

# A COMPARISON THEOREM FOR MW-MOTIVIC COHOMOLOGY

BAPTISTE CALMÈS AND JEAN FASEL

ABSTRACT. Let  $k$  be an infinite perfect field. We prove that  $H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(\text{Spec}(L), \mathbb{Z}) = K_n^{\text{MW}}(L)$  for any finitely generated field extension  $L/k$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. MW-motivic cohomology	2
2. Main theorem	10
References	17

## INTRODUCTION

This paper is the fourth of a series of papers ([1], [2] and [4]) devoted to the study of MW-motivic cohomology, which is a generalization of ordinary motivic cohomology. Our main purpose here is to compute the MW-motivic cohomology group of a field in bidegree  $(n, n)$ , namely the group  $H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})$ . In [2, Theorem 4.2.3], we defined a graded ring homomorphism

$$\Phi : K_*^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}$$

where the left-hand side is the unramified Milnor-Witt  $K$ -theory sheaf constructed in [7, §3] and the right-hand side is the Nisnevich sheaf associated to the presheaf  $U \mapsto H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(U, \mathbb{Z})$ . The homomorphism  $\Phi$  is obtained via a morphism of sheaves  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n} \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}$  and the right-hand side has the property to be strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant [2, Proposition 1.2.11, Theorem 3.2.9]. It follows that  $\Phi$  is then the universal morphism described in [7, Theorem 3.37]. In this article, we prove that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism. This can be checked on finitely generated field extensions of the base field  $k$  ([7, Theorem 1.12]) and thus our main theorem takes the following form.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 11E70, 13D15, 14F42, 19E15, 19G38; Secondary: 11E81, 14A99, 14C35, 19D45.

*Key words and phrases*. Finite correspondences, Milnor-Witt  $K$ -theory, Chow-Witt groups, Motivic cohomology.

The first author acknowledges the support of the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) under reference ANR-12-BL01-0005.

**Theorem.** *Let  $L/k$  be a finitely generated field extension with  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Then, the homomorphism of graded rings*

$$\Phi_L : \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} K_n^{\text{MW}}(L) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z}).$$

*is an isomorphism.*

The isomorphism in the theorem generalizes the result on (ordinary) motivic cohomology in the sense that the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} K_n^{\text{MW}}(L) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_L} & \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n^{\text{M}}(L) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

where the vertical homomorphisms are the “forgetful” homomorphisms and the bottom map is the isomorphism produced by Nesterenko-Suslin-Totaro. Unsurprisingly, our proof is very similar to theirs but there are some essential differences. For instance, the complex in weight one, denoted by  $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$ , admits an epimorphism to  $\mathbf{K}_1^{\text{MW}}$  paralleling the epimorphism  $\mathbb{Z}(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_1^{\text{M}}$ . However, we are not able to prove directly that the kernel of the epimorphism  $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_1^{\text{MW}}$  is acyclic. We are thus forced to compute by hand its cohomology at the right spot in Proposition 2.6. This result being obtained, we then prove that  $\Phi$  respects transfers for finitely generated field extensions. This is obtained in Theorem 2.8 using arguments essentially identical to [6, Lemma 5.11] or [8, Lemma 9.5].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we review the basics of MW-motivic cohomology needed in the paper, adding useful results. For instance, we prove a projection formula in Theorem 1.4 which is interesting on its own. In Section 2, we proceed with the proof of our main theorem, starting with the construction to a left inverse of  $\Phi$ . We then pass to the proof that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism in degree 1, which is maybe the most technical result of this work. As already mentioned above, we then conclude with the proof that  $\Phi$  respects transfers, obtaining as a corollary our main result.

**Conventions.** The schemes are separated of finite type over some perfect field  $k$  with  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . If  $X$  is a smooth connected scheme over  $k$ , we denote by  $\Omega_{X/k}$  the sheaf of differentials of  $X$  over  $\text{Spec}(k)$  and write  $\omega_{X/k} := \det \Omega_{X/k}$  for its canonical sheaf. In general we define  $\omega_{X/k}$  connected component by connected component. We use the same notation if  $X$  is the localization of a smooth scheme at any point. If  $k$  is clear from the context, we omit it from the notation. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of (localizations of) smooth schemes, we set  $\omega_f = \omega_{X/k} \otimes f^* \omega_{Y/k}^\vee$ . If  $X$  is a scheme and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $X^{(n)}$  the set of codimension  $n$  points in  $X$ .

## 1. MW-MOTIVIC COHOMOLOGY

The general framework of this article is the category of finite MW-correspondences as defined in [1, §4]. We briefly recall the construction of this category for the reader’s convenience. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth connected schemes over  $k$ , we say that a closed subset  $T \subset X \times Y$  is admissible if its irreducible components (endowed with their reduced structure) are finite and surjective over  $X$ . The set  $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$  of

admissible subsets of  $X \times Y$  can be ordered by inclusions, and we can consider it as a category. For any  $T \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ , we can consider the Chow-Witt group

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}_T^{d_Y}(X \times Y, \omega_Y)$$

where  $d_Y$  is the dimension of  $Y$  and  $\omega_Y = p_Y^* \omega_{Y/k}$  with  $p_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  the projection. If  $T \subset T'$  for two admissible subsets, then we consider the extension of support homomorphism

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}_T^{d_Y}(X \times Y, \omega_Y) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}_{T'}^{d_Y}(X \times Y, \omega_Y)$$

and set  $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(X, Y) = \lim_{T \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)} \widetilde{\text{CH}}_T^{d_Y}(X \times Y, \omega_Y)$ . The composition of finite MW-correspondences is obtained using the product of cycles in Chow-Witt groups with supports ([1, §4.2]) and we obtain the category  $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k$  whose objects are smooth schemes and morphisms are  $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(X, Y)$ . The exterior product endows  $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k$  with the structure of a symmetric monoidal category.

Having this category at hand, we may define the category of MW-presheaves  $\widetilde{\text{PSh}}_k$  as the category of additive functors  $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ . For any smooth scheme  $X$ , we can define the presheaf  $\tilde{c}(X)$  by  $Y \mapsto \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(Y, X)$  for any  $Y$  and thus obtain the Yoneda embedding functor  $\tilde{c}(\_) : \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{PSh}}_k$ . The category  $\widetilde{\text{PSh}}_k$  is a symmetric monoidal category, with tensor product  $\otimes$  uniquely defined by the property that the Yoneda embedding is monoidal, i.e. we have  $\tilde{c}(X) \otimes \tilde{c}(Y) = \tilde{c}(X \times Y)$ . One can also define an internal Hom functor  $\underline{\text{Hom}}$  which is characterized by the property that  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\tilde{c}(X), F) = F(X \times \_)$  for any  $F \in \widetilde{\text{PSh}}_k$ .

