

FINITE TYPE MULTIPLE FLAG VARIETIES OF EXCEPTIONAL GROUPS

DAN BARBASCH, SERGIO DA SILVA, BALÁZS ELEK,
GAUTAM GOPAL KRISHNAN

ABSTRACT. Consider a simple complex Lie group G acting diagonally on a triple flag variety $G/P_1 \times G/P_2 \times G/P_3$, where P_i is parabolic subgroup of G . We provide an algorithm for systematically checking when this action has finitely many orbits. We then use this method to give a complete classification for when G is of type F_4 . The E_6, E_7 , and E_8 cases will be treated in a subsequent paper.

CONTENTS

1. Using a Dimension Count to Eliminate Cases	3
2. An Algorithm for Computing Orbits	4
3. Sample Computations	5
3.1. Infinitely many orbits	6
3.2. Finitely many orbits	8
3.3. Cases with open orbits	10
References	10

Let G be a simple complex Lie group, and fix a maximal torus T as well as a Borel subgroup B containing T . Let $P \supseteq B$ be a parabolic subgroup. Then G/P is a projective algebraic variety called a partial flag variety. By the Bruhat decomposition, B acts on G/P with finitely many orbits indexed by W/W_P , where $W = N_G(T)/T$ is the Weyl group of G and W_P is the Weyl group of P . The closures of these orbits $X^w := \overline{BwP/P}$ are called Schubert varieties. They play an important role in the interplay between geometry and representation theory. An equivalent formulation is to study G -orbits on $G/B \times G/B$.

A number of constructions can be made canonical by identifying W with the G -diagonal orbits in $G/B \times G/B$ (see [3], Theorem 3.1.9. for

Research supported in part by an NSERC PGS-D3 Scholarship, and an NSA grant H98230-16-1-0006.

example). In this context, a Schubert variety can be thought of as the closure of one of the finitely many G -orbits in $G/B \times G/B$. One can then ask about the natural extension of this construction: when does the diagonal G -action on $G/P_1 \times \dots \times G/P_k$ have finitely many orbits?

In [7], Magyar, Weyman and Zelevinsky give a complete answer to this question for $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ while also providing representatives for the orbits in each case. A key aspect in their approach is identifying G/P with a partial flag variety $Fl_P = \{\{0\} \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_k \subset \mathbb{C}^n\}$. Using a similar method, they extend these results to the type C case in [8]. In both instances, this partial flag variety interpretation is used in an essential way to obtain the classification results.

The case $P_1 = \dots = P_k = P$ has been studied by Popov [9] and Devyatov [4], where they also consider the question when a variety $(G/P)^k$ has an open orbit under the diagonal action of G .

Littelmann, in [6], considers the case when such a multiple flag variety is spherical, that is, when it has an open orbit under the action of B . This is naturally equivalent to choosing $P_1 = B$ in our setup. Also note that by the results of Brion [2], spherical varieties have only finitely many B -orbits.

The references [1],[5], [6] and [10] deal with aspects of the geometry of these orbits and representation theory. The methods employed in each of these articles do not readily extend to the exceptional groups. We had to therefore take a more direct approach for computing the orbits of the diagonal G -action in question. We designed an algorithm, detailed in Section 2, which leads to a complete classification summarized in the theorem below. It is a synthesis of Propositions 3.2, 3.5, 3.7 and Corollary 3.4.

Theorem 1. *If G is of type F_4 , then the diagonal G -action on $G/P_1 \times G/P_2 \times G/P_3$ has finitely many orbits iff $(P_1, P_2, P_3) = (B_3, C_3, P_{max})$ up to permutation. Here P_{max} is any maximal parabolic subgroup of G .*

Section 1 outlines an easy dimension count which shows that G cannot have an open orbit on products of four or more flag varieties.

Section 2 details the method we use. The general idea is as follows: First, any orbit has a representative (eP_1, xP_2, yP_3) . The stabilizer of the first coordinate is P_1 , and this group acts on the remaining two coordinates; each orbit has a representative with $x = w \in W(P_1) \backslash W/W(P_2)$. Finally the stabilizer of the first two coordinates, $S_{12}(w) \subset P_1$ acts on the third coordinate. Each of the cells $P_1 v P_3$ with $v \in W(P_1) \backslash W/W(P_3)$ is stabilized by $S_{12}(w)$, and it remains to compute the orbits. This reduces to a calculation of the orbits of S_{12} on a vector space V_{13} . The group has a Levi decomposition $S_{12}(w) = M_{12}(w)N_{12}(w)$.

