \in[1, a) \times[0,1)$.

## $3 \quad \Theta_{a}$-transform domain expression of duals

In this section, we characterize and express $\mathcal{M D}$-duals of a general frame $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{D}(\Psi, a)$ for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. And we also study the redundancy of a general frame $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Interestingly, we prove that an arbitrary frame $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is always nonredundant if $L=1$, and is always redundant if $L>1$ (see Theorem 3.3 below).

For convenience, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{D}=\left\{f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right): \Theta_{a} f \in L^{\infty}([1, a) \times[0,1))\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathfrak{D}$ is dense in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$by following Lemma 2.1 (iv) and the fact that $L^{\infty}([1, a) \times[0,1))$ is dense in $L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))$. This facts will be frequently used in what follows.

Let $a$ and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup, and $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ be a Bessel sequence in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. We denote by $S$ its frame operator, i.e.,

$$
S f=\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle f, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)} \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l} \quad \text { for } \quad f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)
$$

By a standard argument, we have the following lemma which shows that $S$ commutes with the modulation and dilation operators.

Lemma 3.1. Let $a$ and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup. Assume that $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{D}(\Psi, a)$ is a Bessel sequence in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, and that $S$ is its frame operator. Then

$$
S \Lambda_{m} f=\Lambda_{m} S f, \quad S D_{a^{j}} f=D_{a^{j}} S f
$$

and thus $S \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} f=\Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} S f$ for $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and $m, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Lemma 3.2. Let a and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup, and $\Phi=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \cdots, \varphi_{L}\right\} \subset L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle f, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\left\langle\Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \varphi_{l}, g\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}=\int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)} \Omega(x, \xi) \Theta_{a} f(x, \xi) \overline{\Theta_{a} g(x, \xi)} d x d \xi \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}$, where

$$
\Omega(x, \xi)=\sum_{l=1}^{L} \Theta_{a} \varphi_{l}(x, \xi) \overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)}
$$

Proof. Fix $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}$. Then

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle f, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle\right|^{2}<\infty, \text { and } \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle g, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \varphi_{l}\right\rangle\right|^{2}<\infty
$$

by Lemma 2.2. and thus the series

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle f, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\left\langle\Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \varphi_{l}, g\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}
$$

converges absolutely and is well-defined. By Lemma 2.1 (i), (iii) and (iv), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle f, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\left\langle\Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \varphi_{l}, g\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle\Theta_{a} f, \Theta_{a} \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))}\left\langle\Theta_{a} \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \varphi_{l}, \Theta_{a} g\right\rangle_{L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))} \\
& =\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle\overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}} \Theta_{a} f, e_{m, j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))}\left\langle e_{m, j}, \overline{\Theta_{a} \varphi_{l}} \Theta_{a} g\right\rangle_{L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))} \\
& =\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left\langle\Theta_{a} f \overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}}, \Theta_{a} g \overline{\Theta_{a} \varphi_{l}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))} \\
& =\int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)} \Omega(x, \xi) \Theta_{a} f(x, \xi) \overline{\Theta_{a} g(x, \xi)} d x d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is completed.

Lemma 3.3. Let $a$ and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup. Assume that $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is a Bessel sequence in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, and that $S$ is its frame operator. Then, for $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{a} S f(\cdot, \cdot)=\left(\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(\cdot, \cdot)\right|^{2}\right) \Theta_{a} f(\cdot, \cdot) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

a.e. on $[1, a) \times[0,1)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 we have

$$
\langle S f, g\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}=\int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)\right|^{2}\right) \Theta_{a} f(x, \xi) \overline{\Theta_{a} g(x, \xi)} d x d \xi
$$

for $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}$. Since $\mathfrak{D}$ is dense in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is a Bessel sequence, by Theorem 2.2 and a standard argument, it follows that

$$
\langle S f, g\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}=\left\langle\left(\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)\right|^{2}\right) \Theta_{a} f, \Theta_{a} g\right\rangle_{L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))}
$$

for $f, g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Also observing that $\langle S f, g\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}=\left\langle\Theta_{a} S f, \Theta_{a} g\right\rangle_{L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))}$ by Lemma 2.1 (iv), we see that

$$
\left\langle\Theta_{a} S f, \Theta_{a} g\right\rangle_{L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))}=\left\langle\left(\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}\right|^{2}\right) \Theta_{a} f, \Theta_{a} g\right\rangle_{L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))}
$$

for $f, g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. This implies (3.3) by Lemma 2.1(iv). The proof is completed.

