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Abstract

In this paper, we give several new results on solvability of a quadratic BSDE whose generator depends also on the mean of both variables. First, we consider such a BSDE using John-Nirenberg’s inequality for BMO martingales to estimate its contribution to the evolution of the first unknown variable. Then we consider the BSDE having an additive expected value of a quadratic generator in addition to the usual quadratic one. In this case, we use a deterministic shift transformation to the first unknown variable, when the usual quadratic generator depends neither on the first variable nor its mean, the general case can be treated by a fixed point argument.

1 Introduction

Let \{W_t := (W^1_t, \ldots, W^d_t), 0 ≤ t ≤ T\} be a d-dimensional standard Brownian motion defined on some probability space (Ω, F, P). Denote by \{F_t, 0 ≤ t ≤ T\} the augmented natural filtration of the standard Brownian motion W.

In this paper, we study the existence and uniqueness of an adapted solution of the following BSDE:

\[ Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, E[Y_s], Z_s, E[Z_s]) \, ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s, \quad t ∈ [0, T]. \] (1.1)

When \( f \) does not depend on \((\bar{y}, \bar{z})\), BSDE (1.1) is the classical one, and it is extensively studied in the literature, see the pioneer work of Bismut [1, 2] as well as Pardoux and Peng [10]. When \( f(t, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) \) is scalar valued and quadratic in \( z \) while it does not depend on \((\bar{y}, \bar{z})\), BSDE (1.1) is the so-called quadratic BSDE and has been studied...
by Kobylanski [13], Briand and Hu [4, 5]. BSDE (1.1) (called mean-field type BSDE) arises naturally when studying mean-field games, etc. We refer to [6] for the motivation of its study. When the generator $f$ is uniformly Lipschitz in the last four arguments, BSDE (1.1) is shown in a straightforward manner to have a unique adapted solution, and the reader is referred to Buckdahn et al. [6] for more details. For the general generator $f(s, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z})$ depending quadratically on $z$, BSDE (1.1) is a quadratic one involving both $E[Y]$ and $E[Z]$. The comparison principle (see [13]) is well known to play a crucial role in the study of quadratic BSDEs (see [13]). Unfortunately, the comparison principle fails to hold for BSDE (1.1) (see, e.g., [6] for a counter-example for comparison with Lipschitz generators), the derivation of its solvability is not straightforward. Up to our best knowledge, no study on quadratic mean-field type BSDEs is available. To tackle the difficulty of lack of comparison principle, we use the John-Nirenberg inequality for BMO martingales to address the solvability.

Furthermore, we study the following alternative of mean-field type BSDE, which admits a quadratic growth in the mean of the second unknown variable $E[Z]$:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T \left[ f_1(s, Y_s, E[Y_s], Z_s, E[Z_s]) + E[f_2(s, Y_s, E[Y_s], Z_s, E[Z_s])] \right] ds$$

$$- \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

where $f_2$ is allowed to grow quadratically in both $Z$ and $E[Z]$, the function $f_1$ also admits a quadratic growth in the second unknown variable for the scalar case.

To deal with the additive expected value of $f_2$, Cheridito and Nam [8] introduced Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem to conclude the existence and uniqueness, by observing that the range of the expected value of $f_2$ is (locally) compact. Here we observe the following fact: the expected value of $f_2$ has no contribution to the second unknown variable $Z$ if $f_1$ depends neither on the first variable $Z$ nor on its mean. Hence we use the shift transformation to remove the expectation of $f_2$. In the general case, we apply the same kind of technique and the contraction mapping principle.

Let us close this section by introducing some notations. Denote by $S^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the totality of $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted essentially bounded continuous processes, and by $||Y||_\infty$ the essential supremum norm of $Y \in S^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It can be verified that $(S^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), || \cdot ||_\infty)$ is a Banach space. Let $M = (M_t, \mathcal{F}_t)$ be a uniformly integrable martingale with $M_0 = 0$, and for $p \in [1, \infty)$ we set

$$||M||_{BMO_p(\mathbb{P})} := \sup_\tau \left| \mathbb{E}_\tau \left[ (\langle M \rangle^\infty)_\tau^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \right|_\infty$$ \hspace{1cm} (1.3)

where the supremum is taken over all stopping times $\tau$. The class $\{ M : ||M||_{BMO_p} < \infty \}$ is denoted by $BMO_p$, which is written as $BMO_p(\mathbb{P})$ whenever it is necessary to indicate the underlying probability, and observe that $|| \cdot ||_{BMO_p}$ is a norm on this space and $BMO_p(\mathbb{P})$ is a Banach space.

Denote by $\mathcal{E}(M)$ the stochastic exponential of a one-dimensional local martingale $M$ and by $\mathcal{E}(M)^*_s$ that of $M_t - M_s$. Denote by $\beta \cdot M$ the stochastic integral of a scalar-valued adapted process $\beta$ with respect to a local continuous martingale $M$. 
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For any real \( p \geq 1 \), \( S^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \) denotes the set of \( \mathbb{R}^n \)-valued adapted and càdlàg processes \((Y_t)_{t \in [0,T]}\) such that
\[
||Y||_{S^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t|^p \right]^{1/p} < +\infty,
\]
and \( \mathcal{M}^p(\mathbb{R}^{d \times n}) \) denotes the set of adapted processes \((Z_t)_{t \in [0,T]}\) with values in \( \mathbb{R}^{d \times n} \) such that
\[
||Z||_{\mathcal{M}^p(\mathbb{R}^{d \times n})} := \mathbb{E}\left[ \left( \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \right]^{1/p} < +\infty.
\]

The rest of our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study BSDE (1.1) when \( f(t, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) \) is scalar valued and quadratic in \( z \), and uniformly Lipschitz in \((y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z})\), and prove by the contraction mapping principle that BSDE (1.1) has a unique solution. In Section 3, we study scalar-valued BSDE (1.2) when \( f_2(t, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) \) is both quadratic in \( z \) and \( \bar{z} \), and \( f_1 \) is quadratic in \( z \). Finally, in Section 4, we study BSDE (1.2) in the multi-dimensional case, where we suppose that \( f_2(t, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) \) is both quadratic in \( z \) and \( \bar{z} \), and \( f_1 \) is Lipschitz in \( z \) and \( \bar{z} \).

2 Quadratic BSDEs with a mean term involving the second unknown variable

In this section we consider the following BSDE:
\[
Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, \mathbb{E}[Y_s], Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s]) ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T]. \tag{2.1}
\]

We first recall the following existence and uniqueness, a priori estimate for one-dimensional BSDEs.

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume that (i) the function \( f : \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \) has the following growth and locally Lipschitz continuity in the last two variables:
\[
|f(s, y, z)| \leq g_s + \beta |y| + \frac{\gamma}{2} |z|^2, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{R}^d;
\]
\[
|f(s, y, z_1) - f(s, y, z_2)| \leq C |y_1 - y_2| + C (1 + |z_1| + |z_2|) |z_1 - z_2|, \quad y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d; \tag{2.2}
\]
(ii) the process \( f(\cdot, y, z) \) is \( \mathcal{F}_t \)-adapted for each \( y \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{R}^d \); and (iii) \( g \in L^1(0,T) \).

Then for bounded \( \xi \), the following BSDE
\[
Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T [f(s, Y_s, Z_s)] ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T] \tag{2.3}
\]
has a unique solution \((Y, Z)\) such that \( Y \) is (essentially) bounded and \( Z \cdot W \) is a BMO martingale. Furthermore, we have
\[
e^{\gamma Y_t} \leq \mathbb{E}_t \left[ e^{\gamma e^{\beta(T-t)} \xi + \gamma \int_t^T |g(s)| e^{\beta(s-t)} ds} \right]. \]
The following lemma plays an important role in our subsequent arguments. It indicates that following the proof of [12, Theorem 3.3, page 57] can give a more precise dependence of the two constants $c_1, c_2$ on $\beta \cdot M$.

**Lemma 2.2.** For $K > 0$, there are constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ depending only on $K$ such that for any BMO martingale $M$, we have for any one-dimensional BMO martingale $N$ such that $\|N\|_{BMO_2(\mathbb{P})} \leq K$,

$$c_1 \|M\|_{BMO_2(\mathbb{P})} \leq \|\tilde{M}\|_{BMO_2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}})} \leq c_2 \|M\|_{BMO_2(\mathbb{P})}$$

(2.4)

where $\tilde{M} := M - (M, N)$ and $d\tilde{\mathbb{P}} := \mathcal{E}(N)_{\infty} d\mathbb{P}$.

