

# ON VOISIN'S CONJECTURE FOR ZERO-CYCLES ON HYPERKÄHLER VARIETIES

ROBERT LATERVEER

**ABSTRACT.** Motivated by the Bloch–Beilinson conjectures, Voisin has made a conjecture concerning zero-cycles on self-products of Calabi–Yau varieties. We reformulate Voisin's conjecture in the setting of hyperkähler varieties, and we prove this reformulated conjecture for one family of hyperkähler fourfolds.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $A^i(X) := CH^i(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  denote the Chow groups of  $X$  (i.e. the groups of codimension  $i$  algebraic cycles on  $X$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients, modulo rational equivalence). As is well-known, the field of algebraic cycles is rife with open questions [7], [12], [23], [32]. To wit, there is the following conjecture formulated by Voisin, which can be seen as a version of Bloch's conjecture for varieties of geometric genus one:

**Conjecture 1.1** (Voisin [31]). *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective complex variety of dimension  $n$  with  $h^{j,0}(X) = 0$  for  $0 < j < n$  and  $p_g(X) = 1$ . For any zero-cycles  $a, a' \in A^n(X)$  of degree zero, we have*

$$a \times a' = (-1)^n a' \times a \quad \text{in } A^{2n}(X \times X).$$

(Here  $a \times a'$  is short-hand for the cycle class  $(p_1)^*(a) \cdot (p_2)^*(a') \in A^{2n}(X \times X)$ , where  $p_1, p_2$  denote projection on the first, resp. second factor.)

Conjecture 1.1 is still wide open for a general  $K3$  surface (on the positive side, cf. [31], [18], [21], [19] for some cases where this conjecture is verified).

Let us now suppose that  $X$  is a hyperkähler variety (i.e., a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold, cf. [2], [3]). Conjecture 1.1 does not apply verbatim to  $X$  (the Calabi–Yau condition  $h^{j,0}(X) = 0$  for  $0 < j < n$  is not satisfied), yet one can adapt conjecture 1.1 to make sense for  $X$ . For this adaptation, we will optimistically assume the Chow ring of  $X$  has a bigraded ring structure  $A_{(*)}^*(X)$ , where each  $A^i(X)$  splits into pieces

$$A^i(X) = \bigoplus_j A_{(j)}^i(X).$$

(Conjecturally, such a splitting exists for all hyperkähler varieties, and the piece  $A_{(j)}^i(X)$  should be isomorphic to the graded  $\mathrm{Gr}_{F^j}^j A^i(X)$  for the conjectural Bloch–Beilinson filtration [5]. Using

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C15, 14C25, 14C30.

*Key words and phrases.* Algebraic cycles, Chow groups, motives, Bloch's conjecture, Bloch–Beilinson filtration, hyperkähler varieties, multiplicative Chow–Künneth decomposition, splitting property, finite-dimensional motive.

the concept of “(weak) multiplicative Chow–Künneth decompositions”, a bigraded ring structure has been constructed for Hilbert schemes of length  $n$  subschemes of  $K3$  surfaces [30], for generalized Kummer varieties [10], and for Fano varieties of lines in very general cubic fourfolds [27]. For a different approach to the construction of a bigraded ring structure on the Chow ring of hyperkähler varieties, cf. [33].)

Since the piece  $A_{(j)}^i(X)$  should be related to the cohomology group  $H^{2i-j}(X)$ , and

$$\wedge^2 H^s(X) \subset H^{2s}(X \times X)$$

should be supported on a divisor for any  $s$  (in view of the generalized Hodge conjecture), we arrive at the following version of conjecture 1.1:

**Conjecture 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a hyperkähler variety of dimension  $2m$ . Let  $a, a' \in A_{(j)}^{2m}(X)$ . Then*

$$a \times a' - a' \times a = 0 \quad \text{in } A^{4m}(X \times X) .$$

(We note that in conjecture 1.2, we silently presuppose that  $A_{(j)}^{2m}(X) = 0$  for  $j$  odd. This is expected to hold for any hyperkähler variety, and is known unconditionally for the above-mentioned cases where a bigraded ring structure exists.)

