

Center conditions: pull-back of differential equations ¹

YADOLLAH ZARE

Abstract

The space of polynomial differential equations of a fixed degree with a center singularity has many irreducible components. We prove that pull-back differential equations form an irreducible component of such a space. The method used in this article is inspired by Ilyashenko and Movasati's method. The main concepts are the Picard-Lefschetz theory of a polynomial in two variables with complex coefficients, the Dynkin diagram of the polynomial and the iterated integral.

0 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{C}[x, y]_{\leq d}$ be the set of polynomials in the two variables x, y , and coefficients in \mathbb{C} of degree less than or equal to $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The space of algebraic foliations

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\omega) \quad , \quad \omega \in \Omega_d^1,$$

where

$$\Omega_d^1 := \{P(x, y)dy - Q(x, y)dx \mid P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{\leq d}\},$$

is the projectivization of the vector space Ω_d^1 , and it is denoted by $\mathcal{F}(d)$. The maximum degree of the polynomials P and Q is known as the (affine) degree of \mathcal{F} . The set of singularities of the foliation \mathcal{F} is $V(P) \cap V(Q)$. If $(P_x Q_y - P_y Q_x)(p) \neq 0$, for an isolated singularity p of \mathcal{F} , then p is called *reduced* singularity. If there is a holomorphic coordinate system (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) in a neighborhood of a reduced singularity p with $\tilde{x}(p) = 0$, $\tilde{y}(p) = 0$ such that in this coordinate system

$$\omega \wedge d(\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{y}^2) = 0,$$

then the point p is called a *center singularity*. The closure of the set of algebraic foliations of fixed degree d with at least one center in $\mathcal{F}(d)$, which is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(d)$, is an algebraic subset of $\mathcal{F}(d)$ (see for instance, [14] and [12]). Identifying irreducible components of $\mathcal{M}(d)$ is the center problem in the context of polynomial differential equations in the real plane. The complete classification of irreducible components of $\mathcal{M}(2)$ is done by H. Dulac in [5] (see also [3, p.601]). This classification has applications to Hilbert's 16th problem. Ilyashenko in [10], by computing tangent space at some smooth points of the space of Hamiltonian foliations $\mathcal{F}(df)$, $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{\leq d+1}$, proved the following:

Theorem 0.1. *The space of Hamiltonian foliations of degree d forms an irreducible component of $\mathcal{M}(d)$.*

H. Movasati in [16], by computing the tangent cone of $\mathcal{M}(d)$ at a special point proved the following:

¹ Keywords: Holomorphic foliations, Picard-Lefschetz theory

Theorem 0.2. *The space of $\mathcal{L}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_s)$ of logarithmic foliations*

$$\mathcal{F}(\omega) \quad \omega = f_1 \cdots f_s \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i \frac{df_i}{f_i},$$

$$f_i \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{\leq d_i}, \quad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s, \quad d = \sum_{i=1}^s d_i - 1$$

is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{M}(d)$.

Let $\mathcal{P}(a, n)$ be the set of foliation

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{F}(F^*(\omega)) \quad \text{where} \quad \omega \in \Omega_a^1,$$

$$F : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \text{ is defined by } (x, y) \rightarrow (R, S) \text{ and } R, S \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{\leq n}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

For a generic morphism F and foliation \mathcal{F} , there exists a leaf of \mathcal{F} such that it has an intersection with $F(D)$ at some points with multiplicity 2, where D is the curve $V(R_x S_y - R_y S_x)$. Therefore, $F^*(\mathcal{F})$ has a center singularity. In this paper we are going to show the following;

Theorem 0.3. *The space $\mathcal{P}(a, n)$ of pull-back differential equations*

$$\mathcal{F}(\omega), \quad \omega = P(R, S)dS - Q(R, S)dR,$$

where

$$R, S \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{\leq n}, \quad P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{\leq a}, \quad d = an + n - 1, \quad n \geq 2,$$

forms an irreducible component of $\mathcal{M}(d)$.

This paper is inspired by Ilyashenko's paper [10] and H. Movasati's paper [16]. A sketch of our proof is the following:

Consider a generic F and a generic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ of degree $a + 1$. It is clear that the point $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}(d(f \circ F))$ is in the intersection of $\mathcal{H}(an + n - 1)$ and $\mathcal{P}(a, n)$ of the algebraic set $\mathcal{M}(an + n - 1)$. It is needed to show that the tangent cone of $\mathcal{M}(an + n - 1)$ at the point \mathcal{F} is equal to $T_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{H}(an + n - 1) \cup T_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{P}(a, n)$, in order to prove Theorem 0.3. This paper is organized as follows:

In §1, by taking a deformation $d(f \circ F) + \epsilon^k \omega_k + \epsilon^{k+1} \omega_{k+1} + \dots + \epsilon^{2k} \omega_{2k} + h.o.t$, of $d(f \circ F)$, where $\omega_k \neq 0$, and using Petrov module concept, we show that there is a polynomial 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1$ of degree a and a polynomial $K \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ such that ω_k is of the form $F^*(\alpha) + dK$.

In §2, we calculate the explicit form of dK , by using the iterated integral and Melnikov function M_{2k} . This gives us the proof of Theorem 0.3.

In §3, we see some applications of theorem 0.3. We find a maximum lower bound for the cyclicity of a tangency vanishing cycle in a deformation \mathcal{F} inside $\mathcal{F}(d)$, which is dependent on a factorization of d into a product of two natural numbers.

In §4, we study the action of the monodromy group on a tangency vanishing cycle in a regular fiber $f \circ F$.

1 Pull-back of differential equations

Inspired by H. Movasati's method (see [16]), we will calculate the tangent cone of $\mathcal{M}(n(a+1) - 1)$ at a point in the intersection of Hamiltonian and pull-back algebraic differential equations. Similar to [16] and [10] our methods are based on Picard-Lefschetz theory for a foliations with a first integral.

Let $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}(\omega) \in \mathcal{F}(a)$ be a foliation of degree a , and $F = (R, S) : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a morphism, where $R, S \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{\leq n}$ and $n \geq 2$. If a point q is a tangent point of $F(D)$ and a leaf of the foliation \mathcal{F} , then a point in $F^{-1}(q)$ becomes a center singularity and it is called a *tangency* critical point of the foliation $F^*(\mathcal{F})$.

Theorem 1.1. *Consider the deformation $\mathcal{F}_\epsilon := \mathcal{F}(\omega_\epsilon)$*

$$\omega_\epsilon = F^*(\omega) + \epsilon\omega_1 + \dots, \quad \deg(\mathcal{F}_\epsilon) \leq d, \quad d = an + n - 1, \quad n \geq 2$$

of the foliation $F^(\mathcal{F}(\omega))$. Let p be one of the tangency critical points of foliation $F^*(\mathcal{F}(\omega))$. For a generic ² choice of ω and F , if the deformed foliation \mathcal{F}_ϵ for all small ϵ has a center singularity near p , then \mathcal{F}_ϵ is also a pull-back foliation. More precisely, there is a foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}(a)$ and a polynomial map $F_\epsilon = (R_\epsilon, S_\epsilon) : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ $R_\epsilon, S_\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{\leq n}$ such that*

$$F_\epsilon^* \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\epsilon = \mathcal{F}_\epsilon, \quad F_0 = F, \quad \mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}.$$

Note that Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to Theorem 0.3. This theorem is proved in the section 2.1.

1.1 Tangent space

The set $\mathcal{P}(a, n)$ is an irreducible algebraic subset of $\mathcal{M}(an+n-1)$ (by taking the coefficient of the polynomials as coordinates of the map from the space of polynomials with degree $an+n-1$ to the projective space). We are going to show that $\mathcal{P}(a, n)$ is also a component of $\mathcal{M}(an+n-1)$. Let us take a point \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{P}(a, n)$, then make a deformation $\mathcal{F}_\epsilon \in \mathcal{P}(a, n)$ and calculate the tangent vector space of $\mathcal{P}(a, n)$ at \mathcal{F} :

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} F_\epsilon^*(\omega_\epsilon) &= (F + \epsilon F_1)^*(\omega + \epsilon\alpha_1) + O(\epsilon^2) \\ &= F^*(\omega) + \epsilon W + O(\epsilon^2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W &= P(R, S)dS_1 - Q(R, S)dR_1 + \\ &R_1\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}(R, S)dS - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}(R, S)dR\right) + S_1\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial y}(R, S)dS - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}(R, S)dR\right) + F^*(\alpha_1). \end{aligned}$$

For a smooth point \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{P}(a, n)$, the tangent space of $\mathcal{P}(a, n)$ at \mathcal{F} is just the set of all vectors W , which is contained in the tangent space of $\mathcal{M}(d)$ at \mathcal{F} , and in order to prove our main theorem it is enough to prove that the equality happens. Now, we are going to compute the tangent cone of $\mathcal{M}(an+n-1)$ at a point in the intersection of Hamiltonian component and the set $\mathcal{P}(a, n)$.

²By generic we mean always a non-empty Zariski open subset of the ambient space.

