

URu₂Si₂ under intense magnetic fields: from hidden order to spin-density wave

W. Knafo^{a,*}, D. Aoki^{b,c}, G.W. Scheerer^{a,d}, F. Duc^a, F. Bourdarot^e, K.
Kuwahara^f, H. Nojiri^g, L.-P. Regnault^h, J. Flouquet^b

^a*Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Intenses, UPR 3228,
CNRS-UPS-INS-UGA, 143 Avenue de Rangueil, 31400 Toulouse, France*

^b*Service Photonique, Electronique et Ingénierie Quantiques, Université Grenoble Alpes et
Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique, INAC, 17 rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble, France*

^c*Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Ibaraki 311-1313, Japan*

^d*DQMP, University of Geneva, 1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland*

^e*Service de Modélisation et d'Exploration des Matériaux, Université Grenoble Alpes et
Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique, INAC, 17 rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble, France*

^f*Institute of Quantum Beam Science, Ibaraki University, Mito 310-8512, Japan*

^g*Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan*

^h*Institut Laue-Langevin, 71 Avenue des Martyrs, CS 20156, 38042 Grenoble, France*

Abstract

A review of recent state-of-the-art pulsed field experiments performed on URu₂Si₂ under a magnetic field applied along its easy magnetic axis **c** is given. Resistivity, magnetization, magnetic susceptibility, Shubnikov-de Haas, and neutron diffraction experiments are presented, permitting to emphasize the relationship between Fermi surface reconstructions, the destruction of the hidden-order and the appearance of a spin-density wave state in a high magnetic field.

Keywords: URu₂Si₂, heavy fermions, hidden order, high magnetic field, spin-density wave, Fermi surface

Over the last four decades, the physics of URu₂Si₂ has revealed a unique richness in the family of strongly-correlated electrons systems [1]. In spite of a huge experimental and theoretical effort, none has been able to propose a consensual description of its low temperature ground state, which develops below the transition temperature $T_0 = 17.5$ K, and whose order parameter remains unknown. In this hidden-order phase, strong intersite magnetic fluctuations

*Corresponding author

have been observed by inelastic neutron scattering at two particular wavevectors $\mathbf{k}_0 = (0\ 0\ 1)$, which is equivalent to $(1\ 0\ 0)$, and $\mathbf{k}_1 = (0.6\ 0\ 0)$ [2, 3]. A change of the carrier mobility was found to coincide with the establishment of the hidden order [4, 5, 6, 7], being a first indication of the interplay between the Fermi surface, the hidden-order and, thus, the magnetic properties of this itinerant magnet. Under hydrostatic pressure, signatures of a phase transition at the critical pressure $p_c \simeq 0.5$ GPa have been observed by thermal expansion and neutron diffraction, revealing the pressure-induced stabilization of long-range antiferromagnetic ordering of moments of amplitude $0.3 - 0.4\ \mu_b/U$, with the wavevector \mathbf{k}_0 [8, 9, 10]. Shubnikov-de Haas quantum oscillations of the magnetoresistivity indicated almost similar Fermi surfaces in the low-pressure hidden-order and high-pressure antiferromagnetic phases, leading to the proposition that the hidden order, although of unknown nature, has the same periodicity with wavevector \mathbf{k}_0 than the high-pressure antiferromagnetic order [11]. We note that the low-pressure and low-field hidden-order phase has initially been labeled as an antiferromagnetic phase [12], following the observation of a small magnetic moment of amplitude $\simeq 0.02 - 0.03\ \mu_b/U$ ordering with the wavevector \mathbf{k}_0 at temperatures below T_0 . However, this small moment cannot explain the large entropy associated with the transition and has been later assigned as non-intrinsic and due to sample inhomogeneity [13]. When a magnetic field \mathbf{H} is applied along the easy magnetic axis \mathbf{c} of this Ising system, a cascade of three first-order transitions in a narrow magnetic field window was reported in early high-field experiments [14, 15], indicating the destruction of the hidden-order phase in fields higher than 35 T, the stabilization of field-induced phases in magnetic fields between 35 and 39 T, and the setting-up of a paramagnetic polarized regime in fields higher than 39 T.

