

GALOIS GROUPS OF SOME ITERATED POLYNOMIALS OVER CYCLOTOMIC EXTENSIONS

WADE HINDES

ABSTRACT. Let $\varphi_p(z) = (z - 1)^p + 2 - \zeta_p$, where $\zeta_p \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a primitive p -th root of unity for some odd prime p . Building on previous work, we show that the n -th iterate $\varphi_p^n(z)$ has Galois group $[C_p]^n$, an iterated wreath product of cyclic groups, whenever p is not a Wieferich prime.

The study of Galois groups of iterates of rational functions has gained much interest in recent years; see, for instance, [2, 3, 4, 8]. However, there are very few explicit examples known when the degree of the map is at least three. In this note, we build upon recent work in [1] and prove the following:

Theorem 1. *Let p be an odd prime, let ζ_p be a primitive p th root of unity, and let*

$$\varphi_p(z) = (z - 1)^p + 2 - \zeta_p.$$

If p is not a Wieferich prime, i.e. $2^{p-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$, then

$$\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}(\varphi^n) = [C_p]^n \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Remark 1. The only known Wieferich primes are 1093 and 3511. Moreover, there are at least $O(\log X)$ non-Wieferich primes less than X , assuming the abc-conjecture [7].

To see how the Galois group of φ_p^n , the n -th iterate of φ_p , naturally sits inside $[C_p]^n$, see [5, Lemma 3.3]. The key new fact in this note, which does not appear in [1], is the following norm calculation:

Lemma 2. *Let p be an odd prime, let ζ_p be a primitive p th root of unity, and let*

$$\varphi_p(z) = (z - 1)^p + 2 - \zeta_p.$$

If $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ denotes the absolute norm, then

$$\boxed{N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(\varphi_p^n(1)) \equiv 2^p - 1 \pmod{p^2} \text{ for all } n \geq 1.}$$

Proof. We fix some notation. Let $G_p = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ and let \mathfrak{p} be the principal ideal generated by $1 - \zeta_p$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. It is well known that $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ is the unique prime lying over p and that $p\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathfrak{p}^{p-1}$ is totally ramified. These and other standard facts regarding cyclotomic fields can be found in [6, §2.9].

From here, Lemma 2 then follows from a more general fact:

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ implies } N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(\varphi_p(x)) \equiv 2^p - 1 \pmod{p^2}.$$

To see this, note that if $x \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, then $(x - 1)^p$ is in the ideal $p \cdot \mathfrak{p}$, which is Galois invariant. Hence, for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$ there exists $b_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ such that

$$\sigma((x - 1)^p) := y_\sigma = p \cdot (1 - \zeta_p) \cdot b_\sigma.$$

Writing $a_\sigma = \sigma(2 - \zeta_p)$ for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$, we see that

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(\varphi_p(x)) = \prod_{\sigma \in G_p} (y_\sigma + a_\sigma).$$

However, expanding out this product of sums, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(\varphi_p(x)) &\equiv \sum_{\sigma \in G_p} y_\sigma \cdot \left(\prod_{\tau \neq \sigma} a_\tau \right) + \prod_{\sigma \in G_p} a_\sigma \\ &\equiv \sum_{\sigma \in G_p} y_\sigma \cdot \left(\prod_{\tau \neq \sigma} a_\tau \right) + N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(2 - \zeta) \pmod{p^2}, \end{aligned}$$

since any term containing more than a single y_σ , each of which is already divisible by p , must vanish $\pmod{p^2}$. On the other hand, substituting the relation $y_\sigma = p \cdot (1 - \zeta_p) \cdot b_\sigma$, we see that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in G_p} y_\sigma \cdot \left(\prod_{\tau \neq \sigma} a_\tau \right) = p \cdot \left[(1 - \zeta_p) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in G_p} b_\sigma \cdot \left(\prod_{\tau \neq \sigma} a_\tau \right) \right) \right].$$

In particular,

$$(1) \quad (1 - \zeta_p) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in G_p} b_\sigma \cdot \left(\prod_{\tau \neq \sigma} a_\tau \right) \right)$$

is an element of \mathfrak{p} . Conversely,

$$(1 - \zeta_p) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in G_p} b_\sigma \cdot \left(\prod_{\tau \neq \sigma} a_\tau \right) \right) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\sigma \in G_p} y_\sigma \cdot \left(\prod_{\tau \neq \sigma} a_\tau \right)$$

is Galois invariant. Hence, (1) is in $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the sum of the terms containing a single y_σ must also vanish $\pmod{p^2}$, and we deduce that

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(\varphi_p(x)) \equiv N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(2 - \zeta_p) \pmod{p^2}.$$

However, if $\Phi_p(z) = z^{p-1} + z^{p-2} + \dots + z + 1$ denotes the p th cyclotomic polynomial, then

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(2 - \zeta_p) = \prod_{\sigma \in G_p} (2 - \sigma(\zeta_p)) = \Phi_p(2) = 2^{p-1} + 2^{p-2} + \dots + 2 + 1 = 2^p - 1,$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 2. □

We now use a slight generalization of [2, Theorem 25]; for more details, see [1, §2].

Lemma 3. *Let p be an odd prime, let ζ_p be a primitive p th root of unity, and let*

$$\varphi_p(z) = (z - 1)^p + 2 - \zeta_p.$$

Fix $n \geq 1$. If for all $1 \leq m \leq n$ there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}_m \subset \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ such that

$$v_{\mathfrak{q}_m}(\varphi_p^m(1)) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

then $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}(\varphi^n) = [C_p]^n$.