Recall next that we have a functor  $\tilde{\gamma} : \text{Sm}_k \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k$  which is the identity on objects and associates to a morphism of schemes the finite MW-correspondence described in [1, §4.3] (which is basically the graph). This yields a functor  $\tilde{\gamma}_* : \widetilde{\text{PSh}}_k \rightarrow \text{PSh}_k$  where the latter is the category of presheaves (of abelian groups) on  $\text{Sm}_k$ . As usual, we say that a presheaf with MW-transfer  $F$  is a sheaf in a topology  $\tau$ , and we write that  $F$  is a  $\tau$ -sheaf with MW-transfers, if  $\tilde{\gamma}_*(F)$  is a sheaf in this topology. Usually, we consider either the Zariski or the Nisnevich topology on  $\text{Sm}_k$ . Interestingly, the representable presheaves  $\tilde{c}(X)$  are Zariski sheaves with MW-transfers ([1, Proposition 5.11]) but not Nisnevich sheaves with transfers ([1, Example 5.12]). However, one can show that the sheaf associated to  $F \in \widetilde{\text{PSh}}_k$  can be endowed with a (unique) structure of a sheaf with MW-transfers ([2, Proposition 1.2.11]). Note that it is easy to check that if  $F$  is a  $\tau$ -sheaf with MW-transfers, then  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\tilde{c}(X), F)$  is also a  $\tau$ -sheaf with MW-transfers.

**1.1. Motivic cohomology.** Let  $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{1\}$  be the Zariski sheaf with MW-transfers which is the cokernel of the morphism

$$\tilde{c}(k) \rightarrow \tilde{c}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k})$$

induced by the unit in  $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ . For any  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we consider next the Zariski sheaf with MW-transfer  $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q\}$  defined by

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q\} = \begin{cases} \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{1\}^{\otimes q} & \text{if } q \geq 0. \\ \underline{\text{Hom}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{1\}^{\otimes q}, \tilde{c}(k)) & \text{if } q < 0. \end{cases}$$

Let now  $\Delta^\bullet$  be the cosimplicial object whose terms in degree  $n$  are

$$\Delta^n = \text{Spec}(k[t_0, \dots, t_n] / (\sum t_i - 1))$$

and with usual face and degeneracy maps. For any presheaf  $F \in \widetilde{\text{PSh}}_k$ , we obtain a simplicial presheaf  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\tilde{c}(\Delta^\bullet), F)$  whose associated complex of presheaves with MW-transfers is denoted by  $C_*^{\text{sing}}(F)$ . If  $F$  is further a  $\tau$ -sheaf with MW-transfers, then  $C_*^{\text{sing}} F$  is a complex of sheaves with MW-transfers. In particular,  $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(q) := C_*^{\text{sing}} \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q\}$  is such a complex and we have the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** For any  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and any smooth scheme  $X$ , we set

$$H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{H}_{\text{Zar}}^p(X, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(q)).$$

*Remark 1.2.* In [2, §3.2.13, Definition 3.3.5], the motivic cohomology groups are defined using the complexes associated to the simplicial Nisnevich sheaves with MW-transfers constructed from the Nisnevich sheaves with transfers associated to the presheaves  $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(q)$ . The two definitions coincide by [4, Corollary 4.0.5].

The complexes  $C_*^{\text{sing}} \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(q)$  are in fact complexes of Zariski sheaves of  $K_0^{\text{MW}}(k)$ -modules ([1, §5.3]), and it follows that the MW-motivic cohomology groups are indeed  $K_0^{\text{MW}}(k)$ -modules. These modules are by construction contravariantly functorial in  $X$ . Moreover, for any  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have a homomorphism of  $K_0^{\text{MW}}(k)$ -modules

$$H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

where the latter denotes the ordinary motivic cohomology group of  $X$ , with  $H^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $q < 0$  and the  $K_0^{\text{MW}}(k)$ -module structure on the right-hand side is obtained via the rank homomorphism  $K_0^{\text{MW}}(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  ([1, §6.1]).

Even though MW-motivic cohomology is defined a priori only for smooth schemes, it is possible to extend the definition to limits of smooth schemes, following the usual procedure (described for instance in [1, §5.1]). In particular, we can consider MW-motivic cohomology groups  $H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(L, \mathbb{Z})$  for any finitely generated field extension  $L/k$ . We will use this routinely in the sequel without further comments.

**1.2. The ring structure.** The definition of MW-motivic cohomology given in [2, Definition 3.3.5] immediately yields a (bigraded) ring structure on MW-motivic cohomology

$$H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_{\text{MW}}^{p',q'}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{p+p',q+q'}(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

fulfilling the following properties.

- (1) The product is (bi-)graded commutative in the sense that

$$H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_{\text{MW}}^{p',q'}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{p+p',q+q'}(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

is  $(-1)^{pp'}(-1)^{qq'}$ -commutative. In particular,  $H_{\text{MW}}^{0,0}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is central and the  $K_0^{\text{MW}}(k)$ -module structure is obtained via the ring homomorphism

$$K_0^{\text{MW}}(k) = H_{\text{MW}}^{0,0}(k, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{0,0}(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

- (2) The homomorphism  $H_{\text{MW}}^{*,*}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*,*}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is a graded ring homomorphism.

**1.3. A projection formula.** In this section, we prove a projection formula for finite surjective morphisms having trivial relative bundles. Let then  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite surjective morphism between smooth connected schemes, and let  $\chi : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \omega_f = \omega_{X/k} \otimes f^* \omega_{Y/k}^\vee$  be a fixed isomorphism. Recall from [1, Example 4.17] that we have then a finite MW-correspondence  $\alpha := \alpha(f, \chi) : Y \rightarrow X$  defined as the composite

$$\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(X) \simeq \mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(X, \omega_f) \xrightarrow{f_*} \widetilde{\text{CH}}_{\Gamma(X)}^{d_X}(Y \times X, \omega_{Y \times X/k} \otimes \omega_{Y/k}^\vee) \simeq \widetilde{\text{CH}}_{\Gamma(X)}^{d_X}(Y \times X, \omega_X)$$

where the first isomorphism is induced by  $\chi$ , the second homomorphism is the push-forward along the (transpose of the) graph  $\Gamma_f : X \rightarrow Y \times X$  and the third isomorphism is deduced from the isomorphisms of line bundles

$$\omega_{Y \times X/k} \otimes \omega_{Y/k}^\vee \simeq \omega_Y \otimes \omega_X \otimes \omega_{Y/k}^\vee \simeq \omega_X \otimes \omega_Y \otimes \omega_{Y/k}^\vee \simeq \omega_X$$

where the second isomorphism is  $(-1)^{d_X d_Y}$ -times the switch isomorphism.

We observe that  $\alpha$  induces a "push-forward" homomorphism  $F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)$  for any  $F \in \widetilde{\text{PSh}}_k$  through the composite

$$F(X) = \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{\text{PSh}}_k}(\tilde{c}(X), F) \xrightarrow{(-) \circ \alpha} \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{\text{PSh}}_k}(\tilde{c}(Y), F) = F(Y).$$

In particular, we obtain homomorphisms

$$f_* : H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$$

for any  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which depend on the choice of  $\chi$ .