In this paper, we present a review of recent high-field experiments performed on high-quality URu_2Si_2 single crystals using state-of-the-art pulsed magnetic field experiments at the LNCMI-Toulouse high-field facility [16, 17] and at the ILL-Grenoble neutron source [18]. Magnetization has been measured by compensated coils and resistivity by the four-point technique using 60-T pulsed

magnets at the Toulouse site. Neutron scattering has been carried out using a transportable 40-T pulsed magnet on the triple axis spectrometer IN22 (CRG-CEA) at the ILL. For all magnetization and resistivity measurements presented here, the magnetic field has been applied along the easy magnetic axis \mathbf{c} of URu₂Si₂. For the neutron scattering experiment, a field slightly tilted by 4.2 ° from the c -axis was applied, with no incidence on the magnetic properties expected for $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$ [19].

Figure 1(a) presents the magnetization M of URu₂Si₂ measured at $T = 1.5$ K in magnetic fields $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$. The three first-order phase transitions are associated with step-like variations of the magnetization at the critical fields $\mu_0 H_1 = 35$ T, $\mu_0 H_2 = 36/37$ T (rising/falling fields), and $\mu_0 H_3 = 39$ T. In fields smaller than H_1 , an almost linear increase of $M(H)$ is associated with a large magnetic susceptibility ($\chi \simeq 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ emu/mol.Oe, see Figure 2(a)) typical of a heavy-fermion behavior. The hidden-order is destroyed at H_1 and is replaced by field-induced phases for $H_1 < H < H_3$, where a magnetization plateau corresponds to approximately half of the total variation of M between H_1 and H_3 (the step in the magnetization at H_2 is much smaller than those at H_1 and H_3). For $H > H_3$, the magnetization reaches a large value $> 1.3 \mu_B/\text{U}$ characteristic of a polarized paramagnetic regime, and continues to slowly increase with field, probably because of remaining unquenched magnetic fluctuations.

As shown in Figure 1(b), a neutron diffraction elastic Bragg peak at the wavevector \mathbf{k}_1 develops for $H_1 < H < H_3$ (measurement at the neutron momentum transfer $\mathbf{Q} = (0.6 \ 0 \ 0)$, which corresponds to the wavevector \mathbf{k}_1 via the relation $\mathbf{Q} = \boldsymbol{\tau} + \mathbf{k}_1$, where $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (0 \ 0 \ 0)$ is a structural Bragg position). As detailed in Ref. [18], this Bragg peak is the signature of a spin-density wave, i.e., a sine-modulation of magnetic moments, with an amplitude $2M(\mathbf{k}_1) \simeq 0.5 \pm 0.05 \mu_B/\text{U}$ at $\mu_0 H = 36$ T. This amplitude is related with the variation $\Delta M \simeq 0.4 - 0.5 \mu_B/\text{U}$ in the magnetization between 36 T and just above $H_3 = 39$ T, which is driven by the field-induced alignment (parallel to the field) of the moments ordered with wavevector \mathbf{k}_1 for $H < H_3$. A small decrease of the neutron intensity at $\mu_0 H_2 = 36/37$ T, with a Hysteresis similar than in

the magnetization measurement (see Fig. 1(a)), indicates a subtle change at H_2 in the magnetic structure. Further high-resolution neutron diffraction experiments are needed to determine the field-variation of the magnetic structure in the spin-density wave state.

The magnetoresistivity $\rho_{x,x}$ versus magnetic field of three different samples is presented in Fig. 1(c) (from Refs. [16, 20]). The three transitions at H_1 , H_2 , and H_3 are associated with sharp step-like variations delimiting two plateaus in the magnetoresistivity, where the value of $\rho_{x,x}$ is smaller for $H_1 < H < H_2$ than for $H_2 < H < H_3$. While $\rho_{x,x}$ is almost sample-independent for $H > H_1$, its value is strongly sample-dependent for $H < H_1$, i.e., in the hidden-order phase. As discussed in Refs. [16, 17], the larger the residual resistivity ratio, the larger the field-induced variation of $\rho_{x,x}$ is, indicating that the magnetoresistivity is mainly controlled by an orbital effect, the field-induced cyclotron motion of the carriers, in the hidden order phase. This strong field-variation of $\rho_{x,x}$ is a consequence of the large carrier mobility in the hidden-order state. A maximum in $\rho_{x,x}$ at the crossover field $H_{\rho,max}^{LT} \simeq 30$ T (LT is used for "low temperature") indicates a progressive reduction of the carrier mobility in the proximity of the transition field H_1 where the hidden order collapses. This reduction of carrier mobility is related with a Fermi surface reconstruction, as discussed below.