The main result of this note is that conjecture 1.2 is true for a certain family of hyperkähler fourfolds:

**Theorem** (=theorem 3.1). *Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^5(\mathbb{C})$  be a smooth cubic fourfold defined by an equation*

$$f(X_0, X_1, X_2) + g(X_3, X_4, X_5) = 0 ,$$

*where  $f$  and  $g$  define smooth plane curves. Let  $X = F(Y)$  be the Fano variety of lines in  $Y$ . Then for any  $a, a' \in A_{(j)}^4(X)$ , there is equality*

$$a \times a' - a' \times a = 0 \quad \text{in } A^8(X \times X) .$$

Here the notation  $A_{(*)}^*(X)$  refers to the Fourier decomposition of the Chow ring constructed by Shen–Vial [27]. (We mention in passing that for  $X$  as in theorem 3.1, it is not yet known whether the pieces  $A_{(*)}^*(X)$  fit together to form a bigraded ring, cf. remark 2.7.)

The proof of theorem 3.1 relies on the theory of finite-dimensional motives [17].

As a corollary to theorem 3.1, we find that a certain instance of the generalized Hodge conjecture is verified on  $X \times X$ :

**Corollary** (=corollary 3.5). *Let  $X$  be as in theorem 3.1. The Hodge sub-structure*

$$\wedge^2 H^4(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^8(X \times X, \mathbb{Q})$$

*is supported on a divisor.*

**Conventions.** *In this article, the word variety will refer to a reduced irreducible scheme of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$ . A subvariety is a (possibly reducible) reduced subscheme which is equidimensional.*

**All Chow groups will be with rational coefficients:** *we will denote by  $A_j(X)$  the Chow group of  $j$ -dimensional cycles on  $X$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients; for  $X$  smooth of dimension  $n$  the notations  $A_j(X)$  and  $A^{n-j}(X)$  are used interchangeably.*

The notations  $A_{\text{hom}}^j(X)$ ,  $A_{AJ}^j(X)$  will be used to indicate the subgroups of homologically trivial, resp. Abel–Jacobi trivial cycles. For a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , we will write  $\Gamma_f \in A_*(X \times Y)$  for the graph of  $f$ . The contravariant category of Chow motives (i.e., pure motives with respect to rational equivalence as in [26], [23]) will be denoted  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}$ .

We will write  $H^j(X)$  to indicate singular cohomology  $H^j(X, \mathbb{Q})$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Finite–dimensional motives.** We refer to [17], [1], [11], [13], [23] for the definition of finite–dimensional motive. An essential property of varieties with finite–dimensional motive is embodied by the nilpotence theorem:

**Theorem 2.1** (Kimura [17]). *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$  with finite–dimensional motive. Let  $\Gamma \in A^n(X \times X)$  be a correspondence which is numerically trivial. Then there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$\Gamma^{\circ N} = 0 \quad \in A^n(X \times X) .$$

Actually, the nilpotence property (for all powers of  $X$ ) could serve as an alternative definition of finite–dimensional motive, as shown by Jannsen [13, Corollary 3.9]. Conjecturally, any variety has finite–dimensional motive [17]. We are still far from knowing this, but at least there are quite a few non–trivial examples.

## 2.2. MCK decomposition.

**Definition 2.2** (Murre [22]). *Let  $X$  be a projective quotient variety of dimension  $n$ . We say that  $X$  has a CK decomposition if there exists a decomposition of the diagonal*

$$\Delta_X = \pi_0 + \pi_1 + \cdots + \pi_{2n} \quad \text{in } A^n(X \times X) ,$$

*such that the  $\pi_i$  are mutually orthogonal idempotents and  $(\pi_i)_* H^*(X) = H^i(X)$ .*

(NB: “CK decomposition” is shorthand for “Chow–Künneth decomposition”.)