On the other hand,  $f$  induces a finite MW-correspondence  $X \rightarrow Y$  that we still denote by  $f$  and therefore a pull-back homomorphism

$$f^* : H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

We will need the following lemma to prove the projection formula.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite surjective morphism between smooth connected schemes, and let  $\chi : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \omega_f$  be an isomorphism. Let  $\Delta_X$  (resp.  $\Delta_Y$ ) be the diagonal embedding  $X \rightarrow X \times X$  (resp.  $Y \rightarrow Y \times Y$ ). Then, the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\Delta_Y} & Y \times Y & \xrightarrow{(1 \times \alpha)} & Y \times X \\ \parallel & & & & \parallel \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X & \xrightarrow{\Delta_X} & X \times X \xrightarrow{f \times 1} Y \times X, \end{array}$$

i.e.  $(1 \times \alpha)\Delta_Y = (f \times 1)\Delta_X \alpha$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to compute both compositions, and we start with the top one. The composite of these two finite MW-correspondences is given by the commutative

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{(\Delta_Y \circ f)}^t} & Y \times Y \times X & \longrightarrow & Y \times X \\
\Gamma_{(\Delta_Y \circ f)}^t \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \times \Gamma_{(1 \times f)}^t & & \downarrow \Gamma_{(1 \times f)}^t \\
Y \times Y \times X & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{\Delta_Y} \times 1 \times 1} & Y \times Y \times Y \times Y \times X & \longrightarrow & Y \times Y \times Y \times X & \longrightarrow & Y \times X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
Y & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{\Delta_Y}} & Y \times Y \times Y & \longrightarrow & Y \times Y & & \\
& & \downarrow & & & & \\
& & Y & & & & 
\end{array}$$

where the squares are Cartesian and the non-labelled arrows are projections (vertically to the first factors and horizontally to the last factors). The composite is given by the push-forward along the projection  $p : Y \times Y \times Y \times Y \times X \rightarrow Y \times Y \times X$  defined by  $(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, x) \mapsto (y_1, y_4, x)$  of the product of the respective pull-backs to  $Y \times Y \times Y \times Y \times X$  of  $(\Gamma_{\Delta_Y})_*(\langle 1 \rangle)$  and  $(\Gamma_{(1 \times f)}^t)_*(\langle 1 \rangle)$ . Using the base change formula ([1, Proposition 3.2]), we see that it amounts to push-forward the product

$$(\Gamma_{\Delta_Y} \times 1 \times 1)_*(\langle 1 \rangle) \cdot (1 \times \Gamma_{(1 \times f)}^t)_*(\langle 1 \rangle).$$

Using the projection formula for Chow-Witt groups with supports ([1, Corollary 3.5]), the latter equals

$$(\Gamma_{\Delta_Y} \times 1 \times 1)_*((\Gamma_{\Delta_Y} \times 1 \times 1)^*((1 \times \Gamma_{(1 \times f)}^t)_*(\langle 1 \rangle)))$$

and the base-change formula once again shows that we have to push-forward along  $p$  the cycle

$$(\Gamma_{\Delta_Y} \times 1 \times 1)_*(\Gamma_{(\Delta_Y \circ f)}^t)_*(\langle 1 \rangle)$$

Finally, the equality  $p \circ (\Gamma_{\Delta_Y} \times 1 \times 1) = \text{id}$  shows that the composite  $(1 \times \alpha) \circ \Delta_Y$  is given by the correspondence  $(\Gamma_{(\Delta_Y \circ f)}^t)_*(\langle 1 \rangle)$ .

For the second composite, we consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_f^t} & Y \times X & \longrightarrow & X \\
\Gamma_{((f \times 1) \Delta_X)} \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \times \Gamma_{((f \times 1) \Delta_X)} & & \downarrow \Gamma_{((f \times 1) \Delta_X)} \\
X \times Y \times X & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_f^t \times 1 \times 1} & Y \times X \times Y \times X & \longrightarrow & X \times Y \times X & \longrightarrow & Y \times X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
X & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_f^t} & Y \times X & \longrightarrow & X & & \\
& & \downarrow & & & & \\
& & Y & & & & 
\end{array}$$

where, as before, the squares are Cartesian and the non-labelled arrows are projections (vertically to the first factors and horizontally to the last factors). Arguing as above, we find that the composite is the push-forward along the projection  $q : Y \times X \times Y \times X \rightarrow Y \times Y \times X$  omitting the second factor of the product

$$(\Gamma_f^t \times 1 \times 1)_*(\langle 1 \rangle) \cdot (1 \times \Gamma_{((f \times 1) \Delta_X)})_*(\langle 1 \rangle).$$

The projection and the base-change formulas show that the latter is equal to

$$(\Gamma_f^t \times 1 \times 1)_*(\Gamma_{((f \times 1)\Delta_X)})(\langle 1 \rangle)$$

whose push-forward along  $q$  is  $(\Gamma_{(\Delta_Y \circ f)}^t)_*(\langle 1 \rangle)$  as

$$q(\Gamma_f^t \times 1 \times 1)(\Gamma_{((f \times 1)\Delta_X)}) = \Gamma_{(\Delta_Y \circ f)}^t.$$

□

**Theorem 1.4** (Projection formula). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite surjective morphism between smooth connected schemes, and let  $\chi : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \omega_f$  be an isomorphism. For any  $x \in H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $y \in H_{\text{MW}}^{p',q'}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ , we have*

$$y \cdot f_*(x) = f_*(f^*y \cdot x)$$

in  $H_{\text{MW}}^{p+p',q+q'}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\widetilde{\text{DM}}^{\text{eff}}(k)$  be the category of MW-motives ([2, §3.2]). By [2, Corollary 3.3.8], we have  $H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{\text{DM}}^{\text{eff}}(k)}(\tilde{M}(X), \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q\}[p-q])$  for any  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The product structure on MW-motivic cohomology is obtained via the tensor product as follows. If  $x$  and  $x'$  are respectively in  $\text{Hom}_{\widetilde{\text{DM}}^{\text{eff}}(k)}(\tilde{M}(X), \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q\}[p-q])$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\widetilde{\text{DM}}^{\text{eff}}(k)}(\tilde{M}(X), \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q'\}[p'-q'])$ , we can take their tensor product to get a morphism  $x \otimes x'$  in  $\text{Hom}_{\widetilde{\text{DM}}^{\text{eff}}(k)}(\tilde{M}(X) \otimes \tilde{M}(X), \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q\} \otimes \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q'\}[p+p'-q-q'])$ . Now,  $\tilde{M}(X) \otimes \tilde{M}(X) = \tilde{M}(X \times X)$  and the diagonal morphism  $\Delta_X : X \rightarrow X \times X$  induces a morphism  $\tilde{M}(X) \rightarrow \tilde{M}(X \times X)$ . Composing the latter with  $x \otimes x'$ , we obtain a morphism  $x \cdot x' \in \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{\text{DM}}^{\text{eff}}(k)}(\tilde{M}(X), \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q\} \otimes \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q'\}[p+p'-q-q'])$  which represent the product of  $x$  and  $x'$  (after identification of  $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q\} \otimes \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q'\}$  with  $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q+q'\}$ ).

This being said, let then  $x \in \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{\text{DM}}^{\text{eff}}(k)}(\tilde{M}(X), \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q\}[p-q])$  and let  $y \in \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{\text{DM}}^{\text{eff}}(k)}(\tilde{M}(Y), \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q'\}[p'-q'])$ . The product  $y \cdot f_*(x)$  is then of the form  $(y \otimes x) \circ (1 \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta_Y$ , while  $f_*(f^*y \cdot x)$  is of the form  $(y \otimes x) \circ (f \otimes 1) \circ \Delta_X \circ \alpha$ . The result then follows from Lemma 1.3. □

*Remark 1.5.* It would suffice to have a fixed isomorphism  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L} \simeq \omega_f$  (for some line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$ ) to get an orientation in the sense of [1, §2.2] and thus a finite MW-correspondence  $\alpha$  as above. We let the reader make the necessary modifications in the arguments of both Lemma 1.3 and Theorem 1.4.