1.2 A foliation in the intersection of two algebraic sets

A function $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called Morse if f has only non-degenerate critical points with distinct critical values. Let $F := \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be defined by

$$(3) \quad (x, y) \mapsto (R(x), S(y)) := \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (x - t_i), \prod_{j=1}^n (y - t'_j) \right),$$

where $t_i, t'_j \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$, R and S are Morse functions. Let g and h be two polynomials of degree $a + 1$ defined by

$$(4) \quad g(x) := \prod_{i=1}^{a+1} (x - s_i), \quad \text{and} \quad h(y) := \prod_{j=1}^{a+1} (y - s'_j),$$

and assume that they meet the following conditions:

1. For every i and j the roots s_i, s'_j are positive real numbers.
2. Both equations $R(x) = s_i$ and $S(y) = s'_j$ have n real roots.
3. The functions g, h are *Morse* polynomials and $g \circ R$ and $h \circ S$ have only non-degenerate critical points with simple roots.
4. If p is a critical point of R (resp. S) and $q \in R^{-1}(q_1)$ (resp. $q \in S^{-1}(q_1)$) where q_1 is a critical point of g (resp. h), then $|g \circ R(p)| > |g \circ R(q)|$ (resp. $|h \circ S(p)| > |h \circ S(q)|$)³. This means that the critical values of g are more close to zero than the critical values of R . In fact, by moving the roots of g and h on the real line this is the assumable definition.

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{\leq a+1}$ be defined by

$$(5) \quad f(x, y) := g(x) + h(y).$$

We can assume that the intersection of the set of the critical values of $g \circ R$ and $h \circ S$ is empty. Note that all the singularities of the foliation \mathcal{F}_0 are real center type, indeed, they divide into three groups:

1. Pull-back of centers of $\mathcal{F}(df)$ under F ,
2. Tangency critical points which are the preimage of the tangent points of leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F}(df)$ with $F(V(R_x \cdot S_y))$,
3. The points in $V(R_x) \cap V(S_y)$.

Let $X(a, n)$ be the irreducible component of $\mathcal{M}(an + n - 1)$ containing $\mathcal{P}(a, n)$. Consider the deformation

$$(6) \quad \omega_\epsilon : d(f \circ F) + \omega_k \epsilon^k + \omega_{k+1} \epsilon^{k+1} + \dots, \quad \deg(\omega_i) \leq d,$$

of $d(f \circ F)$. Assume that $\mathcal{F}_\epsilon := \mathcal{F}(\omega_\epsilon)$ belongs to $X(a, n)$. This implies that \mathcal{F}_ϵ always has a center singularity near a fixed tangency center p of \mathcal{F}_0 . The set of all differential forms

³This condition is needed for computation of the intersection form of vanishing cycles in Theorem 4.7

ω_k is the tangent cone of $M(an + n - 1)$ at \mathcal{F} . Note that taking $k = 1$ is not sufficient for calculating the tangent cone.

Let δ_t be a continuous family of vanishing cycles around a tangency critical point p and Σ be a transverse section to \mathcal{F} at some point of δ_t . We are able to write the Taylor expansion of the deformed holonomy $h_\epsilon(t)$

$$h_\epsilon(t) - t = M_1(t)\epsilon + M_2\epsilon^2 + \cdots + M_i(t)\epsilon^i + \cdots .$$

Here $M_i(t)$ is the i -th Melnikov function of the deformation. Since $\omega_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, then

$$M_1 = M_2 = \cdots = M_{k-1} = 0.$$

If Σ is parametrized by the image of f , i.e., $t = f(z)$, $z \in \Sigma$ then

$$(7) \quad M_k(t) = - \int_{\delta_t} \omega_k .$$

See for instance [6]. Since ω_ϵ is a deformation of $d(f \circ F)$ then it has center near tangency critical point p . Therefore h_ϵ is identity and $M_k(t) = 0$. In fact, if $\lambda \in \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus C, b)$ is a monodromy then by using analytic continuation we can have

$$\int_{\lambda(\delta_t)} \omega_k = 0.$$

Here, C is the set of critical values of the function $f \circ F$.

Theorem 1.2. *The morphism $F_* : H_1((f \circ F)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective and $\ker(F_*)$ is a group generated by the action monodromy group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus C, b)$ on a vanishing cycle around a tangency point.*

We will prove this theorem at the end of §4, see Theorem 4.10.

1.3 Brieskorn/Petrov modules

Consider the Brieskorn Modules/Petrov module

$$H_f := \frac{\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2}^1}{df \wedge \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2}^0 + d\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2}^0} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{f \circ F} := \frac{\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2}^1}{d(f \circ F) \wedge \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2}^0 + d\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2}^0}$$

where H_f and $H_{f \circ F}$ are $\mathbb{C}[s]$ -module and $\mathbb{C}[s']$ -module respectively (here $s = f$ and $s' = f \circ F$), and also $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2}^i$, $i = 0, 1$ are the set of polynomial differential forms in \mathbb{C}^2 .

Definition 1.1. *A polynomial $l \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ of degree d with homogeneous leading part l_d is called transversal to infinity, if l_d factors out as the product of d pairwise different linear forms.*

Consider the Milnor module

$$V_d = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x, y]}{\langle (l_d)_x, (l_d)_y \rangle},$$

with the basis $\{g_\beta | \beta \in I_l\}$ where $g_\beta = x^{\beta_1}y^{\beta_2}$ and $I_l = \{\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2) | \text{for some } 0 \leq \beta_1 + \beta_2 \leq 2d - 4\}$ of cardinality $(d - 1)^2$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} A_\beta &:= \frac{\beta_1 + 1}{d} + \frac{\beta_2 + 1}{d}, \\ \eta &:= xdy - ydx, \\ \eta_\beta &:= g_\beta \eta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $l(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ be a polynomial transversal to infinity of degree d . The $\mathbb{C}[l]$ -module H_l is free and η_β , where $\beta \in I_l$, forms a basis of H_l . Furthermore, every $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2}^1$ can be written*

$$(8) \quad \omega = \sum_{\beta} h_\beta(l) \eta_\beta + dl \wedge \zeta_1 + d\zeta_2,$$

$$h_\beta \in \mathbb{C}[l], \quad \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{C}[x, y], \quad \deg(h_\beta) \leq \frac{\deg(\omega)}{d} - A_\beta.$$

See e.g. [15, Theo. 10.9.1.] and [9].

Proposition 1.1. *$F^* : H_f \rightarrow H_{f \circ F}$ is an injective map of $\mathbb{C}[s]$ -modules. Pull-backs of η_β for all $\beta \in I_f$ are independent in $H_{f \circ F}$ under the map F^* and can be extended to a basis of $H_{f \circ F}$.*

Proof. By using Theorem 1.3 we can write

$$F^*(\eta_\beta) = R^{\beta_1} S^{\beta_2} (RdS - SdR) = \sum_{0 \leq i, j \leq an+n-1} P_{ij}(s') \bar{\eta}_{ij},$$

where $\eta_\beta = x^{\beta_1}y^{\beta_2}(ydx - xdy)$. We are going to show that the functions $P_{ij}(s') \in \mathbb{C}[s']$ are constant, where $s' = f \circ F$. According to Theorem 1.3 all of the coefficients $P_{ij}(s')$ are of degree $\frac{n(i+j)+2n}{n(a+1)} - A_\beta$, but $n(a+1) > n(i+j) + 2n$ which implies P_{ij} is constant for all i, j . It is clear that the map $F^* : H_f \rightarrow H_{f \circ F}$ is injective, because if $F^*(\sum h_\beta \eta_\beta) = 0$, then $\sum_\beta F^*(h_\beta) F^*(\eta_\beta) = \sum_\beta [F^*(h_\beta) \sum_{ij} c_{ij}^\beta \bar{\eta}_{ij}] = \sum_{ij} [\sum_\beta F^*(h_\beta) c_{ij}^\beta] \bar{\eta}_{ij} = 0$, c_{ij}^β are constant, and since $\bar{\eta}_{ij}$ forms a basis of $H_{f \circ F}$ thus $\sum_\beta F^*(h_\beta) c_{ij}^\beta = 0$ for all ij . This implies that $h_\beta = 0$ for all $\beta \in I_f$. Therefore $F^*(\eta_\beta)$ are linear independent because F^* is injective. In other words, according to above conditions $F^*(\eta_\beta)$ for all β can extend to a basis of $H_{f \circ F}$. \square

1.4 Relatively Exact 1-form

Definition 1.2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation in \mathbb{C}^2 . A meromorphic 1-form ω is called relatively exact modulo \mathcal{F} , if the restriction of ω to each leaf L of \mathcal{F} is exact, i.e. there is a meromorphic function f on L so that $\omega|_L = df$.*

One can check that a meromorphic 1-form ω is relatively exact modulo \mathcal{F} , if

$$\int_{\delta} \omega = 0,$$

for all closed cycles in the leaves of \mathcal{F} , where this integral is well-defined.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ be a polynomial with isolated critical points, and suppose that for every $t \in \mathbb{C}$ the fiber $f^{-1}(t) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ is connected. Every relatively exact polynomial 1-form ω modulo $\mathcal{F}(df)$ on \mathbb{C}^2 is of the form*

$$\omega = dg + pdf$$

where p, g are polynomials with degrees less than or equal to $\deg(\omega) - \deg(df)$ and $\deg(\omega)$, respectively.

See e.g. ([9, Theo. 1.2.]).

The above theorem is not true if we assume that some f -fibers are disconnected. P. Bonnet in [2] gives the example $f = x(xy + 1)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 having the disconnected fiber $f = 0$. The 1-form $y^2 dx + xy dy$ are relatively exact modulo df but it is not an exact form modulo df . Note that the hypothesis of connectedness of f -fibers is valid for a generic polynomial, it means that any generic polynomial has connected fibers.