**Remark 2.3.** The existence of a CK decomposition for any smooth projective variety is part of Murre’s conjectures [22], [12].

**Definition 2.4** (Shen–Vial [27]). *Let  $X$  be a projective quotient variety of dimension  $n$ . Let  $\Delta_X^{\text{sm}} \in A^{2n}(X \times X \times X)$  be the class of the small diagonal*

$$\Delta_X^{\text{sm}} := \{(x, x, x) \mid x \in X\} \subset X \times X \times X .$$

An *MCK decomposition* is a CK decomposition  $\{\pi_i^X\}$  of  $X$  that is *multiplicative*, i.e. it satisfies

$$\pi_k^X \circ \Delta_X^{\text{sm}} \circ (\pi_i^X \times \pi_j^X) = 0 \quad \text{in } A^{2n}(X \times X \times X) \quad \text{for all } i + j \neq k .$$

(NB: “MCK decomposition” is shorthand for “multiplicative Chow–Künneth decomposition”.)

A *weak MCK decomposition* is a CK decomposition  $\{\pi_i^X\}$  of  $X$  that satisfies

$$\left( \pi_k^X \circ \Delta_X^{\text{sm}} \circ (\pi_i^X \times \pi_j^X) \right)_* (a \times b) = 0 \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A^*(X) .$$

**Remark 2.5.** The small diagonal (seen as a correspondence from  $X \times X$  to  $X$ ) induces the *multiplication morphism*

$$\Delta_X^{sm}: h(X) \otimes h(X) \rightarrow h(X) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}} .$$

Suppose  $X$  has a CK decomposition

$$h(X) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2n} h^i(X) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}} .$$

By definition, this decomposition is multiplicative if for any  $i, j$  the composition

$$h^i(X) \otimes h^j(X) \rightarrow h(X) \otimes h(X) \xrightarrow{\Delta_X^{sm}} h(X) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}$$

factors through  $h^{i+j}(X)$ .

If  $X$  has a weak MCK decomposition, then setting

$$A_{(j)}^i(X) := (\pi_{2i-j}^X)_* A^i(X) ,$$

one obtains a bigraded ring structure on the Chow ring: that is, the intersection product sends  $A_{(j)}^i(X) \otimes A_{(j')}^{i'}(X)$  to  $A_{(j+j')}^{i+i'}(X)$ .

It is expected (but not proven !) that for any  $X$  with a weak MCK decomposition, one has

$$A_{(j)}^i(X) \stackrel{??}{=} 0 \quad \text{for } j < 0 , \quad A_{(0)}^i(X) \cap A_{hom}^i(X) \stackrel{??}{=} 0 ;$$

this is related to Murre's conjectures B and D, that have been formulated for any CK decomposition [22].

The property of having an MCK decomposition is severely restrictive, and is closely related to Beauville's "(weak) splitting property" [5]. For more ample discussion, and examples of varieties with an MCK decomposition, we refer to [27, Section 8], as well as [30], [28], [10].

In what follows, we will make use of the following:

**Theorem 2.6** (Shen–Vial [27]). *Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^5(\mathbb{C})$  be a smooth cubic fourfold, and let  $X := F(Y)$  be the Fano variety of lines in  $Y$ . There exists a CK decomposition  $\{\pi_i^X\}$  for  $X$ , and*

$$(\pi_{2i-j}^X)_* A^i(X) = A_{(j)}^i(X) ,$$

where the right-hand side denotes the splitting of the Chow groups defined in terms of the Fourier transform as in [27, Theorem 2]. Moreover, we have

$$A_{(j)}^i(X) = 0 \quad \text{for } j < 0 \text{ and for } j > i .$$

In case  $Y$  is very general, the Fourier decomposition  $A_{(*)}^*(X)$  forms a bigraded ring, and hence  $\{\pi_i^X\}$  is a weak MCK decomposition.