*Remark 1.6.* It follows from [2, Theorem 3.4.3] that the same formula holds for the left module structure, i.e.

$$f_*(x) \cdot y = f_*(x \cdot f^*y).$$

*Example 1.7.* As usual, it follows from the projection formula that the composite  $f_*f^*$  is multiplication by  $f_*(\langle 1 \rangle)$ . Let us now compute  $f^*f_*$  in some situations that will be used later. Let us start with the general situation, i.e.  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a finite surjective morphism and  $\chi : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \omega_f$  an isomorphism. The composite  $f^*f_*$  is given by precomposition with the correspondence  $f \circ \alpha(f, \chi)$  which we can compute

using the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
X \times_Y X & \xrightarrow{(1 \times 1)} & X \times X & \longrightarrow & X & & \\
(1 \times 1) \downarrow & & 1 \times \Gamma_f^t \downarrow & & \downarrow \Gamma_f^t & & \\
X \times X & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_f \times 1} & X \times Y \times X & \longrightarrow & Y \times X & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
X & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_f} & X \times Y & \longrightarrow & Y & & \\
& & \downarrow & & & & \\
& & X & & & & 
\end{array}$$

where the non-labelled vertical arrows are projections on the first factor and the non-labelled horizontal arrows are projections on the second factor. As usual, the base change formula shows that the composite is equal to the projection on  $X \times X$  of

$$(\Gamma_f \times 1)_*(\langle 1 \rangle) \cdot (1 \times \Gamma_f^t)_*(\langle 1 \rangle).$$

In general the top left square is not transverse, and we can't use the base-change formula to compute the above product.

Suppose now that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is finite and étale. In that case, we have a canonical isomorphism  $f^*\omega_Y \simeq \omega_X$  yielding a canonical choice for the isomorphism

$$\chi : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \omega_f.$$

Moreover,  $X \times_Y X$  decomposes as  $X \times_Y X = X_1 \sqcup X_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup X_n$  where each term  $X_i$  is finite and étale over  $X$  with "structural" morphism  $p_i : X_i \rightarrow X$ . In that case, the above top right square is transverse and we see that

$$(\Gamma_f \times 1)_*(\langle 1 \rangle) \cdot (1 \times \Gamma_f^t)_*(\langle 1 \rangle) = (\Gamma_f \times 1)_*(\Delta_* \sum (p_i)_*(\langle 1 \rangle)).$$

where  $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$  is the diagonal map. Thus the composite  $f \circ \alpha(f, \chi)$  is equal to  $\Delta_* \sum (p_i)_*(\langle 1 \rangle)$ . It follows immediately that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\sum p_i^*} & \bigoplus_i H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(X_i, \mathbb{Z}) \\
f_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \sum (p_i)_* \\
H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(Y, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Z})
\end{array}$$

for any  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Suppose next that  $\text{char}(k) = p$ , that  $X \subset Y \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is the set of zeroes of  $t^p - a$  for some global section  $a \in \mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$  (we still suppose that  $X$  is smooth over  $k$ ). In that case, we see that the reduced scheme of  $X \times_Y X$  is just  $X$  (but the former has nilpotent elements) and it follows that  $f \circ \alpha(f, \chi)$  is a correspondence supported on the diagonal  $\Delta(X) \subset X \times X$ . It follows that there is an element  $\sigma \in K_0^{\text{MW}}(X)$



such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(X) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(X, X) \\ \cdot \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow f \circ \alpha(f, \chi) \\ \mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(X) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(X, X) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are induced by the push-forward map  $\Delta_* : \mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}_{\Delta(X)}^{d_X}(X \times X, \omega_X)$ . Now,  $\sigma$  can be computed using the composite  $\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(k(X)) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(k(Y)) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(k(X))$ , where the first map is the push-forward (defined using  $\chi$ ) and the second map the pull-back. It follows essentially from [3, Lemme 6.4.6] that  $\sigma = p_\epsilon$ .

**1.4. The homomorphism.** Let  $L/k$  be a finitely generated field extension. It follows from the definition of MW-motivic cohomology that  $H_{\text{MW}}^{p,q}(L, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  provided  $p > q$ . The next step is then to identify  $H_{\text{MW}}^{p,p}(L, \mathbb{Z})$ . To this aim, we constructed in [2, Theorem 4.2.2] a graded ring homomorphism

$$K_*^{\text{MW}}(L) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})$$

which we now recall. For  $a \in L^\times$ , we can consider the corresponding morphism  $a : \text{Spec}(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$  which defines a finite MW-correspondence  $\Gamma_a$  in  $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(L, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$ . Now, we have a surjective homomorphism  $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(L, \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{1,1}(L, \mathbb{Z})$  and we let  $s([a])$  be the image of  $\Gamma_a$  under this map. Next, consider the element

$$\eta[t] \in \mathbf{K}_0^{\text{MW}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,L}) = \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(\mathbb{G}_{m,L}, k) = \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(\mathbb{G}_{m,k} \times L, k) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(\tilde{c}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}), \tilde{c}(k))(L).$$

We define  $s(\eta)$  to be its image under the projections

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\tilde{c}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}), \tilde{c}(k))(L) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{1\}, \tilde{c}(k))(L) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{-1,-1}(L, \mathbb{Z}).$$

The following theorem is proved in [2, Theorem 4.2.2] (using computations of [4, §6]).

**Theorem 1.8.** *The associations  $[a] \mapsto s([a])$  and  $\eta \mapsto s(\eta)$  induce a homomorphism of graded rings*

$$\Phi_L : K_*^{\text{MW}}(L) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z}).$$

By construction, the above homomorphism fits in a commutative diagram of graded rings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_*^{\text{MW}}(L) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_L} & \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_*^{\text{M}}(L) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

where the vertical projections are respectively the natural map from Milnor-Witt  $K$ -theory to Milnor  $K$ -theory and the ring homomorphism of the previous section, and the bottom horizontal homomorphism is the map constructed by Totaro-Nesterenko-Suslin.

## 2. MAIN THEOREM

**2.1. A left inverse.** In this section, we construct for  $q \geq 0$  a left inverse to the homomorphism  $\Phi_L$  of Section 1.4. By definition,

$$\tilde{c}(\mathbb{G}_m^q)(L) := \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{G}_{m,L}^q)^{(q)}} \widetilde{\mathrm{CH}}_x^q(\mathbb{G}_{m,L}^q, \omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^q}).$$

Now, for any point  $x$  in  $(\mathbb{G}_{m,L}^q)^{(q)}$  with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , we have an exact sequence

$$\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_{m,L}^q/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{L(x)/k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Using the fact that  $k$  is perfect and counting dimensions, we see that this sequence is also exact on the left. We find an isomorphism

$$\wedge^q(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^\vee \otimes \omega_{\mathbb{G}_{m,L}^q/k} \simeq \omega_{L(x)/k}$$