**2.1 Main Results**

We make the following three assumptions. Let $C$ be a positive constant.

**(A1)** Assume that there are positive constants $C$ and $\gamma$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that the function $f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ has the following linear-quadratic growth and globally-locally Lipschitz continuity: for $\forall (\omega, s, y_i, \bar{y}_i, z_i, \bar{z}_i) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^2$ with $i = 1, 2$,

$$|f(\omega, s, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z})| \leq C(2 + |y| + |\bar{y}| + |z|^{1+\alpha}) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma|z|^2;$$

$$|f(s, y_1, \bar{y}_1, z_1, \bar{z}_1) - f(s, y_2, \bar{y}_2, z_2, \bar{z}_2)| \leq C \left\{ |y_1 - y_2| + |\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2| + (1 + |z_1|^\alpha + |\bar{z}_2|^\alpha)|\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2| + (1 + |z_1| + |z_2|)|z_1 - z_2| \right\};$$

(2.5)

The process $f(\cdot, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z})$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted for each $(y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z})$.

**(A2)** The terminal condition $\xi$ is uniformly bounded by $C$.

We have the following two theorems. The first one is a result concerning local solutions. For this, let us introduce some notations. For $\varepsilon > 0$, and $r_\varepsilon > 0$, we define the ball $\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon$ by

$$\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon := \left\{ (Y, Z) : Y \in S^\infty, \ Z \cdot W \in BMO_2(\mathbb{P}), \ ||Y||_{\infty, [T-\varepsilon, T]} + \|Z \cdot W\|_{BMO_2, [T-\varepsilon, T]} \leq r_\varepsilon \right\}.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let assumptions (A1) and (A2) be satisfied with $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Then, for any bounded $\xi$, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $r_\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following BSDE

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, \mathbb{E}[Y_s], Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s]) \, ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

(2.6)

has a unique local solution $(Y, Z)$ in the time interval $[T - \varepsilon, T]$ with $(Y, Z) \in \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon$. 


Example 2.4. The condition on \( f \) means that \( f \) is of linear growth with respect to \((y, \bar{y})\), and of \(|\bar{z}|^{1+\alpha}\) growth. For example, for \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \),

\[
f(s, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) = 1 + |y| + |\bar{y}| + \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 + |\bar{z}|^{1+\alpha}.
\]

The second theorem is a result about global solutions.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let assumption \((\mathcal{A}2)\) be satisfied. Moreover, assume that there is a positive constant \( C \) such that the function \( f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) has the following linear-quadratic growth and globally-locally Lipschitz continuity: for \( \forall (\omega, s, y_i, \bar{y}_i, z_i, \bar{z}_i) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 \) with \( i = 1, 2 \),

\[
|f(s, 0, 0, 0, 0) + h(\omega, s, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z})| \leq C(1 + |y| + |\bar{y}|),
\]

\[
|f(\omega, s, 0, 0, z_1, 0) - f(\omega, s, 0, 0, z_2, 0)| \leq C(1 + |z_1| + |z_2|)|z_1 - z_2|,
\]

\[
|h(s, y_1, \bar{y}_1, z_1, \bar{z}_1) - h(s, y_2, \bar{y}_2, z_2, \bar{z}_2)| \leq C(|y_1 - y_2| + |\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2| + |z_1 - z_2| + |\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2|)
\]

(2.7)

where for \( (\omega, s, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 \),

\[
h(s, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) := f(s, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) - f(s, 0, 0, z, 0).
\]

(2.8)

The process \( f(\cdot, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) \) is \( \mathcal{F}_t \)-adapted for each \((y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 \).

Then, the following BSDE

\[
Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, \mathbb{E}[Y_s], Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s]) \, ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T]
\]

(2.9)

has a unique adapted solution \((Y, Z)\) on \([0, T]\) such that \( Y \) is bounded. Furthermore, \( Z \cdot W \) is a \( \text{BMO}(\mathbb{P}) \) martingale.

**Example 2.6.** The inequality (2.7) requires that \( f \) is bounded with respect to the last variable \( \bar{z} \). The following function

\[
f(s, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) = 1 + s + |y| + |\bar{y}| + \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 + |\bar{z}|^{1+\alpha}, \quad (s, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^2,
\]

satisfies such an inequality.

### 2.2 Local solution: the proof of Theorem 2.3

We prove Theorem \[2.3\] (using the contraction mapping principle) in the following three subsections: in Subsection \[2.2.1\] we construct a map (which we call quadratic solution map) in a Banach space; in Subsection \[2.2.2\] we show that this map is stable in a small ball; and in Subsection \[2.2.3\] we prove that this map is a contraction.
2.2.1 Construction of the map

For a pair of bounded adapted process $U$ and BMO martingale $V \cdot W$, we consider the following quadratic BSDE:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, E[U_s], Z_s, E[V_s]) \, ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$  \hfill (2.10)

As

$$|f(s, y, E[U_s], Z_s, E[V_s])| \leq C(2 + |E[U_s]| + |E[V_s]|^{1+\alpha}) + C|y| + \frac{1}{2}\gamma|z|^2,$$

in view of Lemma 2.1, it has a unique adapted solution $(Y, Z)$ such that $Y$ is bounded and $Z \cdot W$ is a BMO martingale. Define the quadratic solution map $\Gamma : (U, V) \mapsto \Gamma(U, V)$ as follows:

$$\Gamma(U, V) := (Y, Z), \quad \forall(U, V \cdot W) \in S^\infty \times BMO_2(\mathbb{P}).$$

It is a transformation in the Banach space $S^\infty \times BMO_2(\mathbb{P})$.

Let us introduce here some constants and a quadratic (algebraic) equation which will be used in the next two subsections.

Define

$$C_\delta := e^{\frac{6}{1-\alpha} \gamma C Te^{CT} + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} (\frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma C e^{CT}) \frac{1}{2} (1+\alpha) \frac{1}{1-\alpha} T};$$

$$\beta := \frac{1}{2} (1-\alpha) C^{-1}\gamma (2(1+\alpha)) \frac{1}{1-\alpha};$$

$$\mu_1 := (1-\alpha) \left(1 + \frac{1-\alpha}{(1+\alpha)\gamma}\right) = 1 - \alpha + \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{(1+\alpha)\gamma};$$

$$\mu_2 := \frac{1}{2} (1+\alpha) \left(1 + \frac{1-\alpha}{(1+\alpha)\gamma}\right) = \frac{1}{2} (1+\alpha) + \frac{1-\alpha}{2\gamma};$$

$$\mu := (\beta + C\mu_1) \gamma \frac{2}{\alpha-1} + 2C\mu_2.$$ \hfill (2.11) \hfill (2.12) \hfill (2.13) \hfill (2.14)

Consider the following standard quadratic equation of $A$:

$$\delta A^2 - \left(1 + 4\gamma^{-2} e^{\gamma|\xi|\delta}\right) A + 4\gamma^{-2} e^{\gamma|\xi|\delta} + 4\mu C_\delta e^{\frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma|\xi|\delta} = 0.$$  \hfill (2.15)

The discriminant of the quadratic equation reads

$$\Delta := \left(1 + 4\gamma^{-2} e^{\gamma|\xi|\delta}\right)^2 - 4\delta \left[4\gamma^{-2} e^{\gamma|\xi|\delta} + 4\mu C_\delta e^{\frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma|\xi|\delta}\right]$$

$$= \left(1 - 4\gamma^{-2} e^{\gamma|\xi|\delta}\right)^2 - 16\mu C_\delta e^{\frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma|\xi|\delta}.$$  \hfill (2.15)

Take

$$\Delta := \frac{1}{8} \gamma^2 e^{-\gamma|\xi|\delta}; \quad \varepsilon \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{3C} e^{-CT}, \frac{1}{8\mu C_\delta} \gamma^{-2} e^{(1-\frac{3}{1-\alpha})|\xi|\delta} \right\};$$

$$A := \frac{1 + 4\gamma^{-2} e^{\gamma|\xi|\delta} - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2\delta} = \frac{3 - 2\sqrt{\Delta}}{4\delta} \leq \frac{3}{4\delta} = 6\gamma^{-2} e^{\gamma|\xi|\delta},$$ \hfill (2.16)
and we have
\[ \Delta \geq 0, \quad 1 - \delta A = \frac{1 + 2\sqrt{\Delta}}{4} > 0, \]
\[ \gamma^{-2}e^{\gamma|\xi|_{\infty}} + \mu C_{\delta} \frac{e^{\frac{e^{CT}}{1 - \delta A}}}{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{4}A = \frac{1}{2}A. \tag{2.17} \]

Throughout this section, we base our discussion on the time interval \( [T - \epsilon, T] \).