Fig. 1(d) summarizes a large set of Fermi surface studies [17, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25] performed using Shubnikov-de Haas quantum oscillations of the resistivity in URu₂Si₂ under high magnetic fields applied along **c**. In this graph, the Shubnikov-de Haas frequencies extracted from Fourier transforms of the quantum oscillations are plotted as a function of the magnetic field. In magnetic fields up to $\simeq 15$ T, the frequencies $F_\eta \simeq 90$ T, $F_\gamma \simeq 200$ T, $F_\beta \simeq 400$ T, $F_\alpha \simeq 1100$ T associated with the Fermi surface bands η , γ , β , and α , respectively, are almost unchanged. Above 15 T, a cascade of field-induced changes in the Fermi surface is observed. In the hidden-order phase, a progressive variation of the frequencies is induced in a large crossover regime going from 15 to 30 T, and is followed by a more sudden change of the frequencies at $\simeq 30$ T, which coincides with the field $H_{\rho,max}^{LT}$ at the maximum of the orbital magnetoresistivity. Fermi surface

reconstructions have also been observed in thermoelectric measurements [26]. A cascade of Fermi surface changes inside the hidden-order phase has been evidenced by Shubnikov - de Haas oscillations by different groups. However, due to the difficulty to perform Fourier transforms in limited field ranges, the different analyzes led to slightly different spectra [17, 21, 22, 23, 25]. From studies performed in steady fields up to 45 T by Altarawneh *et al.* [24] and Harrison *et al.* [25], Shubnikov-de Haas frequencies characteristic of the Fermi surface in the spin-density wave state and in the polarized paramagnetic regime have also been extracted, showing that the transitions at H_1 , H_2 , and H_3 are accompanied by Fermi surface reconstructions. As seen in Figure 1(d), the field-induced cascade of Fermi surface crossovers and reconstructions is accompanied by a general trend: an increase of the Shubnikov-de Haas frequencies and, thus, of the associated Fermi surface volumes.

In Figure 2(a), the magnetic susceptibility $\chi = M/H$ is plotted as function of temperature for different field values. The temperature T_χ^{max} defined at the maximum of $\chi(T)$ for $\mu_0 H < 35$ T delimits a low-field correlated paramagnetic regime. The temperature T_{PPM} defined at the inflexion point of $\chi(T)$ for $\mu_0 H > 35$ T is the borderline of the high-field polarized paramagnetic regime. In Figure 2(b), the resistivity $\rho_{x,x}$ is plotted as a function of temperature for two samples (samples #1 and #2) at the magnetic field values $\mu_0 H = 0, 30$, and 50 T. The resistivity at zero field is mainly sample-independent (a small sample dependence is observed at low temperatures, reflecting the different residual resistivity ratios, but cannot be seen in this graph). In agreement with the conclusions of the low-temperature $\rho_{x,x}$ versus H plot (Figure 1(c)), the $\rho_{x,x}$ versus T plot in Figure 2(b) confirms that a sample-dependent magnetoresistivity is observed only in the hidden-order phase, i.e., at temperatures below $T_0 \simeq 6$ K for $\mu_0 H = 30$ T. In a magnetic field of 50 T, the electron-electron contribution to the low-temperature electrical resistivity is strongly reduced in comparison with that at zero-field. We estimate by $\rho_{x,x}(50\text{T}, T)$ the phononic contribution to the zero-field resistivity $\rho_{x,x}(0\text{T}, T)$ of URu₂Si₂, and by $\rho_{x,x}(0\text{T}, T) - \rho_{x,x}(50\text{T}, T)$ the purely electronic contribution to the zero-field resistivity. As shown in Figure

2(c), the T -dependences of $\rho_{x,x}(0\text{T}) - \rho_{x,x}(50\text{T})$ and the magnetic susceptibility χ are very similar, showing broad maxima at $\simeq 45$ and 55 K, respectively. This indicates that both quantities in this temperature range are controlled by the progressive setting of the correlated paramagnetic regime.