Now,  $\omega_{\mathbb{G}_{m,L}^q/k} \simeq p_1^* \omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^q/k} \otimes p_2^* \omega_{L/k}$  and it follows that

$$\wedge^q(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^\vee \otimes \omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^q} \simeq \omega_{L(x)/k} \otimes_L \omega_{L/k}^\vee$$

yielding

$$\tilde{c}(\mathbb{G}_m^q)(L) = \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{G}_{m,L}^q)^{(q)}} K_0^{\mathrm{MW}}(L(x), \omega_{L(x)/k} \otimes_L \omega_{L/k}^\vee).$$

Now any closed point  $x$  in  $(\mathbb{G}_{m,L}^q)^{(q)}$  can be identified with a  $q$ -uple  $(x_1, \dots, x_q)$  of elements of  $L(x)$ . For any such  $x$ , we define a homomorphism

$$f_x : K_0^{\mathrm{MW}}(L(x), \omega_{L(x)/k} \otimes_L \omega_{L/k}^\vee) \rightarrow K_q^{\mathrm{MW}}(L)$$

by  $f_x(\alpha) = \mathrm{Tr}_{L(x)/L}(\alpha \cdot [x_1, \dots, x_q])$ . We then obtain a homomorphism

$$f : \tilde{c}(\mathbb{G}_m^q)(L) \rightarrow K_q^{\mathrm{MW}}(L)$$

which is easily seen to factor through  $(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q\})(L)$  since  $[1] = 0 \in K_1^{\mathrm{MW}}(L)$ .

We now check that this homomorphism vanishes on the image of  $(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q\})(\mathbb{A}_L^1)$  in  $(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q\})(L)$  under the boundary homomorphism. This will follow from the next lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $Z \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{A}_L^1, \mathbb{G}_m^q)$ . Let moreover  $p : \mathbb{G}_{m,L}^q \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(L)$  and  $p_{\mathbb{A}_L^1} : \mathbb{A}_L^1 \times \mathbb{G}_m^q \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_L^1$  be the projections and  $Z_i := p_{\mathbb{A}_L^1}^{-1}(i) \cap Z$  (endowed with its reduced structure) for  $i = 0, 1$ . Let  $j_i : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_L^1$  be the inclusions in  $i = 0, 1$  and let  $g_i : \mathbb{G}_{m,L}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_L^1 \times \mathbb{G}_m^q$  be the induced maps. Then the homomorphisms*

$$p_*(g_i)^* : \widetilde{\mathrm{CH}}_Z^q(\mathbb{A}_L^1 \times \mathbb{G}_m^q, \omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^q}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{CH}}_{Z_i}^q(\mathbb{G}_{m,L}^q, \omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^q}) \rightarrow K_0^{\mathrm{MW}}(L)$$

are equal.

*Proof.* For  $i = 0, 1$ , consider the Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}_{m,L}^q & \xrightarrow{g_i} & \mathbb{A}_L^1 \times \mathbb{G}_{m,L}^q \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{\mathbb{A}_L^1} \\ \mathrm{Spec}(L) & \xrightarrow{j_i} & \mathbb{A}_L^1 \end{array}$$

We have  $(j_i)^*(p_{\mathbb{A}_L^1})_* = p_*(g_i)^*$  by base change. The claim follows from the fact that  $(j_0)^* = (j_1)^*$  by homotopy invariance.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *The homomorphism  $f : \tilde{c}(\mathbb{G}_m^q)(L) \rightarrow K_q^{\text{MW}}(L)$  induces a homomorphism*

$$\theta_L : H_{\text{MW}}^{q,q}(L, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_q^{\text{MW}}(L)$$

for any  $q \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Observe that the group  $H_{\text{MW}}^{q,q}(L, \mathbb{Z})$  is the cokernel of the homomorphism

$$\partial_0 - \partial_1 : \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q\}(\mathbb{A}_L^1) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\{q\}(L)$$

It follows from [1, Example 4.16] that  $\partial_i : \tilde{c}(\mathbb{G}_m^q)(\mathbb{A}_L^1) \rightarrow \tilde{c}(\mathbb{G}_m^q)(L)$  is induced by  $g_i^*$ . We can use the above lemma to conclude.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *The homomorphism*

$$\Phi_L : \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} K_n^{\text{MW}}(L) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z}).$$

is split injective.

*Proof.* It suffices to check that  $\theta_L \Phi_L = \text{id}$ , which is straightforward.  $\square$

The following result will play a role in the proof of the main theorem.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $F/L$  be a finite field extension. Then, the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(F, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\theta_F} & K_n^{\text{MW}}(F) \\ \text{Tr}_{F/L} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Tr}_{F/L} \\ H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\theta_L} & K_n^{\text{MW}}(L). \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a smooth connected scheme and let  $\beta \in \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(X, \mathbb{G}_m^{\times n})$  be a finite MW-correspondence with support  $T$  (see [1, Definition 4.7] for the notion of support). Each connected component  $T_i$  of  $T$  has a fraction field  $k(T_i)$  which is a finite extension of  $k(X)$  and, arguing as in the beginning of Section 2.1, we find that  $\beta$  can be seen as an element of

$$\bigoplus_i K_0^{\text{MW}}(k(T_i), \omega_{k(T_i)/k} \otimes \omega_{k(X)/k}^\vee)$$

Now, the morphism  $T_i \subset X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}$  gives invertible global sections  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  and we define a map

$$\theta_X : \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(X, \mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{MW}}(k(X))$$

by  $\beta \mapsto \sum \text{Tr}_{k(T_i)/k(X)}(\beta_i[a_1, \dots, a_n])$ , where  $\beta_i$  is the component of  $\beta$  in the group  $K_0^{\text{MW}}(k(T_i), \omega_{k(T_i)/k(X)})$ . This map is easily seen to be a homomorphism, and its limit at  $k(X)$  is the morphism defined at the beginning of Section 2.1.

Let now  $X$  and  $Y$  be smooth connected schemes over  $k$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite morphism and  $\chi : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \omega_f$  be an isomorphism inducing a finite MW-correspondence  $\alpha(f, \chi) : Y \rightarrow X$  as in Section 1.3. We claim that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(X, \mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}) & \xrightarrow{\theta_X} & K_n^{\text{MW}}(k(X)) \\ \circ \alpha(f, \chi) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Tr}_{k(X)/k(Y)} \\ \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(Y, \mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}) & \xrightarrow{\theta_Y} & K_n^{\text{MW}}(k(Y)), \end{array}$$

where the right arrow is obtained using  $\chi$ , commutes. If  $\beta$  is as above, we have

$$\text{Tr}_{k(X)/k(Y)}(\theta_X(\beta)) = \sum \text{Tr}_{k(X)/k(Y)}(\text{Tr}_{k(T_i)/k(X)}(\beta_i[a_1, \dots, a_n]))$$

and the latter is equal to  $\sum \text{Tr}_{k(T_i)/k(Y)}(\beta_i[a_1, \dots, a_n])$  by functoriality of the transfers. On the other hand, the isomorphism  $\chi : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \omega_f$  can be seen as an element in  $K_0^{\text{MW}}(X, \omega_f)$ , yielding an element of  $K_0^{\text{MW}}(k(X), \omega_f)$  that we still denote by  $\chi$ . The image of  $\beta \circ \alpha(f, \chi)$  can be seen as the element  $\beta \cdot \chi$  of

$$\bigoplus_i K_0^{\text{MW}}(k(T_i), \omega_{k(T_i)/k(Y)})$$

where we have used the isomorphism

$$\omega_{k(T_i)/k(X)} \otimes \omega_f = \omega_{k(T_i)/k(X)} \otimes \omega_{k(X)/k(Y)} \simeq \omega_{k(T_i)/k(Y)}.$$