We shall prove Theorem 2.3 by showing that the quadratic solution map \( \Gamma \) is a contraction on the closed convex set \( B_{\epsilon} \) defined by
\[
\begin{align*}
B_{\epsilon} := \{ (U, V) : & \quad U \in S^{\infty}, \quad V \cdot W \in BMO_{2}(\mathbb{P}), \\
& \quad \| V \cdot W \|_{BMO_{2}} \leq A, \quad e^{\frac{e^{2\gamma|\xi|_{\infty}}}{1 - \delta A}} \leq \frac{C_{\delta}e^{\frac{e^{CT}}{1 - \delta A}}}{\epsilon(1 - \alpha)} \}
\end{align*}
\tag{2.18}
\]
(where \( (U, V) \) is defined on \( \Omega \times [T - \epsilon, T] \) for a positive constant \( \epsilon \) (to be determined later).

#### 2.2.2 Estimation of the quadratic solution map

We shall show the following assertion: \( \Gamma(B_{\epsilon}) \subset B_{\epsilon} \), that is,
\[ \Gamma(U, V) \in B_{\epsilon}, \quad \forall (U, V) \in B_{\epsilon}. \tag{2.19} \]

**Step 1. Exponential transformation.**

Define
\[ \phi(y) := \gamma^{-2}[\exp(\gamma|y|) - \gamma|y| - 1], \quad y \in R. \tag{2.20} \]

Then, we have for \( y \in R,
\[
\phi'(y) = \gamma^{-1}[\exp(\gamma|y|) - 1] \text{sgn}(y), \quad \phi''(y) = \exp(\gamma|y|), \quad \phi''(y) - \gamma|\phi'(y)| = 1. \tag{2.21}
\]

Using Itô’s formula, we have for \( t \in [T - \epsilon, T],
\[
\begin{align*}
\phi(Y_t) + \frac{1}{2}E_t \left[ \int_{t}^{T} |Z_s|^2 \, ds \right] \\
\leq \phi(|\xi|_{\infty}) + C E_t \left[ \int_{t}^{T} |\phi'(Y_s)| \left( 2 + |Y_s| + |E[U_s]| + |E[V_s]|^{1+\alpha} \right) \, ds \right]. \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
\]

Since (in view of the definition of notation \( \beta \) in (2.12))
\[
C|\phi'(Y_s)||E[V_s]|^{1+\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{4}|E[V_s]|^2 + \beta|\phi'(Y_s)|^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}},
\]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{2} + |Y_t| + |E[U_t]| + |E[V_t]|^{1+\alpha} \leq 1 + 2\sqrt{\Delta} + \frac{1 + \sqrt{\Delta}}{4}.
\]

Thus, we have
\[ 1 - \delta A = \frac{1 + 2\sqrt{\Delta}}{4} > 0, \]
\[ \gamma^{-2}e^{\gamma|\xi|_{\infty}} + \mu C_{\delta} \frac{e^{\frac{e^{CT}}{1 - \delta A}}}{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{4}A = \frac{1}{2}A. \tag{2.17} \]
we have

\[
\phi(Y_t) + \frac{1}{2} E_t \left[ \int_t^T |Z_s|^2 \, ds \right]
\leq \phi(|\xi|) + CE_t \left[ \int_t^T |\phi'(Y_s)| \left( 2 + |Y_s| + \|E[U_s]\| \right) \, ds \right] \\
+ \beta E_t \left[ \int_t^T |\phi'(Y_s)| \frac{r}{\alpha} \, ds \right] + \frac{1}{4} E_t \left[ \int_t^T |E[V_s]|^2 \, ds \right]
\]

\leq \phi(|\xi|) + CE_t \left[ \int_t^T |\phi'(Y_s)| \left( (1 + |Y_s|) + (1 + \|E[U_s]\|) \right) \, ds \right] \\
+ \beta E_t \left[ \int_t^T |\phi'(Y_s)| \frac{r}{\alpha} \, ds \right] + \frac{1}{4} E_t \left[ \int_t^T |E[V_s]|^2 \, ds \right].
\]

(2.23)

In view of the inequality for \( x > 0 \),

\[
1 + x \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1 - \alpha}{\gamma(1 + \alpha)} \right) e^{\frac{\gamma(1+\alpha)}{1-a} x},
\]

we have

\[
CE_t \left[ \int_t^T |\phi'(Y_s)| \left( (1 + |Y_s|) + (1 + \|E[U_s]\|) \right) \, ds \right] \\
\leq CE_t \left[ \int_t^T |\phi'(Y_s)| \left( 1 + \frac{1 - \alpha}{\gamma(1 + \alpha)} \right) \left( e^{\frac{\gamma(1+\alpha)}{1-a} |Y_s|} + e^{\frac{\gamma(1+\alpha)}{1-a} \|E[U_s]\|} \right) \, ds \right].
\]

(2.24)

Since (by Young’s inequality)

\[
|\phi'(Y_s)| \left( e^{\frac{\gamma(1+\alpha)}{1-a} |Y_s|} + e^{\frac{\gamma(1+\alpha)}{1-a} \|E[U_s]\|} \right) \leq (1 - \alpha)|\phi'(Y_s)| \frac{2}{\gamma(1 + \alpha)} + \frac{1 + \alpha}{2} \left( e^{\frac{2\alpha}{1-a} |Y_s|} + e^{\frac{2\alpha}{1-a} \|E[U_s]\|} \right),
\]

in view of the definition of the notations \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \) in (2.13), we have

\[
CE_t \left[ \int_t^T |\phi'(Y_s)| \left( (1 + Y_s) + (1 + \|E[U_s]\|) \right) \, ds \right] \\
\leq C \mu_1 E_t \left[ \int_t^T |\phi'(Y_s)| \frac{2}{\alpha} \, ds \right] + C \mu_2 E_t \left[ \int_t^T \left( e^{\frac{2\alpha}{1-a} |Y_s|} + e^{\frac{2\alpha}{1-a} \|E[U_s]\|} \right) \, ds \right].
\]

(2.25)

In view of inequality (2.23), we have

\[
\phi(Y_t) + \frac{1}{2} E_t \left[ \int_t^T |Z_s|^2 \, ds \right] \\
\leq \phi(|\xi|) + (\beta + C \mu_1) E_t \left[ \int_t^T |\phi'(Y_s)| \frac{r}{\alpha} \, ds \right] \\
+ C \mu_2 E_t \left[ \int_t^T \left( e^{\frac{2\alpha}{1-a} |Y_s|} + e^{\frac{2\alpha}{1-a} \|E[U_s]\|} \right) \, ds \right] + \frac{1}{4} \int_t^T |E[V_s]|^2 \, ds
\]

\leq \phi(|\xi|) + \left[ C \mu_2 + \gamma (\beta + C \mu_1) \right] E_t \left[ \int_t^T e^{\frac{2\alpha}{1-a} |Y_s|} \, ds \right] \\
+ C \mu_2 E_t \left[ \int_t^T e^{\frac{2\alpha}{1-a} \|U_s\|} \, ds \right] + \frac{1}{4} E \left[ \int_t^T |V_s|^2 \, ds \right].
\]

(2.26)
Step 2. Estimate of $e^{\gamma |Y|_\infty}$.