Proof. Note first that $\varphi_p^n(z)$ is Eisenstein at \mathfrak{p} : the constant term of $\varphi_p^n(z)$ is $1 - \zeta_p$, and all intermediate terms are divisible by p (and hence divisible by \mathfrak{p} also). In particular, $\varphi_p^n(z)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Furthermore, note that the principal ideals in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ generated by $\varphi_p^n(1)$ and $\varphi_p^m(1)$ are coprime for all $n \neq m$: if $\varphi_p^n(1) \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $\varphi_p^m(1) \in \mathfrak{q}$ for some prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ and some $n > m$, then $\varphi_p^{n-m}(0) \in \mathfrak{q}$:

$$\varphi_p^{n-m}(0) \equiv \varphi_p^{n-m}(\varphi_p^m(1)) \equiv \varphi_p^n(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

However, $\varphi_p^s(0) = 1 - \zeta_p$ for all $s \geq 1$, so that $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}$. On the other hand, it is easy to check that $\varphi_p^t(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $t \geq 0$, contradicting our assumption that $\varphi_p^n(1) \in \mathfrak{q}$ (or that $\varphi_p^m(1) \in \mathfrak{q}$).

In particular, Lemma 3 follows immediately from [2, Theorem 4.3], whose statement and proof can be translated verbatim to unicritical polynomials, i.e., polynomials of the form $f(z) = (z - \gamma)^d + c$; see [1, §2]. □

Finally, we note the following characterization of Wieferich primes:

Lemma 4. *Let p be an odd prime. Then p is Wieferich if and only if $2^p - 1$ is a p -th power modulo p^2 .*

Proof. If p is Wieferich, i.e. $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$, then $2^p - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ is a p -th power modulo p^2 . On the other hand, suppose that $2^p - 1 \equiv x^p \pmod{p^2}$. Reducing modulo p , we see that $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Write $x \equiv 1 + tp \pmod{p^2}$ for some $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Then

$$x^p = 1 + p^2 t + \sum_{i=2}^p \binom{p}{i} (tp)^i \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Hence, $2^p - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$, from which it follows that $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$. □

We now use these auxiliary facts to prove our main result.

(*Proof of Theorem 1*). Suppose that $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}(\varphi^n) \neq [C_p]^n$ for some iterate $n \geq 1$. Then Lemma 3 implies that there exists some $m \leq n$, such that:

$$(2) \quad v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\varphi_p^m(1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad \text{for all primes } \mathfrak{q} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p].$$

Note first that $\varphi_p^m(1)$ cannot be a unit:

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(\varphi_p^m(1)) \equiv 2^p - 1 \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p^2}$$

by Lemma 2, Lemma 4, and the fact that p is not a Wieferich prime. From here, (2) implies the ideal equation:

$$\varphi_p^m(1) \cdot \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{q}_i^{e_i} = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{q}_i^{p \cdot r_i} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{q}_i^{r_i} \right)^p = (I)^p;$$

here the \mathfrak{q}_i are prime ideals and $I = \prod \mathfrak{q}_i^{r_i}$. We now take the ideal norm [6, §3.5] of the equation above and obtain

$$|N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(\varphi_p^m(1))| = N\left(\varphi_p^m(1) \cdot \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]\right) = N(I)^p.$$

In particular, $|N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(\varphi_p^m(1))| = \pm N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(\varphi_p^m(1))$ is a p th power. However, note that the \pm is irrelevant: since p is odd, the -1 can be absorbed into the p th power (if needed). Therefore, we deduce that

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(\varphi_p^m(1)) = y^p, \quad \text{for some } y \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

However, reducing this equation (mod p^2), we see that $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(\varphi_p^m(1)) \equiv y^p \pmod{p^2}$, a contradiction of Lemma 2, Lemma 4, and the fact that p is not a Wieferich prime. \square

Although our proof breaks down, it is likely that the Galois groups of iterates of φ_p are still as large as possible when p is a Wieferich prime: otherwise, $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(\varphi_p^m(1))$ is a p th power (in \mathbb{Z} , not just in $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$) for some $m \geq 1$, which is doubtful. With this in mind, we make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5. *Let p be an odd prime and let $\varphi_p(z) = (z - 1)^p + 2 - \zeta_p$ be as above. Then $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}(\varphi_p^n) = [C_p]^n$ for all $n \geq 1$;*

Acknowledgements: This research began at the May 2016 AIM workshop titled “The Galois theory of orbits in arithmetic dynamics,” and I thank AIM and the organizers of this workshop. I also thank Michael Bush and Nicole Looper for their companion work in [1]. Finally, I thank the anonymous referee for their helpful comments and for pointing out Lemma 4.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bush, W. Hindes and N. Looper, Galois groups of iterates of some unicritical polynomials, *Acta Arith.*, to appear.
- [2] S. Hamblen, R. Jones and K. Madhu, The density of primes in orbits of $z^d + c$, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 7 (2015): 1924-1958.
- [3] R. Jones, Galois representations from pre-image trees: an arboreal survey, *Pub. Math. Besançon* (2013): 107-136.
- [4] R. Jones and M. Manes, Galois theory of quadratic rational functions, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 89.1 (2014): 173-213.
- [5] J. Juul, P. Kurlberg, K. Madhu, and T. Tucker, Wreath products and proportions of periodic points, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 13 (2016): 3944-3969.
- [6] P. Samuel, *Algebraic Theory of Numbers*: Translated from the French by Allan J. Silberger, Courier Corporation, 2013.
- [7] J. Silverman, Wieferich’s criterion and the abc-conjecture, *Journal of Number Theory* 30.2 (1988): 226-237.
- [8] M. Stoll, Galois groups over \mathbb{Q} of some iterated polynomials. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 59.3 (1992): 239-244.