Lemma 3.4. Let $a$ and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup. Then there exists no Riesz sequence $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$whenever $L>1$.

Proof. By contradiction. Suppose $L>1$ and $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is a Riesz sequence in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Let $S$ be its frame operator. Then it commutes $\Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}}$ for all $m, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 3.1. Since $S$ is self-adjoint, invertible and bounded, it follows that

$$
S^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}=\Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} S^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{l} \text { for } m, j \in \mathbb{Z} \text { and } 1 \leq l \leq L
$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{M D}\left(S^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Psi), a\right)$ is an orthonormal system in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Write $S^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{l}=\varphi_{l}$ for $1 \leq l \leq L$. Then

$$
\left\langle\Lambda_{m_{1}} D_{a^{j_{1}}} \varphi_{l_{1}}, \Lambda_{m_{2}} D_{a^{j_{2}}} \varphi_{l_{2}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}=\delta_{m_{1}, m_{2}} \delta_{j_{1}, j_{2}} \delta_{l_{1}, l_{2}}
$$

for $m_{1}, m_{2}, j_{1}, j_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq l_{1}, l_{2} \leq L$, where the Kronecker delta is defined by $\delta_{n, m}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } n=m \text {; } \\ 0 & \text { if } n \neq m \text {. }\end{cases}$ By Lemma 2.1 (iii) and (iv), it is equivalent to

$$
\left\langle e_{m_{1}, j_{1}} \Theta_{a} \varphi_{l_{1}}, e_{m_{2}, j_{2}} \Theta_{a} \varphi_{l_{2}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}([1, a) \times[0,1))}=\delta_{m_{1}, m_{2}} \delta_{j_{1}, j_{2}} \delta_{l_{1}, l_{2}}
$$

for $m_{1}, m_{2}, j_{1}, j_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq l_{1}, l_{2} \leq L$, equivalently,

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{a-1}} \int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)} \Theta_{a} \varphi_{l_{1}}(x, \xi) \overline{\Theta_{a} \varphi_{l_{2}}(x, \xi) e_{m, j}(x, \xi)} d x d \xi=\delta_{m, 0} \delta_{j, 0} \delta_{l_{1}, l_{2}}
$$

for $m, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq l_{1}, l_{2} \leq L$. This is in turn equivalent to

$$
\Theta_{a} \varphi_{l_{1}}(\cdot, \cdot) \overline{\Theta_{a} \varphi_{l_{2}}(\cdot, \cdot)}=\delta_{l_{1}, l_{2}} \quad \text { a.e. on }[1, a) \times[0,1)
$$

for $1 \leq l_{1}, l_{2} \leq L$ by the uniqueness of Fourier coefficients. In particular, it implies that

$$
\left|\Theta_{a} \varphi_{1}(\cdot, \cdot)\right|=\left|\Theta_{a} \varphi_{2}(\cdot, \cdot)\right|=1
$$

and

$$
\Theta_{a} \varphi_{1}(\cdot, \cdot) \overline{\Theta_{a} \varphi_{2}(\cdot, \cdot)}=0
$$

a.e. on $[1, a) \times[0,1)$. This is a contradiction. The proof is completed.