In view of the last inequality of Lemma 2.1, we have
\[
e^{\frac{3}{1-\alpha} |Y|_1} \leq E_t \left[ e^{\frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma e^{CT} (|\xi|_\infty + C_t^2 \int_0^T (2+|E[U]|+|E[V]|_1)^{1+\alpha} \, ds) } \right].
\] (2.27)

Since (by Young’s inequality)
\[
\frac{3e^{CT}}{1-\alpha} \gamma C |E[V]|_1^{1+\alpha} \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \left( \frac{3e^{CT}}{1-\alpha} \gamma C \left( \frac{1+\alpha}{2\delta} \right)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} + \delta |E[V]|_1^2,
\] (2.28)
in view of the definition of notation $C_\delta$ in (2.11), we have
\[
e^{\frac{3}{1-\alpha} |Y|_1} \leq C_\delta \left[ e^{\left( \frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma e^{CT} (|\xi|_\infty + \frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma C e^{CT} |U|_\infty + \delta f^T_\delta |E[V]|_2^2 \, ds \right)} \right];
\] (2.29)
and therefore using Jensen’s inequality,
\[
e^{\frac{3}{1-\alpha} |Y|_1} \leq C_\delta \left[ e^{\left( \frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma e^{CT} (|\xi|_\infty + \frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma C e^{CT} |U|_\infty + \delta f^T_\delta |E[V]|_2^2 \, ds \right)} \right].
\] (2.30)

It follows from (2.16) and the definition of $B_\varepsilon$ that $\|\sqrt{\delta V \cdot W}\|_{BMO_2(P)}^2 \leq \delta A < 1$, applying the John-Nirenberg inequality to the BMO martingale $\sqrt{\delta V \cdot W}$, we have
\[
e^{\frac{3}{1-\alpha} |Y|_1} \leq C_\delta e^{\frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma e^{CT} (|\xi|_\infty + \frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma C e^{CT} |U|_\infty + \delta f^T_\delta |E[V]|_2^2 \, ds)} \leq C_\delta \left[ e^{\left( \frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma e^{CT} (|\xi|_\infty + \frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma C e^{CT} |U|_\infty + \delta f^T_\delta |E[V]|_2^2 \, ds \right)} \right].
\] (2.31)

Since $3e^{CT}C_\varepsilon \leq 1$ (see the choice of $\varepsilon$ in (2.16)) and $(U, V) \in B_\varepsilon$, we have
\[
e^{\left( \frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma |Y|_\infty \right)} \leq \frac{C_\delta e^{\left( \frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma |\xi|_\infty + \frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma C e^{CT} |U|_\infty + \delta f^T_\delta |E[V]|_2^2 \, ds \right)}}{1-\delta A} \leq C_\delta \left( C_\delta e^{\left( \frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma |\xi|_\infty \right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left( C_\delta e^{\left( \frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma |\xi|_\infty \right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\] (2.32)

which gives a half of the desired result (2.19).

Step 3. Estimate of $\|Z \cdot W\|_{BMO_2}^2$.

From inequality (2.26) and the definition of notation $\mu$ in (2.14), we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} E_t \left[ \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 \, ds \right] \leq -\gamma^2 e^{\frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma |\xi|_\infty} + \mu C_\delta e^{\frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma |\xi|_\infty} \varepsilon + \frac{1}{4} A.
\] (2.33)

In view of (2.17), we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \|Z \cdot W\|_{BMO_2}^2 \leq -\gamma^2 e^{\frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma |\xi|_\infty} + \mu C_\delta e^{\frac{3}{1-\alpha} \gamma |\xi|_\infty} \varepsilon + \frac{1}{4} A = \frac{1}{2} A.
\] (2.34)

The other half of the desired result (2.19) is then proved.
2.2.3 Contraction of the quadratic solution map

For \((U, V) \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon\) and \((\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}) \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon\), set

\[
(Y, Z) := \Gamma(U, V), \quad (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) := \Gamma(\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}).
\]

That is,

\[
\begin{align*}
Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, E[U_s], Z_s, E[V_s]) \, ds - \int_t^T Z_s \, dW_s, \\
\tilde{Y}_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, \tilde{Y}_s, E[\tilde{U}_s], \tilde{Z}_s, E[\tilde{V}_s]) \, ds - \int_t^T \tilde{Z}_s \, dW_s.
\end{align*}
\]

We can define the vector process \(\beta\) in an obvious way such that

\[
|\beta_s| \leq C(1 + |Z_s| + |\tilde{Z}_s|),
\]

\[
f(s, Y_s, E[U_s], Z_s, E[V_s]) - f(s, Y_s, E[U_s], \tilde{Z}_s, E[\tilde{V}_s]) = (Z_s - \tilde{Z}_s)\beta_s.
\]

Then \(\tilde{W}_t := W_t - \int_0^t \beta_s \, ds\) is a Brownian motion under the equivalent probability measure \(\bar{P}\) defined by

\[
d\bar{P} := \mathcal{E}(\beta \cdot W)_0^T dP,
\]

and from the above-established a priori estimate, there is \(K > 0\) such that \(\|\beta \cdot W\|_{BMO}^2 \leq K^2 := 3C^2T + 6C^2A\).

In view of the following equation

\[
Y_t - \tilde{Y}_t + \int_t^T (Z_s - \tilde{Z}_s) \, dW_s
\]

\[
= \int_t^T \left[ f(s, Y_s, E[U_s], \tilde{Z}_s, E[\tilde{V}_s]) - f(s, \tilde{Y}_s, E[\tilde{U}_s], \tilde{Z}_s, E[\tilde{V}_s]) \right] \, ds,
\]

taking square and then the conditional expectation with respect to \(\bar{P}\) (denoted by \(\bar{E}_t\)) on both sides of the last equation, we have the following standard estimates:

\[
|Y_t - \tilde{Y}_t|^2 + \bar{E}_t \left[ \int_t^T |Z_s - \tilde{Z}_s|^2 \, ds \right]
\]

\[
= \bar{E}_t \left[ \left( \int_t^T \left( f(s, Y_s, E[U_s], \tilde{Z}_s, E[\tilde{V}_s]) - f(s, \tilde{Y}_s, E[\tilde{U}_s], \tilde{Z}_s, E[\tilde{V}_s]) \right) \, ds \right)^2 \right]
\]

\[
\leq C^2 \bar{E}_t \left[ \left( \int_t^T \left( |Y_s - \tilde{Y}_s| + |E[U_s - \tilde{U}_s]| + (1 + |E[V_s]|^\alpha + |E[\tilde{V}_s]|^\alpha)|E[V_s - \tilde{V}_s]| \right) \, ds \right)^2 \right]
\]

\[
\leq 3C^2(T - t)^2(|U - \tilde{U}|_\infty^2 + |Y - \tilde{Y}|_\infty^2) + 3C^2 \int_t^T (1 + |E[V_s]|^\alpha + |E[\tilde{V}_s]|^\alpha)^2 \, ds \int_t^T |E[V_s - \tilde{V}_s]|^2 \, ds
\]

\[
\leq 3C^2(T - t)^2(|U - \tilde{U}|_\infty^2 + |Y - \tilde{Y}|_\infty^2) + 9C^2 \int_t^T (1 + |E[V_s]|^{2\alpha} + |E[\tilde{V}_s]|^{2\alpha}) \, ds \int_t^T |E[V_s - \tilde{V}_s]|^2 \, ds
\]
We have for \( t \in [T - \varepsilon, T] \),

\[
\int_t^T (1 + |E[V_s]|^{2\alpha} + |E[\tilde{V}_s]|^{2\alpha}) \, ds \\
\leq \int_t^T (1 + E[|V_s|^2]^{\alpha} + E[|\tilde{V}_s|^2]^{\alpha}) \, ds \\
\leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} E \left[ \int_t^T |V_s|^2 \, ds \right]^{\alpha} + \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} E \left[ \int_t^T |\tilde{V}_s|^2 \, ds \right]^{\alpha} \\
\leq \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \left( T^{\alpha} + 2 + \alpha E \int_t^T |V_s|^2 \, ds + \alpha E \int_t^T |\tilde{V}_s|^2 \, ds \right) \\
\leq \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \left( T^{\alpha} + 2 + \alpha \|V \cdot W\|_{BMO_2(P)}^2 + \alpha \|\tilde{V} \cdot W\|_{BMO_2(P)}^2 \right) \\
\leq \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} (T^{\alpha} + 2 + 2\alpha A).
\] (2.39)

Concluding the above estimates, we have for \( t \in [T - \varepsilon, T] \),

\[
|Y_t - \tilde{Y}_t|^2 + \tilde{E}_t \left[ \int_t^T |Z_{s} - \tilde{Z}_s|^2 \, ds \right] \\
\leq 3C^2\varepsilon^2 (|U - \tilde{U}|_{\infty}^2 + |Y - \tilde{Y}|_{\infty}^2) \\
+ 9C^2 (T^{\alpha} + 2 + 2\alpha A) \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \| (V - \tilde{V}) \cdot W \|_{BMO_2(P)}^2.
\] (2.40)

In view of estimates (2.4), noting that \( 1 - 3C^2\varepsilon^2 \geq \frac{2}{3} \), we have for \( t \in [T - \varepsilon, T] \),

\[
|Y - \tilde{Y}|_{\infty}^2 + 3C^2 \| (Z - \tilde{Z}) \cdot W \|_{BMO_2(P)}^2 \\
\leq 9C^2 \varepsilon^2 |U - \tilde{U}|_{\infty}^2 + 27C^2 (T^{\alpha} + 2 + 2\alpha A) \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \| (V - \tilde{V}) \cdot W \|_{BMO_2(P)}^2.
\] (2.41)

It is then standard to show that there is a very small positive number \( \varepsilon \) such that the quadratic solution map \( \Gamma \) is a contraction on the previously given set \( \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon \), by noting that \( A \leq 6\gamma^{-2}e^{-\gamma|\xi|} \) from (2.10). The proof is completed by choosing a sufficiently small \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that \( \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon \).