Then V_{13} has a natural grading whose associated filtration is compatible with that of $S_{12}(w)$. The action is such that $M_{12}(w)$ preserves the grading while $N_{12}(w)$ moves it *strictly upwards*. The cases when there are finitely many orbits of $M_{12}(w)$ on each level are determined from existing literature. The action of $N_{12}(w)$ is determined using a computer program.

Section 3 then presents sample calculations from the algorithm. The computer code used to execute most of the algorithm can be found at the following link:

<https://cocalc.com/projects/4bbd59fb-232c-4eeb-8b7a-f52d36ef3351/files/FTMF.s>

1. USING A DIMENSION COUNT TO ELIMINATE CASES

Let us first observe that if G acts on $G/P_1 \times \dots \times G/P_k$ with finitely many orbits, then there must be an open orbit and necessarily

$$\dim(G) \geq \dim(G/P_1 \times \dots \times G/P_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim(G/P_i).$$

This already lets us eliminate a number of cases. For instance, in the fairly trivial case that G is of type G_2 , we find that $k \leq 2$, and the question is completely answered by the Bruhat decomposition.

Let us consider the case when G is of type F_4 . Fix a Borel subgroup B and a Cartan subgroup $H \subset B$. Then $\dim(G) = 52$. Parabolic subgroups containing B are indexed by subsets of the Dynkin diagram for F_4 . This leads to the following list of parabolic subgroups and their dimensions:

$$(\emptyset, 28), (A_1, 29), (A_1 \times A_1, 30), (A_2, 31), (B_2, 32), \\ (A_2 \times A_1, 32), (B_3, 37), (C_3, 37)$$

The A_i, B_i, C_i refer to the Dynkin diagrams of the Levi components. At this stage we do not distinguish between long and short roots in the list since this does not affect dimension. The possibilities for the dimension of G/P are therefore:

$$24, 23, 22, 21, 20, 15.$$

Since $\dim(G/P_1 \times \dots \times G/P_k) \geq 60$ for any $k \geq 4$, we only need to consider $k = 2, 3$. The case $k = 2$ is already done by the Bruhat decomposition, which leaves only triples of parabolic subgroups to classify. The only triples (P_1, P_2, P_3) of parabolic subgroups that need to be considered (up to permutation) are those for which

$$\dim(G/P_1) = \dim(G/P_2) = 15, \dim(G/P_3) = 15, 20, 21 \text{ or } 22.$$

2. AN ALGORITHM FOR COMPUTING ORBITS

Let G be a connected simple linear algebraic group acting diagonally on the variety $G/P_1 \times G/P_2 \times G/P_3$. Recall the fixed pair (B, H) of a Borel subgroup and Cartan subgroup, and assume that the P_i are standard, *i.e.* $B \subset P_i$. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}_i, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h}$ and so on the corresponding Lie algebras. For each P_i we choose an element $\lambda_i \in \mathfrak{h}$ that determines it, in the sense that $P_i = L_i N_i$ where $H \subset L_i$ is a Levi component, and N_i the unipotent radical so that the roots in L_i are zero, and the roots in N_i are positive on λ_i .

For each orbit we determine a representative by the steps that follow. This representative is unique, so there are finitely many orbits if and only if we obtain finitely many representatives. We call these *distinguished representatives*

- (1) The action of G on G/P_i is transitive; there is only one orbit P_i . The diagonal action of the group G on a product of two flag varieties, either $G/P_1 \times G/P_2$ or $G/P_1 \times G/P_3$, has finitely many orbits which are given by the Bruhat decomposition. For every orbit we choose the coset representative $P_1 \times gP_i$. The stabilizer is P_1 , and it acts on $G/P_2 \times G/P_3$. The generalized Bruhat decompositions are $G = \cup P_1 w P_2$ and $G = \cup P_1 v P_3$ with $w \in W_{P_1} \backslash W/W_{P_2}$ and $v \in W_{P_1} \backslash W/W_{P_3}$. Therefore each orbit has a representative of the form $\{P_1\} \times \{p_1 w P_2\} \times \{q_1 v P_3\}$ with $p_1, q_1 \in P_1$. We refer to the representative in G/P_i as the i -th coordinate. The stabilizer of $\{P_1\}$, which is P_1 , acts on the second and third coordinate diagonally. For the orbits of P_1 in the second coordinate we choose the cosets wP_2 ; so each orbit has a representative $\{P_1\} \times \{wP_2\} \times \{q_1 v P_3\}$. The stabilizer in P_1 of the first two *coordinates* is $S_{12}(w) := P_1 \cap wP_2w^{-1}$. This stabilizer acts on $P_1 v P_3 \subset G/P_3$ with finitely many orbits if and only if $S_{12}(w)$ acts with finitely many orbits on $\mathcal{O}(v) := P_1 v P_3$ for all