The following lemma is borrowed from [37. Corollary 3.1].
Lemma 3.5. Let $a$ and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup, and $L=1$. Then $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{D}(\Psi, a)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$if and only if it is an orthonormal basis for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $a$ and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup, and $\Phi=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \cdots, \varphi_{L}\right\} \subset L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Assume that $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ and $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a)$ are Bessel sequences in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi$, a) and $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi$, a) form a pair of dual frames for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \Theta_{a} \varphi_{l}(x, \xi) \overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)}=1 \text { for a.e. }(x, \xi) \in[1, a) \times[0,1) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ and $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a)$ are Bessel sequences in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, and $\mathfrak{D}$ is dense in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, we see that $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ and $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a)$ form a pair of dual frames for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle f, \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \psi_{l}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\left\langle\Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} \varphi_{l}, g\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}=\langle f, g\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}$. By Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 2.1 (iv), (3.5) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{L} \Theta_{a} \varphi_{l}(x, \xi) \overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)}\right) \Theta_{a} f(x, \xi) \overline{\Theta_{a} g(x, \xi)} d x d \xi=\int_{[1, a) \times[0,1)} \Theta_{a} f(x, \xi) \overline{\Theta_{a} g(x, \xi)} d x d \xi \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}$. Obviously, (3.4) implies (3.6). Now we prove the converse implication to finish the proof. Suppose (3.6) holds. By Theorem 2.2 and the cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have $\sum_{l=1}^{L} \Theta_{a} \varphi_{l} \overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}} \in L^{\infty}([1, a) \times$ $(0,1))$. It implies that almost every point in $(1, a) \times(0,1)$ is a Lebesgue point of $\sum_{l=1}^{L} \Theta_{a} \varphi_{l} \overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}}$. Arbitrarily fix such a point $\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right) \in(1, a) \times(0,1)$, and take $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}$ in (3.6) such that

$$
\Theta_{a} f=\Theta_{a} g=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left|B\left(\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right), \varepsilon\right)\right|}} \chi_{B\left(\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right), \varepsilon\right)}
$$

on $[1, a) \times[0,1)$ with $B\left(\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right), \varepsilon\right) \subset(1, a) \times(0,1)$ and $\varepsilon>0$, where $B\left(\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right), \varepsilon\right)$ denotes the $\varepsilon$ neighborhood of $\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)$. Then $f$ and $g$ are well-defined by Lemma 2.1(iv), and we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left|B\left(\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right), \varepsilon\right)\right|} \int_{B\left(\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right), \varepsilon\right)} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \Theta_{a} \varphi_{l}(x, \xi) \overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)} d x d \xi=1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.7) leads to

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \Theta_{a} \varphi_{l}\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right) \overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)}=1
$$

This implies (3.4) by the arbitrariness of $\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)$. The proof is completed.

Now we turn to the expression of $\mathcal{M D}$-duals. Let $a$ and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup, $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ be a frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and $S$ be its frame operator. By Lemma 3.1 $S \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}}=\Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} S$, and thus $S^{-1} \Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}}=\Lambda_{m} D_{a^{j}} S^{-1}$ for $m, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. So $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ and its canonical dual $S^{-1}(\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a))$ share the same dilation-and-modulation structure, that is,

$$
S^{-1}(\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a))=\mathcal{M D}\left(S^{-1}(\Psi), a\right)
$$

The following theorem gives its canonical dual window and all $\mathcal{M D}$-dual windows in $\Theta_{a}$ transform domain.
Theorem 3.2. Let $a$ and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup, and $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ be a frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Then
(i) its canonical dual $\mathcal{M D}\left(S^{-1}(\Psi), a\right)$ is given by

$$
\Theta_{a} S^{-1} \psi_{l}(\cdot, \cdot)=\frac{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(\cdot, \cdot)}{\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(\cdot, \cdot)\right|^{2}} \quad \text { a.e. on } \quad[1, a) \times[0,1) \text { for } 1 \leq l \leq L
$$

(ii) a dilation-and-modulation system $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a)$ with $\Phi=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \cdots, \varphi_{L}\right\}$ is a dual frame of $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ if and only if $\Phi$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{a} \varphi_{l}(\cdot, \cdot)=\frac{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(\cdot, \cdot)\left(1-\sum_{l=1}^{L} \overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(\cdot, \cdot)} X_{l}(\cdot, \cdot)\right)}{\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(\cdot, \cdot)\right|^{2}}+X_{l}(\cdot, \cdot) \quad \text { a.e. on }[1, a) \times[0,1) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{l} \in L^{\infty}([1, a) \times[0,1))$ with $1 \leq l \leq L$.
Proof. (i) Since $S$ is an invertible and bounded operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{a} f(\cdot, \cdot)=\left(\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(\cdot, \cdot)\right|^{2}\right) \Theta_{a} S^{-1} f(\cdot, \cdot) \quad \text { for } \quad f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 3.3. Replacing $f$ by $\psi_{l}$ in (3.9) with $1 \leq l \leq L$, we have (i).
(ii) Sufficiency. Suppose $\Phi$ is given by (3.8). Then $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a)$ is a Bessel sequence in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$by Theorem 2.2. By a simple computation, we have