2.3 Global solution: the proof of Theorem 2.5

Let us first note that there exists a constant \( \tilde{C} > 0 \) such that \( |\xi|^2 \leq \tilde{C} \) and

\[
|2xh(s, y, \tilde{y}, z, \tilde{z}) + 2xf(s, 0, 0, 0, 0)| \leq \tilde{C} + \tilde{C}|x|^2 + \tilde{C}(||y|^2 + |\tilde{y}|^2)
\]

for any \((x, y, \tilde{y}, z, \tilde{z}) \in R \times R^2 \times (R^2)^2\). Let \( \alpha(\cdot) \) be the unique solution of the following ordinary differential equation:

\[
\alpha(t) = \tilde{C} + \int_t^T \tilde{C} \, ds + \int_t^T (2\tilde{C} + \tilde{C})\alpha(s) \, ds, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]

It is easy to see that \( \alpha(\cdot) \) is a continuous decreasing function and we have

\[
\alpha(t) = \tilde{C} + \int_t^T \tilde{C}[1 + 2\alpha(s)] \, ds + \tilde{C} \int_t^T \alpha(s) \, ds, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]
Define \( \lambda := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \alpha(t) = \alpha(0) \).

As \( |\xi|^2 \leq \tilde{C} \leq \lambda \), Theorem 2.3 shows that there exists \( \eta_\lambda > 0 \) which only depends on \( \lambda \), such that BSDE has a local solution \((Y, Z)\) on \([T - \eta_\lambda, T]\) and it can be constructed through the Picard iteration.

Consider the Picard iteration:

\[
Y_t^0 = \xi + \int_t^T Z_s^0 dW_s; \quad \text{and for } \quad j \geq 0,
\]

\[
Y_{t}^{j+1} = \xi + \int_t^T \left[ f(s, 0, 0, Z_s^{j+1}, 0) - f(s, 0, 0, 0, 0) \right] ds
\]

\[
+ \int_t^T \left[ f(s, 0, 0, 0, 0) + f(s, Y_s^j, E[Y_s^j], Z_s^j, E[Z_s^j]) - f(s, 0, 0, Z_s^j, 0) \right] ds
\]

\[
- \int_t^T Z_s^{j+1} dW_s
\]

\[
= \xi + \int_t^T \left[ f(s, 0, 0, 0, 0) + h(s, Y_s^j, E[Y_s^j], Z_s^j, E[Z_s^j]) \right] ds
\]

\[
- \int_t^T Z_s^{j+1} d\tilde{W}_s^{j+1}, \quad t \in [T - \eta_\lambda, T],
\]

where

\[
f(s, 0, 0, Z_s^{j+1}, 0) - f(s, 0, 0, 0, 0) = Z_s^{j+1} \beta_s^{j+1}
\]

and the process

\[
\tilde{W}_t^{j+1} = W_t - \int_0^t \beta_s^{j+1} 1_{[T-\eta_\lambda, T]}(s) ds
\]

is a Brownian motion under an equivalent probability measure \( P^{j+1} \) which we denote by \( \tilde{P} \) with loss of generality, and under which the expectation is denoted by \( \tilde{E} \). Using Itô’s formula, it is straightforward to deduce the following estimate for \( r \in [T - \eta_\lambda, t] \),

\[
\tilde{E}_r[|Y_t^{j+1}|^2] = \tilde{E}_r[\int_t^T |Z_s^{j+1}|^2 ds]
\]

\[
\leq \tilde{C} + \tilde{C} \int_t^T \tilde{E}_r[|Y_s^{j+1}|^2] ds + \tilde{C} \int_t^T \{ \tilde{E}_r[|Y_s^j|^2] + |E[Y_s^j]|^2 + 1 \} ds. \tag{2.42}
\]

In what follows, we show by induction the following inequality:

\[
|Y_t^j|^2 \leq \alpha(t), \quad t \in [T - \eta_\lambda, T]. \tag{2.43}
\]

In fact, it is trivial to see that \( |Y_t^0|^2 \leq \alpha(t) \), and let us suppose \( |Y_t^j|^2 \leq \alpha(t) \) for \( t \in [T - \eta_\lambda, T] \). Then, from (2.42),

\[
\tilde{E}_r[|Y_t^{j+1}|^2] \leq \tilde{C} + \tilde{C} \int_t^T [1 + 2 \alpha(s)] ds + \tilde{C} \int_t^T \tilde{E}_r[|Y_s^{j+1}|^2] ds, \quad t \in [T - \eta_\lambda, T].
\]
From the comparison theorem, we have
\[ \widetilde{E}_t[|Y_t^{j+1}|^2] \leq \alpha(t), \quad t \in [T - \eta, T]. \]

Setting \( r = t \), we have
\[ |Y_t^{j+1}|^2 \leq \alpha(t), \quad t \in [T - \eta, T]. \]

Therefore, inequality (2.43) holds.

As \( Y_t = \lim_j Y_t^j \), our constructed local solution \((Y, Z)\) in \([T - \eta, T]\) satisfies the following estimate:
\[ |Y_t|^2 \leq \alpha(t), \quad t \in [T - \eta, T], \quad \text{and} \quad |Y_t|^2 \leq \alpha(t), \quad t \in [T - \eta, T]. \]

In particular, \( |Y_{T-\eta}|^2 \leq \alpha(T - \eta) \leq \lambda \).

Taking \( T - \eta \) as the terminal time and \( Y_{T-\eta} \) as terminal value, Theorem 2.3 shows that BSDE has a local solution \((Y, Z)\) on \([T - 2\eta, T - \eta]\) through the Picard iteration. Once again, using the Picard iteration and the fact that \( |Y_{T-\eta}|^2 \leq \alpha(T - \eta) \), we deduce that \( |Y_t|^2 \leq \alpha(t) \), for \( t \in [T - 2\eta, T - \eta] \). Repeating the preceding process, we can extend the pair \((Y, Z)\) to the whole interval \([0, T]\) within a finite steps such that \( Y \) is uniformly bounded by \( \lambda \). We now show that \( Z \cdot W \) is a BMO\((P)\) martingale.

Identical to the proof of inequality (2.22), we have
\[
\phi(Y_t) + \frac{1}{2} E_t \left[ \int_t^T |Z_s|^2 \, ds \right] \\
\leq \phi(\|\xi\|_{\infty}) + CE_t \left[ \int_t^T |\phi'(Y_s)| \left( 1 + |Y_s| + E[Z_s] \right) \, ds \right] \\
\leq \phi(\|\xi\|_{\infty}) + C\phi'(\lambda)E_t \left[ \int_t^T \left( 1 + \lambda + \lambda \right) \, ds \right].
\]

Consequently, we have
\[
\|Z \cdot W\|_{\text{BMO}_2(P)}^2 = \sup_{\tau} E_\tau \left[ \int_{\tau}^T |Z_s|^2 \, ds \right] \\
\leq 2\phi(\|\xi\|_{\infty}) + 4C\phi'(\lambda)(1 + \lambda)T.
\]

Finally, we prove the uniqueness. Let \((Y, Z)\) and \((\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})\) be two adapted solutions. Then, we have (recall that \( \beta \) is defined by (?))
\[
Y_t - \tilde{Y}_t = \int_t^T \left[ h(s, Y_s, E[Y_s], \tilde{Z}_s, E[\tilde{Z}_s]) - h(s, \tilde{Y}_s, E[\tilde{Y}_s], \tilde{Z}_s, E[\tilde{Z}_s]) \right] \, ds \\
- \int_t^T (Z_s - \tilde{Z}_s) \, d\tilde{W}_s, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]