1.5 Computing the Tangent Cone

Let F be a morphism from \mathbb{C}^2 into itself and f be a polynomial of degree $a + 1$ that are defined in (3) and (5) respectively. Consider the deformation $\mathcal{F}_\epsilon = \mathcal{F}(\omega_\epsilon)$ of $\mathcal{F}(d(f \circ F))$, where

$$\omega_\epsilon = d(f \circ F) + \epsilon^k \omega_k + \epsilon^{k+1} \omega_{k+1} + \dots \quad , \quad \deg(\omega_j) \leq an + n - 1.$$

Then from the equality (7) we have the following Theorem:

Theorem 1.4. *There exists a polynomial differential 1-form α_1 with $\deg(\alpha_1) \leq \deg(\omega_k)$ and a polynomial $K \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{\leq a+1}$ such that*

$$\omega_k = F^*(\alpha_1) + dK,$$

where $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is defined by $(x, y) \mapsto (R, S)$ as in (3).

Proof. For a regular value b of the function $f \circ F$, by using Theorem 1.2, $\int_\delta \omega_k = 0$ for all $\delta \in \ker(F_*)$. This implies that the linear map

$$F_* : H_1((f \circ F)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}),$$

is surjective. Then

$$F_b^* = H_{dR}^1(f^{-1}(b)) \rightarrow H_{dR}^1((f \circ F)^{-1}(b))$$

is injective. Therefore the linear map

$$H_1(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \delta \mapsto \int_\gamma \omega_k$$

for an element $\gamma \in F_*^{-1}(\delta)$, is well defined. By duality of de Rham cohomology and singular homology there is a differential form α_b in $f^{-1}(b)$ such that

$$\int_\gamma \omega_k = \int_\delta \alpha_b \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in F_*^{-1}(\delta).$$

By using Atiyah-Hodge theorem (see e.g. [19]) the form α_b can be taken algebraically. All these α_b 's give us a holomorphic global section α of cohomology bundle of f outside the critical values of f such that

$$\alpha|f^{-1}(t) = \alpha_t, \quad \alpha_t \in H_{dR}^1(f^{-1}(t)) \quad \text{where } t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{c_1, \dots, c_{a^2}\}.$$

We are going to show that it is a holomorphic global section in the whole \mathbb{C} . By the Theorem 1.3 we can write

$$\alpha = \sum_{\beta} h_{\beta} \eta_{\beta} \quad \text{where } h_{\beta} \text{ s are holomorphic in } \mathbb{C} \setminus \{c_1, \dots, c_{a^2}\}, \quad \beta = (i, j).$$

The Period matrix

$$\left[\int_{\delta_{c_k}} \eta_{\beta} \right]_{\mu \times \mu}$$

where δ_c is a vanishing cycle and $c \in C$, is invertible, where μ is the rank of $H_1(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$, (see e.g. [11, Prop. 26.44]). Therefore, the h_{β} 's coefficients are meromorphic functions on t , because

$$\begin{bmatrix} h_{\beta_1} \\ \vdots \\ h_{\beta_{\mu}} \end{bmatrix} = \left[\int_{\delta_{c_k}} \eta_{\beta} \right]_{\mu \times \mu}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \int_{\delta_{c_1}} \alpha \\ \vdots \\ \int_{\delta_{c_{\mu}}} \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

and by using [1, Ch 10, Theo. 10.7] each integral $\| \int_{\delta_{c_k}} \alpha \| \leq \text{const } \|t - c_k\|^{-N}$ for a natural number N and t close to singular value c_k . Thus all the elements of the matrices on the right hand side of the equality have finite growth at critical values. This implies that, there is a polynomial $P(s) \in \mathbb{C}[s]$ such that $P.\alpha$ is a holomorphic form. We can write $P.\alpha = \sum_{\beta} h'_{\beta} \eta_{\beta}$, then $F^*(P)\omega_k - F^*(P.\alpha) = 0$ in $H_{f \circ F}$. According to Proposition 1.1 the set of $F^*(\eta_{\beta})$ for all β can be extended to a basis of $H_{f \circ F}$. Therefore, we have

$$(9) \quad F^*(P)\omega_k = \sum_{\beta} F^*(P).b_{\beta}(s)F^*(\eta_{\beta}) + \sum_{\sigma} F^*(P)a_{\sigma}\tilde{\eta}_{\sigma}.$$

Since each element of $H_{f \circ F}$ can be written uniquely as a linear combination of the elements on this basis, then $a_{\sigma} = 0$ for all σ . In other words, $F^*(P).b_{\beta} = F^*(h'_{\beta})$, hence P divides h'_{β} . This implies that α is a holomorphic 1-form. By Theorem 4.5, the degree of h_{β} in the equation (9) is less than or equal to $\frac{\deg(\omega_k)}{as+s} - A_{\beta} < 1$, hence h_{β} are constant for all β . To find the form of ω_k we use the Proposition 1.2 and we conclude that $\int_{F^*(\delta)} \alpha = \int_{\delta} \omega_k$ for all cycles δ in the fibers of $f \circ F$. This implies that $\omega_k - F^*(\alpha)$ is relatively exact modulo $\mathcal{F}(d(f \circ F))$. Here by Proposition 1.2 there are polynomials K and A such that $\omega_k - F^*(\alpha) = dK + Ad(f \circ F)$. The fact that $\deg(\omega_k - F^*(\alpha)) \leq \deg(f \circ F) - 1$ implies $A \equiv 0$, so we get our desired equality. \square

The proof of the main theorem still is not finished. We have to prove that the polynomial K in the Theorem 1.4 is of the form of the equation (10). In order to reach this goal, we need to compute higher order Melnikov functions. This will be done in the next section.

2 Higher order Melnikov functions

L. Gavrilov in [8] has shown that the higher order Melnikov functions can be expressed in terms of iterated integrals. Basic properties of iterated integrals are established by A. N. Parsin in 1969, and a systematic approach to de Rham cohomology type theorems for iterated integrals was made by K. T. Chen around 1977. For further details on iterated integrals see [17, Ch 6] or [18]. In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we have to compute the higher order Melnikov function, and show that the polynomial K in Theorem 1.4 has the form of the equation (10).

Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a piecewise smooth path on \mathbb{C}^2 . Let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n$ be smooth 1-forms on \mathbb{C}^2 , $\gamma^*(\omega_i) = f_i(t)dt$ for the pull-back of the forms ω_i to the interval $[0, 1]$. Recall that the ordinary line integral given by

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega_1 = \int_{[0,1]} \gamma^*(\omega_1) = \int_0^1 f_1(t)dt$$

does not depend on the choice of parametrization of γ .

Definition 2.1. *Iterated integral of $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n$ along the path γ is defined by*

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega_1 \cdot \omega_2 \dots \omega_n = \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq 1} (f_1(t)dt_1 \dots f_n(t_n)dt_n).$$

We have for instance

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega_1 \cdot \omega_2 = \int_{\gamma} \omega_1 \int_{\gamma(0)}^{\gamma(t)} \omega_2.$$

Let $\omega_2 = dK$ be an exact form so we have

$$\int_l \omega_1 \cdot dK = \int_l \omega_1 \int_{l(0)}^{l(t)} dK = \int_l \omega_1 (K(l(t)) - K(l(0))) = \int_l K \omega_1 - K(l(0)) \int_l \omega_1.$$

Let us consider the deformation

$$\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon} : d(f \circ F) + \omega_k \epsilon^k + \omega_{k+1} \epsilon^{k+1} + \dots \quad \deg(\omega_i) \leq n(a+1) - 1$$

of $d(f \circ F)$. The deformed holonomy along the path δ_t in Σ is

$$h_{\epsilon}(t) - t = M_1(t)\epsilon + \dots + M_k(t)\epsilon^k + \dots + M_{2k}(t)\epsilon^{2k} + \dots$$

Since $\omega_i = 0$ where $0 < i < k-1$, then $M_1 = M_2 = \dots = M_{k-1} = 0$. By using Theorem 3.2 in [17] (Higher order approximation), we conclude that $M_i(t) = -\int_{\delta_t} \omega_i$ where $k \leq i < 2k$, and also

$$M_{2k}(t) := -\int_{\delta_t} (\omega_k \cdot (\frac{d\omega_k}{d(f \circ F)}) + \omega_{2k}).$$

Note that the vector W in equation (2) when $\omega = d(f \circ F)$ is of the form

$$(10) \quad W = d(R_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(R, S) + S_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(R, S)) + F^*(\alpha_1).$$

Lemma 2.1. *The polynomial K in Theorem 1.4 is of the form*

$$K = R_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(R, S) + S_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(R, S),$$

where $R_1, S_1 \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{\leq n}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\delta_t} \omega_k \cdot \left(\frac{d\omega_k}{d(f \circ F)} \right) &= \int_{\delta_t} (F^* \alpha_1 + dK) \cdot F^* \left(\frac{d\alpha_1}{df} \right) \\
&= \int_{\delta_t} F^* \left(\alpha_1 \cdot \frac{d\alpha_1}{df} \right) + \int_{\delta_t} (dK) \cdot F^* \left(\frac{d\alpha_1}{df} \right) \\
&= \int_{F^*(\delta_t)} \alpha_1 \cdot \frac{d\alpha_1}{df} + \int_{\delta_t} KF^* \left(\frac{d\alpha_1}{df} \right) - K(p_t) \int_{\delta_t} F^* \left(\frac{d\alpha_1}{df} \right) \\
&= \int_{\delta_t} KF^* \left(\frac{d\alpha_1}{df} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here p_t is a point belonging to the cycle δ_t . The last equality $\int_{F^*(\delta_t)} \alpha_1 \cdot \left(\frac{d\alpha_1}{df} \right)$ follows from the fact that $F^*(\delta)$ is homotopic to a constant path. The equality $M_{2k}(t) = 0$ and a similar argument as in Theorem 1.4 implies that

$$\omega_{2k} + KF^* \left(\frac{d\alpha_1}{df} \right) = F^*(\alpha_2) + dK_2 + A_j d(f \circ F),$$

and therefore,

$$KF^*(d\alpha_1) = -\omega_{2k} \wedge d(f \circ F) + F^*(\alpha_2) \wedge d(f \circ F) + dK_2 \wedge d(f \circ F).$$