Figure 1(e) presents the magnetic field - temperature phase diagram of URu₂Si₂ in a field $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$, constructed from magnetization and magnetoresistivity data (from Refs. [16, 27]). Inside the hidden-order phase, the crossover field $H_{\rho,max}^{LT}$ decreases with increasing temperature in a similar manner than the hidden-order borderline, indicating that $H_{\rho,max}^{LT}$ is an intrinsic property of the hidden-order phase. At temperatures higher than T_0 , a broad maximum at the temperature T_χ^{max} in the magnetic susceptibility marks the onset of a low-temperature heavy-Fermi-liquid plateau in the magnetic susceptibility, as in usual heavy-fermion paramagnets. Remarkably, in a large number of heavy-fermion paramagnets (including URu₂Si₂) a scaling between T_χ^{max} and the field-induced pseudo-metamagnetic field H_m , indicates that a single energy scale controls the correlated paramagnetic regime [28]. The specificities of URu₂Si₂ are the appearance of its hidden-order state below the temperature T_0 and of a field-induced spin-density wave beyond the hidden-order phase. Under a magnetic field, both T_0 and T_χ^{max} vanish in the critical field area [35-39T] where the spin-density wave is stabilized and above which a polarized paramagnetic regime is established. In the future, further efforts are needed to describe the field-induced phases labelled II, III and V [27]. In particular, the question whether phase II (which develops below 6 K in the field window 34-38 T) is a real phase of a crossover regime has been recently raised [29].

The interplay between the magnetism and the Fermi surface is a key to understand the electronic properties of URu₂Si₂. The simultaneous field-induced changes of the magnetic and Fermi surface properties reported here are a direct illustration of this interplay. Further, the hidden-order state is characterized by strong magnetic fluctuations at the wavevectors \mathbf{k}_0 and \mathbf{k}_1 , which have been identified as nesting (or quasi-nesting) vectors of the Fermi surface [30]. When an external parameter is tuned, as pressure, uniaxial stress, Rh-doping, or mag-

netic field, long-range magnetic order can be stabilized either with wavevector \mathbf{k}_0 (via pressure [8, 9, 10], uniaxial stress [31, 32], Rh-doping [33, 34, 35]) or with the wavevector \mathbf{k}_1 (via a magnetic field $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$ [18]). Within an itinerant picture of magnetism, one can speculate that the application of these tuning parameters leads to modifications of the Fermi surface nestings, inducing the stabilization of long-range ordering with the wavevectors \mathbf{k}_0 or \mathbf{k}_1 . However, the fact that almost no Fermi surface change has been reported experimentally under pressure, while a cascade of Fermi surface reconstructions were reported in a field $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$, illustrates how subtle the properties of URu₂Si₂ are. By revealing the relationship between the Fermi surface and magnetism of URu₂Si₂ under pressure and magnetic field, new generations of band structure calculations (see also Refs. [36, 37, 38, 30, 39]) will surely help describing quantitatively its properties and perhaps solving the hidden-order problem. Another challenge would be to understand why an 'up-up-down' ferrimagnetic structure is stabilized in high magnetic field in Rh-doped U(Ru_{0.96}Rh_{0.04})₂Si₂ with the wavevector $\mathbf{k}_2 = (2/3 \ 0 \ 0)$ [40], \mathbf{k}_2 being very close to the wavevector \mathbf{k}_1 of the field-induced spin-density wave in pure URu₂Si₂.

This work was supported by Programme Investissements d' Avenir under the program ANR-11-IDEX-0002-02, reference ANR-10-LABX-0037-NEXT. Part of this work was funded by the ANR grant Magfins N° ANR-10-0431. H.N. acknowledges KAKENHI 23224009. D.A. acknowledges KAKENHI, 15H05745, 15H05882, 15H05884, 15K21732, 25247055. H.N. and D.A. acknowledge support by ICC-IMR.

References

References

- [1] J. A. Mydosh, P. M. Oppeneer, Colloquium: Hidden order, superconductivity, and magnetism: The unsolved case of URu₂Si₂, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83 (2011) 1301.