Similar to the first two inequalities in (2.38), for any stopping time \( \tau \) which takes values
in \([T - \varepsilon, T]\), we have
\[
|Y_t - \tilde{Y}_t|^2 + \tilde{E}_t \left[ \int_{\tau}^{T} |Z_s - \tilde{Z}_s|^2 \, ds \right]
= \tilde{E}_t \left[ \left( \int_{\tau}^{T} \left[ h(s, Y_s, E[Y_s], \tilde{Z}_s, E[\tilde{Z}_s]) - h(s, \tilde{Y}_s, E[\tilde{Y}_s], \tilde{Z}_s, E[\tilde{Z}_s]) \right] \, ds \right)^2 \right]
\leq C^2 \tilde{E}_t \left[ \left( \int_{\tau}^{T} |Y_s - \tilde{Y}_s| + |E[Y_s - \tilde{Y}_s]| + |E[Z_s - \tilde{Z}_s]| \, ds \right)^2 \right] \tag{2.47}
\leq 6C^2\varepsilon^2 |Y - \tilde{Y}|_\infty^2 + 3C^2\varepsilon \tilde{E}_t \left[ \int_{\tau}^{T} |Z_s - \tilde{Z}_s|^2 \, ds \right] + 3C^2\varepsilon E \left[ \int_{T - \varepsilon}^{T} |Z_s - \tilde{Z}_s|^2 \, ds \right]
\leq 6C^2\varepsilon^2 |Y - \tilde{Y}|_\infty^2 + 3C^2\varepsilon \|Z - \tilde{Z}\|_{BMO_2(\tilde{P})}^2 + 3C^2\varepsilon \|Z - \tilde{Z}\|_{BMO_2(\tilde{P})}^2.
\]
Therefore, we have (on the interval \([T - \varepsilon, T]\))
\[
|Y - \tilde{Y}|_\infty^2 + c_1^2 \|Z - \tilde{Z}\|_{BMO_2(\tilde{P})}^2
\leq 6C^2\varepsilon^2 |Y - \tilde{Y}|_\infty^2 + 3C^2(1 + c_2^2)\varepsilon \|Z - \tilde{Z}\|_{BMO_2(\tilde{P})}^2. \tag{2.48}
\]
Note that since
\[
|\beta| \leq C(1 + |Z| + |\tilde{Z}|),
\]
the two generic constants \(c_1\) and \(c_2\) only depend on the sum
\[
\|Z \cdot W\|_{BMO_2(\tilde{P})}^2 + \|[\tilde{Z} \cdot W]\|_{BMO_2(\tilde{P})}.
\]
Then when \(\varepsilon\) is sufficiently small, we conclude that \(Y = \tilde{Y}\) and \(Z = \tilde{Z}\) on \([T - \varepsilon, T]\).
Repeating iteratively with a finite of times, we have the uniqueness on the given interval \([0, T]\).

3  The expected term is additive and has a quadratic growth in the second unknown variable

Let us first consider the following quadratic BSDE with mean term:
\[
Y_t = \xi + \int_{t}^{T} [f_1(s, Z_s) + E[f_2(s, Z_s, E[Z_s])]] \, ds - \int_{t}^{T} Z_s \, dB_s, \quad t \in [0, T] \tag{3.1}
\]
where \(f_1 : \mathcal{O} \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) satisfies: \(f_1(\cdot, z)\) is an adapted process for any \(z\), and
\[
|f_1(t, 0)| \leq C, \quad |f_1(t, z) - f_1(t, z')| \leq C(1 + |z| + |z'|)|z - z'|, \tag{3.2}
\]
and \(f_2 : \mathcal{O} \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) satisfies: \(f_2(\cdot, z, \bar{z})\) is an adapted process for any \(z\) and \(\bar{z}\), and
\[
|f_2(t, z, \bar{z})| \leq C(1 + |z|^2 + |z'|^2). \tag{3.3}
\]
Proposition 3.1. Let us suppose that $f_1$ and $f_2$ be two generators satisfying the above conditions and $\xi$ be a bounded random variable. Then (3.1) admits a unique solution $(Y, Z)$ such that $Y$ is bounded and $Z \cdot W$ is a BMO martingale.

Proof. Let us first prove the existence. We solve this equation in two steps:

Step one. First solve the following BSDE:

$$\tilde{Y}_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_1(s, Z_s) \, ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s.$$  

(3.4)

It is well known that this BSDE admits a unique solution $(\tilde{Y}, Z)$ such that $\tilde{Y}$ is bounded and $Z \cdot W$ is a BMO martingale.

Step two. Define

$$Y_t = \tilde{Y}_t + \int_t^T E[f_2(s, Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s])] \, ds.$$  

(3.5)

Then

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T [f_1(s, Z_s) + E[f_2(s, Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s])]] \, ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$  

(3.6)

The uniqueness can be proved in a similar way: Let $(Y^1, Z^1)$ and $(Y^2, Z^2)$ be two solutions. Then set

$$\tilde{Y}^1_t = Y^1_t - \int_t^T E[f_2(s, Z^1_s, \mathbb{E}[Z^1_s])] \, ds, \quad \tilde{Y}^2_t = Y^2_t - \int_t^T E[f_2(s, Z^2_s, \mathbb{E}[Z^2_s])] \, ds.$$  

$(\tilde{Y}^1, Z^1)$ and $(\tilde{Y}^2, Z^2)$ being solution of the same BSDE (4.4), from the uniqueness of solution to this BSDE,

$$\tilde{Y}^1 = \tilde{Y}^2, \quad Z^1 = Z^2,$$

Hence $Y^1 = Y^2$, and $Z^1 = Z^2$. \qed

Now we consider a more general form of BSDE with a mean term:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T [f_1(s, Y_s, \mathbb{E}[Y_s], Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s]) + E[f_2(s, Y_s, \mathbb{E}[Y_s], Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s])]] \, ds$$

$$- \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s.$$  

(3.7)

Here for $i = 1, 2$, $f_i : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies: for any $(y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z})$, $f_i(t, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z})$ is an adapted process, and

$$|f_1(t, y, \bar{y}, 0, \bar{z})| + |f_2(t, 0, 0, 0, 0)| \leq C,$$

$$|f_1(t, y, z, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) - f_1(t, y', z', \bar{y}', \bar{z}')| \leq C(|y - y'| + |\bar{y} - \bar{y}'| + |z - z'| + (1 + |z| + |z'|)(|z - z'| + |\bar{z} - \bar{z}'|)),$$

$$|f_2(t, y, z, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) - f_2(t, y', z', \bar{y}', \bar{z}')| \leq C(|y - y'| + |\bar{y} - \bar{y}'| + (1 + |z| + |z'| + |\bar{z}| + |\bar{z}'|)(|z - z'| + |\bar{z} - \bar{z}'|)).$$

We have the following result.
Theorem 3.2. Assume that $f_1$ and $f_2$ satisfy the above conditions, and $\xi$ is a bounded random variable. BSDE (3.7) has a unique solution $(Y, Z)$ such that $Y \in \mathcal{S}^\infty$ and $Z \cdot W \in BMO_2(\mathbb{P})$.

Example 3.3. The condition on $f_1$ means that this function should be bounded with respect to $(y, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$. For example,

$$ f_1(s, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) = 1 + |\sin(y)| + |\sin(\bar{y})| + \frac{1}{2}|\bar{z}|^2 + |\sin(\bar{z})|, $$

$$ f_2(s, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) = 1 + |y| + |y'| + \frac{1}{2}(|z| + |\bar{z}|)^2. $$

Proof. We prove the theorem by a fixed point argument. Let $U \in \mathcal{S}^\infty$, and $V \cdot W \in BMO_2(\mathbb{P})$, we define $(Y, Z \cdot W) \in \mathcal{S}^\infty \times BMO_2(\mathbb{P})$ as the unique solution to BSDE with mean:

$$ Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T [f_1(s, U_s, \mathbb{E}[U_s], Z_s, \mathbb{E}[V_s]) + E[f_2(s, U_s, \mathbb{E}[U_s], Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s])] \, ds $$

$$ - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s. $$

(3.8)

And we define the map $\Gamma : (U, V) \mapsto \Gamma(U, V) = (Y, Z)$ on $\mathcal{S}^\infty \times BMO_2(\mathbb{P})$. Set

$$ \tilde{Y}_t = Y_t - \int_t^T E[f_2(s, U_s, \mathbb{E}[U_s], Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s])] \, ds, $$

then $(\tilde{Y}, Z)$ is the solution to

$$ \tilde{Y}_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_1(s, U_s, \mathbb{E}[U_s], Z_s, \mathbb{E}[V_s]) \, ds - \int_t^T Z_s \, dB_s. $$