Since $d\alpha_1$ is a 2-form like $h(x, y)dx \wedge dy$,

$$F^*(h(x, y)dx \wedge dy) = F^*(h) \cdot F^*(dx \wedge dy) = (h \circ F)(R_x S_y - R_y S_x)dx \wedge dy.$$

This implies that $Kh(F)(R_x S_y - R_y S_x)$ belongs to the ideal

$$I = \langle R_x f_x(R, S) + R_y f_y(R, S), S_x f_x(R, S) + S_y f_y(R, S) \rangle.$$

Consider the ideal $I_1 = \langle f_x(R, S), f_y(R, S) \rangle$ and $J = \langle h(F) \cdot (R_x S_y - R_y S_x) \rangle$. Then it is clear that $I \subset I_1$ and $I_1 \cdot J \subset I$, hence

$$I_1 \cdot J \subseteq I \cap J \subseteq I_1 \cap J.$$

Since $\int_{D_\delta} d\omega_k = \int_{D_\delta} F^*(d\alpha_1)$, where D_δ is a real disk with boundary δ which is vanishing cycle around the critical point p . If h vanishes at a point in $V(f_x) \cap V(f_y)$, then $\int_{D_\delta} F^*(d\alpha_1) = 0$. Let δ be a pull back vanishing cycle, since the action of monodromy group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus C, b)$ on δ generates all $H_1((f \circ F)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$, thus ω_k will be relatively exact form which in general is not. We can assume that the curve $V(h)$ does not pass any critical points of f . By our hypothesis F and f are generic, thus we have $V(J) \cap V(I_1) = \emptyset$. This means that $J + I_1 = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ thus we have

$$I_1 \cdot J = I_1 \cap J \quad \Rightarrow \quad I \cap J = I_1 \cdot J$$

which states that $K \in \langle f_x(R, S), f_y(R, S) \rangle$. Therefore we get the result

$$K = R_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(R, S) + S_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(R, S) \quad \text{where} \quad R_1, S_1 \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{\leq n}.$$

□

Corollary 2.1. *The tangent cone of $\mathcal{M}(as + s - 1)$ at the point $\mathcal{F}_0 := \mathcal{F}(d(f \circ F))$ is as the following:*

$$TC_{\mathcal{F}_0} \mathcal{M}(as + s - 1) = T_{\mathcal{F}_0} \mathcal{P}(a, s) \cup T_{\mathcal{F}_0} \mathcal{H}(as + s - 1).$$

2.1 Proof of main theorem

Consider a germ of an analytic variety $(X, 0)$ in $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. The holomorphic curve $\gamma : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (X, 0)$ has the Taylor expansion $\gamma = \omega \epsilon^l + \omega' \epsilon^{l+1} + \dots$, $\omega, \omega', \dots \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Let T_l be the set of all ω . The tangent cone $TC_0 X$ of X at 0 is $TC_0 X = \cup_{l=1}^{\infty} T_l$.

The variety $\mathcal{P}(n, a)$ is parametrized by

$$(11) \quad \tau : \mathcal{P}_n \times \mathcal{P}_n \times \mathcal{P}_a \times \mathcal{P}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(d), \quad d = na + n - 1$$

$$\tau(R, S, P, Q) = P(R, S)dS - Q(R, S)dR, n \geq 2,$$

and so it is irreducible.

Proof. of Theorem 0.3: Let $\mathcal{F}_0 := \mathcal{F}(d(f \circ F))$ where f and F defined in (3) and (5) respectively. For the proof of our main theorem, it is enough to show that $X := (\mathcal{P}(n, a), \mathcal{F}_0)$ is an irreducible component of $(\mathcal{M}(d), \mathcal{F}_0)$. According to Corollary 2.1 we have:

$$(12) \quad TC_{\mathcal{F}_0} \mathcal{M}(d) = TC_{\mathcal{F}_0} \mathcal{P}(n, a) \cup TC_{\mathcal{F}_0} H(d).$$

Let X' be an irreducible component of $(\mathcal{M}(d), \mathcal{F}_0)$ such that $X \subset X'$. If $TC_{\mathcal{F}_0} X \subset Y$, where Y is the irreducible component of $TC_{\mathcal{F}_0} X'$, then it is a subset of $TC_{\mathcal{F}_0} X$, because the equality (12) is a decomposition of $TC_{\mathcal{F}_0} \mathcal{M}(d)$ to irreducible component. This implies that $Y = TC_{\mathcal{F}_0} X$. The dimension of $Y \subset TC_{\mathcal{F}_0} X'$ is equal to the dimension X , so $\dim(X') = \dim(X)$. Therefore $X = X'$ because $X \subset X'$ and X and X' are irreducible algebraic sets and they have the same dimension. \square

3 Limit cycles

Consider a real planar 1-form $\omega = P(x, y)dy - Q(x, y)dx$ where P and Q are polynomials of degree less than or equal to d . Let \mathcal{F} be the foliation induced by the 1-form ω .

Definition 3.1. A closed trajectory which is a limit set of some trajectories of a real foliation \mathcal{F} is called a limit cycle.

The *Hilbert number* H_d is the maximum possible number of limit cycles of a real foliation $\mathcal{F}(\omega)$. It is still unsolved whether H_d is finite, even for the simple case $d = 2$. It is known that $H_d \geq k.d^2$ for some constant k , but in 1995, C.J Christopher and N.G. Lloyd found a strong lower bound $d^2 \log d$ for the Hilbert numbers, see [4].

Let X be an irreducible component of $\mathcal{M}(d)$. Let p be a real center singularity of a real foliation $\mathcal{F} \in X - \text{sing}(\mathcal{M}(d))$. By real foliation we mean the equation of the foliation has real coefficient. Let $\delta_t, t \in (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ be a family of real vanishing cycles around p . Roughly speaking, the *cyclicity* of δ_0 is the maximum number of limit cycles appearing near δ_0 after a deformation of \mathcal{F} in $\mathcal{F}(d)$. The cyclicity of δ_0 in a deformation of \mathcal{F} inside $\mathcal{F}(d)$ is greater than $\text{codim}_{\mathcal{F}(d)}(X) - 1$. One can find the exact definition of cyclicity and the proof of this fact in [20]. Yu. Ilyashenko in [10] shows that

$$\text{codim}_{\mathcal{F}(d)}(\mathcal{H}(d)) - 1 = \frac{(d+2)(d-1)}{2} - 1.$$

The best upper bound for the cyclicity of a vanishing cycle of a Hamiltonian equation is the P. Mardesic's result $\frac{d^4+d^2-2}{2}$ in [13]. H. Movasati in [16], shows that the cyclicity of δ_0 of a logarithmic foliation $\mathcal{F}(f \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i \frac{df_i}{f_i}) \in \mathcal{L}(d_1, \dots, d_s)$ is not less than

$$(d+1)(d+2) - \sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{(d_i+1)(d_i+2)}{2} \right) - 1.$$

This lower bound reaches to maximum when $d_i = 1, s = d+1, i = 1, \dots, s$. In this case the cyclicity of δ is not less than $d^2 - 1$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $n > 1$ and $d := an + n - 1$, the cyclicity of δ_0 in a deformation of \mathcal{F} in $\mathcal{F}(d)$ is not less than*

$$C := (d+1)(d+2) - ((n+1)(n+2) + (\frac{d+1}{n})(\frac{d+1}{n} + 1)) - 1.$$

By considering $d+1 = (a+1)n$ as a fixed value, when $n \leq a+1$ and in addition the distance of $a+1$ and n is minimum, then $(n+1)(n+2) + (\frac{d+1}{n})(\frac{d+1}{n} + 1)$ will be minimum. This minimization will lead to maximizing of the cyclicity. If n and $(a+1)$ are near to $\sqrt{d+1}$ then the cyclicity C is close to $d^2 + d - 4\sqrt{d+1} - 3$. If $a+1 = p$ and $n = q$, where p, q are primes and $p > q$ then $C = (pq)^2 + pq - q^2 - 3q - p^2 - p - 3$, for instance when $q = 2$ we have $C = 3p^2 + p - 13$.

4 Picard-Lefschetz Theory

In this section, we intend to study the topology of regular fibers of polynomial functions composition that are transversal to infinity with two variables of the form $u(x) + v(y)$. See [15, Ch 7] for the general cases. For this, first we study the topology of polynomials in one variable. The main idea of this section is to understand the intersection number between two vanishing cycles and the action of monodromy group on a vanishing cycle in the case of pull-back of cycles under a morphism.

Suppose that a function f has only non-degenerate critical points with the finite set of critical values C labeled by c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s .