- [2] C. Broholm, H. Lin, P. T. Matthews, T. E. Mason, W. J. L. Buyers, M. F. Collins, A. A. Menovsky, J. A. Mydosh, J. K. Kjems, Magnetic excitations in the heavy-fermion superconductor URu₂Si₂, Phys. Rev. B 43 (1991) 12809.
- [3] F. Bourdarot, S. Raymond, L.-P. Regnault, Neutron scattering studies on URu₂Si₂, Philos. Mag. 94 (2014) 3702.
- [4] A. LeR. Dawson, W. Datars, J. Garrett, F. Razavi, Electrical transport in URu₂Si₂, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 1 (1989) 6817.
- [5] Y. Kasahara, T. Iwasawa, H. Shishido, T. Shibauchi, K. Behnia, Y. Haga, T. Matsuda, Y. Onuki, M. Sigrist, Y. Matsuda, Exotic superconducting properties in the electron-hole-compensated heavy-fermion semimetal URu₂Si₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 116402.
- [6] R. Bel, H. Jin, K. Behnia, J. Flouquet, P. Lejay, Thermoelectricity of URu₂Si₂: Giant nernst effect in the hidden-order state, Phys. Rev. B 70 (2004) 220501.
- [7] A. F. Santander-Syro, M. Klein, F. L. Boariu, A. Nuber, P. Lejay, F. Reinert, Fermi-surface instability at the hidden-order transition of uru2si2, Nature Phys. 5 (2009) 637-641.
- [8] F. Bourdarot, A. Bombardi, P. Burlet, M. Enderle, J. Flouquet, P. Lejay, N. Kernavanois, V. Mineev, L. Paolasini, M. Zhitomirsky, B. Fak, Hidden order in URu₂Si₂, Physica B 359-361 (2005) 986–993.
- [9] H. Amitsuka, K. Matsuda, I. Kawasaki, K. Tenya, M. Yokoyama, C. Sekine, N. Tateiwa, T. Kobayashi, S. Kawarazaki, H. Yoshizawa, Pressure-temperature phase diagram of the heavy-electron superconductor URu₂Si₂, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 310 (2007) 214 – 220.
- [10] A. Villaume, F. Bourdarot, E. Hassinger, S. Raymond, V. Taufour, D. Aoki, J. Flouquet, Signature of hidden order in heavy fermion superconductor

- URu₂Si₂: Resonance at the wave vector $q_0=(1,0,0)$, Phys. Rev. B 78 (2008) 012504.
- [11] H. Hassinger, G. Knebel, T. Matsuda, D. Aoki, V. Taufour, J. Flouquet, Similarity of the fermi surface in the hidden order state and in the antiferromagnetic state of URu₂Si₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 216409.
 - [12] C. Broholm, J. K. Kjems, W. J. L. Buyers, P. Matthews, T. T. M. Palstra, A. A. Menovsky, J. A. Mydosh, Magnetic excitations and ordering in the heavy-electron superconductor URu₂Si₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 1467.
 - [13] P. G. Niklowitz, C. Pfleiderer, T. Keller, M. Vojta, Y.-K. Huang, J. A. Mydosh, Parasitic small-moment antiferromagnetism and nonlinear coupling of hidden order and antiferromagnetism in URu₂Si₂ observed by larmor diffraction, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 106406.
 - [14] A. de Visser, F. R. de Boer, A. Menovsky, J. J. Franse, High-field transitions in URu₂Si₂ observed by magnetoresistivity and magnetization experiments, Solid State Commun. 64 (1986) 527.
 - [15] K. Sugiyama, M. Nakashima, H. Ohkuni, K. Kindo, Y. Haga, T. Honma, E. Yamamoto, Y. Onuki, High-field transitions in uru2si2 observed by magnetoresistivity and magnetization experiments, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 68 (1999) 3394.
 - [16] G. W. Scheerer, W. Knafo, D. Aoki, G. Ballon, A. Mari, D. Vignolles, J. Flouquet, Interplay of magnetism, fermi surface reconstructions, and hidden order in the heavy-fermion material URu₂Si₂, Phys. Rev. B 85 (2012) 094402.
 - [17] G. W. Scheerer, W. Knafo, D. Aoki, M. Nardone, A. Zitouni, J. Béard, J. Billette, J. Barata, C. Jaudet, M. Suleiman, P. Frings, L. Drigo, A. Audouard, T. D. Matsuda, A. Pourret, G. Knebel, J. Flouquet, Fermi surface in the hidden-order state of URu₂Si₂ under intense pulsed magnetic fields up to 81 T, Phys. Rev. B 89 (2014) 165107.