As $|f_1(t, y, \bar{y}, 0, \bar{z})| \leq C$, we have

$$ |f_1(t, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z})| \leq C + \frac{C}{2}|\bar{z}|^2. $$

Applying Itô’s formula to $\phi_C(|\tilde{Y}|)$,

$$ \phi_C(|\tilde{Y}_t|) + \int_t^T \frac{1}{2} \phi''_C(|\tilde{Y}_s|)|Z_s|^2 \, ds \leq \phi(\xi) + \int_t^T |\phi'_C(|\tilde{Y}_s|)|(C + \frac{C}{2}|Z_s|^2) \, ds - \int_0^T \phi'(|\tilde{Y}_s|)Z_s \, dW_s, $$

(3.9)

we can prove that there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$ ||Z||_{BMO} \leq K. $$

Let $(U^1, V^1)$ and $(U^2, V^2)$, and $(Y^1, Z^1)$ and $(Y^2, Z^2)$ be the corresponding solution, and

$$ \tilde{Y}^1_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_1(s, U^1_s, \mathbb{E}[U^1_s], Z^1_s, \mathbb{E}[V^1_s]) \, ds - \int_t^T Z^1_s \, dB_s, $$
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There exists a bounded adapted process $\beta$ such that

$$f_1(s, U_s^1, \mathbb{E}[U_s^1], Z_s^1, \mathbb{E}[V_s^1]) - f_1(s, U_s^1, \mathbb{E}[U_s^1], Z_s^2, \mathbb{E}[V_s^1]) = \beta_s(Z_s^1 - Z_s^2).$$

Then $\tilde{W}_t := W_t - \int_0^t \beta_s ds$ is a Brown motion under the equivalent probability measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ defined by

$$d\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{E}^T_0(\beta \cdot W) d\mathbb{P}.$$

We have

$$\Delta Y_t + \int_t^T \Delta Z_s d\tilde{W}_s$$

$$= \int_t^T [f_1(s, U_s^1, \mathbb{E}[U_s^1], Z_s^2, \mathbb{E}[V_s^1]) - f_1(s, U_s^2, \mathbb{E}[U_s^2], Z_s^2, \mathbb{E}[V_s^2])] ds, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

For any stopping time $\tau$ which takes values in $[T - \varepsilon, T]$, taking square and then the conditional expectation with respect to $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ (denoted by $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$), we have

$$|\Delta Y_t|^2 + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\tau \int_\tau^T |\Delta Z|^2 ds$$

$$= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\tau \left( \int_t^T [f_1(s, U_s^1, \mathbb{E}[U_s^1], Z_s^2, \mathbb{E}[V_s^1]) - f_1(s, U_s^2, \mathbb{E}[U_s^2], Z_s^2, \mathbb{E}[V_s^2])] ds \right)^2$$

$$\leq C^2 \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\tau \left( \int_\tau^T (|\Delta U_s| + |\mathbb{E}[\Delta U_s]| + |\mathbb{E}[\Delta V_s]|) ds \right)^2$$

$$\leq C^2 \varepsilon(|\Delta U|^2 + |\Delta V \cdot W|_{BMO^2(\mathbb{P})}^2).$$

Therefore, we have (on the interval $[T - \varepsilon, T]$)

$$|\Delta Y|_\infty^2 + c_1^2 \|\Delta Z\|_{BMO^2(\mathbb{P})}^2 \leq C^2 \varepsilon(|\Delta U|^2 + |\Delta V \cdot W|_{BMO^2(\mathbb{P})}^2).$$

Note that since

$$|\beta| \leq C(1 + |Z_1| + |Z_2|),$$

the generic constant $c_1$ and $c_2$ only depend on $C$ and $K$.

As

$$\Delta Y_t = \Delta \tilde{Y}_t + \int_t^T E[f_2(s, U_s^1, \mathbb{E}[U_s^1], Z_s^1, \mathbb{E}[Z_s^2]) - f_2(s, U_s^2, \mathbb{E}[U_s^2], Z_s^2, \mathbb{E}[Z_s^2])] ds,$$

then

$$|\Delta Y_t| \leq |\Delta \tilde{Y}_t| + CE \left[ \int_t^T (|\Delta U_s| + |\mathbb{E}[\Delta U_s]|) ds \right]$$

$$+ CE \left[ \int_t^T (1 + |Z_s^1| + |Z_s^2| + |\mathbb{E}[Z_s^1]| + |\mathbb{E}[Z_s^2]|) (|\Delta Z_s| + |\mathbb{E}[\Delta Z_s]|) ds \right]$$
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we deduce that

\[ |\Delta Y_t|^2 \leq C^2 (|\Delta \tilde{Y}_t|^2 + E \left[ \int_t^T (|\Delta U_s| + |E \Delta U_s|) \, ds \right]^2 ) \]

which implies that

\[
|\Delta Y|^2_\infty \leq C^2 (|\Delta \tilde{Y}|^2_\infty + \varepsilon |\Delta U|^2_\infty + |(\Delta Z) \cdot W|_{BMO^2(\mathbb{F})}^2 ) \\
\leq C^2 \varepsilon \left( |\Delta U|^2_\infty + ||V \cdot W||_{BMP^2(P)}^2 \right).
\]

Then when \( \varepsilon \) is sufficiently small, we conclude that the application is contracting on \( [T - \varepsilon, T] \). Repeating iteratively with a finite of times, we have the existence and uniqueness on the given interval \([0, T]\).

4 Multi-dimensional Case

In this section, we will study the multi-dimensional case of (3.7), where \( f_1 \) is Lipschitz. Our result generalizes the corresponding one of Cheridito and Nam [8].

We first consider the following BSDE with mean term:

\[
Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T \left[ f_1(s, Z_s, E[Z_s]) + E[f_2(s, Z_s, E[Z_s])] \right] \, ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T]\tag{4.1}
\]

where \( f_1 : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) satisfies: for any \( z \) and \( \tilde{z} \), \( f_1(t, z, \tilde{z}) \) is an adapted process, and

\[
|f_1(t, 0, 0)| \leq C, \quad |f_1(t, z, \tilde{z}) - f_1(t, z', \tilde{z}')| \leq C(|z - z'| + |\tilde{z} - \tilde{z}'|), \tag{4.2}
\]

and \( f_2 : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) satisfies: for any \( z, \tilde{z} \), \( f_2(t, z, \tilde{z}) \) is an adapted process, and

\[
|f_2(t, 0, 0)| \leq C, \quad |f_2(t, z, \tilde{z}) - f_2(t, z', \tilde{z}')| \leq C\left(1 + |z| + |z'| + |\tilde{z}| + |\tilde{z}'|\right)(|z - z'| + |\tilde{z} - \tilde{z}'|). \tag{4.3}
\]

Proposition 4.1. Let us suppose that \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) be two generators satisfying the above conditions and \( \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T) \). Then (4.1) admits a unique solution \((Y, Z)\) such that \( Y \in \mathcal{S}^2 \) and \( Z \in \mathcal{M}^2 \).

Proof. Let us first prove the existence. Our proof is divided into the following two steps.

