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#### Abstract

We consider fractional differentiation operators in various senses and show that the strictly accretive property is the common property of fractional differentiation operators. Also we prove that the sectorial property holds for differential operators second order with a fractional derivative in the final term, we explore a location of the spectrum and resolvent sets and show that the spectrum is discrete. We prove that there exists a two-sided estimate for eigenvalues of the real component of operators second order with the fractional derivative in the final term.


## 1. Introduction

It is remarkable that the term accretive, which applicable to a linear operator $T$ acting in Hilbert space $H$, is introduced by Friedrichs in the paper 5, and means that the operator $T$ has the following property: the numerical range $\Theta(T)$ (see [8, p.335]) is a subset of the right half-plane i.e.

$$
\operatorname{Re}(T u, u)_{H} \geq 0, u \in \mathfrak{D}(T)
$$

Accepting the notation of the paper [9] we assume that $\Omega$ is a convex domain of the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{n}, P$ is a fixed point of the boundary $\partial \Omega, Q(r, \mathbf{e})$ is an arbitrary point of $\Omega$; we denote by $\mathbf{e}$ a unit vector having the direction from $P$ to $Q$, denote by $r=|P-Q|$ an Euclidean distance between the points $P$ and $Q$. We use the shorthand notation $T:=P+\mathbf{e} t, t \in \mathbb{R}$. We consider the Lebesgue classes $L_{p}(\Omega), 1 \leq p<\infty$ of complex valued functions. For the function $f \in L_{p}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|f(Q)|^{p} d Q=\int_{\omega} d \chi \int_{0}^{d(\mathbf{e})}|f(Q)|^{p} r^{n-1} d r<\infty, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \chi$ is the element of the solid angle of the unit sphere surface (the unit sphere belongs to $\mathbb{E}^{n}$ ) and $\omega$ is a surface of this sphere, $d:=d(\mathbf{e})$ is the length of the segment of the ray going from the point $P$ in the direction $\mathbf{e}$ within the domain $\Omega$. Without lose of generality, we consider only those directions of $\mathbf{e}$ for which the

[^0]inner integral on the right side of equality (1.1) exists and is finite. It is the wellknown fact that these are almost all directions. We denote by $\operatorname{Lip} \mu,(0<\mu \leq 1)$ the set of functions satisfying the Holder-Lipschitz condition
$$
\operatorname{Lip} \lambda:=\left\{\rho(Q):|\rho(Q)-\rho(P)| \leq M r^{\lambda}, P, Q \in \bar{\Omega}\right\}
$$

Consider the Kipriyanov fractional differential operator defined in the paper [10] by the formal expression

$$
\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha}(Q)=\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{[f(Q)-f(T)]}{(r-t)^{\alpha+1}}\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{n-1} d t+C_{n}^{(\alpha)} f(Q) r^{-\alpha}, P \in \partial \Omega
$$

where $C_{n}^{(\alpha)}=(n-1)!/ \Gamma(n-\alpha)$. In accordance with Theorem 2 [10], under the assumptions

$$
\begin{equation*}
l p \leq n, 0<\alpha<l-\frac{n}{p}+\frac{n}{q}, q>p \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have that for sufficiently small $\delta>0$ the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f\right\|_{L_{q}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{K}{\delta^{\nu}}\|f\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}+\delta^{1-\nu}\|f\|_{L_{p}^{l}(\Omega)}, f \in \stackrel{\circ}{W_{p}^{l}}(\Omega) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=\frac{n}{l}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)+\frac{\alpha+\beta}{l} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $K$ does not depend on $\delta, f$; the point $P \in \partial \Omega ; \beta$ is an arbitrarily small fixed positive number. Further, we assume that $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Using the notation of the paper [20, we denote by $I_{a+}^{\alpha}\left(L_{p}\right), I_{b-}^{\alpha}\left(L_{p}\right), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ the left-side, rightside classes of functions representable by the fractional integral on the segment $[a, b]$ respectively. Let $\mathfrak{d}:=\operatorname{diam} \Omega ; C, C_{i}=$ const, $i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. We use a shorthand notation $P \cdot Q=P^{i} Q_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} Q_{i}$ for the inner product of the points $P=$ $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{n}\right), Q=\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots, Q_{n}\right)$ which belong to $\mathbb{E}^{n}$. Denote by $D_{i} u$ the week derivative of the function $u$ with respect to a coordinate variable with index $1 \leq$ $i \leq n$. We assume that all functions have a zero extension outside of $\bar{\Omega}$. Denote by $\mathrm{D}(L), \mathrm{R}(L)$ the domain of definition, range of values of the operator $L$ respectively. Everywhere further, unless otherwise stated, we use the notations of the papers [9, [10, 20]. Let us define the operators

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} g\right)(Q):=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{g(T)}{(r-t)^{1-\alpha}}\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{n-1} d t,\left(\mathfrak{I}_{d-}^{\alpha} g\right)(Q):=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{r}^{d} \frac{g(T)}{(t-r)^{1-\alpha}} d t \\
g \in L_{p}(\Omega), 1 \leq p \leq \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

These operators we call respectively the left-side, right-side directional fractional integral. We introduce the classes of functions representable by the directional fractional integrals.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha}\left(L_{p}\right):=\left\{u: u(Q)=\left(\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} g\right)(Q), g \in L_{p}(\Omega), 1 \leq p \leq \infty\right\}  \tag{1.5}\\
& \mathfrak{I}_{d-}^{\alpha}\left(L_{p}\right)=\left\{u: u(Q)=\left(\mathfrak{I}_{d-}^{\alpha} g\right)(Q), g \in L_{p}(\Omega), 1 \leq p \leq \infty\right\} \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Define the operators $\psi_{\varepsilon}^{+}, \psi_{\varepsilon}^{-}$depended on the parameter $\varepsilon>0$. In the left-side case

$$
\left(\psi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f\right)(Q)= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{r-\varepsilon} \frac{f(Q) r^{n-1}-f(T) t^{n-1}}{(r-t)^{\alpha+1} r^{n-1}} d t, & \varepsilon \leq r \leq d  \tag{1.7}\\ \frac{f(Q)}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{r^{\alpha}}\right), & 0 \leq r<\varepsilon\end{cases}
$$

In the right-side case

$$
\left(\psi_{\varepsilon}^{-} f\right)(Q)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\int_{r+\varepsilon}^{d} \frac{f(Q)-f(T)}{(t-r)^{\alpha+1}} d t, & 0 \leq r \leq d-\varepsilon \\
\frac{f(Q)}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{(d-r)^{\alpha}}\right), & d-\varepsilon<r \leq d
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $\mathrm{D}\left(\psi_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right), \mathrm{D}\left(\psi_{\varepsilon}^{-}\right) \subset L_{p}(\Omega)$. Using the definitions of the monograph [20, p.181] we consider the following operators. In the left-side case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathfrak{D}_{0+, \varepsilon}^{\alpha} f\right)(Q)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} f(Q) r^{-\alpha}+\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(\psi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f\right)(Q) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the right-side case

$$
\left(\mathfrak{D}_{d-, \varepsilon}^{\alpha} f\right)(Q)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} f(Q)(d-r)^{-\alpha}+\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(\psi_{\varepsilon}^{-} f\right)(Q)
$$

The left-side and right-side fractional derivatives are understood respectively as the following limits with respect to the norm $L_{p}(\Omega),(1 \leq p<\infty)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha} f=\lim _{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\\left(L_{p}\right)}} \mathfrak{D}_{0+, \varepsilon}^{\alpha} f, \mathfrak{D}_{d-}^{\alpha} f=\lim _{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\\left(L_{p}\right)}} \mathfrak{D}_{d-, \varepsilon}^{\alpha} f . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need auxiliary propositions, which are presented in the next section.

## 2. Some lemmas and theorems

We have the following theorem on boundedness of the directional fractional integral operators.