*Proof.* (A remark on notation: what we denote  $A_{(j)}^i(X)$  is denoted  $CH^i(X)_j$  in [27].)

The existence of a CK decomposition  $\{\pi_i^X\}$  is [27, Theorem 3.3], combined with the results in [27, Section 3] to ensure that the hypotheses of [27, Theorem 3.3] are satisfied. According to [27, Theorem 3.3], the given CK decomposition agrees with the Fourier decomposition of the Chow groups. The "moreover" part is because the  $\{\pi_i^X\}$  are shown to satisfy Murre's conjecture B [27, Theorem 3.3].

The statement for very general cubics is [27, Theorem 3].  $\square$

**Remark 2.7.** Unfortunately, it is not yet known that the Fourier decomposition of [27] induces a bigraded ring structure on the Chow ring for *all* Fano varieties of smooth cubic fourfolds. For one thing, it has not yet been proven that  $A_{(0)}^2(X) \cdot A_{(0)}^2(X) \subset A_{(0)}^4(X)$  (cf. [27, Section 22.3] for discussion).

**2.3. Fano varieties of cubic fourfolds.** Let  $X$  be the Fano variety of lines on a smooth cubic fourfold. As we have seen (theorem 2.6), the Chow ring of  $X$  splits into pieces  $A_{(j)}^i(X)$ . The magnum opus [27] contains a detailed analysis of the multiplicative behaviour of these pieces. Here are the relevant results we will be needing:

**Theorem 2.8** (Shen–Vial [27]). *Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^5(\mathbb{C})$  be a smooth cubic fourfold, and let  $X := F(Y)$  be the Fano variety of lines in  $Y$ .*

(i) *There exists  $\ell \in A_{(0)}^2(X)$  such that intersecting with  $\ell$  induces an isomorphism*

$$\cdot \ell: A_{(2)}^2(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} A_{(2)}^4(X) .$$

(ii) *Intersection product induces a surjection*

$$A_{(2)}^2(X) \otimes A_{(2)}^2(X) \twoheadrightarrow A_{(4)}^4(X) .$$

*Proof.* Statement (i) is [27, Theorem 4]. Statement (ii) is [27, Proposition 20.3].  $\square$

### 3. MAIN RESULT

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^5(\mathbb{C})$  be a smooth cubic fourfold defined by an equation*

$$f(X_0, X_1, X_2) + g(X_3, X_4, X_5) = 0 ,$$

*where  $f$  and  $g$  define smooth plane curves. Let  $X = F(Y)$  be the Fano variety of lines in  $Y$ . Then for any  $a, a' \in A_{(j)}^4(X)$ , there is equality*

$$a \times a' - a' \times a = 0 \quad \text{in } A_{(2j)}^8(X \times X) .$$

*Proof.* Let  $u_Y: Y \rightarrow Y$  be the automorphism defined by the automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^5(\mathbb{C})$

$$[X_0: \cdots: X_5] \mapsto [X_0: X_1: X_2: \omega X_3: \omega X_4: \omega X_5] ,$$

where  $\omega$  is a primitive third root of unity. Let  $u_X \in \text{Aut}(X)$  denote the automorphism induced by  $u_Y$ . As explained in [6, Proof of Proposition 12],  $u_X$  is a symplectic automorphism, and the fixed locus  $B \subset X$  of  $u_X$  is isomorphic to  $E \times E'$ , where  $E$  and  $E'$  are the elliptic curves

$$E := Y \cap \{X_0 = X_1 = X_2 = 0\} ,$$

$$E' := Y \cap \{X_3 = X_4 = X_5 = 0\} .$$

What's more, as  $u_X$  is symplectic,  $\tau: B \rightarrow X$  is the inclusion of a symplectic submanifold and so restriction induces an isomorphism

$$\tau^*: H^{2,0}(X) \rightarrow H^{2,0}(B) .$$

This implies there is also an isomorphism of transcendental lattices

$$\tau^*: H_{tr}^2(X) \rightarrow H_{tr}^2(B)$$

(here  $H_{tr}^2() \subset H^2()$  is defined as the smallest Hodge sub-structure containing  $H^{2,0}$ ). A bit more formally, this means there is an isomorphism of homological motives

$$(1) \quad \Gamma = {}^t\Gamma_\tau + \Gamma': \quad (X, \pi_2^X, 0) \xrightarrow{\cong} (B, \pi_{2,tr}^B, 0) \oplus \mathbb{L}^{\oplus \rho} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\text{hom}} .$$