$$(w, v) \in W_{P_1} \backslash W/W_{P_2} \times W_{P_1} \backslash W/W_{P_3}.$$

- (2) Write $P_1 = M_1 N_1$, the Levi decomposition corresponding to λ_1 . The group $S_{12}(w) \subset P_1$ inherits a decomposition

$$S_{12}(w) = M_{12}(w) N_{12}(w).$$

Similarly write

$$S_{13}(v) = P_1 \cap vP_3v^{-1} = M_{13}(v)N_{13}(v).$$

$M_{12}(w)$ and $M_{13}(v)$ are (standard) parabolic subgroups of M_1 . We write the Levi decompositions as $M_{12}(w) = M_{12}(w)^0 M_{12}(w)^+$ and $M_{13}(v) = M_{13}(v)^0 M_{13}(v)^+$ corresponding to $w\lambda_2$ and $v\lambda_3$ respectively. Then

$$P_1 v P_3 \cong [P_1 / S_{13}(v)] v P_3 \cong [M_1 / M_{13}(v) \times N_1 / N_{13}(v)] v P_3.$$

- (3) The action of $S_{12}(w)$ is compatible with the above description. Since $M_{12}(w), M_{13}(v) \subset M_1$ are parabolic subgroups, M_1 has a Bruhat decomposition $M_1 = \bigcup M_{12}(w)xM_{13}(v)$ with $x \in W_{M_{12}(w)} \backslash W_{M_1} / W_{M_{13}(v)}$. Using the action of $M_{12}(w)$ (which is multiplication on the left) we can choose representatives for the orbits $xnvP_3$ with x as above, and $n \in N_1 / N_{13}(v)$.

Elements $n_{12} \in N_{12}$ satisfy $x^{-1}n_{12}x \in N_1$, so

$$n_{12}xnvP_3 = x(x^{-1}n_{12}x)nvP_3,$$

and we can take representatives of the orbits in

$$x[x^{-1}N_{12}(w)x \backslash N_1 / N_{13}(v)]vP_3.$$

Elements $m = xm_{13}x^{-1} \in M_{12}(w) \cap xM_{13}(v)x^{-1}$ satisfy

$$xm_{13}x^{-1}xnvP_3 = x(m_{13}nm_{13}^{-1})v(v^{-1}m_{13}v)P_3 = x(m_{13}nm_{13}^{-1})vP_3.$$

In conclusion, orbits of G acting diagonally on $G/P_1 \times G/P_2 \times G/P_3$ have representatives of the form

$$P_1 \times wxP_2 \times qvP_3$$

where $q \in (x^{-1}N_{12}(w)x) \backslash N_1 / N_{13}(v)$ are orbit representatives under the adjoint action of $x^{-1}M_{12}(w)x \cap M_{13}(v)$.

- (4) Since N_1 is nilpotent, we can carry out the calculations in the Lie algebra. We use the filtrations coming from levels of λ_i and their respective conjugates under v, w and x to keep track of actions of nilpotent groups. The (known) lists of representations of reductive groups on their irreducible representations with finitely many orbits come into play.

3. SAMPLE COMPUTATIONS

Let us begin with a lemma which will reduce the number of cases we need to check.

Lemma 3.1. *If the diagonal G -action on $G/P_1 \times G/P_2 \times G/P_3$ has infinitely many orbits, then so does the diagonal G -action on $G/Q_1 \times G/Q_2 \times G/Q_3$ for $Q_i \subset P_i$. Furthermore, if the second action has an open orbit, then so does the first.*

Proof. The map $G/Q_1 \times G/Q_2 \times G/Q_3$ onto $G/P_1 \times G/P_2 \times G/P_3$ is G -equivariant. Therefore, the fibre over an orbit is the union of orbits. By surjectivity, the first statement follows.