Theorem 2.1. The directional fractional integral operators are bounded in $L_{p}(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p<\infty$, the following estimates holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)},\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{d-}^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}, C=\mathfrak{d}^{\alpha} / \Gamma(\alpha+1) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us prove first estimate (2.1), the proof of the second one is absolutely analogous. Using the generalized Minkowski inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{r} \frac{g(T)}{(r-t)^{1-\alpha}}\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{n-1} d t\right|^{p} d Q\right)^{1 / p} \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{r} \frac{g(Q-\tau \mathbf{e})}{\tau^{1-\alpha}}\left(\frac{r-\tau}{r}\right)^{n-1} d \tau\right|^{p} d Q\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{\mathfrak{o}} \frac{|g(Q-\tau \mathbf{e})|}{\tau^{1-\alpha}} d \tau\right)^{p} d Q\right)^{1 / p} \\
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{o}} \tau^{\alpha-1} d \tau\left(\int_{\Omega}|g(Q-\tau \mathbf{e})|^{p} d Q\right)^{1 / p} \leq \frac{\mathfrak{d}^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\|u\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 2.2. Suppose $f \in L_{p}(\Omega)$, there exists $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \psi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f$ or $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \psi_{\varepsilon}^{-} f$ with respect to the norm $L_{p}(\Omega),(1 \leq p<\infty)$; then $f \in \mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha}\left(L_{p}\right)$ or $f \in \mathfrak{I}_{d-}^{\alpha \rightarrow}\left(L_{p}\right)$ respectively.
Proof. Let $f \in L_{p}(\Omega)$ and $\lim _{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\\left(L_{p}\right)}} \psi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f=\psi$. Consider the function

$$
\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f\right)(Q)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left\{\frac{f(Q)}{r^{\alpha}}+\alpha\left(\psi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f\right)(Q)\right\}
$$

Taking into account (1.7), we can easily prove that $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f \in L_{p}(\Omega)$. Obviously, there exists the limit $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f \rightarrow \varphi \in L_{p}(\Omega), \varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Taking into account Theorem 2.1, we can complete the proof, if we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f \xrightarrow{L_{p}} f, \varepsilon \downarrow 0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $(\varepsilon \leq r \leq d)$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f\right)(Q) \frac{\pi r^{n-1}}{\sin \alpha \pi}=\int_{\varepsilon}^{r} \frac{f(P+y \mathbf{e}) y^{n-1-\alpha}}{(r-y)^{1-\alpha}} d y \\
+\alpha \int_{\varepsilon}^{r}(r-y)^{\alpha-1} d y \int_{0}^{y-\varepsilon} \frac{f(P+y \mathbf{e}) y^{n-1}-f(T) t^{n-1}}{(y-t)^{\alpha+1}} d t \\
+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} f(P+y \mathbf{e})(r-y)^{\alpha-1} y^{n-1} d y=I .
\end{gathered}
$$

By direct calculation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{r} f(P+y \mathbf{e})(r-y)^{\alpha-1} y^{n-1} d y-\alpha \int_{\varepsilon}^{r}(r-y)^{\alpha-1} d y \int_{0}^{y-\varepsilon} \frac{f(T)}{(y-t)^{\alpha+1}} t^{n-1} d t \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Changing the variable of integration in the second integral, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha \int_{\varepsilon}^{r}(r-y)^{\alpha-1} d y \int_{0}^{y-\varepsilon} \frac{f(T)}{(y-t)^{\alpha+1}} t^{n-1} d t \\
=\alpha \int_{0}^{r-\varepsilon}(r-y-\varepsilon)^{\alpha-1} d y \int_{0}^{y} \frac{f(T)}{(y+\varepsilon-t)^{\alpha+1}} t^{n-1} d t \\
=\alpha \int_{0}^{r-\varepsilon} f(T) t^{n-1} d t \int_{t}^{r-\varepsilon} \frac{(r-y-\varepsilon)^{\alpha-1}}{(y+\varepsilon-t)^{\alpha+1}} d y
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\alpha \int_{0}^{r-\varepsilon} f(T) t^{n-1} d t \int_{t+\varepsilon}^{r}(r-y)^{\alpha-1}(y-t)^{-\alpha-1} d y \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying formula (13.18) [20, p.184], we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t+\varepsilon}^{r}(r-y)^{\alpha-1}(y-t)^{-\alpha-1} d y=\frac{1}{\alpha \varepsilon^{\alpha}} \cdot \frac{(r-t-\varepsilon)^{\alpha}}{r-t} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining relations (2.3), (22), (2.5), using the change of the variable $t=r-\varepsilon \tau$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f\right)(Q) \frac{\pi r^{n-1}}{\sin \alpha \pi} \\
=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\alpha}}\left\{\int_{0}^{r-\varepsilon} f(P+y \mathbf{e})(r-y)^{\alpha-1} y^{n-1} d y-\int_{0}^{r-\varepsilon} \frac{f(T)(r-t-\varepsilon)^{\alpha}}{r-t} t^{n-1} d t\right\} \\
=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f(T)\left[(r-t)^{\alpha}-(r-t-\varepsilon)_{+}^{\alpha}\right]}{r-t} t^{n-1} d t \\
=\int_{0}^{r / \varepsilon} \frac{\tau^{\alpha}-(\tau-1)_{+}^{\alpha}}{\tau} f(P+[r-\varepsilon \tau] \mathbf{e})(r-\varepsilon \tau)^{n-1} d \tau, \tau_{+}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tau, \tau \geq 0 \\
0, \tau<0
\end{array}\right. \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consider the auxiliary function $\mathcal{K}$ defined in the paper [20, p.105]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}(t)=\frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi} \cdot \frac{t_{+}^{\alpha}-(t-1)_{+}^{\alpha}}{t} \in L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right) ; \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}(t) d t=1 ; \mathcal{K}(t)>0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2), (2.7) and taking into account that $f$ has the zero extension outside of $\bar{\Omega}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f\right)(Q)-f(Q)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}(t)\left\{f(P+[r-\varepsilon t] \mathbf{e})(1-\varepsilon t / r)_{+}^{n-1}-f(P+r \mathbf{e})\right\} d t \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the case $(0 \leq r<\varepsilon)$. Taking into account (1.7), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f\right)(Q)-f(Q)=\frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi \varepsilon^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f(T)}{(r-t)^{1-\alpha}}\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{n-1} d t-f(Q) \\
\quad=\frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi \varepsilon^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f(P+[r-t] \mathbf{e})}{t^{1-\alpha}}\left(\frac{r-t}{r}\right)^{n-1} d t-f(Q) \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consider the domains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\varepsilon}:=\{Q \in \Omega, d(\mathbf{e}) \geq \varepsilon\}, \tilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}=\Omega \backslash \Omega_{\varepsilon} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In accordance with this definition we can divide the surface $\omega$ into two parts $\omega_{\varepsilon}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{\varepsilon}$, where $\omega_{\varepsilon}$ is the subset of $\omega$ such that $d(\mathbf{e}) \geq \varepsilon$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{\varepsilon}$ is the subset of $\omega$ such that $d(\mathbf{e})<\varepsilon$. Using (2.8), (22), we get

$$
\left\|\left(\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f\right)-f\right\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}^{p}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
=\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon}} d \chi \int_{\varepsilon}^{d}\left|\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}(t)\left[f(Q-\varepsilon t \mathbf{e})(1-\varepsilon t / r)_{+}^{n-1}-f(Q)\right] d t\right|^{p} r^{n-1} d r \\
+\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon}} d \chi \int_{0}^{\varepsilon}\left|\frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi \varepsilon^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f(P+[r-t] \mathbf{e})}{t^{1-\alpha}}\left(\frac{r-t}{r}\right)^{n-1} d t-f(Q)\right|^{p} r^{n-1} d r \\
+\int_{\tilde{\omega}_{\varepsilon}} d \chi \int_{0}^{d}\left|\frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi \varepsilon^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f(P+[r-t] \mathbf{e})}{t^{1-\alpha}}\left(\frac{r-t}{r}\right)^{n-1} d t-f(Q)\right|^{p} r^{n-1} d r=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} . \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consider $I_{1}$, using the generalized Minkovski inequality, we get

$$
I_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}(t)\left(\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon}} d \chi \int_{\varepsilon}^{d}\left|f(Q-\varepsilon t \mathbf{e})(1-\varepsilon t / r)_{+}^{n-1}-f(Q)\right|^{p} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t
$$

We use the following notation

$$
h(\varepsilon, t):=\mathcal{K}(t)\left(\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon}} d \chi \int_{\varepsilon}^{d}\left|f(Q-\varepsilon t \mathbf{e})(1-\varepsilon t / r)_{+}^{n-1}-f(Q)\right|^{p} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t
$$

It can easily be checked that

$$
\begin{gather*}
|h(\varepsilon, t)| \leq 2 \mathcal{K}(t)\|f\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}, \forall \varepsilon>0  \tag{2.12}\\
|h(\varepsilon, t)| \leq\left(\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon}} d \chi \int_{\varepsilon}^{d}\left|(1-\varepsilon t / r)_{+}^{n-1}[f(Q-\varepsilon t \mathbf{e})-f(Q)]\right|^{p} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t \\
+\left(\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon}} d \chi \int_{0}^{d}\left|f(Q)\left[1-(1-\varepsilon t / r)_{+}^{n-1}\right]\right|^{p} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t=I_{11}+I_{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

By virtue of the average continuity property in $L_{p}(\Omega)$, we have $\forall t>0: I_{11} \rightarrow$ $0, \varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Consider $I_{12}$ and let us define the function

$$
h_{1}(\varepsilon, t, r):=\left|f(Q)\left[1-(1-\varepsilon t / r)_{+}^{n-1}\right]\right| .
$$