Here  $\mathbb{L}$  denotes the Lefschetz motive, and  $\rho$  is the dimension of the Néron–Severi group of  $X$ . The projector  $\pi_2^X$  is as in theorem 2.6, and  $\pi_{2,tr}^B$  is a projector defining the transcendental part of the motive of a surface as in [14].

As both sides of (1) are finite-dimensional motives (for the left-hand side, this follows from proposition 3.4), we can upgrade the isomorphism (1) to an isomorphism of Chow motives

$$(2) \quad \Gamma: \quad (X, \pi_2^X, 0) \xrightarrow{\cong} (B, \pi_{2,tr}^B, 0) \oplus \mathbb{L}^{\oplus \rho} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}} .$$

Since isomorphic Chow motives have isomorphic Chow groups, the isomorphism (2) implies there is an induced isomorphism

$$(\pi_{2,tr}^B \circ \Gamma \circ \pi_2^X)_*: \quad (\pi_2^X)_* A_{\text{hom}}^2(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\pi_{2,tr}^B)_* A_{\text{hom}}^2(B) \oplus A_{\text{hom}}^2(\mathbb{L}^{\oplus \rho}) .$$

But  $A_{(2)}^2() \subset A_{\text{hom}}^2()$ , and  $A_{\text{hom}}^2(\mathbb{L}) = 0$ , and so we find that restriction induces an isomorphism

$$(\pi_{2,tr}^B \circ {}^t\Gamma_\tau)_*: \quad A_{(2)}^2(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\pi_{2,tr}^B)_* A_{\text{hom}}^2(B) = A_{(2)}^2(B) = A_{AJ}^2(B) .$$

(Here, the notation  $A_{(2)}^2(B)$  refers to Beauville’s splitting of the Chow ring of abelian varieties [4].)

We are now in position to state a result that will serve as an intermediate step towards proving theorem 3.1:

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be as in theorem 3.1. For any  $b, b' \in A_{(2)}^2(X)$ , there is equality*

$$b \times b' - b' \times b = 0 \quad \text{in } A^4(X \times X) .$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Psi' \in A^2(B \times X)$  be inverse to  $\Gamma$  in the isomorphism (2), and let

$$\Psi := \pi_2^X \circ \Psi' \circ \pi_{2,tr}^B \in A^2(B \times X) .$$

It follows formally that  $\Psi$  induces isomorphisms

$$\Psi_*: \quad A_{(2)}^2(B) \xrightarrow{\cong} A_{(2)}^2(X) .$$

There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{(2)}^2(B) \otimes A_{(2)}^2(B) & \xrightarrow{\times} & A_{(4)}^4(B \times B) \\ \downarrow (\Psi_*, \Psi_*) & & \downarrow (\Psi \times \Psi)_* \\ A_{(2)}^2(X) \otimes A_{(2)}^2(X) & \xrightarrow{\times} & A^4(X \times X) \end{array}$$

and (as we have just seen) the left-hand vertical arrow is an isomorphism. To prove proposition 3.2, it thus suffices to prove the corresponding statement for the abelian surface  $B$ ; this is taken care of by a result of Voisin’s:

**Theorem 3.3** (Voisin [32]). *Let  $B$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g$ . For any  $c, c' \in A_{(g)}^g(B)$ , there is equality*

$$c \times c' - (-1)^g c' \times c = 0 \quad \text{in } A_{(2g)}^{2g}(B \times B) .$$

(This is [32, Example 4.40]. The notation  $A_{(*)}^*$  refers to Beauville's splitting of the Chow ring of an abelian variety [4].)