- [18] W. Knafo, F. Duc, F. Bourdarot, K. Kuwahara, H. Nojiri, D. Aoki, J. Billette, P. Frings, X. Tonon, E. Lelièvre-Berna, J. Flouquet, L.-P. Regnault, Field-induced spin-density wave beyond hidden order in URu₂Si₂, *Nature Commun.* 7 (2016) 13075.
- [19] G. W. Scheerer, W. Knafo, D. Aoki, J. Flouquet, Angular dependence of the high-magnetic-field phase diagram of URu₂Si₂, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. Suppl.* 81 (2012) SB005.
- [20] J. Levallois, K. Behnia, J. Flouquet, P. Lejay, C. Proust, On the destruction of the hidden order in URu₂Si₂ by a strong magnetic field, *Europhys. Lett.* 85 (2009) 27003.
- [21] D. Aoki, G. Knebel, I. Sheikin, E. Hassinger, L. Malone, T. Matsuda, J. Flouquet, High-field fermi surface properties in the low-carrier heavy-fermion compound URu₂Si₂, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 81 (2012) 074715.
- [22] Y. Jo, L. Balicas, C. Capan, K. Behnia, P. Lejay, J. Flouquet, J. Mydosh, P. Schlottmann, Field-induced fermi surface reconstruction and adiabatic continuity between antiferromagnetism and the hidden-order state in URu₂Si₂, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98 (2007) 166404.
- [23] H. Shishido, K. Hashimoto, T. Shibauchi, T. Sasaki, H. Oizumi, N. Kobayashi, T. Takamasu, K. Takehana, Y. Imanaka, T. D. Matsuda, Y. Haga, Y. Onuki, Y. Matsuda, Possible phase transition deep inside the hidden order phase of ultraclean URu₂Si₂, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 102 (2009) 156403.
- [24] M. Altarawneh, N. Harrison, S. Sebastian, L. Balicas, P. Tobash, J. Thompson, F. Ronning, E. Bauer, Sequential spin polarization of the fermi surface pockets in URu₂Si₂ and its implications for the hidden order, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 106 (2011) 146403.
- [25] N. Harrison, P. J. W. Moll, S. E. Sebastian, L. Balicas, M. M. Altarawneh, J.-X. Zhu, P. H. Tobash, F. Ronning, E. D. Bauer, B. Batlogg, Magnetic

- field-tuned localization of the 5f-electrons in URu_2Si_2 , *Phys. Rev. B* 88 (2014) 241108(R).
- [26] A. Pourret, A. Palacio-Morales, S. Krmer, L. Malone, M. Nardone, D. Aoki, G. Knebel, J. Flouquet, Fermi surface reconstruction inside the hidden order phase of URu_2Si_2 probed by thermoelectric measurements, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* 82 (3) (2013) 034706.
 - [27] K. H. Kim, N. Harrison, M. Jaime, G. S. Boebinger, J. A. Mydosh, Magnetic-field-induced quantum critical point and competing order parameters in URu_2Si_2 , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 91 (2003) 256401.
 - [28] D. Aoki, W. Knafo, I. Sheikin, Heavy fermions in a high magnetic field, *C. R. Physique* 14 (2013) 53–77.
 - [29] J. Mydosh, Private Communication.
 - [30] H. Ikeda, M.-T. Suzuki, R. Arita, T. Takimoto, T. Shibauchi, Y. Matsuda, Emergent rank-5 nematic order in URu_2Si_2 , *Nature Phys.* 8 (2012) 528-533.
 - [31] S. Kambe, D. Aoki, B. Salce, F. Bourdarot, D. Braithwaite, J. Flouquet, J.-P. Brison, Thermal expansion under uniaxial pressure in URu_2Si_2 , *Phys. Rev. B* 87 (2013) 115123.
 - [32] F. Bourdarot, N. Martin, S. Raymond, L.-P. Regnault, D. Aoki, V. Taufour, J. Flouquet, Magnetic properties of URu_2Si_2 under uniaxial stress by neutron scattering, *Phys. Rev. B* 84 (2011) 184430.
 - [33] M. Yokoyama, H. Amitsuka, S. Itoh, I. Kawasaki, K. Tenya, H. Yoshizawa, Neutron scattering study on competition between hidden order and antiferromagnetism in $\text{U}(\text{Ru}_{1-x}\text{Rh}_x)_2\text{Si}_2$ ($x \leq 0.05$), *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 73 (2004) 545–548.
 - [34] Y. S. Oh, K. H. Kim, N. Harrison, H. Amitsuka, J. Mydosh, Decrease of the coherence temperature with low rh doping in $\text{U}(\text{Ru}_{1-x}\text{Rh}_x)_2\text{Si}_2$, *J. Magn. Mater.* 310 (2007) 855–857.