Obviously, the following relations hold almost everywhere in $\Omega$

$$
\forall t>0, h_{1}(\varepsilon, t, r) \leq|f(Q)|, h_{1}(\varepsilon, t, r) \rightarrow 0, \varepsilon \downarrow 0
$$

Applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we get $I_{12} \rightarrow 0, \varepsilon \downarrow 0$. It implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t>0, \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} h(\varepsilon, t)=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (2.12), (2.13) and applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem again, we obtain

$$
I_{1} \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0
$$

Consider $I_{2}$, using the Mincovski inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi \varepsilon^{\alpha}} & \left(\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon}} d \chi \int_{0}^{\varepsilon}\left|\int_{0}^{r} \frac{f(Q-t \mathbf{e})}{t^{1-\alpha}}\left(\frac{r-t}{r}\right)^{n-1} d t\right|^{p} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
+ & \left(\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon}} d \chi \int_{0}^{\varepsilon}|f(Q)|^{p} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=I_{21}+I_{22}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the generalized Mincovski inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{21} \frac{\pi}{\sin \alpha \pi}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\alpha}}\left(\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon}} d \chi \int_{0}^{\varepsilon}\left|\int_{0}^{r} \frac{f(Q-t \mathbf{e})}{t^{1-\alpha}}\left(\frac{r-t}{r}\right)^{n-1} d t\right|^{p} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\alpha}}\left\{\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon}}\left[\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} t^{\alpha-1}\left(\int_{t}^{\varepsilon}|f(Q-t \mathbf{e})|^{p}\left(\frac{r-t}{r}\right)^{(p-1)(n-1)}(r-t)^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t\right]^{p} d \chi\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\alpha}}\left\{\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon}}\left[\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} t^{\alpha-1}\left(\int_{t}^{\varepsilon}|f(P+[r-t] \mathbf{e})|^{p}(r-t)^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t\right]^{p} d \chi\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\alpha}}\left\{\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon}}\left[\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} t^{\alpha-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\varepsilon}|f(P+r \mathbf{e})|^{p} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t\right]^{p} d \chi\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}=\frac{1}{\alpha}\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(\Delta_{\varepsilon}\right)}, \\
& \Delta \Delta_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{Q \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}, r<\varepsilon\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that mess $\Delta_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0, \varepsilon \downarrow 0$, hence $I_{21}, I_{22} \rightarrow 0, \varepsilon \downarrow 0$. It follows that $I_{2} \rightarrow 0, \varepsilon \downarrow 0$. In the same way, we obtain $I_{3} \rightarrow 0, \varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Since we proved that $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3} \rightarrow 0, \varepsilon \downarrow 0$, then relation (2.2) holds. This completes the proof corresponding to the left-side case. The proof corresponding to the right-side case is absolutely analogous.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose $f=\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \psi$ or $f=\mathfrak{I}_{d-}^{\alpha} \psi, \psi \in L_{p}(\Omega), 1 \leq p<\infty$; then $\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha} f=\psi$ or $\mathfrak{D}_{d-}^{\alpha} f=\psi$ respectively.

Proof. Consider

$$
\begin{gathered}
r^{n-1} f(Q)-(r-\tau)^{n-1} f(Q-\tau \mathbf{e}) \\
=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\psi(Q-t \mathbf{e})}{t^{1-\alpha}}(r-t)^{n-1} d t-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\tau}^{r} \frac{\psi(Q-t \mathbf{e})}{(t-\tau)^{1-\alpha}}(r-t)^{n-1} d t \\
=\tau^{\alpha-1} \int_{0}^{r} \psi(Q-t \mathbf{e}) k\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)(r-t)^{n-1} d t, k(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
t^{\alpha-1}, 0<t<1 \\
t^{\alpha-1}-(t-1)^{\alpha-1}, t>1
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence in the case $(\varepsilon \leq r \leq d)$, we have

$$
\left(\psi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f\right)(Q)=\int_{\varepsilon}^{r} \frac{r^{n-1} f(Q)-(r-\tau)^{n-1} f(Q-\tau \mathbf{e})}{r^{n-1} \tau^{\alpha+1}} d \tau
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{\varepsilon}^{r} \tau^{-2} d \tau \int_{0}^{r} \psi(Q-t \mathbf{e}) k\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)(1-t / r)^{n-1} d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{r} \psi(Q-t \mathbf{e})(1-t / r)^{n-1} d t \int_{\varepsilon}^{r} k\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \tau^{-2} d \tau \\
& =\int_{0}^{r} \psi(Q-t \mathbf{e})(1-t / r)^{n-1} t^{-1} d t \int_{t / r}^{t / \varepsilon} k(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying formula (6.12) [20, p.106], we get

$$
\left(\psi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f\right)(Q) \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}=\int_{0}^{r} \psi(Q-t \mathbf{e})(1-t / r)^{n-1}\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)-\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)\right] d t
$$

Since in accordance with (2.7), we have

$$
\mathcal{K}\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)=[\Gamma(1-\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)]^{-1}\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{\alpha-1}
$$

then

$$
\left(\psi_{\varepsilon}^{+} f\right)(Q) \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}=\int_{0}^{r / \varepsilon} \mathcal{K}(t) \psi(Q-\varepsilon t \mathbf{e})(1-\varepsilon t / r)^{n-1} d t-\frac{f(Q)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha) r^{\alpha}}
$$

Taking into account (1.8), (2.7), and that the function $\psi(Q)$ has the zero extension outside of $\bar{\Omega}$, we obtain

$$
\left(\mathfrak{D}_{0+, \varepsilon}^{\alpha} f\right)(Q)-\psi(Q)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}(t)\left[\psi(Q-\varepsilon t \mathbf{e})(1-\varepsilon t / r)_{+}^{n-1}-\psi(Q)\right] d t, \varepsilon \leq r \leq d
$$

Consider the case $(0 \leq r<\varepsilon)$. In accordance with (1.7), we have

$$
\left(\mathfrak{D}_{0+, \varepsilon}^{\alpha} f\right)(Q)-\psi(Q)=\frac{f(Q)}{\varepsilon^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)}-\psi(Q)
$$

Using the generalized Mincovski inequality, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\left(\mathfrak{D}_{0+, \varepsilon}^{\alpha} f\right)(Q)-\psi(Q)\right\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}(t)\left\|\psi(Q-\varepsilon t \mathbf{e})(1-\varepsilon t / r)_{+}^{n-1}-\psi(Q)\right\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)} d t \\
+\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha) \varepsilon^{\alpha}}\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(\Delta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)}+\|\psi\|_{L_{p}\left(\Delta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)}, \Delta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=\Delta_{\varepsilon} \cup \tilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}
\end{gathered}
$$

here we use the denotations that were used in Theorem 2.2, Arguing as above (see Theorem (2.2), we see that all three summands of the right side of the previous inequality tend to zero, when $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose $\rho \in \operatorname{Lip} \lambda, \alpha<\lambda \leq 1, f \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$; then $\rho f \in \mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha}\left(L_{2}\right) \cap$ $\mathfrak{I}_{d-}^{\alpha}\left(L_{2}\right)$.

Proof. We provide a proof only for the left-side case, the proof corresponding to the right-side case is absolutely analogous. First, assume that $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Using the denotations that were used in Theorem [2.2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{+} f-\psi_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{+} f\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leq\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{+} f-\psi_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{+} f\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon_{1}}\right)}+\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{+} f-\psi_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{+} f\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\tilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon_{1}}\right)} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1}>\varepsilon_{2}>0$. We have the following reasoning