Definition 4.1. *A distinguished system of paths related to f is the system of smooth paths in \mathbb{C} , starting at the regular point $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus C$ and ending at a point in C such that*

1. *The paths have no self-intersections;*
2. *Different paths meet only at their common point b .*

Consider a small ball U_p in \mathbb{C}^m with the center at the Morse critical point p . Let the value b be very close to $c := f(p)$ but not equal to it. Let $\alpha := [0, 1] \rightarrow f(U_p)$ be a path that starts at b , ends at c and does not pass through any other critical value of f . By the Morse lemma, there is a local coordinate system x_1, \dots, x_m in a neighborhood of p such that the function f can be written in the form $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = c + \sum_j x_j^2$. Consider the sphere $S_t := \{x \in f^{-1}(\alpha(t)) | \text{Im}(x_j(x)) = 0\} \cap U_p$. Whenever t tends to 1, then S_t tends to p .

Definition 4.2. *The homology class $\delta \in H_{m-1}(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ defined by the sphere S_0 , in the nonsingular fiber $f^{-1}(b)$, is called a vanishing cycle along the path α or just a vanishing cycle.*

Theorem 4.1. (see e.g [1]) *The collection of the vanishing cycles along all paths of a distinguished system of paths, forms a basis of the group $H_{m-1}(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$.*

Definition 4.3. *Let λ_c be a path of a distinguished system, and λ be a loop in $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus C, b)$ such that **a)** it turns once anti-clockwise around the critical point c , and **b)** the closure of the interior of λ contains the path λ_c and does not contain any other point of C . Then the loop λ is called a simple loop, corresponding to λ_c .*

The paths that are homotopic to a simple loop λ give a class of homotopic homeomorphism maps $\{h_\lambda : f^{-1}(b) \rightarrow f^{-1}(b)\}$. This class defines a unique well-defined map

$$h_\lambda : H_{m-1}(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{m-1}(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Definition 4.4. *For a regular value b of f , we have*

$$h : \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus C, b) \times H_{m-1}(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{m-1}(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$$

$$h(\lambda, \cdot) = h_\lambda(\cdot).$$

The image of $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus C, b)$ in $\text{Aut}(H_{m-1}(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}))$, is called the monodromy group and its action h is called the action of the monodromy group on the homology group of $f^{-1}(b)$.

Picard-Lefschetz formula: Let λ be the simple loop around the critical value c , the action of monodromy h_λ on a cycle $\delta \in H_{m-1}(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$(13) \quad h_\lambda(\delta) = \delta + \sum_j (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} \langle \delta, \delta_j \rangle \delta_j$$

where j runs through all the vanishing cycles around the singularities with value c , and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the intersection number of two cycles in $f^{-1}(b)$.

From now on, for simplicity we will denote h_λ by λ .

4.1 Picard-Lefschetz theory in dimension zero

Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be a polynomial of degree d with real roots r_i where $i = 1, \dots, d$, and $d - 1$ critical values.

Theorem 4.2. *For the regular value $b = 0$, we have the following:*

- $H_0(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $\delta_i = [r_i] - [r_{i+1}]$ for $i = 1, \dots, d - 1$;
- The intersection matrix for $H_0(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ with respect to this basis is

$$\langle \delta_i, \delta_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = j \\ -1 & \text{if } |i - j| = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |i - j| > 1. \end{cases}$$

(See e.g. [15] or [7].)

Lemma 4.1. *For any two vanishing cycles $\delta_i, \delta_j \in H_0(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ there is a monodromy λ such that $\lambda(\delta_i) = \delta_j$.*

Consider

$$(14) \quad R(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - t_i)$$

where $(t_i \in \mathbb{R}^+, t_i < t_{i+1})$ such that R has $n - 1$ distinct critical values. Let us define

$$(15) \quad g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{a+1} (x - s_i), \quad \text{where } a > 1.$$

Assume that it meets the following conditions

1. s_i s are positive real numbers and $s_i \neq s_j$ for all i and j ,
2. The function g has distinct critical values also $R(x) = s_i$ has n real roots. s_i s are in an interval I such that $g^{-1}(I)$ is a union of n intervals,
3. The function $g \circ R(x)$ has only non-degenerate critical points.

Notation 4.1. Let us denote by $C \cup \tilde{C}$ the set of critical values of $g \circ R$, where C is the set of the critical values of g , and \tilde{C} is the image of the set of the critical points of R under $g \circ R$. All the critical points of g and R are real. Therefore $C = \{c_i = g(p_i) | p_i \in V(g_x), p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_a\}$ when n is odd, and $C = \{c_{a+1-i} = g(p_i) | p_i \in V(g_x), p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_a\}$ when n is even. Also the order of \tilde{C} is as usual $\{\tilde{c}_{a+j} = g \circ R(q_j) | q_j \in V(R_x), q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_{n-1}\}$.

Take the distinguished system of paths related to the function $g \circ R$ such that all the paths are in the upper half plane. Let γ_c be the vanishing cycle along the path λ_c of the fiber $g^{-1}(0)$. Therefore $R_*^{-1}(\gamma_c) = \{\delta_c^i | i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is the set of vanishing cycles along the path λ_c of the fiber $(g \circ R)^{-1}(0)$.

Theorem 4.3. If $b = 0$, then the zero homology group of $(g \circ R)^{-1}(b)$ is generated by

$$(16) \quad \delta_c^i, \delta_{\tilde{c}}^i \quad \text{where } c \in C, \tilde{c} \in \tilde{C}, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Theorem 4.4. For the regular value $b = 0$ of $g \circ R$, the intersection form of $H_0((g \circ R)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the basis in Theorem 4.3 is as the following:

$$\langle \delta_i^j, \delta_{i'}^{j'} \rangle = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } (i = i', j = j') \\ -1 & \text{if } \begin{cases} (j = j', i' = i + 1, i < a) \\ \vee (j' = 0, i = a, i' = a + 2k - 1, j = k + (\frac{1+(-1)^n}{2})) \\ \vee (j' = 0, i = 1, i' = a + 2k, j = 2k + (\frac{1+(-1)^{n+1}}{2})) \end{cases} \\ 1 & \text{if } \begin{cases} (j' = 0, i = a, i' = a + 2k - 1, j = k + (\frac{1+(-1)^{n+1}}{2})) \\ \vee (j' = 0, i = 1, i' = a + 2k, j = 2k + (\frac{1+(-1)^n}{2})) \end{cases} \\ 0 & \text{if } \begin{cases} (j = j', |i' - i| > 1) \vee (j = j', i, i' > a, i \neq i') \\ \vee (i = i', j \neq j'). \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Here, by δ_i^j and δ_{a+k}^0 we mean δ_c^j and $\delta_{\tilde{c}_{a+k}}^0$ respectively, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Assume that n is odd. Consider the vanishing cycle $\delta_{a+2k-1}^0 := [r] - [r']$, where r and r' are two consecutive roots of $g \circ R$. Thus $R(r) = R(r') = s_{a+1}$ is a root of g . Let s_a and s_{a+1} be two consecutive roots of g and also let $R^{-1}(s_a) = \{l_j | l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_n\}$ such that $l_k < r' < r < l_{k+1}$ be four consecutive roots of $g \circ R$. Two vanishing cycles on the basis (16) are $\delta_a^{2k-1} = [r'] - [l_k]$ and $\delta_a^{2k} = [r] - [l_{k+1}]$; therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta_{a+2k-1}^0, \delta_a^{2k-1} \rangle &= - \langle \delta_{a+2k-1}^0, \delta_a^{2k} \rangle = -1 \\ \langle [r] - [r'], [r'] - [l_k] \rangle &= - \langle [r] - [r'], [r] - [l_{k+1}] \rangle = -1. \end{aligned}$$

For the vanishing cycle $\delta_{a+2k}^0 := [r_2] - [r_1]$ where r_1 and r_2 are two consecutive roots of $g \circ R$, we have $R_*(\delta_{a+2k}^0) = 0$ and $R(r_1) = R(r_2) = s_1$ where s_1 is a root of g . For the root s_2 of g let $R^{-1}(s_2) = \{l_j | l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_n\}$, so we have $l_{2k} < r_1 < r_2 < l_{2k+1}$ as consecutive roots of $g \circ R$. Therefore $\delta_1^{2k} = [r_1] - [l_{2k}]$ and $\delta_1^{2k+1} = [l_{2k+1}] - [r_2]$ are vanishing cycles and they are in the basis so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta_{a+2k}^0, \delta_1^{2k} \rangle &= - \langle \delta_{a+2k}^0, \delta_1^{2k+1} \rangle = 1 \\ \langle [r_2] - [r_1], [l_{2k}] - [r_1] \rangle &= - \langle [r_2] - [r_1], [l_{2k+1}] - [r_2] \rangle = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Also the above procedure can work for an even number n but only by changing the order of C . The function R induces the surjective morphism

$$R_* : H_0((g \circ R)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_0(g^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}).$$

If $\gamma_{c_i}, \gamma_{c_{i+1}} \in H_0(g^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ are two vanishing cycles where $c_i, c_{i+1} \in C$, then each set $R_*^{-1}(\gamma_{c_m}) = \{\delta_{c_m}^j | j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $m = i, i+1$, contains n separated vanishing cycles. For each cycle $\delta_{c_i}^j$ there is exactly one cycle $\delta_{c_{i+1}}^j$ such that $\langle \delta_{c_i}^j, \delta_{c_{i+1}}^j \rangle = -1$. By definition of the functions R and g and also Theorem 4.2, we can have the other equalities. \square

Definition 4.5. *The Dynkin diagram of a polynomial with only non-degenerate critical points is a graph defined in the following way: Its vertices are in one-to-one correspondence with a distinguished basis of vanishing cycles δ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu = d - 1$. The i -th and j -th vertices of the graph are joined with an edge of multiplicity $\langle \delta_i, \delta_j \rangle$. The intersection indexes $(-1)^n$ are depicted by dash lines, where n is the dimension of vanishing cycles.*

For an illustration of the Dynkin diagram $g \circ R$ with respect to this basis (when n is odd) see Figure 1.