To go from proposition 3.2 to theorem 3.1, we apply theorem 2.8. Let us first suppose  $j = 2$ , i.e.  $a, a'$  are zero-cycles in  $A_{(2)}^4(X)$ . According to theorem 2.8(i), we can express  $a$  and  $a'$  as

$$a = b \cdot \ell, \quad a' = b' \cdot \ell,$$

for some (unique)  $b, b' \in A_{(2)}^2(X)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a \times a' - a' \times a &= (b \cdot \ell) \times (b' \cdot \ell) - (b' \cdot \ell) \times (b \cdot \ell) \\ &= (b \times b' - b' \times b) \cdot (\ell \times \ell) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{in } A^8(X \times X), \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from proposition 3.2.

Next, let us suppose  $j = 4$ , i.e.  $a, a'$  are zero-cycles in  $A_{(4)}^4(X)$ . According to theorem 2.8(ii), we can express  $a$  and  $a'$  as

$$a = b_1 \cdot b_2, \quad a' = b'_1 \cdot b'_2,$$

for some  $b_1, b_2, b'_1, b'_2 \in A_{(2)}^2(X)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a \times a' &= (b_1 \cdot b_2) \times (b'_1 \cdot b'_2) \\ &= (b_1 \times b'_1) \cdot (b_2 \times b'_2) \\ &= (b'_1 \times b_1) \cdot (b'_2 \times b_2) \\ &= (b'_1 \cdot b'_2) \times (b_1 \cdot b_2) \\ &= a' \times a \quad \text{in } A^8(X \times X), \end{aligned}$$

where in the middle we have used proposition 3.2. This settles the case  $j = 4$ . The remaining case  $j = 0$  is trivially true since  $A_{(0)}^4(X) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^5(\mathbb{C})$  be a cubic as in theorem 3.1, and let  $X = F(Y)$  be the Fano variety of lines in  $Y$ . Then  $Y$  and  $X$  have finite-dimensional motive.*

*Proof.* To establish finite-dimensionality of  $Y$  is an easy exercise in using what is commonly known as the “Shioda inductive structure” [29], [15]. Indeed, applying [15, Remark 1.10], we find there exists a dominant rational map

$$\phi: Y_1 \times Y_2 \dashrightarrow Y,$$

where  $Y_1, Y_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$  are smooth cubic surfaces defined as

$$\begin{aligned} f(X_0, X_1, X_2) + V^3 &= 0, \\ g(X_0, X_1, X_2) + W^3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The indeterminacy locus of  $\phi$  is resolved by blowing up the locus  $C_1 \times C_2 \subset Y_1 \times Y_2$ , where  $C_1, C_2$  are cubic curves. As  $Y_1, Y_2, C_1, C_2$  have finite-dimensional motive, the blow-up also has finite-dimensional motive. Since this blow-up dominates  $Y$ , the cubic  $Y$  has finite-dimensional motive.

The main result of [20] now implies that the Fano variety  $X = F(Y)$  also has finite-dimensional motive.  $\square$

$\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be as in theorem 3.1. The Hodge sub-structure*

$$\wedge^2 H^4(X) \subset H^8(X \times X)$$

*is supported on a divisor.*

*Proof.* As noted in [31], this follows Bloch–Srinivas style from the truth of conjecture 1.1. The idea is as follows. Let

$$\iota: X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$$

denote the involution switching the two factors. The correspondence

$$\wedge^2 \pi_4^X := (\Delta_{X \times X} - \Gamma_\iota) \circ (\pi_4^X \times \pi_4^X) \in A^8(X^4)$$

acts on cohomology as a projector on  $\wedge^2 H^4(X) \subset H^8(X \times X)$ . On the other hand, the  $j = 4$  case of theorem 3.1 implies that