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\psi_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{+} f-\psi_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{+} f\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon_{1}}\right)} \leq\left(\left.\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon_{1}}} d \chi \int_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{d} \int_{r-\varepsilon_{1}}^{r-\varepsilon_{2}} \frac{(\rho f)(Q) r^{n-1}-(\rho f)(T) t^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}(r-t)^{\alpha+1}} d t\right|^{2} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& +\left(\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon_{1}}} d \chi \int_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{1}}\left|\int_{0}^{r-\varepsilon_{1}} \frac{(\rho f)(Q) r^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}(r-t)^{\alpha+1}} d t-\int_{0}^{r-\varepsilon_{2}} \frac{(\rho f)(Q) r^{n-1}-(\rho f)(T) t^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}(r-t)^{\alpha+1}} d t\right|^{2} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& +\left(\left.\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon_{1}}} d \chi \int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{2}}\right|_{0} ^{r-\varepsilon_{1}} \frac{(\rho f)(Q) r^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}(r-t)^{\alpha+1}} d t-\left.\int_{0}^{r-\varepsilon_{2}} \frac{(\rho f)(Q) r^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}(r-t)^{\alpha+1}} d t\right|^{2} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ we have $f(Q)=0, r<\varepsilon_{1}$. This implies that $I_{2}=I_{3}=0$ and that the second summand of the right side of inequality (2.14) equals zero. Making the change the variable in $I_{1}$, then using the generalized Minkowski inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=\left(\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon_{1}}} d \chi \int_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{d}\left|\int_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{2}} \frac{(\rho f)(Q) r^{n-1}-(\rho f)(Q-\mathbf{e} t)(r-t)^{n-1}}{r^{n-1} t^{\alpha+1}} d t\right|^{2} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \int_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} t^{-\alpha-1}\left(\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon_{1}}} d \chi \int_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{d}\left|(\rho f)(Q)-(1-t / r)^{n-1}(\rho f)(Q-\mathbf{e} t)\right|^{2} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d t \\
& \leq \int_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} t^{-\alpha-1}\left(\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon_{1}}} d \chi \int_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{d}|(\rho f)(Q)-(\rho f)(Q-\mathbf{e} t)|^{2} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d t \\
&+\int_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} t^{-\alpha-1}\left(\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon_{1}}} d \chi \int_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{d}\left[1-(1-t / r)^{n-1}\right]|(\rho f)(Q-\mathbf{e} t)|^{2} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d t \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} t^{\lambda-\alpha-1} d t+\int_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} t^{-\alpha}\left(\int_{\omega_{\varepsilon_{1}}}^{d} d \chi \int_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{d}\left|\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{i}(\rho f)(Q-\mathbf{e} t)\right|^{2} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the function $f$ property, we see that there exists a constant $\delta$ such that $f(Q-\mathbf{e} t)=0, r<\delta$. In accordance with the above reasoning, we have

$$
I_{1} \leq C_{1} \frac{\varepsilon_{1}^{\lambda-\alpha}-\varepsilon_{2}^{\lambda-\alpha}}{\lambda-\alpha}+\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \frac{\varepsilon_{1}^{1-\alpha}-\varepsilon_{2}^{1-\alpha}}{\delta(1-\alpha)}(n-1)
$$

Applying Theorem [2.1, we complete the proof for the case $\left(f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)\right)$. Now assume that $f \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, then there exists the sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \subset C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega), f_{n} \xrightarrow{H_{0}^{1}} f$. It is easy to prove that $\rho f_{n} \xrightarrow{L_{2}} \rho f$. In accordance with the proven above fact, we have $\rho f_{n}=\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \varphi_{n},\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\} \in L_{2}(\Omega)$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \varphi_{n} \xrightarrow{L_{2}} \rho f . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

To conclude the proof, it is sufficient to show that $\varphi_{n} \xrightarrow{L_{2}} \varphi \in L_{2}(\Omega)$. Note that by virtue of Theorem 2.2 we have $\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha} \rho f_{n}=\varphi_{n}$. Let $c_{n, m}:=f_{n+m}-f_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\varphi_{n+m}-\varphi_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} & \leq \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\left(\rho c_{n, m}\right)(Q) r^{n-1}-\left(\rho c_{n, m}\right)(T) t^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}(t-r)^{\alpha+1}} d t\right|^{2} d Q\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
+ & \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\left(\rho c_{n, m}\right)(Q)}{r^{\alpha}}\right|^{2} d Q\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=I_{3}+I_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $I_{3}$. It can be shown in the usual way that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\alpha} I_{3} \leq\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\left(\rho c_{n, m}\right)(Q)-\left(\rho c_{n, m}\right)(Q-\mathbf{e} t)}{t^{\alpha+1}} d t\right|^{2} d Q\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& +\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\left(\rho c_{n, m}\right)(Q-\mathbf{e} t)\left[1-(1-t / r)^{n-1}\right]}{t^{1+\alpha}} d t\right|^{2} d Q\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}=I_{01}+I_{02} \\
& \\
& I_{01} \leq \sup _{Q \in \Omega}|\rho(Q)|\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\left|c_{n, m}(Q)-c_{n, m}(Q-\mathbf{e} t)\right|}{t^{\alpha+1}} d t\right)^{2} d Q\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& +\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{r} \frac{c_{n, m}(Q-\mathbf{e} t)[\rho(Q)-\rho(Q-\mathbf{e} t)]}{t^{\alpha+1}} d t\right|^{2} d Q\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}=I_{11}+I_{21}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the generalized Minkowski inequality, then representing the function under the inner integral by the directional derivative, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{11} & \leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{d}} t^{-\alpha-1}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|c_{n, m}(Q)-c_{n, m}(Q-\mathbf{e} t)\right|^{2} d Q\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d t \\
& =C_{1} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{d}} t^{-\alpha-1}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{t} c_{n, m}^{\prime}(Q-\mathbf{e} \tau) d \tau\right|^{2} d Q\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the Fubini theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{11} \leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{d}} t^{-\alpha-1}\left(\int_{\Omega} d Q \int_{0}^{t}\left|c_{n, m}^{\prime}(Q-\mathbf{e} \tau)\right|^{2} d \tau \int_{0}^{t} d \tau\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d t \\
&=C_{1} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{d}} t^{-\alpha-1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{t} d \tau \int_{\Omega}\left|c_{n, m}^{\prime}(Q-\mathbf{e} \tau)\right|^{2} d Q\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d t \leq C_{1} \frac{\mathfrak{d}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\left\|c_{n, m}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Arguing as above, using the Holder property of the function $\rho$, we see that

$$
I_{21} \leq M \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{o}} t^{\lambda-\alpha-1}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|c_{n, m}(Q-\mathbf{e} t)\right|^{2} d Q\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d t \leq M \frac{\mathfrak{d}^{\lambda-\alpha}}{\lambda-\alpha}\left\|c_{n, m}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}
$$

It can be shown in the usual way that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{02} & \leq C_{1}\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{r}\right| c_{n, m}(Q-\mathbf{e} t)\left|\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{i} r^{-1} t^{-\alpha} d t\right|^{2} d Q\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C_{2}\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{r} t^{-\alpha} d t \int_{t}^{r}\left|c_{n, m}^{\prime}(Q-\mathbf{e} \tau)\right| d \tau\right)^{2} r^{-2} d Q\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =C_{2}\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{r}\left|c_{n, m}^{\prime}(Q-\mathbf{e} \tau)\right| d \tau \int_{0}^{\tau} t^{-\alpha} d t\right)^{2} r^{-2} d Q\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{C_{2}}{1-\alpha}\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{r}\left|c_{n, m}^{\prime}(Q-\mathbf{e} \tau)\right| \tau^{-\alpha} d \tau\right)^{2} d Q\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the generalized Minkowski inequality, we have

$$
I_{02} \leq C_{3} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{d}} \tau^{-\alpha} d \tau\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|c_{n, m}^{\prime}(Q-\mathbf{e} \tau)\right|^{2} d Q\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_{3} \frac{\mathfrak{d}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\left\|c_{n, m}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}
$$

Consider $I_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{2} \leq \frac{C_{1}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|c_{n, m}(Q)\right|^{2} r^{-2 \alpha} d Q\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
=\frac{C_{1}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(\int r_{\Omega}^{-2 \alpha}\left|\int_{0}^{r} c_{n, m}^{\prime}(Q-\mathbf{e} t) d t\right|^{2} d Q\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{C_{1}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{r} c_{n, m}^{\prime}(Q-\mathbf{e} t) t^{-\alpha} d t\right|^{2} d Q\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Using the generalized Minkowski inequality, then applying the trivial estimates, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{2} \leq C_{4}\left\{\int_{\omega}\left[\int_{0}^{d} t^{-\alpha} d t\left(\int_{t}^{d}\left|c_{n, m}^{\prime}(Q-\mathbf{e} t)\right|^{2} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{2} d \chi\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq C_{4}\left\{\int_{\omega}\left[\int_{0}^{\mathfrak{o}} t^{-\alpha} d t\left(\int_{0}^{d}\left|c_{n, m}^{\prime}(Q-\mathbf{e} t)\right|^{2} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{2} d \chi\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
=C_{4} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{o}} t^{-\alpha} d t\left(\int_{\omega} d \chi \int_{0}^{d}\left|c_{n, m}^{\prime}(Q-\mathbf{e} t)\right|^{2} r^{n-1} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_{4} \frac{\mathfrak{d}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\left\|c_{n, m}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Taking into account that the sequences $\left\{f_{n}\right\},\left\{f_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ are fundamental, we obtain $I_{1}, I_{2} \rightarrow 0$. Hence the sequence $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ is fundamental and $\varphi_{n} \xrightarrow{L_{2}} \varphi \in L_{2}(\Omega)$. Note that by virtue of Theorem[2.1] the directional fractional integral operator is bounded on the space $L_{2}(\Omega)$. Hence

$$
\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \varphi_{n} \xrightarrow{L_{2}} \mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \varphi .
$$

Combining this fact with (2.15), we have $\rho f=\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \varphi$.