The preimage of an orbit $\mathcal{O}_P$ in $G/P_1 \times G/P_2 \times G/P_3$ is a union of orbits (by G -equivariance) which all have the same dimension (since fibres of this quotient map are equidimensional). Suppose that the fibre over $\mathcal{O}_P$ contains some open orbit $\mathcal{O}_Q$. Since open orbits are dense (and hence unique), $\mathcal{O}_Q$ must be the full preimage of $\mathcal{O}_P$. Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_P$ is open by the quotient topology. $\square$

We start by checking the maximal cases. When they have infinitely many orbits, so do the smaller parabolic cases by Lemma 3.1. We cannot however conclude anything about these smaller cases based on a finite maximal case. These have to be checked separately (see Section 3.2). We begin with the following maximal choices for (P_1, P_2, P_3) not eliminated by the dimension count:

$$\begin{aligned} & (B_3, B_3, B_3), (B_3, B_3, C_3), (C_3, C_3, B_3), (B_3, B_3, A_1(l) \times A_2(s)), \\ & (B_3, B_3, A_2(l) \times A_1(s)), (B_3, C_3, A_1(l) \times A_2(s)), (B_3, C_3, A_2(l) \times A_1(s)) \\ & (C_3, C_3, C_3), (C_3, C_3, A_1(l) \times A_2(s)), (C_3, C_3, A_2(l) \times A_1(s)). \end{aligned}$$

To fix the notation for the computations that follow, label the Dynkin diagram for F_4 from the long roots to the short roots using the simple roots:

$$\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_4, \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)$$

where $\rho = (\frac{11}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ is the sum of the fundamental weights. We distinguish between $A_2(l) \times A_1(s)$ (with A_2 corresponding to the long roots) and $A_1(l) \times A_2(s)$ (with A_2 given by the short roots).

3.1. Infinitely many orbits. The triple B_3 case and the triple C_3 case are shown to have infinitely many orbits in [4]. This leaves 8 more triples to check. Four of these remaining eight cases have infinitely many orbits:

Proposition 3.2. *The following maximal parabolic cases*

$$\begin{aligned} & (B_3, B_3, B_3), (B_3, B_3, A_1(l) \times A_2(s)), (B_3, B_3, A_2(l) \times A_1(s)), \\ & (C_3, C_3, C_3), (C_3, C_3, A_1(l) \times A_2(s)), (C_3, C_3, A_2(l) \times A_1(s)), \end{aligned}$$

have infinitely many orbits.

Proof. The algorithm from Section 2 gives infinitely many orbits for v and w the longest minimal coset representatives and x the identity.

□

We now provide a detailed example of how the algorithm is used to show that there are infinitely many orbits. One case is provided; the remaining ones are left to the reader.

Example 3.3. Consider the $(B_3, B_3, A_1(l) \times A_2(s))$ case. We can form a filtration of the roots by taking their dot product against $\gamma = (3, 1, 1, 0)$:

$$\underline{x^{-1}M_{12}(w)x \cap M_{13}(v):}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_0 &: \pm\varepsilon_4, \pm(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3), \mathfrak{h} \\ \mathcal{M}_1 &: \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_3 \pm \varepsilon_4 \\ \mathcal{M}_2 &: \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\underline{x^{-1}N_{12}(w)x \setminus N_1/N_{13}(v):}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}} &: \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 \pm \varepsilon_4) \\ \mathcal{N}_{\frac{3}{2}} &: \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 \pm \varepsilon_4), \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 \pm \varepsilon_4) \\ \mathcal{N}_2 &: \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3 \\ \mathcal{N}_3 &: \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_4 \\ \mathcal{N}_4 &: \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3 \end{aligned}$$

We restrict our attention to orbits with representatives of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_1 x\left(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4)\right) + \alpha_2 x\left(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)\right) + \\ &\alpha_3 x\left(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4)\right) + \alpha_4 x\left(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)\right) + \end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_5 x(\varepsilon_1) + \alpha_6 x(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_4) + \alpha_7 x(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4) + \alpha_8 x(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \alpha_9 x(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3)$$

where $\alpha_i \neq 0$ are complex coefficients and $x(\beta)$ is a root vector corresponding to the root β . We are only using β from $\mathcal{N}_{\frac{3}{2}}, \mathcal{N}_3, \mathcal{N}_4$.