$$(\wedge^2 \pi_4^X)_* A^8(X \times X) = 0.$$

Using the Bloch–Srinivas argument [8], this implies the correspondence  $\wedge^2 \pi_4^X$  is rationally equivalent to a cycle supported on  $X \times X \times D$  where  $D \subset X \times X$  is a divisor. Returning to the action of  $\wedge^2 \pi_4^X$  on cohomology, this implies

$$\wedge^2 H^4(X) = (\wedge^2 \pi_4^X)_* H^8(X \times X)$$

is supported on  $D$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** Using the work of Rieß [25], it is possible to extend theorem 3.1 to all hyperkähler varieties birational to the Fano variety  $X$  of theorem 3.1.

**Remark 3.7.** The family of Fano varieties of theorem 3.1 has been much studied, starting with Namikawa’s work [24]. It also appears in [16], and in [6, Proposition 12] (where it is proven that for appropriate choices of  $f$  and  $g$ , the Fano variety  $X$  is “ $N^2$ –maximal” in the sense that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} N^2 H^4(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

**Remark 3.8.** As the expert reader will have noticed, the crux of the proof of theorem 3.1 is the existence of a symplectic automorphism of  $X$  for which the fixed locus is an abelian surface. As such, it is natural to ask whether there are other families of Fano varieties of cubic fourfolds with this property.

However, if one adds the condition that the automorphism is of primary order and polarized, the Fano varieties as in theorem 3.1 are the only Fano varieties of cubic fourfolds with this property (this follows from the classification given in [9]; the case studied in theorem 3.1 appears as “family IV-2” in [9, Theorem 0.1], and there are no other instances where the fixed locus is an abelian surface).



**Acknowledgements.** *Thanks to all participants of the Strasbourg 2014/2015 “groupe de travail” based on the monograph [32] for a very stimulating atmosphere. Many thanks to Kai and Len for always respecting the rule not to bring toys into my office.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. André, Motifs de dimension finie (d’après S.-I. Kimura, P. O’Sullivan,...), Séminaire Bourbaki 2003/2004, Astérisque 299 Exp. No. 929, viii, 115—145,
- [2] A. Beauville, Some remarks on Kähler manifolds with  $c_1 = 0$ , in: Classification of algebraic and analytic manifolds (Katata, 1982), Birkhäuser Boston, Boston 1983,
- [3] A. Beauville, Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle, J. Differential Geom. 18 no. 4 (1983), 755—782,
- [4] A. Beauville, Sur l’anneau de Chow d’une variété abélienne, Math. Ann. 273 (1986), 647—651,
- [5] A. Beauville, On the splitting of the Bloch–Beilinson filtration, in: Algebraic cycles and motives (J. Nagel and C. Peters, editors), London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 344, Cambridge University Press 2007,
- [6] A. Beauville, Some surfaces with maximal Picard number, Journal de l’Ecole Polytechnique Tome 1 (2014), 101—116,
- [7] S. Bloch, Lectures on algebraic cycles (2nd edition), Cambridge University Press New Mathematical Monographs 16, Cambridge 2010,
- [8] S. Bloch and V. Srinivas, Remarks on correspondences and algebraic cycles, American Journal of Mathematics Vol. 105, No 5 (1983), 1235—1253,
- [9] L. Fu, Classification of polarized symplectic automorphisms of Fano varieties of cubic fourfolds, Glasgow Math. Journal 58 No. 1 (2016), 17—37,
- [10] L. Fu, Z. Tian and C. Vial, Motivic hyperkähler resolution conjecture for generalized Kummer varieties, arXiv:1608.04968,
- [11] F. Ivorra, Finite dimensional motives and applications (following S.-I. Kimura, P. O’Sullivan and others), in: Autour des motifs, Asian-French summer school on algebraic geometry and number theory, Volume III, Panoramas et synthèses, Société mathématique de France 2011,
- [12] U. Jannsen, Motivic sheaves and filtrations on Chow groups, in: Motives (U. Jannsen et alii, eds.), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics Vol. 55 (1994), Part 1,
- [13] U. Jannsen, On finite-dimensional motives and Murre’s conjecture, in: Algebraic cycles and motives (J. Nagel and C. Peters, editors), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2007,
- [14] B. Kahn, J. Murre and C. Pedrini, On the transcendental part of the motive of a surface, in: Algebraic cycles and motives (J. Nagel and C. Peters, editors), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2007,
- [15] T. Katsura and T. Shioda, On Fermat varieties, Tohoku Math. J. Vol. 31 No. 1 (1979), 97—115,
- [16] K. Kawatani, On the birational geometry for irreducible symplectic 4-folds related to the Fano schemes of lines, arXiv:0906.0654,
- [17] S. Kimura, Chow groups are finite dimensional, in some sense, Math. Ann. 331 (2005), 173—201,
- [18] R. Laterveer, Some results on a conjecture of Voisin for surfaces of geometric genus one, Boll. Unione Mat. Italiana 9 no. 4 (2016), 435—452,
- [19] R. Laterveer, Algebraic cycles and Todorov surfaces, to appear in Kyoto Journal of Mathematics, arXiv:1609.09629,
- [20] R. Laterveer, A remark on the motive of the Fano variety of lines on a cubic, Ann. Math. Québec 41 no. 1 (2017), 141—154,
- [21] R. Laterveer, Some desultory remarks concerning algebraic cycles and Calabi–Yau threefolds, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 65 (2) (2016), 333—344,
- [22] J. Murre, On a conjectural filtration on the Chow groups of an algebraic variety, parts I and II, Indag. Math. 4 (1993), 177—201,