Lemma 2.5. The operator $\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha}$ is a restriction of the operator $\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}$.
Proof. We need to show that the next equality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f\right)(Q)=\left(\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha} f\right)(Q), f \in \stackrel{\circ}{W_{p}^{l}}(\Omega) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown in the usual way that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} v=\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{v(Q)-v(T)}{(r-t)^{\alpha+1}}\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{n-1} d t+C_{n}^{(\alpha)} v(Q) r^{-\alpha} \\
=\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{r^{n-1} v(Q)-t^{n-1} v(T)}{r^{n-1}(r-t)^{\alpha+1}} d t-\frac{\alpha v(Q)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{r^{n-1}-t^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}(r-t)^{\alpha+1}} d t \\
+C_{n}^{(\alpha)} v(Q) r^{-\alpha}=\left(\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha} v\right)(Q)-\frac{\alpha v(Q)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} r^{-1-i} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{t^{i}}{(r-t)^{\alpha}} d t \\
\quad+C_{n}^{(\alpha)} v(Q) r^{-\alpha}-\frac{v(Q) r^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}=\left(\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha} v\right)(Q)-I_{1}+I_{2}-I_{3} . \tag{2.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using the formula of the fractional integral of a power function (2.44) [20, p.47], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}= & \frac{\alpha v(Q) r^{-1}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{d t}{(r-t)^{\alpha}}+\frac{\alpha v(Q)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} r^{-1-i} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{t^{i}}{(r-t)^{\alpha}} d t \\
& =v(Q) \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} r^{-\alpha}+v(Q) \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} r^{-1-i}\left(I_{0+}^{1-\alpha} t^{i}\right)(r) \\
& =v(Q) \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} r^{-\alpha}+v(Q) \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} r^{-\alpha} \frac{i!}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+i)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{1}+I_{3}=\frac{v(Q) r^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}+v(Q) r^{-\alpha} \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{i!}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+i)}=\frac{2 v(Q) r^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)} \\
+v(Q) r^{-\alpha} \alpha \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} \frac{i!}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+i)}=\frac{3!v(Q) r^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)}+v(Q) r^{-\alpha} \alpha \sum_{i=3}^{n-2} \frac{i!}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+i)} \\
=\frac{(n-2)!v(Q) r^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(n-1-\alpha)}+v(Q) r^{-\alpha} \alpha \frac{(n-2)!}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}=C_{n}^{(\alpha)} v(Q) r^{-\alpha} \tag{2.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore $I_{2}-I_{1}-I_{3}=0$ and obtain equality (2.16). Let us prove that the considered operators do not coincide with each other. For this purpose consider the function $f=\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \varphi, \varphi \in L_{p}(\Omega)$, then in accordance with Theorem [2.2] we have $\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \varphi=\varphi$. Hence $\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha}\left(L_{p}\right) \subset \mathrm{D}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\right)$. Now it is sufficient to notice that

$$
\exists f \in \mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha}\left(L_{p}\right), f(\Lambda) \neq 0
$$

where $\Lambda \subset \partial \Omega$, mess $\Lambda \neq 0$. On the other hand, we know that

$$
f(\partial \Omega)=0 \text { a.e., } \forall f \in \mathrm{D}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.6. The following identity holds

$$
\left(\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\right)^{*}=\mathfrak{D}_{d-}^{\alpha}
$$

where limits (1.9) are understood as the limits with respect to the $L_{2}(\Omega)$ norm.
Proof. Let us show that the next relation is true

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha} f, g\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}=\left(f, \mathfrak{D}_{d-}^{\alpha} g\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}  \tag{2.19}\\
& \quad f \in \mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha}\left(L_{2}\right), g \in \mathfrak{I}_{d-}^{\alpha}\left(L_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that by virtue of Theorem[2.3, we have $\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \varphi=\varphi, \mathfrak{D}_{d-}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{I}_{d-}^{\alpha} \psi=\psi$, where $\psi, \psi \in L_{2}(\Omega)$. Hence, using Theorem [2.1] we have that the left and right side of (2.19) are finite. Therefore using the Fubini theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha} f, g\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}=\int_{\omega} d \chi \int_{0}^{d} \varphi(Q) \overline{\left(\mathfrak{I}_{d-}^{\alpha} \psi\right)(Q)} r^{n-1} d r \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\omega} d \chi \int_{0}^{d} \varphi(Q) r^{n-1} d r \int_{r}^{d} \frac{\overline{\psi(T)}}{(t-r)^{1-\alpha}} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\omega} d \chi \int_{0}^{d} \overline{\psi(T)} t^{n-1} d t \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\varphi(Q)}{(t-r)^{1-\alpha}}\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^{n-1} d r \\
=\int_{\Omega}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{0+}^{\alpha} \varphi\right)(Q) \overline{\psi(Q)} d Q=\left(f, \mathfrak{D}_{d-}^{\alpha} g\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \tag{2.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus inequality (2.19) is proved. It follows that $\mathrm{D}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{d-}^{\alpha}\right) \subset \mathrm{D}\left(\left[\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\right]^{*}\right)$. Let us prove that $\mathrm{D}\left(\left[\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\right]^{*}\right) \subset \mathrm{D}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{d-}^{\alpha}\right)$. In accordance with the definition of adjoint operator, we have

$$
\left(\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha} f, g\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}=\left(f,\left[\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha} g\right]^{*}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, f \in \mathrm{D}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\right), g \in \mathrm{D}\left(\left[\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\right]^{*}\right)
$$

Note that since $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{d-}^{\alpha}\right)=L_{2}(\Omega)$, then $\mathrm{R}\left(\left[\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\right]^{*}\right)=L_{2}(\Omega)$. Using the Fubini theorem, it can be easily shown that

$$
\left(\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha} f, g-\mathfrak{I}_{d-}^{\alpha}\left[\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\right]^{*} g\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}=0
$$

By virtue of Theorem [2.3, we have $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\right)=L_{2}(\Omega)$. Hence $g=\mathfrak{I}_{d-}^{\alpha}\left[\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\right]^{*} g$ a.e. It implies that $\mathrm{D}\left(\left[\mathfrak{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\right]^{*}\right) \subset \mathrm{D}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{d-}^{\alpha}\right)$.

## 3. Strictly accretive property

The following theorem establishes the strictly accretive property (see [8, p. 352]) of the Kipriyanov fractional differential operator.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $\rho(Q)$ is a real non-negative function, $\rho \in \operatorname{Lip} \lambda, \lambda>\alpha$; then the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(f, \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)} \geq \mu\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)}^{2}, f \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mu=\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{d}^{-\alpha}\left(\Gamma^{-1}(1-\alpha)+C_{n}^{(\alpha)}\right)-\frac{\alpha M \mathfrak{d}^{\lambda-\alpha}}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)(\lambda-\alpha) \inf \rho} .
$$

Moreover, if we have in additional that for any fixed direction $\mathbf{e}$ the function $\rho$ is monotonically non-increasing, then

$$
\mu=\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{d}^{-\alpha}\left(\Gamma^{-1}(1-\alpha)+C_{n}^{(\alpha)}\right)
$$