Observe that we can:

- set $\alpha_2 = 0$ using the action of $x(-\varepsilon_4)$ on $\alpha_1 x(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4))$
- set $\alpha_3 = 0$ using the action of $x(\varepsilon_4)$ on $\alpha_4 x(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4))$
- set $\alpha_4 = 0$ with $x(\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_2)$ acting on $\alpha_1 x(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4))$

There was a choice in how we used each root in $\mathcal{M}_0$. However, in each possibility we can arrange for exactly three of the $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ or α_4 to be zero. This choice will not affect the final conclusion.

We now notice that the action of $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ cannot eliminate α_5, α_6 or α_7 . Therefore, we have shown that any further restriction of the representative above will contain roots which are linearly dependent. Hence the Cartan subalgebra cannot be used to make all remaining coefficients equal to 1, and we have infinitely many orbits as a result.

Corollary 3.4. *The following list of non-maximal parabolic cases*

$$(B_3, B_3, B_2), (B_3, B_3, A_2), (B_3, B_3, A_1 \times A_1), \\ (C_3, C_3, B_2), (C_3, C_3, A_2), (C_3, C_3, A_1 \times A_1),$$

have infinitely many orbits for any choice of A_2 and $A_1 \times A_1$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.1

□

3.2. Finitely many orbits. While only one choice of (v, w, x) was needed to show (P_1, P_2, P_3) had infinitely many orbits, the finite case requires far more verification. The four remaining maximal cases do indeed have finitely many orbits.

Proposition 3.5. *The following triples of parabolic subgroups*

$$(B_3, C_3, A_1(l) \times A_2(s)), (B_3, C_3, B_3), \\ (B_3, C_3, A_2(l) \times A_1(s)), (B_3, C_3, C_3),$$

are the only maximal cases with finitely many orbits.

Proof. To show this, we have verified that every choice of triple (v, w, x) yields finitely many orbits. We omit the details, but provide one example below. By Proposition 3.2, all other parabolic cases have infinitely many orbits.

□

In the $(B_3, C_3, A_2(l) \times A_1(s))$ case for example, there are 73 of these (v, w, x) triples to check. They are not difficult to verify, so we leave it up to the reader to apply the algorithm to any subcase that is of interest. The next example is dedicated to computing one of these subcases.

Example 3.6. Consider the $(B_3, C_3, A_2(l) \times A_1(s))$ case. Let w and v be the elements of the Weyl group such that w conjugates ρ into $(-\frac{5}{2}, \frac{11}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and v conjugates ρ into $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{9}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{5}{2})$. For this example, x is chosen to be the identity. Filter the roots by taking their dot product

against $\gamma = (\frac{7}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$:

$$\underline{x^{-1}M_{12}(w)x \cap M_{13}(v)}:$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_0 &: \pm(\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4), \mathfrak{h} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\frac{1}{2}} &: \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \\ \mathcal{M}_1 &: \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4 \\ \mathcal{M}_{\frac{3}{2}} &: \varepsilon_2 \\ \mathcal{M}_2 &: \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_4\end{aligned}$$

$$\underline{x^{-1}N_{12}(w)x \setminus N_1/N_{13}(v)}:$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}} &: \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4) \\ \mathcal{N}_1 &: \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4), \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4) \\ \mathcal{N}_2 &: \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \\ \mathcal{N}_3 &: \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4\end{aligned}$$

A generic representative of an orbit is of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}& \alpha_1 x\left(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)\right) + \alpha_2 x\left(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)\right) + \\ & \alpha_3 x\left(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4)\right) + \alpha_4 x(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) + \alpha_5 x(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3) + \alpha_6 x(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4)\end{aligned}$$

where α_i are complex coefficients and $x(\beta)$ is a root vector corresponding to the root β .

Observe that if $\alpha_1 \neq 0$, we can:

- set $\alpha_2 = 0$ using the action of $x(\varepsilon_3)$ on $\alpha_1 x(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4))$
- set $\alpha_3 = 0$ using the action of $x(\varepsilon_4)$ on $\alpha_1 x(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4))$

On the other hand if $\alpha_1 = 0$, we can set at least one of α_2 or α_3 to 0 by using the reductive part of $x^{-1}M_{12}(w)x \cap M_{13}(v)$ (i.e. part of $\mathcal{M}_0$).