Lemma 4.2. *The action of monodromy group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus C \cup \tilde{C}, b)$ on a tangency vanishing cycle generates all*

$$\delta_{\tilde{c}}, \tilde{c} \in \tilde{C} \text{ and } \delta_c^i - \delta_c^j \text{ s.t. } c \in C, i, j = 1, \dots, n$$

where a tangency vanishing cycle is a vanishing cycle around a critical point of R .

Proof. Each tangency vanishing cycle $\delta_{\tilde{c}_{a+2i-1}}$ (resp. $\delta_{\tilde{c}_{a+2i}}$) has an intersection with two vanishing cycles $\delta_{c_a}^{2i-1}$ and $\delta_{c_a}^{2i}$ (resp. $\delta_{c_1}^{2i}$ and $\delta_{c_1}^{2i+1}$) with different signs. By using Picard-Lefschetz formula, the action of monodromy λ_{c_a} (resp. λ_{c_1}) on $\delta_{\tilde{c}_{a+2i-1}}$ (resp. $\delta_{\tilde{c}_{a+2i}}$) generates $\delta_{c_a}^{2i-1} - \delta_{c_a}^{2i}$ (resp. $\delta_{c_1}^{2i} - \delta_{c_1}^{2i+1}$). According to Lemma 4.1, the action of the monodromy group

$$\pi := \langle \lambda_c | c \in C \rangle \subset \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus ((C \cup \tilde{C}), b),$$




Figure 1: Dynkin diagram of a pull-back polynomial when n is odd.

on $R_*(\delta_c^j)$ (for all $c \in C$ and j) generates zero homology group $H_0(g^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, for a fixed j , the action of π on $\delta_{c_a}^j$ or $\delta_{c_1}^j$ can generate all δ_c^j . In other words, the action π on $\delta_{c_a}^{2i} - \delta_{c_a}^{2i-1}$ (resp. $\delta_{c_1}^{2i+1} - \delta_{c_1}^{2i}$) generates all $\delta_c^{2i} - \delta_c^{2i-1}$ (resp. $\delta_c^{2i+1} - \delta_c^{2i}$). Since Dynkin diagram is connected, the action of monodromy $\lambda_{\tilde{c}_{a+2i}}$ ($\lambda_{\tilde{c}_{a+2i+1}}$) on $\delta_{c_1}^{2i} - \delta_c^{2i}$ can generate $\delta_{\tilde{c}_{a+2i}}$ (resp. $\delta_{\tilde{c}_{a+2i+1}}$). By repeating this procedure we can generate all $\delta_{\tilde{c}}$ because the degree of each vertex of the Dynkin diagram, is at most 2. Since the number of tangency vanishing cycles is $n-1$ we can generate independent cycles $\delta_c^{i+1} - \delta_c^i$ where $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Therefore these cycles can generate all $\delta_c^{j'} - \delta_c^j$. \square

Corollary 4.1. *The linear map $R_* : H_0((g \circ R)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_0(g^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective and*

$$\text{Ker}(R_*) = \langle \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus (C \cup \tilde{C}), b). \delta_{\tilde{c}} \rangle,$$

where $\langle \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus (C \cup \tilde{C}), b). \delta_{\tilde{c}} \rangle$ is the group generated by the action of the monodromy group on the tangency vanishing cycle $\delta_{\tilde{c}}$.

Proposition 4.1. *The group generated by the action of the monodromy group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus (C \cup \tilde{C}), b)$ on a vanishing cycle $\delta_c^j \in R_*^{-1}(\gamma_c)$, where $\gamma_c \in H_0(g^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$, is equal to $H_0((g \circ R)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$.*

4.2 Direct Sum of Polynomials

Let F and f be the functions as in (3) and (5). We are going to study the topology of a regular fiber of $f \circ F$.

Notation 4.2. *We denote by C_1 (resp. C_2) the set of critical values of g (resp. h), and also denote by \tilde{C}_1 (resp. \tilde{C}_2) the set of the images of the critical points of R (resp. S) under $g \circ R$ (resp. $h \circ S$). Thus $C_1 \cup \tilde{C}_1$ and $C_2 \cup \tilde{C}_2$ are the set of critical values of $g \circ R$ and $h \circ S$ respectively. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $(C_1 \cup \tilde{C}_1) \cap (C_2 \cup \tilde{C}_2) = \emptyset$.*

We take two systems of distinguished paths λ_c relative to the functions $g \circ R$ and $h \circ S$, where $c \in (C_1 \cup \tilde{C}_1) \cup (C_2 \cup \tilde{C}_2)$ and λ_c starts from $b = 0$ and ends at c , see Figure 3. Note that for the function $h \circ S$ we choose a distinguished system of paths such that all of the paths are in the lower half plane, and they preserve the order of $C_2 \cup \tilde{C}_2$ as in Notation 4.2. Let $\delta \in H_0((g \circ R)^{-1}(0), \mathbb{Z})$ and $\gamma \in H_0((h \circ S)^{-1}(0), \mathbb{Z})$ be two vanishing cycles along the paths λ_c and λ_a respectively. Let $t_s : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a path defined by

$$t_s := \begin{cases} \lambda_c(1-2s) & 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}, c \in (C_1 \cup \tilde{C}_1) \\ \lambda_a(2s-1) & \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1, a \in (C_2 \cup \tilde{C}_2). \end{cases}$$

The cycle δ vanishes along t_s^{-1} when s tends to zero, and γ vanishes along t_s when s tends to 1.




Figure 2: A distinguished system of paths where $c_i \in C_1, a_i \in C_2$ and $\tilde{c}_i \in \tilde{C}_1, \tilde{a}_i \in \tilde{C}_2$

Definition 4.6. *The cycle*

$$\delta * \gamma \cong \delta *_{t_s} \gamma := \cup_{s \in [0,1]} \delta_{t_s} \times \gamma_{t_s} \in H_1((f \circ F)^{-1}(0), \mathbb{Z})$$

is called an oriented cycle. Note that its orientation changes when the direction of path t_s is changed. The triple $(t_s, \delta, \gamma) = (t_s, \delta_{t_s}, \gamma_{t_s})$ is called an admissible triple.




Figure 3: Joining of vanishing cycles

Let

$$\delta_{c_i}^j, \delta_{\tilde{c}_{a+k}} \in H_0((g \circ R)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}) \text{ where } i = 1, \dots, a, j = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$\gamma_{c_i}^j, \gamma_{\tilde{c}_{a+k}} \in H_0((h \circ S)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}) \text{ where } i = 1, \dots, a, j = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

be the corresponding distinguished basis of vanishing cycles.

Theorem 4.5. *The \mathbb{Z} -module $H_1((f \circ F)^{-1}(0), \mathbb{Z})$ is free and is generated by*

$$\alpha := \delta * \gamma \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \delta \in H_0((g \circ R)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}) \quad , \quad \gamma \in H_0((h \circ S)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}),$$

and where we have taken the admissible triples

$$(\lambda_c \cdot \lambda_a^{-1}, \delta, \gamma) \text{ here } c \in C_1 \cup \tilde{C}_1 \text{ and } a \in C_2 \cup \tilde{C}_2.$$

See e.g. [15, Ch 7].

Take $h \circ S = b' - (h' \circ S')$, where b' is a fixed complex number and $h' \circ S'$ is a perturbation of $h \circ S$. The set of critical values of $h' \circ S'$ is denoted by $(C'_2 \cup \tilde{C}'_2)$ and therefore the set of critical values of $h \circ S$ is $C_2 \cup \tilde{C}_2 = b' - (C'_2 \cup \tilde{C}'_2)$. We define $(f \circ F)(x, y) := g \circ R(x) + h' \circ S'(y)$. Assume that $(C_1 \cup \tilde{C}_1) \cap (C'_2 \cup \tilde{C}'_2) = \emptyset$, and since the set of critical values of $f \circ F$ is $(C_1 \cup \tilde{C}_1) + (C'_2 \cup \tilde{C}'_2)$ then b' is a regular value of $f \circ F$. Let (t_s, δ, γ) be an admissible triple where t_s starts from c and ends at $b' - a'$ (here $c \in C_1 \cup \tilde{C}_1$ and $a' \in C'_2 \cup \tilde{C}'_2$). Therefore the path $t_s + a'$ starts from $c + a'$ and ends at b' . For instance, see Figure 4:




Figure 4: A distinguished system of paths

Proposition 4.2. *The topological cycle $\delta * \gamma$ is a vanishing cycle along the path $t_s + a'$ with respect to the fibration $f \circ F = t$.*

See e.g. [15, Ch 7].

Definition 4.7.

1. *A vanishing cycle around the critical point p where $p \in F^{-1}(\text{Sing}(f))$ is called a pull-back vanishing cycle.*
2. *A vanishing cycle around a tangency critical point is called a tangency vanishing cycle.*
3. *A vanishing cycle around a critical point p where $p \in V(R_x) \cap V(S_x)$, is called an exceptional vanishing cycle.*

For simplicity we denote by δ_i^j the cycle $\delta_{c_i}^j$ where $c_i \in C_1$, $i = 1, \dots, a$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$ (resp. by γ_i^j the cycle $\gamma_{a_i}^j$ where $a_i \in C_1$, $i = 1, \dots, a$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$). Also, we denote by δ_k the cycle $\delta_{\tilde{c}_k}$ where $k = a + 1, \dots, a + (n - 1)$ (resp. by γ_k the cycle $\gamma_{\tilde{c}_k}$ where $k = a + 1, \dots, a + (n - 1)$).