Proof. Consider a real case and let $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho(Q) f(Q)\left(\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f\right)(Q)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} \rho f^{2}\right)(Q) \\
+\frac{\alpha}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\rho(Q)[f(Q)-f(T)]^{2}}{(r-t)^{\alpha+1}}\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{n-1} d t \\
+\frac{\alpha}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f^{2}(T)[\rho(T)-\rho(Q)]}{(r-t)^{\alpha+1}}\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{n-1} d t+\frac{C_{n}^{\alpha}}{2}\left(\rho f^{2}\right)(Q) r^{-\alpha} \\
=I_{0}(Q)+I_{1}(Q)+I_{2}(Q)+I_{3}(Q) \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Applying Theorem 1.4. we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega} I_{0}(Q) d Q=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{d-}^{\alpha} 1\right)(Q)\left(\rho f^{2}\right)(Q) d Q \\
=\frac{1}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega}(d(\mathbf{e})-r)^{-\alpha}\left(\rho f^{2}\right)(Q) d Q \geq \frac{\mathfrak{d}^{-\alpha}}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)}\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)}^{2} . \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using the Fubini theorem, it can be shown in the usual way that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid \int_{\Omega} I_{2}(Q) d Q \leq \frac{\alpha}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{\omega} d \chi \int_{0}^{d(\mathbf{e})} r^{n-1} d r \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f^{2}(T)|\rho(T)-\rho(Q)|}{(r-t)^{\alpha+1}}\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{n-1} d t \\
&= \frac{\alpha}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{\omega} d \chi \int_{0}^{d(\mathbf{e})} f^{2}(T) t^{n-1} d t \int_{t}^{d(\mathbf{e})} \frac{|\rho(T)-\rho(Q)|}{(r-t)^{\alpha+1}} d r \\
&=\frac{\alpha}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{\omega} d \chi \int_{0}^{d(\mathbf{e})} f^{2}(T) t^{n-1} d t \int_{0}^{d(\mathbf{e})-t} \frac{|\rho(Q-\tau \mathbf{e})-\rho(Q)|}{\tau^{\alpha+1}} d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha M}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{\omega} d \chi \int_{0}^{d(\mathbf{e})} f^{2}(T) t^{n-1} d t \int_{0}^{d(\mathbf{e})-t} \tau^{\lambda-\alpha+1} d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha M \mathfrak{d}^{\lambda-\alpha}}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)(\lambda-\alpha)}\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2} . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} I_{3}(Q) d Q=C_{n}^{(\alpha)} \int_{\Omega}\left(\rho f^{2}\right)(Q) r^{-\alpha} d Q \geq \frac{C_{n}^{(\alpha)} \mathfrak{d}^{-\alpha}}{2}\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)}^{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3), (3), (3), (3.5), and the fact that $I_{1}$ is non-negative, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f, \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)} \geq \mu\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)}^{2}, f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case when for any fixed direction $\mathbf{e}$ the function $\rho$ is monotonically nonincreasing, we have $I_{2} \geq 0$. Hence (3.6) is fulfilled. Now assume that $f \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{f_{k}\right\} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega), f_{k} \xrightarrow{H_{0}^{1}} f$. Using this fact, it is not hard to prove that $f_{k} \xrightarrow{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)} f$. Using inequality (1.3), we prove that $\left\|\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)} \leq$ $C\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f_{k} \xrightarrow{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)} \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f$. Hence using the continuity property of the inner product, we get

$$
\left(f_{k}, \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f_{k}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)} \rightarrow\left(f, \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)}
$$

Passing to the limit on the left and right side of inequality (3.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f, \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)} \geq \mu\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)}^{2}, f \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us consider the complex case. Note that the following equality is true

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(f, \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)}=\left(u, \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} u\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)}+\left(v, \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} v\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)}, u=\operatorname{Re} f, v=\operatorname{Im} f \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.8), (3.7), we obtain (3.1).

## 4. SECTORIAL PROPERTY

Consider a uniformly elliptic operator with real coefficients and the Kipriyanov fractional derivative in the final term

$$
\begin{gather*}
L u:=-D_{j}\left(a^{i j} D_{i} u\right)+\rho \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} u, \quad(i, j=\overline{1, n}), \\
\mathrm{D}(L)=H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \\
a^{i j}(Q) \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega}), a^{i j} \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \geq a_{0}|\xi|^{2}, a_{0}>0  \tag{4.1}\\
\rho(Q)>0, \rho(Q) \in \operatorname{Lip} \lambda, \alpha<\lambda \leq 1 . \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

We assume in additional that $\mu>0$, here we use the denotation that is used in Theorem (3.1). We also consider the formal adjoint operator

$$
\begin{gathered}
L^{+} u:=-D_{i}\left(a^{i j} D_{j} u\right)+\mathfrak{D}_{d-}^{\alpha} \rho u \\
\mathrm{D}\left(L^{+}\right)=\mathrm{D}(L),
\end{gathered}
$$

and the operator

$$
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(L+L^{+}\right) .
$$

We use a special case of the Green formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\Omega} D_{j}\left(a^{i j} D_{i} u\right) \bar{v} d Q=\int_{\Omega} a^{i j} D_{i} u \overline{D_{j} v} d Q, u \in H^{2}(\Omega), v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.1. The operators $L, L^{+}, H$ are closeable. We can easily check this fact, if we apply Theorem 3.4 [8, p.337].

We have the following lemma.
Theorem 4.2. The operators $\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}^{+}$are strictly accretive, their numerical range belongs to the sector

$$
\mathfrak{S}:=\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}:|\arg (\zeta-\gamma)| \leq \theta\}
$$

where $\theta$ and $\gamma$ are defined by the coefficients of the operator $L$.
Proof. Consider the operator $L$. It is not hard to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{Re}\left(D_{j}\left[a^{i j} D_{i} f\right], f\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \geq a_{0}\|f\|_{L_{2}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}, f \in \mathrm{D}(L) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(f_{n}, L f_{n}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \geq a_{0}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}+\operatorname{Re}\left(f_{n}, \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f_{n}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)},\left\{f_{n}\right\} \subset \mathrm{D}(L) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $f \in \mathrm{D}(\tilde{L})$. In accordance with the definition, there exists a sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \subset \mathrm{D}(L), f_{n} \rightarrow f$. By virtue of (4.5), we easily prove that $f \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Using the continuity property of the inner product, we pass to the limit on the left and right side of inequality (4.5). Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}(f, \tilde{L} f)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \geq a_{0}\|f\|_{L_{2}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}+\operatorname{Re}\left(f, \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)}, f \in \mathrm{D}(\tilde{L}) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of Theorem 3.1, we can rewrite the previous inequality as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}(f, \tilde{L} f)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \geq a_{0}\|f\|_{L_{2}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}+\mu\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)}^{2}, f \in \mathrm{D}(\tilde{L}) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the Friedrichs inequality to the first summand of the right side, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}(f, \tilde{L} f)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \geq \mu_{1}\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}, f \in \mathrm{D}(\tilde{L}), \mu_{1}=a_{0}+\mu \inf \rho(Q) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the imaginary component of the form, generated by the operator $L$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\operatorname{Im}(f, L f)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}\right| \leq\left|\int_{\Omega}\left(a^{i j} D_{i} u D_{j} v-a^{i j} D_{i} v D_{j} u\right) d Q\right| \\
& \quad+\left|\left(u, \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} v\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)}-\left(v, \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} u\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)}\right|=I_{1}+I_{2} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Cauchy Schwarz inequality for sums, the Young inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{i j} D_{i} u D_{j} v \leq a|D u||D v| \leq \frac{a}{2}\left(|D u|^{2}+|D v|^{2}\right), a(Q)=\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}(Q)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1} \leq a_{1}\|f\|_{L_{2}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}, a_{1}=\sup a(Q) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying inequality (1.3), the Young inequality, we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\left(u, \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} v\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega, p)}\right| \leq C_{1}\|u\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}\left\|\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} v\right\|_{L_{q}(\Omega)} \\
\leq C_{1}\|u\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}\left\{\frac{K}{\delta^{\nu}}\|v\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}+\delta^{1-\nu}\|v\|_{L_{2}^{1}(\Omega)}\right\} \\
\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|u\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{K C_{1}}{\sqrt{2} \delta^{\nu}}\right)^{2}\|v\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(C_{1} \delta^{1-\nu}\right)^{2}\|v\|_{L_{2}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \tag{4.12}
\end{array}
$$

where $2<q<2 n /(2 \alpha-2+n)$. Hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{2} \leq\left|\left(u, \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} v\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)}\right|+\left|\left(v, \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} u\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega, \rho)}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\|u\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\|v\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right) \\
+\varepsilon\left(\frac{K C_{1}}{\sqrt{2} \delta^{\nu}}\right)^{2}\left(\|u\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\|v\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(C_{1} \delta^{1-\nu}\right)^{2}\left(\|u\|_{L_{2}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}+\|v\|_{L_{2}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}\right) \\
=\left(\varepsilon \delta^{-2 \nu} C_{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\varepsilon \delta^{2-2 \nu} C_{3}\|f\|_{L_{2}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \tag{4.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking into account (4) and combining (4.11), (4.13), we easily prove that $\left|\operatorname{Im}(f, \tilde{L} f)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}\right| \leq\left(\varepsilon \delta^{-2 \nu} C_{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left(\varepsilon \delta^{2-2 \nu} C_{3}+a_{1}\right)\|f\|_{L_{2}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}, f \in \mathrm{D}(\tilde{L})$. Thus by virtue of (4.8) for an arbitrary number $k>0$, the next inequality holds

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re}(f, \tilde{L} f)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}-k\left|\operatorname{Im}(f, \tilde{L} f)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}\right| \geq\left(a_{0}-k\left[\varepsilon \delta^{2-2 \nu} C_{3}+a_{1}\right]\right)\|f\|_{L_{2}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \\
+\left(\mu \inf \rho(Q)-k\left[\varepsilon \delta^{-2 \nu} C_{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right]\right)\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Choose $k=a_{0}\left(\varepsilon \delta^{2-2 \nu} C_{3}+a_{1}\right)^{-1}$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\operatorname{Im}(f,(\tilde{L}-\gamma) f)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}\right| \leq \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Re}(f,(\tilde{L}-\gamma) f)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \\
\gamma=\mu \inf \rho(Q)-k\left[\varepsilon \delta^{-2 \nu} C_{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right] \tag{4.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

This inequality shows that the numerical range $\Theta(\tilde{L})$ belongs to the sector with the top $\gamma$ and the semi-angle $\theta=\arctan (1 / k)$. The prove corresponding to the operator $\tilde{L}^{+}$is analogous.