Similarly, if $\alpha_4 \neq 0$, we can

- set $\alpha_5 = 0$ using the action of $x(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3)$ on $\alpha_4 x(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)$
- set $\alpha_6 = 0$ using the action of $x(\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)$ on $\alpha_4 x(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)$

Notice that we can do this without affecting our previous reductions because $x(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3)$ and $x(\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)$ centralize the roots in $\mathcal{N}_{1/2}$ and $\mathcal{N}_1$.

Finally, if $\alpha_4 = 0$, then we can set at least one of α_5 or α_6 to 0 by utilizing the roots in the reductive part of $x^{-1}M_{12}(w)x \cap M_{13}(v)$ that have not already been used.

Therefore, we have shown that any orbit has a representative with at most two non-zero coefficients α_i . This means that the roots that appear in this representative are linearly independent. Hence the Cartan subalgebra can be used to make these coefficients 1. There are in fact 16 orbits for this particular choice of (w, v, x) .

At this point, the only unclassified non-maximal cases are the ones discussed in the next proposition:

Proposition 3.7. *The following non-maximal cases*

$$(B_3, C_3, B_2), (B_3, C_3, A_2), (B_3, C_3, A_1 \times A_1),$$

have infinitely many orbits for any choice of A_2 and $A_1 \times A_1$.

Proof. Lemma 3.1 cannot be applied in this situation, but one can check by direct computation that they all have infinitely many orbits. $\square$

3.3. Cases with open orbits. The existence of an open orbit for the G -diagonal action on $G/P_1 \times G/P_2 \times G/P_3$ is important when considering compactification questions. When there are finitely many orbits, an open orbit necessarily exists. Any case for which there are infinitely many orbits eliminated using the dimension count (from Section 1) cannot have open orbits. The remaining cases with an open orbit are listed in the proposition below. This is consistent with Lemma 3.1.

Proposition 3.8. *The following infinite orbit cases*

$$(B_3, C_3, B_2), (B_3, B_3, A_2(s)), (B_3, B_3, A_1(l) \times A_2(s)),$$

$$(C_3, C_3, A_2(l)), (C_3, C_3, A_2(l) \times A_1(s)),$$

have an open orbit.

Proof. We directly computed case by case that there are finitely many orbits when $x^{-1}M_{12}(w)x \cap M_{13}(v)$ acts on a generic representative (all coefficients nonzero) of $x^{-1}N_{12}(w)x \backslash N_1/N_{13}(v)$, where v, w, x are the longest choices of respective coset representatives. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Achinger and N. Perrin, *Spherical multiple flags*, arXiv:1307.7236 (2013).
- [2] M. Brion, *Quelques propriétés des espaces homogènes sphériques* Manuscripta Math. 55 (1986), no. 2, 191198. 14L30 (14M17)
- [3] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg, *Representation Theory and Complex Geometry*, Birkhäuser, 2010.

- [4] R. Devyatov, *Generically Transitive Actions on Multiple Flag Varieties*, Int Math Res Notices **11** (2014): 2972-2989.
- [5] X. He, K. Nishiyama, H. Ochiai, Y. Oshima, *On orbits in double flag varieties for symmetric pairs*, Transform. Groups 18 (2013), no. 4, 10911136. 14M15 (14L30 14L35)
- [6] P. Littelmann, *On spherical double cones*, J. Algebra **166** (1) (1994), 142-157.
- [7] P. Magyar, J. Weyman, and A. Zelevinsky, *Multiple flag varieties of finite type*, Adv. Math. **141** (1) (1999), 97-118.
- [8] P. Magyar, J. Weyman, and A. Zelevinsky, *Symplectic multiple flag varieties of finite type*, J. Algebra **230** (1) (2000), 245-265.
- [9] V. L. Popov, *Generically multiple transitive algebraic group actions* Algebraic groups and homogeneous spaces, 481523, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., 19, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2007. 14L30
- [10] J.R. Stembridge, *Multiplicity-free products and restrictions of Weyl characters*, Represent. Theory **7** (2003), 404-439.

DAN BARBASCH, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA NY

E-mail address: dmb14@cornell.edu

SERGIO DA SILVA, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA NY

E-mail address: smd322@cornell.edu

BALÁZS ELEK, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA NY

E-mail address: be94@cornell.edu

GAUTAM GOPAL KRISHNAN, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA NY

E-mail address: gk379@cornell.edu