It is now clear that  $\theta_Y(\beta \circ \alpha(f, \chi)) = \sum \text{Tr}_{k(T_i)/k(Y)}(\beta_i[a_1, \dots, a_n])$  and the result follows.  $\square$

**2.2. Proof of the main theorem.** In this section we prove our main theorem, namely that the homomorphism

$$\Phi_L : \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} K_n^{\text{MW}}(L) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism. We first observe that  $\Phi_L$  is an isomorphism in degrees  $\leq 0$ . In degree 0, we indeed know from [1, §6] that both sides are  $K_0^{\text{MW}}(L)$ . Next, [4, Lemma 6.0.1] yields

$$\Phi_L(\langle a \rangle) = \Phi_L(1 + \eta[a]) = 1 + s(\eta)s(a) = \langle a \rangle.$$

It follows  $\Phi_L$  is a homomorphism of graded  $K_0^{\text{MW}}(L)$ -algebras and the result in degrees  $< 0$  follows then from the fact that  $H_{\text{MW}}^{p,p}(L, \mathbb{Z}) = W(L) = K_{-p}^{\text{MW}}(L)$  by [1, §6] and [2, Proposition 4.1.2].

We now prove the result in positive degrees, starting with  $n = 1$ . Recall that we know from Corollary 2.3 that  $\Phi_L$  is split injective, and that it therefore suffices to prove that it is surjective to conclude.

For any  $d, n \geq 1$  and any field extension  $L/k$  let  $M_n^{(d)}(L) \subset \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(L, \mathbb{G}_m^{\times n})$  be the subgroup of correspondences whose support is a finite union of field extensions  $E/L$  of degree  $\leq d$  (see [1, Definition 4.7] for the notion of support of a correspondence). Let  $H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})^{(d)} \subset H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})$  be the image of  $M_n^{(d)}(L)$  under the surjective homomorphism

$$\widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(L, \mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Observe that

$$H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})^{(d)} \subset H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})^{(d+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z}) = \bigcup_{d \in \mathbb{N}} H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})^{(d)}.$$

**Lemma 2.5.** *The subgroup  $H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})^{(1)} \subset H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})$  is the image of the homomorphism*

$$\Phi_L : K_n^{\text{MW}}(L) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z}).$$

*Proof.* By definition, observe that the homomorphism  $K_n^{\text{MW}}(L) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})$  factors through  $H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})^{(1)}$ . Let then  $\alpha \in H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})^{(1)}$ . We may suppose that  $\alpha$  is the image under the homomorphism  $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(L, \mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})$  of a correspondence  $a$  supported on a field extension  $E/L$  of degree 1, i.e.  $E = L$ . It follows that  $a$  is determined by a form  $\phi \in K_0^{\text{MW}}(L)$  and a  $n$ -uple  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  of elements of  $L$ . This is precisely the image of  $\Phi_L(\phi \cdot [a_1, \dots, a_n])$  under the homomorphism  $K_n^{\text{MW}}(L) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *For any  $d \geq 2$ , we have  $H_{\text{MW}}^{1,1}(L, \mathbb{Z})^{(d)} \subset H_{\text{MW}}^{1,1}(L, \mathbb{Z})^{(d-1)}$ .*

*Proof.* By definition,  $H_{\text{MW}}^{1,1}(L, \mathbb{Z})^{(d)}$  is generated by correspondences whose supports are field extensions  $E/L$  of degree at most  $d$ . Such correspondences are determined by an element  $a \in E^\times$  given by the composite  $\text{Spec}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,L} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  together with a form  $\phi \in K_0^{\text{MW}}(E, \omega_{E/L})$  given by the isomorphism

$$K_0^{\text{MW}}(E, \omega_{E/L}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}_{\text{Spec}(E)}^1(\mathbb{G}_{m,L}, \omega_{\mathbb{G}_{m,L}/L}).$$

We denote this correspondence by the pair  $(a, \phi)$ . Recall from [1, Lemma 2.4] that there is a canonical orientation  $\xi$  of  $\omega_{E/L}$  and thus a canonical element  $\chi \in \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(\text{Spec}(L), \text{Spec}(E))$  yielding the transfer map

$$\text{Tr}_{E/L} : \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(\text{Spec}(E), \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(\text{Spec}(L), \mathbb{G}_m)$$

which is just the composition with  $\chi$  ([1, Example 4.17]). Now  $\phi = \psi \cdot \xi$  for  $\psi \in K_0^{\text{MW}}(E)$ , and it is straightforward to check that the Chow-Witt correspondence  $(a, \psi)$  in  $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(\text{Spec}(E), \mathbb{G}_m)$  determined by  $a \in E^\times$  and  $\psi \in K_0^{\text{MW}}(E)$  satisfies  $\text{Tr}_{E/L}(a, \psi) = (a, \phi)$ . Now  $(a, \psi) \in H_{\text{MW}}^{1,1}(E, \mathbb{Z})^{(1)}$  and therefore belongs to the image of the homomorphism  $K_1^{\text{MW}}(E) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{1,1}(E, \mathbb{Z})$ . There exists thus  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m \in E^\times$  (possibly equal) such that  $(a, \psi) = \sum s(a_i) - \sum s(b_j)$ . To prove the lemma, it suffices then to show that  $\text{Tr}_{E/L}(s(b)) \in H_{\text{MW}}^{1,1}(L, \mathbb{Z})^{(d-1)}$  for any  $b \in E^\times$ .

Let thus  $b \in E^\times$ . By definition,  $s(b) \in H^{1,1}(E, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}})$  is the class of the correspondence  $\tilde{\gamma}(b)$  associated to the morphism of schemes  $\text{Spec}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  corresponding to  $b$ . If  $F(b) \subset E$  is a proper subfield, we see that  $\text{Tr}_{E/L}(s(b)) \in H^{1,1}(F, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}})^{(d-1)}$ , and we may thus suppose that the minimal polynomial  $p$  of  $b$  over  $F$  is of degree  $d$ . By definition,  $\text{Tr}_{E/L}(s(b))$  is then represented by the correspondence associated to the pair  $(b, \langle 1 \rangle \cdot \xi)$ . Consider the total residue homomorphism (twisted by the vector space  $\omega_{F[t]/k} \otimes \omega_{F/k}^\vee$ )

$$(1) \quad \partial : K_1^{\text{MW}}(F(t), \omega_{F(t)/k} \otimes \omega_{F/k}^\vee) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{G}_{m,F}^{(1)}} K_0^{\text{MW}}(F(x), (\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2)^\vee \otimes_{F[t]} \omega_{F[t]/k} \otimes \omega_{F/k}^\vee)$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  is the maximal ideal corresponding to  $x$ . Before working further with this homomorphism, we first identify  $(\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2)^\vee \otimes_{F[t]} \omega_{F[t]/k} \otimes \omega_{F/k}^\vee$ . Consider the canonical exact sequence of  $F(x)$ -vector spaces

$$\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{F[t]/k} \otimes_{F[t]} F(x) \rightarrow \Omega_{F(x)/k} \rightarrow 0.$$