We do not study in detail the conditions under which $\gamma>0$, but we just note that relation (4) gives us an opportunity to formulate them in an easy way. Further, we assume that the coefficients of the operator $L$ such that $\gamma>0$.
Theorem 4.3. The operators $\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}^{+}, \tilde{H}$ is m-sectorial, the operator $\tilde{H}$ is selfadjoint.
Proof. By virtue of Theorem4.2 we have that the operator $\tilde{L}$ is sectorial i.e. $\Theta(L) \subset$ $\mathfrak{S}$. Applying Theorem 3.2 [8, p. 336] we conclude that $\mathrm{R}(\tilde{L}-\zeta)$ is a closed space for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathfrak{S}$ and that the next relation holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{def}(\tilde{L}-\zeta)=\eta, \eta=\text { const. } \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.8), it is not hard to prove that $\|\tilde{L} f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \geq \sqrt{\mu_{1}}\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, f \in \mathrm{D}(\tilde{L})$. Hence the inverse operator $(\tilde{L}+\zeta)^{-1}$ is defined on the subspace $\mathrm{R}(\tilde{L}+\zeta), \operatorname{Re} \zeta>0$. In accordance with condition (3.38) [8, p.350], we need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{def}(\tilde{L}+\zeta)=0,\left\|(\tilde{L}+\zeta)^{-1}\right\| \leq(\operatorname{Re} \zeta)^{-1}, \operatorname{Re} \zeta>0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\gamma>0$, then the left half-plane is included in the the set $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathfrak{S}$. Note that by virtue of inequality (4.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}(f,(\tilde{L}-\zeta) f)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \geq(\mu-\operatorname{Re} \zeta)\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\zeta_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathfrak{S}, \operatorname{Re} \zeta_{0}<0$. Since the operator $\tilde{L}-\zeta_{0}$ has a closed range $\mathrm{R}\left(\tilde{L}-\zeta_{0}\right)$, then we have

$$
L_{2}(\Omega)=\mathrm{R}\left(\tilde{L}-\zeta_{0}\right) \oplus \mathrm{R}\left(\tilde{L}-\zeta_{0}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Note that $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap \mathrm{R}\left(\tilde{L}-\zeta_{0}\right)^{\perp}=0$, because if we assume the contrary, then applying inequality (4.17) for any element $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap \mathrm{R}\left(\tilde{L}-\zeta_{0}\right)^{\perp}$, we get

$$
\left(\mu-\operatorname{Re} \zeta_{0}\right)\|u\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq \operatorname{Re}\left(u,\left(\tilde{L}-\zeta_{0}\right) u\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}=0
$$

hence $u=0$. Thus this fact implies that

$$
(g, v)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}=0, g \in \mathrm{R}\left(\tilde{L}-\zeta_{0}\right)^{\perp}, \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

Since $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is a dense set in $L_{2}(\Omega)$, then $\mathrm{R}\left(\tilde{L}-\zeta_{0}\right)^{\perp}=0$. It follows that $\operatorname{def}(\tilde{L}-$ $\left.\zeta_{0}\right)=0$. Now if we note (4.15) then we came to the conclusion that $\operatorname{def}(\tilde{L}-\zeta)=$ $0, \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathfrak{S}$. Hence $\operatorname{def}(\tilde{L}+\zeta)=0, \operatorname{Re} \zeta>0$. Thus the proof of the first relation of (4.16) is complete. To prove the second relation (4.16) we should note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\mu+\operatorname{Re} \zeta)\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq \operatorname{Re}(f,(\tilde{L}+\zeta) f)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leq\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}\|(\tilde{L}+\zeta)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, \\
f \in \mathrm{D}(\tilde{L}), \operatorname{Re} \zeta>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using first relation (4.16), we have

$$
\left\|(\tilde{L}+\zeta)^{-1} g\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leq(\mu+\operatorname{Re} \zeta)^{-1}\|g\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leq(\operatorname{Re} \zeta)^{-1}\|g\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, g \in L_{2}(\Omega)
$$

This implies that

$$
\left\|(\tilde{L}+\zeta)^{-1}\right\| \leq(\operatorname{Re} \zeta)^{-1}, \operatorname{Re} \zeta>0
$$

This concludes the proof corresponding to the operator $\tilde{L}$. The proof corresponding to the operator $\tilde{L}^{+}$is analogous. Consider the operator $\tilde{H}$. It is obvious that $\tilde{H}$ is a symmetric operator. Hence $\Theta(\tilde{H}) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Using (4.5) and arguing as above, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f, \tilde{H} f)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \geq \mu_{1}\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuing the used above line of reasoning and applying Theorem 3.2 [8, p.336], we see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{def}(\tilde{H}-\zeta)=0, \operatorname{Im} \zeta \neq 0  \tag{4.19}\\
\operatorname{def}(\tilde{H}+\zeta)=0,\left\|(\tilde{H}+\zeta)^{-1}\right\| \leq(\operatorname{Re} \zeta)^{-1}, \operatorname{Re} \zeta>0 \tag{4.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining (4.19) with Theorem 3.16 [8, p.340], we conclude that the operator $\tilde{H}$ is selfadjoint. Finally, note that in accordance with the definition, relation (4.20) implies that the operator $\tilde{H}$ is m-accretive. Since we already know that the operators $\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}^{+}, \tilde{H}$ are sectorial and m-accretive, then in accordance with the definition they are m -sectorial.

## 5. MAIN THEOREMS

In this section we need using the theory of sesquilinear forms. If it is not stated otherwise, we use the definitions and the notation of the monograph [8]. Consider the forms

$$
\begin{gather*}
t[u, v]=\int_{\Omega} a^{i j} D_{i} u \overline{D_{j} v} d Q+\int_{\Omega} \rho \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} u \bar{v} d Q, u, v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),  \tag{5.1}\\
t^{*}[u, v]:=\overline{t[v, u]}=\int_{\Omega} a^{i j} D_{j} u \overline{D_{i} v} d Q+\int_{\Omega} u \rho \overline{\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} v} d Q \\
\mathfrak{R e} t:=\frac{1}{2}\left(t+t^{*}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

For convenience, we use the shorthand notation $h:=\mathfrak{R e} t$.
Lemma 5.1. The form $t$ is a closed sectorial form, moreover $t=\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}$, where

$$
\mathfrak{f}[u, v]=(\tilde{L} u, v)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, u, v \in \mathrm{D}(\tilde{L})
$$

Proof. First we shall show that the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq|t[f]| \leq C_{1}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}, f \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.6), Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq \operatorname{Re} t[f] \leq|t[f]|, f \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (4.10), (4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|t[f]| \leq\left|\left(a^{i j} D_{i} f, D_{j} f\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}\right|+\left|\left(\rho \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f, f\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}\right| \leq C_{1}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}, f \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \subset \mathrm{D}(\tilde{t})$. If $f \in \mathrm{D}(\tilde{t})$, then in accordance with the definition, there exists a sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \subset \mathrm{D}(t), f_{n} \rightarrow f$. Applying (5.2), we get $f_{n} \xrightarrow{H_{0}^{1}} f$. Since the space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is complete, then $\mathrm{D}(\tilde{t}) \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. It implies that $\mathrm{D}(\tilde{t})=\mathrm{D}(t)$. Hence $t$ is a closed form. The proof of the sectorial property contains in the proof of Theorem4.2. Let us prove that $t=\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}$. First, we shall show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{f}[u, v]=t[u, v], u, v \in \mathrm{D}(\mathfrak{f}) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using formula (4.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L u, v)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}=t[u, v], u, v \in \mathrm{D}(L) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we can rewrite relation (5.2) in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left|(L f, f)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}\right| \leq C_{1}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}, f \in \mathrm{D}(L) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $f \in \mathrm{D}(\tilde{L})$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \in \mathrm{D}(L), f_{n} \underset{L}{ } f$. Combining (5.7), (5.2), we obtain $f_{n} \underset{t}{\rightarrow} f$. These facts give us an opportunity to pass to the limit on the left and right side of (5.6). Thus, we obtain (5.5). Combining (5.5), (5.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq|\mathfrak{f}[f]| \leq C_{1}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}, f \in \mathrm{D}(\mathfrak{f}) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that by virtue of Theorem 4.2 the operator $\tilde{L}$ is sectorial, hence due to Theorem 1.27 [8, p.399] the form $\mathfrak{f}$ is closable. Using the facts established above, Theorem 1.17 [8, p.395], passing to the limit on the left and right side of inequality (5.5), we get

$$
\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}[u, v]=t[u, v], u, v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