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \Theta_{a} \varphi_{l}(\cdot, \cdot) \overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(\cdot, \cdot)}=1 \quad \text { a.e. on } \quad[1, a) \times[0,1)
$$

It follows that $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a)$ is a dual frame of $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ by Theorem 3.1
Necessity. Suppose $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a)$ is a dual frame of $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$. Then

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(\cdot, \cdot)} \Theta_{a} \varphi_{l}(\cdot, \cdot)=1 \quad \text { a.e. on } \quad[1, a) \times[0,1)
$$

by Theorem 3.1 and $\Theta_{a} \varphi_{l} \in L^{\infty}([1, a) \times[0,1))$. So we have (3.8) with $X_{l}=\Theta_{a} \varphi_{l}, 1 \leq i \leq L$. The proof is completed.

The following theorem shows that the cardinality $L$ of $\Psi$ determines whether or not a frame $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is redundant. If $L=1$, there exists no redundant frame $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. If $L>1$, there exists no nonredundant frame $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $a$ and $\Psi$ be as in the general setup, and $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ be a frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is a Riesz basis for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$if and only if $L=1$.

Proof. The necessity is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.4. Now we show the sufficiency. Suppose $L=1$. From the proof of Lemma 3.4,

$$
S^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a))=\mathcal{M D}\left(S^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Psi), a\right)
$$

So $\mathcal{M D}\left(S^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Psi), a\right)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$since $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is a frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. It leads to that $\mathcal{M D}\left(S^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Psi), a\right)$ is an orthonormal basis by Lemma 3.5. This is equivalent to the fact that $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is a Riesz basis for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. The proof is completed.

## 4 Some examples

Theorems 2.2, 2.3 and 3.2 provide us with an easy method to construct $\mathcal{M D}$-dual frame pairs for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. This section focus on some examples. They show that we can construct $\mathcal{M D}$-dual frame pairs for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$ with good properties such as dual windows having bounded supports and certain smoothness.

Example 4.1. Let $c$ be a finitely supported sequence defined on $\mathbb{Z}$, and its Fourier transform

$$
\hat{c}(\xi)=\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{l} e^{-2 \pi i l \xi}
$$

have no zero on $[0,1)$. Define $\psi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$by

$$
\Theta_{a} \psi(x, \xi)=\hat{c}(\xi) \quad \text { for } \quad(x, \xi) \in[1, a) \times[0,1)
$$

Then $\psi$ is a step function and of bounded support by the definition of $\Theta_{a}$, and $\mathcal{M D}(\psi, a)$ is a frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$by Theorem 2.3 since $|\hat{c}(\xi)|$ have positive lower and upper bounds due to its continuity and having no zeros on $[0,1)$. It follows that $\mathcal{M D}\left(\psi\right.$, a) has a unique $\mathcal{M D}$-dual window $S^{-1} \psi$ defined by

$$
\Theta_{a} S^{-1} \psi(x, \xi)=\frac{1}{\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{c_{l}} e^{2 \pi i l \xi}} \quad \text { for }(x, \xi) \in[1, a) \times[0,1)
$$

by Theorems 3.2 and 3.3. Observe that, if at least two $c_{l}$ are nonzero, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{c_{l}} e^{2 \pi i l \xi}}=\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} d_{l} e^{-2 \pi i l \xi}
$$

with $d$ being infinitely supported. It follows that the dual window $S^{-1} \psi$ is of unbounded support by the definition of $\Theta_{a}$, although $\psi$ is of bounded support.

The following example shows that it is possible for us to obtain multi-window $\mathcal{M D}$-dual frame pairs for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with each window being of bounded support.