Step one. First consider the following BSDE

\[
\tilde{Y}_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_1(s, Z_s, E[Z_s]) \, ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T]. \tag{4.4}
\]

It admits a unique solution \((\tilde{Y}, Z)\) such that \( \tilde{Y} \in \mathcal{S}^2 \) and \( Z \in \mathcal{M}^2 \), see Buckdahn et al. [6].
Step two. Define
\[ Y_t = \tilde{Y}_t + \int_t^T E[f_2(s, Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s])] \, ds, \quad t \in [0, T]. \] (4.5)

Then, we have for \( t \in [0, T] \),
\[ Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T [f_1(s, Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s]) + E[f_2(s, Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s])] \, ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s. \] (4.6)

The uniqueness can be proved in a similar way: Let \((Y^1, Z^1)\) and \((Y^2, Z^2)\) be two solutions. Then set
\[ \tilde{Y}_t^1 = Y_t^1 - \int_t^T E[f_2(s, Z_s^1, \mathbb{E}[Z_s^1])] \, ds, \quad \tilde{Y}_t^2 = Y_t^2 - \int_t^T E[f_2(s, Z_s^2, \mathbb{E}[Z_s^2])] \, ds. \]

\((\tilde{Y}^1, Z^1)\) and \((\tilde{Y}^2, Z^2)\) being solution of the same BSDE (4.4), from the uniqueness of solution to this BSDE,
\[ \tilde{Y}^1 = \tilde{Y}^2, \quad Z^1 = Z^2, \]

Hence \(Y^1 = Y^2\), and \(Z^1 = Z^2\). \(\Box\)

Now we consider the following more general form of BSDE with a mean term:
\[ Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T [f_1(s, Y_s, \mathbb{E}[Y_s], Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s]) + E[f_2(s, Y_s, \mathbb{E}[Y_s], Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s])] \, ds \]
\[ - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T]. \] (4.7)

Here for \(i = 1, 2\), \(f_i : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times n} \to \mathbb{R}^n\) satisfies: for any \((y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z})\), \(f_i(t, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z})\) is an adapted process, and
\[ |f_1(t, y, \bar{y}, 0, 0)| + |f_2(t, 0, 0, 0, 0)| \leq C, \] (4.8)
\[ |f_1(t, y, z, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) - f_1(t, y', z', \bar{y}', \bar{z}')| \leq C(|y - y'| + |\bar{y} - \bar{y}'| + |z - z'| + |\bar{z} - \bar{z}'|), \] (4.9)
\[ |f_2(t, y, z, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) - f_2(t, y', z', \bar{y}', \bar{z}')| \leq C(|y - y'| + |\bar{y} - \bar{y}'| + (1 + |z| + |\bar{z}| + |\bar{z}'|)(|z - z'| + |\bar{z} - \bar{z}'|)). \] (4.10)

We have the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.** Assume that \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) satisfy the inequalities (4.8)-(4.10), and \(\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)\). Then BSDE (4.7) has a unique solution \((Y, Z)\) such that \(Y \in \mathcal{S}^2\) and \(Z \in \mathcal{M}^2\).

**Example 4.3.** The condition (4.8) requires that both functions \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) should be bounded with respect to \((y, \bar{y})\). For example,
\[ f_1(s, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) = 1 + |\sin(y)| + |\sin(\bar{y})| + |z| + |\bar{z}|, \]
\[ f_2(s, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}) = 1 + |y| + |y'| + \frac{1}{2}(|z| + |\bar{z}|)^2. \]
Proof. We prove the theorem by a fixed point argument. Let $U \in \mathcal{S}^2$, and $V \in \mathcal{M}^2$, we define $(Y, Z \cdot W) \in \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{M}^2$ as the unique solution to BSDE with mean:

$$
Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T \left[ f_1(s, U_s, \mathbb{E}[U_s], Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s]) + E[f_2(s, U_s, \mathbb{E}[U_s], Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s])] \right] ds
- \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s. \tag{4.11}
$$

We define the map $\Gamma : (U, V) \mapsto \Gamma(U, V) = (Y, Z)$ on $\mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{M}^2$. Set

$$
\tilde{Y}_t = Y_t - \int_t^T E[f_2(s, U_s, \mathbb{E}[U_s], Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s])] ds, \quad t \in [0, T].
$$

Then $(\tilde{Y}, Z)$ is the solution to

$$
\tilde{Y}_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_1(s, U_s, \mathbb{E}[U_s], Z_s, \mathbb{E}[Z_s]) ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T].
$$

As $|f_1(t, y, \bar{y}, 0, 0)| \leq C$, we have

$$
|f_1(t, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z})| \leq C(1 + |z| + |\bar{z}|).
$$

Applying Ito's formula to $|\tilde{Y}|^2$, we have

$$
|\tilde{Y}_t|^2 + \int_t^T |Z_s|^2 ds \leq |\xi|^2 + 2C \int_t^T |\tilde{Y}_s|(C + |Z_s| + |\mathbb{E}[Z]|) ds - 2 \int_t^T (Y_s, Z_s \cdot dW_s). \tag{4.12}
$$

Further, using standard techniques, we can prove that there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$
||Z||_{\mathcal{M}^2} \leq K.
$$

For $(U^i, V^i) \in \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{M}^2$, define $(Y^i, Z^i) = \Gamma(U^i, V^i)$ with $i = 1, 2$. Further, set for $t \in [0, T],

$$
\tilde{Y}_t^1 := \xi + \int_t^T f_1(s, U^1_s, \mathbb{E}[U^1_s], Z^1_s, \mathbb{E}[Z^1_s]) ds - \int_t^T Z^1_s \cdot dW_s,
$$

$$
\tilde{Y}_t^2 := \xi + \int_t^T f_1(s, U^2_s, \mathbb{E}[U^2_s], Z^2_s, \mathbb{E}[Z^2_s]) ds - \int_t^T Z^2_s \cdot dW_s.
$$

We have

$$
\Delta \tilde{Y}_t + \int_t^T \Delta Z_s dW_s \tag{4.13}
$$

$$
= \int_t^T \left[ f_1(s, U^1_s, \mathbb{E}[U^1_s], Z^1_s, \mathbb{E}[Z^1_s]) - f_1(s, U^2_s, \mathbb{E}[U^2_s], Z^2_s, \mathbb{E}[Z^2_s]) \right] ds, \quad t \in [0, T].
$$
For any \( t \in [T - \varepsilon, T] \), taking square and then expectations on both sides of the last equality, we have

\[
\mathbb{E}[|\Delta \tilde{Y}_t|^2] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T |\Delta Z_s|^2 ds \right] \\
= \mathbb{E}_\tau \left( \int_t^T \left[ f_1(s, U^1_s, \mathbb{E}[U^1_s], Z^1_s, \mathbb{E}[Z^1_s]) - f_1(s, U^2_s, \mathbb{E}[U^2_s], Z^2_s, \mathbb{E}[Z^2_s]) \right] ds \right)^2 \\
\leq C^2 \mathbb{E} \left( \int_\tau^T \left( |\Delta U_s| + |\mathbb{E}[\Delta U_s]| + |\Delta Z_s| + |\mathbb{E}[\Delta Z_s]| \right) ds \right)^2 \\
\leq C^2 \varepsilon (|\Delta U|_{S^2} + |\Delta Z|_{M^2}).
\]

(4.14)

Therefore, we have (on the interval \([T - \varepsilon, T]\)) for a sufficiently small \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[
|\Delta \tilde{Y}|_{S^2}^2 + \|\Delta Z\|_{M^2}^2 \leq C^2 \varepsilon (|\Delta U|_{S^2}^2).
\]

(4.15)

As

\[
\Delta Y_t = \Delta \tilde{Y}_t + \int_t^T E \left[ f_2(s, U^1_s, \mathbb{E}[U^1_s], Z^1_s, \mathbb{E}[Z^1_s]) - f_2(s, U^2_s, \mathbb{E}[U^2_s], Z^2_s, \mathbb{E}[Z^2_s]) \right] ds,
\]

we have

\[
|\Delta Y_t| \leq |\Delta \tilde{Y}_t| + C E \left[ \int_t^T (|\Delta U_s| + |\mathbb{E}[\Delta U_s]|) ds \right] \\
+ C E \left[ \int_t^T (1 + |Z^1_s| + |Z^2_s| + |\mathbb{E}[Z^1_s]| + |\mathbb{E}[Z^2_s]|) \left( |\Delta Z_s| + |\mathbb{E}[\Delta Z_s]| \right) ds \right].
\]

Moreover, we deduce that

\[
|\Delta Y_t|^2 \leq C^2 \left( |\Delta \tilde{Y}_t|^2 + E \left[ \int_t^T (|\Delta U_s| + |\mathbb{E}[\Delta U_s]|) ds \right]^2 \right) \\
+ E \left[ \int_t^T (1 + |Z^1_s| + |Z^2_s| + |\mathbb{E}[Z^1_s]| + |\mathbb{E}[Z^2_s]|) \left( |\Delta Z_s| + |\mathbb{E}[\Delta Z_s]| \right) ds \right]^2.
\]

(4.15)

In view of (4.15)

\[
|\Delta \tilde{Y}|_{S^2}^2 + \|\Delta Z\|_{M^2}^2 \leq C^2 \varepsilon (|\Delta U|_{S^2}^2).
\]

Then when \( \varepsilon \) is sufficiently small, we conclude that the application is contracting on \([T - \varepsilon, T]\). Repeating iteratively with a finite of times, we have the existence and uniqueness on the given interval \([0, T]\).
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