Theorem 4.6. *Let $b = 0$ be the regular value of the function f . Let δ_i where $i = 1, \dots, a$ be the distinguished set of vanishing cycles in $H_0(g^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ also let γ_j where $j = 1, \dots, a$*

be the distinguished set of vanishing cycles in $H_0(h^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore the intersection matrix of $H_1(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ in the basis

$$\delta_i * \gamma_j \quad \text{where} \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, a,$$

is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \delta_i * \gamma_j, \delta_l * \gamma_k \rangle = \\ & \begin{cases} (-1)^a & \text{if } \begin{cases} (i = l, k = j + 1, j = \text{odd}) \vee \\ (j = k, l = i + 1, i = \text{even}) \vee \\ (i = \text{odd}, j = \text{even}, l = i + 1 \text{ or } l = i - 1, k = j + 1) \end{cases} \\ (-1)^{a+1} & \text{if } \begin{cases} (i = l, k = j + 1, j = \text{even}) \vee \\ (j = k, l = i + 1, i = \text{odd}) \vee \\ (i = \text{even}, j = \text{odd}, l = i - 1 \text{ or } i + 1, k = l + 1) \end{cases} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

See e.g. [15, Ch 7], and [1].

The Dynkin diagram of f when a is even, is shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5: Dynkin diagram

In Figure 5, according to the distinguished set of paths, the paths such as $t_{i,j} := \lambda_j \cdot \lambda_i^{-1}$ have transversal intersection with $t_{i,j+1} = \lambda_{j+1} \cdot \lambda_i^{-1}$ (resp. $t_{i+1,j} := \lambda_j \cdot \lambda_{i+1}^{-1}$) at the point $b = 0$, and $d(t_{ij}) \wedge d(t_{i,j+1}) = -d(t_{i,j+1}) \wedge d(t_{i,j+2})$ (resp. $d(t_{ij}) \wedge d(t_{i+1,j}) = -d(t_{i+1,j}) \wedge d(t_{i+2,j})$).

Theorem 4.7. *For the regular value $b = 0$ of $f \circ F = g(R) + h(S)$, we choose a distinguished set of vanishing cycles δ_i^j and δ_k where $i = 1, 2, \dots, a, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $k = a + 1, \dots, a + (n - 1)$ (resp. γ_i^j, γ_k where $i = 1, 2, \dots, a, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $k = a + 1, \dots, a + (n - 1)$) in $H_0((g \circ R)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$, (resp. $H_0((h \circ S)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$). The intersection matrix in this basis*

$$\delta_i^j * \gamma_{i'}^{j'}, \delta_k * \gamma_i^j, \delta_i^j * \gamma_k, \delta_k * \gamma_{k'}$$

for

$$i, i' = 1, \dots, a, \quad j, j' = 1, \dots, n, \quad k, k' = a + 1, \dots, a + (n - 1),$$

of $H_1((f \circ F)^{-1}(0), \mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$\langle \delta_i^m * \gamma_j^s, \delta_l^{m'} * \gamma_k^{s'} \rangle = \langle \delta_i^1 * \gamma_j^1, \delta_l^1 * \gamma_k^1 \rangle, \quad \text{for } m = m', \quad s = s', \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq a,$$

where

$$\langle \delta_i^1 * \gamma_j^1, \delta_l^1 * \gamma_k^1 \rangle = (-1)^{n+1} \langle R(\delta_i^1) * S(\gamma_j^1), R(\delta_l^1) * S(\gamma_k^1) \rangle.$$

Here, the intersection can be explained by using Theorem 4.6 and

$$\begin{cases} \langle \delta_{a+i}^0 * \gamma_j^1, \delta_{a+i}^0 * \gamma_k^{s'} \rangle = \langle \delta_a^s * \gamma_j^s, \delta_a^s * \gamma_k^{s'} \rangle \\ \langle \delta_i^m * \gamma_{a+j}^0, \delta_l^{m'} * \gamma_{a+j}^0 \rangle = \langle \delta_i^m * \gamma_a^m, \delta_l^{m'} * \gamma_a^m \rangle, \end{cases}$$

for the others we can use

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta_i^m * \gamma_a^s, \delta_i^m * \gamma_{a+s}^0 \rangle &= \langle \delta_i^m * \gamma_{a+s}^0, \delta_i^m * \gamma_a^{s+1} \rangle = \\ &\begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (n = 2n' + 1, \quad s = 2t + 1) \vee \\ (n = 2n', \quad a = 2a' + 1, \quad s = 2t + 1) \end{array} \right. \\ 1 & \text{if } (n = 2n', \quad a = 2a' + 1, \quad s = 2t + 1), \end{cases} \\ \langle \delta_1^m * \gamma_j^s, \delta_{a+m}^0 * \gamma_j^s \rangle &= \langle \delta_{a+m}^0 * \gamma_j^s, \delta_1^{m+1} * \gamma_j^s \rangle = \\ &\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (n = 2n' + 1, \quad m = 2t + 1) \vee \\ (n = 2n', \quad a = 2a' + 1, \quad m = 2t + 1) \end{array} \right. \\ -1 & \text{if } (n = 2n', \quad a = 2a' + 1, \quad m = 2t + 1). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

See e.g. [15, Ch 7].

Since the dimension of $(f \circ F)^{-1}(b)$ is one, for the two vanishing cycles $\alpha, \beta \in H_1((f \circ F)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$, we have $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = -\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$ and $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 0$, i.e. the intersection matrix is skew-symmetric.

The Dynkin diagram of $f \circ F$ when n is odd and $a=3$ is shown in Figure 6.

In Figure 6, black vertices correspond to the tangency vanishing cycles, Squares vertices corresponding to the exceptional vanishing cycles and all the other vertices corresponding to pull-back vanishing cycles. The white cycle vertices correspond to some of the pull-back vanishing cycles with the same image under F_* . The direction of the intersections are to be considered from left to right and top to bottom in this figure.

Definition 4.8. An isomorphism of graphs G and H is a bijection between the vertex sets of G and H

$$f : V(G) \rightarrow V(H),$$

such that any two vertices u and v are adjacent in G if and only if $f(u)$ and $f(v)$ are adjacent in H .

We denote by H (resp. G), the Dynkin diagram of $f \circ F$ (resp. f) with respect to the distinguished set of vanishing cycles related to the critical points of $f \circ F$ (resp. f). We consider the group generated by the action of the monodromy group

$$\pi' := \langle \lambda_c | c \in C_1 + C_2 \rangle \subset \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus ((C_1 \cup C_2) + (\tilde{C}_1 \cup \tilde{C}_2)), b),$$




Figure 6: Dynkin diagram of $f \circ F$, when n is odd and $a=3$

on a pull-back vanishing cycle $\delta_c^i * \gamma_a^j$. We know that this group is generated by some pull-back vanishing cycles, hence it introduces a sub-graph of H which is denoted by G_{ij} .

For each $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ the graph G_{ij} is isomorphic to the graph G . Therefore if we remove the vertices corresponding to the tangency and exceptional vanishing cycles, then H is divided into n^2 graphs G_{ij} .

Definition 4.9. *The cycle δ in a regular fiber $f^{-1}(b)$ is called simple if the homology group $H_1(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the action of monodromy group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus C, b)$ on δ (where C is the set of critical values of f).*

Theorem 4.8. *Each vanishing cycle (respective to the distinguished set of paths related to the critical values) in a regular fiber of f , is simple.*

See e.g. [16].

Theorem 4.9. *For the regular value $b = 0$ of the function $f \circ F = g \circ R + h \circ S$ (a composition of the functions which were defined in (3) and (5)), the action of the monodromy group on a tangency vanishing cycle generates*

$$\delta_{\tilde{c}} * \gamma_a \quad , \quad \delta_c * \gamma_{\tilde{a}} \quad , \quad \delta_{\tilde{c}} * \gamma_{\tilde{a}} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_c^i * \gamma_a^j - \delta_c^{i'} * \gamma_a^{j'},$$

where $\tilde{c} \in \tilde{C}_1$, $c \in C_1$, $a \in C_2$, $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{C}_2$ and $i, j, i', j' = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. 1. Suppose that L is a line of D . Each vanishing cycle around a critical point in D is tangency or exceptional. Consider $f \circ F|_L - l$, when restriction of the functions $g \circ R$ or $h \circ S$ under L , is the constant value l . If $L = \{y = \text{cons}\}$ (resp. $L = \{x = \text{cons}\}$), then the cycles around the critical point in L correspond to zero vanishing cycle $g \circ R$ (resp. $h \circ S$). Furthermore, a tangency vanishing cycle corresponds to a pull-back (zero) vanishing cycle. Thus by Proposition 4.1, the action of monodromy group on that cycle generates all the other vanishing cycles. This implies that, this action on our tangency vanishing cycle will generate the

tangency and exceptional vanishing cycles around the critical points in L . The algebraic set D consists of n lines parallel with x, y axes, so $V(R_x) \cap V(S_y) \neq \emptyset$. Since the critical points in two vertical lines of D have different values except the point in the intersection, the action of monodromy on a tangency vanishing cycle around a point of these lines can generate all the other vanishing cycles of these lines. In other words, this action on a tangency vanishing cycle can generate all the tangency and exceptional vanishing cycles.

