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Abstract

This paper demonstrates neural network-based toolkit namely NNVLP for essential Vietnamese language processing tasks including part-of-speech (POS) tagging, chunking, named entity recognition (NER). Our toolkit is a combination of bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory (Bi-LSTM), Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), Conditional Random Field (CRF), using pre-trained word embeddings as input, which achieves state-of-the-art results on these three tasks. We provide both API and web demo

1 Introduction

Vietnamese belongs to the top 20 most spoken languages and is employed by an important community all over the world. Therefore, research on Vietnamese language processing is an essential task. This paper focuses on three main tasks for Vietnamese language processing including POS tagging, chunking, and NER.

In this paper, we present a state-of-the-art system namely NNVLP for the Vietnamese language processing. NNVLP toolkit outperforms most previously published toolkits on three tasks including POS tagging, chunking, and NER. The contributions of this work consist of:

- We demonstrate a neural network-based system reaching the state-of-the-art performance for Vietnamese language processing including POS tagging, chunking, and NER. Our system is a combination of Bi-LSTM, CNN, and CRF models, which achieves an accuracy of 91.92%, $F_1$ scores of 84.11% and 92.91% for POS tagging, chunking, and NER tasks respectively.
- We provide our API and web demo for user, which is believed to positively contributing to the long-term advancement of Vietnamese language processing.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 summarizes related work on Vietnamese language processing. Section 3 describes NNVLP toolkit architecture, API, and web interface. Section 4 gives experimental results and discussions. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper.

2 Related Works

Previously published systems for Vietnamese language processing used traditional machine learning methods such as Conditional Random Field (CRF), Maximum Entropy Markov Model (MEMM), and Support Vector Machine (SVM). In particular, most of the toolkits for POS tagging task attempted to use conventional models such as CRF (Tran and Le, 2013) and MEMM (Le-Hong et al., 2010). (Tran and Le, 2013) also used CRF for chunking task. Recently, at the VLSP 2016 workshop for NER task, several participated system use MEMM (Le-Hong, 2016), (Nguyen et al., 2016) and CRF (Le et al., 2016) to solve this problem.

3 NNVLP API and Web Demo

3.1 System Architecture

We implement the deep neural network model described in (Pham and Le-Hong, 2017a). This
Figure 1: The CNN layer for extracting character-level word features of word Học_sinh (Student).

Figure 2: The Bi-LSTM-CRF layers for input sentence Anh rời EU hôm qua. (UK left EU yesterday.)

Figure 3: The Architecture of NNVLP Toolkit

NNVLP toolkit uses these architectures for all tasks including POS tagging, chunking, and NER. Because each word in the Vietnamese language may consist of more than one syllables with spaces in between, which could be regarded as multiple words by the unsupervised models, we, first, segment the input texts into sequences of words by pyvi toolkit\(^3\). These word sequences are put into NNVLP toolkit to get corresponding POS tag sequences. Next, these words and POS tag sequences are put into NNVLP toolkit to get corresponding chunk sequences. Finally, NNVLP toolkit takes as input sequences of the concatenation of word, POS tag, and chunk to predict corresponding NER sequences. Figure 3 presents this pipeline of NNVLP toolkit.

3.2 NNVLP API

NNVLP API is an API for Vietnamese Language Processing which takes input sentences and outputs a JSON containing a list of sentences where each word in these sentences has POS tag, chunk, named entity attributes as shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4: The output JSON of the input sentence “Ông Nam là giảng viên đại học Bách Khoa.” (Mr Nam is a lecturer of Bach Khoa University.)

\(^2\)https://code.google.com/archive/p/word2vec/

\(^3\)https://pypi.python.org/pypi/pyvi
3.3 Web Demo

We also provide web interface\(^4\) for users of NNVLP toolkit. Users can type or paste raw texts into the textbox and click Submit button to get the corresponding POS tag, chunk, named entity sequences. Each label is tagged with different color to make the output easy to see. Users can also look up the meaning of each label by click Help button. Figure 5 presents the web interface of our system.