This concludes the proof.
Lemma 5.2. The form $h$ is a closed symmetric sectorial form, moreover $h=\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}$, where

$$
\mathfrak{k}[u, v]=(\tilde{H} u, v)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, u, v \in \mathrm{D}(\tilde{H})
$$

Proof. To prove the symmetric property (see(1.5) [8, p.387]) of the form $h$, it is sufficient to note that

$$
h[u, v]=\frac{1}{2}(t[u, v]+\overline{t[v, u]})=\frac{1}{2} \overline{(t[v, u]+\overline{t[u, v]})}=\overline{h[v, u]}, u, v \in \mathrm{D}(h)
$$

Obviously, we have $h[f]=\operatorname{Re} t[f]$. Hence applying (5.3), (5.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \leq h[f] \leq C_{1}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}, f \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Arguing as above, using (5.9), it is easy to prove that $\mathrm{D}(\tilde{h})=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Hence the form $h$ is a closed form. The proof of the sectorial property of the form $h$ contains in the proof of Theorem4.2, Let us prove that $h=\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}$. We shall show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{k}[u, v]=h[u, v], u, v \in \mathrm{D}(\mathfrak{k}) . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying 2.5. Lemma 2.6, we have

$$
\left(\rho \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} f, g\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}=\left(f, \mathfrak{D}_{d-}^{\alpha} \rho g\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, f, g \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Combining this fact with formula (4.3), it is not hard to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(H u, v)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}=h[u, v], u, v \in \mathrm{D}(H) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.11), we can rewrite estimate (5.9) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \leq(H f, f)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leq C_{1}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}, f \in \mathrm{D}(H) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in consequence of Remark 4.1 the operator $H$ is closeable. Assume that $f \in \mathrm{D}(\tilde{H})$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \subset \mathrm{D}(H), f_{n} \underset{H}{\rightarrow} f$. Combining (5.12), (5.9), we obtain $f_{n} \rightarrow f$. Passing to the limit on the left and right side of (5.11), we get (5.10). Combining (5.10), (5.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \leq \mathfrak{k}[f] \leq C_{1}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}, f \in \mathrm{D}(\mathfrak{k}) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in consequence of Theorem 4.2 the operator $\tilde{H}$ is sectorial. Hence by virtue of Theorem 1.27 [8, p.399] the form $\mathfrak{k}$ is closable. Using the proven above facts, Theorem 1.17 [8, p.395], passing to the limits on the left and right side of inequality (5.10), we get

$$
\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}[u, v]=h[u, v], u, v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

This completes the proof.
Theorem 5.3. The operator $\tilde{H}$ has a compact resolvent, the following estimate holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}\left(L_{0}\right) \leq \lambda_{n}(\tilde{H}) \leq \lambda_{n}\left(L_{1}\right), n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{n}\left(L_{k}\right), k=0,1$ are respectively the eigenvalues of the following operators with real constant coefficients

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{k} f=-a_{k}^{i j} D_{j} D_{i} f+\rho_{k} f, \mathrm{D}\left(L_{k}\right)=\mathrm{D}(L) \\
a_{k}^{i j} \xi_{i} \xi_{j}>0, \rho_{k}>0 \tag{5.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. First, we shall prove the following propositions
i) The operators $\tilde{H}, L_{k}$ are positive-definite. Using the fact that the operator $H$ is selfadjoint, relation (4.18), we conclude that the operator $\tilde{H}$ is positive-definite. Using the definition, we can easily prove that the operators $L_{k}$ are positive-definite. ii) The space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ coincides with the energetic spaces $\mathfrak{H}_{\tilde{H}}, \mathfrak{H}_{L_{k}}$ as a set of elements. Using Lemma 5.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathfrak{H}_{\tilde{H}}}=\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}[f]=h[f], f \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the space $\mathfrak{H}_{\tilde{H}}$ coincides with $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ as a set of elements. Using this fact, we obtain the coincidence of the spaces $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{L_{k}}$ as the particular case.
iii) We have the following estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathfrak{H}_{L_{0}}} \leq\|f\|_{\mathfrak{H}_{\tilde{H}}} \leq\|f\|_{\mathfrak{H}_{L_{1}}}, f \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain the equivalence of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{H_{0}^{1}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H}_{L_{k}}}$ as the particular case of relation (5.2). It is obvious that there exist such operators $L_{k}$ that the next inequalities hold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathfrak{H}_{L_{0}}} \leq C_{0}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}, C_{1}\|f\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \leq\|f\|_{\mathfrak{H}_{L_{1}}}, f \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.9), (5.16), (5.18), we get (5.17).
Now we can prove the proposal of this theorem. Note that the operators $\tilde{H}, L_{k}$ are positive-definite, the norms $\|\cdot\|_{H_{0}^{1}},\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H}_{L_{k}}},\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H}_{\tilde{H}}}$ are equivalent. Applying the Rellich-Kondrashov theorem, we have that the energetic spaces $\mathfrak{H}_{\tilde{H}}, \mathfrak{H}_{L_{k}}$ are compactly embedded into $L_{2}(\Omega)$. Using Theorem 3 [17, p.216], we obtain the fact that the operators $L_{0}, L_{1}, \tilde{H}$ have a discrete spectrum. Taking into account (i),(ii),(iii), in accordance with the definition [17, p.225], we have

$$
L_{0} \leq \tilde{H} \leq L_{1}
$$

Applying Theorem 1 [17, p.225], we obtain (5.14). Note that by virtue of Theorem 4.3 the operator $\tilde{H}$ is m-accretive. Hence $0 \in P(\tilde{H})$. Due to Theorem 5 [17, p.222] the operator $\tilde{H}$ has a compact resolvent at the point zero. Applying Theorem 6.29 [8, p.237], we conclude that the operator $\tilde{H}$ has a compact resolvent.

Theorem 5.4. Operator $\tilde{L}$ has a compact resolvent, discrete spectrum.
Proof. Note that in accordance with Theorem4.3 the operators $\tilde{L}, \tilde{H}$ are m-sectorial, the operator $\tilde{H}$ is self-adjoint. Applying Lemma 5.1] Lemma 5.2, Theorem 2.9 [8, p.409], we get $T_{t}=\tilde{L}, T_{h}=\tilde{H}$, where $T_{t}, T_{h}$ are the Fridrichs extensions of the operators $\tilde{L}, \tilde{H}$ (see [8, p.409]) respectively. Since in accordance with the definition [8, p.424] the operator $\tilde{H}$ is a real part of the operator $\tilde{L}$, then due to Theorem 5.3,

Theorem 3.3 [8, p.424] the operator $\tilde{L}$ has a compact resolvent. Applying Theorem 6.29 [8, p.237], we conclude that the operator $\tilde{L}$ has a discrete spectrum.

Remark 5.5. It can easily be checked that the Kypriaynov operator is reduced to the Marchaud operator in the one-dimensional case. At the same time, the results of this work are only true for the dimensions $n \geq 2$. However, using Corollary 1 [14, which establishes the strictly accretive property of the Marchaud operator, we can apply the obtained technique to the one-dimensional case.

## 6. Conclusions

The paper presents the results obtained in the spectral theory of fractional differential operators. A number of propositions of independent interest in the fractional calculus theory are proved, the new concept of a multidimensional directional fractional integral is introduced. The sufficient conditions of the representability by the directional fractional integral are formulated. In particular, the inclusion of the Sobolev space to the class of functions that are representable by the directional fractional integral is established. Note that the technique of the proofs, which is analogous to the one-dimensional case, is of particular interest. It should be noted that the extension of the Kipriyanov fractional differential operator is obtained, the adjoint operator is found, and the strictly accretive property is proved. These all create a complete description reflecting qualitative properties of fractional differential operators. As the main results, the following theorems establishing the properties of an uniformly elliptic operator with the Kipriyanov fractional derivative in the final term are proved: the theorem on the strictly accretive property, the theorem on the sectorial property, the theorem on the m-accretive property, the theorem establishing a two-sided estimate for the eigenvalues and discreteness of the spectrum of the real component. Using the sesquilinear forms theory, we obtained the major theoretical results. We consider the proofs corresponding to the multidimensional case, however the reduction to the one-dimensional case is possible. For instance, the one-dimensional case is described in the paper [13]. We also note that the results in this direction can be obtained for the real axis. It is worth noticing that the application of the sesquilinear forms theory, as a tool to study second order differential operators with a fractional derivative in the final term, gives an opportunity to analyze the major role of the senior term in the functional properties of the operator. This technique is novel and can be used for studying the spectrum of perturbed fractional differential operators. Therefore, the idea of the proof may be of interest regardless of the results.
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