- [23] J. Murre, J. Nagel and C. Peters, Lectures on the theory of pure motives, Amer. Math. Soc. University Lecture Series 61, Providence 2013,
- [24] Y. Namikawa, Deformation theory of singular symplectic  $n$ -folds, Math. Ann. 319 (2001), 597—623,
- [25] U. Rieß, On the Chow ring of birational irreducible symplectic varieties, Manuscripta Math. 145 (2014), 473—501,
- [26] T. Scholl, Classical motives, in: Motives (U. Jannsen et alii, eds.), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics Vol. 55 (1994), Part 1,
- [27] M. Shen and C. Vial, The Fourier transform for certain hyperKähler fourfolds, Memoirs of the AMS 240 (2016), no.1139,
- [28] M. Shen and C. Vial, The motive of the Hilbert cube  $X^{[3]}$ , Forum Math Sigma 4 (2016), 55 pages,
- [29] T. Shioda, The Hodge conjecture for Fermat varieties, Math. Ann. 245 (1979), 175—184,
- [30] C. Vial, On the motive of some hyperkähler varieties, J. für Reine u. Angew. Math. 725 (2017), 235—247,
- [31] C. Voisin, Remarks on zero-cycles of self-products of varieties, in: Moduli of vector bundles, Proceedings of the Taniguchi Congress (M. Maruyama, ed.), Marcel Dekker New York Basel Hong Kong 1994,
- [32] C. Voisin, Chow Rings, Decomposition of the Diagonal, and the Topology of Families, Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford, 2014,
- [33] C. Voisin, Remarks and questions on coisotropic subvarieties and 0-cycles of hyper-Kähler varieties, in: K3 Surfaces and Their Moduli, Proceedings of the Schiermonnikoog conference 2014 (C. Faber, G. Farkas, G. van der Geer, editors), Progress in Maths 315, Birkhäuser 2016.

INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE MATHÉMATIQUE AVANCÉE, CNRS – UNIVERSITÉ DE STRASBOURG, 7 RUE RENÉ DESCARTES, 67084 STRASBOURG CEDEX, FRANCE.

*E-mail address:* robert.laterveer@math.unistra.fr