A comparison of the dimensions shows that the sequence is also left exact (use the fact that  $F(x)$  is the localization of a smooth scheme of dimension  $d$  over the perfect field  $k$ ), and we thus get a canonical isomorphism

$$\omega_{F[t]/k} \otimes_{F[t]} F(x) \simeq \mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2 \otimes_{F(x)} \omega_{F(x)/k}.$$

It follows that

$$(\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2)^\vee \otimes_{F[t]} \omega_{F[t]/k} \otimes \omega_{F/k}^\vee \simeq \omega_{F(x)/k} \otimes_F \omega_{F/k}^\vee.$$

We can thus rewrite the residue homomorphism (1) as a homomorphism

$$\partial : K_1^{\text{MW}}(F(t), \omega_{F(t)/k} \otimes \omega_{F/k}^\vee) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{A}_F^1 \setminus 0)^{(1)}} K_0^{\text{MW}}(F(x), \omega_{F(x)/k} \otimes_F \omega_{F/k}^\vee)$$

Moreover, an easy dimension count shows that the canonical exact sequence

$$\Omega_{F/k} \otimes F[t] \rightarrow \Omega_{F[t]/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{F[t]/F} \rightarrow 0$$

is also exact on the left, yielding a canonical isomorphism  $\omega_{F(t)/k} \simeq \omega_{F/k} \otimes \omega_{F(t)/F}$  and thus a canonical isomorphism  $\omega_{F/k}^\vee \otimes \omega_{F(t)/k} \simeq \omega_{F(t)/F}$ . If  $n$  is the transcendence degree of  $F$  over  $k$ , we see that the canonical isomorphism

$$\omega_{F/k}^\vee \otimes \omega_{F(t)/k} \simeq \omega_{F(t)/k} \otimes \omega_{F/k}^\vee$$

is equal to  $(-1)^{n(n+1)}$ -times the switch isomorphism, i.e. is equal to the switch isomorphism. Altogether, the residue homomorphism reads as

$$\partial : K_1^{\text{MW}}(F(t), \omega_{F(t)/F}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{A}_F^1 \setminus 0)^{(1)}} K_0^{\text{MW}}(F(x), \omega_{F(x)/k} \otimes_F \omega_{F/k}^\vee).$$

Let now  $p(t) \in F[t]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $b$  over  $F$ . Following [7, Definition 4.26] (or [1, §2]), write  $p(t) = p_0(t^{l^m})$  with  $p_0$  separable and set  $\omega = p'_0(t^{l^m}) \in F[t]$  if  $\text{char}(k) = l$ . If  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , set  $\omega = p'(t)$ . It is easy to see that the element  $\langle \omega \rangle [p] \cdot dt$  of  $K_1^{\text{MW}}(F(t), \omega_{F(t)/F})$  ramifies in  $b \in \mathbb{G}_{m,F}^{(1)}$  and on (possibly) other points corresponding to field extensions of degree  $\leq d-1$ . Moreover, the residue at  $b$  is exactly  $\langle 1 \rangle \cdot \xi$ , where  $\xi$  is the canonical orientation of  $\omega_{F(b)/F}$ .

Write the minimal polynomial  $p(t) \in F[t]$  of  $b$  as  $p = \sum_{i=0}^d \lambda_i t^i$  with  $\lambda_d = 1$  and  $\lambda_0 \in F^\times$ , and decompose  $\omega = c \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{m_j}$ , where  $c \in F^\times$  and  $q_j \in F[t]$  are irreducible monic polynomials. Let  $f = (t-1)^{d-1}(t-(-1)^d \lambda_0) \in F[t]$ . Observe that  $f$  is monic and satisfies  $f(0) = p(0)$ . Let  $F(u, t) = (1-u)p + uf$ . Since  $f$  and  $p$  are monic and have the same constant terms, it follows that  $F(u, t) = t^d + \dots + \lambda_0$  and therefore  $F$  defines an element of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{A}_F^1, \mathbb{G}_m)$ . For the same reason, every  $q_j$  (seen as a polynomial in  $F[u, t]$  constant in  $u$ ) defines an element in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{A}_F^1, \mathbb{G}_m)$ . The image of  $\langle \omega \rangle [F] \cdot dt \in K_1^{\text{MW}}(F(u, t), \omega_{F(u, t)/F(u)})$  under the residue homomorphism

$$\partial : K_1^{\text{MW}}(F(u, t), \omega_{F(u, t)/F(u)}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{A}_F^1 \times_k \mathbb{G}_m)^{(1)}} K_0^{\text{MW}}(F(x), (\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2)^\vee \otimes_{F[t]} \omega_{F[u, t]/F[u]})$$

is supported on the vanishing locus of  $F$  and the  $g_j$ , and it follows that it defines a finite Chow-Witt correspondence  $\alpha$  in  $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(\mathbb{A}_F^1, \mathbb{G}_m)$ . The evaluation  $\alpha(0)$  of  $\alpha$  at  $u = 0$  consists from  $\langle 1 \rangle \cdot \xi$  and correspondences supported on the vanishing locus of the  $q_j$ , while  $\alpha(1)$  is supported on the vanishing locus of  $f$  and the  $q_j$ . The class of  $\langle 1 \rangle \cdot \xi$  is then an element of  $H_{\text{MW}}^{1,1}(L, \mathbb{Z})^{(d-1)}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7.** *The homomorphism*

$$\Phi_L : K_1^{\text{MW}}(L) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{1,1}(F, \mathbb{Z})$$

*is an isomorphism for any finitely generated field extension  $F/k$ .*

*Proof.* We know that the homomorphism is (split) injective. The above proposition shows that  $H_{\text{MW}}^{1,1}(F, \mathbb{Z}) = H_{\text{MW}}^{1,1}(F, \mathbb{Z})^{(1)}$  and the latter is the image of  $K_1^{\text{MW}}(L)$  under  $\Phi_L$ . It follows that  $\Phi_L$  is surjective.  $\square$

We can now prove that  $\theta$  respects transfers following [6, Lemma 5.11] and [8, Lemma 9.5].

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $F/L$  be a finite field extension. Then the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_n^{\text{MW}}(F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_F} & H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(F, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \text{Tr}_{F/L} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Tr}_{F/L} \\ K_n^{\text{MW}}(L) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_L} & H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z}). \end{array}$$

*Proof.* First, we know from Proposition 2.4 that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(F, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\theta_F} & K_n^{\text{MW}}(F) \\ \text{Tr}_{F/L} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Tr}_{F/L} \\ H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\theta_L} & K_n^{\text{MW}}(L). \end{array}$$

commutes. If  $\Phi_F$  and  $\Phi_L$  are isomorphisms, it follows from Corollary 2.3 that  $\theta_F$  and  $\theta_L$  are their inverses and thus that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_n^{\text{MW}}(F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_F} & H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(F, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \text{Tr}_{F/L} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Tr}_{F/L} \\ K_n^{\text{MW}}(L) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_L} & H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z}). \end{array}$$

also commutes. We may then suppose, using Corollary 2.7 that  $n \geq 2$ . Additionally, we may suppose that  $[F : L] = p$  for some prime number  $p$ . Following [6, Lemma 5.11], we first assume that  $L$  has no field extensions of degree prime to  $p$ . In that case, it follows from [7, Lemma 3.25] that  $K_n^{\text{MW}}(F)$  is generated by elements of the form  $\eta^m[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+m}]$  with  $a_1 \in F^\times$  and  $a_i \in L^\times$  for  $i \geq 2$ . We conclude from the projection formula 1.4, its analogue in Milnor-Witt  $K$ -theory and the fact that  $\Phi$  is a ring homomorphism that the result holds in that case.