Example 4.2. Let $L>1, m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{L}$ be trigonometric polynomials satisfying

$$
\left|m_{1}(\xi)\right|^{2}+\left|m_{2}(\xi)\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|m_{L}(\xi)\right|^{2}=1 \quad \text { for } \xi \in[0,1)
$$

Define $\Psi=\left\{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \cdots, \psi_{L}\right\}$ by

$$
\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)=m_{l}(\xi) \quad \text { for }(x, \xi) \in[1, a) \times[0,1)
$$

Then $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is a frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and every $\psi_{l}$ is of bounded support by an argument similar to Example 4.1. Define $\Phi=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \cdots, \varphi_{L}\right\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{a} \phi(x, \xi)=m_{l}(\xi)\left(1-\sum_{l=1}^{L} \overline{m_{l}(\xi)} X_{l}(x, \xi)\right)+X_{l}(x, \xi) \quad \text { for a.e. } \quad(x, \xi) \in[1, a) \times[0,1) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $X_{l} \in L^{\infty}([1, a) \times[0,1))$. Then $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ and $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a)$ form a pair of dual frames for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$by Theorem 3.2. If, in addition, we require

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{l}(x, \xi)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} d_{l, j}(x) e^{-2 \pi i j \xi} \quad \text { for a.e. }(x, \xi) \in[1, a) \times[0,1) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left\{d_{l, j}(\cdot)\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a finitely supported sequence of functions on $[1, a)$ for each $1 \leq l \leq L$, then each $\varphi_{l}$ with $1 \leq l \leq L$ is of bounded support by (4.1) and the definition of $\Theta_{a}$.

Example 4.3. Let $L \geq 1, \Psi=\left\{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \cdots, \psi_{L}\right\}$ be a finite subset of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, and $\operatorname{supp}\left(\psi_{l}\right) \subset[1, a)$. Assume that

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{L}\left|\psi_{l}(x)\right|^{2}=1 \quad \text { for a.e. } \quad x \in[1, a) .
$$

Define $\Phi=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \cdots, \varphi_{L}\right\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{a} \varphi_{l}(x, \xi)=\psi_{l}(x)\left(1-\sum_{l=1}^{L} \overline{\psi_{l}(x)} X_{l}(x, \xi)\right)+X_{l}(x, \xi) \quad \text { for a.e. } \quad(x, \xi) \in[1, a) \times[0,1) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $X_{l} \in L^{\infty}([1, a) \times[0,1))$. Then $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ and $\mathcal{M D}(\Phi, a)$ form a pair of dual frames for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$by Theorem [3.2. In particular, if $X_{l}, 1 \leq l \leq L$ are required as in (4.2), in addition, each $\varphi_{l}$ with $1 \leq l \leq L$ is of bounded support.

In Examples 4.2 and 4.3, $\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}, 1 \leq l \leq L$, are defined by univariate functions. Next we give a relatively more general example.

Example 4.4. Assume that $c_{0}(x)$ and $c_{1}(x)$ are two real-valued measurable functions defined on $[1, a]$, and that there exist two positive constants $A$ and $B$ such that

$$
A \leq\left|c_{0}(x)\right|+\left|c_{1}(x)\right| \leq B \quad \text { for } x \in[1, a] .
$$

Define $\Psi=\left\{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right\} \subset L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Theta_{a} \psi_{1}(x, \xi)=c_{0}(x)+c_{1}(x) e^{-4 \pi i \xi} \\
\Theta_{a} \psi_{2}(x, \xi)= \begin{cases}2 i \sqrt{c_{0}(x) c_{1}(x)} \sin 2 \pi \xi & \text { if } c_{0}(x) c_{1}(x) \geq 0 ; \\
2 \sqrt{-c_{0}(x) c_{1}(x)} \cos 2 \pi \xi & \text { if } c_{0}(x) c_{1}(x)<0\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