4 Experiments

In this section, we compare the performance of NNVLP toolkit with other published toolkits for Vietnamese including Vitk (Le-Hong et al., 2010), vTools (Tran and Le, 2013), RDRPOSTagger (Nguyen et al., 2014), and vie-ner-lstm (Pham and Le-Hong, 2017b).

4.1 Data Sets

To compare fairly, we train and evaluate these systems on the VLSP corpora. In particular, we conduct experiments on Viet Treebank corpus for POS tagging and chunking tasks, and on VLSP shared task 2016 corpus for NER task. All of these corpora are converted to CoNLL format. The corpus of POS tagging task consists of two columns namely word, and POS tag. For chunking task, there are three columns namely word, POS tag, and chunk in the corpus. The corpus of NER task consists of four columns. The order of these columns are word, POS tag, chunk, and named entity. While NER corpus has been separated into training and testing parts, the POS tagging and chunking data sets are not previously divided. For this reason, we use 80% of these data sets as a training set, and the remaining as a testing set. Because our system adopts early stopping method, we use 10% of these data sets from the training set as a development set when training NNVLP system. Table 1 and Table 2\(^5\) shows the statistics of each corpus.

4.2 Evaluation Methods

We use the accuracy score that is the percentage of correct labels to evaluate the performance of each system for POS tagging task. For chunking and NER tasks, the performance is measured with \(F_1\) score, where \(F_1 = \frac{2 \times P \times R}{P + R}\). Precision (\(P\)) is the percentage of chunks or named entities found by the learning system that are correct. Recall (\(R\)) is the percentage of chunks or named entities present in the corpus that are found by the system. A chunk or named entity is correct only if it is an exact match of the corresponding phrase in the data file.

4.3 Experiment Results

We evaluate performances of our system and several published systems on POS tagging, chunking, and NER data sets. Inputs for POS tagging task are words, for chunking task are words and POS tags, and for NER task are words, POS tags, and chunks. Table 3, Table 5, and Table 6 present the performance of each system on POS tagging, chunking, and NER task respectively. The hyper-parameters for training NNVLP are given in Table 4.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Data sets & POS & Chunk & NER \\
\hline
Train & 7268 & 7283 & 14861 \\
Dev & 1038 & 1040 & 2000 \\
Test & 2077 & 2081 & 2831 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{The number of sentences for each part in POS tagging, chunking, and NER data sets}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Data sets & Labels \\
\hline
Chunk & NP, VP, PP, AP, QP, RP \\
NER & PER, LOC, ORG, MISC \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Labels in POS tagging, chunking, and NER data sets}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
System & Accuracy \\
\hline
Vitk & 88.41 \\
vTools & 90.73 \\
RDRPOSTagger & 91.96 \\
NNVLP & 91.92 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Performance of each system on POS tagging task}
\end{table}

By combining Bi-directional Long Short-Term Memory, Convolutional Neural Network, and Conditional Random Field, our system outperforms most published systems on these three tasks. In particular, NNVLP toolkit achieves an accuracy of 91.92%, \(F_1\) scores of 84.11% and 92.91% for...
Table 4: Hyper-parameters of our models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Hyper-parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNN</td>
<td>window size</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>number of filters</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>hidden nodes</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embedding</td>
<td>word</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>character-level</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Performance of each system on chunking task

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>F1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vTools</td>
<td>82.79</td>
<td>83.55</td>
<td>83.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNVLP</td>
<td>83.93</td>
<td>84.28</td>
<td>84.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Performance of each system on NER task

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>F1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitk</td>
<td>88.36</td>
<td>89.20</td>
<td>88.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vie-ner-lstm</td>
<td>91.09</td>
<td>93.03</td>
<td>92.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNVLP</td>
<td>92.76</td>
<td>93.07</td>
<td>92.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: The Web Interface of NNVLP Toolkit

5 Conclusion

We present a neural network-based toolkit for Vietnamese processing that is a combination of Bi-LSTM, CNN, and CRF. The system takes raw sentences as input and produces POS tag, chunk and named entity annotations for these sentences. The experimental results showed that NNVLP toolkit achieves state-of-the-art results on three tasks including POS tagging, chunking, and NER.
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