2. If we remove the vertices of H which is the graph corresponded to the tangency and exceptional vanishing cycles, then the new graph contains n^2 sub-graphs $G_{i,j}$ where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The tangency vertex $\delta_t^i * \gamma_{a+j}^0$ (resp. $\delta_{a+i}^0 * \gamma_t^j$) connects the sub-graphs $G_{i,j}$ to $G_{i,j+1}$ (resp. $G_{i,j}$ to $G_{i+1,j}$). In general $\delta_t^i * \gamma_{a+j}^0$ connects $\delta_t^i * \gamma_a^j$ to $\delta_t^i * \gamma_a^{j+1}$ when j is odd and also, it connects $\delta_t^i * \gamma_1^j$ to $\delta_t^i * \gamma_1^{j+1}$ when j is even. According to Picard-Lefschetz formula the action of monodromy $\lambda_{t,a}$ (resp. $\lambda_{t,1}$) around the critical point $t+a$ (resp. $t+1$) when j is odd (resp. j is even) on the tangency vanishing cycle $\delta_t^i * \gamma_{a+j}^0$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{t,a}(\delta_t^i * \gamma_{a+j}^0) &= \delta_t^i * \gamma_{a+j}^0 - \sum_{\alpha \in F_*^{-1}(\delta_t * \gamma_a)} < \alpha, \delta_t^i * \gamma_{a+j}^0 > \alpha \\ &= \delta_t^i * \gamma_{a+j}^0 - (\delta_t^i * \gamma_a^j - \delta_t^i * \gamma_a^{j+1}) \end{aligned}$$

when j is even, the action of monodromy $\lambda_{t,1}$ on $\delta_t^i * \gamma_{a+j}^0$ generates $\delta_t^i * \gamma_1^j - \delta_t^i * \gamma_1^{j+1}$. According to Theorem 4.8, the action of the monodromy group π on $\delta_t^i * \gamma_a^j$ generates vanishing cycles corresponding to the vertices in $G_{i,j}$. Therefore the action of the monodromy group π' on the tangency vanishing cycle $\delta_t^i * \gamma_{a+j}^0$ generates the whole vanishing cycle $\delta_t^i * \gamma_k^j - \delta_t^i * \gamma_k^{j+1}$ where $1 \leq l, k \leq a$. By the same process the action of the monodromy group π on the tangency vanishing cycle $\delta_{a+i}^0 * \gamma_t^j$ generates the whole vanishing cycle $\delta_l^i * \gamma_k^j - \delta_l^{i+1} * \gamma_k^j$ where $1 \leq l, k \leq a$.

In general we can generate

$$\nabla := \{\delta_c^{i+1} * \gamma_a^j - \delta_c^i * \gamma_a^j, \delta_c^i * \gamma_a^{j+1} - \delta_c^i * \gamma_a^j | i, j = 1, \dots, n-1, c \in C_1, a \in C_2\}$$

which is a basis for the group generated by

$$\delta_c^i * \gamma_a^j - \delta_c^{i'} * \gamma_a^{j'} \quad \forall c \in C_1, \forall a \in C_2, \quad i, j, i', j' = 1, \dots, n.$$

□

Corollary 4.2. *The group which is generated by the action of the monodromy group on a tangency vanishing cycle can also be generated by*

$$\delta_{\tilde{c}} * \gamma_a \quad , \quad \delta_c * \gamma_{\tilde{a}} \quad , \quad \delta_{\tilde{c}} * \gamma_{\tilde{a}} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_c^i * \gamma_a^j - \delta_c^{i'} * \gamma_a^{j'}$$

where $\tilde{c} \in \tilde{C}_1$, $c \in C_1$, $a \in C_2$, $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{C}_2$ and $i, j, i', j' = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be defined by $(x, y) \mapsto (R, S)$ as in (3) and let f be a polynomial as in (5). The linear map*

$$F_* : H_1((f \circ F)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1((f)^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$$

is surjective, and $\ker(F_)$ is generated by the action of monodromy group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus (C \cup \tilde{C}), b)$ on a tangency vanishing cycle δ .*

Proof. It is clear that for each $c \in C$ the cycles $\delta_c^i - \delta_c^j$ belongs to $\ker(F_*)$ where δ_c^i, δ_c^j are the pull-back vanishing cycles around the singularities with the value c . Each tangency vanishing cycle δ_t is divided into two paths with homotopic images under F by D . Thus, $\delta_t \in \ker(F_*)$. Each exceptional vanishing cycle δ_e is divided into 4 paths by D . The images of those 4 paths under F are homotopic, hence $F_*(\delta_e) = 0$. By using the Corollary 4.2 we conclude that $\langle \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus (C \cup \tilde{C}), b), \delta_t \rangle \subset \ker(F_*)$. It is obvious that the morphism F_* is surjective and so

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{null}(F_*) &= \#(V((g \circ R)_x) \cap V((h \circ S)_y)) - \#(V(g_x) \cap V(h_y)) \\ &= (na + n - 1)^2 - a^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $F_*^{-1}(\gamma_c) = \{\delta_c^i | i = 1, \dots, n^2\}$, where $\gamma_c \in H_1(f^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$, and since all the elements of the set $\Delta_c =: \{\delta_c^{i+1} - \delta_c^i | i = 1, \dots, n^2 - 1\}$ are independent, then its elements can generate all $\delta_c^i - \delta_c^j$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n^2$. The number of all the elements of the set $\cup_{c \in C} \Delta_c$ is $a^2(n^2 - 1)$. The tangency and exceptional vanishing cycles which are in $\ker(F_*)$, are independent elements and their number is $2na(n - 1) + (n - 1)^2$. Therefore by considering the equality (17) we have $\langle \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus (C \cup \tilde{C}), b), \delta_t \rangle = \ker(F_*)$. \square

Acknowledgment: I would like to express my gratitude to my advisor professor Hossein Movasati whose contributions, inspirational suggestions and encouragement have helped me to coordinate my Ph.D. thesis especially in regards to writing this paper.

References

- [1] Arnold, V. I.; Gusein-Zade, S. M.; Varchenko, A. N. Singularities of differentiable maps. Vol. II. Monodromy and asymptotics of integrals. Monographs in Mathematics, 83. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [2] Bonnet, P. Relative cohomology of polynomial mappings, Manuscripta Math. 110 (2003), no. 4, 413-432.
- [3] Cerveau, D.; Lins Neto, A. Irreducible components of the space of holomorphic foliations of degree 2 in $\mathbb{C}P(N)$, $N \geq 3$, Ann. of Math. (2) 143 (1996), no. 3, 577-612.
- [4] Christopher, C.; Lloyd, N.G. Polynomial systems: a lower bound for the Hilbert number. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 450 (1995), no. 1938, 219-224
- [5] Dulac, H. Sur les cycles limites, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 51(1923) 45-188.
- [6] François, J. P. Successive derivatives of a first return map, application to the study of quadratic vector fields. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 16 (1996), no. 1, 87-96.
- [7] Gabriellov, A. M. Intersection matrices for certain singularities, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. Issue 3, vol.7,(1973) 18-32.
- [8] Gavrilov, L. Higher order Poincaré-Pontryagin functions and iterated path integrals, Ann . Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.(6) ,14, 2005, 4,p 663-682.
- [9] Gavrilov, L. Petrov module and zeros of Abelian integrals, Bull. Sci. Toulouse Math., 122, 1998,p 571-584.

- [10] Ilyashenko, Y. The appearance of limit cycles under perturbation of equation $\frac{dw}{dz} = -\frac{R_z}{R_w}$, where $R(z, w)$ is a polynomial, (Russian), Math. USSR , Sbornik, Vol. 7, (1969), no. 3, p 360-373.
- [11] Ilyashenko, Y.; Yakovenko, S. Lectures on analytic differential equations. Vol. 82 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [12] Lins Neto, A. ; Scárdua B, Introdução à teoria das folheações algébricas complexas, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, Abril de 2011.
- [13] Mardešić, P. An explicit bound for the multiplicity of zeros of generic Abelian integrals. Nonlinearity 4 (1991), no. 3, 845-852.
- [14] Movasati, H. Abelian integrals in holomorphic foliations, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, Vol. 20, issue 1 (2004) math.AG/0203062.
- [15] Movasati, H. A course in Hodge Theory with Emphasis on Multiple Integrals. to be published, 2017. <http://w3.impa.br/~hossein/myarticles/hodgetheory.pdf>
- [16] Movasati, H. Center conditions: rigidity of logarithmic differential equations. J. Differential Equations. Vol.197 (2004) 197-217.
- [17] Movasati, H.; Uribe, M. Limit cycles, Abelian integral and Hiberts sixteenth problem. 31 Colquio Brasileiro de Matemtica, 2017.
- [18] Movasati, H.; Nakai, I. Commuting holonomies and rigidity of holomorphic foliations. Bull. London Math. Soc. 40, (2008) 473-478.
- [19] Narasimhan, R. Compact analytical varieties. Enseignement Math. (2) 14 1968 7598.
- [20] Roussarie, R. Bifurcation of planar vector fields and Hilbert's sixteenth problem. Progress in Mathematics, 164. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998.

Yadollah Zare
 Instituto Nacional de Matemática Pura e Aplicada-IMPA
 Rio de Janeiro
 Email: yadollah2806@gmail.com, yadollah@impa.br