Let's now go back to the general case, i.e.  $[F : L] = p$  without further assumptions. Let  $L'$  be the maximal prime-to- $p$  field extension of  $L$ . Let  $\alpha \in H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})$  be such that its pull-back to  $H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L', \mathbb{Z})$  vanishes. It follows then that there exists a finite field extension  $E/L$  of degree  $m$  prime to  $p$  such that the pull-back of  $\alpha$  to  $H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(E, \mathbb{Z})$  is trivial. Let  $f : \text{Spec}(E) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L)$  be the corresponding morphism. For any unit  $b \in E^\times$ , we have  $\langle b \rangle \cdot f^*(\alpha) = 0$  and it follows from the projection formula once again that  $f_*(\langle b \rangle \cdot f^*(\alpha)) = f_*(\langle b \rangle) \cdot \alpha = 0$ . We claim that there is a unit  $b \in E^\times$  such that  $f_*(\langle b \rangle) = m_\epsilon$ . Indeed, we can consider the factorization

$L \subset F^{\text{sep}} \subset E$  where  $F^{\text{sep}}$  is the separable closure of  $L$  in  $E$  and the extension  $F^{\text{sep}} \subset E$  is purely inseparable. If the claim holds for each extension, then it holds for  $L \subset E$ . We may thus suppose that the extension is either separable or purely inseparable. In the first case, the claim follows from [9, Lemme 2] while the second case follows from [3, Théorème 6.4.13]. Thus, for any  $\alpha \in H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})$  vanishing in  $H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L', \mathbb{Z})$  there exists  $m$  prime to  $l$  such that  $m_\epsilon \alpha = 0$ .

Let now  $\alpha \in K_n^{\text{MW}}(F)$  and  $t(\alpha) = (\text{Tr}_{F/L} \circ \Phi_F - \Phi_L \circ \text{Tr}_{F/L})(\alpha) \in H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})$ . Pulling back to  $L'$  and using the previous case, we find that  $m_\epsilon t(\alpha) = 0$ . On the other hand, the above arguments show that if the pull-back of  $t(\alpha)$  to  $F$  is trivial, then  $p_\epsilon t(\alpha) = 0$  and thus  $t(\alpha) = 0$  as  $(p, m) = 1$ . Thus, we are reduced to show that  $f^*(t(\alpha)) = 0$  where  $f : \text{Spec}(F) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L)$  is the morphism corresponding to  $L \subset F$ .

Suppose first that  $F/L$  is purely inseparable. In that case, we know from Example 1.7 that  $f^*f_* : H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(F, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(F, \mathbb{Z})$  is multiplication by  $p_\epsilon$ . The same property holds for Milnor-Witt  $K$ -theory. This is easily checked using the definition of the transfer, or alternatively using Proposition 2.4, the fact that  $\theta_F$  is surjective and Example 1.7. Altogether, we see that  $f^*t(\alpha) = p_\epsilon \Phi_F(\alpha) - \Phi_F(p_\epsilon \cdot \alpha)$  and therefore  $f^*t(\alpha) = 0$  since  $\Phi_F$  is  $K_0^{\text{MW}}(F)$ -linear.

Suppose next that  $F/L$  is separable. In that case, we have  $F \otimes_L F = \prod_i F_i$  for field extensions  $F_i/F$  of degree  $\leq p-1$ . We claim that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_n^{\text{MW}}(F) & \longrightarrow & \oplus_i K_n^{\text{MW}}(F_i) \\ \text{Tr}_{F/L} \downarrow & & \downarrow \sum \text{Tr}_{F_i/F} \\ K_n^{\text{MW}}(L) & \longrightarrow & K_n^{\text{MW}}(F) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(F, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \oplus_i H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(F_i, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \text{Tr}_{F/L} \downarrow & & \downarrow \sum \text{Tr}_{F_i/F} \\ H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(F, \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

commute. The second one follows from Example 1.7 and the first one from [8, Lemma 9.4] (or alternatively from Proposition 2.4, the fact that  $\theta_F$  is surjective and Example 1.7). By induction, each of the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_n^{\text{MW}}(F_i) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{F_i}} & H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(F_i, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \text{Tr}_{F_i/F} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Tr}_{F_i/F} \\ K_n^{\text{MW}}(F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_F} & H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(F, \mathbb{Z}). \end{array}$$

commute, and it follows that  $f^*(t(\alpha)) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** *The homomorphism*

$$\Phi_L : K_n^{\text{MW}}(L) \rightarrow H_{\text{MW}}^{n,n}(L, \mathbb{Z})$$

*is an isomorphism for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and any finitely generated field extension  $L/k$ .*

*Proof.* As in degree 1, it suffices to prove that  $\Phi_L$  is surjective. Let then  $\alpha \in \widetilde{\text{Cor}}_k(L, \mathbb{G}_m^n)$  be a finite Chow-Witt correspondence supported on  $\text{Spec}(F) \subset (\mathbb{A}_L^1)^n$ .



Such a correspondence is determined by an  $n$ -uple  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (F^\times)^n$  together with a bilinear form  $\phi \in \mathrm{GW}(F, \omega_{F/L})$ . Arguing as in Proposition 2.6, we see that such a finite MW-correspondence is of the form  $\mathrm{Tr}_{F/L}(\Phi_F(\beta))$  for some  $\beta \in K_n^{\mathrm{MW}}(F)$ . The result now follows from Theorem 2.8.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Baptiste Calmès and Jean Fasel. The category of finite *MW*-correspondences. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.2989>, 2014.
- [2] F. Déglise and J. Fasel. *MW*-motivic complexes. In preparation, 2016.
- [3] J. Fasel. Groupes de Chow-Witt. *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)*, 113:viii+197, 2008.
- [4] J. Fasel and P. A. Østvær. A cancellation theorem for *MW*-motives. In preparation, 2016.
- [5] E. Kunz. *Kähler differentials*. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics. Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, Frider. Vieweg & Sohn edition, 1986.
- [6] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, and C. Weibel. *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, volume 2 of *Clay Mathematics Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [7] F. Morel.  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Algebraic Topology over a Field, volume 2052 of *Lecture Notes in Math*. Springer, New York, 2012.
- [8] A. Neshitov. Framed correspondences and Milnor-Witt *K*-theory. arXiv:1410.7417. To appear in J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, 2014.
- [9] J.-P. Serre. *Corps locaux*. Publications de l’institut mathématique de l’université de Nancago. 1968.

*E-mail address:* baptiste.calmes@univ-artois.fr

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE LENS, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES JEAN PERRIN, UNIVERSITÉ D’ARTOIS, RUE JEAN SOUVRAZ SP 18, 62307 LENS CEDEX, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* jean.fasel@gmail.com

INSTITUT FOURIER-UMR 5582, UNIVERSITÉ GRENOBLE-ALPES, CS 40700, 38058 GRENOBLE CEDEX 9, FRANCE