for a.e. $(x, \xi) \in[1, a] \times[0,1)$. Then

$$
\psi_{1}(x)= \begin{cases}c_{0}(x) & \text { if } 1 \leq x \leq a ; \\ a^{-1} c_{1}\left(a^{-2} x\right) & \text { if } a^{2} \leq x \leq a^{3} ; \\ 0 & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{2}(x)= \begin{cases}a^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{c_{0}(a x) c_{1}(a x)} & \text { if } a^{-1} \leq x \leq 1 \\ -a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{c_{0}\left(a^{-1} x\right) c_{1}\left(a^{-1} x\right)} & \text { if } a \leq x \leq a^{2} \text { and } c_{0}\left(a^{-1} x\right) c_{1}\left(a^{-1} x\right) \geq 0 \\ a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{-c_{0}\left(a^{-1} x\right) c_{1}\left(a^{-1} x\right)} & \text { if } a \leq x \leq a^{2} \text { and } c_{0}\left(a^{-1} x\right) c_{1}\left(a^{-1} x\right)<0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{1}(x, \xi)\right|^{2}+\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{2}(x, \xi)\right|^{2}=\left(\left|c_{0}(x)\right|+\left|c_{1}(x)\right|\right)^{2}
$$

by a simple computation and the definition of $\Theta_{a}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{2} \leq\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{1}(x, \xi)\right|^{2}+\left|\Theta_{a} \psi_{2}(x, \xi)\right|^{2} \leq B^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $(x, \xi) \in[1, a] \times[0,1)$, and thus $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ is a frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$by Theorem 2.3. Obviously, $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ are real-valued and of bounded support.

Now we check the $\mathcal{M D}$-duals of $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$. Define $\Phi=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right\}$ by

$$
\Theta_{a} \varphi_{l}(x, \xi)=\frac{\Theta_{a} \psi_{l}(x, \xi)\left(1-\overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{1}(x, \xi)} X_{1}(x, \xi)-\overline{\Theta_{a} \psi_{2}(x, \xi)} X_{2}(x, \xi)\right)}{\left(\left|c_{0}(x)\right|+\left|c_{1}(x)\right|\right)^{2}}+X_{l}(x, \xi)
$$

for $1 \leq l \leq 2$ and a.e. $(x, \xi) \in[1, a] \times[0,1)$ with $X_{1}, X_{2} \in L^{\infty}([1, a] \times[0,1))$. Then $\mathcal{M D}(\Psi, a)$ and $\mathcal{M D}\left(\Phi\right.$, a) form a pair of dual frames for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$by Theorem 3.2, If

$$
X_{l}(x, \xi)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} d_{l, j}(x) e^{-2 \pi i j \xi} \quad \text { for a.e. } \quad(x, \xi) \in[1, a] \times[0,1)
$$

with $\left\{d_{l, j}(\cdot)\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ being a finitely supported sequence of real-valued function on $[1, a]$ for each $1 \leq l \leq 2$, then $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ are also real-valued and of bounded support. Also we can obtain $\Phi$ with good smoothness by choosing good $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. For example, if we make further assumption that $c_{0}(x), c_{1}(x)$ and $\sqrt{\left|c_{0}(x) c_{1}(x)\right|}$ are $k$-th continuously differentiable on $(1, a)$, that $c_{0}(1) c_{1}(1)=c_{0}(a) c_{1}(a)=0$, and $c_{0}(x) c_{1}(x)>0$ for $x \in(1, a)$. Then $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ are continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$and $k$-th continuously differentiable on $(1, a) \cup\left(a^{2}, a^{3}\right)$ and $\left(a^{-1}, 1\right) \cup\left(a, a^{2}\right)$, respectively. In this case, if we further require that $\left|c_{0}(x)\right|+\left|c_{1}(x)\right|$ is a constant on $[1, a]$, and $X_{1}(x, \xi)$ and $X_{2}(x, \xi)$ satisfy

$$
X_{1}(x, \xi)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} d_{1, j} e^{-2 \pi i j \xi}
$$

and

$$
X_{2}(x, \xi)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} d_{2, j} e^{-2 \pi i j \xi}
$$

for $\xi \in[0,1)$ with $\left\{d_{1, j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\left\{d_{2, j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ being two finitely supported real number sequences. Then $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ are real-valued, of bounded support, and have the same continuity and differentiability as $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$.
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