- [35] K. H. Kim, N. Harrison, H. Amitsuka, G. A. Jorge, M. Jaime, J. A. Mydosh, Nexus between quantum criticality and phase formation in $\text{U}(\text{Ru}_{1-x}\text{Rh}_x)_2\text{Si}_2$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93 (2004) 206402.
- [36] J. Denlinger, G.-H. Gweon, J. Allen, C. Olson, M. Maple, J. Sarrao, P. Armstrong, Z. Fisk, H. Yamagami, Comparative study of the electronic structure of XRu_2Si_2 : probing the anderson lattice, *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* 117-118 (2001) 347-369.
- [37] S. Elgazzar, J. Ruzs, M. Amft, P. Oppeneer, J. Mydosh, Hidden order in URu_2Si_2 originates from fermi surface gapping induced by dynamic symmetry breaking, *Nat. Mater.* 8 (2009) 337 – 341.
- [38] P. Oppeneer, J. Ruzs, S. Elgazzar, M.-T. Suzuki, T. Durakiewicz, J. Mydosh, Electronic structure theory of the hidden-order material URu_2Si_2 , *Phys. Rev. B* 82 (2010) 205103.
- [39] M.-T. Suzuki, H. Ikeda, Multipole order and global site symmetry in the hidden-order phase of URu_2Si_2 , *Phys. Rev. B* 90 (2014) 184407.
- [40] K. Kuwahara, S. Yoshii, H. Nojiri, D. Aoki, W. Knafo, F. X. Duc, F., G. W. Scheerer, P. Frings, G. L. J. A. Rikken, F. Bourdarot, L. P. Regnault, J. Flouquet, Magnetic structure of phase II in $\text{U}(\text{Ru}_{0.96}\text{Rh}_{0.04})_2\text{Si}_2$ determined by neutron diffraction under pulsed high magnetic fields, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 110 (2013) 216406.




Figure 1: (a) Magnetization at $T = 1.5$ K (from Ref. [16]), (b) Neutron diffraction intensity at $\mathbf{Q} = (0.600)$ and $T = 2$ K (from Ref. [18]), (c) Resistivity of samples of different RRRs at $T = 1.5$ K (from Refs. [16, 20]), and (d) Shubnikov-de-Haas frequencies (from Refs. [17, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]), of URu_2Si_2 in a magnetic field $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$. (e) Magnetic field - temperature phase diagram obtained from resistivity and magnetization (from Refs. [16, 27]) measurements on URu_2Si_2 in a magnetic field $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$.




Figure 2: (a) Magnetization divided by the magnetic field $M/\mu_0 H$ versus temperature at various magnetic fields $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$ (of 5, 20, 28, 32, 34, 34.5, 35, 35.5, 36, 36.5, 37, 37.5, 38, 38.5, 39, 40, 45, and 50 T) (from Ref. [16]), (b) Resistivity $\rho_{x,x}$ versus temperature at $\mu_0 H = 0$, 30, and 50 T, for samples #1 and #2 (from Ref. [16]), (c) Comparison of $\rho_{x,x}(T, 0\text{T}) - \rho_{x,x}(T, 50\text{T})$ (from Ref. [16]) and the magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ (from Sugiyama *et al.* [15]) versus temperature, of URu₂Si₂ in a magnetic field $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$.